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Abstract
In this correspondence, we correct an erroneous argument in the proof of Theorem 1 of [1], which is a statement generalizing that for Wyner’s common information.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [1], we defined a new notion, the Rényi common information, which is a generalization of Wyner’s common information. This generalization involves using the unnormalized and normalized Rényi divergences, instead of the relative entropy, to measure the level of approximation between the induced and target distributions. The minimum rate needed to ensure that the unnormalized (resp. normalized) Rényi divergence vanishes asymptotically is defined as the Rényi common information, and denoted as $T_{1+s}^\pi(\pi_{XY})$ (resp. $\bar{T}_{1+s}^\pi(\pi_{XY})$). We focused on the cases that Rényi parameter $1+s \in [0, 2]$. In Theorem 1 of [1], we showed that for these cases, the Rényi common information was equal to Wyner’s common information. However, there is an error in the achievability proof part of Theorem 1. Obviously, $T_{1+s}^\pi(\pi_{XY})$ and $\bar{T}_{1+s}^\pi(\pi_{XY})$ are lower bounded by $T_{\text{Wyner}}(X; Y)$. But the proof for that they are upper bounded by $T_{\text{Wyner}}(X; Y)$ (the achievability part) for the case $s \in (0, 1]$ is incorrect. Specifically, in the proof given in Appendix A of [1], equation (79) is incorrect, since for a tuple $(x^n, y^n)$, the conditions that $(w^n, x^n)$ has joint type $T_{W}V_X|W$ and $(w^n, y^n)$ has joint type $T_{W}V_Y|W$ do not necessarily imply that $(x^n, y^n)$ has joint type $\sum_w T_{W}(w)V_X|W(\cdot|w)V_Y|W(\cdot|w)$. In fact, the type of $(x^n, y^n)$ can be any element of the set $\left\{ \sum_w T_{W}(w)V'_{XY}|W(\cdot|w) : T_{W}V'_{XY}|W \right\}$. In this document, we correct the erroneous statement in Theorem 1 of [1] and provide a corresponding proof.

Denote the coupling sets of $(P_X, P_Y)$ and $(P_X|W, P_Y|W)$ respectively as

$$
C(P_X, P_Y) := \{ Q_{XY} \in P(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) : Q_X = P_X, Q_Y = P_Y \}
$$

(1)

$$
C(P_X|W, P_Y|W) := \{ Q_{XY} \in P(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}|W) : Q_X|W = P_X|W, Q_Y|W = P_Y|W \}.
$$

(2)

Define the maximal mixed Shannon-cross-entropy over couplings $C(P_X, P_Y)$ as

$$
\mathcal{H}_s(P_X, P_Y || \pi_{XY}) := \max_{Q_{XY} \in C(P_X, P_Y)} \sum_{x, y} Q_{XY}(x, y) \log \frac{1}{P(x, y)} + \frac{1}{s} H(Q_{XY}).
$$

(3)

Define

$$
\Gamma_{1+s}^{UB}(\pi_{XY}) := \min_{P_{W} P_{X|W}, P_{Y|W}: P_{XY} = \pi_{XY}} \frac{1+s}{s} H(XY|W) - \sum_w P(w) \mathcal{H}_s(P_X|W=w, P_Y|W=w || \pi_{XY})
$$

(4)

and

$$
\Gamma_{1+s}^{LB}(\pi_{XY}) := \min_{P_{W} P_{X|W}, P_{Y|W}: P_{XY} = \pi_{XY}} \frac{1+s}{s} H(XY|W) + \sum_{w, w'} Q_{WW'}(w, w') \mathcal{H}_s(P_X|W=w, P_Y|W=w' || \pi_{XY})
$$

(5)

for $s \in (0, \infty)$, and $\Gamma_{1}^{UB}(\pi_{XY}), \Gamma_{1}^{LB}(\pi_{XY}), \Gamma_{\infty}^{UB}(\pi_{XY})$, and $\Gamma_{\infty}^{LB}(\pi_{XY})$ are the limiting versions of $\Gamma_{1+s}^{UB}(\pi_{XY})$ and $\Gamma_{1+s}^{LB}(\pi_{XY})$ as $s$ tends to 0 or $\infty$.

