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Abstract: In this paper we continue the study of the defocusing, energy-subcritical nonlinear wave equation with radial initial data lying in the critical Sobolev space. In this case we prove scattering in the critical norm when $3 < p < 5$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the defocusing, nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1,$$

for $3 < p < 5$. This problem has the critical scaling symmetry

$$u(t, x) \mapsto \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda t, \lambda x).$$

Under this scaling, the critical Sobolev exponent

$$s_c = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$$

is preserved. In this paper we continue the study that we began in [4], proving

Theorem 1.1 The defocusing, nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1,$$

is globally well-posed and scattering for radial initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, there exists a function $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that if $u$ solves (1.1) with initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}$, then

$$\|u\|_{L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq f(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c-1}}).$$

There are a number of reasons to conjecture that such a result is true. First, it is known that critical Sobolev regularity completely determines local well-posedness.
**Theorem 1.2** The equation (1.4) is locally well-posed for initial data in $u_0 \in H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $u_1 \in \dot{H}^{s_c-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ on some interval $[-T(u_0,u_1), T(u_0,u_1)]$. The time of well-posedness $T(u_0,u_1)$ depends on the profile of the initial data $(u_0,u_1)$, not just its size.

Additional regularity is enough to give a lower bound on the time of well-posedness. Therefore, there exists some $T(\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}}, \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c-1}}) > 0$ for any $s_c < \frac{4}{3}$.

There also is good reason to think that in the defocusing case the local solution ought to be global. In general, a solution to (1.1) conserves the energy

$$E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int u_t(t,x)^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+2} \int |u(t,x)|^{p+1} dx. \quad (1.6)$$

Since (1.4) is energy-subcritical, conservation of energy implies that (1.4) is globally well-posed for any initial data $u_0 \in H^{s_c} \cap H^1$ and $u_1 \in H^{s_c-1} \cap L^2$.

Indeed, by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$E(u(0)) \lesssim \|u_0(0)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|
abla u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|\nabla u(0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \|u(0)\|_{H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{p-1}, \quad (1.7)$$

and therefore,

$$E(u(0)) \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^p}^2 + \|\nabla u(0)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (1.8)$$

By conservation of energy, $E(u(0)) = E(u(t))$, and therefore (1.8) gives a uniform bound over the norm $\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$. Then since (1.4) is energy-subcritical, a uniform bound over the energy is enough to ensure global well-posedness.

**Remark:** This is not true for the focusing problem, which will not be discussed here.

Moreover, the lack of a conserved quantity at the critical Sobolev regularity $s_c < 1$ is the only obstacle to proving global well-posedness and scattering for (1.4) with radial data. Indeed,

**Theorem 1.3** Suppose $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $u_1 \in \dot{H}^{s_c-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are radial functions, and $u$ solves (1.4) on a maximal interval $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$, with

$$\sup_{t \in I} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_t(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

Then $I = \mathbb{R}$ and the solution $u$ scatters both forward and backward in time.

**Proof:** See [12]. \(\square\)

The proof of Theorem 1.3 in [12] used the concentration compactness method. Such methods have been well utilized to study the quintic nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + u^5 = 0, \quad u(0,x) = u_0, \quad u_t(0,x) = u_1. \quad (1.10)$$
The qualitative behavior of the quintic wave equation has been completely worked out, proving both global well-posedness and scattering, for both the radial ([6], [16]) and the nonradial case ([2], [7], [11]). The proof relies very heavily on conservation of the energy

\[ E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int u_t(t, x)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{6} \int u(t, x)^6 \, dx. \]  

(1.11)

Conservation of energy ensures a uniform bound over the critical Sobolev norm, which guarantees that (1.9) holds for (1.10). Conservation of energy also yields a Morawetz estimate,

\[ \int \int \frac{u(t, x)^6}{|x|} \, dx \, dt \lesssim E(u(0)), \]  

(1.12)

which gives a space-time integral estimate for a solution to (1.10).

In order to make use of conservation of energy in the proof of Theorem 1.1, the Fourier truncation method is used. The initial data is split into two pieces, a piece with small \( H^{s_c} \times H^{s_c-1} \) norm, and a piece with finite energy. Then, a solution \( u(t) \) to (1.4) is shown to have the decomposition

\[ u(t) = v(t) + w(t), \]  

(1.13)

where \( v(t) \) has uniformly bounded energy, and \( w(t) \) is a small data scattering solution to (1.4). By Theorem 1.3, a uniform bound on the energy of \( v(t) \) is enough to imply global well-posedness of (1.4).

**Remark:** The Fourier truncation method was used in [9] to prove global well-posedness for the cubic problem when \( s > \frac{3}{4} \).

To prove scattering, the wave equation (1.4) is rewritten in hyperbolic coordinates. These coordinates were quite useful to the cubic wave equation because the hyperbolic energy scales like the \( H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2} \) norm. For \( 3 < p < 5 \), the hyperbolic energy and the energy “sandwich” the \( H^{s_c} \times H^{s_c-1} \) norm, giving scattering.

**Remark:** Previously, [13] used hyperbolic coordinates to prove scattering for (1.4) with radial data lying in the energy space and a weighted Sobolev space. The weighted Sobolev space used in [13] also scales like the \( H^{1/2} \times H^{-1/2} \) norm.

As in [3], energy and hyperbolic energy bounds merely give a scattering size bound for any initial data in the critical Sobolev space, but with scattering size depending on the initial data \( (u_0, u_1) \), and not just its size. To prove a scattering size bound that depends on the size of the initial data, use Zorn’s lemma. As in [3] and [4], it is shown by a profile decomposition that if \( (u_0^n, u_1^n) H^{s_c} \times H^{s_c-1} \) is a bounded sequence, then \( \| u^n \|_{L^p_t(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \) is also uniformly bounded.

3
Remark: The upper bound in (1.5) is completely qualitative. Concentration compactness-type arguments that proved scattering in the energy-critical case also obtained a quantitative bound. See for example [17]. Here we do not obtain any quantitative bounds at all. In the author’s opinion, it would be very interesting to obtain some sort of quantitative bound.

We begin by proving global well-posedness for the $p = 4$ case. We then generalize this global well-posedness result to any $3 < p < 5$. After proving global well-posedness, the hyperbolic coordinates are well-defined. In section four, we prove an estimate on the initial data, before obtaining a scattering bound in section five. We conclude with a Zorn’s lemma argument in section six.
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2 $p = 4$ case

To simplify exposition by considering a specific case, consider (1.1) with $p = 4$,

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + |u|^3 u = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

In this case

$$s_c = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p} = \frac{5}{6}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

Global well-posedness is proved by the Fourier truncation method. Split

$$v_0 = P_{\leq 1} u_0, \quad w_0 = P_{> 1} u_0, \quad v_1 = P_{\leq 1} u_1, \quad w_1 = P_{> 1} u_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

and rescale by (1.2) so that

$$\|(v_0, w_1)\|_{\dot{H}^{5/6} \times \dot{H}^{-1/6}} < \epsilon.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

By Theorem 1.3 (2.1) has a local solution. Decompose the solution to (2.1), $u = v + w$, where

$$w_{tt} - \Delta w + |w|^3 w = 0, \quad w(0, x) = w_0, \quad w_t(0, x) = w_1, $$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

$$v_{tt} - \Delta v + |u|^3 u - |w|^3 w = 0, \quad v(0, x) = v_0, \quad v_t(0, x) = v_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

Small data arguments and Strichartz estimates show that (2.5) is globally well-posed and scattering.

Theorem 2.1 Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $t_0 \in I$, be an interval and let $u$ solve the linear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = F, \quad u(t_0) = u_0, \quad u_t(t_0) = u_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)
Then we have the estimates
\[ \|u\|_{L^p_t L^q_x(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u\|_{L^p_t H^s(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_t\|_{L^q_t H^{s-1}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq p,q,\tilde{p},\tilde{q} \quad \text{whenever } s \geq 0, \quad 2 \leq p, \tilde{p} \leq \infty, \quad 2 \leq q, \tilde{q} < \infty, \quad \text{and} \]
\[ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\tilde{q}} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \]  
(2.8)

**Proof:** Theorem 2.1 was proved for \( p = q = 4 \) in [15] and then in [5] for a general choice of \((p,q)\).

Then,
\[ \|w\|_{L^5_t L^6_x \cap L^{12/5}_t L^2_x \cap L^{12/7}_t H^{5/6}} \lesssim \|(w_0,w_1)\|_{H^{5/6} \times H^{-1/6}} + \|w\|_{L^5_t}^3 \|w\|_{L^{12/5} L^2_t} \lesssim \epsilon, \]
which by (2.4) implies that \( w \) is scattering.

