Data-driven decomposition of the streamwise turbulence kinetic energy in boundary layers. Part 1: Energy spectra
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In wall-bounded turbulence, a multitude of coexisting isotropic and anisotropic turbulent structures form the streamwise velocity energy spectrum from the viscosity-to the inertia-dominated range of scales. Because the spectral energy signatures of different types of structures overlap, whilst obeying dissimilar scalings, definite scaling-trends have remained empirically-elusive. Here the turbulence kinetic energy of the streamwise velocity component is re-examined with the aid of spectral decompositions. Two universal spectral filters are obtained via spectral coherence analysis of two-point streamwise velocity signals, spanning a Reynolds number range \( Re_\tau \sim \mathcal{O}(10^3) \) to \( \mathcal{O}(10^6) \). Spectral filters are viewed in the context of Townsend’s attached-eddy hypothesis and allow for a decomposition of the logarithmic-region turbulence into stochastically wall-detached and wall-attached energy portions. The latter is composed of scales larger than a streamwise/wall-normal ratio of \( \lambda_x/z \approx 14 \) and a spectral sub-component of the wall-attached energy is associated with a continuous hierarchy of self-similar, wall-attached turbulence. If the decomposition is accepted, a \( k_x^{-1} \) scaling region (conforming with the attached-eddy hypothesis) appears for \( Re_\tau \geq 80000 \) only, at a wall-normal position of \( z^+=100 \). Other turbulence structures may obscure the \( k_x^{-1} \) scaling and it is shown that a broad outer-spectral peak is present even at low \( Re_\tau \).
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1. Introduction and context

A long-standing challenge in the study of wall turbulence has been identifying the scalings of the energy spectra, amongst other statistical quantities. For the fluctuations of the streamwise velocity component \( u \), the streamwise energy spectrum is denoted as \( \phi_{uu}(k_x) \), with \( k_x \) being the streamwise wavenumber. The streamwise turbulence intensity refers to the velocity variance, \( \overline{u^2} \), and equates to the integrated spectral energy: \( \overline{u^2} = \int \phi_{uu}dk_x \). Thus, energy spectra inform how the turbulence intensity is distributed across wavenumbers and have long been used to interpret the turbulence cascade (e.g. Pope 2000; Jiménez 2012). For wall-bounded turbulence, the inhomogeneity along its wall-normal direction and the anisotropy of the inertial motions, introduce mathematical challenges that prevent derivations of spectral scaling laws for the full spectrum. In addition, research over the past two decades has revealed large-scale organized motions with significant spatial and temporal coherence (Robinson 1991;
Adrian 2007; Jiménez 2018). An in-depth understanding of the scaling of spectra in wall-bounded flows, including the effects of organized motions, remains absent (Hutchins & Marusic 2007a; Smits et al. 2011). In this paper we consider $\phi_{uu}$ corresponding to zero-pressure gradient (ZPG) turbulent boundary layers (TBLs). A central aspect is a data-driven spectral decomposition, yielding a spectral sub-component that resonates with the attached-eddy hypothesis (AEH) of Townsend (1976).

In the following section we first review the current state of knowledge on the scaling of $\phi_{uu}$. Throughout the paper we use co-ordinate system $x$, $y$ and $z$ to denote the streamwise, spanwise and wall-normal directions of the flow, respectively. Friction Reynolds number $Re_\tau \equiv \delta U_\tau/\nu$ is the ratio of $\delta$ (the boundary layer thickness) to the viscous length-scale $\nu/\sqrt{\tau}$, where $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity and $U_\tau$ is the friction velocity. Here $U_\tau = \sqrt{\tau_0/\rho}$, with $\tau_0$ and $\rho$ being the wall-shear stress and fluid’s density, respectively. When a dimension of length is presented in outer-scaling, it is normalized with scale $\delta$, while a viscous-scaling is signified with superscript ‘+’ and comprises a scaling with $\nu/\delta U_\tau$. A wall-scaling (or inner-scaling when spectra are concerned) employs wall-distance $z$. Recall that lower-case $u$ represents the Reynolds decomposed fluctuations, while capital $U$ is used for the absolute mean. Throughout this work, turbulent signals generally comprise time-series, $u(t)$, from which frequency spectra $\phi_{uu}(f)$ are computed. For interpretative purposes and convention, frequency spectra are converted to wavenumber spectra using a single convection velocity $U_c$ (generally taken as the local mean velocity $U(z)$; wavenumber $k_x = 2\pi f/U_c$). Wavenumber spectra $\phi_{uu}(k_x)$ are computed as $\phi_{uu}(k_x) = \phi_{uu}(f) (df/dk)$, where factor $df/dk = U_c/(2\pi)$ converts the energy density from ‘per unit frequency’ to ‘per unit wavenumber’. Note that premultiplying the spectrum, with $f$ or $k_x$, does not affect its magnitude. That is, $f\phi_{uu}(f) = 2\pi f/U_c \phi_{uu}(f) (df/dk) = k_x \phi_{uu}(k_x)$. The scale axis is either presented in terms of $k_x$ or wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/k_x$. Frequency-to-wavenumber conversions are non-trivial and Taylor’s hypothesis can introduce aliasing-type discrepancies, particularly in the near-wall region (Perry & Li 1990; del Álamo & Jiménez 2009; de Kat & Ganapathisubramani 2015; Renard & Deck 2015). Conclusions in this article are made with regard to the logarithmic region, but caution in interpreting frequency spectra via length scales is noted.

2. Structure of the streamwise turbulence kinetic energy

2.1. Review of empirically observed trends for $\phi_{uu}$ energy spectra

Over the past two decades, wall-turbulence data at high $Re_\tau$ have revealed new features of $\phi_{uu}$. Figure 1(a) presents the streamwise energy spectra as a spectrogram: premultiplied spectra at 40 logarithmically-spaced positions within the range $10.6 \leq z^+ \leq 15$ are presented with iso-contours of $k_x^+ \phi_{uu}^+$. These spectra were obtained from hot-wire measurements at $Re_\tau \approx 14100$ in Melbourne’s TBL facility (Baars et al. 2017a).

Dominant small-scale features known as recurrent near-wall streaks (Kline et al. 1967) form the inner-spectral peak in the TBL spectrogram and obey viscous scaling (identified with the $\times$ marker at $x^+ = 10^3$ and $z^+ = 15$ in Figure 1a). Attached eddies play an important role in the log-layer, and likely take a form consistent with vortex packets (Head & Bandyopadhyay 1981; Kim & Adrian 1999; Adrian et al. 2000; Wu & Christensen 2006; Balakumar & Adrian 2007; Adrian 2007). These packets, or large-scale motions (LSMs), exhibit a forward inclined structure with $u < 0$ within the packet and $u > 0$ at either spanwise-flanked side of the packet (Favre et al. 1967; Blackwelder & Kovasznay 1972; Brown & Thomas 1977; Wark & Nagib 1991; Ganapathisubramani et al. 2003;
At the high wavenumber-end of the spectra, isotropic scales arguably resemble a broad spectral peak in the log-region, indicated in Figure 1(a) with a × marker at $\lambda_x = 4\delta$ and $z^+ = 3.9R e_x^{1/2} \approx 464$ (Mathis et al. 2009). Hutchins & Marusic (2007a) observed the emergence of the outer-spectral peak for $Re_x \gtrsim 2000$ and its energetic relevance increases with $Re_x$.

Figure 2 aids in assessing scalings of $\phi_{uu}$ in the logarithmic region. Individual spectra at logarithmically-spaced positions in the range $100 \leq z^+ \leq 0.15\delta^+$ are shown in Figure 2(a) with an outer-scaling on the logarithmic scale axis (and with a wall-scaling in Figure 2(b)). At the high wavenumber-end of the spectra, isotropic scales arguably resemble a $k_x^{-5/3}$ inertial sub-range scaling (Figure 2b and Saddoughi & Veeravalli 1994; Sreenivasan 1995; Mydlarski & Warhaft 1996; Gamard & George 2000; Samie et al. 2018). A decrease in spectral separation between the isotropic scales and the range over which viscosity acts, narrows the $k_x^{-5/3}$ region with decreasing $z$. In that regard, a second spectrogram in Figure 1(a) displays $k_x^3\phi_{uu}$, indicative of the surrogate dissipation rate of energy $\epsilon \equiv 15\nu \int k_x^3\phi_{uu}d\log(k_x)$ (with an applied local isotropy hypothesis, valid only well into
the logarithmic region). In the logarithmic region, $\epsilon \sim 1/z$ and the dissipation scales via $k_x z \propto z^{3/4}$ (production-dissipation balance); this scaling reflects the ridge of the dissipation spectrogram following $\lambda_x \approx 35\eta$, where $\eta \equiv (\nu^3/\epsilon)^{1/4}$. When spectra adhere to the $k_x^{-5/3}$ up to a constant wall-scaling, e.g. $k_x z = O(1)$ (dashed line in Figure 1a), the dissipation and energy spectrograms reveal the relatively small range over which a $k_x^{-5/3}$ may be expected.

When concentrating on the inertial range of anisotropic scales, dimensional analysis, a spectral overlap argument and an assumed type of eddy similarity lead to a $k_x^{-1}$ dependence: (Perry & Abell 1975; Perry et al. 1986; Davidson & Krogstad 2009)

$$k_x^+ \phi_{uu}^+ = A_1,$$

where $A_1$ is a universal constant (Perry et al. 1986). In $(\lambda_x,z)$–space, the $k_x^{-1}$ scaling region obeys wall-scaling and outer-scaling at the small- and large-wavelength ends of the spectrum, respectively (Townsend 1976). By way of visual inspection, Nickels et al. (2005) determined the $k_x^{-1}$ region as $z^+ > 100$, $\lambda_x > 15.7z$ and $A_2 < 0.38$ at $Re_\tau \approx 14000$ (triangular region ‘N’ in Figure 1a). To date, convincing support for a $k_x^{-1}$ dependence has not been shown, mainly due to the limited range over which this region plausibly exists.

Even for the highest $Re_\tau$ laboratory data, the presence of a $k_x^{-1}$ has been inconclusive (Morrison et al. 2002; Rosenberg et al. 2013; Vallikivi et al. 2015a; Baidya et al. 2017), while theory suggests that this region should grow with $Re_\tau$. A $k_x^{-1}$ scaling has been reported for atmospheric surface layer (ASL) data (Högström et al. 2002; Calaf et al. 2013) and free shear flow (Beres et al. 2018). However, this is usually when spectra are presented in log-log format, where $\phi_{uu} \propto k_x^{-1}$ may appear to be more convincing (Appendix A.1). In emphasizing the absence of $k_x^{-1}$, portions of the spectra satisfying Nickels’ criterion are highlighted in Figure 2. These portions plateau at $A_1 \approx 0.92$ (Nickels et al. 2005), although this plateau may be caused by a transitioning from the imprint signature of the inner-spectral peak, to the broad outer-spectral peak. Furthermore, it is well-known that the outer-spectral peak has a distinct energy footprint down to the wall, as a direct consequence of its strong linear coherence with the near-wall turbulence (Baars et al. 2017b; Marusic et al. 2017). These spectral features—which could enhance $\phi_{uu} \propto k_x^{-1}$ while not being truly representative of (2.1)—are most clearly observed in

**Figure 2.** Premultiplied energy spectra ($Re_\tau \approx 14100$) at nine logarithmically-spaced wall-normal positions in the range $100 \leq z^+ \leq 0.15\delta^+$, with the abscissae in (a) outer-scaling and (b) wall-scaling, respectively. Note: $k_x \equiv 2\pi f/\delta(z)$.
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a spectrogram and induce a saddle-type topology (Figure 1b). Chandran et al. (2017) examined experimentally acquired streamwise–spanwise 2D spectra of \( u \) at \( Re_{x} \sim O(10^4) \) for a \( k_x^{-1} \), but has also faced challenges in identifying an unobstructed spectral view. It is worth noting that numerical studies (e.g. Jiménez & Hoyas 2008; Lee & Moser 2015; Hwang 2015) indicate a promising \( k^{-1} \) structure in, for instance, spectra of the spanwise velocity component in channel flow, even at \( Re_{x} \sim O(10^3) \).

