EXPLICIT INNER PRODUCT FORMULAS AND BESSEL PERIOD FORMULAS
FOR HST LIFTS

KENICHI NAMIKAWA

Abstract. We explicitly give an inner product formula and a Bessel period formula for theta series on
GSp$_4$, which was studied by Harris, Soudry and Taylor. As a consequence, we prove a non-vanishing of
the theta series of small weights and we give a criterion for the non-vanishing of the theta series modulo
a prime.
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1. Introduction

In [HST93], Harris-Soudry-Taylor studied a non-vanishing of certain theta series on GSp$_4(A)$ which
coming from cohomological cuspidal automorphic representations $\pi$ on GL$_2(F_A)$, where $F$ is an imaginary
quadratic field, with some additional datum. By using these theta series which we call HST lifts, Taylor
constructed the Galois representation associated with $\pi$ in the subsequent paper [Tay94]. The aim of this
paper is to give further arithmetic properties of HST lifts.

As we can find in [Ri76], [MW84] and [SU14], constructions of congruences between automorphic forms
are one of important tools in Iwasawa theory. In [HT94], Hida-Tilouine proved the anti-cyclotomic main
conjecture for CM fields $F$ by studying congruences between cusp forms and theta series on GL$_2$ over
the maximal totally real subfield of $F$. One of methods for the authors’ proof is to combine congruences
with certain $L$-values by studying Petersson inner products of algebraically normalized theta series. In
the present paper, we consider HST lifts on GSp$_4(A)$ to construct a higher rank analogue of [HT94].
Namely, we give a Petersson inner product formula and a Bessel periods formula for HST lifts which are
given in an explicit manner.

The idea to construct congruences between cusp forms and theta series on GSp$_4(A)$ in Iwasawa theory
can be found in some literature. For instance [AK13], [BDSP12], [Ji], [HN17] and [HN18], the authors
consider congruences between Siegel cusp forms and Yoshida lifts ([Yo80], [Yo84]) to study the Bloch-
Kato conjecture for Asai $L$-functions for GL$_2$ over $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{abs}}$ or real quadratic fields under certain strong
conditions. As an analogue of these studies, [Be] considers HST lifts case assuming an existence of
congruences between Galois representations attached to Siegel cusp forms and HST lifts. However, so far
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in the literature, no explicit construction of congruences for HST lifts is given and explicit non-vanishing HST lifts are not yet discussed. In this paper, we will give an explicit construction of HST lifts and we show some basic properties.

To state the precise statements of the main theorem of this paper, we introduce some notation. Let \( \mathfrak{N} \) be an ideal of the ring of integers of an imaginary quadratic field \( F \) with the absolute discriminant \( \Delta_F \). Denote by \( U_0(\mathfrak{N}) \) a congruence subgroup of \( \text{GL}_2(F_A) \), which is introduced in Section 2.3. Consider an irreducible cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_2(F_A) \) of level \( U_0(\mathfrak{N}) \) with the trivial central character and the Langlands parameter \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \) of genus 2. We call \( \pi = \text{Sym}^n(C \otimes \mathbb{C}) \otimes \det^2 \), \( (\lambda = (n+2,2)) \), and we consider \( \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C) \) as one of realizations of the representation of \( U_2(\mathbb{R}) \) of a highest weight \( \lambda. \) By the theta correspondence between \( \text{GO}_{3,1} \) and \( \text{GSp}_4 \), each choice of a Bruhat-Schwartz function \( \tilde{\varphi} \) provides a holomorphic Siegel cusp form \( \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \) taking values in \( \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C) \):

\[
\theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} : \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C),
\]

where \( \mathcal{H}_2 \) is the Siegel upper half space of genus 2. We call \( \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \) HST lift, since basic arithmetic properties of \( \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \) are discussed in [HST93]. Let \( N_F = \text{l.c.m.}(N, \Delta_F) \) for \( N \mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \Gamma_0^{(2)}(N_F) \) a congruence subgroup of \( \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \) which is introduced in Section 2.4. Then this HST lift \( \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \) has weight \( \lambda \) and level \( \Gamma_0^{(2)}(N_F) \).

In this paper, we will fix a distinguished Bruhat-Schwartz function \( \tilde{\varphi} \) in Section 4.2 and we prove a Petersson inner product formula and a Bessel period formula for \( \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \). In this section, we introduce the main results of this paper assuming

\[
n = 0
\]

for the sake of the simplicity. Note that this assumption makes HST lifts \( \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \) scalar-valued functions.

We firstly introduce a result on Petersson inner product formulas. Define the Petersson inner product of \( \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \) to be

\[
\langle \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger}, \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \rangle_{\mathfrak{N}_2} = \int_{\tilde{f}^\dagger((N_F)) \cap \mathfrak{N}_2} \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger}(Z) \overline{\theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger}(Z)} (\det Y)^2 \frac{dX dY}{(\det Y)^3},
\]

where \( Z = X + \sqrt{-1}Y \in \mathfrak{N}_2 \) and \( dX = \prod_{j < i} dx_{ij}, dY = \prod_{j < i} dy_{ij} \) for \( X = (x_{ij}) \) and \( Y = (y_{ij}) \). Also denote by \( \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{N}_F} \) a Petersson inner product of \( f \), which is defined in Section 5.3. Let \( \varepsilon_v \) be the local root number of \( \pi_v \) for a place \( v \) of \( F \). For each rational prime \( p \), define

\[
\varepsilon_p = \begin{cases} 
\varepsilon_{p v} & (p = v : \text{non-split in } F), \\
\varepsilon_{p v_1} \varepsilon_{p v_2} & (p = v_1 v_2 : \text{split in } F). 
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( L(s, \text{As}^+(\pi)) \) be the Asai \( L \)-function attached to \( \pi \). Then the explicit inner product formula for \( \theta^*_{\tilde{f}^\dagger} \) in this paper is given as follows:

**Theorem A** (Theorem 5.7, Corollary 5.8 n = 0 case) Assume that
π is not conjugate self-dual: π∨ ≠ π;
• ΔF and N_{F/Q}(R) are coprime;
• R is square-free;

Then we have

\[ \langle \theta_{f_1}^*, \theta_{f_2}^* \rangle_{f'} = 2^β N_{F/\mathbb{Q}} Δ_F^{-3} \times L(1, A_2^+(\pi)) \prod_{p|N} (1 + ε_p) \prod_{p|Δ_F} (1 + p^{-1}) \]

where β ∈ Z is an explicit integer, which is given in Theorem 5.7. Furthermore, if we assume that

(LR) for each rational prime number \( p | N, ε_p = 1 \),

then, \( \theta_{f_1}^* \) is non-zero.

Remark 1.1. (i) Due to the works of Hida ([Hida81a], [Hida81b]) in GL_2 case, it is well-known that an explicit inner product formula is one of important tools for constructions of congruences between cuspidal automorphic forms. In GSp_4 case, Agarwal and Klosin ([AK13]) construct a congruence between Siegel cusp forms and Yoshida lifts under their conjectural explicit inner product formula for Yoshida lifts ([AK13] Conjecture 5.19). In [HN18 Theorem 5.7], a generalization of [AK13 Conjecture 5.19] is proved. Theorem A is an analogue of [HN18 Theorem 5.7] in the HST lifts case.

(ii) To deduce Theorem A, we follow the strategy for the proof of explicit inner product formulas for Yoshida lifts in [HN18], that is, we compute explicitly certain local integrals, which come from the Rallis inner product formula in [GQT14]. In our proof, we need a conjectural formula (Conjecture 6.3) on a certain archimedean local integral for general n. We can verify this conjectural formula for \( n = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 \) with some helps of Mathematica, however we need some special formulas on Bessel functions of the second kind and the hypergeometric functions in our way to check the conjecture even for these small weight cases. This is the reason why we include Appendix in this paper, where we explain how to check Conjecture 6.3 for \( n = 0 \).

The explicit inner product formula in Theorem A does not depend on a normalization of an initial automorphic form \( f \). However it depends on a normalization of the distinguished Bruhat-Schwartz function \( \tilde{\varphi} \). Hence it is necessary to discuss that \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is suitably normalized. In this paper, we show that certain types of Fourier coefficients of \( \theta_{f_1}^* \) are \( p \)-adically integral after dividing a period of \( \pi \) and we study a relation between the non-vanishing modulo a prime of Fourier coefficients and the central value \( L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi) \) of the standard \( L \)-function of \( \pi \) with twists of finite-order anti-cyclotomic characters \( \phi : F^* \mathbb{A}^\times \backslash F_A^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \). This provides a reason of our normalization of \( \tilde{\varphi} \).

We introduce our second result on Fourier coefficients of HST lifts \( \theta_{f_1}^* \), which is a corollary of an explicit Bessel periods formula. Let

\[ \Lambda_2 = \left\{ S = \left( \begin{array}{ll} a & b \\ \frac{1}{p} & c \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c ∈ \mathbb{Z}, S \text{ is semi-positive definite} \right\}. \]

Write the Fourier expansion of \( \theta_{f_1}^* \) as

\[ \theta_{f_1}^*(Z) = \sum_{S \in \Lambda_2} a(S) q^S, \quad (q^S = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} Γ(SZ)), a(S) ∈ \mathbb{C}). \]

Fix an odd prime number \( p \), an embedding \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) and an isomorphism \( \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}_p \) as fields. Denote by \( \mathcal{O}_C \), the ring of integers of \( \mathbb{C}_p \). Then we have a period \( Ω_{π,p} ∈ \mathbb{C}_p^\times \) which is determined by \( π \) and \( p \) up to a multiplication of elements in \( \mathcal{O}_C^\times \). We briefly recall the definition of \( Ω_{π,p} \) in Section 8.4. Since the idea of the definition of periods \( Ω_{π,p} \) is introduced in [Hida94b Section 8], we call \( Ω_{π,p} \) Hida’s canonical period. The definition of \( Ω_{π,p} \) immediately shows that \( L(\frac{1}{2}, π \otimes \phi)/Ω_{π,p} \) is an element in \( \mathcal{O}_C \) ([Hida94b Theorem 8.1]).

The second main result in this paper is summarized as follows:
Theorem B (Corollary 7.7, n = 0 case) Assume that

- \( \pi \) is not conjugate self-dual: \( \pi^\vee \not\cong \pi^* \);
- \( \Delta_F \) and \( \mathcal{N}_F/Q(\mathcal{R}) \) are coprime;
- \( p \nmid 2 \cdot \Delta_F \cdot \sharp \left( \frac{F^*}{A^*} \right) \cdot \frac{\mathcal{C}_F^1}{\mathcal{O}_F^1} \), where \( \mathcal{C}_F^1 = \{ z \in \mathcal{C} \mid \bar{z} = 1 \} \).

Then we have the following two statements:

(i) (Corollary 7.7 (ii)) Let \( C \) be an integer satisfying the following condition:

\( \text{(CF) } C \text{ is prime to } N \Delta_F \) and each prime \( v \) of \( Q \) dividing \( C \) is split in \( F \).

Then for each \( S \in \Lambda_2 \) with \( \text{det } S = \frac{\Delta_{p} C^2}{4} \), we have \( a(S)/\Omega_{\pi, p} \in \mathcal{O}_{C_p}^\times \).

(ii) (Corollary 7.7 (iii)) Assume that the condition \( \text{(LR)} \) in Theorem A. Then there exists a positive integer \( C \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfying the condition \( \text{(CF)} \) in the first statement and

\[
p \nmid C \cdot \prod_{\ell \text{ prime}} (\ell - 1)
\]

such that the following assertions are equivalent:

- For a finite-order Hecke character \( \phi : F^* A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \) of the conductor \( CO_F \), we have \( L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi)/\Omega_{\pi, p} \in \mathcal{O}_{C_p}^\times \).

- For an element of \( S \in \Lambda_2 \) with \( \text{det } S = \frac{\Delta_{p} C^2}{4} \), we have \( a(S)/\Omega_{\pi, p} \in \mathcal{O}_{C_p}^\times \).

Remark 1.2. (i) In [HN17, Proposition 5.1], we prove that all Fourier coefficients of Yoshida lifts are \( p \)-adically integral. Hence Theorem B (i) can be considered as a weak analogue of [HN17, Proposition 5.1].

(ii) Theorem B (ii) reduces a study of non-triviality of HST lifts modulo a prime to a study of the central value of the standard \( L \)-function of \( \pi \). Even though results on the non-vanishing of \( L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi)/\Omega_{\pi, p} \) modulo a prime for anti-cyclotomic characters \( \phi \) are not known so far, this strategy for the non-triviality of Fourier coefficients modulo a prime can be found in [SU14, Section 13] for instance. If we consider the Yoshida lifts, which are in the image of theta correspondences from a pair of elliptic modular forms, [HN17, Theorem 5.3] shows that the Yoshida lifts have Fourier coefficients which are non-vanishing modulo a prime according to this strategy. Hence Theorem B (ii) is expected to be useful to study HST lifts which are non-vanishing modulo a prime.

(iii) The proof of Theorem B in this paper is due to an explicit computation of Bessel periods of HST lifts. For each imaginary quadratic field \( K \), the Bessel periods of Siegel cusp forms are torus integrals which are defined by a map\( \text{Res}_{K/Q} G_{m/K} \rightarrow G_{Sp} \). The Waldspurger formula ([Wa85, Proposition 7]) shows that the square of the Bessel periods can be described by the central value of the Rankin-Selberg type \( L \)-function of \( \pi \), up to local integrals which depends on the choice of Bruhat-Schwartz functions. (See [Co17, Section 7] for this argument.) However, if we consider a special case \( K = F \), then an explicit Bessel period formula (Theorem 7.4 in this paper implies that the Bessel periods itself can be described by the standard \( L \)-function of \( \pi \). Although an explicit Bessel periods formula for general imaginary quadratic fields \( K \) is desired to obtain more information of Fourier coefficients of HST lifts, we concentrate on the case that \( K = F \) in this paper, since it already gives us information on a normalization of \( \tilde{\varphi} \). The integrality of Fourier coefficients of more general type seems to be important, however we remain it to the future works.

(iv) In [Be14, Corollary 28], the author constructs HST lifts which have integral Fourier coefficients in the \( n = 0 \) case. Since Berger’s method relies on a cohomological interpretation of theta lifts ([Be14, Section 3]) which is different form our formulation in Section 3 it is necessary to check whether his construction coincides with ours. So far, basic properties of HST lifts in [Be14] such as inner product formulas and a sufficient condition for non-triviality modulo a prime are not yet worked out. Hence it seems to be one of directions for further study of HST lifts to clarify...
a relation between results in [Bel14] and the present paper, and to generalize a result in [Bel14]
in the $n > 0$ case by using our fixed Bruhat-Schwartz function $\tilde{\varphi}$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. We introduce basic notation of automorphic forms on
$GL_2$ over imaginary quadratic fields and $GSp_4$ in Section 2. Automorphic forms on orthogonal
groups are discussed in Section 3 where we explain how to extend automorphic forms on $GL_2$ over imaginary
quadratic fields to automorphic forms on $GO_{3,1}$ according to [HST93]. HST lifts on $GSp_4$ are defined
in Section 4 where we fix a distinguished Bruhat-Schwartz function $\tilde{\varphi}$. In Section 5, we reduce a computation
for an explicit inner product formula for HST lifts to computations of certain local integrals by using
the Rallis inner product formula. The computation of the local integrals is given in Section 6, which is
summarized in Theorem 5.7. The archimedean local integral is computed assuming Conjecture 6.3 which
is hold for small weights. In Appendix, we explain how to check Conjecture 6.3. The first main result
Theorem A (Theorem 5.7, Corollary 5.8) is given in Section 5.3. Section 7 and Section 8 are devoted to
the study of Fourier coefficients of HST lifts. The study of Fourier coefficients in this paper is based on an
explicit computation of Bessel periods for HST lifts. The definition of Bessel periods is given in Section
7.4, where we prove the second main result Theorem B (Corollary 7.7).

2. Notation and definitions

2.1. Basic notation. Denote by $Q$ (resp. $Z, R, C$) be the rational number field (resp. the ring of
the rational integers, the real number field, the complex number field). The binomial coefficient $\binom{a}{b}$
for $a, b \in Q$ is defined by
\[
\binom{a}{b} = \begin{cases} 
\Gamma(a+1) / \Gamma(b+1) / \Gamma(a-b+1), & (a, b \in Z), \\
0, & \text{(otherwise)}. 
\end{cases}
\]

Let $\Sigma_Q$ be the set of places of the rational number field $Q$. For each place $v \in \Sigma_Q$, we denote by $Q_v$
the completion of $Q$ at $v$. Write $A$ for the ring of adeles of $Q$ and denote by $A_{\text{fin}}$ (resp. $A_{\infty}$) the finite
(resp. infinite) part of $A$. For an algebraic group $G$ over $Q$ and a $Q$-algebra $A$, we denote by $G(A)$ (resp.
$Z_G$) the $A$-rational points of $G$ (resp. the center of $G$). For $x \in G(A)$, we write $x_{\text{fin}}$ for the projection
of $x$ to $G(A_{\text{fin}})$. Let $[G]$ be the quotient space $G(Q)/G(A)$. Let $\psi_Q = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_Q} \psi_{Q,v} : A/Q \to C^*$ be the
additive character with $\psi_Q(x_{\infty}) = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} x_{\infty})$ for $x_{\infty} \in R = Q_{\infty}$.

For a number field $L$, we denote by $O_L$ (resp. $L_A, O_L, \Delta_L, \delta_L$) the ring of integers of $L$ (resp. the ring
of adeles of $L$, the different of $L/Q$, the discriminant of $L/Q$, a generator of the different $\delta_L$ in $L_{A_{\text{fin}}}$). For
each place $v$ of $L$, define $L_v$ to be the completion of $L$ at $v$. Put $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_Q} \mathbb{Z}_v$ and $\hat{O}_L = O_L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.
For each finite place $v$ of $L$, denote by $O_{L,v}$ (resp. $\varpi_v$) the ring of integers (resp. a uniformizer) of $L_v$.
Put $q_v = \varpi_v O_{L,v}/\varpi_v O_{L,v}$. Let $Tr_{L/Q}$ (resp. $N_{L/Q}$) be the trace (resp. norm) map of $L/Q$. Define an additive
character $\psi_L : L_A/L \to C^*$ to be $\psi_L = \psi_Q \circ Tr_{L/Q}$.

In this paper, $L$-functions are always complete one. For instance, we define the Riemann zeta function
$\zeta$ as follows:
\[
\zeta(s) = \Gamma(s) - \pi^{-s} \Gamma(s) / \zeta(s), \quad \zeta(s) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_Q} \zeta_v(s).
\]

Let $L$ be a number field and $\varpi_v$ a uniformizer of $L_v$ for each finite place $v$ of $L$. For each Hecke
character $\phi : L^\times / L_A^\times \to C^\times$, we write $\phi = \prod_v \phi_v$, where $v$ runs over the set of places of $L$.
Let $\varpi^e_v O_{L_v}$ be the conductor of $\phi_v$. Define the $\epsilon$-factor $\epsilon(s, \phi_v)$ of $\phi_v$ with respect to $\psi_{L,v}$ to be
\[
\epsilon(s, \phi_v) = \int_{\varpi^e_v O_{L_v}} \phi_v^{-1}(x) \psi_{L,v}(x) |t|^s dt
\]
where $dt$ is the Haar measure on $L_v$ which is self-dual with respect to $\psi_{L,v}$. 

2.2. Algebraic representation of $GL_2$. Let $A$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. Let $A[X,Y]_n$ denote the space of two variable homogeneous polynomials of degree $n$ over $A$. Suppose that $n!$ is invertible in $A$. We define the perfect pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n : A[X,Y]_n \times A[X,Y]_n \rightarrow A$ by

$$\langle X^iY^n-i, X^jY^{n-j} \rangle_n = \begin{cases} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i}^{-1}, & (i + j = n), \\ 0, & (i + j \neq n). \end{cases}$$

Denote by $u^\vee$ the dual of $u \in A[X,Y]_n$ with respect the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$. For $\lambda = (n + b, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we put $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(A) = A[X,Y]_n$ and let $\rho_\lambda : GL_2(A) \rightarrow Aut\mathcal{L}_\lambda(A)$ be the representation given by

$$\rho_\lambda(g)P(X,Y) = P((X,Y)g) \cdot (\det g)^b.$$

Then $(\rho_\lambda, \mathcal{L}_\lambda(A))$ is the algebraic representation of $GL_2(A)$ with the highest weight $\lambda$. Moreover, it is well-known that the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n$ on $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(A)$ satisfies

$$\langle \rho_\lambda(g)v, \rho_\lambda(g)w \rangle_n = (\det g)^{n+2b} \cdot \langle v, w \rangle_n, \quad (g \in GL_2(A)).$$

For each non-negative integer $k$, we put

$$(\tau_k, W_k(A)) := (\rho_{(k,-k)}, A[X,Y]_k).$$

Then $(\tau_k, W_k(A))$ is an algebraic representation of $PGL_2(A) = GL_2(A)/A^\times$.

2.3. Automorphic forms on $GL_2$ over imaginary quadratic fields. We recall a definition and basic formulas of automorphic forms on $GL_2$ over imaginary quadratic fields according to [Hi94b]. Some of formulas are also found in [Na17].

Let $F$ be an imaginary quadratic field, $n$ a non-negative integer and $\mathfrak{M}$ an ideal of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$ of $F$. Put

$$U_0(\mathfrak{M}) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \delta_F^{-1} \\ c \delta_F & d \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c, d \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_F, ad - bc \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_F^\times, c \equiv 0 \mod \mathfrak{M} \right\}.$$ 

Let $\pi$ be a unitary irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(F_\lambda)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\pi$ has a cohomological weight $n + 2$, that is, the Langlands parameter $W_\pi \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of $\pi_\infty$ is given by

$$z \mapsto \left( \begin{array}{cc} (z/\overline{z})^{n+1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\overline{z}/z)^{n+1} \end{array} \right),$$

where $W_\pi$ is the Weil group of $\mathbb{C}$.

- There exists an ideal $\mathfrak{M}$ of $\mathcal{O}_F$ such that $\pi$ has a $U_0(\mathfrak{M})$-fixed vector. Denote by $\mathfrak{N}$ the minimal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ among a set of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_F$ satisfying this property.

Recall that $\pi$ is realized as a subspace of a regular representation of moderate growth smooth functions $f : GL_2(F_\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Hereafter we denote this realization by $\mathcal{A}_0(\pi)$.

We put

$$\mathcal{S}_{n+2}(U_0(\mathfrak{M})) = \bigoplus_\pi \text{Hom}_{SU_2(\mathbb{R})}(W_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})^\vee, \mathcal{A}_0(\pi)U_0(\mathfrak{M})).$$

We consider a moderate growth smooth function $f : GL_2(F_\lambda) \rightarrow W_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})$ as an element in $\mathcal{S}_{n+2}(U_0(\mathfrak{M}))$, if $f$ satisfies the following condition:

- Let $D, D_c$ be the Casimir elements of the universal enveloping algebra of $\text{Lie}(GL_2(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}$, which is defined in [Hi94b] Section 2.3. Then, we have $Df = D_c f = (\frac{n^2}{2} + n)f$.

- For each $\gamma \in GL_2(F), g \in GL_2(F_\lambda)$ and $u_\infty \in SU_2(\mathbb{R}), u \in U_0(\mathfrak{M})$, we have

$$f(\gamma gu_\infty u) = \rho_{(2n+2,0)}(u_\infty^{-1})f(g).$$
For each $g \in \text{GL}_2(F_A)$ and each unipotent radical $U(F_A)$ of any proper parabolic subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(F_A)$, we have

$$
\int_{U(F)\backslash U(F_A)} f(ug)dx = 0,
$$

where $dx$ is a Haar measure on $U(F_A)$.

We call an element of $A_0(\pi)$ (resp. $S_{n+2}(U_0(\mathfrak{N}))$) a cuspidal automorphic (resp. cusp) form on $\text{GL}_2(F_A)$ of the cohomological weight $n+2$, of level $U_0(\mathfrak{N})$.

In this paper, we always assume the following three conditions on $\pi$:

- $n$ is even;
- The central character of $\pi$ is trivial;
- $\pi^c \not\sim \pi^e$, that is, $\pi$ is not conjugate self-dual.

We recall some basic properties on the Whittaker model of $\pi$. For a cusp form $f \in S_{n+2}(U_0(\mathfrak{N}))$, denote by $\pi$ the automorphic representation which is generated by $f$. Let $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_F) \cong \otimes_w^\prime \mathcal{W}(\pi_w, \psi_{F,w})$ be the Whittaker model of $\pi$. We note that the Whittaker function $W_f : \text{GL}_2(F_A) \to \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})$ for $f$ is defined to be

$$
W_f(g) = \int_{[F]} f \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right) \psi_F(-x)dx,
$$

where $dx$ is the self-dual Haar measure with respect to $\psi_F$.

