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Abstract
We provide a complete classification of generalized and formal symmetries and local conservation laws for an evolution equation which generalizes the Kawahara equation having important applications in the study of plasma waves and capillary-gravity water waves.

In particular, we show that the equation under study admits no genuinely generalized symmetries and has only finitely many local conservation laws, and thus this equation is not symmetry integrable.

1 Introduction

The Kawahara equation, see [8], as well as [7, 16] and references therein, has the form
\[ u_t = \alpha uu_x + \beta u^2 u_x + \gamma u_{xxx} + \mu u^5_x, \tag{1} \]
where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and \( \mu \) are constants. This equation arises inter alia in the modeling of plasma waves and capillary-gravity waves, see [7, 8] and references therein, and to this day remains a subject of intense research, see e.g. [2, 5, 7].

Below we consider the following natural generalization of the Kawahara equation:
\[ u_t = au^5_x + bu_{xxx} + f(u)u_x, \tag{2} \]
where \( a, b \) are constants and \( f = f(u) \) is a function of \( u \) only. For the sake of brevity we will refer to (2) as to the generalized Kawahara equation (GKE). Upon rescaling of \( t \) we can get rid of the coefficient \( a \), so from now on we assume without loss of generality that \( a = 1 \). Note that the equation (2) includes as special cases some other known generalizations of (1), cf. e.g. [5, 16].

Note that while the authors of [7] consider a slightly broader generalization of (1) than (2), namely,
\[ u_t = a(t)u^5_x + b(t)u_{xxx} + c(t)f(u)u_x, \tag{3} \]
but, unlike our paper, their research dealt only with the Lie point symmetries rather than the generalized ones.

It is well known that symmetries and conservation laws are very helpful in both the search for exact solutions and the numerical simulations [15]. On the other hand, integrable systems are well known to have rich symmetry algebras, cf. e.g. [10, 14, 15, 20] and references therein. A simple observation that the computation of symmetries is, to a large extent, an algorithmic procedure, cf. e.g. [15], has, in a series of nontrivial developments, lead to symmetry-based integrability tests and the related notion of symmetry integrability. For a recent survey on the latter see [13],
and for integrability in general and the transformations arising in the course of classification of integrable systems see e.g. [3, 6, 9, 10, 13, 17, 21, 24] and references therein.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state our main results, that is, the full classification of generalized symmetries and local conservation laws for GKE (2). For the reader’s convenience we recall the basic results from the symmetry integrability theory in Section 3. In order to establish our main results, in Section 4 we prove nonexistence of formal symmetries of rank greater than twelve for GKE (2). Finally in Section 5 we employ this nonexistence result and the Hamiltonian structure of GKE (2) to establish restrictions on the orders of generalized symmetries and local conservation laws the GKE (2) could possess.

2 Main results

The first of our results is that GKE (2) admits no genuinely generalized symmetries and thus is not symmetry integrable; all its generalized symmetries are described by the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** For an arbitrary function \( f \) equation (2) admits only generalized symmetries which are equivalent to Lie point ones. Moreover,

1) if \( f \) is an arbitrary function of \( u \) such that \( u\partial f/\partial u, \partial f/\partial u \) and 1 are not linearly dependent, then (2) has just two linearly independent symmetries with the characteristics \( Q_1 = u_{5x} + bu_{xxx} + fu_x \) and \( Q_2 = u_z \);

2) if \( u\partial f/\partial u, \partial f/\partial u \) and 1 are linearly dependent we have two cases:

   (a) if \( \partial^2 f/\partial u^2 = 0 \), that is, \( f = \alpha u + \beta \), where \( \alpha, \beta \) are constants, then (2) admits, in addition to two symmetries listed in 1), a symmetry with the characteristic \( Q_3 = tu_x + 1/\alpha \);

   (b) if \( \partial^2 f/\partial u^2 \neq 0 \), so \( f = \gamma \ln(u+c) + \delta \), where \( \gamma, \delta \) and \( c \) are constants, then (2) admits, in addition to the two symmetries listed in 1), a symmetry with the characteristic \( Q_4 = tu_x + (u+c)/\gamma \).