Lemma 1. 1) $\Gamma_{1+s}^{UB}(\pi_{XY})$ and $\Gamma_{1+s}^{LB}(\pi_{XY})$ are non-decreasing in $s \in (0, \infty)$.
2) The following limiting cases hold.

\[
\Gamma_{1}^{UB}(\pi_{XY}) = \Gamma_{1}^{LB}(\pi_{XY}) = C_{\text{Wyner}}(X; Y), \\
\Gamma_{\infty}^{UB}(\pi_{XY}) = \min_{P_{W}} \max_{P_{X}|W} P_{X=W} P_{Y=W} P_{XY=W} - H(XY|W) + \sum_{w} P(w) \\
\times \max_{Q_{XY} \in C(P_{W}|W)} \sum_{x,y} Q_{XY}(x, y) \log \frac{1}{\pi(x, y)}, \\
\Gamma_{1}^{LB}(\pi_{XY}) = \min_{P_{X}} P_{W} P_{X|W} P_{Y|W} P_{XY=W} \sum_{w} Q_{XY}(w, w) \\
\times \max_{Q_{XY} \in C(P_{W}|W)} \sum_{x,y} Q_{XY}(x, y) \log \frac{1}{\pi(x, y)},
\]

3) Furthermore, it suffices to restrict the alphabet size of \( W \) such that \(|W| \leq |X||Y|\) for both (6) and (7); and \(|W| \leq (|X||Y| + 1)^2\) for both (5) and (8).

Proof: Statement 1) and (6) of Statement 2) follow by observing that \( H(Q_{XY}|W) \leq H(XY|W) \) under the constraints of the optimization problems (4) and (5). Other statements are obvious.

**Theorem 2** (Rényi Common Informations). The unnormalized and normalized and Rényi common informations satisfy

\[
\Gamma_{1+s}^{LB}(\pi_{XY}) = T_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) = \begin{cases} C_{\text{Wyner}}(X; Y) & s \in (-1, 0] \\
0 & s = -1 \end{cases},
\]

and

\[
\Gamma_{1+s}^{UB}(\pi_{XY}) \leq \Gamma_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \leq T_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}), \quad s \in (0, \infty],
\]

\[
\Gamma_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \leq T_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \leq \Gamma_{1+s}^{UB}(\pi_{XY}), \quad s \in (0, 1] \cup \{\infty\}.
\]

Furthermore, for \( s \in (-1, 1] \cup \{\infty\} \), the optimal Rényi divergence \( D_{1+s}(P_{X^nY^n}||X^nY^n) \) in the definitions of the Rényi common informations decays at least exponentially fast in \( n \) when \( R > C_{\text{Wyner}}(X; Y) \) for \( s \in (-1, 0] \) and \( R > \Gamma_{1+s}^{UB}(\pi_{XY}) \) for \( s \in (0, 1] \cup \{\infty\} \).

The upper bound for the case \( s \in (0, 1] \) is proved in Section III. The lower bound for the case \( s \in (0, \infty) \) is proved in Section III. The upper bound and lower bound for the case \( s = \infty \) have been derived by the present authors in [2]. Hence for the achievability part, here we only provide a proof for \( s \in (0, 1] \). (The converse proof that we present here includes the case \( s = \infty \)). In fact, in [2], the present authors showed that for doubly symmetric binary sources \( \Gamma_{\infty}^{UB}(\pi_{XY}) = \Gamma_{\infty}^{LB}(\pi_{XY}) > C_{\text{Wyner}}(X; Y) \). Hence, in general, the Rényi common information of order \( \infty \) is larger than Wyner’s common information.

**II. UPPER BOUND FOR CASE \( s \in (0, 1] \)**

Proof: Here we only prove that \( T_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \leq \Gamma_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \) for \( s \in (0, 1] \). Other parts have been proven in the original paper [1].

We continue from [1] Eqn. (74)]. Analogously to the definition of \( \mathcal{A} \) in (74), here we define

\[
\mathcal{A}' := \{ P_{XY}|W \in \mathcal{P}(X \times Y|W) : \forall (w, x), |[Q_X P_{X|W}(w, x)] - Q_{WX}(w, x)| \leq \epsilon Q_{WX}(w, x), \\
\forall (w, y), |[Q_Y P_{Y|W}(w, y)] - Q_{WY}(w, y)| \leq \epsilon Q_{WY}(w, y) \}.
\]

Note that in [1] Eqn. (74)], we omit the dependence of \( \mathcal{A} \) on \( \epsilon \).