We denote by $K_s(z)$ the Bessel function of the second kind which is defined to be

$$
K_s(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \exp \left( -\frac{z}{2} \left( t + \frac{1}{t} \right) \right) t^{s-1}dt, \quad (\text{Re}(z) > 0, s \in \mathbb{C}).
$$

Define the element $W_{f,\infty} : \text{GL}_2(F_A) \to \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{W}(\pi_\infty, \psi_{F,\infty})$ so that

$$
W_{f,\infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 2^4 \times \sum_{j=n-1}^{n+1} \sqrt{-1}^j K_j(4\pi t)(X^{n+1}Y^{n+1-j})^\vee
$$

for $0 < t \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that if we fix a Haar $d^\times t_\infty$ on $F_\infty^\times = \mathbb{C}^\times$ so that

$$
d^\times t_\infty = \frac{d\!r\!d\!\theta}{2\pi}, \quad (t_\infty = r e^{\pi i \theta}),
$$

then we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{C}^\times} W_{f,\infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t_\infty & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) |t_\infty|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} d^\times t_\infty = \Gamma_C \left( s + \frac{n+1}{2} \right)^2 (X^{n+1}Y^{n+1})^\vee
= L(s, \pi_\infty)(X^{n+1}Y^{n+1})^\vee.
$$

For each finite place $w$ of $F$, we also fix a Haar measure $d^\times t_w$ on $F_w^\times$ so that $\text{vol}(\mathcal{O}_{F,w}^\times, d^\times t_w) = q_w^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{ord}_w(\delta_F, w)}$. We fix the element $W_{f,w}$ in $\mathcal{W}(\pi_w, \psi_{F,w})$ so that

$$
\int_{F_w^\times} W_{f,w} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t_w & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) |t_w|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} d^\times t_w = q_w^{-(s-\frac{1}{2})\text{ord}_w(\delta_F)} L(s, \pi_w).
$$

The multiplicity one theorem on the Whittaker model of $\pi_w$ shows that we can normalize $f$ so that the following identity holds:

$$
W_f = \prod_w W_{f,w}.
$$
2.4. Siegel modular forms on $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$. Let $\text{GSp}_4$ be the algebraic group defined by

$$G := \text{GSp}_4 = \left\{ g \in \text{GL}_4 \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix}, g = \nu(g) \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

with the similitude character $\nu : \text{GSp}_4 \to \mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\mathfrak{o}_2$ be the Siegel upper half place of degree 2. Define the automorphy factor $J : \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})^+ \times \mathfrak{o}_2 \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$J(g, Z) = CZ + D, \quad \left( g \in \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Put $i := \sqrt{-1} \cdot 1_2 \in \mathfrak{o}_2$. Let $K_\infty$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ which is defined by

$$K_\infty = \left\{ g \in \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R}) \mid g^t g = 1_2 \right\}.$$

Define $U_0^{(2)}(N)$ to be a compact subgroup of $\text{GSp}_4(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ ($1 \leq N \in \mathbb{Z}$) which is defined by

$$U_0^{(2)}(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GSp}_4(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid C \equiv 0_2 \text{ mod } N\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.$$

Write $\Gamma_0^{(2)}(N) = U_0^{(2)}(N) \cap \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Q}^\times \mathbb{A}^+ \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a Hecke character of $\mathbb{A}^\times$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$. Then a holomorphic Siegel cusp form $\mathcal{F} : \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\mathbb{C})$ of genus 2 is said to be weight $\lambda$, level $U_0^{(2)}(N)$, and type $\chi$ with trivial central character if $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{F}(\gamma g k_\infty u_{\text{fin}} z) = \rho_\lambda(J(k_\infty, i)^{-1}) \mathcal{F}(g)(\det D),$$

$$\left( \gamma \in \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}), g \in \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}), k_\infty \in K_\infty, u_{\text{fin}} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in U_0^{(2)}(N), z \in \mathbb{A}^\times \right).$$

Let $U$ be a unipotent subgroup of $G$ defined by

$$U = \left\{ u(X) := \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & X \\ 0_2 & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid X = ^t X \right\}.$$

Let $S_2(\mathbb{Q})$ be the set of symmetric matrices in $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. For each $S \in S_2(\mathbb{Q})$, let $\psi_S : U_\mathbb{Q} \backslash U_\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the additive character defined by $\psi_S(u(X)) = \psi_\mathbb{Q}(\text{Tr}(-SX))$. Define the adelic $S$-th Fourier coefficient $W_{\mathcal{F}, S} : \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ to be

$$W_{\mathcal{F}, S}(g) = \int_{U_\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{F}(ug) \psi_S(u) \, du,$$

where $du$ is the Haar measure such that $\text{vol}(U(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash U(\mathbb{A}), du) = 1$. Then, we have the Fourier expansion

$$\mathcal{F}(g) = \sum_{S \in S_2(\mathbb{Q})} W_{\mathcal{F}, S}(g).$$

Recall the following well-known formula for later use:

$$W_{\mathcal{F}, S} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & \nu^\lambda \gamma^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g \right) = W_{\mathcal{F}, \nu^{-1}\gamma S\gamma}(g),$$

where $\gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$

3. Automorphic forms on orthogonal groups

In this section, we describe automorphic forms on $\text{GO}_{3,1}(\mathbb{A})$ in terms of automorphic forms on $\text{GL}_2(F_\mathbb{A})$. We firstly fix a realization of orthogonal space of signature $(3, 1)$ and $\text{GO}_{3,1}$ in Section 3.1. In Section 3.2 we recall a relation between automorphic representations $\text{GSO}_{3,1}$ and $\text{GO}_{3,1}$. This relation will clarify the representation theoretic aspects of automorphic forms on $\text{GO}_{3,1}(\mathbb{A})$ which will be provided in Section 3.3 according to a description in [HST93]. The minimal $K$-type of automorphic representations of $\text{GO}_{3,1}(\mathbb{A})$ is described in Section 3.4 which will be used to study the minimal $K$-type of HST lifts in Lemma 4.4.
3.1. Orthogonal groups. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\Delta_F})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with the absolute discriminant $\Delta_F > 0$. Let $c$ be the generator of $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. We sometimes write $x^c = \bar{x}$ for $x \in F$ and extend it to $M_2(F_A)$. Let $\star : M_2(F_A) \to M_2(F_A)$ be the involution defined by
\[
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^\star = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Define the quadratic space $(V, n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ to be
\[
V = \{ x \in M_2(F) | \bar{x}^* = x \}
\]
and $n : V \to \mathbb{Q}, x \mapsto n(x) := \det(x)$. Define $\varphi : \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \text{GL}_2/F \times \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0 := \text{GSO}(V)$ to be the isomorphism given by
\[
(h, \alpha) \mapsto \varphi(h, \alpha) z = \alpha^{-1} h \bar{z} h^c.
\]
Let $t \in \text{GO}(V)$ be the element given by the action
\[
(3.1) \quad t \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & \pi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\pi & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then, we have
\[
H := \text{GO}(V) = \text{GSO}(V) \rtimes \{1, t\}, \quad \text{tht} = h^c.
\]

3.2. Automorphic representations of GSO and GO. According to [HST93 Section 1], [Tak11 Section 6.1] (see also [HN18 Section 5.1]), we briefly recall a description of automorphic representations of $H^0 = \text{GSO}(V)$ and $H = \text{GO}(V)$.

Let $\pi$ be a unitary irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(F_A)$ with the trivial central character and $\mathbf{1}$ the trivial character of $A^\times$. Suppose that $\pi$ is not conjugate self-dual. Since we have $H^0(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{GL}_2(F) \times F^\times \mathbb{Q}^\times$, a representation $\sigma := \pi \otimes \mathbf{1}$ gives an irreducible automorphic representation of $H^0(A)$. Decompose $\sigma \cong \otimes_v \sigma_v$. Note that $\sigma_v \cong \pi_v \otimes \mathbf{1}$ and that $\sigma_v$ is realized in the same representation space with $\pi_v$. For each finite place $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, $\varrho(U_0(\mathbb{R})_v)$-invariant subspace of $\sigma_v$ is one dimensional by the theory of newform for $\pi$.

We extend $\sigma = \otimes_v \sigma_v$ to an irreducible automorphic representation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $H(A)$ as follows. Let $\sigma_v^\pm := \text{Ind}^{H^0(\mathbb{Q})}_{H^0(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \sigma_v$ be the induced representation. Denote by $V_v$ a representation space of $\pi_v$, and we consider $V_v$ as a representation space of $\sigma_v$. We find that the representation space $V_v^\pm$ of $\sigma_v^\pm$ is $V_v^\pm$, where $H(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ acts as follows
\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_v^\pm(h)(x, y) &= (\pi_v(h) x, \pi_v(h^c) y) \text{ for } h \in H^0(\mathbb{Q}_v) ; \\
\sigma_v^\pm(\tau_v)(x, y) &= (y, x) \text{ for } x, y \in V_v.
\end{align*}
\]
for $x, y \in V_v$. Let $\delta : \Sigma_Q \to \{\pm1\}$ be a map such that $\delta(v) = 1$ for all but finitely many $v \in \Sigma_Q$ and $v \notin \Sigma_Q$ such that $\pi_v \not\cong \pi_v^c$. For the convenience, we sometimes write $\delta(v) = +$ (resp. $-$) to denote $\delta(v) = 1$ (resp. $-1$). Denote by $\mathfrak{S} \subset \Sigma_Q$ the subset such that $\pi_v \cong \pi_v^c$, where $\pi_v^c$ is the conjugate of $\pi_v$. Note that $\infty \in \mathfrak{S}$. For $v \in \mathfrak{S}$, there exists an involution $\xi_v : \pi_v \to \pi_v^c$ such that $\xi_v \circ \sigma_v(h) = \sigma_v(h^c) \circ \xi_v$ for each $h \in H^0(\mathbb{Q}_v)$. We define irreducible representations $\tilde{\sigma}_v$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_v^\pm$ of $H(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{If } v \notin \mathfrak{S}, \text{ then define } \tilde{\sigma}_v \text{ to be } \sigma_v^\pm. \text{ Denote by } \tilde{V}_v = V_v^\pm. \\
&\text{If } v \in \mathfrak{S}, \text{ then define } \tilde{\sigma}_v^{\delta(v)} \text{ to be the representation whose representation space is given by } \\
&\quad \tilde{V}_v^{\delta(v)} = \{(x, \delta(v) \xi_v x) : x \in V_v \}.
\end{align*}
\]
Then, $\tilde{\sigma}_v^{\delta(v)}$ is an irreducible representation.

Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ to be the automorphic representation $\text{Ind}^{H(\mathbb{A})}_{H^0(\mathbb{A})} \sigma$ of $H(\mathbb{A})$. Then, assuming that $\pi$ is not conjugate self-dual, it is known that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is written as (Tak11 Proposition 6.2)
\[
\tilde{\sigma} = \bigoplus_{\delta} \bigotimes_{v \in \mathfrak{S}} \tilde{\sigma}_v^{\delta(v)} \bigotimes_{v \notin \mathfrak{S}} \sigma_v.
We define $\tilde{\sigma}$ to be

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}_- \bigotimes_{v \in \mathcal{S}} \tilde{\sigma}_v^+ \bigotimes_{v \notin \mathcal{S}} \tilde{\sigma}_v.$$ 

**Remark 3.1.** Denote by $\tilde{\sigma}^+$ an extension of $\sigma$ to an irreducible automorphic representation of $H_n(A)$ so that $\tilde{\sigma}_- = \tilde{\sigma}_\infty^+$. Then, [HST93, Corollary 3] shows that the image of each automorphic form in $\tilde{\sigma}^+$ by the theta correspondence for $(GO(V), GSp_4)$ cannot be holomorphic. Since we are interested in a construction of holomorphic Siegel modular forms, we concentrate to study the extension $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$ so that $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty = \tilde{\sigma}_\infty^-$ as above. In other words, hereafter we always assume that

$$\delta(\infty) = -1; \quad \delta(v) = 1 \quad (v < \infty).$$

However, we usually write $\delta(v)$ for each $v \in \Sigma_Q$ to emphasize the dependence of $\delta$.

### 3.3. Automorphic forms on $GO$

Let $f : GL_2(F_A) \to \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(C)$ be a normalized newform of weight $n+2$ on $GL_2(F_A)$ and $\pi$ the automorphic representation of $GL_2(F_A)$ generated by $f$. In this subsection, we extend $f$ to an automorphic forms $\tilde{f}$ on $H(A) = GO(V_A)$ according to [HST93, Section 1, Section 4]. We will define $\tilde{f}$ so that whose associated automorphic representation is $\tilde{\sigma}$, which is introduced in Section 3.2. Denote by $1$ to be the trivial character on $A^\times$. We define $f = f \boxtimes 1 : H^0(A) = GSO(V_A) \to \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(C)$ for $f \in S_{n+2}(U_0(\mathcal{R})).$ Since we assumed that the central character of $\pi$ is trivial, $f$ is well-defined and $f$ is an automorphic form on $H^0(A)$. Put

$$\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{R} = \prod_v \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{R}, v} = \left\{ \varrho(g, \alpha) \in H^0(A_{fin}) \mid g \in U_0(\mathcal{R}), \alpha \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \right\}.$$ 

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_n(H^0, \mathcal{U}_\mathcal{R})$ the space of $\mathcal{C}$-linear combinations of $f = f \boxtimes 1$ for $f \in S_{n+2}(U_0(\mathcal{R})).$

Denote by $\delta$ the function on the set $\Sigma_Q$ of places of $Q$ as in Remark 3.1. Denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the conductor of $\pi$ and we define $\Sigma_{ram}$ to be the set of places of $Q$ dividing $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathbb{Z}$, the infinite place of $Q$ and ramified places in $F/Q$. Let $W_f$ be the Whittaker function of $f$ and $\Sigma_1 = \mathcal{S} \cap \Sigma_{ram}$. We define $W_f(g, \alpha) = W_f(g)$ for $g, \alpha \in GSO(V)(A)$. For $\mathcal{R} \subset \Sigma_Q$, put $\delta_\mathcal{R} := \prod_{v \in \mathcal{R}} \delta(v)$ and

$$W_{f, \mathcal{R}}(h) := \begin{cases} \delta_\mathcal{R} W_f(h^{\mathcal{R}}), & (\mathcal{R} \subset \Sigma_1), \\ 0, & (\mathcal{R} \notin \Sigma_1), \end{cases}$$

where $h^{\mathcal{R}} = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{R}} h_v \prod_{v \notin \mathcal{S}_0} h_v^{\mathcal{R}, \infty}$. Define $f_\mathcal{R} : H^0(A) \to \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(C)$ to be the automorphic form on $H^0(A)$ which corresponds to a Whittaker function $W_{f, \mathcal{R}}$:

$$f_\mathcal{R}(h) = \sum_{\xi \in F^x} W_{f, \mathcal{R}} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} h \right).$$

Recall that the symmetric difference $A \triangle B$ of sets $A$ and $B$ is defined to be $(A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$. Then we define $\tilde{f} : H(A) \to \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(C)^\oplus 2$ by

$$\tilde{f}(ht_{\mathcal{R}}) = (f_{\mathcal{R}}(h) + f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}}(h^c), f_{\mathcal{R}' \setminus \infty}(h) + f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}' \setminus \infty}(h^c), f_{\mathcal{R} \cap \infty}(h) + f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus \mathcal{R} \cap \infty}(h^c)).$$

where $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R} \setminus \Sigma_1$. The following proposition is checked in the straightforward way:

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $h \in H^0(A), \mathcal{R} \subset \Sigma_Q$. Then, we have the following statements:

(i) $\tilde{f}(ht_{\mathcal{R}}) = \tilde{f}(ht_{\mathcal{R}})$.

(ii) For $u \in SU_2(R), f(ht_{\mathcal{R}} u) = (\tau_{2n+2}(u^{-1}), \tau_{2n+2}(u^{-1})) \tilde{f}(ht_{\mathcal{R}})$.

(iii) $\tilde{f}(ht_{\mathcal{R} \setminus \infty}) = (f_{\mathcal{R} \setminus \infty}(h) + f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus \mathcal{R} \setminus \infty}(h^c), f_{\mathcal{R}}(h) + f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus \mathcal{R}}(h^c))$.

(iv) For $v \in \Sigma_Q \setminus \Sigma_1, f(ht_{\mathcal{R}} t_v) = \tilde{f}(ht_{\mathcal{R}})$.
Proposition 3.2 shows that $\tilde{f}$ gives an automorphic forms on $H(\mathbb{A})$ and the automorphic representation which is associated with $\tilde{f}$ is $\overline{\sigma}$.

For each finite place $w$ of $F$ dividing $\mathfrak{N}$, let

$$n_w = \text{ord}_w(\mathfrak{N}); \quad \eta_{n_w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{F,w}^{-1} \\ -\varpi_w^{n_w} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Since the central character of $\pi$ is trivial, we have the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue $\varepsilon(\pi_w)$ of $f$:

$$f(h\eta_{n_w}) = \varepsilon(\pi_w)f(h), \quad (h \in H^0(\mathbb{A})).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\text{prime factors } p \text{ of } N \mid p \nmid \mathfrak{N}\}.$$  

To make the explicit inner product formula and the Bessel periods formula concise, we need the level raising operator $\mathcal{Y}_p$, which is defined as follows. (See also [HN13, Section 4.4] for the definition of $\mathcal{Y}_p$.) For each $p = uv \in \mathfrak{N}$ with $u \nmid \mathfrak{N}$ and $v \mid \mathfrak{N}$, let

$$\eta_{n,w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{F,w}^{-1} \\ -\varpi_w^{n_w} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (n_w = \text{ord}_w(\mathfrak{N})).$$

By using the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue $\varepsilon(\pi_v)$ of $\pi_v$, define

$$\mathcal{Y}_p(\tilde{f})(h) = \tilde{f}(h) + \varepsilon(\pi_v)\tilde{f}(h\eta_{n,w}).$$

Then we define $\mathcal{P}$-stabilized newform $\tilde{f}^\dagger$ to be

$$\tilde{f}^\dagger = \mathcal{Y}_p(\tilde{f}); \quad \mathcal{Y}_p = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{Y}_p.$$  

3.4. Minimal $K$-type. In this subsection, we describe the minimal $K$-type of $\overline{\sigma}_\infty$. This will be necessary to compute the minimal $K$-type of an image of a theta correspondence from $\overline{\sigma}_\infty$ in Lemma 3.3. We start with some basic properties of $\pi_\infty$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $W(\pi_\infty, \psi_{F,\infty})$ be the Whittaker model of $\pi_\infty$ and $W^j_{\pi,\infty}$ an element of $W(\pi_\infty, \psi_{F,\infty})$ which is characterized by the following identity:

$$W^j_{\pi,\infty} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = 2^4\sqrt{-1}^j t^{n+2} K_j(4\pi t)(X^{n+1+j}Y^{n+1-j})^\nu.$$  

Let

$$W_{\pi,\infty}(g) := W_{\pi,\infty}(g; (X,Y)) = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} W^j_{\pi,\infty}(g).$$

Then, $\{W^j_{\pi,\infty}: j = -n-1, \ldots, n+1\}$ is a pair of basis of the minimal $SU_2(\mathbb{R})$-type of $W(\pi_\infty, \psi_{F,\infty})$ and $W_{\pi,\infty} \in W(\pi_\infty, \psi_{F,\infty})^{SU_2(\mathbb{R})}$. In particular, the following map $\iota_\infty : W_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[X,Y]_{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\infty$ is $SU_2(\mathbb{R})$-equivariant

$$\iota_\infty(u) = \langle W_{\pi,\infty}, u \rangle_{\mathcal{W}}$$

where $W_{\sigma,\infty} : H^0_\mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$W_{\sigma,\infty}(g(\sigma, \alpha)) = W_{\pi,\infty}(g), \quad (g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}), \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\times).$$

Proof. These properties of the Whittaker model of $\pi_\infty$ can be found in [HN13, Section 6].

We sometimes abbreviate $W_{\pi,\infty}(g(\alpha))$ to $W_{\pi,\infty}(g)$. Let $W(\sigma_\infty, \psi_{F,\infty})$ be the regular representation of $H^0(\mathbb{R})$ which is spanned by $W_{\pi,\infty}$. Fix $\xi_\infty : W(\sigma_\infty, \psi_{F,\infty}) \rightarrow W(\sigma_\infty, \psi_{F,\infty})$ by

$$\xi_\infty W_{\sigma,\infty}(h) = W_{\sigma,\infty}(h^c).$$

The following lemma is useful to describe the minimal $K$-type of $\overline{\sigma}_\infty$ in an explicit manner.
Lemma 3.4. We have

\[ \xi_{\infty} W_{\sigma, \infty} = (-1)^{n+1} \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) W_{\sigma, \infty}. \]

Proof. Let \( W^j_{\sigma, \infty} \) be the element in Lemma 3.3. Then, as explained in Lemma 3.3 \( \{ W^j_{\sigma, \infty} : j = -n - 1, \ldots, n + 1 \} \) gives a pair of basis of the minimal \( SU_2(\mathbb{R}) \)-type of \( \mathcal{V}_\infty \). Then the action of \( \xi_{\infty} \) on \( W^j_{\sigma, \infty} \) is given explicitly as follows:

\[ \xi_{\infty} W^j_{\sigma, \infty}(h) = W^j_{\sigma, \infty}(h^\vee). \]

Let \( w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Since \( W_{\sigma, \infty}(hw_0; (X, Y)) = W_{\sigma, \infty}(h; (X, Y)w_0^{-1}) \), we find that

\begin{equation}
W^j_{\sigma, \infty}(hw_0) = (-1)^{n+1+j} W_{\sigma, \infty}(h).
\end{equation}

By the basic property of the Bessel function \( K_s(z) \) ([MOS66 page 67]) and the definition of \( W^j_{\sigma, \infty} \), we also find that

\begin{equation}
(-1)^j W_{\sigma, \infty}^{-j} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = W_{\sigma, \infty}^j \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).
\end{equation}

Consider the Iwasawa decomposition

\[ h = a \begin{pmatrix} t & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} u, \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}^\times, t \in \mathbb{R}^\times, x \in \mathbb{C}, u \in SU_2(\mathbb{R})). \]

Then, we find the following two identities:

\[ \xi_{\infty} W_{\sigma, \infty}(h) = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} \xi_{\infty} W^j_{\sigma, \infty}(h) u_j^\vee = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} W^j_{\sigma, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{u} \right) u_j^\vee = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} \psi_{F, \infty}(x) W^j_{\sigma, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \tau_{2n+2}(\bar{w}^{-1}) u_j^\vee, \]

\[ W_{\sigma, \infty}(hw_0) = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} W^j_{\sigma, \infty}(hw_0) u_j^\vee = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} W^j_{\sigma, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \tilde{u} \right) u_j^\vee = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} \psi_{F, \infty}(x) W^j_{\sigma, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \right) \tau_{2n+2}(\bar{w}^{-1}) u_j^\vee = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} \psi_{F, \infty}(x)(-1)^{n+1+j} W_{\sigma, \infty}^{-j} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \tau_{2n+2}(\bar{w}^{-1}) u_j^\vee. \]

By comparing these two, we obtain

\[ \xi_{\infty} W^j_{\sigma, \infty}(h) = (-1)^{n+1} W^j_{\sigma, \infty}(hw_0). \]

This proves the lemma. \( \square \)
Let \( w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). We define a representation \((\tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}, \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C))\) of \( SU_2(R) \times \{1, t\} \) as follows:

\[
\tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C) = \{ (u, (-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) u) \in W_{2n+2}(C) \}_{\geq 2} | u \in W_{2n+2}(C) \},
\]

\[
\tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}(h)(u, (-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) u) = (\tau_{2n+2}(g) u, (-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(g^f) \tau(w_0) u)
\]

\[
= (\tau_{2n+2}(g) u, (-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau(w_0) \tau_{2n+2}(g) u),
\]

\[
(h = \varrho(g, 1) \in H^0(R), g \in SU_2(R)),
\]

\[
\tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}(\infty)(u, (-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) u) = ((-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) u, u).
\]

Since the above formula implies

\[
\tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}(\infty) \tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}(\varrho(g, 1)) = \tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}(\varrho(g^f, 1)) \tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}(\infty),
\]

\((\tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}, \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C))\) is well-defined. By Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 the regular representation of \( SU_2(R) \times \{1, t\} \) which is generated by \( \tilde{f} \) is isomorphic to \((\tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}, \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C))\). Hence we consider \( \tilde{f} \) as a \( \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C) \)-valued function \( \tilde{f} : H(A) \rightarrow \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C) \). Denote by \( M_{2n+2}(H, \Omega) \) the \( C \)-span of automorphic forms \( \tilde{f} \) which are defined as above.

It is also convenient to realize \( \tilde{\varphi} \) (resp. \( \sigma \)) as a space of moderate growth smooth functions \( H(A) \rightarrow C \) (resp. \( H^0(A) \rightarrow C \)), which we denote by \( A(\tilde{\varphi}) \) (resp. \( A(\sigma) \)). W briefly explain a relation between this realization and the space of automorphic forms \( \tilde{f} : H(A) \rightarrow \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C) \) which are defined as above. Define \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{W}} : (W_{2n+2}(C) \otimes \mathbb{C})^2 \rightarrow C \) to be the pairing given by

\[
\langle (u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \rangle_{\tilde{W}} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_{2n+2} + \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{2n+2}.
\]

We denote the restriction of \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{W}} \) to \( \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C) \) by the same notation. Then the pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\tilde{W}} \) on \( \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C)^2 \) is \( SU_2(R) \times \{1, t\} \)-equivariant. Let \( \tilde{u} = (u, (-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau(w_0) u) \in \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C) \) for \( u \in W_{2n+2}(C) \). Then, the embedding

\[
\tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C) \rightarrow \langle \tilde{\varphi}(h) \tilde{f} : h \in H(A) \rangle; \tilde{u} \mapsto \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{u} \rangle_{\tilde{W}}
\]

is \( SU_2(R) \times \{1, t\} \)-equivariant by Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.4. Hence \( A(\tilde{\varphi}) \) is the regular representation of \( H(A) \) which is generated by \( \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{u} \rangle_{\tilde{W}} \) where \( \tilde{f} : H(A) \rightarrow \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C) \) is as above and \( u \in W_{2n+2}(C) \).

4. HST LIFTS

In this section, we give an explicit construction of HST lifts by fixing a distinguished Bruhat-Schwartz function \( \tilde{\varphi} \). Firstly, we recall the Schrödinger realization of the Weil representation in Section 4.1 and the definition of theta series in Section 4.2. The definition of \( \tilde{\varphi} \) and basic properties of HST lifts are given in Section 4.3. The Fourier expansion of HST lifts is given in adelic language in Section 4.4 which will be studied in classical language in Section 4.5 after we provide an explicit Bessel periods formula for HST lifts.

4.1. Weil representation on \( O(V) \times Sp_4 \). Let \( (V, n) \) be a four dimensional quadratic space over the rational number field \( Q \) and let \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow Q \) be the bilinear form defined by \( (x, y) = n(x+y) - n(x) - n(y) \). Denote by \( GO(V) \) the orthogonal similitude group with the similitude character \( \nu : GO(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \). Let \( X = V \oplus V \). For each \( x = (x_1, x_2) \in X \), we put

\[
S_x = \begin{pmatrix} n(x_1) & \frac{1}{2}(x_1, x_2) \\ \frac{1}{2}(x_1, x_2) & n(x_2) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let \( v \) be a place of \( Q \) and \( | \cdot |_v \) be the normalized absolute value on \( Q_v \). Let \( V_v = V \otimes Q_v \) and \( X_v = X \otimes Q_v \). We denote by \( S(X_v) \) the space of \( C \)-valued Bruhat-Schwartz functions on \( X_v \). Define \( S_x \) for \( x = (x_1, x_2) \in X_v = V_v \oplus V_v \) in the same way with (4.1).
Let $\chi_{V_v} : \mathbb{Q}_v^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be the quadratic character attached to $V_v$. We recall the Schrödinger realization of the Weil representation $\omega_{V_v} : \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_v) \to \text{Aut}_\mathbb{C} \mathcal{S}(X_v)$ according to [Co03 Section 4.2]. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X_v)$, we have
\begin{align}
\omega_{V_v} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) &= \chi_{V_v}(\det a) \det a_v^2 \cdot \varphi(xa), \\
\omega_{V_v} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & b \\ 0_2 & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) &= \psi_{Q,v}(\text{Tr}(S_xb)) \cdot \varphi(x), \\
\omega_{V_v} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0_2 & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi(x) &= \gamma_{V_v}^{-2} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(x),
\end{align}
where $\gamma_{V_v}$ is the Weil index of $V_v$, and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of $\varphi$ with respect to the self-dual Haar measure $d\mu$ on $V_v \oplus V_v$:
\[
\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \int_{X_v} \varphi(y) \psi_{Q,v}((x,y)) d\mu(y).
\]
The Weil representation $\omega_v : R(\text{GO}(V_v) \times G\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_v)) \to \text{Aut}_\mathbb{C} \mathcal{S}(X_v)$ is given by
\[
\omega_v(h,g) \varphi(x) = |\nu(h)|^{-2} (\omega_v(g_1) \varphi)(h^{-1}x), \quad (g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & \nu(g)^{-1} 1_2 \end{pmatrix} g).
\]
Let $\mathcal{S}(X_A) = \otimes_v \mathcal{S}(X_v)$. We define $\omega_V = \otimes_v \omega_{V_v} : \text{Sp}_4(A) \to \text{Aut}_\mathbb{C} \mathcal{S}(X_A)$ and $\omega = \otimes_v \omega_v : R(\text{GO}(V)_A \times G\text{Sp}_4(A)) \to \text{Aut}_\mathbb{C} \mathcal{S}(X_A)$.

