

ON THE MULTIPLICITY AND CONCENTRATION OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A p -FRACTIONAL CHOQUARD EQUATION IN \mathbb{R}^N

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we deal with the following fractional Choquard equation

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{sp}(-\Delta)_p^s u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \varepsilon^{\mu-N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \right) f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter, $s \in (0, 1)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, $N > sp$, $(-\Delta)_p^s$ is the fractional p -Laplacian, V is a positive continuous potential, $0 < \mu < sp$, and f is a continuous superlinear function with subcritical growth. Using minimax arguments and the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category theory, we obtain the existence, multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we focus our attention on the following nonlinear fractional Choquard equation

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon^{sp}(-\Delta)_p^s u + V(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \varepsilon^{\mu-N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \right) f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $s \in (0, 1)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, $N > sp$, $0 < \mu < sp$ and $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions. The fractional p -Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)_p^s$ is defined, up to normalization factors, for any $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth enough by

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u(x) = 2 \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N).$$

The above operator is a nonlocal version of the classical p -Laplacian Δ_p and it is an extension of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ (that is $p = 2$); see [18, 26] for more details.

When $s = 1$, $p = 2$, $V(x) \equiv 1$, $\varepsilon = 1$ and $F(u) = \frac{|u|^2}{2}$, we can see that (1.1) reduces to the Choquard-Pekar equation

$$-\Delta u + u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * |u|^2 \right) u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.2)$$

which goes back to the description of a polaron at rest in Quantum Field Theory by Pekar [43] in 1954. In particular, when u is a solution to (1.2), we can see that $\psi(x, t) = u(x)e^{-it}$ is a solitary wave of the following Hartree equation

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta \psi - \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * |\psi|^2 \right) \psi \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

introduced by Choquard in 1976 to describe an electron trapped in its own hole as approximation to Hartree-Fock Theory of one component plasma; see [30, 44].

From a mathematical point of view, equation (1.2) and its generalizations have been widely investigated. Lieb [29] proved the existence and uniqueness, up to translations, of the ground state to (1.2). Lions [32] obtained the existence of a sequence of radially symmetric solutions via critical point theory. Ma and Zhao [33] showed the symmetry of positive solutions for a generalized

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35R11, 45G05.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Choquard equation; fractional p -Laplacian; variational methods.

Choquard equation. Moroz and Van Schaftingen [39] established regularity, radial symmetry and asymptotic behavior at infinity of positive solutions. For other interesting results on Choquard equations we refer to [1, 3, 24, 40, 51] and the survey [41].

Recently, the study of problems involving fractional and nonlocal operators has received a great interest in view of concrete real-world applications, such as phase transitions, anomalous diffusion, population dynamics, optimization, finance, conservation laws, ultra-relativistic limits of quantum mechanics, quasi-geostrophic flows and many others; see [18, 37]. In particular, when $p = 2$ in (1.1), a large number of papers have been devoted to the study of fractional Schrödinger equations [27] involving local nonlinearities; see for instance [2, 6, 9, 19, 20, 48] and the references therein. However, in the literature there are only few papers dealing with fractional Schrödinger equations like (1.1) in which the nonlocal term appears also in the nonlinearity. Frank and Lenzmann [22] proved analyticity and radial symmetry of positive ground state for the L^2 critical boson star equation

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}u + u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * |u|^2 \right) u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

d'Avenia et al. [14] dealt with the regularity, existence and non existence, symmetry and decay properties of solutions to

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * |u|^p \right) |u|^{p-2} u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Shen et al. [49] investigated the existence of ground state solutions for a fractional Choquard equation involving a general nonlinearity. In [7] the author used penalization technique and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory to study the multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions to

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = \varepsilon^{\mu-N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \right) f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

when the potential V has a local minimum.

On the other hand, in the last few years, a great attention has been focused on the study of fractional p -Laplacian problems. Indeed, from the mathematical point of view, the fractional p -Laplacian has taken relevance because two phenomena are present in it: the nonlinearity of the operator and its nonlocal character. For instance, fractional p -eigenvalue problems have been considered in [23, 31], some interesting regularity results for weak solutions can be found in [17, 25, 42], several existence and multiplicity results for problems set in bounded domains or in the whole of \mathbb{R}^N have been established in [5, 8, 10, 16, 21, 34, 45], while p -fractional Choquard equations have been studied in [11, 28, 46].