Also, by the radial Strichartz estimate and Bernstein’s inequality,
\[ \|w\|_{L^p_t L^q_x} \lesssim \epsilon + \|w\|_{L^p_t}^3 \|w\|_{L^q_t L^2_x} \lesssim \epsilon. \]  
(2.10)

**Theorem 2.2 (Radial Strichartz estimate)** For \((u_0,u_1)\) radially symmetric, and \( u \) solves (2.7) with \( F = 0 \),
\[ \|u\|_{L^p_t L^q_x(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \]  
(2.12)

**Proof:** This theorem was proved in [8]. The dual of (2.12) is that if \( u_0 = u_1 = 0 \), and \( F \) is radial, then
\[ \|u\|_{L^p_t L^q_x} \lesssim \|F\|_{L^p_t L^q_x}. \]  
(2.13)

Next, let \( E(t) \) be the energy of \( v \),
\[ E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int v_t^2 + \frac{1}{5} \int |v|^5 dx. \]  
(2.14)

By the Sobolev embedding theorem and (2.4),
\[ E(0) \lesssim (\|u_0\|_{H^{5/6}} + \|u_1\|_{H^{-1/6}})^2 + (\|u_0\|_{H^{5/6}} + \|u_1\|_{H^{-1/6}})^5. \]  
(2.15)

To prove global well-posedness it is enough to prove a uniform bound on \( E(t) \).

**Theorem 2.3** The energy \( E(t) \) given by (2.14) is uniformly bounded for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), and moreover,
\[ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} E(t) \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^{5/6}} \|u_1\|_{H^{-1/6}} E(0). \]  
(2.16)
Proof: The proof is quite similar to the proof in [1]. By direct computation,
\[ \frac{d}{dt} E(v(t)) = \int v_t ||v + w||^3 (v + w) - |w|^3 w - |v|^3 v dx. \] (2.17)

By Taylor’s theorem,
\[ |v + w|^3 (v + w) - |v|^3 v - |w|^3 w = 4w \int_0^1 |v + \tau w|^3 d\tau - 4w \int_0^1 |\tau w|^3 d\tau \]
\[ = 12w \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |sv + \tau w| (sv + \tau w) ds d\tau = 4|v|^3 w + O(|v|^2 |w|^2) + O(|v| |w|^3). \] (2.18)

By Hölder’s inequality and (2.13),
\[ \langle v_t, |v|^2 |w|^2 \rangle \lesssim \|v_t\|_{L^2_x} \|v\|^{1/3}_{L^{5/2}_x} \|v\|^{5/3}_{L^{8/3}_x} \|w\|^{2}_{L^{p}_x} \lesssim E(t) \|w(t)\|^2_{L^{p}_x}, \] (2.19)
and
\[ \langle v_t, |v||w|^3 \rangle \lesssim \|v_t\|_{L^2_x} \|v\|_{L^5_x} \|w\|^3_{L^2_x} \lesssim E(t) \|w(t)\|^3_{L^2_x}. \] (2.20)

Therefore,
\[ \frac{d}{dt} E(t) = 4\langle v_t, |v|^3 w \rangle + E(t) \|w(t)\|^2_{L^{p}_x} + \|w(t)\|^3_{L^2_x}. \] (2.21)

If the term $4\langle v_t, |v|^3 w \rangle$ could be dropped, and
\[ \frac{d}{dt} E(t) \lesssim E(t) \|w(t)\|^2_{L^{p}_x} + \|w(t)\|^3_{L^2_x}, \] (2.22)
then by radial Strichartz estimates, (2.3), and (2.10),
\[ \int_\mathbb{R} \|w(t)\|^2_{L^{p}_x} + \|w(t)\|^3_{L^2_x} dt \lesssim \epsilon^2, \] (2.23)
which by Gronwall’s inequality implies $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} E(t) \lesssim E(0)$.

Theorem 2.4 (Radial Strichartz estimates) Let $(u_0, u_1)$ be spherically symmetric, and suppose $u$ solves (2.7) with $F = 0$. Then if $q > 4$ and
\[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{q} = \frac{3}{2} - s, \] (2.24)
then
\[ \|u\|_{L^q_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \] (2.25)

Proof: This was proved in [14]. □
As in [4], the contribution of $4\langle v_t, |v|^3w \rangle$ will be controlled by replacing $E(t)$ with a term $\mathcal{E}(t) \sim E(t)$ that has better time differentiability properties. Define

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = E(t) + cM(t) - \int |v|^3vwdx,$$  

(2.26)

where $M(t)$ is the Morawetz potential

$$M(t) = \int v_t \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla vdx + \int v_t \frac{1}{|x|} vdx,$$  

(2.27)

and $c > 0$ is a small, fixed constant. By Hardy’s inequality,

$$M(t) \lesssim c\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|v_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim cE(t),$$  

(2.28)

and by (2.10),

$$\int |v|^3vwdx \lesssim \|v\|_{L^{10/3}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{10/3}\|v_t\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{2/3}\|w\|_{L^{9/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim cE(t).$$  

(2.29)

Therefore, $\mathcal{E}(t) \sim E(t)$.

Next, by the product rule,

$$4\langle v_t, |v|^3w \rangle - \frac{d}{dt}\int |v|^3vwdx = \langle v, |v|^3w_t \rangle.$$  

(2.30)

Also, by direct computation and integrating by parts, since $v$ is radial,

$$c \frac{d}{dt}M(t) = -c \frac{v(t,0)^2}{2} - \frac{3c}{5} \int \frac{|v(t,x)|^5}{|x|} dx$$

$$-c \int (|v + w|^3(v + w) - |v|^3v - |w|^3w) \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla vdx$$

$$-c \int (|v + w|^3(v + w) - |v|^3v - |w|^3w) \frac{1}{|x|} vdx.$$  

(2.31)

**Remark:** The virial identities will be computed in more detail in the next section.

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) = -c \frac{v(t,0)^2}{2} - \frac{3c}{5} \int \frac{|v(t,x)|^5}{|x|} dx$$

$$-c \int (|v + w|^3(v + w) - |v|^3v - |w|^3w) \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla vdx + \langle v, |v|^3w_t \rangle$$

$$-c \int (|v + w|^3(v + w) - |v|^3v - |w|^3w) \frac{1}{|x|} vdx$$

$$+ O(E(t)\|w(t)\|_{L^{18}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2)).$$  

(2.32)
By Hardy’s inequality, the Sobolev embedding theorem, and the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality,

\[
\int (|v + w|^3 + |v|^3 |v - |w|^3 w) \frac{1}{|x|} v dx
\]

\[
\lesssim (\frac{1}{|x|} v^{5/3})^2 \cdot \|v\|_{L^2}^2 \|w\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{|x|} v \|v\|_{L^6} \|w\|_{L^3}^3 \quad (2.33)
\]

\[
\lesssim \delta (\frac{1}{|x|} v^{5} dx) + \frac{1}{\delta} E(t) \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^3.
\]

Also, following \(2.19\) and \(2.20\),

\[
c \int [\int |v|^2 |w|^2 + |v||w|^3] \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla v dx \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^6}^{1/3} \|v\|_{L^3}^{5/3} \|w\|_{L^3}^2
\]

\[
+ \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^6} \|w\|_{L^2}^3 \lesssim E(t) \|w(t)\|_{L^3}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{L^6}^3.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \frac{c}{2} v(t, 0)^2 + \frac{3c}{5} \int \frac{|v(t, x)|^5}{|x|} dx
\]

\[
+ c \int w \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla (|v|^3) v dx + \langle v, |v|^3 w \rangle
\]

\[
\lesssim \frac{1}{\delta} E(t) \|w(t)\|_{L^3}^2 + \|w(t)\|_{L^6}^3 + \delta (\int \frac{|v(t, x)|^5}{|x|} dx).
\]

Also, by Lemma 3.3 if \(P_j\) is a Littlewood–Paley projection operator,

\[
\int \frac{1}{|x|} |P_{\leq j} v|^5 dx + \int \frac{1}{|x|} |P_{\geq j} v|^5 dx \lesssim \int \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^5 dx. \quad (2.36)
\]

Making a Littlewood–Paley decomposition,

\[
\langle |v|^3 v, w \rangle = \sum_j \langle |v|^3 v, P_j w \rangle. \quad (2.37)
\]

Then by Hölder’s inequality, \(2.36\), and the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality,

\[
\sum_j \langle |v|^3 v - |P_{\leq j} v|^3 (P_{\leq j} v), P_j w \rangle
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_j \|x|^{1/10} P_{\geq j} v\|_{L^{2,0}} \left( \int \frac{1}{|x|} (|P_{\leq j} v|^5 + |P_{\geq j} v|^5) dx \right)^{3/5} \|x|^{1/2} P_j w \|_{L^{2,0}}
\]

\[
\lesssim \left( \int \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^5 dx \right)^{3/5} \sum_j \|x|^{1/10} P_{\geq j} v\|_{L^{2,0}}^{3/5} \|x|^{1/2} P_j w \|_{L^{\infty}}.
\]

By Bernstein’s inequality and the radial Sobolev embedding theorem,

\[
\|x|^{1/10} P_{\geq j} v\|_{L^{2,0} (R^3)} \lesssim 2^{-4j/5} \|\nabla v\|_{L^3 (R^3)} \lesssim 2^{-4j/5} E(t)^{1/2}. \quad (2.39)
\]
Also, by Bernstein’s inequality and the radial Sobolev embedding theorem,
\[
\langle |P_{\leq j}v|^3 |P_{\leq j}v|, P_j w \rangle \\
\lesssim 2^{-j} \| |x|^{1/10} \nabla P_{\leq j}v \|_{L^{5/2}_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left( \int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} |P_{\leq j}v|^5 \right| \, dx \right)^{3/5} \| |x|^{1/2} P_j w \|_{L^\infty_x} \quad (2.40)
\]
\[
\lesssim 2^{-4j/5} E(t)^{1/2} \left( \int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^5 \right| \, dx \right)^{3/5} \| |x|^{1/2} P_j w \|_{L^\infty_x}. \]