**Remark 4.1.** In this paper, we always take $V$ to be $\{ x \in M_2(F) : \overline{\text{tr}}_v = x \}$ which is introduced in Section 3.1. We define $\nu(x) = \det(x)$ for $x \in V$. Then, the quadratic space $(V, \nu)$ has the signature $(3,1)$. In this case, the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is given by the following formula:
\[
(x,y) = \text{Tr}(xy^*).
\]

**4.2. Theta lifts of Harris-Soudry-Taylor.** We put $\lambda = (n + 2, 2)$. Denote by $L_\lambda(C)$ the algebraic representation of $\text{GL}_2(C)$ of the highest weight $\lambda$ which is introduced in Section 3.1. Let $V$ be the four dimensional orthogonal space which was introduced in Section 3.1 and $\tilde{f}$ an extension of $f$ which was introduced in [HST93]. We will choose a distinguished Bruhat-Schwartz function $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(X_A) \otimes \overline{W}_{2n+2}(C)^\vee \otimes L_\lambda(C)$ in the next subsection, and consider the theta kernel $\theta(h, g; \tilde{\varphi})$:
\[
\theta(h, g; \tilde{\varphi}) = \sum_{x \in X} \omega(h, g) \tilde{\varphi}(x).
\]
Define the theta lift $\theta(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{f}) : G\text{Sp}_4(A) \to L_\lambda(C)$ to be
\[
\theta(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{f})(g) = \theta(g; \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{f}) = \int_{[O(V)]} \theta(g, hh_1; \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{f}(hh_1)) \delta_V dhh, \quad (\nu(h_1) = \nu(g)),
\]
where $d\mu$ is the Tamagawa measure on $H_1(A) := O(V)(A)$. We call $\theta(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{f})$ HST lifts, since it was studied closely in [HST93].

**4.3. The choice of test functions.** We introduce our choice of the distinguished test function $\tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}_\infty \otimes_{v < \infty} \varphi_v : V^{\otimes 2}_A \to \overline{W}_{2n+2}(C)^\vee \otimes L_\lambda(C)$ and we prove basic properties of $\tilde{\varphi}$ in Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4.

Let $N$ be a positive integer such that $N \mathbb{Z} = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathfrak{d}_F$ be the different of $F/Q$ and let $\Delta_F = N\mathfrak{d}_F/Q(\mathfrak{d}_F)$ be the discriminant of $F$. For each finite place $v \in \Sigma_Q$, we let
\[
V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ c \mathfrak{d}_F \\ a \end{array} \right) \middle| a \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v}, b \in \mathbb{Z}_v, c \in N\mathbb{Z}_v \right\}.
\]
Define a distinguished Bruhat-Schwartz function $\varphi_v$ for each finite place $v \in \Sigma_Q$ to be the characteristic function of $V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v})$ on $V_v^{\otimes 2}$. 
Lemma 4.2. Let \( v \in \Sigma_Q \) be a finite place. Denote by \( N_F \) the least common multiple l.c.m.(\( N, \Delta_F \)) of \( N \) and \( \Delta_F \). Then, for \( g = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in U_0^2(\mathcal{N}_F) \cap \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \), we have

\[
\omega_{v,\phi}(g)\varphi_v = \chi_{v,\phi}(\text{det}(g))\varphi_v.
\]

Proof. Put \( V'((\mathcal{O}_{F,v})^\vee) = \{ x \in V_p | (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_v \) for all \( y \in V'((\mathcal{O}_{F,v})^\vee) \}. \) Let \( l_v \) be the non-negative integer such that \( p^{-l_v} \mathbb{Z}_v = n(x) \in V'((\mathcal{O}_{F,v})^\vee) \). Then, by [Yo84, Lemma 2.1], it is enough to prove that \( l_v = \text{ord}_v(N_F) \). Since it is easy to see that \( V'((\mathcal{O}_{F,v})^\vee) = V_v \cap \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} \partial_{F,v}^{-1} & N_{\Delta_F,v}^{-1} \\ \partial_{F,v} & \partial_{F,v}^{-1} \end{array} \right) \right\} \), the lemma follows immediately. \( \square \)

Now, we proceed to define an archimedean test function

\[
\tilde{\varphi}_\infty \in S(X_{\infty}) \otimes \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C).
\]

We firstly prepare a Bruhat-Schwartz function \( \varphi_\infty \in S(X_{\infty}) \otimes C[X, Y]_{2n+2} \otimes C[X, Y]_n \). Let

\[
V^0 = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{array} \right) \in M_2(C) \right\}; \quad X_\infty = (V_\infty \cap V^0)^{\oplus 2}.
\]

Let \( p : V^0 \to C[X, Y]_2 \) be the isomorphism given by

\[
p \left( \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \end{array} \right) \right) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2.
\]

For each integer \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq n \), define \( P^\alpha : X_\infty \to C[X, Y]_{2n+2} \) by

\[
P^\alpha(x_1, x_2) = p \left( \frac{1}{2} (x_0w_0 + t^4(x_0w_0x_2) \right) p(x_1)^\alpha p(x_2)^{n-\alpha}, \quad (x_0 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right)).
\]

Explicitly, \( P^\alpha \) is written as follows:

\[
P^\alpha \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} z_1 & \sqrt{-1}t_1 \\ \sqrt{-1}z_1 & z_2 \end{array} \right) \right) = p \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1}(z_1t_2 - t_1z_2) \\ \frac{1}{2} (z_1z_2 - z_1z_2) \end{array} \right) \right) p \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} z_1 & \sqrt{-1}t_1 \\ \sqrt{-1}z_1 & z_2 \end{array} \right) \right)^{n-\alpha}.
\]

Lemma 4.3. \( P^\alpha \) is a pluri-harmonic polynomial.

Proof. It suffices to prove that \( P^\alpha \) is annihilated by the following three differential operators:

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1} + 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_1}, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2} + 2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial \bar{z}_2} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_2} + 4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial \bar{z}_2}.
\]

The computation is straightforward, hence we omit it. \( \square \)

We define \( \varphi_\infty^\alpha \in S(X_{\infty}) \otimes C[X, Y]_{2n+2} \) by

\[
\varphi_\infty^\alpha(x_1, x_2) = P^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} (x_1 + t^4x_1), \frac{1}{2} (x_2 + t^4x_2) \right) e^{-\pi \text{Tr}(x_1 \partial x_2 + x_2 \partial x_1)}.
\]

We also define \( \varphi_\infty \in S(X_{\infty}) \otimes C[X, Y]_{2n+2} \otimes C[X, Y]_n \) by

\[
(4.5) \quad \varphi_\infty(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \varphi_\infty^\alpha(x) \otimes \left( \begin{array}{cc} n! \\ \alpha \end{array} \right) X^{\alpha} Y^{n-\alpha}.
\]

Then, fix the archimedean test function \( \tilde{\varphi}_\infty \) as follows:

\[
\tilde{\varphi}_\infty = (\varphi_\infty, (-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) \varphi_\infty) \in S(X_{\infty}) \otimes \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C).
\]

The following lemma determines the minimal \( K \)-type of HST lifts, which is compatible with [HST93, Lemma 12].
Lemma 4.4. We embed $U_2(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ by $A + B \sqrt{-1} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$. Then, we have the following statements:

(i) For $(u, g) \in SU_2(\mathbb{R}) \times U_2(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\omega_\infty(u, g) \varphi_\infty = \rho_{(2n+2, 0)}(u^{-1}) \otimes \rho_{(n+2, 2)}(g) \varphi_\infty.$$ 

(ii) Assume that $n$ is even and $\delta(\infty) = -1$. We have

$$\omega_\infty(t, 1) \varphi_\infty = \tau_{2n+2}(t) \varphi_\infty = \tilde{\tau}_{2n+2}(w_0) \varphi_\infty.$$ 

Proof. We prove the statement (i). First, we prove that $\omega_\infty(u, 1) \varphi_\infty = \rho_{(2n+2, 0)}(u^{-1}) \varphi_\infty$ for $u \in SU_2(\mathbb{R})$. This is enough to prove the following identity:

$$P^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} x_1 (\bar{u}^*)^{-1} & -u^{-1} x_2 (\bar{u}^*)^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};(X, Y) = P^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & (X, Y)u^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(x_1, x_2) \in X^0_\infty$. Since $\bar{u}^* = u$ and $w_0^{-1} u w_0 = \bar{u}$, this is proved by the straightforward computation.

We prove that $\omega_\infty(1, g) \varphi_\infty = \rho_{(n+2, 2)}(g) \varphi_\infty$ for $g \in U_2(\mathbb{R})$. We put $g = A + \sqrt{-1} B$, where $A, B \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$. We may assume that $\det B \neq 0$. Then we find that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Fix an isomorphism $\iota : M_{2, 3}(\mathbb{R}) \to X^0_\infty$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \sqrt{-1} t_1 \\ \bar{z}_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} z_2 \\ \sqrt{-1} t_2 \\ \bar{z}_2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (z_i := x_i + \sqrt{-1} y_i(i = 1, 2)).$$

We also define $\iota'((s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2, 2}$ to be

$$\iota'(s_1, s_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{-1} s_1 \\ \sqrt{-1} s_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Then we write each $\bar{X}, \bar{Y} \in X^0_\infty$ as follows:

$$\bar{X} = \iota'(\xi_1, \xi_2) + \iota(X), \quad \bar{Y} = \iota'(\eta_1, \eta_2) + \iota(Y), \quad (\xi_1, \xi_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}, X, Y \in M_{2, 3}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Let $d\mu(y)$ be the self-dual measure on $X_\infty$ with respect to the Fourier transform:

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \int_{X_\infty} \varphi(y) \psi_{Q, \infty}((x, y)) d\mu(y), \quad (\varphi \in S(X_\infty)).$$

By using the definition of the bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $V^\otimes 2$ (see also (4.3)), we have

$$(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \text{Tr}(\bar{X}_1 \bar{Y}_1^* + \bar{X}_2 \bar{Y}_2^*) = -2(\xi_1 \eta_1 + \xi_2 \eta_2) + 2\text{Tr}('XY').$$

Hence the self-dual measure on $M_{2, 3}(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^{2, 2}$) which is induced by $d\mu(y)$ via $\iota$ is given by $2^3 dY$ (resp. $2 d\eta$) for $Y \in M_{2, 3}(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{2, 2}$).

Recall that $\gamma_{\bar{V}}^2$ is $-1$ (Kam93, Proposition A.10), (Lc05, Lemma A.1) and that

$$\text{Tr}(S_Y T) = - (\eta_1 \eta_2) T \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} + \text{Tr}('YTY'), \quad (T \in M_2(\mathbb{C})).$$

Then, the definition of the Schrödinger model (142) of the Weil representation $\omega_\bar{V}$ shows that

$$\omega_\bar{V} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -B^{-1} A \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \varphi_\infty(\bar{X})$$

$$= 2^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}'(Y (-B^{-1} A) Y')} e^{-2\pi \text{Tr}('YY')} e^{4\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}('XY')} \times 2^3 dY \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}('Y Y')} e^{-2\pi \text{Tr}('YY')} e^{-4\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}('XY')} \times 2 d\eta$$
Here we take a branch of $\det(1_n + \sqrt{-1}S)^{\frac{m}{2}}$ for $S = ^tS \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows. For $z = re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $r > 0$ and $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$, we define $z^{\frac{1}{2}} = r^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{\sqrt{-1}\frac{\theta}{2}}$. Since $S$ is a symmetric matrix, we find a $T \in O_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$^tT(1_n + \sqrt{-1}S)T = 1_n + \sqrt{-1}
\begin{pmatrix}
d_1 \\
\vdots \\
d_n
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $d_1, \ldots, d_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\det(1_n + \sqrt{-1}S)^{\frac{m}{2}} = \left( |\det T|^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \sqrt{-1}d_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^m.$$ 

Summarizing the above computation, we obtain

$$\omega_{\psi}(\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1_2 \\
-1_2 & 0_2
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1_2 & -B^{-1}A \\
0_2 & 1_2
\end{pmatrix}) \varphi_{\psi}^0(\overline{X})
= (-1) \times \det(1_2 + \sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-B^{-1}A}} \times P^\alpha(\iota(\sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-1}) \times \det(1_2 - \sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi_1,\xi_2)} \times \det^\alpha(\iota(-\sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-1})\varphi_{\psi}^0(\overline{X}).$$

Note that

$$\det(1_2 + \sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \det(1_2 - \sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
= \det(1_2 + \sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \det(1_2 - \sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
= \det((-\sqrt{-1}B^{-1}A)^{-1}A - \sqrt{-1}B)^{-1}
\times \{ \det(-\sqrt{-1}B^{-1}) \det(A - \sqrt{-1}B) \det(A + \sqrt{-1}B) \}^{-\frac{1}{2}}
= -B \det g \times |\det B|.$$
We also find that
\[ P^\alpha(i(-\sqrt{-1}X^2 - B^{-1}A)^{-1}X)) = P^\alpha(-i(X)^t(\sqrt{-1}X^2 - B^{-1}A)^{-1}) = P^\alpha(i(X)^tBg). \]

By using (3.2) again, \( \omega_V(g)\varphi_{\infty} \) is given as follows:
\[
\omega_V \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi_{\infty}^\omega(\tilde{X}) = vq_\infty(S\tilde{x}(AB^{-1}) \times \chi_{V_\infty}(\det B)| \det B|^{-\frac{t}{2}} \\
\times (-1) \times (- \det B | \det B | \det g) \\
\times e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{Tr}(i(B^{-1}X)(\sqrt{-1}X^2 - B^{-1}A)^{-1}B^{-1}X))P^\alpha(i(X)^tB^{-1}g)} \\
\times e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)B^{-1}(\sqrt{-1}X^2 + B^{-1}A)^{-1}B^{-1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}.
\]

In the straight forward way, we find that
\[
e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{Tr}(i(B^{-1}X)(\sqrt{-1}X^2 - B^{-1}A)^{-1}B^{-1}X)) \times e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)B^{-1}(\sqrt{-1}X^2 + B^{-1}A)^{-1}B^{-1}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}  \\
= e^{-\pi\text{Tr}(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) + \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2} \\
\times e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{Tr}(S\tilde{x}(B^{-1}A))}.
\]

Since \( AB^{-1} = tB^{-1}A \), we obtain
\[
\omega_V \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi_{\infty}^\omega(\tilde{X}) = \det g P^\alpha(i(X)g)e^{-\pi\text{Tr}(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2) + \tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2}.
\]

Define \( q(x_1, x_2), p'(x)(x_1, x_2, x \in V) \) to be
\[
q(x_1, x_2) = p \left( \frac{1}{8} ((x_1 + t_1x_0)(x_2 + t_2x_0) + t((x_1 + t_1x_0)(x_2 + t_2x_0))) \right),
\]
\[
p'(x) = p \left( \frac{1}{2}(x + t) \right).
\]

Note that \( \varphi_{\infty}^\omega(x_1, x_2) = q(x_1, x_2)p'(x_1)a(X_1) = e^{-\pi\text{Tr}(x_1, x_2)}h_2. \) Write \( g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U_2(\mathbb{R}). \) Then we find that
\[ q(ax_1 + cx_2, bx_1 + dx_2) = \det gq(x_1, x_2). \]
This proves that
\[ P^\alpha(Xg) = \det gq(X)(ap'(X_1) + cp'(X_1))a(X_2) + dp'(X_2))^{n-a}, \]
and hence we obtain
\[
\omega_V \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi_{\infty}^\omega(\tilde{X}) \\
= \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \det p^{2q}q(X)(ap'(X_1) + cp'(X_2))(b(a(X_1) + dp'(X_2))^{n-a}e^{-\pi\text{Tr}(X_1, X_2)} \otimes (\alpha) X_2^\text{Tr}X_2^\text{Tr}) \\
= \sum_{\alpha=0}^n P^\alpha(X)e^{-\pi\text{Tr}((x_1, x_2) + X_2, X_2)} \otimes (\alpha) X_2^{\otimes(n+2, 2)(Y) X^{\otimes(n-a)}}.
\]
This proves the first statement.

We prove the statement [31]. Put
\[ x = \left( \begin{pmatrix} z & \sqrt{-1}t \\ \sqrt{-1}t & z \end{pmatrix}, x_1, x_2 \in V_\infty \cap V^0; \quad p(x; (X, Y)) = zX^2 + 2\sqrt{-1}tXY + \overline{z}Y^2. \]

By the definition (3.1) of \( t \in H(\mathbb{R}), \) we find that
\[ p(tx; (X, Y)) = -zX^2 + 2\sqrt{-1}tXY - \overline{z}Y^2 = -p(x; (X, Y)w_0), \]
\[ p \left( \frac{1}{2}(tx_1x_0x_2 + t(tx_1w_0x_0x_2)); (X, Y) \right) = p \left( \frac{1}{2}(x_1w_0x_2 + t(x_1w_0x_2)); (X, Y)w_0 \right). \]
These identities and the definition of $P^n$ show that
\[
\omega_\infty(t,1)\varphi_\infty(x) = (-1)^n \tau_{2n+2}(w_0)\varphi_\infty(x).
\]

Since $n$ is even and $\delta(\infty) = -1$, the definition of $\tau_{2n+2}$ which is introduced in Section 3.3 yields that
\[
\omega_\infty(t,1)\varphi_\infty(x) = ((-1)^n \tau_{2n+2}(w_0)\varphi_\infty(x), (-1)^n \delta(\infty)\varphi_\infty(x))
\]
\[
= ((-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0)\varphi_\infty(x), \varphi_\infty(x))
\]
\[
= \tau_{2n+2}(w_0)\varphi_\infty(x).
\]

This shows the second statement. \hfill \Box

Hereafter, we always fix the Bruhat-Schwartz function $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi_\infty \otimes_{v < \infty} \varphi_v \in S(X_A) \otimes \overline{W}_{2n+2}(C)^\vee \otimes L_\lambda(C)$, where $\varphi_\infty$ and $\varphi_v$ are introduced as above. For later use, we put $\bar{\varphi}_v = \varphi_v$ for each finite $v \in \Sigma_Q$. Then, by Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.4 and [HST93, Proposition 3], we see that
\[
\text{These identities and the definition of } \varphi_\infty \text{ which is introduced in Section 2.4. Recall that the a delic}
\]
\[\theta(S) \in GSp_4(A) \to L_\lambda(C) \text{ is a Siegel cusp form of weight } \lambda = (n + 2, 2) \text{ and of level } \Gamma_0(N_F). \text{ Denote by } \Pi \text{ the automorphic representation of } GSp_4(A) \text{ which is generated by } \theta(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{f}). \text{ Let } L(s, \Pi, \text{spin}) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_Q} L(s, \Pi_v, \text{spin}) \text{ be the spinor L-function of } \Pi. \text{ Then [HST93, Lemma 10, Lemma 11] yield that for each } v \in \Sigma_Q \setminus \Sigma_{\text{ram}},
\]
\[
L(s, \Pi_v, \text{spin}) = L(s, \pi_v).
\]

4.4. Fourier expansion of theta series. In this section, we give a description [13] of adelic Fourier coefficients of HST lifts $\theta(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{f})$ in terms of the initial automorphic form $\bar{f}$ and the Bruhat-Schwartz function $\bar{\varphi}$. For the simplicity, we write $\theta = \theta(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{f})$ in this subsection.

We write $S = S_x$ for some $x \in V(Q)^{\oplus 2}$. For the unipotent subgroup $U(A)$ of $Sp(A)$, let $\psi_S : U(A) \to C^\times$ be the character which is introduced in Section 2.4 Recall that the adelic $S$-th Fourier coefficient $W_{\theta,S}(g)$ for $g \in GSp_4^+(A)$ of $\theta$ is defined to be
\[
W_{\theta,S}(g) = \int_{[U]} \theta(ug)\psi_S(u)du,
\]
where $du$ is the Haar measure which is normalized so that $\text{vol}(U(Q) \setminus U(A), du) = 1$.

For $g \in GSp_4^+(A)$, we take $h_1 \in GO(V)(A)$ such that $\nu(g) = \nu(h_1)$. Then, we find that
\[
W_{\theta,S}(g) = \int_{[U]} du \int_{[H_1]} dh \langle \theta(hh_1, ug), \bar{f}(hh_1) \rangle \bar{\psi}_S(u)
\]
\[
= \int_{[U]} du \int_{[H_1]} dh \sum_{x \in \mathbf{X}} \langle \omega(1, u)\omega(hh_1, g)\bar{\psi}(x), \bar{f}(hh_1) \rangle \bar{\psi}_S(u)
\]
\[
= \int_{[H_1]} dh \sum_{x \in \mathbf{X}} \langle \omega_S(g_1)\bar{\psi}(h_1^{-1}h^{-1}x), \bar{f}(hh_1) \rangle \bar{\psi}_S(u),
\]
where we put $g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \nu(g)^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g$. For $x \in \mathbf{X} = V^{\oplus 2}$, define
\[
H_x = \{ h \in H_1 \mid h \cdot x = x \}.
\]
Then, Witt’s theorem shows that
\[
W_{\theta,s}(g) = \int_{[H_1]} dh \sum_{h' \in H_s(Q) \setminus H_1(Q)} \langle \omega_V(g_1) \phi(h_1^{-1}h^{-1}x), f(h'h_1) \rangle \bar{\psi}
\]
\[
= \int_{H_s(Q) \setminus H_1(Q)} dh \int_{[H_2]} ds \langle \omega_V(g_1) \phi(h_1^{-1}h^{-1}x), f(shh_1) \rangle \bar{\psi}
\]
(4.8)

5. INNER PRODUCT FORMULA

In this section, we prove an explicit inner product formula for HST lifts. See Theorem 5.1 for the result. In Section 5.1, we introduce a local Hermitian pairing for spaces of automorphic representations of $GO_{3,1}(Q_v)$ for each $v \in \Sigma_Q$. By using these local Hermitian pairings, we decompose a global Hermitian pairing for automorphic forms on $GO_{3,1}(A)$ into a product of local pairings. To fix a decomposition of a global pairing is necessary to apply the Rallis inner product formula for HST lifts. In Section 5.2, we reduce a computation of an inner product of HST lifts to a computation of local integrals on $GO_{3,1}(Q_v)$ and we give an explicit inner product formula in Theorem 5.6 by using adelic language. The inner product formula in classical language is given in Theorem 5.7 in Section 5.3.

5.1. Hermitian pairing. We define Hermitian pairings on the several representation spaces of automorphic representations of orthogonal groups. These pairings will be used in Section 5.2 to introduce the Rallis inner product formula. In this subsection, we use the same notation in Section 3.2 and 3.3.

Recall that $U_{n}$ is a subgroup of $H^0(A_{nm})$ which is defined in Section 3.4. For each finite place $v$ of $Q$, the $U_{nm,v}$-invariant subspace $\sigma_{v}^{U_{nm,v}}$ of $\sigma_v$ is one dimensional by the theory of newform. We fix a non-zero element $f_{v}^{0}$ in $\sigma_{v}^{U_{nm,v}}$. We may assume that
\[
\xi_v f_{v}^{0} = f_{v}^{0}
\]
for each finite place $v \in \mathfrak{S}$. Fix an $SU_2(R)$-equivariant map $\iota_{\infty} : W_{2n+2}(C) \to V_{\sigma}$, which is unique up to constant. For each $u \in W_{2n+2}(C)$, define
\[
f_{\infty,u}^{0} = \iota_{\infty}(u) \in V_{\infty}.
\]
Then we may fix an isomorphism $j : \otimes^\prime \sigma_v \to \sigma$ so that
\[
j(f_{\infty,u}^{0} \otimes^{\prime} f_{v}^{0}) = (f, u)_{\psi}.
\]
We also define
\[
\bar{f}_{\infty,u}^{0} = (f_{\infty,u}^{0}, \delta(\infty)\xi^{0}_{\infty}f_{\infty,u}^{0}) \in \bar{V}_{\infty}; \quad \bar{f}_{v}^{0} = (f_{v}^{0}, f_{v}^{0}) \in \bar{V}_{v}, \quad (v < \infty).
\]
Write $\bar{u} = (u, (-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty)\iota_{2n+2}(w_0)u) \in \bar{W}_{2n+2}(C)$ for each $u \in W_{2n+2}(C)$. Then, we fix an isomorphism $\tilde{j} : \otimes^{\prime}\sigma_{v} \to \tilde{\sigma}$ so that
\[
\tilde{j}(\bar{f}_{\infty,u}^{0} \otimes^{\prime} f_{v}^{0}) = (\bar{f}, \bar{u})_{\bar{\psi}}.
\]
Let $B_{\psi} : W_{2n+2}(C)^{\otimes 2} \to C$ be the $SU_2(R)$-equivariant Hermitian pairing which is given by
\[
B_{\psi}(u_1, u_2) = (u_1, \iota_{2n+2}(w_0) \cdot u_2)_{\psi}, \quad \left( w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, u_1, u_2 \in W_{2n+2}(C) \right).
\]
Define $B_{\sigma_{\infty}}$ to be the Hermitian pairing $V_{\infty}^{\otimes 2} \to C$ such that
\[
B_{\sigma_{\infty}}(f_{\infty,u}^{0}, f_{\infty,u}^{0}) = B_{\psi}(u_1, u_2)
\]
for each $u_1, u_2 \in W_{2n+2}(C)$. See Lemma 5.1 for the explicit form of the pairing $B_{\sigma_{\infty}}$. For each finite place $v$ of $Q$, we define the Hermitian pairing $B_{\sigma_{v}} : V_{v}^{\otimes 2} \to C$ so that $B_{\sigma_{v}}(f_{v}^{0}, f_{v}^{0}) = 1$. 
For each place $v$ of $\mathbf{Q}$, the Hermitian pairing $B_{\sigma_v}$ is extended to the pair on $\hat{\sigma_v}$ and $\hat{\sigma_v}^v$ as follows. Let $B_{\nu_v} : \nu_v^{\oplus 2} \to \mathbf{C}$ be the Hermitian pairing which is defined to be

$$B_{\nu_v}((f_1, f'_1), (f_2, f'_2)) = \frac{1}{2}(B_{\sigma_v}(f_1, f_2) + B_{\sigma_v}(f'_1, f'_2)).$$

Define $B_{\hat{\sigma}_v} = B_{\nu_v} | \nu_v^2$ if $v \in \mathfrak{S}$. We also define

$$B_{\hat{\sigma}_v} = B_{\hat{\sigma}_v}^+, \quad B_{\hat{\sigma}_v} = \begin{cases} B_{\hat{\sigma}_v}^+, & (v \in \mathfrak{S}, v < \infty), \\ B_{\hat{\sigma}_v}^-, & (v \notin \mathfrak{S}). \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is not used in Section 5.2. However, this lemma verifies the existence of the pairing $B_{\sigma_{\infty}}$ in an explicit way and this is necessary for the explicit computation of the inner product of HST lifts in Section 6.2.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $W_{\sigma_{\infty}}$ be the element in Lemma 3.3. Put $W^j_{\sigma_{\infty}} = \langle W_{\sigma_{\infty}}, X^{n+1+j}Y^{n+1-j}\rangle_W$ and $C_{\infty} = \frac{2^{-4} \dim W_{2n+2}(\mathbf{C})^2 (2n+2)}{\Gamma(2n+4)}$, $(\Gamma_C(s) := 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s))$. Define $B_{\sigma_{\infty}} : \nu_{\infty}^{\oplus 2} \to \mathbf{C}$ by

$$B_{\sigma_{\infty}}(W^i_{\sigma_{\infty}}, W^j_{\sigma_{\infty}}) = C_{\infty} \times \int_{\text{SU}(2)} \int_0^\infty W^i_{\sigma_{\infty}}(t) W^j_{\sigma_{\infty}}(t) \frac{dt}{t} du,$$

where $du$ is the Haar measure on $\text{SU}(2)$ such that $\text{vol}(\text{SU}(2), du) = 1$. Then, $B_{\sigma_{\infty}}^i$ is an $\text{SU}(2)$-equivariant pairing and we have

$$B_{\sigma_{\infty}}(W^i_{\sigma_{\infty}}, W^j_{\sigma_{\infty}}) = B_{\psi}(X^{n+1+i}Y^{n+1-i}, X^{n+1+j}Y^{n+1-j}) = \begin{cases} (2n+2)^{-1}, & (i = j), \\ 0, & (i \neq j). \end{cases}$$

In particular, $B_{\sigma_{\infty}}^0$ gives an explicit form of $B_{\sigma_{\infty}}$ in (3.4).