If a \( k_x^{-1} \) scaling region does exist in \( \phi_{uu} \), it presumably extends beyond Nickel’s identified outer limit of \( \lambda_x = 0.3\delta \). Obscuring features related to detached eddies (Marusic & Perry 1995; Jiménez 2012), processes of spatial alignment (Adrian et al. 2000) and spectral aliasing (Davidson et al. 2006; Davidson & Krogstad 2008), all of which accumulate energy in the broad outer-spectral peak, are realistic. Consequently, identifying a \( k_x^{-1} \) region from unaltered spectra is unlikely. In fact, Baars et al. (2017b) determined that a geometrically self-similar wall-attached structure of turbulence may be ingrained within a much larger \( (\lambda_x, z) \)-region (labelled ‘B’ in Figure 1a).

2.2. Townsend’s attached-eddy hypothesis and the spectral view of Perry et al.

A \( k_x^{-1} \) region in \( \phi_{uu} \) would reflect the AEH. Initially, Townsend (1976) hypothesized that: “The velocity fields of the main eddies, regarded as persistent, organized flow patterns, extend to the wall and, in a sense, they are attached to the wall”, implying a hierarchy of geometrically self-similar eddying motions that are inertially dominated (inviscid), attached to the wall and scalable with their distance to the wall. Regarding the latter two properties, Townsend’s description does not mention what the exact type of eddies are in terms of their shapes and organization, nor whether they physically extend to the wall (Marusic & Monty 2019).

In the log-region, say \( \nu/U_{*} \ll z < \delta \), Perry & Chong (1982) show that an attached-eddy model predicts a \( k_x^{-1} \) in \( \phi_{uu} \). With the smallest eddy on the order of \( 100\nu/U_{*} \), and a discrete hierarchy of 10 geometrically self-similar scales, it was shown that at least \( Re_{x} \sim O(10^5) \) is required for observing a \( k_x^{-1} \) region spanning approximately one order-of-magnitude. Furthermore, Perry & Abell (1977) and Perry et al. (1986) contemplated that \( \phi_{uu} \) encompasses three distinct contributions; a vision further refined later on (Marusic & Perry 1995). A conceptual sketch of these contributions is presented in Figure 3(c) and are referred to as type A, B and C energy contributions.

Central in this view is the A energy (only this energy fraction is captured by the attached-eddy model and would dominate the spectrum at ultra-high \( Re_{x} \)). At the high wavenumber-end, the A contribution follows wall-scaling with boundary \( W_A \), starting at \( k_x z = G \) (note that one fixed and inner-scaled location \( z \) is assumed throughout Figure 3). At the low wavenumber-end, A is bounded by \( O_A \), adhering to outer-scaling. A \( k_x^{-1} \) region occurs where there is an overlap of the outer-scaling and wall-scaling. Note that both boundaries \( W_A \) and \( O_A \) are unknown and undefined, e.g. no physical arguments exist for formulating these, nor is there empirical evidence yet for these boundaries. Logically, the turbulence spectrum also includes energetic portions from scales that are unresolved by the attached-eddy model. At low wavenumbers, B energy is envisioned to represent physically detached eddies (possibly representing VLSMs). Only through the availability of experimental data at high \( Re_{x} \) it appears that this contribution is Reynolds number dependent (relating back to the discussion on the broad outer-spectral peak in §2.1). Vassilicos et al. (2015) performed a modelling attempt of the low wavenumber-end of the spectrum, by including a \( k_x^{-m} \) range. In the current context this can be interpreted as an attempt to model the B contribution (although they chose \( 0 < m < 1 \), meaning that a \( k_x^{-1} \) region forms the global maximum in \( k_x \phi_{uu} \)). In addition, Srinath et al. (2018) related this modelling effort to the wide variation of streamwise lengths of wall-
Figure 3. Hypothetical structure of $k_x \phi_{uu}$ within the logarithmic region of TBLs, following Perry & Abell (1977) and Perry et al. (1986) and reproduced from Marusic & Perry (1995). Sketches for finite $Re_\tau$ are hypothesized in subfigures (a) and (b), while an asymptotically high $Re_\tau$ is envisioned in (c).

attached streamwise velocity structures. At the high wavenumber-end in Figure 3(c), region $C$ comprises Kolmogorov scales and small-scale detached eddies. This portion of the spectrum obeys $k_x^{-5/3}$ and is subject to the viscous roll-off beyond an $\eta$-based scale (boundary with constant $M$).

Perhaps the most important feature in Figure 3(c) is the complete spectral separation of $B$ and $C$ components at ultra-high $Re_\tau$. With the gained knowledge on (V)LSMs, it is plausible that type $A$ energy lays as the root of $B$ contributions (imagine self-similar vortex packets merging into non-self-similar VLSMs), so that the $B$ contribution may overlap with $A$ and obscure a $k_x^{-1}$. Moreover, intense small-scale velocity fluctuations in the high vorticity regions of eddies making up the $A$ energy can contribute to the type $C$ energy (see also del Álamo et al. 2006). Hence, the $C$ region could also obscure a $k_x^{-1}$. This spectral overlap at lower values of $Re_\tau$ is schematically shown in Figures 3(a,b). In essence, at low $Re_\tau$, the range of scales has not yet matured to a range where complete $B/C$ spectral-separation may occur (if it does). Finally, it is worth realizing that Fourier analyses of highly broadband $u$ signals de-localizes the spectral energy contributions from specific type of physically-imagined structures (due to their randomness in space and time, as well as the aliasing handicap). In light of the above this article attempts to re-appraise the spectral view of Figure 3.
2.3. Present contribution and outline

To summarize the above, a presence of geometrically self-similar, wall-attached motions, as hypothesized by Townsend (1976), would imply the existence of $\phi_{uu} \propto k_x^{-1}$ at high enough $Re_\tau$. This attached-eddy model behaviour only governs $A$ in the spectral view hypothesized by Perry and co-workers. Empirical validation of a three-component structure in the velocity spectra $\phi_{uu}$ is non-existent, and boundaries $O_A$ and $W_A$, as well as constants like $G$, $N$ and $M$, are unknown (Figure 3). Moreover, a convincing $\phi_{uu} \propto k_x^{-1}$ scaling has remained elusive as it has not been observed in high-fidelity data. In order to gain further insight into the structure of $\phi_{uu}$, there is a need to examine the possible existence of a three-component spectral structure. This article presents a first attempt towards this, using a data-driven spectral decomposition method. Next, in §3, a description of the multi-point synchronized experimental data is provided. Via linear systems theory (§4.1), data-driven spectral filters are derived (§§4.2–4.3) and allow for the spectral decomposition of $\phi_{uu}$ (§5.1). It also provides further insight into the required scale separation for observance of $\phi_{uu} \propto k_x^{-1}$ (§5.3). Subsequently, spectra at a range of $Re_\tau$ are decomposed and interpreted according to the spectral structure of Perry and co-workers (§6). In Part 2 (Baars & Marusic 2019), the integrated energy in the $\phi_{uu}$ spectra, being the streamwise turbulence intensity $\overline{u^2}$, is reassessed in the context of the spectral decomposition presented in this paper.

3. Turbulent boundary layer data

3.1. Two-point measurements at $Re_\tau \approx 13500$

Two-point measurement data are the foundation for the spectral decomposition-methodology used. Data were acquired in Melbourne’s boundary layer facility (Nickels et al. 2005; Baars et al. 2016b) under nominal ZPG conditions. Pressure coefficient, $C_p$, was constant to within ±0.87% (Marusic et al. 2015), and free-stream turbulence intensities were less than 0.05% of the free-stream at $x = 0$ m (Kulandaivelu 2011).

Four datasets were acquired using a two-point hot-wire arrangement shown in Figure 4. For each dataset, one hot-wire probe is positioned at a fixed location (reference $z = z_R$), while the other probe is traversed to map out a range of wall-normal positions, $z_M$ (probes were located at the same $(x, y)$–position). One dataset (denoted by $\mathcal{W}$) employs a near-wall reference at $z_R^+ \approx 4.4$, while the reference probe was situated within the logarithmic region for the other three datasets ($\mathcal{L}_i, i = 1...3$, with reference positions
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z_R ≈ 246, 469 and 1 120, respectively). These four reference locations are from now on denoted as z_W and z_L for datasets W and L_1−3, respectively. Nominally, all datasets correspond to the same Reynolds number condition (Re_τ ≈ 13 500). Boundary layer parameters are summarized in Table 1. The friction velocity was inferred from a direct survey of the wall-shear stress using a floating element drag balance, situated at the streamwise measurement location (Baars et al. 2016b), and a modified Coles law of the wake fit was used to determine δ (Jones et al. 2001). For reference, mean velocity profiles (Figure 5a) were fitted to a composite profile with log-law constants of κ = 0.384 and A = 4.17 (Chauhan et al. 2009). This procedure resulted in values for U_τ that deviated less than 2% from the friction data-based values.

For dataset W, the near-wall probe was a Dantec 55P30 type, while the traversing hotwire consisted of a Dantec 55P15 boundary layer probe. Platinum-Wollaston wires were used with a d = 5 μm and d = 2.5 μm core wire, for the near-wall and traversing probes, respectively. Both wires were etched to expose the core over a length of l/d = 200 (Ligrani & Bradshaw 1987). In viscous-scaling, the lengths of l^+ ≈ 42 and l^+ ≈ 22 are of an acceptable length when the spatial resolution is concerned, since this study concentrates on the absolute energy in the logarithmic region only (Hutchins et al. 2009; Samie et al. 2018). The traversing hotwire was traversed to 40 logarithmically-spaced positions in the range 10.7 ≤ z_M^+ ≤ 1.55δ^+. For datasets L_1−3, both probes consisted of Dantec 55P15 type holders with d = 2.5 μm wires (also l/d = 200, resulting in l^+ ≈ 21). Each probe could be moved independently in z using two separate traverse systems: a tunnel-specific traverse with a wall-implemented traverse situated underneath. Irrespective of z_R, a mapping of 50 logarithmically-spaced positions from z_M^+ ≈ 10.5 up to z_M^+ ≈ 1.6δ^+ was carried out. In practice, positions below z_R were mapped out with the probe connected to the bottom traverse (with the other probe at z_R). Subsequently, the probes switched positions, and the wall-normal range above z_R was mapped out with the top traverse (with the bottom traverse-probe at z_R). Since the geometry of the probe holders dictated a minimum separation distance of Δz_m^+ = |z_M − z_R| ≈ 2.2 mm, 5 points (L_1), 2 points (L_2) and 1 point (L_3) were skipped in the 50-point profiles.