In this connection note that while the authors of [7] investigated the Lie point symmetries for equation (3), which is slightly more general than (2), the generalized symmetries of either (2) or (3) to the best of our knowledge were not studied in full generality in the earlier literature. While we considered only a special case, (2), of (3) we have obtained a stronger result, namely a complete description of all generalized symmetries.

**Theorem 2.** For an arbitrary function \( f \) equation (2) admits only local conservation laws with the characteristics of order not greater than four. Moreover, the following holds:

1) if \( \partial^2 f/\partial u^2 \neq 0 \), then equation (2) has just three linearly independent local conservation laws with the conserved densities \( p_1 = u, p_2 = u^2 \) and \( p_3 = (u^2_x - bu^2_x)/2 + \tilde{r} \), where \( \tilde{r}(u) \) is defined by the formula \( \tilde{r}/\partial u = r(u) \), and the associated fluxes

\[
\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2} \partial f/\partial u u^2 + u_{xx}b + u_{4x},
\]

\[
\sigma_2 = u_{4x} - u_{xxx}u_x + \frac{1}{2} u_{xx}^2 + bu_{xxx} - \frac{1}{2} bu_x^2 + \frac{1}{3} \partial f/\partial u u^3,
\]

\[
\sigma_3 = -fu_x^2 + \frac{\partial f/\partial u}{u_x^2} u_{xx}^2 - b^2 u_x u_{xxx} + \frac{1}{2} b^2 u_{xx}^2 + r bu_{xxx} - fu_x u_{xxx} + fu_{xx} + 2bu_{4x} u_x - bu_{5x} u_x - bu_{xxx} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{r}^2 + ru_{4x} + \frac{1}{2} u_{4x}^2 - u_{5x} u_{xxx} + u_{xx} u_{6x};
\]
2) if \( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u^2} = 0 \), then \( f = \alpha u + \beta \), where \( \alpha, \beta \) are constants, and (2) admits, in addition to the local conservation laws listed in 1), a local conservation law with the conserved density \( \rho_4 = xu + \alpha u^2 / 2 \) and the associated flux

\[
\sigma_4 = \frac{1}{6} \alpha \left( -3bu_x^2 + 6bux + 6uxu_x - 6uxux_x + 3u_x^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 tu^3 + 3b(xu_x - u_x) + xu_4 - u_xxx.
\]

While these conservation laws were already found in [7] as a result of the search for local conservation laws with the characteristics of order up to four, we go significantly further: namely, we prove that (2) has no other local conservation laws (with the characteristics of arbitrarily high order) whatsoever.

3 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall briefly recall a number of known results following mostly [11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 19, 22, 23].

We consider an evolution equation in two independent and one dependent variable of the form

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_i x^i (K) \partial / \partial u^i = 0,
\]

(4)

(4)

For partial derivatives in \( x \) we employ the usual abbreviated notation, for example \( \partial^3 u / \partial x^3 = u_{xx} \), \( \partial^4 u / \partial x^4 = u_{xxxx} \), and so on, including \( u_0 \equiv u \).

Furthermore let \( D_x \) and \( D_t \) be total derivatives in \( x \) and \( t \) restricted to (4), that is:

\[
D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_{(i+1)x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_x}, \quad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_i x^i (K) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_x}
\]

Recall [9, 10] that a local function is a smooth function that may depend on \( x, t, u, u_x, \ldots, u_k \) for an arbitrary but finite \( k \).

A function \( f(x, t, u, \ldots, u_{kx}) \) is called a rational local function if and only if it can be written as

\[
f = \frac{g}{h},
\]

where \( g, h \) are local functions polynomial in all their arguments. We shall denote the (differential) field of rational local functions by \( \mathcal{A}_0 \), cf. e.g. [4, 18].