**Proof.** Put $u_i = X^{n+1+i}Y^{n+1-i}$. It suffices to compute $B_{\sigma_{\infty}}^0(W^i_{\sigma_{\infty}}, W^j_{\sigma_{\infty}})$ explicitly. Since we have

$$W^i_{\sigma_{\infty}}(gu) = \langle W_{\sigma_{\infty}}(g), \tau_{2n+2}(u)u_i \rangle_W, \quad (u \in \text{SU}(2)),$$

we find that

$$B_{\sigma_{\infty}}^0(W^i_{\sigma_{\infty}}, W^j_{\sigma_{\infty}}) = \int_{\text{SU}(2)} \int_0^\infty \left\langle \sum_{k=-n-1}^{n+1} W^k_{\sigma_{\infty}}(t) u_i \tau_{2n+2}(u)u_i \right\rangle_W \left\langle \sum_{l=-n-1}^{n+1} W^l_{\sigma_{\infty}}(t) \tau_{2n+2}(\tau u_l) \right\rangle_W du.$$

The Schur's orthogonality relation ([Kn02, Corollary 4.10]) yields

$$\int_{\text{SU}(2)} \langle u_i^\vee, \tau_{2n+2}(u)u_i \rangle_W \langle u_j^\vee, \tau_{2n+2}(\tau u_l) \rangle_W du = \int_{\text{SU}(2)} \langle u_k^\vee, \tau_{2n+2}(w_0)u_j \rangle_W \langle u_l^\vee, \tau_{2n+2}(w_0)\tau_{2n+2}(w_0^{-1})u_j \rangle_W du.$$

$$= \int_{\text{SU}(2)} B_{\psi}(u_k^\vee, \tau_{2n+2}(u)u_j \tau_{2n+2}(w_0^{-1})u_j) du = \frac{1}{\dim W_{2n+2}(\mathbf{C})} B_{\psi}(u_k^\vee, u_j) B_{\psi}(\tau_{2n+2}(w_0^{-1})u_j, \tau_{2n+2}(w_0^{-1})u_j).$$
\[
\delta_{i,j} = \frac{\delta_{k,l} \delta_{\ell,i}}{\dim W_{2n+2}(C)} \left( \begin{array}{c} 2n+2 \\ n+1+k \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 2n+2 \\ n+1+i \\ j \end{array} \right)^{-1},
\]

where \( \delta_{k,l} \) is the Kronecker's delta. We compute the following integral:

\[
\int_0^\infty W_{\sigma,\infty}^k \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) W_{\sigma,\infty}^k \left( \begin{array}{c} \ell \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \, dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{2^4 \sqrt{\pi t}}{t^{n+2} K_k(4\pi t)} \times \frac{2^4 \sqrt{\pi t}}{t^{n+2} K_k(4\pi t)} \, dt
\]

By [MOS66, page 101], we find that

\[
\int_0^\infty t^{2n+3} K_k(4\pi t) K_k(4\pi t) \, dt = 2^{2n+3} \cdot (2\pi)^{-2n-4} \Gamma(2n+4) \times \frac{(n+1+k)!}{(n+1)!(n+1+k)!}
\]

This proves the lemma.

For later use, we prepare the following lemma which immediately follows from Lemma 3.4.

**Lemma 5.2.** We have

\[
\xi_\infty f_{\infty,u}^0 = (-1)^{n+1} \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) f_{\infty,u}^0.
\]

In particular, we have

\[
\xi_\infty f_{\infty,j}^0 = (-1)^j f_{\infty,-j}^0
\]

for \( f_{\infty,j}^0 := f_{\infty,j}^0(u_i = X^{n+1+j} Y^{n+1-j}). \)

We denote by \( \tilde{d} h \) (resp. \( dh_0 \)) the Tamagawa measure on \( Z_H(A) \backslash H(A) \) (resp. \( Z_{H^0}(A) \backslash H^0(A) \)), \( d\sigma \) the Haar measure on \( \mu_2(Q) \) such that \( \text{vol}(\mu_2(Q), d\sigma) = 1 \) and \( d\epsilon \) the product measure \( \prod_v d\sigma_v \) on \( \mu_2(A) \). Note that we have

\[
\int_{Z_H(A) \backslash H(Q) \backslash H(A)} f(\tilde{h}) \, d\tilde{h} = \int_{\mu_2(Q) \backslash \mu_2(A)} \int_{Z_{H^0}(A) \backslash H^0(Q) \backslash H^0(A)} f(h_0 \epsilon) \, dh_0 \, d\epsilon
\]
for each $f \in L^1(Z_H(A)H(Q)\backslash H(A))$. Define an Hermitian pairing $B_{\sigma} : \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\sigma}) \otimes 2 \to \mathbb{C}$ to be

$$B_{\sigma}(f_1, f_2) = \int_{Z_H(A)H(Q)\backslash H(A)} f_1(h) f_2(h) \overline{d\tilde{h}}, \quad (f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\sigma})).$$

We also define an Hermitian pairing on $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^0} : \mathcal{M}_n(H^0, U_\tilde{R}) \otimes 2 \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{H^0} = \int_{Z_{H^0}(A)H^0(Q)\backslash H^0(A)} (f_1(h_0), \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) f_2(h_0))_{W} dh_{0}, \quad (f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_n(H^0, U_\tilde{R})).$$

The relation of a global pairing $B_{\sigma}$ and a local pairings $B_{\sigma_v}$ for each $v \in \Sigma_Q$ is given as follows:

Lemma 5.3. We have

$$B_{\sigma} = \frac{\langle f, f \rangle_{H^0}}{\dim W_{2n+2}(C)} \prod_v B_{\sigma_v}$$

under the fixed isomorphism $j : \otimes_v \tilde{\sigma}_v \to \tilde{\sigma}$ in (5.3).

Proof. This lemma is proved in the same way as \cite[Lemma 5.2]{HN18}. \qed

5.2. Rallis inner product formula. In this subsection, we reduce a computation of the inner product of HST lifts to computations of certain local integrals by using the Rallis inner product formula. Combined with the results of Section 6, we state the explicit inner product formula (Theorem 5.6) in representation theoretic language.

For each place $v$ of $Q$ and Bruhat-Schwartz functions $\varphi, \varphi' \in S(X_v)$, we define

$$B_{\omega_v}(\varphi, \varphi') = \int_{X_v} \varphi(x) \varphi'(x) dx,$$

where $dx$ is the self dual Haar measure on $X_v$ with respect to $\psi_{Q,v}$. For $\varphi \in S(X_A)$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\sigma})$, define $\theta(\varphi, f) : \text{GSp}_4(Q) \backslash \text{GSp}_4^+(A) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\theta(\varphi, f)(g) = \theta(g; \varphi, f) := \int_{[H_1]} \sum_{x \in X} \omega(h_1 h', g) \varphi(x) f(h_1 h') dh_1, \quad (\nu(h') = \nu(g)),$$

where $dh_1 = \prod_v dh_{1,v}$ is the Tamagawa measure on $H_1(A)$. We extend $\theta(\varphi, f)$ to the automorphic form on $\text{GSp}_4(A)$ so that the support of the extension is in $\text{GSp}_4(Q) \backslash \text{GSp}_4^+(A)$ and denote it by the same notation.

To state the Rallis inner product formula, we recall the definition of the Asai $L$-function $L(s, \text{As}^+(\pi)) = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_Q} L(s, \text{As}^+(\pi_v))$ according to \cite{HN18} Section 3.1, 3.2 and \cite{Gh99} Section 4]. For each finite $v \in \Sigma_Q$, $L(s, \text{As}^+(\pi_v))$ is defined in the same way with \cite[Definition 3.1]{HN18}. Define $L(s, \text{As}^+(\pi_\infty))$ to be

$$\Gamma_C(s + n + 1) \Gamma_R(s + 1)^2,$$

where $\Gamma_R(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$, and $\Gamma_C(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s)$. Some of the analytic properties of $L(s, \text{As}^+(\pi))$ is summarized in \cite[Theorem 3.3]{HN18}. In particular, we recall that $L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi)) \neq 0$ under the condition that $\pi$ is not conjugate self-dual.

Proposition 5.4. (Rallis inner product formula) Let $\varphi_1 = \otimes_v \varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_2 = \otimes_v \varphi_{2,v} \in S(X_A) = \otimes_v S(X_v)$ and $f_1 = \otimes_v f_{1,v}, f_2 = \otimes_v f_{2,v} \in \otimes_v \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\sigma}_v) \cong \otimes_v \tilde{V}_v$. Then,

$$\langle \theta(\varphi_1, f_1), \theta(\varphi_2, f_2) \rangle := \int_{Z_A(A)G/Q \backslash G(A)} \frac{\theta(\varphi_1, f_1)(g) \theta(\varphi_2, f_2)(g)}{\dim W_{2n+2}(C)} dg$$

$$= \frac{\langle f, f \rangle_{H^0}}{L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi_\infty))} \prod_v Z_v^*(\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v}),$$

where $Z_v^*(\varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}, f_{1,v}, f_{2,v})$ is defined to be

$$\frac{\zeta_v(2) \zeta_v(4)}{L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi_v))} \int_{H_1(Q_v)} B_{\omega_v}(\omega_v(h_{1,v}) \varphi_{1,v}, \varphi_{2,v}) B_{\sigma_v}(\tilde{\sigma}_v(h_{1,v}) f_{1,v}, f_{2,v}) dh_{1,v}.$$
Firstly, we reduce a computation of inner products to computations of local integrals on \( H \). Define \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L : L_\lambda(C) \otimes L_\lambda(C) \to C \) to be the pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n \) which is introduced in Section 2.2. For vector-valued Siegel cusp forms \( F_1, F_2 : G(A) \to L_\lambda(C) \), define an Hermitian pairing to be
\[
(F_1, F_2)_G = \int_{Z_G(A)G(Q) \backslash G(A)} \langle F_1(g), \overline{F_2(g)} \rangle_L dg.
\]
One of main purposes of this paper is to compute \( (\theta(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\Gamma}), \theta(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\Gamma}^\dagger))_G \) in an explicit way. To resume computation, we prepare some notation. Let \( H^0_1 = \text{SO}(V) \). For \( i = 1, 2 \) and
\[
\phi_i^0 \in S(X_\infty) \otimes C[X, Y]_{2n+2},
\]
\[
\phi_i = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \phi_i^0 \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right)^X \left( X^n - \alpha Y^\alpha \right) \in S(X_\infty) \otimes C[X, Y]_{2n+2} \otimes C[X, Y]_n,
\]
we define
\[
B_{W \otimes L}(\phi_1, \phi_2, f_1, f_2) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n B_{\sigma_\infty}(B_{W}(\phi_1^0, f_1), B_{W}(\phi_2^{n-\alpha}, f_2)) (-1)^n \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right).
\]
Let \( dh = \prod_v dh_v \) be the Tamagawa measure on \( H^0_1(A) \) and define
\[
Z_\infty(\phi_\infty, f^0_\infty) = \int_{H^0_1(R)} \int_{X_\infty} B_{W \otimes L}(\omega_\infty(h_\infty), \phi_\infty, \sigma_\infty(h_\infty), f^0_\infty, f^0_\infty) dh dh_\infty,
\]
\[
Z_v(\phi_v, f_0^{0,1}) = \int_{H^0_1(Q_v)} B_{\omega_v}(\omega_v(h_v), \phi_v) B_{\sigma_v}(\sigma_v(h_v), f_0^{0,1}, f_0^{0,1}) dh_v, \quad (v \in \Sigma_Q, v < \infty),
\]
where \( f_0^{0,1}, f_0^{0,1} \) are defined in Section 5.1.

The following proposition reduces a computation of the inner product of \( \theta(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\Gamma}) \) to computations of local integrals on \( H^0_1(Q_v) \).

**Proposition 5.5.** We have
\[
\frac{(\theta(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\Gamma}), \theta(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\Gamma}))_G}{(f, f)_H} = \frac{1}{\dim W_{2n+2}(C)} L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi)) \frac{\zeta(2)\zeta(4)}{\zeta(2)\zeta(4)} \cdot \prod_{v \in \Sigma_Q} Z_v^*(\phi_v),
\]
where
\[
Z_v^*(\phi_v) = \frac{\zeta_v(2)\zeta_v(4)}{L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi_v))} \times \begin{cases} Z_\infty(\phi_\infty, f_\infty^0), & (v = \infty), \\ Z_v(\phi_v, f_0^{0,1}), & (v < \infty). \end{cases}
\]

**Proof:** Firstly, we reduce a computation of inner products to computations of local integrals on \( H_1(Q_v) \) by using the Rallis inner product formula (Proposition 5.4).

We fix some notation. We identify the \( \text{SU}_2(R) \)-representation space \( W_{2n+2}(C) \) with \( C[X, Y]_{2n+2} \). Let \( u_j = X^n Y^j \) for \(-n - 1 \leq j \leq n + 1\), which gives a pair of basis of \( W_{2n+2}(C) \). Denote by \( u_j^\dagger \) the dual basis of \( u_j \) with respect to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W \). Let
\[
\tilde{u}_j = (u_j, (-1)^{n+1+j}\delta(\infty)\tau_{2n+2}(w_0)u_j) \in \tilde{W}_{2n+2}(C).
\]
We also identify the \( U_2(\mathbb{R}) \)-representation space \( \mathcal{L}_\lambda(\mathbb{C}) \) with \( \mathbb{C}[X, Y]_n \). We recall and prepare some notation:

\[
\varphi_\infty(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_\infty^n(x) \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) X^{n-\alpha} Y^\alpha, \quad \varphi_\infty(x) = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} \varphi_{\infty,j}(x) u_j = \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} \langle \varphi_\infty^n(x), u_j \rangle_{\mathcal{W}} u_j;
\]

\[
\varphi_\infty^- = (\varphi_\infty^n, (-1)^{n+1} j \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) \varphi_\infty^n) \in \mathcal{S}(X_\infty) \otimes \widetilde{W}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})^\vee;
\]

\[
\tilde{u}_j^\vee = \frac{1}{2} (u_j^\vee, (-1)^{n+1} j \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) u_j^\vee);
\]

\[
\varphi_{\infty,j} = (\varphi_\infty^n, u_j^\vee)_{\mathcal{W}} = \varphi_{\infty,j} \in \mathcal{S}(X_\infty).
\]

Let

\[
\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\infty \bigotimes_{v < \infty} \varphi_v \in \mathcal{S}(X_\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})^\vee; \quad \varphi_j = \varphi_{\infty,j} \bigotimes_{v < \infty} \varphi_v \in \mathcal{S}(X_\mathcal{A});
\]

\[
\varphi_\alpha^- = (\varphi_\alpha^n, (-1)^{n+1} j \delta(\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) \varphi_\alpha^n) \in \mathcal{S}(X_\mathcal{A}) \otimes \widetilde{W}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})^\vee.
\]

For \( \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{M}_{2n+2}(H, \mathbb{R}) \), we put

\[
\tilde{f}_j(h) = \langle \tilde{f}, u_j \rangle_{\mathcal{W}}.
\]

By using the above notation, we find that

\[
\theta(\varphi_\alpha, \tilde{f}) : \mathcal{S}(X_\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})^\vee; \quad \varphi_j \rightarrow \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} \theta(\varphi_j, \tilde{f}) \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) X^{n-\alpha} Y^\alpha.
\]

The definition of the pairings \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G \) show that

\[
\left< \left< \theta(\varphi_\alpha, \tilde{f}), \theta(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}) \right> \right>_G = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\dim \mathcal{W}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{C})} \frac{L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi))}{\zeta(2) \zeta(4)} \cdot \tilde{Z}_v^* \prod_{v < \infty} \tilde{Z}_v^*,
\]

where

\[
\tilde{Z}_{ij} = \int_{H_1(\mathbb{R})} \mathcal{B}_{\omega_\infty}(\omega_\infty(h_1, \infty) \varphi_\infty, \varphi_\infty) \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_\infty}(\sigma_\infty(h_1, \infty) f_0, f_0) dh_1, \quad (f_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\infty,\infty}),
\]

\[
\tilde{Z}_v = \int_{H_1(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \mathcal{B}_{\omega_v}(\omega_v(h_1, v) \varphi_v, \varphi_v) \mathcal{B}_{\sigma_v}(\sigma_v(h_1, v) \tilde{f}_0, \tilde{f}_0) dh_1.
\]

To prove the proposition, it suffices to write down local integrals \( \tilde{Z}_{ij} \) and \( \tilde{Z}_v \) on \( H_1(\mathbb{Q}_v) \) in terms of local integrals on \( H_1(\mathbb{Q}_v) \).

For each finite place \( v \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}} \), we find that

\[
\tilde{Z}_v = Z_v,
\]

which is proved in the same way with \([\text{HINTS}, (5.13)]\).
We compute local integrals $\bar{Z}_{ij}$. We put
\[
\bar{Z}_{ij} = \int_{H^0_1(\mathbb{R})} B_{\omega,\omega}(\omega_\infty(h_\infty)\varphi_1^{\alpha}, \varphi_2^{\alpha}) B_{\sigma,\sigma}(\sigma_\infty(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j}) dh_\infty, \quad (f^0_{\infty,i} := f^0_{\infty,-i}).
\]
Recall that
\[
\bar{\sigma}_\infty(h_\infty) \bar{f}^0_{\infty,i} = (\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, \sigma(h_\infty)\delta(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,j}),
\]
for $h_\infty \in H^0(\mathbb{R})$. By the definition of $B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}$, we have
\[
B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\bar{\sigma}_\infty(h_\infty) \bar{f}^0_{\infty,i}, \bar{f}^0_{\infty,j}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j}) + B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)\delta(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, \delta(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,j}) \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j}) + B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\xi_\infty \sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, \xi_\infty f^0_{\infty,j}) \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j}) + B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j}) \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j}) + B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j}) \right\}
\]
\[
= (-1)^i \delta(h_\infty) B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,-i}, f^0_{\infty,j}).
\]
In the last identity, we used Lemma 5.2 Since
\[
\omega_\infty(t) \bar{\omega}_\infty = \tau_{2n+2}(t) \bar{\varphi}_\infty = (-1)^{n+1} \delta(h_\infty) \tau_{2n+2}(u_\infty) \varphi_\infty, \varphi_\infty
\]
by Lemma 4.4 we find that
\[
\omega_\infty(t) \bar{\varphi}_\infty = (\omega_\infty(t) \bar{\varphi}_\infty, \bar{u}_\infty) = (-1)^i \delta(h_\infty) \bar{\varphi}_\infty, \bar{u}_\infty.
\]
Since vol($\mu_2(\mathbb{R}), \delta_\infty$) = 1, we obtain
\[
\bar{Z}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n+1} \sum_{i,j=-n-1}^{n+1} B_{\omega,\omega}(\omega_\infty(h_\infty)\varphi_1^{\alpha}, \varphi_2^{\alpha}) B_{\sigma,\sigma}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j})
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n+1} \sum_{i,j=-n-1}^{n+1} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega_\infty(h_\infty)\varphi_1^{\alpha}(x) \bar{\varphi}_2^{\alpha}(x) dx \right\} \times B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j})(-1)^{n} \binom{n}{\alpha}.
\]
Therefore the definitions of $Z_{ij}$ and $B_{W \otimes \mathcal{E}}$ show that
\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sum_{i,j=-n-1}^{n+1} Z_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sum_{i,j=-n-1}^{n+1} (Z_{ij} + Z_{-ij})(-1)^{\alpha} \binom{n}{\alpha}
\]
\[
= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sum_{i,j=-n-1}^{n+1} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega_\infty(h_\infty)\varphi_1^{\alpha}(x) \bar{\varphi}_2^{\alpha}(x) dx \right\} \times B_{\bar{\sigma}_\infty}(\sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, f^0_{\infty,j})(-1)^{n} \binom{n}{\alpha}.
\]
\[
= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sum_{i,j=-n-1}^{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_{\omega,\omega}(\omega_\infty(h_\infty)\varphi_1^{\alpha}(x) \sigma(h_\infty)f^0_{\infty,i}, \varphi_2^{\alpha}(x) f^0_{\infty,j})(-1)^{\alpha} \binom{n}{\alpha} dx
\]
\[
\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_{\sigma, n}(B_{W}(\sigma(x), \varphi^{n}(x), f_{\infty}^{0}(x), B_{W}(\varphi_{n}(x), f_{\infty}^{0}(x))))(-1)^{\alpha} \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) \, dx \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_{W}(\sigma_{n}(x), \varphi_{n}(x), f_{\infty}^{0}(x), f_{\infty}^{0}(x)) \, dx.
\]

This proves the proposition. \(\square\)

To state an explicit inner product formula (Theorem 5.6), we fix a decomposition \(dh = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{Q}} dh_{v}\) of the Tamagawa measure on \(H_{1}^{0}(A)\) to a product of Haar measures \(dh_{v}\) on \(H_{1}^{0}(Q_{v})\) as follows. For \(g \in SL_{2}(C)\), write

\[g = \begin{pmatrix} t^{\frac{1}{2}} & t^{\frac{1}{2}}z \\ 0 & t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad u, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \in C, \quad u \in SU_{2}(R).\]

Then let \(dg\) be the Haar measure on \(SL_{2}(C)\) which is given by

\[dg = \frac{dx \wedge dy \wedge dt}{t^{3}} \wedge du, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} t^{\frac{1}{2}} & t^{\frac{1}{2}}z \\ 0 & t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad u, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \in C, \quad u \in SU_{2}(R),\]

where \(\text{vol}(SU_{2}(R), du) = 1\). We fix \(dh = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{Q}} dh_{v}\) so that \(dh_{\infty}\) is induced by \(dg\) via \(\rho\). We define a Haar measure \(dh_{\infty}\) on \(H_{1}^{0}(A_{\infty})\) to be \(\prod_{v \in \Sigma_{Q}, v < \infty} dh_{v}\).

Recall \(NZ = \mathfrak{N} \cap Z\) and let

\[\mathcal{U}_{N} = \left\{ \rho(g, \alpha) \in H_{1}^{0}(A_{\infty}) \mid g \in U_{0}(N), \alpha \in \hat{Z}^{\times} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{U}_{N,1} = \mathcal{U}_{N} \cap H_{1}^{0}(A_{\infty}).\]

Summarizing Proposition 5.5 and the results in Section 6, we obtain the following corollary:

**Theorem 5.6.** Assume that

- \(n\) is even;
- \(\pi\) is not conjugate self-dual;
- \(\delta(\infty) = -1\) and \(\delta(v) = 1\) for each finite \(v \in \Sigma_{Q}\);
- \(\mathfrak{N}\) is square-free;
- \(\Delta_{F}\) and \(\mathfrak{N}_{F}/\mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{N})\) are coprime;
- Conjecture 6.3 on the archimedean local integral, which is hold for \(n = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8\).

Let \(\mathcal{P}\) be a set of rational primes which is defined in (3.7). Put

\[r_{F,2} = \begin{cases} 1, & (2 \mid \Delta_{F}), \\
0, & (2 \nmid \Delta_{F}). \end{cases}\]

For each finite unramified places \(v \in \Sigma_{Q}\) with the residue characteristic \(p\), let \(\varepsilon_{p}\) be as in Lemma 6.1. Then, we have

\[\frac{(\theta(\varphi, f^{\dagger}, \theta(\varphi, f^{\dagger}))_{\mathfrak{g}}}{(f, f)_{H_{0}}} = \frac{L(1, \text{As}^{+}(\pi))}{\zeta(2)\zeta(4)} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1}, dh_{\infty})(2n + 3)^{2\varepsilon_{p}} \cdot \frac{\xi_{\mathfrak{N}_{F}}(4)}{\xi_{\mathfrak{N}_{F}}(1)} \cdot \prod_{p \mid N} (1 + \varepsilon_{p}) \cdot \prod_{p \mid \Delta_{F}} (1 + p^{-1}).\]

**Proof.** The statement follows from Proposition 5.5, Lemma 6.1, and Lemma 6.3. \(\square\)

### 5.3. Classical form of inner product formula

In this subsection, we introduce a classical form of Theorem 5.6. We follow the same line with [HN18, Section 5.3].