All hot-wire probes were operated in constant temperature mode, with an overheat
ratio of 1.8, using in-house built anemometers (MUCTA) (Hutchins et al. 2009). For each dataset, wires were sampled simultaneously at a rate of \( \Delta T^+ \equiv U_\infty^2/\nu/f_s \), where \( f_s \) is the sampling frequency (acquisition rates, \( \Delta T^+ \), were around unity or less, see Hutchins et al. 2009). To prevent aliasing, the signals were passed through 4\(^{th}\) order Butterworth filters—with a spectral cut-off set at \( f \)—prior to A/D conversion using a 16-bit Data Translation DT9836 module. Relatively long signals were acquired with lengths of \( TU_\infty/\delta > 20 \times 10^3 \), allowing for converged spectral statistics at the largest energetic wavelengths. Both hot-wire probes were calibrated, with a correction method for hot-wire voltage drift (Talluru et al. 2014). Boundary layer profiles of \( U \) and \( \overline{u^2} \) are plotted in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. All mean velocity profiles are compared with \( U^+ = 1/\kappa \ln(z^+) + A \) in the logarithmic region (thin blue lines), with \( \kappa = 0.384 \) and \( A = 4.17 \) and show minimum disturbance from the reference probe. Likewise, the agreement of the \( \overline{u^2} \) profiles can be gleaned from their comparison to \( \overline{u^2}^+ = B_1 - A_1 \ln(z/\delta) \) in the logarithmic region (thin blue lines), with \( A_1 = 1.26 \) and \( B_1 = 2.30 \) (Marusic et al. 2013); profiles \( L_{1-3} \) comprise a slightly lower energy in the outer-region than the \( W \) data, which is ascribed to the minor variation in \( Re_r \). Since the near-wall streamwise turbulence intensity is attenuated due to the hot-wire’s spatial resolution, corrected profiles via the method of Smits et al. (2011) are also shown. Peak-values at \( z^+ \approx 15 \) agree with the expected behaviour following \( \overline{u^2}_{\text{max}} = 0.63 \ln(Re_r) + 3.80 \) (Lee & Moser 2015; Marusic et al. 2017; Samie et al. 2018); note that a composite-based \( Re_r \) is used for evaluating this expression.

3.2. Additional data for investigating Reynolds number dependence

A number of other TBL datasets are employed for investigating Reynolds number trends, ranging from DNS up to ASL data. For all datasets, \( \delta, U_r \) and \( Re_r \) were recomputed with the modified Coles law of the wake fit. DNS data of a ZPG TBL by Sillero et al. (2013) correspond to \( Re_r \approx 1992 \). Streamwise/wall-normal planes of data, spanning the entire TBL in \( z \) and extending \( \sim 11.9 \delta \) in \( x \), are used. This
streamwise extension is chosen to include large-scale motions, while still maintaining an acceptable Reynolds number increase from $Re_\theta \equiv \theta U_\infty / \nu \approx 5110$ to $6010$, where $\theta$ is the momentum thickness ($Re = 1992$ at the streamwise centre). Around this Reynolds number condition, the spanwise resolution of the DNS is $\Delta y^+ \approx 3.72$. Five single-point hot-wire datasets of $u$ are also included throughout this work (all acquired in Melbourne’s TBL facility). Data at $Re \approx 2800$, $3900$ and $7300$ were taken using a regular hot-wire set-up (Hutchins et al. 2009). Data at $Re \approx 13000$ and $19300$ are taken from Samie et al. (2018), where Princeton’s NSTAP probes were used for making fully-resolved measurements. Our highest Reynolds number data at $Re \approx 1.4 \times 10^6$ encompass the $u$ fluctuation in the ASL under near-neutrally buoyant conditions at the SLTEST on the great salt lakes of Utah. These data were synchronously acquired using a wall-normal array of five sonic anemometers and one purpose-built wall-shear stress sensor situated under the array (Heuer & Marusic 2005; Marusic & Heuer 2007).

4. Data-driven spectral filters for an energy decomposition

To generate data-driven spectral filters from the two-point data, spectral coherence analyses (§4.1) are applied to the dataset with the near-wall reference signal (§4.2), as well as the datasets with the logarithmic-region reference (§4.3).

4.1. Methodology

4.1.1. Linear coherence spectrum: a data-driven filter

A stochastic coupling between two time series of $u$ can be inferred from correlation analyses. Instead of computing the correlation in physical space, as the two-point cross-correlation coefficient $\rho(\tau)$, where $\tau$ is the relative time shift between the two signals, a spectral approach is pursued for its relevance to energy spectra. A diagnostic for the scale-by-scale coupling is the linear coherence spectrum (LCS, Bendat & Piersol 1986). For two synchronously acquired time series $u(z)$ and $u(z_R)$, the LCS is formulated as

$$\gamma^2_l (z, z_R; \lambda_x) \equiv \frac{| \langle \hat{u} (z; \lambda_x) \hat{u} (z_R; \lambda_x) \rangle |^2}{| \langle \hat{u} (z; \lambda_x) \rangle |^2 \langle | \hat{u} (z_R; \lambda_x) |^2 \rangle} = \frac{| \phi_{uu}' (z, z_R; \lambda_x) |^2}{\phi_{uu} (z; \lambda_x) \phi_{uu} (z_R; \lambda_x)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\hat{u} (z; \lambda_x)$ is the temporal Fourier transform of $u(z)$. Although $\lambda_x$ is here used as the scale-argument, the expression is evaluated in frequency space. The overbar in (4.1) indicates the complex conjugate, $\langle \rangle$ denotes ensemble averaging and $||$ designates the modulus. Since $\gamma^2_l$ equals the cross-spectrum magnitude-squared, normalized by the energy spectra of $u(z)$ and $u(z_R)$, a normalized coherence is obtained: $0 \leq \gamma^2_l \leq 1$. Interpretation-wise, $\gamma^2_l$ reflects the square of a scale-specific correlation coefficient and represents the fraction of common variance shared by $u(z)$ and $u(z_R)$, per scale. Although only the magnitude of the complex-valued cross-spectrum, $\phi_{uu}' (z, z_R; \lambda_x) \in \mathbb{C}$, is considered in the nominator of (4.1), the LCS implicitly embodies the phase consistency (and co-existing amplitude variations) across ensembles of $u(z)$ and $u(z_R)$. That is, if each ensemble used to construct the cross-spectrum contains a radically different (non-consistent) phase shift for a certain scale, that scale is not stochastically correlated and $\gamma^2_l \to 0$ when converged. To illustrate the LCS, one arbitrary velocity-velocity pair of dataset $W$ is chosen: an outer-region signal at $z^+_O \approx 473$ with its associated reference signal at $z^+_W \approx 4.4$. Figure 6(a) displays the energy spectra, while the LCS is shown in figure 6(b). All spectral quantities are plotted using a bandwidth moving filter of 20%, meaning that the filtered quantity at discrete wavelength $\lambda_{zi}$ is averaged over
\[ \lambda_x \pm 20\% \]. For this example, the largest energetic scales are correlated to a degree of roughly 0.8, while \( \gamma^2 \) drops below 0.1 for \( \lambda_x \approx 10^4 \). In relevance to our work, it is worth noting that the LCS has previously been applied to meteorological ASL-type data for wind engineering purposes and investigations of atmospheric stability/stratification (e.g. Panofsky & McCormick 1954; Davenport 1961; Krug et al. 2018), as well as to laboratory TBL data for investigating the local isotropy of the turbulence (e.g. Saddoughi & Veeravalli 1994).

### 4.1.2. Spectral stochastic estimation: a data-driven decomposition

The LCS provides information on how much energy is stochastically coherent/incoherent between \( z \) and \( z_R \). Stochastic estimation techniques are commonly applied to turbulent flows for inferring structural properties and applications are widely found throughout the literature (Adrian 1979; Adrian et al. 1987; Cole & Glauser 1998; Bonnet et al. 1998, among others). In the context of the LCS, and considering \( u(z_R) \) and \( u(z) \) as an input and output of a linear time-invariant system, respectively, a spectral linear stochastic estimate (LSE) of the output is (Bendat & Piersol 1986; Tinney et al. 2006)

\[ \hat{\varphi}^E_\lambda (z; \lambda_x) = H_1 (z, z_R; \lambda_x) \hat{u} (z_R; \lambda_x). \]  

(4.2)

The linear transfer kernel \( H_1 \) is computed from an ensemble of data via

\[ H_1 (z, z_R; \lambda_x) = \frac{\phi_{uu} (z_R; \lambda_x)}{\phi_{uu} (z; \lambda_x)} = |H_1| e^{j\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}, \]  

(4.3)

comprising a scale-dependent linear gain, \( |H_1| \), and phase \( \varphi \). As is evident, the spectral LSE approach via (4.2) transforms a frequency-domain unconditional input into an estimate of the frequency-domain conditional output through one multiplicative step. In essence, spectral LSE is an efficient implementation of the multi-time LSE scheme (for details: Ewing & Citriniti 1999; Tinney et al. 2006). Note that a linear stochastic estimate of the output time series, \( \hat{u}(z) \) can simply be obtained from the inverse Fourier transform of (4.2)—see also Baars et al. (2016a). By combining (4.1) and (4.3), a stochastic estimate...
of the output’s energy spectrum can be expressed as
\[
\phi_{uu}^e (z; \lambda_x) = |H_l (z, z_R; \lambda_x)|^2 \phi_{uu} (z_R; \lambda_x) = \gamma_l^2 (z, z_R; \lambda_x) \phi_{uu} (z; \lambda_x). \tag{4.4}
\]
This implies that the amount of energy at \( z \) that can be reconstructed via an LSE procedure, from an input at \( z_R \), is equal to the measured spectrum at \( z \), multiplied by \( \gamma_l^2 \). And thus, it is said that the estimated spectrum comprises the coherent portion of the actual spectrum (relative to a reference location from which the estimate is performed). Consequently, the LCS can be used as a scale-dependent filter for decomposing \( \phi_{uu} (z; \lambda_x) \) into stochastically coherent and incoherent portions, relative to \( z_R \), following
\[
\phi_{uu} (z; \lambda_x) = (\gamma_l^2) \phi_{uu} (z; \lambda_x) + (1 - \gamma_l^2) \phi_{uu} (z; \lambda_x). \tag{4.5}
\]
Figure 6(c) illustrates this decomposition with visuals of the coherent and incoherent portions of \( \phi_{uu} (z_O; \lambda_x) \), comprising \( \sim 39\% \) and \( \sim 61\% \) of the total turbulence intensity, \( u'^2 (z_O) \), respectively. Because the input/output in (4.2)–(4.5) is reversible, \( \phi_{uu} (z_R; \lambda_x) \) can also be decomposed into coherent and incoherent portions.

It is noted that the method above is linear only. Guezenne (1989) showed that the inclusion of quadratic terms in stochastic estimates of the velocity fields of a TBL flow—from a velocity input—negligibly improves the estimate. Furthermore, Naguib et al. (2001) did show that the inclusion of higher-order terms does improve the estimate of the velocity field from surface pressure as input (via a time-domain quadratic stochastic estimation scheme), but, this was linked to an inherent nonlinearity caused by the turbulent–turbulent pressure source term. Only velocity-velocity coupling is considered in this work, hence a linear technique is sufficient.
(a) Wall-attached coherent features (e.g. hairpins)


drawn as a hairpin vortex and packets of hairpins, are of secondary importance in the context of a hierarchical distribution of some wall-attached eddies, and solely serves for visual purposes.