Similarly, by Bernstein’s inequality and \citew{berstein_inequality}
\[
\int (P_j w) \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla (|P_{\leq j}v|^3 (P_{\leq j}v)) \, dx \\
\lesssim 2^{-j} \| |x|^{1/2} P_j \nabla w \|_{L^\infty_x} \| |x|^{1/10} \nabla P_{\leq j}v \|_{L^{5/2}_x} \left( \int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} |P_{\leq j}v|^5 \right| \, dx \right)^{3/5} \quad (2.41)
\]
\[
\lesssim 2^{-4j/5} E(t)^{1/2} \left( \int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^5 \right| \, dx \right)^{3/5} \| |x|^{1/2} P_j \nabla w \|_{L^\infty_x}.
\]

Meanwhile, integrating by parts,
\[
\int (P_j w) \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla (|v|^3 v - |P_{\leq j}v|^3 (P_{\leq j}v)) \, dx \\
= - \int (P_j \nabla w) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} (|v|^3 v - |P_{\leq j}v|^3 (P_{\leq j}v)) \, dx \\
- 2 \int (P_j w) \frac{1}{|x|} (|v|^3 v - |P_{\leq j}v|^3 (P_{\leq j}v)) \, dx.
\]

The term
\[
- \int (P_j \nabla w) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} (|v|^3 v - |P_{\leq j}v|^3 (P_{\leq j}v)) \, dx \\
\]
may be handled in a manner identical to \citew{bernstein_inequality}, giving
\[
(2.43) \quad \lesssim 2^{-4j/5} E(t)^{1/2} \left( \int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^5 \right| \, dx \right)^{3/5} \| |x|^{1/2} P_j \nabla w \|_{L^\infty_x}. \quad (2.44)
\]

Meanwhile, by \citew{bernstein_inequality} and \citew{berstein_inequality},
\[
- 2 \int (P_j w) \frac{1}{|x|} (|v|^3 v - |P_{\leq j}v|^3 (P_{\leq j}v)) \, dx \lesssim \delta \left( \int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^5 \right| \, dx \right) + \frac{1}{\delta} E(t) \| w(t) \|^2_{L^4}\quad (2.45)
\]

Therefore, by \citew{bernstein_inequality}–\citew{berstein_inequality},
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) + \frac{c}{2} \| v(t, 0) \|^2 + \frac{3c}{5} \int \left| \frac{v(t, x)}{|x|} \right|^5 \, dx \\
\lesssim \frac{1}{\delta} E(t) \| w(t) \|^2_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \| w(t) \|^3_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \delta \left( \int \left| \frac{v(t, x)}{|x|} \right|^5 \, dx \right) + E(t)^{5/4} \left( \sum_j 2^{-4j/5} \| |x|^{1/2} P_j \nabla w \|_{L^\infty_x} \right)^{5/2}. \quad (2.46)
\]
For $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$\delta \left( \int \frac{1}{|x|} |u|^5 dx \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.47)

may be absorbed into the left hand side of (2.46).

Using Corollary 3.3 from [1], by Bernstein’s inequality and (2.10)

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-4j/5} \| |x|^{1/2} P_j \nabla_t x u \|_{L^5_{t,x}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{\dot{H}^{5/6}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \| w_1 \|_{\dot{H}^{-1/6}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \epsilon.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.48)

Also by Bernstein’s inequality and (2.11),

$$\sum_{j \leq 0} 2^{-4j/5} \| |x|^{1/2} P_j \nabla_t x u \|_{L^5_{t,x}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \| w_1 \|_{\dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \epsilon.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.49)

Therefore, by (2.15), (2.23), (2.48), (2.49), and Gronwall’s inequality, for $\epsilon(\| u_0 \|_{\dot{H}^{5/6}}, \| u_1 \|_{\dot{H}^{-1/6}})$ sufficiently small, (2.16) holds, proving Theorem 2.4  \hspace{1cm} \Box

3  Global well-posedness for general $p$

Proof of global well-posedness for a general (3.1) is a generalization of the $p = 4$ case.

**Theorem 3.1** The nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta + |u|^{p-1} u = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

with radial initial data $u_0 \in \dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $u_1 \in \dot{H}^{s_c-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with $s_c = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$, $3 < p < 5$, is globally well-posed.

**Proof:** The proof is a generalization of the argument in the $p = 4$ case.

First prove a generalized Morawetz inequality.

**Theorem 3.2** (Morawetz inequality) If $u$ solves (3.1) on an interval $I$, then

$$\int_I \int \frac{|u(t,x)|^{p+1}}{|x|} dx dt \lesssim E(u),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

where $E$ is the conserved energy (1.6).

**Proof:** Define the Morawetz potential

$$M(t) = \int u_t u_r r^2 dr + \int u_t u r dr,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

By direct computation,

$$\frac{d}{dt} M(t) = -\frac{1}{2} u(t,0)^2 - \frac{p-1}{p+1} \int |u|^{p+1} r dr.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)
Then (3.2) holds by the fundamental theorem of calculus and Hardy’s inequality. □

The Morawetz estimate commutes very well with Littlewood–Paley projections.

**Lemma 3.3** For any $j$,

\[
\int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} P_{\leq j} v \right|^{p+1} dx + \int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} P_{\geq j} v \right|^{p+1} dx \lesssim \int \left| \frac{1}{|x|} v \right|^{p+1} dx. \tag{3.5}
\]

**Proof:** Let $\psi$ be the Littlewood–Paley kernel.

\[
\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} P_{\leq j} v(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} \int 2^{3j} \psi(2^j (x-y)) v(y) dy. \tag{3.6}
\]

When $|y| \lesssim |x|$, \[\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} 2^{3j} \psi(2^j (x-y)) \lesssim 2^{3j} \psi(2^j (x-y)) \frac{1}{|y|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}}.	ag{3.7}\]

When $|y| \gg |x|$ and $|x| \geq 2^{-j}$, since $\psi$ is rapidly decreasing, for any $N$,

\[
\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} 2^{3j} \psi(2^j (x-y)) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} 2^{3j} \frac{1}{(1 + 2^j |x-y|)^N} \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} 2^{3j} \frac{1}{(1 + 2^j |x-y|)^{N-1}}.\tag{3.8}\]

Combining (3.7) and (3.8),

\[
\| \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} P_{\leq j} v \|_{L^{p+1}(|x| \geq 2^{-j})} \lesssim \| \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} v \|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{3.9}\]

When $|y| \gg |x|$ and $|x| \leq 2^{-j}$, since $\psi$ is rapidly decreasing, for any $N$,

\[
\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} 2^{3j} \psi(2^j (x-y)) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} 2^{3j} \frac{1}{(1 + 2^j |x-y|)^N} \lesssim \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} 2^{3j} \frac{1}{(1 + 2^j |x-y|)^N} \frac{1}{2^{3j} |y|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}}.	ag{3.10}\]

\[
\| \frac{1}{(1 + 2^j |x-y|)^N} \|_{L^{\frac{p}{p+1}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3j}{p+1}}, \tag{3.11}\]

so by (3.8), Young’s inequality, and Hölder's inequality,

\[
\| \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} P_{\leq j} v \|_{L^{p+1}(|x| \leq 2^{-j})} \lesssim \| \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{1}{p+1}}} v \|_{L^{p+1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{3.12}\]
This proves (3.5). □

Next, split a local solution (3.1), \( u = v + w \), where \( w \) solves
\[
w_{tt} - \Delta w + |w|^{p-1}w = 0, \quad w(0, x) = w_0, \quad w_t(0, x) = w_1, \quad (3.13)
\]
and \( v \) solves
\[
v_{tt} - \Delta v + |u|^{p-1}u - |w|^{p-1}w = 0, \quad v(0, x) = v_0, \quad v_t(0, x) = v_1. \quad (3.14)
\]
As usual, use the rescaling (1.2) so that \( v_0 = P_{<1} u_0, v_1 = P_{<1} u_1, w_0 = P_{>1} u_0, w_1 = P_{>1} u_1, \) and
\[
\|w_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|w_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \epsilon. \quad (3.15)
\]
As in (2.15),
\[
E(0) \lesssim (\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-1}})^2 + (\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-1}})^{p+1}. \quad (3.16)
\]
By small data arguments, (3.13) is globally well-posed and scattering for \( \epsilon > 0 \) sufficiently small. Indeed,
\[
\|w\|_{L^2_{t,x} L^{2(p-1)}_{t,x}} \lesssim \|w_0\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0}} + \|w_1\|_{\dot{H}^{s_0-1}} + \|w\|_{L^2_{t,x} L^{2(p-1)}_{t,x}} \lesssim \epsilon, \quad (3.17)
\]
and by Bernstein’s inequality,
\[
\|w\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x} \lesssim \|w_0\|_{L^2} + \|w_1\|_{L^{\infty} L^2} + \|w\|_{L^\infty L^2} \|w\|_{L^{2(p-1)}_{t,x}} < \epsilon. \quad (3.18)
\]
Now define the energy of \( v \),
\[
E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int v_t(t,x)^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int |v(t,x)|^{p+1} dx, \quad (3.19)
\]
and let
\[
\mathcal{E}(t) = E(t) + cM(t) - \int |v|^{p-1} vwdx, \quad (3.20)
\]
where \( c > 0 \) is a small constant and \( M(t) \) is given by (3.3), with \( u \) replaced by \( v \). Then by (2.17) and (3.4),
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) + \frac{c}{2} v(t,0)^2 + c(1 - \frac{2}{p+1}) \int |v(t,x)|^{p+1} \frac{dx}{|x|}
= -\langle v, |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v - |w|^{p-1}w \rangle + \frac{d}{dt} \int |v|^{p-1} vwdx \\
- c \int |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v - |w|^{p-1}w \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla vdx \\
- c \int |v+w|^{p-1}(v+w) - |v|^{p-1}v - |w|^{p-1}w \frac{1}{|x|} vdx. \quad (3.21)
\]
By (2.38), Hardy’s inequality, and the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality,