Define the classical form \(\theta^{\ast}_{f^{\dagger}} : \mathfrak{g}_{2} \rightarrow L_{\lambda}(C)\) of \(\theta(\varphi, f^{\dagger})\) to be

\[\theta^{\ast}_{f^{\dagger}}(Z) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1}, dh_{\infty})} \rho_{\lambda}(f_{\infty})(g_{\infty}(i)) \theta(\varphi^{\dagger}, f^{\dagger})(g_{\infty}), \quad (g_{\infty} \in \text{Sp}_{4}(R), g_{\infty} \cdot i = Z \in \mathfrak{g}_{2}).\]
Section 5.1 and the Haar measure $d\theta$ defined by

$$B_L(v_1, v_2) = \langle v_1, \varrho_\lambda(w_0 \overline{v_2}) \rangle_L, \quad \left( w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C) \right).$$

Then define the Petersson norm of $\theta^*_f$ to be

$$\langle \theta^*_f, \theta^*_f \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2} = \int_{\Gamma_0(2) \backslash \mathcal{H}_2} B_L(\theta^*_f(Z), \theta^*_f(Z)) (\det Y)^{3/2} dX dY (\det Y)^3,$$

where $Z = X + \sqrt{-1}Y \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and $dX = \prod_{j \leq 1} dx_{jt}, dY = \prod_{j \leq 1} dy_{jt}$ for $X = (x_{jt})$ and $Y = (y_{jt})$.

Recall $d\theta_0$ is the Tamagawa measure on $Z_{H^0}(A) \backslash H^0(A)$. Decompose $d\theta_0 = \prod_{v \in \Sigma} d\theta_{0,v}$ so that $d\theta_{0,\infty}$ is the Haar measure on $Z_{H^0}(R) \backslash H^0(R)$ which is induced by the Haar measure $d\theta_{\infty}$ on $\mu_2(R)$ in Section 5.2 and the Haar measure $d\theta_{0,\infty}$ on $H^0_0(R)$ in Section 5.2. Write $d\theta_{0,\text{fin}} = \prod_{v \in \Sigma_{\text{fin}}} d\theta_{0,v}$. Let $U_N$ be the image of $U_N$ in $Z_{H^0}(A) \backslash H^0(A)$. Then, define

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(U_N, d\theta_{0,\text{fin}})} \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{H^0}$$

for $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(H^0, U_0)$. 

**Theorem 5.7.** Let $P, R_{F,2}, \varepsilon_p$ be as in Theorem 5.6. Define $r_F$ to be the number of the rational primes which is ramified in $F$. Put

$$\beta = 2P + 4R_{F,2} - 2n - 9 - r_F.$$ 

Assume the conditions in Theorem 5.6. Then we have

$$\frac{\langle \theta^*_f, \theta^*_f \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2}}{\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}} = 2^\beta N_{F} \Delta_F^{-3} \times L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi)) \prod_{p|N} (1 + \varepsilon_p) \cdot \prod_{p|\Delta_F} (1 + p^{-1}).$$

**Proof.** The proof is done in the same way given in [HN18 Theorem 5.7]. Define a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C) \rightarrow C$ to be

$$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \int_{SU_2(R)} \langle v_1, \varrho_\lambda(u) v_2 \rangle_L \, du, \quad (\text{vol}(SU_2(R), du) = 1, v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\lambda(C)).$$

In the same way with the proof of [HN18 Lemma 5.6], the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfies

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n + 1} B_L(\cdot, \cdot).$$

Hence the following facts:

- the Tamagawa number of $\text{PGSp}_4$ is $2$;
- $\text{vol}(\text{Sp}_4(Z) \backslash \mathcal{H}_2, \frac{dX dY}{(\det Y)^3}) = 2\zeta(2)(4)$ ([Si43 Theorem 11]);
- $[\text{Sp}_4(Z) : \Gamma_0(N_F)] = N_F^2 \prod_{p|N_F} \frac{1 - p^{-4}}{1 - p^{-2}}$ ([Ki59 page 114, (1)]);

show that

$$\frac{\langle \theta^*_f, \theta^*_f \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}}{\text{vol}(U_{N,1}, d\theta_{0,\text{fin}})^2} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{n + 1} N_F^{-3} \prod_{p|N_F} \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-2}} \zeta(2)(\zeta(4)).$$

Then by Theorem 5.6, we find that

$$\frac{\langle \theta^*_f, \theta^*_f \rangle_{\mathcal{L}_2}}{\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}} = \frac{\text{vol}(U_N, d\theta_{0,\text{fin}})}{\text{vol}(U_{N,1}, d\theta_{0,\text{fin}})} \cdot \frac{N_F(2n + 3)2^{4P}}{\dim W_{2n+2}(C)2^{n+3}\Delta_F^{1/2}2^{r_F/2}} \times L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi)) \prod_{p|N} (1 + \varepsilon_p) \cdot \prod_{p|\Delta_F} (1 + p^{-1}).$$
By the proof of [HN18 Theorem 5.7], we have
\[ \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_N, dh_{0,\text{fin}}) = 2^{-r_p} \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1}, dh_{\text{fin}}). \]
This shows the theorem. □

The following corollary immediately follows from the non-vanishing of \( L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi)) \):

**Corollary 5.8.** Assume conditions in Theorem 5.7 and (LR) for each \( p \mid N, \varepsilon_p = 1 \).
Then, \( \theta^*_{f_i} \) is non-zero.

**Proof.** By the assumptions in the statement, the non-vanishing of \( \theta^*_{f_i} \) is equivalent to the non-vanishing of \( L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi)) \) which follows from [GS15 Theorem 4.3]. This proves the corollary. □

### 6. Proof of Theorem 5.6

A proof of Theorem 5.6 is divided two parts: a computation of non-archimedean local integrals and an archimedean local integral. In the non-archimedean case, the computation is exactly the same with [HN18 Section 6.3]. Hence the archimedean computation is the main theme of this section. We do it assuming Conjecture 6.3 in Section 6.2. Conjecture 6.3 is hold for small weights and we give a proof of Conjecture 6.3 for \( n = 0 \) in Appendix.

#### 6.1. The non-archimedean local integral

In this subsection we give explicit formulas for the non-archimedean local integrals \( Z_v(\varphi_v, f_v^{0,\dagger}) \) for each finite place \( v \in \Sigma_Q \). The calculation of these values is done in the exactly same way with [HN18 Section 6.3]. We summarize the result of the computation as follows:

**Lemma 6.1.** Assume that \( \mathfrak{N} \) is square-free and that \( \text{Nr}_{F/Q}(\mathfrak{N}) \) is prime to \( \Delta_F \). For each finite \( v \in \Sigma_Q \), let \( p \) be the residue characteristic of \( v \).

(i) Suppose that \( v \in \Sigma_Q \) is unramified in \( F \). Let \( \varepsilon_w \) (resp. \( \varepsilon_v \)) be the root number of \( \pi_w \), for \( i = 1, 2 \) (resp. \( \pi_v \)) if \( v = w_1w_2 \) is split in \( F \) (resp. \( v \) is inert in \( F \)). Put
\[ \varepsilon_p = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_w \varepsilon_{w_2}, & (v : \text{split in } F), \\ \varepsilon_v, & (v : \text{inert in } F). \end{cases} \]
Then, we have
\[ Z_v(\varphi_v, f_v^{0,\dagger}) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, dh_v) \cdot \frac{L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi_v))}{\zeta_v(2)\zeta_v(4)} \times \begin{cases} 1, & (p \mid \text{Nr}_{F/Q}(\mathfrak{N})), \\ p^{-2}(1 + \varepsilon_p), & (p \mid \text{Nr}_{F/Q}(\mathfrak{N})). \end{cases} \]

(ii) Suppose that \( v \in \Sigma_Q \) is ramified in \( F \). Then, we have
\[ Z_v(\varphi_v, f_v^{0,\dagger}) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, dh_v) \cdot |2^{-4}\Delta_F^1|_v \cdot (1 + p^{-1}) \cdot \frac{L(1, \text{As}^+(\pi_v))}{\zeta_v(1)\zeta_v(2)}. \]

#### 6.2. The archimedean local integral

In this subsection, we compute the archimedean local integral. For this purpose, we firstly prepare a lemma on the Haar measure \( dh_\infty \) on \( H^0_1(R) \), which is fixed in Section 5.2.

**Lemma 6.2.** The following set is a set of representatives of \( H^0_1(R) \):
\[ \left\{ g(u_1, u_2, a, \varepsilon) := g \left( u_1 \begin{pmatrix} a^\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} u_2, \varepsilon \right) \mid 0 < a < 1, u_1, u_2 \in \{ \pm 1 \} \backslash \text{SU}_2(R), \varepsilon \in \{ \pm 1 \} \right\}. \]
Moreover, for \( f \in L^1(H^0_1(R)) \), we have
\[ \int_{H^0_1(R)} f(h) dh_\infty = \pi \sum_{\varepsilon = \pm 1} \int_{\text{SU}_2(R)/(\pm 1)} \int_{\text{SU}_2(R)/(\pm 1)} \int_0^1 f(g(u_1, u_2, a, \varepsilon))(a - a^{-1})^2 da du_1 du_2. \]
Appendix for a proof of Conjecture 6.3 in the

We can check by using Mathematica that Conjecture 6.3 is hold for

Denote by \( d_u \) the Haar measure on \( SU_2(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \text{vol}(SU_2(\mathbb{R}), du) = 1 \). Then \( du \) is explicitly given by the following formula:

\[
\int_{SU_2(\mathbb{R})} f(u) du = \int_0^\pi \sin 2\psi d\psi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} f(u(\psi, \theta, \varphi)), \quad (f \in L^1(SU_2(\mathbb{R}))).
\]

For \( 0 < a \), define

\[
N(\alpha, \beta, a) = a^{-1}|\alpha|^2 + a|\beta|^2.
\]

Then the following gives the Iwasawa decomposition of \( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \)

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 \ a^{-1} - a \alpha \beta \\ 0 \ N(\alpha, \beta, a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N(\alpha, \beta, a)^{-1} & 0 & a^{-1} - a \alpha \beta \\ 0 & 1 & a^{-1} \beta \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ a^{-1} - a \alpha \beta \\ 0 \ N(\alpha, \beta, a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N(\alpha, \beta, a)^{-1} & 0 & a^{-1} - a \alpha \beta \\ 0 & N(\alpha, \beta, a)^{-1} & a^{-1} \beta \alpha \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let

\[
z = \frac{(a^{-1} - a) \alpha \beta}{N(\alpha, \beta, a)}, \quad t = N(\alpha, \beta, a)^{-1}.
\]

Note that \(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1\). Assuming

\[
\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} \quad (\theta = 0),
\]

we find that

\[
\frac{dz \wedge dt \wedge d\bar{z}}{t^3} = 2\sqrt{-1} \frac{dx \wedge dy \wedge dt}{t^3}
\]

\[
= -2 a \beta^{-1} \frac{(a - a^{-1})^2}{a} \frac{da \wedge da \wedge d\beta}{\sqrt{-1} \sin(2\psi)} \cdot \frac{(a - a^{-1})^2}{a} da \wedge d\phi \wedge d\psi.
\]

This identity (6.1) and (6.2) prove the lemma. \( \square \)

Conjecture 6.3. For even non-negative integer \( n \), the value

\[
I_n := \int_0^1 \frac{(a - a^{-1})^2}{a} \int_{x_\infty} dx \int_0^\infty dt \int \frac{dt}{t}
\]

\[
\left\langle \left\langle \varphi_\infty \left( \begin{pmatrix} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & a^\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} x \right), W_{\sigma, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & a^\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}
\]

is given by

\[
I_n = (-1)^{\frac{7}{2}} \cdot 2^{-4n-8} \cdot (n + 1)^2 \cdot n! \cdot \pi^{-3n-6}.
\]

Remark 6.4. We can check by using Mathematica that Conjecture 6.3 is hold for \( n = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 \). See Appendix for a proof of Conjecture 6.3 in the \( n = 0 \) case.

Recall that the \( \Gamma \)-factor of \( \text{As}^+(\pi) \) is given by

\[
L(s, \text{As}^+(\pi_\infty)) = \Gamma_C(s + n + 1) \Gamma_R(s + 1)^2.
\]

The purpose of the rest of this subsection is to prove the following lemma:
Lemma 6.5. Assume Conjecture 6.3 Then we have

\[
Z_\infty(\varphi_\infty, f^0_\infty) = \left( -1 \right)^3 2^{-n-9(2n+3)} \frac{(2n+3)!}{n+1} \cdot L(1, As^+(\pi_\infty)) \cdot \frac{\Gamma_R(2) \Gamma_R(4)}{\Gamma_R(6)}.
\]

Proof. Let \( C_\infty \) be the constant in Lemma 6.4. By Lemma 6.4, the left-hand side of (6.3) is equal to

\[
\int_{H^0_1(R)} \int_\mathcal{X}(R) B_{W \otimes \mathcal{L}}(\omega_\infty(h) \varphi_\infty, \varphi_\infty, \sigma_\infty(h) f^0_\infty, f^0_\infty) dh \ dx.
\]

where we write \( h_\infty = \rho(g, \alpha) \).

Let \( v_{\varphi, W} = \langle \varphi(x), W \rangle_W \in S(\mathcal{X}_\infty) \) for \( \varphi \in S(\mathcal{X}_\infty) \otimes W_{2n+2}(C) \) and \( W \in W(\sigma_\infty, \psi_{F, \infty}) \). Define

\[
\sigma'_\infty(h) v_{\varphi, W} = \langle \varphi(h^{-1} x), \sigma_\infty(h) W \rangle_W \in S(\mathcal{X}_\infty),
\]

then \( \sigma'_\infty : H^0_1(R) \to \text{Aut}(S'(\mathcal{X}_\infty)) \) gives a representation of \( H^0_1(R) \) and \( v_{\varphi, \infty, W_\infty} \) is an SU\(_2\)(R)-fixed vector by Lemma 6.4. For \( v_{\varphi_1, W_1}, v_{\varphi_2, W_2} \in S'(\mathcal{X}_\infty) \), the pairing

\[
\langle v_{\varphi_1, W_1}, v_{\varphi_2, W_2} \rangle_{S'} := \int_{\mathcal{X}_\infty} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{X}_\infty} \int_0^\infty \langle \varphi_1(x), W_1 \left( \frac{t}{0 1} \right) \rangle_W \langle \varphi_2(x), W_2 \left( \frac{t}{0 1} \right) \rangle_W \frac{dt}{t} \ dx
\]

makes \( \sigma' \) a unitary representation of \( H^0_1(R) \). Hence [He02, X. Theorem 4.5] shows that

\[
\Omega(h) := \langle \sigma'_\infty(h) v_{\varphi, \infty, W_\infty} v_{\varphi, \infty, W_\infty} \rangle_{S'}
\]

is a spherical function on \( H^0_1(R) \). Furthermore, [He02, X. Proposition 3.2] proves that

\[
\int_{\text{SU}_2(R)} \Omega(u h) du = \Omega(h).
\]

Let \( \rho(u_1, u_2, a, \varepsilon) \) be as in Lemma 6.2. Then Lemma 6.2 shows that

\[
\int_{H^0_1(R)} \Omega(h) dh = \pi \sum_{s = \pm 1} \int_{\text{SU}_2(R) / \{ \pm 1 \}} \int_{\text{SU}_2(R) / \{ \pm 1 \}} \int_0^1 \Omega(\rho(u_1, u_2, a, \varepsilon)) \frac{(a - a^{-1})^2}{a} \ du_1 du_2
\]

\[
= \pi \int_{\text{SU}_2(R)} \int_0^1 \Omega(\rho(u_1, 1, a, 1)) \frac{(a - a^{-1})^2}{a} \ du_1
\]

\[
= \pi \int_0^1 \Omega(\rho(1, 1, a, 1)) \frac{(a - a^{-1})^2}{a}.
\]
In the last equality, we used (6,4). Hence it suffices to compute the following integral:

\[
\frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(a - a^{-1})^2 da}{a} = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^1 (a - a^{-1})^2 da \int_\infty \frac{dx}{t} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \langle \varphi_\infty \left( \begin{pmatrix} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & a^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} x \right), W_{\sigma, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}} \langle \varphi_\infty(x), W_{\sigma, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}} = \frac{\pi}{2} I_n.
\]

Then Conjecture [8,3] shows that

\[
\mathcal{Z}_\infty(\varphi_\infty^0, f_\infty^0) = \frac{\pi}{2} C_\infty I_n = (-1)^{\frac{9}{2}} \cdot 2^{-2n-10} \cdot \pi^{-n-1} \cdot (2n + 3) \cdot n! \cdot \left( \frac{L(1, As^+(\pi_\infty))}{\Gamma_R(2) \Gamma_R(4)} \right).
\]

This proves the lemma. \( \square \)

7. Bessel period formula

In this section, we give an explicit Bessel period formula for HST lifts. See Theorem [7,4] for the result. We recall a definition of Bessel periods in Section 7.1. The Bessel periods are torus integrals which are determined by an embedding Res_{K/Q} \mathbb{C}_{m,K} \to GSp_4 for each imaginary quadratic field K. We give an embedding which is suitable for our computation in Section 7.2 and an explicit Bessel period formula is given in Theorem [7,4] assuming K = F after reducing the computation to computations of local integrals in Section 7.3. In Section 7.4, we give a relation between Bessel periods and Fourier coefficients of HST lifts in an explicit manner. See Corollary [7,7] for the result, which proves that \( \theta_r^f \) has an integral Fourier coefficient and which gives a criterion for the non-vanishing of Fourier coefficients of HST lifts modulo a prime.

7.1. Definition of Bessel periods. We introduce the definition of S-th Bessel periods according to [Fu93]. Define an algebraic group \( T_S \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) by

\[
T_S = \{ g \in GL_2 \mid {}^t g S g = (\det g) S \}.
\]

We consider \( T_S \) as a subgroup of \( GSp_4 \) by the following embedding:

\[
g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & (\det g)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Define a subgroup R of GSp_4 to be

\[
R = T_S U = T_S \rtimes U.
\]

For each character \( \phi : A^x T_S(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T_S(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) and each Siegel cusp form \( F : GSp_4(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathcal{L}_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \), we define the Bessel periods \( \mathbf{B}_{F,S,\phi} \) to be a function \( \mathbf{B}_{F,S,\phi} : GSp_4(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathcal{L}_\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \) by

\[
\mathbf{B}_{F,S,\phi}(g) = \int_{A^x R(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash R(\mathbb{A})} F(r g) \phi(r) \otimes \psi_S(r) dr.
\]

By the definition, we have

\[
\mathbf{B}_{F,S,\phi}(g) = \int_{A^x T_S(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T_S(\mathbb{A})} W_{F,S}(tg) \phi(t) dt,
\]

where \( W_{F,S} \) is the S-th adelic Fourier coefficient of \( F \) which is introduced in (2.2).
Lemma 7.1. Define an algebraic group $E_0^\times$ over $Q$ to be $\{t \in E^\times \mid \text{N}_E/K(t) := \iota(t)\iota(t)^* \in Q\}$. Then, the map $\iota : E^\times \to H_0$ induces an isomorphism

$$\iota : E_0^\times / F^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} H_x.$$ 

For $t \in K$, we find a matrix $\Psi_K(t) \in M_2(Q)$ such that

$$\iota(t) \cdot x = x\Psi_K(t).$$

Hence, we find that

(7.3) \hspace{1cm} \iota(t) \cdot x = x\Psi_K(\text{N}_E/K(t)), \quad (t \in E^\times).

Then, $\Psi_K$ induces an isomorphism $\Psi_K : K^\times \cong T_S \to \text{GSp}_4$. We define $j : E^\times \to \text{GSp}_4$ by

$$j(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_K(\text{N}_E/K(t)) & 0_2 \\ 0_2 \cdot \Psi_K(\text{N}_E/K(t))^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (t \in E^\times).$$

Let $\phi : A^\times K^\times \backslash K_A^\times \to C^\times$ be a finite-order Hecke character. The $S$-th Bessel period $B_{S,\phi} := B_{\theta(\phi),i,S,\phi}$ in (7.2) is given by

(7.4) \hspace{1cm} B_{S,\phi}(g) = \int_{[E_0^\times / F_0^\times]} W_{\theta(\phi),i,S}(j(t)g)\phi(\text{N}_E/K(t))dt.

The following lemma describes $B_{S,\phi}$ in terms of automorphic forms on $H_0^0(A)$. This is necessary to reduce a computation of $B_{S,\phi}$ to a computation of local integrals $B_v^\ell(\phi, \phi)$ on $H_1^0(Q_v)$ for each $v \in \Sigma_Q$, $j = 0$ in the next subsection.

Lemma 7.2. We have

$$B_{S,\phi}(g) = 2^{1-\ell_1 \Sigma_1} \sum_{\mathcal{R} \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{E^\times / F^\times} dt_\phi(\text{N}_E/K(t)) \int_{H_1(A) \backslash H_0^0(A)} dh \left< \omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}x), f_{\mathcal{R}}^1(\iota(t)w_0,\mathcal{R} h^\Sigma_x \mathcal{R}) \right>_W,$$

$$+ \left< \tau_{2n+2}(w_0)\omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}x), f_{\mathcal{R}}^1(\iota(t)w_0,\mathcal{R} \Delta_{\{\infty\}} h^\Sigma_x \mathcal{R} \Delta_{\{\infty\}} \mathcal{R}) \right>_W.$$
Proof. We easily find that $\nu(i(t)) = \nu(j(t))$ for $t \in E^\infty$. For $g \in \Sp_4(A)$, (4.8) shows that
\[
\mathcal{B}_{S,\phi}(g) = \int_{H_\infty(A) \setminus H_1(A)} \left. \frac{dh}{\Delta_i(A)} \right|_{E^\infty/E^\infty_i} \right|_0 \langle \omega_V(g)\varphi(t^{-1}h^{-1}x\Psi_{K}(\mathcal{N}_{E/K}(t))), \tilde{f}_i(su(t)h) \rangle_\mathcal{W} \phi(\mathcal{N}_{E/K}(t)).
\]
By using (7.3) and changing variable $h$ by $\iota(t)hu(t)^{-1}$, we find that
\[
\mathcal{B}_{S,\phi}(g) = \int_{H_\infty(A) \setminus H_1(A)} dh \int_{[H_\infty]} ds \int_{E^\infty/E^\infty_i} \left. dt \right|_0 \left\{ \omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}x), \tilde{f}_i(su(t)h) \right\}_\mathcal{W} \phi(\mathcal{N}_{E/K}(t)).
\]
Since $\varphi \circ \mathcal{N}_{E/K}$ is trivial on $E^\infty_i$, Lemma 7.1 proves the following identity:
\[
\mathcal{B}_{S,\phi}(g) = \int_{H_\infty(A) \setminus H_1(A)} dh \int_{H_1(A)} ds \int_{E^\infty/E^\infty_i} dt \langle \omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}x), \tilde{f}_i(su(t)h) \rangle_\mathcal{W} \phi(\mathcal{N}_{E/K}(t))
\]
\[
= \int_{H_\infty(A) \setminus H_1(A)} dh \int_{H_1(A)} ds \int_{E^\infty/E^\infty_i} dt \langle \omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}x), \tilde{f}_i(su(t)h) \rangle_\mathcal{W} \phi(\mathcal{N}_{E/K}(t)).
\]
The group $\mu_2(Q_v)$ is realized in $H(Q_v)$ as follows:
\[
\mu_2(Q_v) = \{ \varrho(1,2), \varrho(w_{0,v},1)t_v \}, \quad \left( w_{0,v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).
\]
We write $w_{0,v}t_v$ as $\varrho(w_{0,v},1)t_v$ for the simplicity. We note that
\[
\mathcal{B}_{S,\phi}(g) = \int_{H_\infty(A) \setminus H_1(A)} dh \int_{H_1(A)} ds \int_{E^\infty/E^\infty_i} dt \langle \omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}x), \tilde{f}_i(su(t)h) \rangle_\mathcal{W} \phi(\mathcal{N}_{E/K}(t))
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\Sigma_i} \int_{H_\infty(A) \setminus H_1(A)} dh \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \langle \omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}w_{0,R}t_{R}x), \tilde{f}_i(su(t)w_{0,R}t_{R}h) \rangle_\mathcal{W}
\]
By the definition (3.5) of the extension of automorphic forms on $H^0(A)$, we also find that
\[
\sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \tilde{f}_i(su(t)w_{0,R}t_{R}h) = \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} f_{\Sigma_1}^i(su(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c}h^R)
\]
\[
= \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \left( f_{\Sigma_1}^i(su(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c}h^R) + f_{\Sigma_1}^i(su(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c}) \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} f_{\Sigma_1}^i(su(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c}h^R) + f_{\Sigma_1}^i(su(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c})
\]
\[
\tilde{f}_i^R(\delta_{\Sigma_1}(\infty)(i(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c}h^R))
\]
Since
\[
w_{0,t} \cdot 1_2 = -1_2, \quad w_{0,t} \cdot \vartheta_K + \iota(w_{0,t} \cdot \vartheta_K) = -\vartheta_K + \iota \vartheta_K,
\]
the definition of $\tilde{\varphi}$ shows that
\[
\tilde{\varphi}(h^{-1}w_{0,R}t_{R}x) = \tilde{\varphi}(h^{-1}x).
\]
By using $(-1)^{n+1} \delta(\infty) = 1$, we compute
\[
\sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \langle \omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}w_{0,R}t_{R}x), f_{\Sigma_1}^i(su(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c}h^R) \rangle_\mathcal{W}
\]
\[
= \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \langle \omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}x), f_{\Sigma_1}^i(su(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c}h^R) \rangle_\mathcal{W}
\]
\[
+ \langle \tau_{0+t}(w_{0})\omega_V(g)\varphi(h^{-1}x), f_{\Sigma_1}^i(su(t)w_{0,R}h^{R,c}h^R) \rangle_\mathcal{W}
\]
Since
\[
w_{0,t}w_{0}^{-1} = \iota(t),
\]
we find that for $R \subset \Sigma_1$

$$f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus R}^f(\iota(t)^c w_{0,R} h_R h_R^c) = f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus R}^f (\iota(t)^c w_{0,t} h_R h_R^c)$$

$$= f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus R}^f (\iota(t) w_{0,R} h_R^c h_R^c)$$

where $R^c = \Sigma_Q \setminus R$. By making the change of variable $h$ by $w_{0,v} h_{x} w_{0,v}$ at each $v \notin \Sigma_1$, we have

$$\sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{H_x(A) \setminus H_v^\theta(A)} f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus R}^f (\iota(t)^c w_{0,R} h_R h_R^c) \, dh$$

$$= \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{H_x(A) \setminus H_v^\theta(A)} f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus R}^f (\iota(t) w_{0,R} h_R^c h_R^c) \, dh$$

$$= \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{H_x(A) \setminus H_v^\theta(A)} f_{R}^f (\iota(t) w_{0,R} h_R^c h_R^c) \, dh.$$ (7.5)

In the same way, we also have

$$\sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{H_x(A) \setminus H_v^\theta(A)} f_{\Sigma_1 \setminus R}^f (\iota(t)^c w_{0,R} h_R h_R^c) \, dh$$

$$= \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{H_x(A) \setminus H_v^\theta(A)} f_{R}^f (\iota(t) w_{0,R} h_R^c h_R^c) \, dh.$$ (7.6)

Then Equations (7.5) and (7.6) show that

$$\sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{H_x(A) \setminus H_v^\theta(A)} \frac{d h}{\int_{\omega_V(g) \varphi(h^{-1} w_{0,R} t_R x)} f^f (\iota(t) w_{0,R} t_R h)}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{H_x(A) \setminus H_v^\theta(A)} \frac{d h}{\int_{\omega_V(g) \varphi(h^{-1} x)} f^f (\iota(t) w_{0,R} h_R^c h_R^c)}$$

$$+ \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_1} \frac{d h}{\int_{\varphi(h^{-1} x)} f^f (\iota(t) w_{0,R} h_R^c h_R^c)} \right\}.$$ (7.7)

This proves the lemma. \qed

### 7.3. Explicit Bessel period formula

In this subsection, we deduce an explicit Bessel period formula assuming $K = F$. We firstly write $B_{S,\varphi}$ as the product of the local integrals on $H_v^\theta(Q_v)$ for $v \in \Sigma_Q$ in Lemma 7.3. The explicit Bessel period formula is given in Theorem 7.4.