(b) Characteristic wall-attached structure

(c) Self-similar range of structures

(d) Resultant coherence spectrogram

hierarchies (1) to (10)

(c) –space and superposed on the energy spectrogram in Figure 7. A horizontal cut through the \( \gamma^2 \) contour at \( z^+ \approx 473 \) results in Figure 6(b). Iso-contours of \( \gamma^2 \) increase in value, with increasing \( \lambda_x \), and follow lines of constant \( \lambda_x/z \) within the logarithmic region. Only a portion of the energy spectrogram below non-zero contours of \( \gamma^2 \) is stochastically coherent with \( z_W \). A dashed line at the large-wavelength end indicates the lowest frequency resolved.

A physical interpretation for the \( \gamma^2 \) trend is considered here in the context of Townsend’s AEH (Baars et al. 2017b), with the aid of a roadmap in Figures 8(a-d). Here we assume the existence of a wall-attached structure with an embedded self-similar hierarchy of scales. Figure 8(a) visualizes a wall-attached coherent feature as a hairpin

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \nabla^2 \phi
\]

4.2. Coherence relative to a near-wall reference

4.2.1. Coherence spectrogram and physical interpretation

Using (4.1), coherence spectra can be computed from the \( \mathcal{W} \) dataset for all \( z \) within the TBL. A coherence spectrogram, formed by presenting all individual coherence spectra as iso-contours of \( \gamma^2 \), is presented in \((\lambda_x, z)\)-space and superposed on the \( k^+ \phi^{++} \) energy spectrogram in Figure 7. A horizontal cut through the \( \gamma^2 \) contour at \( z^+ \approx 473 \) results in Figure 6(b). Iso-contours of \( \gamma^2 \) increase in value, with increasing \( \lambda_x \), and follow lines of constant \( \lambda_x/z \) within the logarithmic region. Only a portion of the energy spectrogram below non-zero contours of \( \gamma^2 \) is stochastically coherent with \( z_W \). A dashed line at the large-wavelength end indicates the lowest frequency resolved.

A physical interpretation for the \( \gamma^2 \) trend is considered here in the context of Townsend’s AEH (Baars et al. 2017b), with the aid of a roadmap in Figures 8(a-d). Here we assume the existence of a wall-attached structure with an embedded self-similar hierarchy of scales. Figure 8(a) visualizes a wall-attached coherent feature as a hairpin
vortex with a forward-leaning inclination angle of $\sim 45^\circ$ (Adrian et al. 2000). Note that the exact types of structures do not matter in this discussion. Streamwise and wall-normal extents of such a structure are roughly equal, implying a streamwise/wall-normal aspect ratio of $O(1)$. When the hairpins form packets (Figure 8b), their streamwise extent grows more than their wall-normal region of influence (and a characteristic angle reduces to $\sim 12^\circ$, e.g. Christensen & Adrian 2001). The wall-attached structures have a characteristic wavelength $\lambda^H_x$ and wall-normal extent $\delta_z$, so that a streamwise/wall-normal aspect ratio arises as $\mathcal{R} \equiv \lambda^H_x / \delta_z$. The forward-leaning nature of the structures is neglected since a consistent phase shift is irrelevant in the context of the LCS and spectra. Townsend’s self-similarity implies that each structure-hierarchy is associated with the same $\mathcal{R}$ and two structures are shown in Figure 8(c) of heights $\lambda^H_i$ and $\delta_z$. Due to the structures’ random appearance in space (or time) (Woodcock & Marusic 2015), a non-zero coherence exists for $\lambda_x > \lambda^H_x$: thus, $\gamma^2_i > 0$ for $z < \delta^H_i$ and $\lambda_x > \mathcal{R}\delta^H_i$ in the case of hierarchy $i$ (bottom of Figure 8c). The magnitude of $\gamma^2_i$ may vary with $\lambda_x$ and $z$, but a constant magnitude is drawn for ease with a single grey-scale. A non-uniform magnitude results in the same final structure of the reconstructed $\gamma^2_i$ spectrogram when $Re_\tau \to \infty$ (an infinite number of hierarchies). The coherence contour associated with an isolated hierarchy is the coherence-equivalent of an eddy-intensity function for the wall-normal streamwise turbulence intensity profile, see for instance Perry & Marusic (1995); Baidya (2016) and a theoretical study of two-point correlations related to the AEH (Mouri 2017). Here the magnitude of the coherence intensity function is less than unity and is attributed to an inherent three-dimensionality of wall-attached structures (only coherence in the $(x,z)$-plane is considered), stochastically inconsistent motions (e.g. random meandering or flapping) and its continuous evolution (growth, saturation, decay). These factors contribute to the trivial cause of less-than-perfect coherence: the co-existence of detached (wall-incoherent) energy in $(\lambda_x,z)$–space. This incoherent energy does add to the total energy in the denominator of (4.1). Finally, when superposing each hierarchy of self-similar scales to reconstruct a coherence spectrogram (Figure 8d), $\gamma^2_i$ increases in the region where individual coherence intensity functions overlap, allegedly caused by the growing ratio of attached energy, relative to detached energy (supported by the data and here visualized by the increasing grey scale of the superposed transparent hierarchy of rectangles from Figure 8c). In a region bounded by $z = l$, a constant $\lambda_x/z$ and a constant $\lambda_x$, the $\gamma^2_i$ iso-contours thus obey a constant $\lambda_x/z$ via

$$\gamma^2_i = C_1 \ln \left( \frac{\lambda_x}{z} \right) + C_2 = C_1 \ln \left( \frac{\lambda_x}{z} \right)$$,

and $\gamma^2_i$ is bounded: $\gamma^2_i \in [0,1]$. Constant $R$ is the aspect ratio at which the coherence falls to zero, e.g. $R = \lambda_x/z$ $\gamma^2_i \to 0 = \exp(-C_2/C_1)$. This example with $l^+ \approx 80$ and $z \approx 0.71\delta$ for the upper bound of the triangular region (Baars et al. 2017b), and with the assumption that each of the ten discrete hierarchies are subject to a doubling in size, yields $Re_\tau = 80 \cdot 2^{10(10^{-1})}/0.71 \approx 58,000$.

4.2.2. Universality of a data-driven filter

The $\gamma^2_i$ spectra of Figure 7 are re-plotted in Figure 9(a), with a wall-scaling $\lambda_x/z$, and highlights the region where Baars et al. (2017b) fitted (4.6), resulting in constants $C_1 = 0.302$ and $R = 14.01$. Figure 9(b) shows the spectra used for fitting, alongside (4.6), while Figure 9(c) displays the same trend line, superposed on the coherence spectra of the DNS and ASL data (§3.2). Since (4.6) describes the $\gamma^2_i$ growth for $Re_\tau \sim O(10^3)$–$O(10^6)$, it can be concluded that a wall-attached, self-similar structure is ingrained in $u$ (and that
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(a) Dataset $\mathcal{W}$

(b) Linear coherence spectra $\gamma^2(z, z_W; \lambda_x)$ for wall-normal positions within the range $3Re_t^{1/2} < z^+ < 0.15Re_t$ and $20z < \lambda_x < 6\delta$. Note that the inclined plane with the solid boundary reflects (4.7) with the constants stated in the text. (b-c) Linear coherence spectra $\gamma^2(z, z_W; \lambda_x)$ for wall-normal positions within the range $3Re_t^{1/2} < z^+ < 0.15Re_t$, for (b) dataset $\mathcal{W}$ and (c) the DNS and ASL data described in §3.2. (d) Filter following (4.8) for $z^+ = 841$.

Figure 9. (a) Coherence spectra of dataset $\mathcal{W}$ with the wavelength axis predivided by $z$. The thicker portions of the curves correspond to $3Re_t^{1/2} < z^+ < 0.15Re_t$ and $20z < \lambda_x < 6\delta$. Note that the inclined plane with the solid boundary reflects (4.7) with the constants in (4.6) are universal. From a fit to the data, Baars et al. (2017b) found that the large-scale limit of (4.6) is $\lambda_x = T_n\delta$ (with $T_n \approx 10$), after which $\gamma^2$ transitions to a scale-independent behaviour. Following (4.5), (4.6) and its region of validity, a data-driven spectral filter can be formulated as

$$f^p_{\mathcal{W}}(z; \lambda_x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \lambda_x < Rz \\ \min\left\{C_1 \ln\left(\frac{\lambda_x}{Rz}\right), 1\right\} & Rz \leq \lambda_x < T_n\delta \\ \min\left\{C_1 \ln\left(\frac{T_n\delta}{zR}\right), 1\right\} & \lambda_x > T_n\delta \end{cases}$$  \quad (4.7)

Subscript $\mathcal{W}$ denotes the wall-based reference on which this filter is based, whereas superscript $p$ refers to its piecewise nature. To obtain smooth transitions as in the data, a logarithmic convolution of (4.7) with a log-normal distribution $g(\lambda_x)$ is performed:

$$f_{\mathcal{W}}(z; \lambda_x) = f^p_{\mathcal{W}}(z; \lambda_x) * g(\lambda_x).$$  \quad (4.8)

Here, $g(\lambda_x)$ spans six standard deviations, corresponding to 1.2 decades in $\lambda_x$, shown at the bottom right of Figure 9(d) with a random amplitude. The displayed filter resembles the result for $z^+ = 841$. In summary, filter $f_{\mathcal{W}}(z; \lambda_x) \in [0, 1]$ indicates the scale-dependent energy fraction, for a logarithmic region-position $z$, that is stochastically
coherent with the near-wall region. Similarly, \((1 - f_W)\) indicates the stochastically incoherent energy fraction. Coherent scales were characterised to appear at scales larger than \(\lambda_x = R z\) (constant \(R\) refers to a characteristic aspect ratio), and a transition of a scale-independent filter/coherence behaviour appears at \(\lambda_x = T_n \delta\) (constant \(T_n\) is the nominal transition scale). Only at sufficient scale separation, the filter saturates (i.e. \(f_W = 1\)) at a scale smaller than \(\lambda_x = T_n \delta\); this happens at \(\lambda_x = T \delta\) (subscript \(\delta\) omitted). Filter characteristics are summarized in Table 2.

4.2.3. Notes about the data-driven filter

Filter (4.8) is insensitive to the exact near-wall reference location. In fact, the ASL data employed friction velocity data \((z_R = 0)\). Laboratory data at conditions similar to the \(W\) data, with a reference wall-shear stress sensor, yielded an indistinguishable coherence spectrogram (Baars et al. 2017b). DNS data allows for a variation of \(z_R\) and it has been confirmed that \(\gamma^2\) spectra at \(z^+ \gtrsim 80\) (relative to \(z_R\)) were virtually unaffected for \(0 \leq z^+_R \leq 15\). This insensitivity proves that our current filter-diagnostic for the wall-attached turbulence extracts inertial features in the logarithmic region that leave a distinct footprint throughout the near-wall region, as well as at the wall.

Although the filter can saturate (an occurrence of perfect coherence), this state may only be reached asymptotically in actual coherence spectra. For instance, ASL coherence spectra in Figure 9(c) do not reach unity at the largest scales \((\gamma^2 \approx 0.92\) for the lowest ASL \(z^+ \approx 3500\) spectrum, although filter \(f_W\) saturates at \(\lambda_x/z = R \exp(1/C_1) \approx 385\), corresponding to \(\lambda_x/\delta \approx 385z^+/Re_\tau \approx 0.96 < T_n \delta\). Many factors can cause the less-than-perfect coherence (as also pointed out in §4.2.1) and is most likely related to an inherent 3D nature of the wall-attached structures and the continuous evolution of structures in the spatially developing TBL flow.