Let \( \delta_{0,n}, \delta_{1,n}, \delta_{2,n}, \delta_{n}, \) and \( \delta_n' \) be arbitrary sequences tending to zero as \( n \to \infty \). Let

\[
\delta_{012,n} := \frac{1}{ns} \log [(1 - \delta_{0,n})(1 - \delta_{1,n})^{1+s}(1 - \delta_{2,n})^{1+s}].
\]
Then we have
\[
\frac{1}{n} D_{1+s}(P_{W^nX^nY^n} \| P_{W^nX^nY^n}) = \frac{1}{ns} \log \sum_{n,x^n,y^n} P(w^n) (P(x^n|w^n) P(y^n|w^n))^{1+s} \pi^{-s}(x^n, y^n) \quad (14)
\]
\[
\frac{1}{ns} \log \sum_{T_{XY}} \sum_{w^n,x^n,y^n \in T_{XY}} Q^n_W(w^n) 1 \{ x^n \in T^n_x(Q_w) \} Q^n_W(T^n_x(Q_w)) 
\times \left( \frac{Q^n_{XY}(x^n|w^n) 1 \{ x^n \in T^n_x(Q_{XY}|w^n) \} Q^n_{XY}(y^n|w^n) 1 \{ y^n \in T^n_x(Q_{XY}|w^n) \}}{Q^n_{XY}(T^n_x(Q_{XY}|w^n))} \right)^{1+s} \pi^{-s}_{X^nY^n}(x^n, y^n) \quad (15)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{ns} \log \sum_{T_{XY} \in A} \sum_{w^n,x^n,y^n \in T_{XY}} e^{n \sum_{w,x} T(w,x) \log Q(x,w)} \frac{1-\delta_0,n}{1-\delta_1,n} \times \left( \frac{e^{n \sum_{w,x} T(w,x) \log Q(x,w)} e^{n \sum_{w,y} T(w,y) \log Q(y,w)}}{1-\delta_2,n} \right)^{1+s} e^{-ns} \sum_{x,y} T(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \quad (16)
\]
\[
\leq -\delta_{012,n} + \frac{1}{ns} \log \sum_{T_{XY} \in A} e^{n(H(T_{XY}) + \delta_n) + ns \sum_{w,x} T(w,x) \log Q(x,w)} \times (1+s)n \sum_{w,x} T(w,x) \log Q(x,w) + (1+s)n \sum_{w,y} T(w,y) \log Q(y,w) - ns \sum_{x,y} T(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \quad (17)
\]
\[
\leq \max_{T_{XY} \in A} \frac{1}{ns} \left( H(T_{XY}|W) - D(T_{XY}|Q_W) \right) + \left( 1+\epsilon \right) \frac{1}{s} H(P_{XY}|W|Q_W) - \left( 1-\epsilon \right) \frac{1+s}{s} H_Q(XY|W) - \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \quad (18)
\]
\[
\leq \sup_{P_{XY|W} \in A'} \left( (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{s} H(P_{XY|W}|Q_W) - \left( 1-\epsilon \right) \frac{1+s}{s} H_Q(XY|W) - \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \right) - \delta_{012,n} + \frac{1}{s} \delta_n + \frac{1}{s} \delta'_{n} \quad (19)
\]
where \ref{19} follows since \( D(T_{XY}|Q_W) \geq 0 \) and \( \{ P_{XY|W} : \exists P_W \text{ s.t. } P_{XY|W}P_W \in A \} \subseteq A' \).