Assume that $K = F$. Then, we choose $t_F$ to be $\sqrt{-\Delta_F}$. Define

$$\varphi_F' = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta_F}}{2}, & (\Delta_F \equiv 1, 2 \mod 4), \\ \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-\Delta_F}}, & (\Delta_F \equiv 3 \mod 4). \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$x = \left( 12, \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_F' & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_F' \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad S_x = \left( \begin{pmatrix} R_{TF/Q}(\varphi_F') & \frac{1}{2} \Tr_{TF/Q}(\varphi_F') \\ \frac{1}{2} \Tr_{TF/Q}(\varphi_F') & \frac{1}{2} \Tr_{TF/Q}(\varphi_F') \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \det S_x = \frac{\Delta_F}{4}.$$ (7.7)

We also see that the image of $[E^\times / F^\times]$ via $\iota$ is represented by the following set:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid t \in [F^\times] \right\}.$$ (7.7)

The computation of $B_{S,\varphi}$ is reduced to the computation of the following local integrals, which are so called local Bessel period integrals. For each finite $v \in \Sigma_Q$ and $g_v \in \Sp(Q_v)$, let

$$B_v^0(\varphi, \phi)(g_v) = \int_{F_v^0} d^\times t \int_{H_v(Q_v) \setminus H_v^\theta(Q_v)} \omega_V(g_v) \varphi_v(h^{-1} x) W_{R_{TF/Q}(\varphi_F')}(\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) h \phi_v(t),$$

$$B_v^1(\varphi, \phi)(g_v) = \int_{F_v^0} d^\times t \int_{H_v(Q_v) \setminus H_v^\theta(Q_v)} \omega_V(g_v) \varphi_v(h^{-1} x) W_{R_{TF/Q}(\varphi_F')} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 h \phi_v(t^c).$$
Similarly, define, for $g_\infty \in \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$,

\[
B_0^{(\varphi, \phi)}(g_\infty) = \int_{F_{\mathbb{A}}} \phi(t) \frac{dt}{|t|} \int_{H_\infty(\mathbb{Q}_\infty) \setminus H_\infty^0(\mathbb{Q}_\infty)} dh \langle \omega \nu_\infty(g_\infty) \varphi(h^{-1}x), W_{F_1, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} h \right) \rangle_W \psi(x),
\]
and

\[
B_1^{(\varphi, \phi)}(g_\infty) = \int_{F_{\mathbb{A}}} \phi(t) \frac{dt}{|t|} \int_{H_\infty(\mathbb{Q}_\infty) \setminus H_\infty^0(\mathbb{Q}_\infty)} dh \langle \omega \nu_\infty(g_\infty) \varphi(h^{-1}x), W_{F_1, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 h \right) \rangle_W \psi(x). \]

**Lemma 7.3.** We have

\[
B_{S, \phi}(g) = 2^{2-\Sigma_1} \prod_{v \in \Sigma_1} \left( B_0^{(\varphi, \phi)}(g_v) + \delta(v) B_1^{(\varphi, \phi)}(g_v) \right) \prod_{v \in \Sigma_\infty \setminus \Sigma_1} B_0^{(\varphi, \phi)}(g_v).
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 7.2 and the definition of the Fourier-Whittaker expansion, $B_{S, \phi}$ is equal to

\[
2^{1-\Sigma_1} \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{F_{\mathbb{A}}} \phi(t) \frac{dt}{|t|} \int_{H_\infty(\mathbb{A}) \setminus H_\infty^0(\mathbb{A})} dh \langle \omega \nu_\infty(g_\varphi(h^{-1}x), W_{F_1, \infty}(t), \psi(x) \rangle_W + \langle \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) \rangle_W \psi(x), W_{F_1, \infty}(t) \rangle_W.
\]

The definition of Whittaker functions and Lemma 3.4 show that

\[
\tau_{2n+2}(w_0) = \begin{cases} \xi & (\varphi \in \Sigma_1, \psi \in \Sigma_1) \\
\xi \psi & (\varphi \in \Sigma_1, \psi \in \Sigma_1) \\
\psi & (\varphi \in \Sigma_1, \psi \in \Sigma_1), \end{cases}
\]

This proves that

\[
B_{S, \phi}(g) = 2^{2-\Sigma_1} \sum_{R \subset \Sigma_1} \int_{F_{\mathbb{A}}} \phi(t) \frac{dt}{|t|} \int_{H_\infty(\mathbb{A}) \setminus H_\infty^0(\mathbb{A})} dh \langle \omega \nu_\infty(g_\varphi(h^{-1}x), W_{F_1, \infty}(t), \psi(x) \rangle_W + \langle \tau_{2n+2}(w_0) \rangle_W \psi(x), W_{F_1, \infty}(t) \rangle_W.
\]

The computations of each local integrals $B_j^{(\varphi, \phi)} (j = 0, 1)$ are given in Section 3. We describe the Bessel periods formula by using an explicit formula for $B_j^{(\varphi, \phi)} (j = 0, 1)$.

We prepare some notation. Let $C$ be the positive integer such that $CO_F$ gives the conductor of $\phi$. We assume that the following conditions on $C$:

(CF) $C$ is prime to $N_F := \text{l.c.m.}(N, \Delta_F)$ and each prime $v$ of $Q$ dividing $C$ is split in $F$.

Define

\[
\chi = \zeta \zeta_\infty \zeta_{\text{fin}} \in GL_2(\mathbb{A}); \quad \zeta_\infty = (\text{Im}(\varphi_F)^{-1}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \text{Im}(\varphi_F) & -\text{Re}(\varphi_F) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \zeta_{\text{fin}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}_{\text{fin}}.
\]
Theorem 7.4. Let $\mathcal{O}_F$ be the conductor of $\phi$. Assume that

- $n$ is even;
- $\pi$ is not conjugate self-dual;
- $\delta(\infty) = -1$ and $\delta(v) = 1$ for each finite $v \in \Sigma_Q$;
- $\Delta_F$ and $N_{F/Q}(\mathfrak{R})$ are coprime;
- the condition (CF).

Then we have

\begin{equation}
B_{S,\phi} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \varsigma & 0 \\ 0 & t \varsigma^{-1} \end{array} \right) = \text{vol} \left( \mathcal{U}_{N,1}, d\nu_{\text{fin}} \right) \cdot e^{-4\pi} \cdot (-\sqrt{-1}) \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot C^{-2} \\
\times L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi \right) \cdot e(\pi, \phi, \delta) \prod_{v\in\mathcal{C}} e(0, \phi_v^{-1}) \times (-1) \frac{\alpha}{4} \left( X^2 + Y^2 \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{4}},
\end{equation}

where

\[ e(\pi, \phi, \delta) = 2^{-1} \sum_{v\in\Sigma, \nu<\infty} (1 + \delta(v)) \prod_{v\in\mathcal{C}} e(0, \phi_v^{-1}) \prod_{v\in\mathcal{P}} (1 + e(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v^{-1})). \]

Proof. Lemma 7.3, Lemma 8.2 and Lemma 8.3 show that

\begin{equation}
B_{S,\phi} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \varsigma & 0 \\ 0 & t \varsigma^{-1} \end{array} \right) = \text{vol} \left( \mathcal{U}_{N,1}, d\nu_{\text{fin}} \right) \cdot e^{-4\pi} \cdot (-\sqrt{-1}) \cdot 2^{-1} \cdot C^{-2} \\
\times L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi \right) \cdot e(\pi, \phi, \delta) \prod_{v\in\mathcal{C}} e(0, \phi_v^{-1}) \\
\times \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sqrt{-1}^{\alpha-n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^c \left( \alpha \right) \left( \frac{n}{2} - c \right) \cdot \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) X^\alpha Y^{n-\alpha}.
\end{equation}

We compute the summation in the above identity. If $\alpha$ is odd, the summation in the right-hand side of (7.10) is zero:

\begin{equation}
\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^c \left( \alpha \right) \left( \frac{n}{2} - c \right) = 0.
\end{equation}

If $\alpha$ is even, we find that

\[ \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} (-1)^c \left( \alpha \right) \left( \frac{n}{2} - c \right) = \frac{\alpha!}{(\frac{n}{2})^{2}} \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} (-1)^c \left( \frac{n}{c} \right) \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) \left( \frac{n}{\alpha-c} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha!}{(\frac{n}{2})^{2}} \times \left( \text{the coefficient of } X^\alpha \text{ in } (1 - X)^{\frac{n}{2}} (1 + X)^{\frac{n}{2}} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha!}{(\frac{n}{2})^{2}} \times \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right)^{-1} \]

\[ = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right)^{-1} \].
Hence we see that
\[ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sqrt{-1}^{a_\alpha} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^c \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ c \end{array} \right) \left( \frac{n - \alpha}{c} \right) X^n Y^{n-\alpha} = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) (Y^2)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \]
\[ = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left( \frac{n}{4} \right) (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}. \]
This proves the theorem. \qed

7.4. **A criterion for non-vanishing modulo a prime.** In this subsection, we give a relation between Fourier coefficients of HST lifts and special values of the standard $L$-function of $\pi$ by using Theorem 7.4. The relation will give a criterion for the non-vanishing of HST lifts modulo a prime. See Corollary 7.7 for the main result in this subsection.

We firstly give a relation of adelic Fourier coefficients (4.6) with Fourier coefficients of the classical theta lift $\theta^*_\varphi$. Let
\[ \Lambda_2 = \left\{ S = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, S \text{ is semi-positive definite} \right\}, \]
and consider the Fourier expansion of $\theta^*_\varphi$:
\[ \theta^*_\varphi(Z) = \sum_{S \in \Lambda_2} a(S)q^S, \quad (q^S = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}(SZ))). \]

Let $Z = X + \sqrt{-1}Y \in \mathfrak{H}_2$ and take $g_\infty \in \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_\infty \cdot \mathbf{i} = Z$.

**Lemma 7.5.** We have the following statements:
(i) If $a(S) \neq 0$, then $S = S_x$ for some $x \in X$, where $S_x$ is defined in (4.1).
(ii) We have
\[ W_{\theta(\varphi),\varphi}(g_\infty) = \text{vol}(U_{N,1}, dh_{\text{fin}}) \rho_\lambda(g_\infty, \mathbf{i})^{-1} \cdot a(S)q^S. \]

**Proof.** The first statement follows from (4.7). See also [HN17 Proposition 3.6].

We prove the second statement. Then the definition (4.6) of $W_{\theta(\varphi),\varphi}(g_\infty)$ shows that
\[ W_{\theta(\varphi),\varphi}(g_\infty) \]
\[ = \int_{U_1} \theta(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})(ug_\infty)\psi_S(u)du \]
\[ = \text{vol}(U_{N,1}, dh_{\text{fin}}) \cdot \int_{M_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash M_2(\mathbb{R})} \rho_\lambda \left( J \left( \begin{array}{cc} 12 & T \\ 02 & 12 \end{array} \right) g_\infty, \mathbf{i} \right)^{-1} \theta^*_\varphi \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 12 & T \\ 02 & 12 \end{array} \right) Z \right) \psi_{Q,\infty}(-\text{Tr}(ST))dT \]
\[ = \text{vol}(U_{N,1}, dh_{\text{fin}}) \sum_{S \in \Lambda_2} \int_{M_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash M_2(\mathbb{R})} \rho_\lambda(g_\infty, \mathbf{i})^{-1} \cdot a(S)q^S \psi_{Q,\infty}(\text{Tr}(S'T))\psi_{Q,\infty}(-\text{Tr}(ST))dT \]
\[ = \text{vol}(U_{N,1}, dh_{\text{fin}}) \rho_\lambda(g_\infty, \mathbf{i})^{-1} \cdot a(S)q^S. \]

We prepare some notation to give a relation between classical Fourier coefficients and Bessel periods of HST lifts. Let $x \in X$ as in Section 7.3 and $S = S_x$. Put
\[ Q_S(X, Y) = \left( (X, Y)S \left( \begin{array}{c} X \\ Y \end{array} \right) \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}, \]
\[ Q^*_{S}(X, Y) = g_\lambda(\mathbf{i}, \gamma_\infty)Q_S(X, Y)(\det \gamma_\infty)^{-n-4}. \]

Note that
\[ Q^*_{S}(X, Y) = Q_{S(\mathbf{i}, \gamma_\infty)}(X, Y)(\det \gamma_\infty)^{-n-2} = \left( -\frac{\Delta_\varphi}{4} \right)^{\frac{n}{4}} (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}. \]
Define a finite group $\text{Cl}_F^e(C)$ to be

$$F^* A^* \setminus F^*_\mathbb{A} / C^1 \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^e_F(C), \quad (C^1 := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1 \} \subset F^*_\mathbb{C}),$$

and denote by $\text{Cl}_F^e(C)^\vee$ the set of characters on $\text{Cl}_F^e(C)$.

**Proposition 7.6.** Let $\varsigma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ as in (7.3) and write

$$\tilde{\varsigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \varsigma & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & t_{\varsigma}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{A}).$$

Then, we have

$$(B_{S,\phi}(\tilde{\varsigma}), Q_S^1(X, Y)) = [\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^e_F(C)]^{-1} \text{vol}(U_{N,1}, dh_{fin}) e^{-4\pi C^{-n-4}} \sum_{[\eta] \in \text{Cl}_F^e(C)} \langle a(S^\eta), Q_{S^\eta}(X, Y) \rangle \phi(t).$$

**Proof.** Let $\Psi_F$ be defined in Section 7.2 which is characterized by the following formula:

$$i(t) = x \Psi_F(t), \quad \left( i(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

By the definition of $x$, we find that $\Psi_F : \text{Res}_F/Q \mathbb{G}_m/F \rightarrow T_S$. Recall also

$$j(t) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_F(t) & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & \det \Psi_F(t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \text{GSp}_4, \quad (t \in \text{Res}_F/Q \mathbb{G}_m/F, \det \Psi_F(t) = 1).$$

By (7.4), we find that

$$B_{S,\phi}(\tilde{\varsigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{A}^* T_S(\mathbb{Q})/T(\mathbb{A})} W_{\theta(\tilde{\varsigma}), S} (j(t) \tilde{\varsigma}) \phi(t) dt = \text{vol}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^e_F(C), dt) \sum_{[\eta] \in \text{Cl}_F^e(C)} W_{\theta(\tilde{\varsigma}), S} (j(t) \tilde{\varsigma}) \phi(t).$$

For each $[\eta] \in \text{Cl}_F^e(C)$, take a representative $t_0 \in F^*_\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}}$ of $[\eta]$. We write

$$\Psi_F(t_0) = a_{t,\text{fin}} u_t \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\text{fin}}), \quad (a_t \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}), u_t \in \text{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})),
\det \Psi_F(t_0) = a'_{t,\text{fin}} u'_t \in \mathbb{A}^*_{\text{fin}}, \quad (a'_t \in \mathbb{Q}^*, u'_t \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^*),$$

by the strong approximation theorem. Put

$$(7.12) \quad \gamma_t = (a'_t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} a_t, \quad S^\eta = t_{\gamma_t} S_{\gamma_t}, \quad g_{\infty} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{t,\infty}^{-1} & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & t_{\gamma_{t,\infty}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. $$

Then (7.6) and Lemma 7.5 yield that

$$W_{\theta(\tilde{\varsigma}), S} (j(t_0) \tilde{\varsigma}) = W_{\theta(\tilde{\varsigma}), S} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a_{t,\text{fin}} & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & a'_{t,\text{fin}} t_{\gamma_t,\text{fin}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_t^{-1} & 0_2 \\ 0_2 & t_{\gamma_t}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \text{vol}(U_{N,1}, dh_{fin}) \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}(S^\eta (g_{\infty} \cdot i))).$$

We find that

$$\text{Tr}(S^\eta (g_{\infty} \cdot i)) = \text{Tr}(t_{\gamma_t} S_{\gamma_t} \cdot \sqrt{-1} \gamma_{t,\infty}^{-1} \cdot (t_{\gamma_{t,\infty}}^{-1} \cdot (t_{\gamma_{t,\infty}}^{-1})^{-1})$$

$$= \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}(t_{\gamma_{t,\infty}}^{-1} S_{\gamma_{t,\infty}}) = \sqrt{-1} \text{Tr}(S_{\gamma_{t,\infty}}) = 2 \sqrt{-1}.$$
Summarizing the above computations and \[2.2\], we obtain

\[
\langle B_S, \phi(\zeta), Q_S^n(X, Y) \rangle_{\zeta} = \left[ \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} : \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}(C) \right]^{-1} \text{vol} \left( \mathcal{U}_{N,1}, dh_{\text{fin}} \right) e^{-4\pi} \\
\quad \times \sum_{i \in \text{Cl}_{p}(C)} \text{det}(\gamma_{\ell}^{-1})^{\kappa-1} a(S)_{\gamma_{\ell}}(Q_{S}^{n}(X, Y))_{\zeta} \phi(t)
\]

since \( \text{det} \gamma_{\ell} = C \). This shows the proposition. \( \square \)

In the next corollary, we clarify a relation between Fourier coefficients of HST lifts and the central value of the standard \( L \)-function of \( \pi \). For this purpose, we briefly recall the definition of Hida’s canonical period \( \Omega_{\pi,p} \) of \( \pi \) to discuss an integrality of \( a^{0}(S) \) for \( S \in \Lambda_{2} \) with det \( S = \frac{\Delta_{p}C^{2}}{4} \). The definition of \( \Omega_{\pi,p} \) is given in [HI94] Section 8] by using cuspidal cohomology groups, however we introduce a definition of \( \Omega_{\pi,p} \) by using cohomology group with compact support to ensure an integrality of \( L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi) \) according to the same idea in [HI94]. See [Na17] Section 3] for more detail of the definition. Let \( p \) be an odd prime and fix an isomorphism \( C \cong C_{p} \) as fields. Let

\[
Y_{\Omega} = F^{\times} \backslash \Gamma F_{A} / F_{A_{\infty}} \langle SU_{2}(R)U_{0}(\Omega) \rangle
\]

and \( \mathcal{L}(n; C_{p}) \) a local system on \( Y_{\Omega} \) which is defined in [HI94] Section 2], then we have the Eichler-Shimura-Harder isomorphism ([HI94]: Proposition 3.1]):

\[
\delta : S_{n+2}(U_{0}(\Omega)) \longrightarrow \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}}(Y_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}(n; C)),
\]

where \( \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}} \) is the cuspidal cohomology group. By [HI99] Section 2.1, we have a Hecke equivariant section:

\[
i : \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}}(Y_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}(n; C)) \longrightarrow \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}}(Y_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}(n; C))
\]

where \( \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}} \) is the cohomology group with compact support. The fixed isomorphism \( C \cong C_{p} \) induces an isomorphism between \( \mathcal{L}(n; C) \) and the \( p \)-adic avatar \( \mathcal{L}(n; C_{p}) \) ([Na17] Proposition 3.14]). Hence we have a Hecke equivariant map \( \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}}(Y_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}(n; C_{p})) \rightarrow \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}}(Y_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}(n; C_{p})) \), which we also denote by \( i \). The natural embedding \( \mathcal{O}_{C_{p}} \rightarrow C_{p} \) induces a map

\[
j : \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}}(Y_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}(n; C_{p})) \longrightarrow \text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}}(Y_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}(n; C_{p}))
\]

Let \( \eta \) be a generator of \( i \circ \delta(f) \cap j(\text{H}^{1}_{\text{cusp}}(Y_{\Omega}, \mathcal{L}(n; C_{p}))) \) as an \( \mathcal{O}_{C_{p}} \)-module. Then define Hida’s canonical period \( \Omega_{\pi,p} \in C_{p}^{\times} \) so that

\[
\Omega_{\pi,p} \eta f = i \circ \delta(f).
\]

Note that \( \Omega_{\pi,p} \) is unique up to multiplications of elements in \( \mathcal{O}_{C_{p}}^{\times} \).

**Corollary 7.7. Assume the conditions which are given in Theorem 7.4.**

(i) Let \( S = S_{\pi} + \Lambda_{2} \) be a matrix introduced in Section 7.3. For each \( t \in \text{Cl}_{p}(C) \), let \( \gamma_{t} \) and \( S^{\gamma_{t}} \) be as in (7.13). Then we have

\[
a^{0}(S)_{\gamma_{t}}(Q_{S}^{t}(X, Y))_{\zeta} = \frac{2^{-3} \sqrt{-\Delta_{F} \pi^{a_{t}}}}{\# \text{Cl}_{p}(C)} \sum_{\phi \in \text{Cl}_{p}(C)} L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi)_{\Omega_{\pi,p}} e(\pi, \phi, \delta) f_{\phi, t}(t) \prod_{[v|C]} \epsilon(0, \phi_{v}^{-1}).
\]

(ii) Let \( p \) be a rational prime number with \( p \not| 2 \cdot n! \cdot \# \text{Cl}_{p}(1) \). Then for each \( S \in \Lambda_{2} \) with det \( S = \frac{\Delta_{p}C^{2}}{4} \), \( a^{0}(S)/\Omega_{\pi,p} \) is \( p \)-adically integral.

(iii) Let \( p \) be a rational prime. Assume

- \( \delta(\infty) = -1 \) and \( \delta(\nu) = 1 \) for each finite \( \nu \in \Sigma_{Q} \);
the condition (LR) which is given in Corollary 5.8.

\( p \mid 2 \cdot n! \cdot \Delta_F \cdot Cl_F^1(1). \)

Then there exists a positive integer \( C \in \mathbb{Z} \) satisfying the condition (CF) in Section 7.3 and

\[ p \mid C \cdot \prod_{\ell \mid C} (\ell - 1) \]

such that the following statements are equivalent:

- For some \( \phi \in Cl_F^2(C) \), \( \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi)}{\Omega_{\pi, p}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times \).
- For some \( S \in \Lambda_2 \) with \( \det(S, \phi) \cdot a(0, \phi) = -1 \) and \( \frac{a(0, S)}{\Omega_{\pi, p}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times \).

**Proof.** We prove the first statement. The Fourier inversion theorem and Proposition 7.6 yield that

\[
\langle a(S^{\gamma_n}, Q_{S^{\gamma_n}}(X, Y)) \rangle = \frac{\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}_F^\times : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_F^\times(C) \rangle e^{\frac{4}{\pi} C n + 4} \cdot (\Delta_F)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}}{\text{vol}(\mathcal{H}_{n,1}(d) \mathcal{H}_F^1(C))} \sum_{\phi \in Cl_F^2(C)^\times} (B_S, \phi(S)) \cdot Q_S(X, Y) \cdot L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi \right) \epsilon(\pi, \phi, \delta) \phi^{-1}(t) \prod_{\nu \mid C} \epsilon(0, \phi^{-1}_\nu) \cdot (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \cdot (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \cdot \epsilon(0, \phi^{-1}_\nu).
\]

Since we have

\[
\left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \langle (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \cdot (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \rangle \cdot \epsilon(0, \hat{p}^{-1})
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) \det \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \cdot \sqrt{-1} \right)^{-n} \left( (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \cdot (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \right) \cdot \epsilon(0, \hat{p}^{-1})
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) 2^{-n} \langle (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \cdot (X^2 + Y^2)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \rangle \cdot \epsilon(0, \hat{p}^{-1})
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) 2^n (-1)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \cdot (-1)^{\frac{\hat{p}}{2}} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)^{-1}
\]

\[
= 2^n,
\]

this proves the first statement.

Since \( Cl_F^2(C) \) is a divisor of \( 2^{n} \cdot Cl_F^2(1) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{O}}_F^\times / \hat{\mathcal{O}}_F^\times(C) \), \( \text{(7.13)} \) shows the second statement.

We prove the third statement. The first two assumptions imply that \( \epsilon(\pi, \phi, \delta) \) is a power of 2 for sufficiently large \( C \in \mathbb{Z} \). The condition \( p \mid C \) implies \( p \mid \epsilon(0, \phi^{-1}) \) for each \( \phi \in Cl_1(C) \). Hence under the assumptions of the first statement, the first statement proves

\[
\text{(7.14)} \quad \frac{a(S^{\gamma_n})}{\Omega_{\pi, p}} = u_{F, n, C} \sum_{\phi \in Cl_F^2(C)^\times} L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi \right) \phi^{-1}(t) u_{\pi, \phi, \delta},
\]

for some \( u_{F, n, C}, u_{\pi, \phi, \delta} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times \). By using the Fourier inversion formula again, we also find that

\[
\text{(7.15)} \quad u_{\pi, \phi, \delta} L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \otimes \phi \right) \Omega_{\pi, p} = u'_{F, n, C} \sum_{[t] \in Cl_F^1(C)} \frac{a(S^{\gamma_n})}{\Omega_{\pi, p}} \phi(t),
\]

for some \( u'_{F, n, C} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times \). Then the third statement follows from \( \text{(7.14)} \) and \( \text{(7.15)} \) immediately. \( \Box \)
8. Proof of Theorem 7.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 7.4 by computing local integrals \( B_v(\varphi, \phi) \) in an explicit manner. Computations of non-archimedean local integrals are given in Section 8.1 and a computation of archimedean local integral is given in Section 8.2.