Figure 7 reveals an absence of coherence for \(\lambda_x^+ \lesssim 5000\). From now on it is assumed that (4.8) is applicable to a logarithmic region starting at \(z \sim O(100 \nu/U_\tau)\) (see also Agostini & Leschziner 2017), because DNS data evidences a lower limit down to where the inner-spectral peak has a pronounced appearance in the spectrogram and integrated energy, say \(z^+_T \approx 80\), see Figure 4 in Baars et al. (2017b) and fully-resolved \(u^2\) profiles in Samie et al. (2018). Absence of any small-scale coherence in the \(W\) data is a topic for future work as it may be caused by differences in temporal (hot-wire) and spatial (DNS) data, frequency modulation effects that become more pronounced with increasing \(Re_\tau\) (and more strongly affect temporal data, Baars et al. 2017a), experimental uncertainty in the spanwise alignment of the hot-wire probes at \(z_R\) and \(z\), etc.

4.3. Coherence relative to a logarithmic-region reference

4.3.1. Coherence spectrogram and physical interpretation

Thus far the coherence trend has been discussed in the context of wall-attached motions. This section is concerned with \(z_R\) residing in the logarithmic region (a location \(z_L\)), from which a data-driven filter emerges that is dubbed the \(L\) filter. This filter separates the wall-attached motions into those that are coherent and incoherent with \(z_L\).

A graph similar to Figure 7 is displayed in Figure 10 for the \(L_3\) data (see Figure 23 in Appendix A.2 for all three datasets \(L_{1-3}\)). To discuss the coherence in Figure 10 it is beneficial to recall the conceptually-reconstructed \(\gamma^2\) contour in Figure 8(d). When only the wall-attached turbulence is responsible for any coherence throughout the TBL, the coherence spectrogram can be sub-divided into two components (Figure 11). Ideally, for any given \(z_L\), only the wall-attached turbulence that extends beyond \(z_L\) is coherent with \(z_L\) (its associated sub-component is re-shown in Figure 11b). Smaller wall-attached
motions are not observed by \( z_{\text{L}} \) and do not contribute to the coherence relative to \( z_{\text{L}} \) (Figure 11c). Figure 10 reflects the trend of Figure 11(b). Note that the \( \gamma^2 \) contour is plotted with \( \lambda_x \equiv U(z_{\text{L}})/f \) for \( z < z_{\text{L}} \), since large-scale wall-attached structures (that are thus coherent with \( z \geq z_{\text{L}} \)) comprise a convection velocity much larger than the mean velocity at locations in close proximity to the wall. For \( z \ll z_{\text{L}} \), say \( z < z_{\text{L}}/8 \), the coherence spectra become non-zero for \( \lambda_x \geq R z_{\text{L}} \) (the vertical line extending down to the abscissa). For \( z \gg z_{\text{L}} \), coherence spectra tend towards the same trend as in Figure 7. When \( z \sim z_{\text{L}} \), coherence spectra reveal that even scales smaller than \( \lambda_x < R z \) become coherent with \( z_{\text{L}} \). Turbulent scales for which \( \gamma^2(z, z_{\text{L}}; \lambda_x) > \gamma^2(z, z_{\text{W}}; \lambda_x) \) are \textit{wall-detached} scales that are \( z_{\text{L}} \)-coherent (Appendix A.2). Lines of \( \lambda_x/\Delta z = R \) are drawn in Figures 10 and 23; if \( \gamma^2 \) iso-contours follow these lines, the aforementioned wall-detached scales may still comprise the same self-similar aspect ratio (\( R \approx 14 \)) as the wall-attached turbulence (imagine detached turbulence that might be remnants of eddies once attached earlier in their lifetimes and reflecting Townsend’s AEH, Marusic \\& Monty 2019). Generally, \( \gamma^2 \) iso-contours drift towards smaller wavelengths for \( z \to z_{\text{L}} \),

**Figure 10.** Dataset \( L_3 \). Coherence spectrogram \( \gamma^2(z, z_{L3}; \lambda_x) \), relative to reference \( z_{L3}^+ \approx 1120 \) (iso-contours 0.1:0.1:0.9), superposed on its associated premultiplied energy spectrogram \( k_x^+ \phi_{uu}^+ \) (filled iso-contours 0.2:0.2:1.8), reproduced from Figure 1.

**Figure 11.** Sub-dividing the (a) coherence spectrogram of wall-attached turbulence (Figure 8d) into a component that is (b) coherent and (c) incoherent with a logarithmic-region reference \( z_{\text{L}} \).
thus indicating that the wall-detached turbulence that is $z_L$-coherent also includes lesser anisotropic scales. This is expected as the $\gamma_i^2 \rightarrow 1$ for all $\lambda_x$ at $z = z_L$.

4.3.2. Universality of a data-driven filter

Since this work focuses on revealing spectral signatures associated with attached eddies, a filter relative to $z_L$ is considered, with large enough $\Delta z$ so that only the wall-attached turbulence produces coherence. In other words, this spectral filter can decompose the wall-attached portion of the turbulence at $z < z_L$ into a $z_L$-coherent and $z_L$-incoherent component. Ideally, following Figure 11(b), this filter equals $f_{VV}^0$ evaluated at $z = z_L$ and is invariant with $z$ for $z < z_L$. This can be confirmed using the data. Coherence spectra for $30 \leq z^+ \leq z_L^+ / 8$ and datasets $L_{1-3}$ show excellent collapse in Figure 12(b). Precise criteria for the minimum $\Delta z$ are non-trivial and not the main focus of this paper. Following (4.6) and §4.2.1, fitting of

$$\gamma_i^2 = C'_1 \ln \left( \frac{\lambda_x}{z_L R'} \right)$$

(4.9)

to the $L_3$ data (highlighted portions of the profiles in Figure 12a, described in the caption), yields $C'_1 = 0.3831$ and $R' = 13.18$. These constants are also seen to match

Figure 12. (a) Coherence spectra of dataset $L_3$ with the wavelength axis predivided by $z_L$. The thicker portions of the curves correspond to $30 \leq z^+ \leq z_L^+ / 8$ and $20z_L < \lambda_x < 5\delta$. Note that the inclined plane with the solid boundary reflects (4.10) with the constants stated in the text. (b-c) Linear coherence spectra $\gamma_i^2(z, z_L; \lambda_x)$ for wall-normal positions within the range $30 \leq z^+ \leq z_L^+ / 8$, for (b) datasets $L_{1-3}$ and (c) the DNS and ASL data. (d) Filter following (4.11) for $z_L^+ = 1120$. 
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>filter</th>
<th>Constants</th>
<th>Fitted to</th>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_W(z; \lambda_x)$</td>
<td>$C_1 = 0.3017$</td>
<td>$R = 14.01$</td>
<td>$T_n = 10$</td>
<td>dataset $W$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_L(z; \lambda_x)$</td>
<td>$C_1' = 0.3831$</td>
<td>$R' = 13.18$</td>
<td>$T_n = 10$</td>
<td>dataset $L_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| diff. $L$ vs. $W$ | +27% | -6% |

Table 2. Constants of the two data-driven spectral filters. Nominally $z_T^+ = 80$.

the DNS and ASL data in Figure 12(b), suggesting that (4.9) is universal. Two DNS-data based coherence spectra with $z_L^+ \approx 192$ ($z_L/\delta \approx 0.10$) and two ASL-data based spectra with $z_L^+ \approx 42300$ ($z_L/\delta \approx 0.03$) are plotted, all of which satisfy $30 \leq z^+ \leq z_L^+/6$. Note that, if the data adheres to the hypothesized underpinning in Figures 8 and 11, the constants in (4.9) and (4.6) should be equal. The percentage variation of the constants (Table 2) is attributed to the inherent simplification in using a scale-independent convection velocity and the—apparently different—contributions to the $z_W$ and $z_L$ coherence from non-self-similar VLSMs (further discussed in §5.1). From §5 it will become clear that the present variation of these constants does not have a major impact on the spectral energy decompositions, nor the conclusions of this work. A data-driven spectral filter (valid for $z < z_L$) is now given as

$$f_L^p(z_L; \lambda_x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \lambda_x < R'z_L \\ \min \left\{ C_1' \ln \left( \frac{\lambda_x}{z_L} \frac{1}{R'} \right), 1 \right\} & R'z_L \leq \lambda_x \leq T_n\delta \\ \min \left\{ C_1' \ln \left( \frac{T_n\delta}{z_L} \frac{1}{R'} \right), 1 \right\} & \lambda_x > T_n\delta \end{cases}$$

(4.10)

Similar to (4.8), a smooth filter is obtained with a logarithmic convolution:

$$f_L(z_L; \lambda_x) = f_L^p(z_L; \lambda_x) * g(\lambda_x),$$

(4.11)

here $f_L \in [0, 1]$ and $f_L$ is presented in Figure 12(d).

To conclude, two data-driven, universal spectral filters have been presented: filter $f_W$, for extracting the spectral energy in the TBL that is stochastically coherent with the wall (e.g. wall-attached), and $f_L$, which aids in revealing the sub-fraction of the wall-attached energy that is stochastically coherent with a position in the logarithmic region, $z_L$.

5. Decomposing the streamwise energy spectra

5.1. Triple-decomposition via data-driven filters

How the streamwise energy spectra are decomposed with the use of data-driven filters $f_W$ and $f_L$ is outlined in Figure 13. A triple decomposition is performed, so that a single energy spectrum is split into three sub-components, each of which are interpreted in the context of the $A$, $B$ and $C$ components of Perry et al. (Figure 3).

In Figure 13(b), $f_W$ is overlaid on the energy spectrum. At the high-wavenumber end the filter is bounded by $k_xz = 2\pi/R \approx 0.45$. At low wavenumbers the filter-amplitude reaches 1 at scale $T$. Figure 13(a) is similar to Figure 13(b) but presents $f_L$ for which $z_L = 0.15\delta$ is chosen. The filter is bounded by $k_xz = 2\pi/R'(z/z_L) \approx 0.023$ and transitions at scale $T'$ corresponding to $\lambda_x = T_n\delta$ (and plateaus to a value less than one). Figures 13(c) and 13(d) show the coherent (solid line) and incoherent (dashed) components of the energy spectrum, per (4.5). Formulations (5.1)–(5.3) define the three spectral sub-
Figure 13. Diagram of the triple decomposition of the energy spectrum at \( z^+ \approx 101 \) (W data). (a,b) \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{W} \) filters overlaid on the energy spectrum. (c,d) Coherent (solid line) and incoherent (dashed line) components of the energy spectrum, via the \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{W} \) filters, respectively. (e) Resulting three spectral sub-components.

components, which yield the total spectrum when added: \( \phi_{uu} = \phi_C + \phi_W + \phi_I \).

\[
\phi_C \equiv f_L \phi_{uu} \tag{5.1}
\]

\[
\phi_I \equiv \phi_{uu} (1 - f_W) \tag{5.2}
\]

\[
\phi_W \equiv \phi_{uu} (f_W - f_L) \tag{5.3}
\]

A diagram leading to Figure 13(e) demonstrates these definitions:

(i) At high wavenumbers, \( \phi_I \) is taken as the \( f_W \)-based incoherent component. This stochastically incoherent energy cannot be estimated using a LSE procedure with a wall-based input. Following the classification of Perry et al., the underlying
turbulence of such a component may be the small-scale type C eddies. However, it can also include any (stochastically) detached (non)-self-similar motions, such as phase-inconsistent attached eddies, incoherent VLSMs, etc. (attempts to interpret spectral contributions as types of physical structures should be treated with caution).