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a differential extension field of \( \mathcal{A}_0 \) such that \( K \in \mathcal{A}, \) so \( \mathcal{A} \) is closed under \( D_x \) and \( D_t \). In what follows we will refer to the elements of \( \mathcal{A} \) as to the differential functions. Unless explicitly stated otherwise all functions below are assumed to belong to \( \mathcal{A} \).

An evolutionary vector field with the characteristic \( Q \) from \( \mathcal{A} \) has the form

\[
\mathbf{v}_Q = Q \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.
\]

Such a vector field \( \mathbf{v}_Q \) is called a generalized symmetry for (4) iff its characteristic \( Q \) satisfies

\[
D_t (Q) = D_K (Q).
\]

(5)

Here, for \( F = F(x, t, u, u_x, \ldots, u_{kx}) \in \mathcal{A} \) we denote by \( D_F \) the (formal) Fréchet derivative

\[
D_F = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i} D_x^i.
\]

(6)
Following (11) consider an algebra \( \mathcal{L} \) of formal series in \( \xi \) of the form
\[
L = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{k} a_i \xi^i, 
\]
where the coefficients \( a_i \) are from the differential field \( \mathcal{A} \). The degree of such a formal series is defined as the greatest \( j \) such that \( a_j \neq 0 \), with the convention that \( \deg 0 = -\infty \).

The multiplication of two monomials is defined by the formula
\[
a\xi^i \circ b\xi^j = a \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i(i-1) \cdots (i-k+1) D_k^k(b) \xi^{i+j-k}}{k!},
\]
which by virtue of linearity is extended to the whole \( \mathcal{L} \). Such a multiplication can be shown to be associative which implies that the commutator \([P, Q] = P \circ Q - Q \circ P\) endows \( \mathcal{L} \) with a Lie algebra structure. To simplify writing, in what follows \( \circ \) would be omitted whenever there is no risk of confusion.

Next, for any \( L \in \mathcal{L} \) define its formal adjoint \( L^* \) as follows:
\[
\text{if } L = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{q} a_i \xi^i, \text{ then } L^* = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{q} (-\xi)^i \circ a_i.
\]

A formal symmetry of rank \( k \) for (4) is a formal series \( L \in \mathcal{L} \) of order \( m \) which satisfies
\[
\deg(D_t(L) - [\hat{D}_K, L]) \leq m + n - k,
\]
where for any \( F = F(x, t, u, u_x, \ldots, u_{kx}) \in \mathcal{A} \) we define
\[
\hat{D}_F = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_i} \xi^i.
\]

For any differential function \( F \in \mathcal{A} \) we define its order \( \text{ord} F \) as \( \deg \hat{D}_F \).
Without loss of generality any local conservation law for (4) can be assumed to take the form,
\[
D_t(\rho) - D_x(\sigma) = \hat{D}_F,
\]
where a function \( \rho \in \mathcal{A} \) is called a conserved density of such conservation law and \( \sigma \in \mathcal{A} \) is the associated flux. We shall omit the word local, since we will deal only with local conservation laws, densities and fluxes. The characteristic of a conservation law (10) for (4) is a differential function \( P \in \mathcal{A} \), which satisfies
\[
D_t(\rho) - D_x(\sigma) = P \cdot (u_x - K).
\]

Two conservation laws for (4), \( D_t(\rho) = D_x(\sigma) \) and \( D_t(\bar{\rho}) = D_x(\bar{\sigma}) \) are equivalent, see e.g. [15], if there exists a differential function \( \zeta \in \mathcal{A} \) such that \( \rho - \bar{\rho} = D_x(\zeta) \) and \( \bar{\sigma} - \sigma = D_t(\zeta) \).