Motivated by the above papers, in this work we aim to study the existence, multiplicity, and concentration of positive solutions for the fractional Choquard equation (1.1) assuming that the potential $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ verifies the following condition due to Rabinowitz [47]:

(V) $V_\infty = \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) > V_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x)$,

and the nonlinearity $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the following hypotheses:

(f₁) there exist $C > 0$ and $q_1, q_2 > \frac{p}{2}$ with $\frac{p}{2}(2 - \frac{\mu}{N}) < q_1 \leq q_2 < \frac{p^*}{2}(2 - \frac{\mu}{N})$ such that

$$|f(t)| \leq C(|t|^{q_1-1} + |t|^{q_2-1}) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R};$$

(f₂) there exists $\theta > p$ such that $0 < \theta F(t) \leq 2f(t)t$ for all $t > 0$, where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau$;

(f₃) $t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}}$ is increasing for $t > 0$.

The main result of this paper establishes the existence of multiple positive solutions of (1.1) involving the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category of the sets M and M_δ defined as

$$M = \{x \in \Lambda : V(x) = V_0\} \text{ and } M_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \text{dist}(x, M) \leq \delta\}, \text{ for } \delta > 0.$$

We recall that if Y is a given closed set of a topological space X , we denote by $\text{cat}_X(Y)$ the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category of Y in X , that is the least number of closed and contractible sets in X which cover Y ; see [35, 52]. More precisely, we are able to prove that

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that V verifies (V), $0 < \mu < sp$ and f satisfies (f_1) – (f_3) with*

$$p < q_1 \leq q_2 < \frac{p(N - \mu)}{N - sp}.$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_\delta)$, problem (1.1) has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ positive solutions. Moreover, if u_ε denotes one of these positive solutions and $x_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$ its global maximum, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} V(x_\varepsilon) = V_0,$$

and there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$0 < u_\varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{N+sp}}{\varepsilon^{N+sp} + |x - x_\varepsilon|^{N+sp}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We note that the restriction on the exponents q_1 and q_2 is justified by the following Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality:

Theorem 1.2. [30] *Let $r, t > 1$ and $0 < \mu < N$ such that $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{\mu}{N} + \frac{1}{t} = 2$. Let $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $h \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then there exists a sharp constant $C(r, N, \mu, t) > 0$ independent of f and h such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x)h(y)}{|x - y|^\mu} dx dy \leq C(r, N, \mu, t) |f|_r |h|_t.$$

Indeed, when we consider the model case $F(u) = |u|^q$, we can see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \right) F(u) dx$$

is well-defined if $F(u) \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $t > 1$ such that $\frac{2}{t} + \frac{\mu}{N} = 2$. Then, using the fractional Sobolev embedding $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $r \in [p, p_s^*]$, we have to require that $tq \in [p, p_s^*]$ which together with the fact that we are considering the subcritical case, forces to suppose that

$$\frac{p}{2} \left(2 - \frac{\mu}{N} \right) < q < \frac{p_s^*}{2} \left(2 - \frac{\mu}{N} \right).$$

Here, we only consider the case $q > p$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is obtained applying suitable variational methods. We would like to note that our result improves and extends Theorem 1.4 in [3] in the fractional setting because here we assume that f is only continuous. Indeed, differently from [3], we cannot apply standard Nehari manifolds arguments to study (1.1) due to the fact that the Nehari manifold associated with (1.1) is not differentiable. To overcome this difficulty, we use some variants of critical point theorems due to Szulkin and Weth [50]; see Sections 3 and 4. We also emphasized that the presence of the fractional p -Laplacian operator and the convolution term, both nonlocal operators, make our study more complicated with respect to [3], and a more accurate inspection will be done; see Section 2 for some useful technical results.

Indeed, after proving that the levels of compactness are strongly related to the behavior of the potential $V(x)$ at infinity (see Proposition 5.1), we are able to deduce the existence of a ground state solution for (1.1) provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Then, we obtain multiple solutions by using a technique due to Benci and Cerami [12]. The main ingredient is to make precisely comparisons between the category of some sublevel sets of the energy functional associated with (1.1) and the category of the set M . We also investigate the concentration of positive solutions u_ε of (1.1). More precisely, we combine a Moser iteration technique [38] with the Hölder regularity results obtained for $(-\Delta)_p^s$ (see [17, 25]) to deduce that $u_\varepsilon(x)$ decays at zero as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in ε .

This information together with the continuity of V will be fundamental to infer that u_ε concentrates around global minimum of the potential V as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, arguing as in [10, 11] and using the recent result in [16], we find out that the solutions of (1.1) have a power-type decay at infinity; see at the end of Section 6.

As far as we know, there are no results in the literature concerning the multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions to (1.1) using the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category theory, so the goal of the present paper is to give a first result in this direction.

The body of the paper is the following. In Section 2 we collect some lemmas which will be useful along the paper. In Section 3 we outline the variational framework for studying (1.1). Section 4 is devoted to the study of the autonomous problem associated with (1.1). In Section 5 we provide a first existence result for (1.1). The last section focuses on the multiplicity and concentration of solutions to (1.1).