(ii) At low wavenumbers, $\phi_L$ is taken as the energy that is coherent via $f_L$. This large-scale wall-attached energy is also coherent with $z_L = 0.15\delta$. Physically, this wall-attached component may include self-similar structures reaching beyond $z_L$ and non-self-similar structures that are coherent with $z_L$ (e.g., VLSMs).

(iii) A remaining component is dubbed $\phi_{WL}$. Its energy equals the wall-coherent energy via $f_W$, $\phi_W^c$, minus its fraction that is coherent with $z_L$, being $\phi_L^c$ (or, all $z_L$-incoherent energy, $\phi_L^i$, minus the wall-incoherent energy, $\phi_W^i$). On account of the above, and in the context of the AEH, $\phi_{WL}$ is the wall-coherent energy that resides below $z_L$ and can include both self-similar and non-self-similar components.

The aforementioned decomposition can be performed for all $z$ and the three resulting energy spectrograms are overlaid on the total energy spectrogram in Figures 14(a-c). In addition, all spectral components within the range $100 \leq z^+ \leq 0.15\delta^+$ are plotted with wall-scaling and outer-scaling in Figure 15. For Figure 14, sub-components from the triple decomposition are only considered for $z < z_L$. In the near-wall region, here $z^+ \leq z_L^+$ (with nominally $z_L^+ = 80$), $f_W$ is $z$-invariant and taken as $f_W(z_L^+; \lambda_x)$. As this work is concerned with the spectral structure in the logarithmic region, the spectral sub-components within the near-wall region are not considered further.

Component $\phi_W^c$ follows the unfiltered spectra at the smallest energetic scales. It is only at a wall-scale of about $\lambda_x = Rz$ that $f_W$ becomes active. The $\phi_W^c$ energy roll-off at the large-scale end (small $k_x$ in Figure 15f) is generated by the product of the total spectrum and the ramp of $(1 - f_W)$ within $Rz \leq \lambda_x \leq T_n\delta$. Since the filter ramp is universal in wall-scaling, and the measured spectra do not plateau in that region, the $\phi_W^c$ spectra do not obey an unambiguous wall-scaling (Figure 15f). Maxima reside at $\lambda_x \approx Rz$, simply because the roll-off induced by the filter is steep enough to suppress any spectral increase at the large-scale end. Component $\phi_{WL}$ bounds $\phi_W^c$ and its ramp-up at the small-scale end shows a reasonable collapse in wall-scaling (Figure 15d). Amplitudes of its peak decay rapidly with increasing $z$ position, due to $f_L$ taking effect at an outer-scaling of $\lambda_x \approx R'z_L$ (and due to the limited Reynolds number). An outer-scaling roll-off at the large-scale end of the spectrum is governed by the universal $f_L$ ramp, multiplied by $\phi_{uu}$. Because the measured spectra, $\phi_{uu}$, do not obey perfect outer-scaling at the large wavelengths (with $z$ variation), the $\phi_{WL}$ spectra do not either. Finally, component $\phi_L^i$ does comprise a certain degree of outer-scaling collapse at its small-scale end where $f_L$ dictates its decay (Figure 15a). Before proceeding with an in-depth discussion on the spectral components, it is instructive to first concentrate on the limitations of the triple decomposition and the restricted scale separation at finite $Re_T$.

5.2. A persistent difficulty in decomposing coherent scales

In the context of the spectral view of Perry et al. (Figure 3), a component reflecting true attached eddies in the sense of Townsend’s AEH is bound by boundaries $W_A$ (satisfying wall-scaling) and $O_A$ (outer-scaling). Per the coherence-based triple decomposition technique, boundary $W_A$ is made more concrete: in terms of the normalized coherence, $f_W$ describes the roll-off of the wall-attached motions per the expectations (§4.2.1). In terms of energy, the boundary (at least at this one particular $Re_T$ considered up to this point) is slightly ill-defined, since the spectra do not collapse/plateau in the wavelength range of boundary $W_A$. One reason for this is that large-scale, non-self-similar energy
Figure 14. Dataset $W$ with $Re_{\tau} \approx 14100$. (a-c) Premultiplied energy spectrograms of the three spectral sub-components (for $z < z_L$), each of them overlaid on the total energy spectrogram (filled iso-contours 0.2:0.2:1.8). Iso-contours corresponding to the three sub-components are shown with a twice-as-fine level spacing, 0.1:0.1:1.8.

resides at the same scales. In §§5.3 and 6 it is described how this may improve with increasing $Re_{\tau}$ if a sufficient scale separation does occur.

Determining an $O_A$ boundary via the current data-driven, coherence-based decomposition technique remains difficult. Location $z_L$ is subject to choice, and irrespective of $z_L$, the wall-attached (coherent) energy does supposedly include both self-similar and
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$Re_\tau \approx 14 \ 100; \quad \pi f/U(z) \equiv \frac{1}{\tau}$

non-self-similar scales at all of its energetic wavelengths, meaning that a distinction between (1) self-similar wall-attached scales (envisioned as the $A$ energy), and (2) non-self-similar wall-attached scales (categorized in $B$) is impossible via the current technique. While the conceptual reconstruction of the wall-coherence in Figure 8—with the adhering contours from the data—does imply a self-similar range of scales ($\mathcal{R} = R \approx 14$), the absolute energy in the wall-coherent region ($\lambda_x > Rz$) does include non-self-similar contributions, simply because the outer-spectral peak in the boundary layer spectrogram resides in that region and does not strictly adhere to simultaneous wall-scaling and outer-scaling. Choosing a small $z_L$ does likely result in $\phi_{WL}$ containing lesser non-self-similar energy, if it is hypothesized that the non-self-similar energy is predominantly generated by streamwise alignment of self-similar motions (Adrian et al. 2000). But, an inherent drawback of a small $z_L$, is the limited range of self-similar wall-

Figure 15. Premultiplied energy spectra of Figures 14(a-c) within the range $100 \leq z^+ \leq 0.15 \delta^+$. Total energy spectra are shown in each sub-figure with light grey. In each of the three rows, spectra corresponding to one specific spectral sub-component are superposed. Outer-scaling and wall-scaling are used in the left and right columns, respectively. Note: $k_x = 2\pi f/U(z)$.
attached scales in $\phi_{WL}$ (namely scales reaching up to $z < z_L$). Figures 16(a,b) visualizes how a different $z_L$ re-distributes the energy between the $\phi^+_L$ and $\phi_{WL}$ contributions (component $\phi^+_W$ is unaffected). When moving up in $z_L$, more wall-attached energy end up in $\phi_{WL}$, per the expense of what ends up in $\phi^+_L$. Since $f_L$ only fully matures when $z_L < T_n\delta/\exp(1/C'_1)/R' \approx 0.056 \delta$ ($f_L$ plateaus at 1 in Figure 12(d)), $\phi_{WL}$ will certainly contain the non-self-similar contributions from VLSM-type structures for $z > 0.056\delta$.

5.3. When can we possibly observe a $k_x^{-1}$ scaling region?

We consider the Reynolds number dependence of the data-driven filters, in order to appraise the required scale separation for possibly observing a $k_x^{-1}$ scaling region. Filters $f_W$ and $f_L$ are shown in Figures 17(a-b) for $z^+ = 100$ at three different $Re_x$ values, closely resembling the DNS and ASL data (§3.2), as well as the two-point laboratory data (§3.1). All filter curves start at $\lambda_x = 100\delta$. Following the triple decomposition of §5.1, the shaded area at the small wavenumber-end, cornered by $f_L$ (here $z_L = 0.15\delta$), represents the fraction of $k_x\phi_{uu}$ that forms $\phi^+_L$, while the shaded area bounded by $f_W$ at the high wavenumber-end reflects $\phi^+_{WL}$. The unshaded area in between the filter curves equals the fraction of spectral energy that forms $\phi_{WL}$, drawn with the black curves. Figure 17(d)
presents Figures 17(a-c) in the \( Re_\tau \)-continuum as three planes in \((f, Re_\tau, k_x z)\)-space, and has indicated the footprint of the filters in the \((Re_\tau, k_x z)\)-plane.

Both filters transition to a scale-independent value at scales larger than \( \lambda_x = T_n \delta \), unless their amplitude reaches 1 at smaller scales. Hence, with increasing \( Re_\tau \), the scale-independent plateau of \( f_\mathcal{W} \) grows as \( f_\mathcal{L} \) starts at a fixed inner-scaling (boundary \( R \)). At \( Re_\tau = (z^+/T_n) R \exp(1/C_1) \approx 3850 \) the filter plateau becomes unity, corresponding to the point in Figure 17(d) where the \( T' \) and \( T \) boundaries separate. Boundary \( T \) now becomes fixed in inner-scaling, while \( T' \) remains fixed in outer-scaling, because the onset of \( f_\mathcal{L} \) is also outer-scaled, e.g. \( \lambda_x = R' z_\mathcal{L} = 0.15 R' \delta \). At the low \( Re_\tau \) regime, \( R \) and \( R' \) intersect at \( Re_\tau^0 \equiv z^+/(z_\mathcal{L}/\delta) \cdot (R/R') \approx 708 \), meaning that \( \phi_W \lambda_\mathcal{C} \) becomes non-existent (e.g. there is no wall-coherent energy at \( z^+ = 100 \) that is incoherent with \( z_\mathcal{L} = 0.15 \delta \), simply because these positions merge: \( z^+_\mathcal{L} = 0.15 Re_\tau^0 \approx 106 \). At higher \( Re_\tau \), specifically at \( Re_\tau^0 \equiv z^+/(z_\mathcal{L}/\delta) \exp(1/C_1) R/R' \approx 19500 \), \( f_\mathcal{L} \) only becomes active in a scale range where \( f_\mathcal{W} \) has fully saturated. Though, because of the smooth filter transitions this does not happen until \( Re_\tau^c \approx 80000 \). And so, for \( Re_\tau > Re_\tau^c \) (at \( z^+ = 100 \) and with \( z_\mathcal{L} = 0.15 \delta \)), a range of scales starts to appear where all energy of \( k_x \phi_{uu} \) would be assigned to \( \phi_W \lambda_\mathcal{C} \) (only at \( Re_\tau \approx 10^6 \) this region spans one decade of scales). Moving from coherence (filters) to
$Re_{\tau} \approx 2800$ (H09) \hspace{1cm} $Re_{\tau} \approx 3900$ (H09) \hspace{1cm} $Re_{\tau} \approx 7300$ (H09) \hspace{1cm} $Re_{\tau} \approx 13000$ (S18)

(a) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (d) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (g) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (j) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$

(b) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (e) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (h) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (k) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$

(c) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (f) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (i) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$ \hspace{1cm} (l) $\phi_{\lambda_z}$

Figure 18. Each column (per $Re_{\tau}$ quoted on top) is similar to Figure 14: premultiplied energy spectrograms of the three spectral sub-components (for $z < z_C$, iso-contours 0.2:0.2:1.8), each of them superposed on the total energy spectrogram (filled iso-contours 0.2:0.2:1.8). Filter $f_{\lambda_z}$, with $z_C = 0.15\delta$, is applied for $z < z_C$; $f_{\lambda_W}$ is applied for $z^+_W < z^+_C < z^+_c$, while $f_{\lambda_C}$ is $z$-invariant for $z^+_C < z^+_c$ and equal to $f_{\lambda_C}(z^+_C; \lambda_z)$. Data are from H09: Hutchins et al. (2009) and S18: Samie et al. (2018), see §3.2. Note: $\lambda_z \equiv U(z)/f$.

energy spectra, ideally, the scales defining this region do not comprise any wall-incoherent energy, nor do they comprise energy of structures that are coherent with $z > z_C$. Thus, if the energy in this region is pre-dominantly caused by self-similar attached eddies, this is the region where a $k^{-1}$ may be present. The current $Re_{\tau}$ estimate at which $\phi_{uu} \propto k^{-1}$ may be observed agrees reasonably well with the study by Chaudran et al. (2017), where they predicted that an appreciable $k^{-1}$ scaling region can only appear for $Re_{\tau} \gtrsim 60000$ (from examination of 2D, streamwise-spanwise $u$ spectra).
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\[ z^+ = 100; \quad Re_T \approx 2000, (S13) \cdots 2800, 3900, 7300, 13000, 19300 (H09& S18) \]

**Figure 19.** Premultiplied energy spectra at \( z^+ = 100 \) for six values of \( Re_T \). Total energy spectra are shown in each sub-figure with light grey. In each of the three rows, spectra corresponding to one specific spectral sub-component are superposed. Outer-scaling and wall-scaling are used in the left and right columns, respectively. Data are from S13: Sillero et al. (2013), H09: Hutchins et al. (2009) and S18: Samie et al. (2018), see \( \S 3.2 \).