Letting \( n \to \infty \) in \ref{19}, we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} D_{1+s}(P_{W^nX^nY^n} \| P_{W^nX^nY^n}) \leq \sup_{P_{XY|W} \in A'} \left( (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{s} H(P_{XY|W}|Q_W) - \left( 1-\epsilon \right) \frac{1+s}{s} H_Q(XY|W) - \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \right). \quad (20)
\]
Since \( \epsilon > 0 \) are arbitrary, and \( H(P_{XY|W}|Q_W) \leq \log \{|X||Y|\} \) and \( H_Q(X|W), H_Q(Y|W), \log \pi(x,y) \) are bounded, we have
\[
\inf \{ R : D_{1+s}(P_{XY|W}|Q_W, \pi_{XY|W}) \to 0 \} \leq \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup_{P_{XY|W} \in A'} \left( \frac{1}{s} H(P_{XY|W}|Q_W) - \left( 1-\epsilon \right) \frac{1+s}{s} H_Q(XY|W) - \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \right). \quad (21)
\]
Let \( \{ \epsilon_k \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) be a sequence of decreasing positive numbers with \( \lim_{k \to \infty} \epsilon_k = 0 \). Assume \( \{ P_{XY|W}^{(k)} \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \) is a sequence of optimal distributions \( P_{XY|W}^{(k)} \in A'_{\epsilon_k} \) that attains the sup in \ref{21} with \( \epsilon \) there replaced by \( \epsilon_k \) (the sup is attained because we are optimizing a continuous function over a compact set). Since \( P(X \times Y|W) \) is compact, there must exist some subsequence \( P_{XY|W}^{(k_i)} \) that converges to some distribution \( \bar{P}_{XY|W} \) as \( i \to \infty \). Since \( \lim_{i \to \infty} \epsilon_{k_i} = 0 \), we must have
\[
\bar{P}_{X|W} = Q_{X|W}, \quad \bar{P}_{Y|W} = Q_{Y|W}. \quad (22)
\]
\[
H(P_{XY|W}|Q_W) \text{ and } \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \text{ are continuous in } P_{XY|W}, \text{ we have}
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{s} H(P_{XY|W}^{(k_i)}|Q_W) - \sum_{x,y} P^{(k_i)}(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) = \frac{1}{s} H(\bar{P}_{XY|W}|Q_W) - \sum_{x,y} \bar{P}(x,y) \log \pi(x,y). \quad (24)
\]
Since the limit in (21) exists (by the monotonicity and boundedness of the function in $\epsilon$), we have

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \sup_{P_{XY}|W} \left( \frac{1}{s} H(P_{XY}|W) - \frac{1}{s} H_Q(XY|W) - \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \right)
= \lim_{k \to \infty} \sup_{P_{XY}|W \in A_k} \left( \frac{1}{s} H(P_{XY}|W) - \frac{1}{s} H_Q(XY|W) - \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \right)
$$

(25)

$$
= \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{s} H(P^{(k)}_{XY}|W) - \frac{1}{s} H_Q(XY|W) - \sum_{x,y} P^{(k)}(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \right)
$$

(26)

$$
= \lim_{k \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{s} H(P^{(k)}_{XY}|W) - \frac{1}{s} H_Q(XY|W) - \sum_{x,y} P^{(k)}(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \right)
$$

(27)

$$
= \frac{1}{s} H(\tilde{P}_{XY}|W) - \sum_{x,y} \tilde{P}(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) - \frac{1}{s} H_Q(XY|W),
$$

(28)

where (26) follows the selection of $\left\{ \tilde{P}^{(k)}_{XY}|W \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

Therefore,

$$
\inf \left\{ R : D_{1+s}(P_{X^nY^n}|U_n) ||_{\pi_{X^nY^n}|P_{U_n}} \to 0 \right\}
\leq \max_{P_{XY}|W: P_{XY}|W = Q_{XY}|W} \left( \frac{1}{s} H(P_{XY}|W) - \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log \pi(x,y) \right) - \frac{1}{s} H_Q(XY|W).
$$

(29)

Furthermore, since the distribution $Q_{XY}$ is arbitrary, we can minimize the bound above over all distributions satisfying $Q_{XY} = \pi_{XY}$ and $X - W - Y$. Hence

$$
\inf \left\{ R : D_{1+s}(P_{X^nY^n}|U_n) ||_{\pi_{X^nY^n}|P_{U_n}} \to 0 \right\}
\leq \Gamma_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}).
$$

(30)

By the argument given at the end of the proof in Appendix A-A of [1], the bound above is also an upper bound on the minimum rate for deterministic codes.

**III. LOWER BOUND FOR CASE $s \in (0, \infty]$**

We now prove

$$
\bar{T}_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \geq \Gamma_{1+s}^{LB}(\pi_{XY}).
$$

(31)

First, we need the following one-shot converse bound, which was proven in [3].