It is readily seen that two equivalent conservation laws share the same characteristic. Below we shall tacitly assume that the conservation laws are considered modulo the above equivalence.
An evolutionary partial differential equation (4) is said to be Hamiltonian if it can be written in the form

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mathcal{D} \delta \mathcal{H},
\]
(11)

where \(\mathcal{D}\) is a Hamiltonian operator, see e.g. Ch. 7 of [15] for details, \(\delta\) is the operator of variational derivative and \(\mathcal{H} = \int H \, dx\), where \(H \in \mathcal{A}\), is usually referred to as the Hamiltonian functional, or just the Hamiltonian.

Recall that the operator of variational derivative \(\delta\) is defined as follows:

\[
\delta \mathcal{H} = \frac{\delta}{\delta u} \int H \, dx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-D_x)^i \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \right).
\]
(12)

Lemma 1. ([15]) Consider a Hamiltonian equation in the form (11) and let (10) define a conservation law. Then \(\mathcal{D} (\delta \rho/\delta u)\) is a characteristic of a symmetry of this equation.

4 Nonexistence of formal symmetries

As we shall see in the next section, Theorems 1 and 2 are consequences of the following result:

Theorem 3. If \(b \neq 0\) and \(f\) is a nonconstant function of \(u\) only, then GKE (2) has no nontrivial formal symmetry of rank 13 or greater.

Proof of Theorem 3. We will prove Theorem 3 by contradiction, so suppose that there exists a nonconstant \(L \in \mathcal{L}\) with \(\deg L \neq 0\), which is a formal symmetry of rank 13 (or greater). If so, this \(L\) must satisfy equation (9), namely:

\[
\deg \left( \mathcal{D}_t (L) - [\mathcal{D}_K, L] \right) \leq \deg L + \deg \mathcal{D}_K - 13.
\]
(13)

Without loss of generality (cf. [12, 13, 15, 19]) we set \(\deg L = 1\), so \(L\) takes the form

\[
L = g \xi + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} l_i \xi^{-i},
\]

with \(g, l_i \in \mathcal{A}\). Then equation (13) boils down to

\[
\deg (\mathcal{D}_t (L) - [\mathcal{D}_K, L]) \leq -7.
\]
(14)

We now need to equate to zero the coefficients at \(\xi^i\) step by step, starting from \(i = 5\) (it is readily seen that the coefficients at \(\xi^i\) for \(i > 5\) are identically zeroes) and going down.

For \(i = 5\) we get

\[-5D_x(g) = 0.
\]

This means that \(g\) is an arbitrary function of \(t\) only, as the kernel of \(D_x\) is exhausted by such functions [15].

Next we equate to zero the coefficient at \(\xi^4\) which yields

\[-5D_x(l_0) = 0,
\]

so likewise \(l_0\) is a function of \(t\) alone.

If we continue as above for \(i = 4, 3, 2\) we obtain equations of the same shape as above,

\[-5D_x(l_i) = 0.
\]
which are solved in the same way as the one for \( g \).

For \( i = 1, 0, -1, \ldots \) we get slightly more complicated equations, namely

\[
-5D_x(l_i) = F_i
\]

for some \( F_i \in \mathcal{A} \) which for \( i = 1, 0, -1, -2 \) are however solved just as easily as above. Recall [15] that a necessary condition for this kind of equations to be solvable in the class of differential functions is that the equality \( \delta F_i / \delta u = 0 \) holds.

The first case when the condition \( \delta F_i / \delta u = 0 \) is nontrivial occurs for \( i = -3 \).

It can be readily checked that for \( \delta F_{-3} / \delta u = 0 \) to hold we have to solve the following system:

\[
-5g \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial u^3} = 0, \quad 3 \frac{\partial f \partial q}{25 \partial u} = 0.
\]

The first equation tells us that if \( \partial^3 f / \partial u^3 \neq 0 \) we arrive at a contradiction with our initial assumption, because in this case \( g \) would have to be zero, i.e., for the case when \( \partial^3 f / \partial u^3 \neq 0 \) our theorem is already proved.