\[
\int |v + w|^{p-1}(v + w) - |v|^{p-1}v - |w|^{p-1}w\frac{1}{|x|}vdx
\gtrsim \left( \int \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^{p+1}dx \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \||v|^{1/2}v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \||w|^{1/2}w\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \||v|\|_{L^3} \|v\|_{L^3} \|w|^{p-1}w\|_{L^{p-1}} + \||v|\|_{L^p} \|v\|_{L^p} \|w|^{p-1}w\|_{L^{p-1}},
\]

Also by (2.18),

\[
|v + w|^{p-1}(v + w) - |v|^{p-1}v - |w|^{p-1}w = p|v|^{p-1}w + O(|v|^{p-2}|w|^2) + O(|v|\|w|^{p-1}).
\]

Then by Hölder’s inequality,

\[
\int [O(|v|^{p-2}|w|^2) + O(|v|\|w|^{p-1})] \frac{\nabla v}{|x|} \cdot \nabla v dx
\gtrsim \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^p} \|w|^{p-1}w\|_{L^{p-1}} + E(t)\|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} + \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} + \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}},
\]

and

\[
E(t)\|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} + \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}} \|w\|^2_{L^{p-1}}.
\]

Now then, by the product rule,

\[
p\langle v, |v|^{p-1}w \rangle - \frac{d}{dt} \int |v|^{p-1}vwdx = \langle |v|^{p-1}v, w_t \rangle.
\]

Following (2.38),

\[
\sum_j \langle |v|^{p-1}v - |P_{\leq j}v|^{p-1}(P_{\leq j}v), P_j w_t \rangle
\gtrsim \left( \int \frac{1}{|x|} |P_{\leq j}v|^{p+1} + \frac{1}{|x|} |P_{> j}v|^{p+1}\frac{2}{p+1} \sum_j \|x|^{1/2}P_j w_t\|_{L^\infty} \||x|^{-\frac{1}{p+1}}|P_{\leq j}v|\|_{L^{p+1}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^{p+1}dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \|P_j w_t\|_{L^\infty}
\gtrsim \sum_j 2^{-\frac{q}{p+1}} E(t)^{1/2} \left( \int \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^{p+1}dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \|P_j w_t\|_{L^\infty}
\gtrsim \delta \left( \int \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^{p+1}dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \|P_j w_t\|_{L^\infty} \left( \frac{2^{-\frac{q}{p+1}} \|P_j w_t\|_{L^\infty}}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+1}}.
\]

Integrating by parts as in (2.31),

\[
\sum_j \langle |P_{\leq j}v|^{p-1}(P_{\leq j}v), P_j w_t \rangle \lesssim \delta \left( \int \frac{1}{|x|} |v|^{p+1}dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \|P_j w_t\|_{L^\infty} \left( \frac{2^{-\frac{q}{p+1}} \|P_j w_t\|_{L^\infty}}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+1}}.
\]
By \((3.17)\) and \((3.18)\),
\[
E(t)^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{j} 2^{-\frac{4j}{p+1}} \|P_j w_t\|_{L^\infty} \frac{dt}{t^\frac{4j}{p+1}} \lesssim E(t)^{\frac{p+1}{p}} E(0)^{\frac{3-4p}{4}}. 
\]
(3.29)
Then by Gronwall’s inequality, \((3.25)\), and \((3.29)\),
\[
\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} E(t) \lesssim E(0),
\]
(3.30)
which completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. □

4 Scattering: Estimates on initial data

To prove scattering, let \(\phi(x)\) be a smooth function supported on \(|x| \leq 1\) and \(\phi(x) = 1\) on \(|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}\). Then for \(R > 0\) sufficiently large,
\[
\|(1 - \phi(x))u_0\|_{\dot{H}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|(1 - \phi(x))u_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\infty-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \epsilon. 
\]
(4.1)
Then rescale according to \((4.2)\),
\[
u_0(x) \mapsto \frac{2}{R^p} u_0(2Rx), \quad u_1(x) \mapsto \frac{2}{R^{p+1}} u_1(2Rx).
\]
(4.2)
By small data arguments, \((4.1)\) implies that
\[
\|u\|_{L^2_t \dot{L}^{p-1}_x([0, \infty) \times \{x: |x| \geq \frac{1}{2} + t\})} \lesssim \epsilon. 
\]
(4.3)
Translating the initial data in time from \(t = 0\) to \(t = 1\),
\[
\|u\|_{L^2_t \dot{L}^{p-1}_x([1, \infty) \times \{x: |x| \geq t - \frac{1}{2}\})} \lesssim \epsilon. 
\]
(4.4)
As in \([4]\), the proof of
\[
\|u\|_{L^2_t \dot{L}^{p-1}_x([1, \infty) \times \{x: |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} - t\})} < \infty,
\]
(4.5)
will make use of the hyperbolic change of coordinates,
\[
\hat{u}(\tau, s) = \frac{e^\tau \sinh s}{s} u(e^\tau \cosh s, e^\tau \sinh s).
\]
(4.6)
If \(u\) solves \((1.1)\), then \(\hat{u}(\tau, s)\) solves
\[
(\partial_{\tau \tau} - \partial_{ss} - \frac{2}{s} \partial_s)\hat{u}(\tau, s) + e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1} |\hat{u}(\tau, s)|^{p-1} \hat{u}(\tau, s) = 0.
\]
(4.7)
The hyperbolic energy is given by
\[
E(\hat{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \int (\partial_s \hat{u}(\tau, s))^2 s^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int (\partial_{\tau} \hat{u}(\tau, s))^2 s^2 ds + \frac{1}{p+1} \int e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1} |\hat{u}(\tau, s)|^{p+1} s^2 ds.
\]
(4.8)
By direct computation,
\[
\frac{d}{d\tau} E(\check{u}(\tau)) = -\frac{p-3}{p+1} \int e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\check{u}(\tau, s)|^{p+1} s^2 ds \leq 0, \tag{4.9}
\]
which implies that the energy of \( u \) is non-increasing.

We also have a Morawetz estimate.

**Theorem 4.1** If \( \check{u} \) solves (4.7) on any interval \( I = [0, T] \), then
\[
\int_0^T \int e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \left( \frac{\cosh s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p+1} |\check{u}(\tau, s)|^{p+1} s^2 ds d\tau \lesssim E(\check{u}(0)). \tag{4.10}
\]

**Proof:** Again use the Morawetz potential in (3.3),
\[
M(\tau) = \int \check{u}_s(s, \tau) \check{u}(s, \tau) s^2 ds + \int \check{u}_\tau(s, \tau) \check{u}(s, \tau) s ds. \tag{4.11}
\]
Then by direct computation,
\[
\frac{d}{d\tau} M(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2} \check{u}(0, \tau)^2 - \frac{p-1}{p+1} \int \left( \frac{\cosh s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\check{u}(\tau, s)|^{p+1} s^2 ds. \tag{4.12}
\]
Then by (4.9) and the fundamental theorem of calculus, the proof is complete. \( \square \)

Previously, in [4], for the cubic wave equation, the initial data was split into a \((\check{v}_0, \check{v}_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2\) component and a \((\check{w}_0, \check{w}_1) \in \dot{H}^{1/2} \times \dot{H}^{-1/2}\). Here, it would be nice if we could do something similar, only with \( \dot{H}^{1/2} \) replaced by \( \dot{H}^{s_c} \). However, this is not possible due to the fact that the hyperbolic energy scales like the \( \dot{H}^{1/2} \) norm, and is not invariant under the general scaling (1.2). Instead, what we will do is place \((\check{v}_0, \check{v}_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2\), but \((\check{w}_0, \check{w}_1)\) will merely lie in a Sobolev space after multiplying by exponential weights. The weights in the energy (4.8) will then be used in conjunction with the weights for the Sobolev space to bound the growth of the energy of \( \check{v} \).