**Lemma 3** (One-Shot Bound for Converse Part). Assume $M \sim \text{Unif}\{1, \ldots, e^R\}$. For any random mapping $P_{X|M}$, we define

$$
P_{MX}(m,x) := e^{-R} P_{X|M}(x|m).
$$

(32)

Then for $s \in [0, \infty]$ and any distribution $\pi_X$, we have

$$
D_{1+s}(P_{X} || \pi_X) \geq \max \left\{ D_{1+s}(P_{MX} || P_{M} \pi_X) - R, D_{1+s}(P_{X} || \pi_X) \right\}.
$$

(33)

By setting $(\pi_X, P_{X|M}, P_M, R)$ to $(\pi^n_{XY}, P^n_{X|M}, P_Y^n|M, P_M, nR)$, Lemma 3 implies that

$$
\bar{T}_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \geq \inf_{P_{MX^n} \in \mathcal{A}_{P_{XY}}} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} D_{1+s}(P_{MX^nY^n} || P_M \pi^n_{XY}).
$$

(34)

To lower bound the RHS of the inequality above, we need the following lemma. The proof is omitted, since it is obvious.

**Lemma 4**. Assume $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$. Then for $s \in (0, \infty]$, we have

$$
D_{1+s}(P || Q) = \sup_{R \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \sum_{x} R(x) \log P^{1+s}(x) Q^{-s}(x) - \frac{1}{s} \sum_{x} R(x) \log R(x) \right\}.
$$

(35)
By Lemma 4 we immediately obtain that
\[\frac{1}{n} D_{1+s}(P_{X^n Y^n} \| P_M \pi^n_{XY}) \geq \max_{Q_{X^n Y^n} \in C(P_{X^n Y^n}, P_{Y^n | M})} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \sum_{m, x^n, y^n} P(m) Q(x^n, y^n | m) \log P^{1+s}(m, x^n, y^n) P^{-s}(m) \pi^n_{XY}(x^n, y^n)^{-s} \right\} \]
\[\geq \frac{1}{s} \sum_{m, x^n, y^n} P(m) Q(x^n, y^n | m) \log P(m) Q(x^n, y^n | m) \right\} \]
\[= \max_{Q_{X^n Y^n} \in C(P_{X^n Y^n}, P_{Y^n | M})} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \sum_{m, x^n, y^n} P(m) Q(x^n, y^n | m) \log \frac{1}{\pi^n_{XY}(x^n, y^n)^s} Q(x^n, y^n | m) \right\} - \frac{1 + s}{s} (H(X^n | M) + H(Y^n | M)) \].

Therefore,
\[\bar{T}_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \geq \inf_{P_{X^n Y^n} \in C(P_{X^n Y^n}, P_{Y^n | M})} \limsup_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1 + s}{s} (H(X^n | M) + H(Y^n | M)) \]
\[+ \max_{Q_{X^n Y^n} \in C(P_{X^n Y^n}, P_{Y^n | M})} \left\{ \frac{1}{s} \sum_{m, x^n, y^n} P(m) Q(x^n, y^n | m) \log \frac{1}{\pi^n_{XY}(x^n, y^n)^s} Q(x^n, y^n | m) \right\} \].

Next we derive a single-letter lower bound for the RHS above.
Denote \(W := MJX^J-1Y^J-1, X := X_J, Y := Y_J\). Then by deviations similar to those in [2, Appendix B], we obtain that
\[\bar{T}_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \geq \min_{\epsilon > 0} \sum_{P_{X}, P_{Y} \mid P_{W} P_{XW} P_{YW} : D(P_{XY} \| \pi_{XY}) \leq \epsilon} -\frac{1 + s}{s} H(XY|W) \]
\[+ \frac{1}{s} \sum_{Q_{WW'} \in C(P_{WW'}, P_{WW'})} \max_{Q_{XY} \in C(P_{XW = w}, P_{YW = w'})} \log \frac{1}{\pi(x, y)^s} Q_{XY}(x, y). \]

By standard cardinality bounding techniques, it suffices to restrict the alphabet size of \(W\) such that \(|W| \leq (|X||Y| + 1)^2\).
Finally, by the subsequence extraction argument used in [21]-[29], we have that the RHS above is right-continuous at \(\epsilon = 0\), which further implies
\[\bar{T}_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}) \geq \min_{P_{WW'} \in C(P_{WW'})} \left. \right|_{P_{XW} = \pi_{XY}} -\frac{1 + s}{s} H(XY|W) \right. + \frac{1}{s} \sum_{Q_{WW'} \in C(P_{WW'})} \max_{Q_{XY} \in C(P_{XW = w}, P_{YW = w'})} \log \frac{1}{\pi(x, y)^s} Q_{XY}(x, y) \]
\[= \Gamma^{LB}_{1+s}(\pi_{XY}). \]
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