8.1. The non-archimedean local integrals. In this subsection, we compute

\[
B_v^j(\varphi, \phi) := B_v(\varphi, \phi) \left( \begin{array}{c} \varsigma_v \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0_2 \\ \Delta_v^{-1} \\ \end{array} \right), \quad (j \in \{0, 1\}),
\]

for each finite place \( v \in \Sigma_B \). See Lemma 8.2 for the result. We recall some notation. The ideal \( R \) of \( \mathcal{O}_F \) is the minimal ideal such that \( \pi \) has a \( U_0(R) \)-fixed vector. Define the positive integer \( N \) so that \( N \mathcal{Z} = R \mathcal{Z} \). We denote the discriminant of \( F \) by \( \Delta_F \) and put \( N_F = \text{l.c.m.}(N, \Delta_F) \). Recall \( \mathcal{U}_{N,1} \) be the open compact subgroup of \( H^0_1(\mathcal{A}_{\text{fin}}) \) which is introduced by 4.3 and 5.3. By the definition of \( V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \), it is easy to verify that

\[
\varrho(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v})V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \subset V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}).
\]

Let \( C \) be a positive integer satisfying the condition (CF) in Section 7.3. Put

\[
c_v = \text{ord}_v(C); \quad E_{C,v} = \left\{ h \in H^0_1(\mathcal{Q}_v) \mid h^{-1}x_v \in V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \oplus V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}) \right\}.
\]

For each finite place \( v \) of \( \mathcal{Q} \), let \( \varpi_v \) be a uniformizer of \( \mathcal{Q}_v \). If \( v = \mathfrak{w} \) is split, we write \( F_v = \mathbb{Q}_v \oplus \mathcal{Q}_v \) and let \( \varpi_v = (\varpi_{\mathfrak{w}}, 1), \varpi_{\mathfrak{w}} = (1, \varpi_v) \). The following lemma can be verified by a direct computation.

**Lemma 8.1.** Let \( \tilde{E}_{C,v} \) be a set of complete representatives of the double coset \( H_x(\mathcal{Q}_v)\backslash E_{C,v} / \mathcal{U}_{N,1,v} \). Then, \( \tilde{E}_{C,v} \) is given as follows:

(i) If \( v \nmid N \), then

\[
\tilde{E}_{C,v} = \left\{ \left\{ \varrho(1, 1) \right\}, \quad (v \text{ is non-split in } F), \quad \left\{ h' \left( \begin{array}{c} \varpi_v^{-r} \varpi_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-s} \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \right), 1 \right\} \mid 0 \leq r, s \leq c_v \right\}, \quad (v = \mathfrak{w} \text{ is split in } F).
\]

(ii) If \( v \mid N \), then

\[
\tilde{E}_{C,v} = \left\{ \varrho(1, 1), \varrho \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\delta_{F,v}^{-1} \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \right) \right\},
\]

where \( \delta_{F,v} \in F_v \) is a generator of the different \( \mathfrak{d}_v \) of \( F_v / \mathcal{Q}_v \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( v \nmid N \). By the Iwasawa decomposition, for each \( h \in H^0_1(\mathcal{Q}_v) \), we write

\[
h = \varrho \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \right) k, \quad (a \in F_v^\times, b \in F_v, k \in K_v, \alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_v^\times)
\]

with \( \text{Nr}_{F_v/\mathcal{Q}_v}(a \det k) \alpha^2 = 1 \). Since \( \varrho \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha^{-1} \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \right) \) is an element in \( H_x(\mathcal{Q}_v) \), each class \([h] \) of \( \tilde{E}_{C,v} \) is represented by \( h = \varrho \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \right) \) with \( \text{Nr}_{F_v/\mathcal{Q}_v}(a) = 1 \). Since \( h \in \tilde{E}_{C,v} \), \( h \) satisfies

\[
C \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ b \alpha - b \\ a \alpha' \\ \end{array} \right), \quad C \left( \begin{array}{c} \alpha \\ b \alpha' - b \alpha' \alpha^{-1} \\ a \alpha' \\ \end{array} \right) \in V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}).
\]

This yields that, for each \( t \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \),

\[
C \left( \begin{array}{c} -b + \alpha \\ a \alpha' \\ \end{array} \right) \in V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v}).
\]

Hence we find that \( b \in C^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_v^{-1} \) and \( \alpha \in C^{-1} \mathfrak{c}_v^{-1} \) with \( \text{Nr}_{F_v/\mathcal{Q}_v}(a) = 1 \). Then it is straightforward to verify the assertion in the case \( v \nmid N \).
Now we consider the case \( v \mid N \). Note that each class in \( E_\mathcal{C},z,v \) is represented by an element in
\[
\left\{ \phi \left( \left( \frac{1}{s}, \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^0 \right), 1 \right) \mid s \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \right\} \cup \left\{ \phi \left( \left( \frac{1}{s}, \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^{-1} \right), 1 \right) \mid s \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \right\}.
\]

Let \([\mathcal{h}]\) be a class of \( E_\mathcal{C},z,v \). Suppose that \([\mathcal{h}]\) is represented by \( \phi \left( \left( \frac{1}{s}, \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^0 \right), 1 \right) \) for some \( s \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \).

As we discussed above, we find that
\[
h^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^0 \\ s & 0 \end{array} \right) = C \begin{array}{c} C \end{array} \left( \text{Tr}_{F/Q}(s \varpi_v t) \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^0, t \right) \in V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v})
\]
for all \( t \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \). This yields that \( \text{Tr}_{F/Q}(s \varpi_v) \) and \( \text{Tr}_{F/Q}(s \varpi_v \varphi_F) \) are elements in \( N_{F,Z} \). Since \( \text{Tr}_{F/Q}(s \varpi_v t) \in N_{F,Z} \) for each \( t \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \), this shows that \( s \varpi_v \in N_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} \), and hence \([\mathcal{h}] = [\phi(1,1)] \in \bar{E}_\mathcal{C},z,v \).

Suppose that \([\mathcal{h}]\) is represented by \( \phi \left( \left( \frac{1}{s}, \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^0 \right), 1 \right) \) for some \( s \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \). Then we have
\[
h^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^0 \\ s & 0 \end{array} \right) = C \begin{array}{c} C \end{array} \left( \text{Tr}_{F/Q}(s \varpi_v) \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^0, t \right) \in V'(\mathcal{O}_{F,v})
\]
for all \( t \in \mathcal{O}_{F,v} \). Hence, in the same way as above, we find that \( s \in N_{\mathcal{O}_{F,v}} \). Note that
\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^0 \\ s & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ s & 1 \end{array} \right) \in U_{N,1,v}.
\]

Hence the class \([\mathcal{h}]\) is equal to the class \([\phi \left( \left( \frac{1}{s}, \delta_{\mathcal{F},v}^{-1} \right), 1 \right) \) \in \bar{E}_\mathcal{C},z,v \). This proves the lemma. \( \square \)

**Lemma 8.2.** Let \( CO_F \) be the conductor of \( \phi \). Suppose that
- \( \Delta_F \) and \( N_{IF/Q}(\Omega) \) are coprime;
- The condition (CF).

Let \( v \) be a finite place of \( Q \) and \( u \) a place of \( F \) above \( v \).

(i) If \( v \notin \Sigma_1 \cup \{ v \mid C \} \), then
\[
B_v^0(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(U_{N,1,v}, dh_v) L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right)
\]
\[
\times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1, \quad (v \nmid N), \\ (1 + \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right)), \quad (v \mid N, v \notin \mathcal{P}), \\ (1 + \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v^{-1} \right)), \quad (v \mid N, v \in \mathcal{P}) \end{array} \right\}
\]

(ii) If \( v \in \Sigma_1 \), then
\[
B_v^0(\varphi, \phi) + \delta(v) B_v^1(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(U_{N,1,v}, dh_v) (1 + \delta(v)) (1 + \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right)) L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right).
\]

(iii) If \( v \mid C \), then
\[
B_v^0(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(U_{N,1,v}, dh_v) p^{-2c_v} \epsilon(0, \phi_v^{-1}).
\]

**Proof.** Write \( n_w = \text{ord}_w(\Omega) \). Let \( n_v = (n_w, n_w) \) if \( v = w \varpi \) is split, and \( n_v = n_w \) if \( v = w^2 \) is ramified.

Suppose that \( v \notin \Sigma_1 \) and that \( \text{ord}_v(C) = 0 \). Firstly we assume that \( \pi_v \) is unramified. Then Lemma 8.1(i) shows that
\[
B_v^0(\varphi, \psi) = \text{vol}(U_{N,1,v}, dh_v) \int_{F_v^+} W_{F,v} \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \phi_v(t) d^x t = \text{vol}(U_{N,1,v}, dh_v) L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right).
\]
Assume that \( v \mid N \) and \( v \not\in \mathcal{P} \). Then Lemma 8.1(ii) shows that
\[
\mathcal{B}_v^0(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \cdot \int_{F_v^\infty} \phi_v(t) W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{d}^\infty t
\]
\[
+ \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \cdot \int_{F_v^\infty} \phi_v(t) W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{F,v}^{-1} \\ \delta_{F,v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{d}^\infty t
\]
\[
(8.1)
\]
\[
= \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right)
\]
\[
+ \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \cdot \int_{F_v^\infty} \phi_v(t) W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{F,v}^{-1} \\ \delta_{F,v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{d}^\infty t.
\]
Since the central character of \( \pi_v \) is trivial, (8.1) and a change of variable show that
\[
\text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v)(1 + \phi_v(w_n^v)\varepsilon(\pi_v))L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right),
\]
where \( \varepsilon(\pi_v) \) is the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue introduced in (3.6). By [Sc02 3.2.2 Theorem] and [Tat79 (3.4.6)], we find that
\[
\phi_v(w_n^v)\varepsilon(\pi_v) = \phi_v(w_n^v)\varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \right) = \varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right).
\]
This proves the first statement in this case.

Assume that \( v \mid N \) and that \( v = \varpi \in \mathcal{P} \). Suppose that \( w \not\mid \mathfrak{N} \) and \( w \mid \mathfrak{N} \). Let \( n_\varpi = \text{ord}_\varpi(\mathfrak{N}) \). Similarly as above, the definition of \( \mathcal{V}_v \) in (8.9) and Lemma 8.1(ii) show that
\[
\mathcal{B}_v^0(\varphi, \psi)
\]
\[
= \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \cdot \left\{ \int_{F_v^\infty} \left\{ W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon(\varpi^v)W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varpi_n^v \\ -\varpi_n^v & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \phi_v(t) \text{d}^\infty t
\]
\[
+ \int_{F_v^\infty} \left\{ W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon(\varpi^v)W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varpi_n^v \\ -\varpi_n^v & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \phi_v(t) \text{d}^\infty t \right\}
\]
\[
= \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \left\{ 1 + \varepsilon(\varpi^v)\phi_v(w_n^v) + \phi_v(w_n^v)\varepsilon(\pi_v) + \varepsilon(\varpi^v)\phi_v(w_n^v)\phi_v(w_n^v)\varepsilon(\pi_v) \right\} L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right).
\]
Since \( \phi_v^{-1} = \phi_v^c \), where \( \phi_v^c(t) = \phi_v(t^c) \), we obtain
\[
\mathcal{B}_v^0(\varphi, \psi) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v)(1 + \varepsilon(\varpi^v)\phi_v(w_n^v))(1 + \varepsilon(\varpi^v)\phi_v^{-1}(w_n^v))L \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v \right).
\]
Since \( \varepsilon(\varpi^v) = 1 \) and \( n_w = 0 \), we obtain
\[
(1 + \varepsilon(\varpi^v)\phi_v(w_n^v))(1 + \varepsilon(\varpi^v)\phi_v^{-1}(w_n^v)) = (1 + \varepsilon(\pi_v)\phi_v(w_n^v))(1 + \varepsilon(\pi_v)\phi_v^{-1}(w_n^v)).
\]
This proves the first statement.

We prove the second statement. Suppose that \( v \in \Sigma_1 \). Note that \( v \mid N \) by the definition of \( \Sigma_1 \) and that \( \mathcal{P} = \emptyset \) by \( \pi_v \cong \pi_v^c \) and that \( \text{ord}_v(C) = 0 \) by the condition (CF). By Lemma 8.1(ii) we have
\[
\mathcal{B}_v^0(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \cdot \int_{F_v^\infty} \phi_v(t) W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{d}^\infty t \quad (8.2)
\]
\[
+ \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \cdot \int_{F_v^\infty} \phi_v(t) W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{d}^\infty t,
\]
\[
\mathcal{B}_v^1(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \cdot \int_{F_v^\infty} \phi_v(t^c) W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \text{d}^\infty t \quad (8.3)
\]
\[
+ \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, \text{d}h_v) \cdot \int_{F_v^\infty} \phi_v(t^c) W_{F_l,v} \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{d}^\infty t,
\]
where \( w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). The both integrals (8.2) and (8.3) are computed in the same way with (8.1), and hence we obtain
\[
B_0^0(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, dh_v)(1 + \phi_v(\varpi_v^{n_v})\varepsilon(\pi_v))L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v), \\
B_1^0(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, dh_v)(1 + \phi_v^c(\varpi_v^{n_v})\varepsilon(\pi_v))L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v^c).
\]
Since \( \pi_v \cong \pi_v^c \) for \( v \in \Sigma_1 \), we find that
\[
L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v^c) = L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v^c \otimes \phi_v^c) = L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v).
\]
Also [Sc02 3.2.2 Theorem] and [Tat79 (3.4.6)] show that
\[
\epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v^{-1}) = \epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v)\phi_v^{-1}(\varpi_v^{n_v}) = \epsilon(\pi_v)\phi_v^{-1}(\varpi_v^{n_v}),
\]
where the third identity follows from the definition of the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue. Since \( \pi_v \cong \pi_v^c \), we have \( n_w = n_{w_0} \) and hence \( \phi_v(\varpi_v^{n_v}) = 1 \). In particular, we see that
\[
\epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v) = \epsilon(\pi_v)\phi_v(\varpi_v^{n_v}) = \epsilon(\pi_v).
\]
This shows that
\[
B_v^1(\varphi, \phi) = B_v^0(\varphi, \phi) = \text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, dh_v)(1 + \varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v))L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_v \otimes \phi_v),
\]
and hence we obtain the second statement.

We prove the third statement. Suppose that \( v \mid C \). By the condition (CF), \( v = w_1w_2 \) is split in \( F \) and \( \pi_v \) is unramified. Write \( \varpi_v = (\varpi_{w_1}, \varpi_{w_2}) \). By the Schrödinger realization (4.2) of the action of Weil representation, we find that
\[
\omega_{V_v}(\cdot)\varphi(h^{-1}x) = p^{-2c_v}, \quad (c_v = \text{ord}_v(C)).
\]
It follows from Lemma [Sc02 (1)] that
\[
(p^{-2c_v}\text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_{N,1,v}, dh)^{-1} \times B_v^0(\varphi, \phi) \\
= \sum_{0 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq c_v} \mathcal{F}_{F_v} \phi_v(t)W_{F_{1,v}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} \varpi_{w_1}^{-r_1} & \varpi_{w_2}^{-r_2} \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right) d^x t \\
= \sum_{r_1, r_2} \mathcal{F}_{F_v} \phi_v(t)\psi_v(\varpi_{w_1}^{-r_1}t_{w_1} + \varpi_{w_2}^{-r_2}t_{w_2})W_{F_{1,v}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) d^x t \\
= \prod_{i=1,2} \sum_{r_i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{F_{1,w_i}} \phi_{w_i}(u_{w_i}) \psi(\varpi_{w_i}^{-r_i}u_{w_i}) d^x u_{w_i}.
\]
Then [Sc02 1.1.1 Lemma] shows that the integral vanishes unless \( r_1 = r_2 = c_v, j = 0 \). Since \( \phi \) is anticyclotomic, we have \( \phi_{w_i}(\varpi_{w_i}^au_{w_i})\phi_{w_j}(\varpi_{w_j}^cu_{w_j}) = \phi(u) \) for each \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) and each \( u = (u_{w_1}, u_{w_2}) \in \mathcal{H}^x_{F_v} \). Since
\[
B_v^0(\varphi, \phi) = p^{-2c_v}\text{vol}(\mathcal{U}_v, dh) \cdot \prod_{i=1,2} \int_{\mathcal{H}_{F_{w_i}}} \phi_{w_i}(\varpi_{w_i}^{-c_v}u_{w_i}) \psi(\varpi_{w_i}^{-c_v}u) d^x u_{w_i},
\]
the proposition follows from the definition (2.1) of the \( \epsilon \)-factor of GL1. \( \square \)
8.2. The archimedean local integrals. In this subsection, we calculate the archimedean local integrals:

\[ B_\infty^j(\varphi, \phi) := B_\infty^j(\varphi, \phi) \left( \begin{array}{c} \infty \\ 0_2 \\ \infty^{-1}_2 \end{array} \right), \quad (j \in \{0, 1\}). \]

The following lemma is proved by Iwasawa decomposition in a straightforward way.

Lemma 8.3. The following map gives a bijection:

\[ C \times \{\pm 1\} \setminus \text{SU}_2(\mathbb{R}) \simeq H_x(\mathbb{R}) \setminus H^0_x(\mathbb{R}) : (z, u) \mapsto \varrho \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ \frac{z}{u} \end{array} \right). \]

We fix a measure \( dh \) on \( H_x(\mathbb{R}) \setminus H^0_x(\mathbb{R}) \) as follows:

\[ h = \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ x \sqrt{-1} y \end{array} \right) u, \quad dh = dx \wedge dy \wedge du, \quad \text{vol(SU}_2(\mathbb{R}), du) = 1. \]

We write \( dz = dx \wedge dy \) if \( z = x + \sqrt{-1} y \). Note that \( dh \) is induced by the measure on \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) in Section 6.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 8.4. We have

\[ B_\infty^0(\varphi, \phi) = -2^{-1} e^{-4\pi} p \Gamma_C \left( \frac{k}{2} \right)^2 \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \sqrt{-1}^{n+\alpha+1} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} (1)_{c}^{\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c} n - \alpha \\ \frac{n}{2} - c \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} n \alpha \end{array} \right) X^\alpha Y^{n-\alpha}, \]

\[ B_\infty^1(\varphi, \phi) = -B_\infty^0(\varphi, \phi). \]

Proof. We put \( B_\infty^j(\varphi, \phi) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n B_\alpha^j \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} n \alpha \end{array} \right) X^\alpha Y^{n-\alpha} \) for \( j = 0, 1 \). Then Lemma 8.3 shows that

\[ B_\alpha^0 = \int_{H_x(\mathbb{R}) \setminus H^0_x(\mathbb{R})} dh \int_{\mathbb{C}^x} d^x t \left( \varphi_\infty^{\alpha} (h^{-1} x), W_f, \infty \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \right) \psi_\infty(t). \]

Define a polynomial \( Q^\alpha(z, \bar{z}; X, Y) = Q^\alpha(z, \bar{z}; X, Y) e^{-\pi (4z\bar{z}+4)}, \)

\[ Q^\alpha(z, \bar{z}; X, Y) = P^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \sqrt{-1} y \end{array} \right), \quad (\sqrt{-1} x, -\sqrt{-1} x) \right) = Q^\alpha(z, \bar{z}; X, Y) e^{-\pi (4z\bar{z}+4)}, \]

where we write \( z = x + \sqrt{-1} y \). Then we find that

\[ Q^\alpha(z, \bar{z}; X, Y) = P^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \sqrt{-1} y \end{array} \right), \quad (\sqrt{-1} x, -\sqrt{-1} x) \right) \]

\[ = 2 \sqrt{-1}^{n-\alpha+1} (z X^2 - 2 XY - \bar{Y}^2)(X \bar{X} - (z - \bar{z})XY + Y^2) \alpha(X \bar{X} + (z + \bar{z})XY - Y^2) \]

\[ = 2 \sqrt{-1}^{n-\alpha+1} (z X^2 - 2 XY - \bar{Y}^2) \]

\[ \sum_{p, q, u, v, s, a, b} \frac{\alpha!}{p!q!l!u!v!s!a!b!} \cdot (n - \alpha)! \cdot (1)^{s} X^{p} (XY)^{u+s} Y^{q} X^{r} (XY)^{a+b} Y^{2s} \]

\[ = 2 \sqrt{-1}^{n-\alpha+1} (z X^2 - 2 XY - \bar{Y}^2) \]

\[ \sum_{p, q, u, v, s, a, b} \frac{\alpha!}{p!q!l!u!v!s!a!b!} \cdot (n - \alpha)! \cdot (1)^{s} X^{p} (XY)^{u+s} Y^{q} X^{r} (XY)^{a+b} Y^{2s}, \]

where \( p, q, u, v, s, a, b \) run over the set of rational integers with \( p + q + u + v = \alpha, r + s + a + b = n - \alpha \). To obtain the formula for \( B_\alpha^0 \), we consider the following integral:

\[ \mathcal{W}_\alpha^0(t) := \frac{1}{2} e^{-4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_\infty(t) Q^\alpha(z, \bar{z}; X, Y) e^{-4\pi z} dx dy. \]

To proceed the computation, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 8.5. Let \( c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) and \( A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Write \( z = x + \sqrt{-1}y \in \mathbb{C} \). Then we have the following identities:

(i) \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-4\pi i z} \psi_{F,\infty}(zt) \, dx \, dy = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\pi it} \).

(ii) \( \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^A \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^B e^{ct} \, dt = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \begin{array}{c} A \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} B \\ j \end{array} \right) j! \cdot e^{-j\pi t}A-jB-j \, e^{ct} \).

(iii) \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} z^A \bar{z}^B e^{-4\pi i z} \psi_{F,\infty}(zt) \, dx \, dy = 2^{-2-A-B} \sqrt{-1}^{A+B} \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \begin{array}{c} A \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} B \\ j \end{array} \right) j! \cdot (\pi)^{-j} \sqrt{-1}^{A-jB-j} \, e^{-\pi it} \).

Proof. The first two formulas easily follow. The third one follows from these two:

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} z^A \bar{z}^B e^{-4\pi i z} \psi_{F,\infty}(zt) \, dx \, dy = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \, \partial} \right)^A \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \, \partial} \right)^B \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-4\pi i z} e^{Tr_{C/\mathbb{R}(iz)}} \, dx \, dy
\]

\[
= 2^{-2-A-B} \sqrt{-1}^{A+B} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \, \partial} \right)^A \left( \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1} \, \partial} \right)^B \frac{1}{4} e^{-\pi it} \]

\[
= 2^{-2-A-B} \sqrt{-1}^{A+B} \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \begin{array}{c} A \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} B \\ j \end{array} \right) j! \cdot (\pi)^{-j} \sqrt{-1}^{A-jB-j} \, e^{-\pi it}. \square
\]

Applying Lemma 5.5 we find that \( \mathcal{W}_0^0(z) \) is equal to

\[
e^{-4\pi \sqrt{-1}^{a+1}} \sum_{p,q,u,v,r,s,a,b} \frac{\alpha! \, (n-a)!}{p!q!u!v!r!s!a!b!} \cdot (1)^{u+s} \cdot 2^{-2-(u+a)-(v+b)} \sqrt{-1}^{u+v+a+b} \]

\[
\times \sum_j \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a+1 \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b \\ j \end{array} \right) \right) iX^2 - 2 \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b \\ j \end{array} \right) XY
\]

\[
-2^{-1} \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b+1 \\ j \end{array} \right) iY^2 \right) \]

\[
\times j! \cdot (\pi)^{-j} \sqrt{-1}^{u+v+a+b} \]

\[
= 2^{-3} e^{-4\pi \sqrt{-1}^{a+1}} \sum_{p,q,u,v,r,s,a,b} \frac{\alpha! \, (n-a)!}{p!q!u!v!r!s!a!b!} \cdot (1)^{u+s} \cdot 2^{-u-a-b} \sqrt{-1}^{u+v+a+b} \]

\[
\times \sum_j \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a+1 \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b \\ j \end{array} \right) \right) iX^2 - 4 \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b \\ j \end{array} \right) XY
\]

\[
-2^{-1} \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b+1 \\ j \end{array} \right) iY^2 \right) \]

\[
\times j! \cdot (\pi)^{-j} \sqrt{-1}^{u+v+a+b} \]

\[
\times \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b \\ j \end{array} \right) tX^2 - 4 \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b \\ j \end{array} \right) XY
\]

\[
-2^{-1} \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} v+b+1 \\ j \end{array} \right) tY^2 \right) \]

\[
\times t^{u+v+a+b-2j} e^{-\pi t} \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a+b+p+r-q-s \\ u+v+a+b+2p+2r \end{array} \right)_X u+v+a+b+2p+2r \]

\[
\times \mathcal{W}_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} e^{\frac{-it}{2}} \\ 0 \\ e^{-\frac{-it}{2}} \end{array} \right) \right) \right)_{\mathcal{W}}
\]

\[
= 2^{-3} e^{-4\pi \sqrt{-1}^{a+1}} \sum_{p,q,u,v,r,s,a,b,j} \frac{\alpha! \, (n-a)!}{p!q!u!v!r!s!a!b!} \cdot (1)^{u+s} \cdot 2^{-u-a-b} \sqrt{-1}^{u+v+a+b} \]

\[
\times j! \cdot (\pi)^{-j} e^{-\pi t^2} \left( \begin{array}{c} u+a+b+p+r-q-s \\ u+v+a+b+2p+2r \end{array} \right)_X u+v+a+b+2p+2r \]

\[
\times \mathcal{W}_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} e^{\frac{-it}{2}} \\ 0 \\ e^{-\frac{-it}{2}} \end{array} \right) \right) \right) \right)_{\mathcal{W}}.
\]
Define
\[ l = \frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad m = \frac{n - \alpha}{2}, \quad l^\pm(w) = \frac{\alpha \pm w}{2}, \quad m^\pm(w) = \frac{n - \alpha \pm w}{2}, \quad (w \in \mathbb{Z}). \]

Consider the following identities:
\[ p = l^+(w) - v, \quad q = l^-(w) - u, \quad r = m^+(w) - b, \quad s = m^-(w) - a \quad (w \in \mathbb{Z}), \]
then we find that
\[ p + q + u + v = \alpha, \quad r + s + a + b = n - \alpha, \quad -u + v - a + b + p + r - q - s = 0, \]
\[ u + v + a + b + 2p + 2r = n + a - b + u - v, \quad u + v + a + b + 2q + 2s = n - a + b - u + v. \]