To calculate,
\[
\check{u}(\tau, s)|_{\tau=0} = \frac{e^\tau \sinh s}{s} - u(e^\tau \cosh s, e^\tau \sinh s)|_{\tau=0}, \tag{4.13}
\]
use Duhamel’s principle,
\[
u(t) = S(t-1)(u_0, u_1) + \int_1^t S(t-s)(0, |u|^{p-1} u) ds. \tag{4.14}
\]
First consider the contribution of \( S(t)(u_0, u_1) \) with \( u_1 = 0 \). In that case,
\[
s \cdot S(t-1)(u_0, 0)(e^\tau \cosh s, e^\tau \sinh s)
= \frac{1}{2} [u_0(e^{\tau+s} - 1) \cdot (e^{\tau+s} - 1) + u_0(1 - e^{-\tau-s}) \cdot (1 - e^{\tau-s})]. \tag{4.15}
\]
Again take $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, only this time $\phi = 1$ on $|x| \leq 1$ and $\phi$ is supported on $|x| \leq 2$. Let $n$ be an integer satisfying $2^n \sim 2R$. By direct computation,

$$
\|\partial_t [\phi(e^{\tau+s}-1)(P_{\leq n} u_0)(e^{\tau+s}-1) - (e^{\tau+s}-1)] \|_{L^2([0,\infty))} \lesssim 2^{n(1-s_c)} \|u_0\|_{H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)},
$$

(4.16)

and

$$
\|\phi(e^{\tau+s}-1)(P_{\leq n} u_0)(e^{\tau+s}-1) - (e^{\tau+s}-1) \|_{L^2([0,\infty))} \lesssim 2^{n(1-s_c)} \|u_0\|_{H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

(4.17)

Meanwhile, by (2.3),

$$
\|\phi(e^{\tau+s}-1)(P_{\geq n} u_0)(e^{\tau+s}-1) - (e^{\tau+s}-1) \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \epsilon,
$$

(4.18)

Next, take a partition of unity,

$$
1 = \sum_{k \geq 0} \chi(s-k),
$$

(4.19)

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and $\chi$ is supported on $-1 \leq s \leq 1$. Then by direct computation,

$$
\|\partial_t \sum_{k \geq 0} \chi(s-k) \phi(1 - e^{-s}) (P_{\leq n + \frac{k}{2^m}} u_0)(1 - e^{-s}) \cdot (1 - e^{-s}) \|_{L^2([0,\infty))} \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{n(1-s_c)} \|u_0\|_{H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)}
$$

(4.20)

and

$$
\|\sum_{k \geq 0} \chi(s-k) \phi(1 - e^{-s}) (P_{\leq n + \frac{k}{2^m}} u_0)(1 - e^{-s}) \cdot \left(\frac{1 - e^{-s}}{s}\right) \|_{L^2([0,\infty))} \lesssim 2^{n(1-s_c)} \|u_0\|_{H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.
$$

(4.21)

Also by (2.3),

$$
\|\sum_{k \geq 0} \chi(s-k) \phi(1 - e^{-s}) (P_{\geq n + \frac{k}{2^m}} u_0)(1 - e^{-s}) \cdot \left(\frac{1 - e^{-s}}{s}\right) \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \epsilon.
$$

(4.22)

Finally, take

$$
[1 - \phi(e^{\tau+s}-1)] u_0 (e^{\tau+s}-1) \cdot \left(\frac{e^{\tau+s}-1}{s}\right) \|_{L^1}\lesssim \epsilon,
$$

(4.23)
By a change of variables,

$$\|\chi(s - k)[1 - \phi(e^{T+s} - 1)]u_0(e^{T+s} - 1) \cdot (\frac{e^{T+s} - 1}{s})\|_{\tilde{H}^{s_c}} \lesssim e^{-k/2}(\int_{e^{k-1}}^{e^{k+1}} |u_0(r)|^2 r^2 dr)^{1/2} + e^{-k/2 + k \cdot s_c} (\int_{e^{k-1}}^{e^{k+1}} ||\nabla|^{s_c} u_0(r)|^2 r^2 dr)^{1/2}. $$

(4.24)

Since $\partial_t f = \pm \partial_s f$ for the components of (4.15), the same estimates also hold for $\partial_t \hat{w}(\tau, s)|_{\tau = 0}$.

We would like to use a Littlewood–Paley projection to split (4.23) into a $\tilde{H}^{1}$ component and a $\tilde{H}^{s_c}$ component with appropriate bounds. The difficulty here is that the Littlewood–Paley projection is only known to have a rapidly decreasing weight, which does not commute well with an exponentially decreasing weight.

Instead, the estimate will rely on some frequency localized projection operators. Choose $\psi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to be a radial, decreasing function supported on $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and such that $\int \psi(x)dx = 1$. Then define the Fourier multipliers

$$\tilde{P}_0f(x) = \int \psi(x - y)f(y)dy, \quad (4.25)$$

and for $j \geq 1$,

$$\tilde{P}_j f(x) = 2^{3j} \int \psi(2^j(x - y))f(y)dy - 2^{3(j-1)} \int \psi(2^{j-1}(x - y))f(y)dy. \quad (4.26)$$

Clearly,

$$f = \sum_{j \geq 0} \tilde{P}_j f. \quad (4.27)$$

Then ignoring the contribution of $(0, u_1)$ and $|u|^{p-1}u$ for a moment, let

$$\tilde{v}_0 = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{k \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1-\frac{1}{2}}} } \tilde{P}_j \chi(s - k)\hat{u}_0, \quad \tilde{v}_1 = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{k \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1-\frac{1}{2}}} } \tilde{P}_j \chi(s - k)\hat{u}_1. \quad (4.28)$$

By (4.20)–(4.24),

$$\|\tilde{v}_0\|_{\tilde{H}^{1}} + \|\tilde{v}_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{n(1-s_c)}\|u_0\|_{\tilde{H}^{s_c}}, \quad (4.29)$$

and

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{(1-2s_c)}\|\chi(s - k)\hat{u}_0\|^2_{\tilde{H}^{s_c}} + \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{(1-2s_c)}\|\chi(s - k)\hat{u}_1\|^2_{\tilde{H}^{s_c}} \lesssim \epsilon^2. \quad (4.30)$$

Turning to estimating the contribution of $S(t)(0, u_1)$, split

$$u_1 = \phi(x)P_{\leq n}u_1 + [u_1 - \phi(x)P_{\leq n}u_1]. \quad (4.31)$$
By direct calculation,
\[ \|\partial_{t,s} \int_1^{e^t} \phi(r) P_{\leq n} u_1(r) r dr \|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \lesssim 2^{n(1-s_c)} \| u_1 \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c-1}}, \]  
(4.32)
and by Hölder’s inequality,
\[ \| \frac{1}{s} \int_1^{e^t} \phi(r) P_{\leq n} u_1(r) r dr \|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \lesssim 2^{n(1-s_c)} \| u_1 \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c-1}}. \]  
(4.33)

To handle the remainder in (4.31), as in [4], observe that
\[ \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{-\Delta})}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} g = \partial_t(\frac{\cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta})}{\Delta} g). \]  
(4.34)

Plugging in the formula for a solution to the wave equation when \( r > t \), let
\[ w(t,r) = \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta}) f, \]  
where \( f = \frac{r}{s}. \) Then,
\[ \partial_t(w(t,r)) = \frac{1}{2r} \partial_t(f(t+r)(t+r) + f(r-t)(r-t)) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2r} [f(t+r) + f'(t+r)(t+r) - f(r-t) - f'(r-t)(r-t)]. \]  
(4.35)

Since \( f \in \dot{H}^{s_c+1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), the contribution of
\[ f'(e^{r+s} - 1) \cdot (e^{r+s} - 1)|_{t=0}, \quad f'(1 - e^{r-s}) \cdot (1 - e^{r-s})|_{t=0} \]  
(4.36)
may be handled in a manner similar to the contribution of the terms arising from \( S(t)(u_0, 0) \).

Meanwhile, by a change of variables, for \( k \geq 1 \),
\[ \int (\chi(s-k) f'(e^s - 1) \cdot e^s)^2 ds \lesssim e^{2(s_c - \frac{1}{2})k} \int_{e^k}^{e^{k+1}} |f'(r)|^2 r^{2(1-s_c)} dr. \]  
(4.37)

and
\[ \int (\chi(s-k) f'(1 - e^{-s}) \cdot e^{-s})^2 ds \lesssim e^{-k} \int_{1-e^{-k+1}} \frac{1}{1-e^{-k-1}} |f'(r)|^2 dr \lesssim e^{-2k} \| f \|^2_{\dot{H}^{1+s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \]  
(4.38)

By an identical calculation,
\[ \int (\chi(s-k) \partial_r f(e^{s+r} - 1)|_{r=0})^2 ds = \int (\chi(s-k) f'(e^s - 1) \cdot e^s)^2 ds. \]  
(4.39)

and
\[ \int (\chi(s-k) \partial_r f(1 - e^{-s})|_{r=0})^2 ds = \int (\chi(s-k) f'(1 - e^{-s}) \cdot e^{-s})^2 ds \lesssim e^{-2k} \| f \|^2_{\dot{H}^{1+s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \]  
(4.40)
Also, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, for \( s_0 \sim 1 \),
\[
s_0[f(e^{s_0} - 1) - f(1 - e^{s_0})]^2 = s_0[f'(r)dr]^2 \lesssim \int |f'(r)|^2 r^{2(1-s_0)} dr \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{s_0}}^2.
\] (4.41)