We shall now continue with the case where \( f \) is at most quadratic polynomial in \( u \). Using the transformation \( u \rightarrow u - p_1/(2p_2) \) we can turn any polynomial \( f = p_2u^2 + p_1u + p_0 \) into \( f(u) = p_2u^2 + \tilde{p}_0 \). Quite similarly we can eliminate \( \tilde{p}_0 \) by transformation \( x \rightarrow x + \tilde{p}_0t \) and by rescaling \( u \rightarrow u/\sqrt{p_2} \) to get rid of \( p_2 \). Thus without loss of generality we are left with the case

\[
f(u) = u^2.
\]

Then, if we take a closer look at the second equation in (16), we see that

\[
\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = 0,
\]

which can be satisfied only if \( g \) is a constant.

For \( i = -4 \) the condition \( \delta F_{-4} / \delta u = 0 \) yields

\[
\frac{6}{25} \frac{\partial l_0}{\partial t} = 0,
\]

so \( l_0 \) turns out to be a constant rather than a function of \( t \).

However, we know that constants are trivial formal symmetries for any equation [4], so to simplify our computations we put \( l_0 = 0 \) without loss of generality.

For \( i = -5, -6 \) we have \( \partial l_1 / \partial t = 0 \), so \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) are arbitrary constants just like \( g \).

Finally for \( i = -7 \) we obtain the system which contains, among other, the equation

\[
g = 0,
\]

which contradicts the initial assumption that \( \deg L = 1 \) so the proof is completed.

\[\square\]

5 Generalized symmetries and conservation laws

We shall need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.** If \( G \) is a characteristic of generalized symmetry of order \( s \) for GKE [2] then \( \hat{D}_G \) is a formal symmetry of degree \( s \) and rank at least \( s + 4 \) for this equation.
Proof. Indeed, suppose that $G$ is a characteristic of a symmetry, then by virtue of $D_t(G) = D_K(G)$, where $K$ now denotes the right-hand side of (2), we have

$$D_t(\hat{D}_G) - [\hat{D}_K, \hat{D}_G] - D_G(\hat{D}_K) = 0,$$

so

$$\text{deg} \left( D_t(\hat{D}_G) - [\hat{D}_K, \hat{D}_G] \right) = \text{deg} \left( D_G(\hat{D}_K) \right),$$

but as in our case

$$\hat{D}_K = \xi^5 + b\xi^3 + f\xi + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} u_x,$$

we have

$$\text{deg} \left( D_G(\hat{D}_K) \right) \leq 1,$$

and we see that $\hat{D}_G$ indeed is a formal symmetry for (2) of rank (at least) $s + 4$, where $s$ is the order of $G$.

By the above lemma Theorem 3 implies that GKE has no generalized symmetries of order greater than 8. All generalized symmetries of order up to 8 can be readily computed, and, in particular, we arrive at the following result:

**Proposition 1.** GKE admits only generalized symmetries which are equivalent to Lie point ones, i.e., it has no genuinely generalized symmetries.

The subsequent computation of Lie point symmetries for GKE (2) gives rise to Theorem 1.

Next, using the Hamiltonian structure we obtain the following

**Proposition 2.** GKE (2) admits only local conservation laws with the characteristics of order not greater than four.

*Sketch of the proof.* Recall, see e.g. [7], that (2) admits a Hamiltonian operator $\mathcal{Q} = D_x$. Using Lemma 1 we see that applying the operator $\mathcal{Q}$ to a characteristic $P$ of a conservation law yields a characteristic of a symmetry of order higher by one than that of $P$ thus we have to consider only conservation laws with order one less than the greatest order of previously found symmetries which was five (cf. [25] for a similar argument). Thus, the most general conservation law for (2) has a characteristic of the form $P = P(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}, u_{4x})$.

Using Proposition 2 we can readily find all local conservation laws for GKE (2) which we present in Theorem 2.
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