Before concluding this introduction, we would like to recall that using the change of variable $u(x) \mapsto u(\varepsilon x)$ we can see that problem (1.1) is equivalent to the following one

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u + V(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p-2}u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u)\right) f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

which will be considered in the next sections.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce the notations and we give some lemmas which we will use later.

Fix $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the Gagliardo seminorm

$$[u]_{s,p}^p = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy,$$

or equivalently

$$\mathcal{D}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{s,p} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let us define the fractional Sobolev space

$$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p}}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2N}) \right\}$$

endowed with the natural norm

$$\|u\|_{s,p}^p = [u]_{s,p}^p + |u|_p^p.$$

For reader's convenience, we recall the following fundamental embeddings:

Theorem 2.1. [18] *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ be such that $N > sp$. Then there exists a constant $S_* = S(N, s, p) > 0$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{D}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$*

$$|u|_{p_s^*}^p \leq S_* [u]_{s,p}^p. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [p, p_s^]$ and compactly in $L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [p, p_s^*)$.*

We also have the following Lions-type lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $N > sp$. If (u_n) is a bounded sequence in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y)} |u_n|^p dx = 0$$

where $R > 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in (p, p_s^)$.*

Proof. Let $\tau \in (r, p_s^*)$. By the Hölder and Sobolev inequality we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n|_{L^\tau(\mathcal{B}_R(y))} &\leq |u_n|_{L^r(\mathcal{B}_R(y))}^{1-\alpha} |u_n|_{L^{p_s^*}(\mathcal{B}_R(y))}^\alpha \\ &\leq C |u_n|_{L^r(\mathcal{B}_R(y))}^{1-\alpha} \|u_n\|_{s,p}^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\tau-r}{p_s^*-r} \frac{p_s^*}{\tau}$. Now, covering \mathbb{R}^N by balls of radius R , in such a way that each point of \mathbb{R}^N is contained in at most $N+1$ balls, we find

$$|u_n|_\tau^\tau \leq C \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y)} |u_n|^r dx \right)^{(1-\alpha)\tau} \|u_n\|_{s,p}^{\alpha\tau}.$$

From the assumption and the boundedness of (u_n) in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^\tau(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using an interpolation argument we get the thesis. \square

Next, we collect some technical results which will be very useful along the paper.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi = 1$ in $\mathcal{B}_1(0)$ and $\phi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathcal{B}_2(0)$. Set $\phi_r(x) = \phi(\frac{x}{r})$. Then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [u\phi_r - u]_{s,p} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |u\phi_r - u|_p = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\phi_r u \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to see that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |u\phi_r - u|_p = 0$. Now, we show the first relation of limit. Let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} [u\phi_r - u]_{s,p}^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \left[\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p |\phi_r(x) - 1|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\phi_r(x) - \phi_r(y)|^p |u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \right] \\ &=: 2^{p-1} [A_r + B_r]. \end{aligned}$$

Invoking the Dominated Convergence Theorem it is easy to deduce that $A_r \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Concerning B_r , recalling that $0 \leq \phi_r \leq 1$, $|\nabla \phi_r|_\infty \leq C/r$ and using the polar coordinates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\phi_r(x) - \phi_r(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} |u(y)|^p dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{|x-y|>r} \frac{|\phi_r(x) - \phi_r(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} |u(y)|^p dy dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{|x-y|\leq r} \frac{|\phi_r(x) - \phi_r(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} |u(y)|^p dy dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p \left(\int_{|x-y|>r} \frac{dx}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} \right) dy + \frac{C}{r^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p \left(\int_{|x-y|\leq r} \frac{dx}{|x - y|^{N+sp-p}} \right) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p \left(\int_{|z|>r} \frac{dz}{|z|^{N+sp}} \right) dy + \frac{C}{r^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p \left(\int_{|z|\leq r} \frac{dz}{|z|^{N+sp-p}} \right) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p dy \left(\int_r^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{sp+1}} \right) + \frac{C}{r^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p dy \left(\int_0^r \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{sp-p+1}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^{sp}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p dy + \frac{C}{r^p} r^{-sp+p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^{sp}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(y)|^p dy \leq \frac{C}{r^{sp}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $B_r \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ and we can conclude the proof of lemma. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $w \in \mathcal{D}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $(z_n) \subset \mathcal{D}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence such that $z_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. and $[z_n]_{s,p} \leq C$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |\mathcal{A}(z_n + w) - \mathcal{A}(z_n) - \mathcal{A}(w)|^{p'} dx = o_n(1),$$

where $\mathcal{A}(u) := \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p'}}$ and $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ is the conjugate exponent of p .