Finally, consider
\[
f(e^{\tau+s} - 1) - f(1 - e^{\tau-s}),
\] (4.42)
when \( s < 1 \). By direct computation,
\[
\partial_r[f(e^{\tau+s} - 1) - f(1 - e^{\tau-s})]|_{\tau=0} = f'(e^s - 1) \cdot e^s + f'(1 - e^{-s}) \cdot e^{-s}. \] (4.43)

Then for \( g \in H^{1-s_c} \), by Hardy’s inequality,
\[
\int f'(e^s - 1) \cdot e^s \cdot g(s) ds + \int f'(1 - e^{-s}) \cdot e^{-s} \cdot g(s) ds \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{1+s_c}} \|g\|_{H^{1-s_c}}.
\] (4.44)

Also, by the fundamental theorem of calculus,
\[
f(e^s - 1) - f(1 - e^{-s}) = \int_{s-\frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s^3}{3!} + ...}^{s+\frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{s^3}{3!} + ...} f'(r) dr
\]
\[
= \int_0^1 f'(s + \theta(s^2/2 + s^3/3! + ...)) \cdot (s^2/2 + s^3/3! + ... + ... + ... + ... + ... + ... + ...) d\theta
\]
\[
+ \int_{-1}^0 f'(s + \theta(s^2/2 - s^3/3! + ...)) \cdot (s^2/2 + s^3/3! + ... + ... + ... + ... + ... + ... + ...) d\theta.
\] (4.45)

Therefore, since \( \chi(s) \) is supported on \( s \leq 1 \),
\[
\|f(e^s - 1) - f(1 - e^{-s})\|_{H^{s_c}} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{s_c}}.
\] (4.46)

Then take the contribution to \( \tilde{v}_0 \) to be
\[
\tilde{v}_0 = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{m \rho \epsilon} \frac{1}{s_c - 1}} \chi(s-k) f(e^{\tau+s} - 1)|_{\tau=0} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi(s-k) f(1 - e^{\tau-s})|_{\tau=0},
\] (4.47)
and the contribution to \( \tilde{v}_1 \) to be
\[
\tilde{v}_1 = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{m \rho \epsilon} \frac{1}{s_c - 1}} \chi(s-k) \partial_r f(e^{\tau+s} - 1)|_{\tau=0} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi(s-k) \partial_r f(1 - e^{\tau-s})|_{\tau=0}.
\] (4.48)

Now take the Duhamel term \( u_{nl} \). Because the curve \( t^2 - r^2 = 1 \) has slope \( dr/dt > 1 \) everywhere,
\[
su_{nl}(\tau, s)|_{\tau=0} = \int_1^{e^\tau \cosh s} \int_{e^\tau \sinh s + e^\tau \cosh s - t}^{e^\tau \sinh s + e^\tau \cosh s + t} |r| u^{p-1} u(t, r) dr dt.
\] (4.49)
By direct computation,
\[
\int_0^k (\partial_{s,r}(s\bar{u}_n))_{r=0}^2 ds \lesssim \int_0^k e^{2s} \left( \int_1^{\cosh s} (e^s - t) |u|^{p-1} u(t, e^s - t) dt \right)^2 ds
+ \int_0^k e^{-2s} \left( \int_1^{\cosh s} (t - e^{-s}) |u|^{p-1} u(t, t - e^{-s}) dt \right)^2 ds.
\] (4.50)

By Hölder’s inequality, since \( e^s - \cosh s \sim e^s \),
\[
\int_0^k e^{2s} \left( \int_1^{\cosh s} (e^s - t) |u|^{p-1} u(t, e^s - t) dt \right)^2 ds
\lesssim \int_0^k \int_1^{\cosh s} e^{3s} (e^s - t)^2 |u|^{2p} (t, e^s - t) dt ds \quad (4.51)
\lesssim \int_0^k \int_{\sqrt{2}r^2 \leq 1} |u|^{2p} (t, r) r^2 dt dr \lesssim e^{2(s_c - \frac{1}{2})k}.
\]

Also by a change of variables and Hölder’s inequality, since \( t - e^{-s} \gtrsim 1 \) for \( s \geq 1 \) and \( t \geq 1 \),
\[
\int_1^{\infty} e^{-2s} \left( \int_1^{\cosh s} (t - e^{-s}) |u|^{p-1} u(t, t - e^{-s}) dt \right)^2 ds
\lesssim \int_1^{\infty} \int_1^{\cosh s} e^{-s} (t - e^{-s})^2 |u|^{2p} (t, e^s - t) dt ds \quad (4.52)
\lesssim \int_2^{\infty} \int_{\sqrt{2}r^2 \leq 1} |u|^{2p} (t, r) r^2 dt dr < \infty.
\]

Also, by the radial Sobolev embedding theorem and Young’s inequality,
\[
\int_0^1 e^{-2s} \left( \int_1^{\cosh s} (t - e^{-s}) |u|^{p-1} u(t, t - e^{-s}) dt \right)^2 ds
\lesssim \int_1^3 \int_{r^2 \leq 1} u(t, r)^{2p} r^2 dr)^{1/2} dt \lesssim \int_1^3 \frac{1}{(t - 1)^{1 + \frac{2}{mp}}} dt < \infty.
\] (4.53)

This takes care of the nonlinear Duhamel piece.

Therefore, we have finally proved:

**Theorem 4.2** There exists a decomposition
\[
\tilde{u}_0 = \tilde{v}_0 + \tilde{w}_0, \quad \tilde{u}_1 = \tilde{v}_1 + \tilde{w}_1,
\] (4.54)
satisfying
\[
\|\tilde{v}_0\|_{H^1} + \|\tilde{v}_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^n (1 - s_c),
\] (4.55)
and
\[
\sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-2s_c k} \|\chi(s - k) \tilde{w}_0\|_{H^{-1} \cup H^1}^2 + \sum_{k \geq 0} e^{-2s_c k} \|\chi(s - k) \tilde{w}_1\|_{H^{-1} \cup L^2}^2 \lesssim \epsilon^2.
\] (4.56)
5 Scattering : Virial identities

Now we are ready to prove scattering.

**Theorem 5.1** For any radial \((u_0, u_1)\), the global solution to (1.1) scatters both forward and backward in time.

**Proof:** Modifying (3.13) and (3.14), split \(\tilde{u} = \tilde{v} + \tilde{w}\), where \(\tilde{w}\) solves
\[
\partial_{rr} \tilde{w} - \partial_{ss} \tilde{w} - \frac{2}{s} \partial_s \tilde{w} + e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \tilde{P} \tilde{w} \geq \frac{c}{e^{s/\tau}} |\tilde{w}|^{p-1} \tilde{w} = 0,
\]
\[
w(0, s) = \tilde{w}_0(s), \quad w_\tau(0, s) = \tilde{w}_1,
\]
and \(\tilde{v}\) solves
\[
\partial_{rr} \tilde{v} - \partial_{ss} \tilde{v} - \frac{2}{s} \partial_s \tilde{v} + e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{v}|^{p-1} \tilde{v} - \tilde{P} \tilde{v} \geq \frac{c}{e^{s/\tau}} |\tilde{v}|^{p-1} \tilde{v} = 0,
\]
\[
\tilde{v}(0, s) = \tilde{v}_0, \quad \tilde{v}_\tau(0, s) = \tilde{v}_1,
\]
where \(\tilde{u}_0 = \tilde{w}_0 + \tilde{v}_0\) and \(\tilde{u}_1 = \tilde{w}_1 + \tilde{v}_1\).

(5.1) may be shown to be scattering using small data arguments. Indeed, Strichartz estimates, finite propagation speed, (4.56), and the fact that \(\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\) is rapidly decreasing in \(s\), imply that
\[
\| e^{-\frac{p-3}{2}\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \tilde{v}^{p-1} \tilde{w} \|_{L^2} \leq c L^2 e^{\frac{sc}{2}}
\]
\[
\lesssim \| e^{-\frac{p-3}{2}\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \tilde{v}^{p-1} \tilde{w} \|_{L^2} \leq c L^2 e^{\frac{sc}{2}}
\]
\[
\lesssim e^p + \| e^{-\frac{p-3}{2}\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} e^{-(p-3)\tau} |\tilde{v}|^{p-1} \tilde{w} |p\|_{L^2} \leq c L^2 e^{\frac{sc}{2}}.
\]
These estimates also commute well with the \(\tilde{P}_j\) operators. The same calculation also shows
\[
\| e^{-\frac{p-3}{2}\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{w}|^{p-1} \tilde{w} \|_{L^1 L^2 e^{\frac{sc}{2}}} \lesssim e^p.
\]

Now, define the modified energy
\[
E(\tau) = E(\tau) + cM(\tau) + \int \sum_{j \geq 0} \langle \tilde{v}^{p-1} \tilde{v} - |\tilde{P}_{\leq j} \tilde{v}|^{p-1} (\tilde{P}_j \tilde{v}) \rangle : \tilde{P}_j \tilde{w} ds,
\]
where \(c > 0\) is a small constant,
\[
E(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{v}_s(s, \tau)^2 s^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{v}_\tau(s, \tau)^2 s^2 ds
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{p+1} \int e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{v}(s, \tau)|^{p+1} s^2 ds,
\]
(5.6)
and
\[ M(\tau) = \int \tilde{v}_s(s,\tau)\tilde{v}_r(s,\tau)s^2ds + \int \tilde{v}(s,\tau)\tilde{v}_r(s,\tau)s^2ds. \] (5.7)