6. Reynolds number variation of the decomposed energy spectra

6.1. Spectrograms, spectra and scaling behaviours

Reynolds number trends of the triple-decomposed spectrograms are presented in Figure 18. Singe-point data at four Reynolds numbers, spanning \( Re_T \approx 2800 \) to 13000, are employed. Similarly as in Figure 14, \( z_L = 0.15\delta \) and the triangles indicate wall-scaling \( \lambda_x = T_n\delta \) and outer-scaling \( \lambda_x = Rz\delta \). Spectra at \( z^+ = 100 \) (interpolated from the spectrograms) are detailed in Figure 19 for all five single-point hot-wire datasets (§3.2). Additionally, a spatial spectrum from the DNS data is superposed. Components \( \phi^c_L \) and \( \phi^c_W \) are now discussed, after which we focus on \( \phi^c_{WL} \) in §6.2.

Large-scale contribution \( \phi^c_L \) is roughly Reynolds-number independent at \( z^+ = 100 \) (Figure 19a). A minor decrease of energy within the largest wavelengths, with increasing
Reτ, is an artefact of using a single convection velocity to generate \( k_x = 2\pi f/U_c \), here \( U_c = U(z^+ = 100) \). Since the \( \phi^c_z \) component is associated with strong coherence in \( z \), from the wall up to at least \( z_L \), these structures make up global modes (Bullock et al. 1978; del Álamo & Jiménez 2003) that are associated with an outer-scaled convection velocity (del Álamo et al. 2004). Hence, when the local mean velocity at \( z_L = 0.15\delta \) is employed for each Reynolds-number dataset to construct the scale-axis in Figure 19(a), the large-scale end of the spectra collapse (see Appendix A.3 for further details, as well as Samie 2017). A wall-normal trend of \( \phi^c_z \), together with its \( Re_\tau \) dependence, is apparent from Figure 18(a,d,g,j). The intensity of this spectral component grows with \( Re_\tau \) as seen from the 0.2 contour spanning a wider range of scales from left-to-right. With the current \( z_L \) location, the peak of \( \phi^c_z \) (filled blue circles) resides at \( \lambda_x \approx 10\delta \) and is situated above the geometric centre between a fixed inner- and outer-scaling position, e.g. \( z_{gc}^+ \equiv \sqrt{z_T^+ \cdot 0.15Re_\tau} = 3.46\sqrt{Re_\tau} \) (with \( z_T^+ = 80 \)), indicated with the blue open circles. Although the emergence and scaling of the broad outer-spectral peak has been documented in the literature (e.g. Hutchins & Marusic 2007a; Mathis et al. 2009; Rosenberg et al. 2013; Vallikivi et al. 2015a), the analysis has always been approached from a total-energy perspective (observations from the measured spectra/spectrograms, \( \phi_{uu} \)). Clearly, when decomposing the spectrograms into physically-relevant components, the characteristic scale and wall-normal location of a type-\( \phi \) contribution, as in Figure 3, may change. That is, the peak in \( \phi^c_z \) does not match the peak in the spectrogram, because \( \phi^c_{WL} \) (and also \( \phi^i_{WL} \) at these still limited \( Re_\tau \)) constitute an energy roll-off below the outer-spectral peak in \( \phi_{uu} \). Future work may benefit from reappraising scaling trends of the peak-features in the spectrogram in terms of its sub-components. Also, whether \( \phi^c_z \) will saturate to a constant state at ultra-high \( Re_\tau \) remains an open question. Clearly, \( \phi^c_z \) depends on \( Re_\tau \) and \( z \) and its functional form is beyond the scope of this work.

Figure 19(f) evidences the Reynolds-number independence of \( \phi^i_{WL} \). At the small-scale end, all spectra are in close agreement (expected per the law of the wall Samie et al. 2018; Ganapathisubramani 2018). In particular, the two highest \( Re_\tau \) spectra (\( Re_\tau \approx 13000 \) and 19300, S18: Samie et al. 2018) are in excellent agreement with the DNS spectrum (\( Re_\tau \approx 2000 \), S13: Sillero et al. 2013), since those data correspond to fully-resolved measurements (§ 3.2 and detailed in Samie 2017). Because all spectra collapse for \( k_x z \geq 10^{-1} \), the roll-offs of the experimental spectra at the low wavenumber-end also collapse because of the Reynolds-number invariant \( f_W \). There, the DNS spectrum has a lower amplitude than the hot-wire-based spectra. This may partially be caused by Taylor’s approximation re-distributing the VLSM spectral content in temporal spectra (Perry & Li 1990; del Álamo & Jiménez 2009), but, the DNS spectrum is likely inaccurate, since it is based on spatially-developing data (§ 3.2). In any case, the exact spectral distribution of VLSM energy should be cautioned, as it may be more broadband in spatial spectra—see Figure 17 in Perry & Li (1990) and Figure 10 in del Álamo & Jiménez (2009).

### 6.2. Maturing of the \( \phi_{WL} \) spectrum

With increasing \( Re_\tau \) the \( \phi_{WL} \) component grows per Townsend’s AEH, while the relative energy contribution of the other two spectral components, to the total energy, decays. At \( z^+ = 100 \), and at the highest \( Re_\tau \), the spectral roll-offs of \( \phi_{WL} \) (dictated by \( C_1 \) and \( C'_1 \) of the filters) still blend at \( \lambda_x = 0.15R'\delta \). As such, the \( \phi_{WL} \) peak would not yet mature to a \( k_x^{-1} \) region. This was expected per the discussion in § 5.3 as a \( k_x^{-1} \) (if existent) only appears for \( Re_\tau \geq 80000 \). A growth of the peak can nevertheless be plotted as a function of \( Re_\tau \) (Figure 20) and yields a trend of the peak in \( k_x^{-1} \phi_{WL}^+ \) increasing with \( Re_\tau \). However, only future, high-fidelity spectral data at \( z^+ = 100 \) and \( Re_\tau \sim \mathcal{O}(10^5) \)
can provide definitive answers on how this trend proceeds and whether a distinguished
$k^{-1}$ region develops.

Finally, it is worth noting that $\phi_W$ is envisioned to scale via Townsend’s AEH in
the ideal case that only type $A$ eddies contribute to this spectral component. As such,
low Reynolds number statistics, such as the spectrum, are embedded in higher Reynolds
number data and could be ‘reconstructed’ by only considering a sub-domain of the wall-
normal range (this is illustrated in Appendix B). As was pointed out in §5.2, the current
decomposition technique is still lacking for separating the wall-attached turbulence into
$A$ and $B$ contributions at large scales. But, because $z_L$ is taken as the nominal outer-edge
of the logarithmic region ($z_L = 0.15\delta$), the attached eddies larger than $z_L$ are believed to
fall into the category of global modes. Furthermore, recall that VLSMs/superstructures
that do not leave an imprint at $z_L$ are thus assumed to be relatively weak so that they do
not affect the type $A$ representative $\phi_W$ spectrum (thus not affecting the conclusions).

7. Concluding remarks

Data-driven filters for a triple decomposition of the streamwise velocity energy spectra
were obtained from two-point data through spectral coherence analyses. This approach
has been motivated by the need for novel decomposition techniques (Marusic et al. 2017)
to fully appreciate (1) the inner-scaled, universal portion of wall-bounded turbulence,
(2) a portion that tests the concept of Townsend’s attached eddies and (3) turbulence
reflecting the emergence of VLSMs/superstructures with Reynolds number. Typically,
spectral scalings have been researched from unaltered energy spectra alone, in which all
components are lumped together. The current work offers new ways of conceptualizing
wall-turbulence spectra by unravelling the signature of classical Kolmogorov- and
viscosity-dominated turbulence, co-existing with $Re_\tau$-dependent contributions. Several
conclusions are listed as follows.

(i) From two-point data of $u$, spanning three decades in $Re_\tau \sim O(10^3) - O(10^6)$, two
universal spectral filters were obtained through coherence analyses, confined to
wall-normal separations only. Filters $f_W$ and $f_L$ (see §4) allow for the computation
of wall-detached and wall-attached energy portions. A primary characteristic of
these data-driven filters is that $u$ scales with a wavelength smaller than $\lambda_x \approx 14z$
are, in a stochastic sense, all wall-detached.

(ii) Filters $f_W$ and $f_L$ defined a spectral decomposition, introduced in §5.1. It allows
For breaking down $\phi_{uu}$ into an energy fraction that is wall-detached, an energy fraction that is wall-attached but does not involve global modes that reach beyond a reference $z_L$ (typically chosen as the edge of the logarithmic region: $z_L = 0.15\delta$) and an energy fraction that is both wall-attached and coherent with $z_L$ (thus representing global-type modes and VLSMs).

(iii) Per the decomposition framework, the component representing self-similar wall-attached eddies, $\phi_{VW_L}$, matures with $Re_\tau$. For the highest Reynolds number considered, $Re_\tau \approx 19300$, $k_x^+\phi_{VW_L}^+$ peaks at 0.94. The peak may level off with $Re_\tau$ (Figure 20), but only high-fidelity data at $z^+ = 100$ and $Re_\tau \geq 80000$ (and practically $Re_\tau \geq 10^6$, see §5.3) can provide a definite answer on this and its associated appearance of a $k_x^{-1}$ scaling region. These data are currently non-existent.

(iv) A broad spectral peak in the streamwise energy spectrogram representing VLSMs/superstructures is present even at low $Re_\tau$ when only $\phi_c^+$ is considered. This peak resides at $\lambda_x \approx 10\delta$ (§6.1) over the range of $Re_\tau$ investigated and its amplitude appears to be a weak function of Reynolds number: perhaps the superstructure energy-trend with $Re_\tau$ is less significant than previously thought (Hutchins & Marusic 2007a; Vallikivi et al. 2015a,b). That is, in unaltered spectra the broad spectral peak exhibits a significant $Re_\tau$ dependence, but this work suggests that this is an artefact of the growing $\phi_{VW_L}$ component.