Thus the integral on \( \theta \) is given by
\[
(8.4) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left\langle \mathcal{W}_a^0(te^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}), W_{f^1, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{rac{\theta}{2\pi}} \right) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{W}_{-a}^0 \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-rac{\theta}{2\pi}} \right) \right\rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}
\]
\[ = 2^{-3} e^{-4\pi \sqrt{1^{n-a+1}}} \sum_{u,v,a,b,j,w} \left( \frac{\alpha}{l^+(w)} \right) \left( \frac{l^-(w)}{u} \right) \left( \frac{l^+(w)}{v} \right) \left( \frac{n - \alpha}{m^+(w)} \right) \left( \frac{m^+(w)}{a} \right) \left( \frac{m^-(w)}{b} \right)
\times (-1)^{m^+(w)+u+a+2} \sqrt{1^{u+v+a+b} j! \left( \frac{u}{\pi} \right)^j t^{u+v+a+b-2j} e^{-\pi t^2}}
\times \left\langle \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u + a + 1 \\ j \end{array} \right) \right) \left( v + b \right) tX^2 - 4 \left( \begin{array}{c} u + a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( v + b \right) XY - \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u + a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( v + b + 1 \right) tY^2 \right\rangle
\times X^{n+a-b+u+v} W_{f^1, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \left\langle \mathcal{W}_{-a}^0 \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\rangle \right\rangle,
\]
where \( u, v, a, b, j, w \) run over the set of rational integers. An explicit formula (8.10) of Whittaker functions yields that (8.4) is equal to
\[
(8.5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left\langle \mathcal{W}_a^0(te^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}), W_{f^1, \infty} \left( \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\rangle \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}
\]
\[ = 2^{-3} e^{-4\pi \sqrt{1^{n-a+1}}} \sum_{u,v,a,b,j,w} \left( \frac{\alpha}{l^+(w)} \right) \left( \frac{l^-(w)}{u} \right) \left( \frac{l^+(w)}{v} \right) \left( \frac{n - \alpha}{m^+(w)} \right) \left( \frac{m^+(w)}{a} \right) \left( \frac{m^-(w)}{b} \right)
\times (-1)^{m^+(w)+u+a+2} \sqrt{1^{u+v+a+b} j! \left( \frac{u}{\pi} \right)^j t^{u+v+a+b-2j} e^{-\pi t^2}}
\times \left\langle \left( \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u + a + 1 \\ j \end{array} \right) \right) \left( v + b \right) \sqrt{-1}^{s-b+u-v+1} t^{n+3} K_{a-b+u-v+1} (4\pi t)
\right.
\left. - 4 \left( \begin{array}{c} u + a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( v + b \right) \sqrt{-1}^{t-b+u-v} t^{n+2} K_{a-b+u-v} (4\pi t)
\right.
\left. - \sqrt{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} u + a \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( v + b + 1 \right) \sqrt{-1}^{s-b+u-v} t^{n+3} K_{a-b+u-v-1} (4\pi t) \right\rangle.
\]
Changing variables \( u \) by \( u - 1 \) (resp. \( b \) by \( b - 1 \)) in the first (resp. third) sum in (8.5), (8.5) is equal to
\[
2 e^{-4\pi \sqrt{1^{n-a+1}}} \sum_{u,v,a,b,j,w} \left( \frac{\alpha}{l^+(w)} \right) \left( \frac{n - \alpha}{m^+(w)} \right) \left( \frac{m^+(w)}{a} \right) \left( \frac{l^+(w)}{u} \right) \left( \frac{v + b}{j} \right)
\times (-1)^{m^+(w)+u+a+2} \sqrt{1^{u+v+a+b} j! \left( \frac{u}{\pi} \right)^j t^{u+v+a+b+2j} e^{-\pi t^2}} K_{a-b+u-v} (4\pi t)
\times \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} l^-(w) \\ u - 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} m^-(w) \\ b \end{array} \right) + 2 \left( \begin{array}{c} l^-(w) \\ u \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} m^-(w) \\ b \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} l^-(w) \\ b - 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} m^-(w) \\ b \end{array} \right) \right\}
= -2^2 e^{-4\pi \sqrt{1^{n-a+1}}} \sum_{u,v,a,b,j,w} \left( \frac{\alpha}{l^+(w)} \right) \left( \frac{n - \alpha}{m^+(w)} \right) \left( \frac{m^+(w)}{a} \right) \left( \frac{l^+(w)}{u} \right) \left( \frac{v + b}{j} \right).
The following lemma is well known:

Then the above computations are summarized as follows:

We put

We then put

Then the above computations are summarized as follows:

To compute \( s^w_\lambda = s^2\alpha - n + w \), we introduce some confluent hypergeometric functions:

The following lemma is well known:

**Lemma 8.6.** We have the following identities:

\[
\omega(z; -\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \binom{n}{b} \frac{(b + \alpha)!}{\alpha!} z^{-b} \omega(z; -\alpha - b, \beta + n), \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_\geq 0),
\]

\[
\omega(z; -\alpha, \beta) = \omega(z; -\alpha, \beta + 1), \quad \omega(z; 0) = \omega(z; 1, 1) = 1.
\]

In addition, for a constant \( 0 < c \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
\int_0^\infty r^\alpha K_\beta(4\pi r)e^{-cr^2}dr = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right) (2\pi)^{-\alpha} \omega \left( \frac{4\pi}{c} ; \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} + 1, \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right).
\]

**Proof.** The first three identities are proved in [H19a, Chapter 9]. The fourth identity can be deduced easily from the integral expression of the Bessel function \( K_\alpha(z) \) which is given in (23). \( \square \)

**Lemma 8.6** states that

\[
s^w_\lambda = \sum_{u,v,a,b,j} 2^{-u-v-a-b} j! (-\pi)^{-j} \binom{m^+(w)}{a} \binom{l^+(w)}{v} \binom{u + a}{j} \binom{v + b}{j} \binom{l^-(w) + 1}{u} \binom{m^-(w)}{b}
\]

\[
\times \int_0^\infty t^{n+u+v+a+b+2} e^{-\pi t^2} K_{a-b+u-v}(4\pi t)dt \times \left\{ \binom{t^-(w) + 1}{u} \binom{m^-(w)}{b}, \quad (*) = \lambda, \right. \\
\left. \binom{t^-(w)}{u} \binom{m^-(w) + 1}{b}, \quad (*) = \mu. \right\}
\]

To compute \( s^w_\lambda = s^{2\alpha - n + w} \), we introduce some confluent hypergeometric functions:

\[
\zeta(z; \alpha, \beta) := \int_0^\infty (1 + x)^{n-1} x^{\beta-1} e^{-zx} dx; \quad \omega(z; \alpha, \beta) := z^\beta \Gamma(\beta)^{-1} \zeta(z; \alpha, \beta).
\]

The following lemma is well known:

**Lemma 8.6.** We have the following identities:

\[
\omega(z; -\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \binom{n}{b} \frac{(b + \alpha)!}{\alpha!} z^{-b} \omega(z; -\alpha - b, \beta + n), \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_\geq 0),
\]

\[
\omega(z; -\alpha, \beta) = \omega(z; -\alpha, \beta + 1), \quad \omega(z; 0) = \omega(z; 1, 1) = 1.
\]

In addition, for a constant \( 0 < c \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[
\int_0^\infty r^\alpha K_\beta(4\pi r)e^{-cr^2}dr = \frac{1}{4} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right) (2\pi)^{-\alpha} \omega \left( \frac{4\pi}{c} ; \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} + 1, \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right).
\]

**Proof.** The first three identities are proved in [H19a, Chapter 9]. The fourth identity can be deduced easily from the integral expression of the Bessel function \( K_\alpha(z) \) which is given in (23). \( \square \)

**Lemma 8.6** states that

\[
s^w_\lambda = \sum_{u,v,a,b,j} 2^{-u-v-a-b} j! (-\pi)^{-j} \binom{m^+(w)}{a} \binom{l^+(w)}{v} \binom{u + a}{j} \binom{v + b}{j} \binom{l^-} \binom{m^-}{b}
\]

\[
\times \int_0^\infty t^{n+u+v+a+b+2} e^{-\pi t^2} K_{a-b+u-v}(4\pi t)dt \times \left\{ \binom{t^- + 1}{u} \binom{m^-}{b}, \quad (*) = \lambda, \right. \\
\left. \binom{t^-}{u} \binom{m^- + 1}{b}, \quad (*) = \mu. \right\}
\]
By changing the variable $b$ (resp. $u$) by $b - v + j$ (resp. $u - a$), we find that

$$s_w^N = \sum_{u,v,a,b,j} 2^{u-b-j} j! (-\pi)^{-j} \left( \begin{array}{c} m^+ (w) \\ a \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} l^+ (w) \\ v \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} b + j \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} l^- (w) + 1 \\ u - a \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} m^- (w) \\ b - v + j \end{array} \right)$$

$$\times \frac{1}{4} \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} + b + 1 \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} + u + 1 - j \right)$$

$$\times (2\pi)^{-n+u-b-2+j} \cdot \omega \left( 4\pi; 1 - \left( \frac{n}{2} + b + 1 \right), \frac{n}{2} + u + 1 - j \right)$$

$$= 2^{2-2} (2\pi)^{-n+u-2} \sum_{u,b,j} \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} b + j \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} m^+ (w) \\ a \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} l^- (w) + 1 \\ u - a \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} l^+ (w) \\ v \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} m^- (w) \\ b - v + j \end{array} \right)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{n}{2} + b \right)! \left( \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right)! \cdot j! (-1)^{j} (4\pi)^{-u-b} \cdot \omega \left( 4\pi; - \left( \frac{n}{2} + b \right), 1 + \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right)$$

$$= 2^{2-2} (2\pi)^{-n+u-2} \sum_{u,b,j} \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} b + j \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} + 1 \\ u \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} \\ b \end{array} \right)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{n}{2} + b \right)! \left( \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right)! \cdot j! (-1)^{j} (4\pi)^{-u} \cdot \omega \left( 4\pi; - \left( \frac{n}{2} + b \right), 1 + \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right).$$

An elementary identity \( (b+j)_j \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} \\ b \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} \\ b \end{array} \right) \) shows that

$$s_w^N = 2^{2-2} (2\pi)^{-n+u-2} \sum_{u,b,j} \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} + 1 \\ u \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} \\ b \end{array} \right)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{n}{2} + b \right)! \left( \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right)! \cdot j! (-1)^{j} (4\pi)^{-u} \cdot \omega \left( 4\pi; - \left( \frac{n}{2} + b \right), 1 + \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right)$$

$$= 2^{2-2} (2\pi)^{-n+u-2} \sum_{u,b,j} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} + 1 \\ u \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} \\ u - j \end{array} \right) \times j! (-1)^{j} (4\pi)^{-u}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{n}{2} + b \right)! \cdot \left( \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right)! \cdot (4\pi)^{-b} \cdot \omega \left( 4\pi; - \left( \frac{n}{2} + b \right), 1 + \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right).$$

Lemma 8.6 shows that

$$s_w^N = 2^{2-2} (2\pi)^{-n+u-2} \sum_{u,b,j} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} + 1 \\ u \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ j \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} \\ u - j \end{array} \right) \times j! (-1)^{j} (4\pi)^{-u} \times \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)! \cdot \omega \left( 4\pi; - \left( \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right), 1 + u \right)$$

$$= 2^{2-2} (2\pi)^{-n+u-2} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)! \sum_{u} \sum_{j} \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ j \end{array} \right) (-1)^{j} \left( \frac{n}{2} + u - j \right)! j! \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)! \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2} + 1 \\ u \end{array} \right) \cdot (4\pi)^{-u} \omega \left( 4\pi; -u, 1 + \frac{n}{2} \right).$$

The following elementary lemma is easily verified by induction.

**Lemma 8.7.** For non-negative integers $A$ and $B$, we have

$$\sum_{j} \left( \begin{array}{c} A \\ j \end{array} \right) (-1)^{j} \frac{(A - j + B)!}{(B - j)!} = A!.$$
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In particular, for each \( w \in \mathbb{Z} \), we find that

\[
S_\chi^w = S_\mu^w = \frac{1}{4} (2\pi)^{-(n+2)} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)^2.
\]

Hence we obtain

\[
\mathcal{B}_\alpha^0 = -2^2 e^{-4\pi} \sqrt{-1}^{\alpha+1} \times \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-(n+2)} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 \sum_w (-1)^{m(w)} \left( \frac{\alpha}{l^+} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha}{m^+} \right) (s_\chi^w + s_\mu^w)
\]

\[
= -2^{-1} e^{-4\pi} \sqrt{-1}^{\alpha+1} \Gamma_C \left( \frac{n+2}{2} \right)^2 \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^c \left( \frac{n}{2} - c \right),
\]

where \( \Gamma_C(s) \) is defined to be \( 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \). This proves the first identity in Lemma 8.4.

We proceed to compute \( \mathcal{B}_\infty^1(\phi, \phi) \). Set

\[
w_0 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right).
\]

Since \( H_\infty(R) \) is stable under the conjugation by \( w_0 \), we can take \( \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) w \mid w \in C, u \in SU_2(R) \right\} \) to be a set of representatives of \( H_\infty(R) / H_1^0(R) \). Hence, for \( t \in C^\times \), we have

\[
\mathcal{W}_\alpha^1(t) := \int_{H_\infty(R) / H_1^0(R)} \langle \varphi_\infty(h^{-1} \cdot x_{\infty}), W_{\Gamma, \infty} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) w_0 h \right) \rangle d\mu dh
\]

\[
= \int_{C} \langle \varphi_\infty \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)^{-1} \cdot x_{\infty} \right), W_{\Gamma, \infty} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -z \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) w_0 \right) \rangle d\mu dz
\]

(8.6)

\[
= \int_{C} \langle \varphi_\infty \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)^{-1} \cdot x_{\infty} \right), W_{\Gamma, \infty} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right) \rangle d\mu dz
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} e^{-4\pi} \sqrt{-1}^{\alpha+1} \int_{C} e^{-4\pi z \bar{z}} \psi_{\Gamma, \infty}(t \bar{z}) \cdot \langle Q^\alpha(\bar{z}, z; Y, -X), W_{\Gamma, \infty} \left( \left( \begin{array}{cc} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \right) \rangle d\mu dz.
\]

Note that

\[
Q^\alpha(\bar{z}, z; Y, -X) = (-1)^{\alpha+1} Q^\alpha(z, \bar{z}; X, Y).
\]

Thus (8.3) yields that

\[
\mathcal{W}_\alpha^1(t) = (-1)^{\alpha+1} \mathcal{W}_\alpha^0(t).
\]

This shows the claim in the case where \( \alpha \) is even. If \( \alpha \) is odd, (8.11) shows that

\[
\mathcal{B}_\alpha^1 = (-1)^{\alpha+1} \mathcal{B}_\alpha^0 = 0.
\]

This finishes the proof of Lemma 8.4 \( \square \)

Appendix

In Section 5.2 we write a conjecture on certain integral \( I_n \) (Conjecture 6.3), which gives us an explicit formula of a local archimedean integral (Lemma 6.5) and an explicit inner product formula (Theorem 5.6). Although Conjecture 6.3 can be checked by a computer for \( n = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8 \), we need certain special formulas on Bessel functions and hypergeometric functions in the computation. Hence we include this appendix which gives a proof of Conjecture 6.3 in the case that \( n = 0 \) to explain how we check the conjecture.

We recall some notation in Section 5.2. Let

\[
V_\infty = \left\{ \left( \frac{p}{\sqrt{-1}q} \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}l}{p} \right) \mid p \in \mathbb{C}, q, r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}; \quad X_\infty = V_\infty^{\boxplus 2}.
\]
Recall that \( \varphi_\infty \in \mathcal{S}(X_\infty) \otimes C \) \( W_{2n+2}(C) \otimes C \) \( \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(C) \) is the Bruhat-Schwartz function which is introduced in (4.5). Write

\[
\varphi_\infty(x) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} P_{j}^\alpha(x)e^{-\pi Tr(x_1^\dagger \pi_1 + x_2^\dagger \pi_2)}X^{n+1+j}Y^{n+1-j} \otimes \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) X^\alpha Y^{n-\alpha},
\]

where \( P_{j}^\alpha(x) \) is a polynomial function in \( x = (x_1, x_2) \in X_\infty \). We also recall that \( W_{\sigma, \infty} \) associated with \( \sigma_\infty \) is the Whittaker function which is characterized as follows:

\[
W_{\sigma, \infty}\left( \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = 2^4 \times \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} \sqrt{-1}^j t^{n+2} K_j(4\pi t)(X^{n+1+j}Y^{n+1-j})^\nu, \quad (0 < t \in \mathbb{R}).
\]

Denote by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2n+2} \) (resp. \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_C = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n \)) the pairing on \( W_{2n+2}(C)^{\otimes 2} \) (resp. \( \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(C)^{\otimes 2} \)) which is introduced in Section 2.2. Then the definition of \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W \) shows that

\[
\langle \varphi_\infty(x), W_{\sigma, \infty}\left( \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \rangle_W = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} P_{j}^\alpha(x)e^{-\pi Tr(x_1^\dagger \pi_1 + x_2^\dagger \pi_2)} \cdot 2^4 \sqrt{-1}^j t^{n+2} K_j(4\pi t) \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) X^\alpha Y^{n-\alpha}.
\]

Then integral \( I_n \) in Conjecture 6.3 is written as

\[
I_n = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{a - a^{-1}}{a} \right)^2 da \int_{X_\infty} dx \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \left( \frac{t}{t} \right)^{n+1} \times 2^8 \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n} \sum_{j=-n-1}^{n+1} P_{j}^\alpha \left( \left( \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{-1} r_1} \sqrt{-1} q_1 \right), \left( \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{-1} r_2} \sqrt{-1} q_2 \right) \right) \times \frac{P_{j}^{\alpha-\alpha} \left( \left( \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{-1} r_1} \sqrt{-1} q_1 \right), \left( \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{-1} r_2} \sqrt{-1} q_2 \right) \right)}{\left( \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{-1} r_1} \sqrt{-1} q_1 \right), \left( \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{-1} r_2} \sqrt{-1} q_2 \right)} \times (-1)^\alpha \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right) \times 2^4 \sqrt{-1}^j t^{n+2} K_j(4\pi t) e^{-\pi (4p_1 p_2 + (1+\alpha^2)q_1^2 + (1+\alpha^2)q_2^2 + (1+\alpha^2)r_1^2 + (1+\alpha^2)r_2^2)},
\]

where

\[
x = \left( \left( \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{-1} r_1} \sqrt{-1} q_1 \right), \left( \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{-1} r_2} \sqrt{-1} q_2 \right) \right) \in X_\infty.
\]

In Appendix, we prove the following proposition:

**Proposition 8.8.** We have \( I_0 = 2^{-8} \cdot \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \). Hence Conjecture 6.3 is true for \( n = 0 \).

**Proof.** Write \( x = (x_1, x_2) = \left( \left( \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{-1} r_1} \sqrt{-1} q_1 \right), \left( \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{-1} r_2} \sqrt{-1} q_2 \right) \right) \in X_\infty \). Recall

\[
\varphi_\infty(x) = p \left( \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \right) = aX^2 + 2bXY + cY^2
\]

and that the Bruhat-Schwartz function \( \varphi_\infty \) which we fixed in (4.6) is written as

\[
\varphi_\infty(x) = p \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} (x_1 + x_2) \cdot u_0 + \frac{1}{2} (x_2 + x_1) \cdot u_0 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (x_2 + x_2) \right) \right) e^{-\pi Tr(x_1^\dagger \pi_1 + x_2^\dagger \pi_2)} \times e^{-\pi (2p_1 p_2 + q_1^2 + r_1^2 + 2p_2 p_2 + q_2^2 + r_2^2)}.\]
Hence we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\langle \varphi_\infty(x), W_\sigma,\infty \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \rangle_W \\
= &\, 2^3 e^{-\pi(2p_1p_2+q_1^2+r_1^2+2p_2p_2+q_2^2+r_2^2)} \times \left\{ -\sqrt{1-(p_1^2+q_2^2)-(q_1+r_1)p_2} \times \sqrt{-1} t^2 K_{-1}(4\pi t) \\
&\quad + 2(p_1p_2-p_1p_2) \times t^2 K_0(4\pi t) \\
&\quad + \sqrt{1-(p_1^2+q_2^2)-(q_1+r_1)p_2} Y^2 \times (\sqrt{-1}) t^2 K_1(4\pi t) \right\} \\
= &\, 2^3 e^{-\pi(2p_1p_2+q_1^2+r_1^2+2p_2p_2+q_2^2+r_2^2)} \times \left\{ 2(p_1p_2-p_1p_2)a^2t^2 K_0(4\pi at) \\
&\quad + ((p_1+p_\bar{p})(a^{-1}q_2+ar_2) - (a^{-1}q_1+ar_1)(p_2+p_\bar{p}))a^2t^2 K_1(4\pi at) \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

These two identities show that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\langle \varphi_\infty \left( \begin{array}{c} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 \\ a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right) x, W_\sigma,\infty \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} a^\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right) \rangle_W \\
= &\, 2^3 e^{-\pi(2p_1p_2+a^{-2}q_1^2+a^2r_1^2+2p_2p_2+a^{-2}q_2^2+a^2r_2^2)} \times \left\{ t^4 K_0(4\pi t)K_0(4\pi at)4a^2(p_1p_\bar{p}-p_1p_\bar{p})(p_1-p_\bar{p}) \\
&\quad + t^4 K_0(4\pi t)K_0(4\pi at)2t^2(p_1p_\bar{p}-p_1p_\bar{p})((p_1+p_\bar{p})(aq_2+a^3r_2) - (aq_1+a^3r_1)(p_2+p_\bar{p})) \\
&\quad + t^4 K_1(4\pi t)K_0(4\pi at)2a^2((p_1+p_\bar{p})(q_2+r_2) - (q_1+r_1)(p_2+p_\bar{p})) \\
&\quad + t^4 K_1(4\pi t)K_1(4\pi at)((p_1+p_\bar{p})(q_2+r_2) - (q_1+r_1)(p_2+p_\bar{p})) \\
&\quad \times ((p_1+p_\bar{p})(aq_2+a^3r_2) - (aq_1+a^3r_1)(p_2+p_\bar{p})) \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Considering the integral on \( X_\infty \), only the following terms contribute the integral:

\[
e^{-\pi(4p_1p_\bar{p}+(1+a^{-2})q_1^2+(1+a^2)r_1^2+4p_2p_\bar{p}+(1+a^{-2})q_2^2+(1+a^2)r_2^2)} \times \left\{ t^4 K_0(4\pi t)K_0(4\pi at)4a^2(p_1p_\bar{p}-p_1p_\bar{p})^2 \\
= t^4 K_1(4\pi t)K_1(4\pi at)((p_1+p_\bar{p})^2(aq_2^2+a^3r_2^2) + (aq_1^2+a^3r_1^2)(p_2+p_\bar{p})^2) \right\},
\]

since

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha u^2} du = 0
\]

for each \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \). In the straightforward way, we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{X_\infty} &\, e^{-\pi(4p_1p_\bar{p}+(1+a^{-2})q_1^2+(1+a^2)r_1^2+4p_2p_\bar{p}+(1+a^{-2})q_2^2+(1+a^2)r_2^2)} \\
&\quad \times 4a^2(p_1p_\bar{p}-p_1p_\bar{p})(p_1-p_\bar{p}) \, dx = \frac{a^4}{2^5(1+a^2)^2\pi^2},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{X_\infty} &\, e^{-\pi(4p_1p_\bar{p}+(1+a^{-2})q_1^2+(1+a^2)r_1^2+4p_2p_\bar{p}+(1+a^{-2})q_2^2+(1+a^2)r_2^2)} \\
&\quad \times ((p_1+p_\bar{p})^2(aq_2^2+a^3r_2^2) + (aq_1^2+a^3r_1^2)(p_2+p_\bar{p})^2) \, dx = \frac{a^5}{2^4(1+a^2)^3\pi^2}.
\end{align*}
\]
Put $z = 1 - a^2$. Then [MOS66, page 101] shows that

\[
\int_0^\infty t^4 K_0(4\pi t)K_0(4\pi at)\frac{dt}{t} = 2 \cdot (4\pi)^{-4} \frac{1}{\Gamma(4)} \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{2}\right)^4 \times 2 F_1\left(\frac{4}{2} \frac{4}{2}; 4; z\right)
\]

\[
= 2^{-8} \cdot 3^{-1} \cdot \pi^{-4} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(2)\Gamma(2)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(n+4)} \frac{z^n}{n!} = 2^{-7} \cdot \pi^{-4} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{2}{n+3} - \frac{1}{n+2}\right) z^n
\]

\[
= 2^{-7} \cdot \pi^{-4} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{z^3} \left(\log(1-z) - z - \frac{z^2}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{z^2}(\log(1-z) - z)\right),
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty t^4 K_1(4\pi t)K_1(4\pi at)\frac{dt}{t} = 2 \cdot (4\pi)^{-5}(4\pi a) \frac{1}{\Gamma(4)} \Gamma\left(\frac{6}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{2}\right)^2 \times 2 F_1\left(\frac{6}{2} \frac{4}{2}; 4; 1-a^2\right)
\]

\[
= 2^{-7} \cdot 3^{-1} \cdot \pi^{-4} a \cdot 2 F_1\left(3, 2; 4; 1-a^2\right) = 2^{-7} \cdot 3^{-1} \cdot \pi^{-4} a \cdot \frac{\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(2)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+3)}{\Gamma(n+4)} \frac{z^n}{n!}
\]

\[
= 2^{-7} \cdot \pi^{-4} a \cdot \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{2}{n+3}\right) z^n
\]

\[
= 2^{-7} \cdot \pi^{-4} a \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1-z} - \frac{2}{z^3} \left(\log(1-z) - z - \frac{z^2}{2}\right)\right).
\]

Since

\[
2^{-7} \cdot \pi^{-4} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{z^3} \left(\log(1-z) - z - \frac{z^2}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{z^2}(\log(1-z) - z)\right) \cdot \frac{a^4}{2^5(1+a^2)^2\pi^2}
\]

\[
+ 2^{-7} \cdot \pi^{-4} a \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1-z} - \frac{2}{z^3} \left(\log(1-z) - z - \frac{z^2}{2}\right)\right) \cdot \frac{a^5}{2^5(1+a^2)^3\pi^2}
\]

\[
= 2^{-12} \cdot \pi^{-6} \left\{ \frac{4 \log(a)}{(1-a^2)^3} - 2(1-a^2)^{-2} - (1-a^2)^{-1} - \frac{2 \log(a)}{(1-a^3)^2} + (1-a^2)^{-1}\right\} \cdot \frac{a^4}{(1+a^2)^2}
\]

\[
+ 2a \left(\frac{a^2 - 4 \log(a)}{(1-a^2)^3} + 2(1-a^2)^{-2} + (1-a^2)^{-1}\right) \cdot \frac{a^5}{(1+a^2)^2}
\]

\[
= 2^{-11} \cdot \pi^{-6} \cdot \frac{a^4 \log(a)}{(1-a^2)(1+a^2)^4},
\]

the integral $I_0$ is given by

\[
2^6 \int_0^1 2^{-11} \cdot \pi^{-6} \cdot \frac{a^4 \log(a)}{(1-a^2)(1+a^2)^3} \left(\frac{a-a^{-1}}{a}\right)^2 da = 2^{-5} \cdot \pi^{-6} \int_0^1 a(a-1)^2 \log(a) da.
\]

Since

\[
\frac{d}{da} \left(\frac{1+a^2+2a^2 \log(a)}{4(1+a^2)^2}\right) = \frac{a(1-a^2) \log(a)}{(1+a^2)^3},
\]

we find that

\[
\int_0^1 \frac{a(1-a^2) \log(a)}{(1+a^2)^3} da = -2^{-3}.
\]

Therefore $I_0 = 2^{-8} \pi^{-6}$. 

\[\square\]
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