Then by (4.21) and (4.12),
\[
\frac{d}{d\tau} E(\tau) = -c\tilde{v}(\tau,0)^2 - \frac{cP - 1}{P + 1} \int \left( \frac{\cosh s}{\sinh s} \right) e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{v}(s,\tau)|^{p+1} s^2 ds \\
- \frac{p-3}{P + 1} \int \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} e^{-(p-3)\tau} |\tilde{v}(s,\tau)|^{p+1} s^2 ds \\
+ \frac{d}{d\tau} \int \sum_{j \geq 0} \| |\tilde{v}|^{p-1}\tilde{v} - |\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j\tilde{v}|^{p-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j\tilde{v}) \| \tilde{w}^2 ds. 
\]
\[ \] (5.8)

First consider the contribution of \( P \leq n - \frac{s\varepsilon}{1 - s\varepsilon \sinh s} \) \|\tilde{w}\|^{p-1}\tilde{w} \). By the Sobolev embedding theorem,
\[
\| e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-2} \| |\tilde{v}|^{p-1}\tilde{v} - |\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j\tilde{v}|^{p-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_j\tilde{v}) \| \tilde{w} \| \| L^1 \|
\leq 2^{n(1-s\varepsilon)} E(\tau)^{1/2} \| e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-2} \| |\tilde{w}|^{p-1}\tilde{w} \| L^1 \|
\] (5.9)

Next, as in (3.22),
\[
\int e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{v}|^{p-1} s^2 ds \\
\leq e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{w}|^{p-1} s^2 ds \\
\leq \delta \left( e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{v}|^{p+1} + \left[ e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{w}|^{p-1} s^2 ds \right] \right) \\
\leq \delta \left( e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{v}|^{p+1} s^2 ds + \frac{1}{\delta} E(\tau) \| e^{-(p-3)\tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} |\tilde{w}|^{p-1} s^2 ds \| L^6 \right) . \] (5.10)
Next, following (3.21) and (3.23),

\[
\int e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}|\hat{v}^p|^{p-2}\partial_s|\hat{v}|^2ds \\
\lesssim \|e^{-\frac{p-3}{p-1}\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\hat{w}\|^2_{L^\infty} \|e^{-\frac{p-3}{p-1}\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\hat{v}\|^p_{\dot{L}^{p+1}} \|\partial_{s,\tau}\hat{v}\|_{L^2}^{1+\frac{p-6}{p-1}} \tag{5.11}
\]

Also by Strichartz estimates, the weights \(e^{-\frac{p-3}{p-1}\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\), and (4.36),

\[
\int \|e^{-\frac{p-3}{p-1}\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\hat{w}\|^2_{L^\infty} < e^2. \tag{5.12}
\]

Meanwhile,

\[
\int e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}|\hat{v}^p|^{p-1}|\hat{v}|\|\partial_{s,\tau}\hat{v}\|ds \\
\lesssim \|e^{-\frac{p-3}{p-1}\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\hat{w}\|^p_{\dot{L}^{p+1}} \|\partial_{s,\tau}\hat{v}\|^2_{L^2} \tag{5.13}
\]

By (5.3) and (5.12), these terms may be handled using Gronwall’s inequality. Now then, by the product rule,

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \int \sum_j [\hat{v}|^{p-1}\hat{v} - |\hat{P}_{\leq j}\hat{v}|^{p-1}\hat{P}_{\leq j}\hat{v}]e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\hat{P}_j\hat{w}s^2ds \\
- p \int e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}|\hat{v}|^{p-1}\partial_{s,\tau}\hat{w}s^2ds \\
= - \int \sum_j e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}|\hat{v}|^{p-1}\hat{v} - |\hat{P}_{\leq j}\hat{v}|^{p-1}\hat{P}_{\leq j}\hat{v}]\hat{w}^2ds \tag{5.14}
\]

\[
- \int \sum_j e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\partial_{s,\tau}|\hat{P}_{\leq j}\hat{v}|^{p-1}\hat{P}_{\leq j}\hat{v}]\hat{P}_j\hat{w}s^2ds \\
- (p-3) \int |\hat{v}|^{p-1}\partial_{s,\tau}e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}s^2ds.
\]

By the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality,

\[
-(p-3) \int |\hat{v}|^{p-1}\hat{v}\hat{w}e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}s^2ds \lesssim \delta \int |\hat{v}|^{p+1}e^{-(p-3)\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}s^2ds \\
+ \frac{1}{\delta} \int e^{-\frac{p-3}{p-1}\tau}\left(\frac{s}{\sinh s}\right)^{p-1}\hat{w}\|^p_{\dot{L}^{p+1}} \|\partial_{s,\tau}\hat{v}\|^2_{L^2}. \tag{5.15}
\]
Also, by Bernstein's inequality and the radial Sobolev embedding theorem,
\[
\sum \lesssim \| \frac{\sinh s}{\cosh s} \|^{\frac{p-3}{p+1}} \| \tilde{P}_{\leq s} \tilde{v} \|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}} \int e^{-\frac{(p-3)}{2} \tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \partial_\tau \| \tilde{P}_{\leq s} \tilde{v} \|_{L^2} \tilde{w}^2 ds
\]
\[
\lesssim \left( \int e^{-\frac{(p-3)}{2} \tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \| \tilde{P}_{\leq s} \tilde{v} \|_{L^2} \tilde{w}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \times \left( \int e^{-\frac{(p-3)}{2} \tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \| \tilde{P}_{\leq s} \tilde{v} \|_{L^2} \tilde{w}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}.
\]
By the radial Sobolev embedding theorem,
\[
\| \frac{\sinh s}{\cosh s} \|^{\frac{p-3}{p+1}} \| \tilde{P}_{\leq s} \tilde{v} \|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{4(p-1)}{p+1}} E(\tilde{v})^{1/2}.
\] 
(5.17)
By direct computation,
\[
\| \tilde{v} \|_{L^{p+1}} \lesssim O(\| \tilde{P}_{\geq j} \tilde{v} \|_{L^{p+1}}) + O(\| \tilde{P}_{\leq j} \tilde{v} \|_{L^{p+1}}).
\] 
(5.18)
Also, by Bernstein’s inequality and the radial Sobolev embedding theorem,
\[
\sum \lesssim \left( \int e^{-\frac{(p-3)}{2} \tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \| \tilde{P}_{\leq s} \tilde{v} \|_{L^2} \tilde{w}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \times \left( \int e^{-\frac{(p-3)}{2} \tau} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} \| \tilde{P}_{\leq s} \tilde{v} \|_{L^2} \tilde{w}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}.
\]
(5.19)
By the radial Sobolev embedding theorem and the definition of $\tilde{P}_j$,
\[
\| \frac{\sinh s}{\cosh s} \|^{\frac{p-3}{p+1}} \| \tilde{P}_{\geq j} \tilde{v} \|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{p}}} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{4s}{p+1}} E(\tilde{v})^{1/2}.
\] 
(5.20)
Now then, summing up the contribution of the linear term to $\tilde{w}$,
\[
\sum_j \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{2^{k(1+s_\epsilon - \frac{1}{2})}}{\epsilon} \lesssim \epsilon E(0)^{\frac{3-p}{p+1}}.
\] 
(5.21)
Also, considering the contribution of the nonlinear term,
\[
e^{-\frac{2(3-p)}{p+1} \tau} e^{\frac{p-3}{p+1} \tau} \| \tilde{v} \|_{L^2} \lesssim E(0)^{\frac{3-p}{p+1}} \| \tilde{v} \|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon E(0)^{\frac{3-p}{p+1}}.
\] 
(5.22)
Then by [5.4] and a Gronwall-type estimate, we have proved
\[
\int \int |\tilde{v}(\tau, s)|^{p+1} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{p-1} e^{-\frac{(p-3)}{2} \tau} \tilde{w}^2 ds d\tau < \infty.
\] 
(5.23)
By the radial Sobolev embedding theorem,
\[
\frac{\sinh s}{\cosh s} |\hat{v}(\tau, s)|^{p-3} \lesssim E(\hat{v}) < \infty.
\] (5.24)

Therefore, we have proved
\[
\int \int |v(e^\tau \cosh s, e^\tau \sinh s)|^{2(p-1)}(e^\tau \sinh s)^2 e^{2\tau} ds d\tau < \infty,
\] (5.25)

which by a change of variables formula implies
\[
\int_1^\infty \int_{t^2-r^2 \geq 1} |v(t, r)|^{2(p-1)} r^2 dr dt < \infty.
\] (5.26)

Also, by (5.3) and a change of variables,
\[
\int \int e^{-2(\tau-\frac{3}{2})} |\hat{w}(e^\tau \cosh s, e^\tau \sinh s)|^{2(p-1)} \left( \frac{s}{\sinh s} \right)^{2(p-2)} s^2 ds d\tau = \int \int e^{2\tau} |w(e^\tau \cosh s, e^\tau \sinh s)|^{2(p-1)}(e^\tau \sinh s)^2 ds d\tau
\]
\[
= \int \int_{t^2-r^2 \geq 1} |w(t, r)|^{2(p-1)} r^2 dr dt \lesssim e^{2(p-1)}.
\] (5.27)

Combining (4.4) with (5.20) and (5.27) completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

6 Scattering

As in [3] and [4], (1.5) is proved by combining Zorn’s lemma and a perturbative argument.