Figure 21 summarizes concrete findings of spectral sub-components forming the streamwise energy spectra in ZPG TBL flow (following the hypothesized structure in Figure 3). In the context of dealing with quantity $u$ only (and the stochastic decomposition approach) we can conclude that $\phi_{VW_L}$ is constrained at $k_xz < 2\pi/R \approx 2\pi/14 \approx 0.45$ (boundary 1). Wall-incoherent energy $\phi_W^+$ is bounded by $k_xz = 2\pi/R/\exp(1/C_1) \approx 2\pi/385 \approx 0.016$ at high $Re_\tau$ (boundary 3). Finally, component $\phi_c^+$, comprising VLSM and global mode-energy, overlaps with the two other components at $Re_\tau$ up to at

Figure 21. Structure of $k_x\phi_{uu}$ within the logarithmic region of TBLs, following Perry & Abell (1977) and Perry et al. (1986) and reproduced from Marusic & Perry (1995) and updated following the current findings from the triple decomposition technique based on $u$ fluctuations alone at $Re_\tau$ up to $O(10^4)$.
least $\mathcal{O}(10^5)$. Its high wavenumber-limit can obey different scalings, which can only be addressed with future research: high Reynolds number data with converged large-scale energy content are crucial, in combination with a novel spectral decomposition that is able to unambiguously separate wall-attached self-similar turbulence from non-self-similar contributions. When the high wavenumber-end would be fixed in $z$ scaling ($S_1$ of boundary 2 would be a constant), a potential $k_x^{-1}$ scaling may always remain masked by other energy components. Only when this boundary scales with $\delta$ (or a combination of $\delta$ and $z$), $S_1$ would constitute a $Re_\tau$ dependence and ultra high $Re_\tau$ data may start to expose a $k_x^{-1}$ scaling in unaltered, measured spectra.

In Part 2 (Baars & Marusic 2019), scaling trends of the integrated energy spectra (the turbulence intensity) are considered in both the outer- and near-wall regions of the flow.
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**Appendix A. Additional figures**

### A.1. Energy spectra with logarithmic ordinate

The sequence of energy spectra shown in Figure 2 are re-shown in Figures 22(a,b). These spectra at nine logarithmically-spaced wall-normal positions in the range $100 \leq z^+ \leq 0.15\delta^+$ at $Re_\tau \approx 14\,100$ are compared to the spectral scaling proposed by del Álamo et al. (2004). At the large-scale end (Figure 22a) the red dash-dot lines indicate (3.3) of del Álamo et al. (2004), here taken as $k_x^+ \phi_{uu}^+ = f(z/\delta) \log \left(24\delta^2 k_x \delta/(2\pi z\delta)\right)$, with $f \approx 6.5 \times 10^{-4}$ for the highest $z$ position-spectrum ($z/\delta \approx 0.15$) and $f \approx 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ for the lowest $z$ position ($z/\delta \approx 0.0072$). The two bounding trends are shown for $0.26 < k_x \delta < 1.04$. The large-scale motion energy in the TBL spectra appears to be less than what is predicted from the aforementioned relation. This could be a Reynolds number effect, or a difference in the VLSM content between TBL and channel flow (the formulation was found from lower Reynolds number channel data). At the small-scale ends of the spectra (Figure 22b), relation (3.2) of del Álamo et al. (2004) is compared to the data: $k_x^+ \phi_{uu}^+ = \beta \log \left(2\pi\alpha^2/(k_x z)\right)$ with $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 0.2$ over a range $0.63 < k_x z < 6.3$. The TBL spectra show a different slope (as was also noted by Vallikivi et al. 2015a). Empirical scalings for spectra dependent on the flow type (channel, TBL, etc.), vary with $Re_\tau$ and depend on $z$. Hence, scalings cannot be extended to high-Reynolds-number data.

For reference, the sequence of spectra in Figures 22(a,b) are shown in Figures 22(c,d) and Figures 22(e,f) with different ordinates. For broadband turbulence, the interpretation of energy content in the spectra is promoted by recognizing that

$$\overline{u^2}^+(z) = \int \phi_{uu}^+(z; k_x) \, dk_x^+ = \int k_x^+ \phi_{uu}^+(z; k_x) \, d \ln (k_x^+) , \quad (A\,1)$$

where $\overline{u^2}$ is the variance of $u$. Thus, premultiplied spectra with a linear ordinate and a logarithmic abscissae represent an area that is proportional to fluctuation energy (and naturally enhances the energy containing range). When presented with a logarithmic ordinate (Figures 22c,d), and without premultiplication (Figures 22e,f), it may visually
enhance the appearance of a $\phi_{uu} \propto k_x^{-1}$ region (similarly for the $k^{-5/3}$ region). For scrutiny of the energy containing range and the examination of the $k_x^{-1}$ it thus preferred to present premultiplied spectra as in Figures 22(a,b).

A.2. Coherence spectrograms with respect to logarithmic-region positions

Figure 10 presents the coherence spectrogram for dataset $L_3$ only. Here, in Figures 23(a,c,e), contours of $\gamma_l^2(z, z_L; \lambda_x)$ are shown for datasets $L_{1-3}$. With varying $L$, the
When $z \sim z_L$, coherence spectra in Figures 23(a,c,e) reveal that even scales smaller
than $\lambda_x < Rz$ become coherent with $z_L$. This implies that turbulent scales for which $\gamma_1^2(z, z_L; \lambda_x) > \gamma_1^2(z, z_W; \lambda_x)$ are wall-detached scales that are $z_L$-coherent. Figures 23(b,d,f) present the $\gamma_1^2(z, z_L; \lambda_x)$ spectrograms with the $f_L$ filter subtracted and thus indicate the energy portion of the spectra that is $z_L$-coherent and $z_W$-incoherent. The iso-contours centred around $z_L$ are widest (spanning the largest range in $z$) around $\lambda_x \approx Rz$, indicating that the smallest scales can only be coherent for very small separations $\Delta z$ (trivial) and that the much larger scales are wall-coherent. Detailed examinations of the coherence spectra in Figures 23(a,c,e) and its corresponding energy portions are outside the scope of this work.

**A.3. Energy spectra at $z^+ = 100$ with outer-scaling and wall-scaling**

A Reynolds number trend appears at the large-scale end of the spectra in Figures 19(a,c). In Figures 24(a,c) the local mean velocity at $z_L = 0.15\delta$ (as opposed to the local mean velocity at $z^+ = 100$) is utilized in constructing the scale-axis. Now the large-scale end of the spectra exhibit a better collapse. Since these large-scale motions (or global modes, del Álamo & Jiménez 2003) are expected to convect with a velocity that is set by an outer scale, the collapse suggests that the energy content in these motions may be Reynolds-number invariant, at least over the range of $Re_\tau$ considered here.
Appendix B. Reconstructing spectral statistics from higher $Re_\tau$ data

In §6.2 it was noted that $\phi_{WL}$ is envisioned to scale via Townsend’s AEH in the ideal case that only type $A$ eddies contribute to this spectral component. And so, within high Reynolds number data, lower Reynolds number statistics could be retrieved by only considering a sub-domain of the wall-normal range. This appendix illustrates that via reconstruction of the energy spectra. When considering the $Re_\tau \approx 14,100$ data, reference $z_L$ could be chosen as $z_L^+ = 0.15 \cdot \delta_{rec}^+ \cdot \zeta$, where $\delta_{rec}^+$ is the Reynolds number that is anticipated to be reconstructed, and $\zeta$ is a constant discussed later. When $\delta_{rec}^+ = 14,100$ and $\zeta = 1$, the triple decomposition would be similar as in §5.1, because $z_L = 0.15 \delta$.

When the reconstructed Reynolds number is chosen as $\delta_{rec}^+ = 2,800$, a triple decomposition of the $Re_\tau \approx 14,100$ with $z_L^+ = 0.15 \cdot \delta_{rec}^+ \cdot \zeta$ should yield a $\phi_{WL}$ component that is similar to the one found from the actual data at $Re_\tau \approx 2,800$ with $z_L = 0.15 \delta$.

Figure 25 presents the triple decomposed energy spectrograms of the $Re_\tau \approx 14,100$ data. Each column corresponds to a reproduced Reynolds number: $\delta_{rec}^+ = 2,800$, 3,900, 7,300 and 13,000. Here we only focus on component $\phi_{WL}$. From the reconstructed spectrograms, the peak values of $\phi_{WL}$ at $z^+ = 100$, as a function of $Re_\tau$, are shown in Figure 26(a) with the open triangles (connected with line 2). In addition, the peak values of $\phi_{WL}$ at $z^+ = 100$ for the actual $Re_\tau$ datasets are shown with the filled circles (line 1, duplicated from Figure 20). Note that all six Reynolds numbers are considered (see Figure 19 and description). Reconstructed spectra with $\zeta = 1$ peak at higher values than the spectra corresponding to the actual $Re_\tau$ spectra. A minimization procedure was performed to find the value of $\zeta$ for which the five reconstructed peak values of the experimental spectra at $z^+ = 100$ comprised the smallest root-mean-square-error with respect to the actual peak values, resulting in $\zeta = 0.897$ (Figure 26b). For $\zeta = 0.897$ the actual and reconstructed spectra at $z^+ = 100$ are shown in the inset of Figure 26(a). From Figures 25(b,e,h,k), employing $\zeta = 0.897$, it is evident that the reconstructed spectrograms agree well with the spectrograms from the actual $Re_\tau$ data for all $z$ (not just $z^+ = 100$, thus $\zeta$ is a constant). Only small discrepancies occur at the low wavenumber end (see inset of Figure 26a), because the current decomposition technique is not perfect in separating the wall-attached turbulence into $A$ and $B$ contributions at large scales. For the actual $Re_\tau$ spectra, the energy content in the low wavenumber range is slightly higher, as the (V)LSM content has a larger contribution to $A$ (e.g. $z_L = 0.15 \delta$). For the reconstructed cases, $z_L$ is much lower in outer-scaling, thus assigning more of the (V)LSMs to $\phi_L^+$ (and less to $\phi_{WL}$).
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Figure 25. Each column (per $z_c$ quoted on top, here $\zeta = 0.897$) is similar to Figure 14: premultiplied energy spectrograms of the three spectral sub-components (for $z < z_c$, iso-contours $0.2:0.2:1.8$), each of them overlaid on the total energy spectrogram (filled iso-contours $0.2:0.2:1.8$). Filter $f_c$, with $z_c$, is applied for $z \leq z_c$; $f_W$ is applied for $z_T^+ \leq z^+ \leq z_c^+$, while $f_W$ is $z$-invariant for $z^+ \leq z_T^+$ and equal to $f_W(z_T^+; \lambda_x)$. Note: $\lambda_x \equiv U(z)/f$. For the middle row, sub-figures $(b,e,h,k)$, two sets of iso-contours of $\phi^c_{\omega\zeta}$ are shown. Dark thin lines correspond to the $Re_{\tau} \approx 14\,100$ data, while the light wider lines correspond to the Reynolds-number data shown in Figures 18$(b,e,h,k)$.


Figure 26. (a) Peak value of the premultiplied spectral energy component $k_z^+ \phi_{WL}^+$ at $z^+ = 100$ as function of $Re_\tau$, corresponding to the peak values of the spectra in Figures 19(c,d) - filled circles and dashed 2nd order polynomial fit. Peak values of the reconstructed $k_z^+ \phi_{WL}^+$ spectra, from the $Re_\tau \approx 14100$ data with different $z_c$ are shown with the open triangles ($\zeta = 1.000$) and squares ($\zeta = 0.897$). (b) Actual peak values match reconstructed peak values for $\zeta \approx 0.897$, found from a minimization of the root-mean-square-error between fit lines 1 and 2 in sub-figure (a). (c) Schematic illustration of a low $Re_\tau$ TBL embedded within a larger $Re_\tau$ TBL and the meaning of parameter $\zeta$.
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