Let \((u_0^n, u_1^n)\) be a uniformly bounded radial sequence,
\[
\|u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^{s_{-1}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_1^n\|_{\dot{H}^{s_{-1}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A,
\] (6.1)

and let \(u^n\) be the solution to (1.1) with initial data \((u_0^n, u_1^n)\). By Zorn’s lemma, to prove (1.5), it suffices to show that
\[
\|u^n\|_{L^{2(p-1)}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)}
\] (6.2)
is uniformly bounded for any such sequence.

The proof of this fact makes use of the profile decomposition.

**Theorem 6.1 (Profile decomposition)** Suppose that there is a uniformly bounded, radially symmetric sequence
\[
\|u_0^n\|_{\dot{H}^{s_{-1}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u_1^n\|_{\dot{H}^{s_{-1}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A < \infty.
\] (6.3)
Then there exists a subsequence, also denoted \((u_0^n, u_1^n) \subset \dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c - 1}\) such that for any \(N < \infty\),

\[
S(t)(u_0^n, u_1^n) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Gamma_j^n S(t)(\phi_0^j, \phi_1^j) + S(t)(R_0^n, R_1^n), \tag{6.4}
\]

with

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|S(t)(R_0^n, R_1^n)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = 0. \tag{6.5}
\]

\(\Gamma_j^n = (\lambda_j^n, t_j^n)\) belongs to the group \((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}\), which acts by

\[
\Gamma_j^n F(t, x) = \lambda_j^n F(\lambda_j^n(t - t_j^n), \lambda_j^n x). \tag{6.6}
\]

The \(\Gamma_j^n\) are pairwise orthogonal, that is, for every \(j \neq k\),

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_j^n}{\lambda_k^n} + \frac{\lambda_k^n}{\lambda_j^n} + (\lambda_j^n)^{1/2}(\lambda_k^n)^{1/2}|t_j^n - t_k^n| = \infty. \tag{6.7}
\]

Furthermore, for every \(N \geq 1\),

\[
\|(u_0^n, u_1^n)\|_{H^{s_c} \times H^{s_c - 1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|\phi_0^j, \phi_1^j\|_{H^{s_c} \times H^{s_c - 1}} \tag{6.8}
\]

\[
+ \|(R_0^n, R_1^n)\|_{H^{s_c} \times H^{s_c - 1}} + o_n(1).
\]

Theorem 6.1 gives the profile decomposition

\[
S(t)(u_0^n, u_1^n) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} S(t-t_j^n)(\lambda_j^n \phi_0^j(\lambda_j^n x), (\lambda_j^n)^2 \phi_1^j(\lambda_j^n x)) + S(t)(R_0^n, R_1^n), \tag{6.9}
\]

and moreover,

\[
S(\lambda_j^n t_j^n)(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^n} u_0^n(\frac{x}{\lambda_j^n}), \frac{1}{(\lambda_j^n)^2} u_1^n(\frac{x}{\lambda_j^n})) \rightharpoonup \phi_0^j(x), \tag{6.10}
\]

weakly in \(H^{s_c}(\mathbb{R}^3)\), and

\[
\partial_t S(t + \lambda_j^n t_j^n)(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^n} u_0^n(\frac{x}{\lambda_j^n}), \frac{1}{(\lambda_j^n)^2} u_1^n(\frac{x}{\lambda_j^n}))|_{t=0} \rightharpoonup \phi_1^j(x) \tag{6.11}
\]

weakly in \(\dot{H}^{s_c - 1}(\mathbb{R}^3)\).

First consider the case that \(\lambda_j^n t_j^n\) is uniformly bounded. In this case, after passing to a subsequence, \(\lambda_j^n t_j^n\) converges to some \(t^j\). Changing \((\phi_0^j, \phi_1^j)\) to \(S(-t^j)(\phi_0^j, \phi_1^j)\) and absorbing the error into \((R_0^n, R_1^n)\),

\[
(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^n} u_0^n(\frac{x}{\lambda_j^n}), \frac{1}{(\lambda_j^n)^2} u_1^n(\frac{x}{\lambda_j^n})) \rightharpoonup \phi_0^j(x), \tag{6.12}
\]
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\[ \partial_t S(t) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} u_n^0 \left( \frac{x}{\lambda_n} \right), \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} u_n^0 \left( \frac{x}{\lambda_n} \right) \right) \bigg|_{t=0} \to \phi_1^j (x). \]  
(6.13)

Then if \( u^j \) is the solution to (1.1) with initial data \((\phi_0^j, \phi_1^j)\), then

\[ \| u^j \|_{L^2_t(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M_j < \infty. \]  
(6.14)

Next, suppose that after passing to a subsequence, \( \lambda_n^j t_n \to +\infty \). Then a solution to (1.1) approaches a translation in time of a solution to (1.1) that scatters backward in time to \( S(t)(\phi_0, \phi_1) \), that is,

\[ \lim_{t \to -\infty} \| u - S(t)(\phi_0, \phi_1) \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}} = 0. \]  
(6.15)

Indeed, by Strichartz estimates, the dominated convergence theorem, and small data arguments, for some \( T < \infty \) sufficiently large, (1.1) has a solution \( u \) on \((-\infty, -T]\) such that

\[ \| u \|_{L^2_t(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \epsilon, \quad (u(-T, x), u_t(-T, x)) = S(-T)(\phi_0, \phi_1), \]  
(6.16)

and by Strichartz estimates,

\[ \lim_{t \to +\infty} \| S(t)(u(-t), u_t(-t)) - (\phi_0, \phi_1) \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}} \lesssim \epsilon^p. \]  
(6.17)

Then by the inverse function theorem, there exists some \((u_0(-T), u_1(-T))\) such that (1.1) has a solution that scatters backward in time to \( S(t)(\phi_0, \phi_1) \). Moreover, this solution must also scatter forward in time. Therefore,

\[ S(-t_n^j)(\lambda_n^j \phi_0^j(\lambda_n^j x), \lambda_n^j \phi_1^j(\lambda_n^j x)) \]  
(6.18)

converges strongly to

\[ (\lambda_n^j u^j(-\lambda_n^j t_n^j, \lambda_n^j x), (\lambda_n^j)^2 u_t^j(-\lambda_n^j t_n^j, \lambda_n^j x)) \]  
(6.19)
in \( \dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1} \), where \( u^j \) is the solution to (1.1) that scatters backward in time to \( S(t)(\phi_0^j, \phi_1^j) \), and the remainder may be absorbed into \((R_{0,n}^N, R_{1,n}^N)\). In this case as well,

\[ \| u^j \|_{L^2_t(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M_j < \infty. \]  
(6.20)

The proof for \( \lambda_n^j t_n^j \to -\infty \) is similar.

Also, by (6.5), there are only finitely many \( j \) such that \( \| \phi_0^j \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} + \| \phi_1^j \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c-1}} > \epsilon \). For all other \( j \), small data arguments imply

\[ \| u^j \|_{L^2_t(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \| \phi_0^j \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} + \| \phi_1^j \|_{\dot{H}^{s_c-1}}. \]  
(6.21)

Then make use of the perturbative lemma.
Lemma 6.2 (Perturbation lemma) Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a time interval. Let $t_0 \in I$, $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}$ and some constants $M, A, A' > 0$. Let $\tilde{u}$ solve the equation
\[(\partial_{tt} - \Delta) \tilde{u} = F(\tilde{u}) = e,
\]on $I \times \mathbb{R}^3$, and also suppose $\sup_{t \in I} \|(\tilde{u}(t), \partial_t \tilde{u}(t))\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}} \leq A$, $\|\tilde{u}\|_{L^2_{t,x}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq M$,
\[\|(u_0 - \tilde{u}(t_0), u_1 - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t_0))\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}} \leq A',
\]and
\[\|e\|_{L^1_{t,x} \rightarrow L^\infty_{t,x}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} + \|S(t - t_0)(u_0 - \tilde{u}(t_0), u_1 - \partial_t \tilde{u}(t_0))\|_{L^2_{t,x}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon.
\]
Then there exists $\epsilon_0(M, A, A')$ such that if $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ then there exists a solution to (1.1) on $I$ with $(\tilde{u}(t_0), \partial_t \tilde{u}(t_0)) = (u_0, u_1)$, $\|u\|_{L^2_{t,x}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(M, A, A')$, and for all $t \in I$,
\[\|(u(t), \partial_t u(t)) - (\tilde{u}(t), \partial_t \tilde{u}(t))\|_{\dot{H}^{s_c} \times \dot{H}^{s_c-1}} \leq C(A, A', M)(A' + \epsilon).
\]
By Lemma 6.2, the asymptotic orthogonality property (6.21), and (6.24),
\[\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^n\|^2_{L^2_{t,x}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \sum_j \|u^j\|^2_{L^2_{t,x}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty.
\]
This proves Theorem 1.3 \[\square\]
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