Proof. Firstly, we deal with the case $p \geq 2$. Using the Mean Value Theorem, the Young inequality and $p \geq 2$, we can see that for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$||a + b|^{p-2}(a + b) - |a|^{p-2}a| \leq \varepsilon |a|^{p-1} + C_\varepsilon |b|^{p-1} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.2)$$

Taking

$$a = \frac{z_n(x) - z_n(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p}}} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{w(x) - w(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p}}}$$

in (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{|(z_n(x) + w(x)) - (z_n(y) + w(y))|^{p-2}((z_n(x) + w(x)) - (z_n(y) + w(y)))}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p'}}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{|z_n(x) - z_n(y)|^{p-2}(z_n(x) - z_n(y))}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p'}}} \right| \\ & \leq \varepsilon \frac{|z_n(x) - z_n(y)|^{p-1}}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p'}}} + C_\varepsilon \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|^{p-1}}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p'}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the function $H_{\varepsilon,n} : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$H_{\varepsilon,n}(x, y) := \max \left\{ |\mathcal{A}(z_n + w) - \mathcal{A}(z_n) - \mathcal{A}(w)| - \varepsilon \frac{|z_n(x) - z_n(y)|^{p-1}}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p'}}}, 0 \right\}.$$

We can see that $H_{\varepsilon,n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^{2N} as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$0 \leq H_{\varepsilon,n}(x, y) \leq C_1 \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|^{p-1}}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p'}}} \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2N}).$$

Then, using the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |H_{\varepsilon,n}|^{p'} dx dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, from the definition of $H_{\varepsilon,n}$, we deduce that

$$|\mathcal{A}(z_n + w) - \mathcal{A}(z_n) - \mathcal{A}(w)| \leq \varepsilon \frac{|z_n(x) - z_n(y)|^{p-1}}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p'}}} + H_{\varepsilon,n},$$

so we obtain

$$|\mathcal{A}(z_n + w) - \mathcal{A}(z_n) - \mathcal{A}(w)|^{p'} \leq C_2 \left[\varepsilon^{p'} \frac{|z_n(x) - z_n(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} + (H_{\varepsilon,n})^{p'} \right].$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |\mathcal{A}(z_n + w) - \mathcal{A}(z_n) - \mathcal{A}(w)|^{p'} dx dy \leq C_2 \varepsilon^{p'} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [z_n]_{s,p}^p \leq C_3 \varepsilon^{p'},$$

and by the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$ we get the thesis.

Now, we suppose that $1 < p < 2$. Using Lemma 3.1 in [36], we know that

$$\sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^N, d \neq 0} \left| \frac{|c + d|^{p-2}(c + d) - |c|^{p-2}c}{|d|^{p-1}} \right| < \infty.$$

Taking

$$c = \frac{z_n(x) - z_n(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p}}} \quad \text{and} \quad d = \frac{w(x) - w(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p}}},$$

we can conclude the proof applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem. \square

In order to study (1.3), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we introduce the following fractional Sobolev space

$$\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon = \left\{ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u(x)|^p dx < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon^p = [u]_{s,p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u(x)|^p dx.$$

In view of assumption (V) and Theorem 2.1, it is easy to check that the following result holds.

Theorem 2.2. *The space \mathcal{W}_ε is continuously embedded in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore, \mathcal{W}_ε is continuously embedded in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $r \in [p, p_s^*]$ and compactly embedded in $L_{loc}^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $r \in [1, p_s^*)$.*

When the potential $V(x)$ is coercive, we can obtain the compactness of \mathcal{W}_ε into the Lebesgue spaces $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $V_\infty = \infty$. Then \mathcal{W}_ε is compactly embedded into $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $r \in [p, p_s^*)$.*

Proof. Firstly, we assume that $r = p$. From Theorem 2.2 we know that $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let (u_n) be a sequence such that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in \mathcal{W}_ε . Then, $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Let us define

$$M := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

Since V is coercive, for any $\eta > 0$ there exists $R = R_\eta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{V(\varepsilon x)} < \eta, \quad \text{for any } |x| > R. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathcal{B}_R(0))$, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_R(0)} |u_n|^p dx \leq \eta \quad \text{for any } n \geq n_0. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence, for any $n \geq n_0$, by (2.3)-(2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^p dx &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(0)} |u_n|^p dx + \int_{\mathcal{B}_R^c(0)} |u_n|^p dx \\ &< \eta + \eta \int_{\mathcal{B}_R^c(0)} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^p dx \leq \eta(1 + M^p). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

When $r > p$, using the conclusion of $r = p$, interpolation inequality and Theorem 2.1, we can see that

$$|u_n|_r \leq C[u_n]_{s,p}^\alpha |u_n|_p^{1-\alpha},$$

where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{1-\alpha}{p_s^*}$, which yields the conclusion as required. \square

Let us prove the following splitting for the \mathcal{W}_ε -norm in the spirit of the Brezis-Lieb Lemma [13].

Lemma 2.4. *If (u_n) is a bounded sequence in \mathcal{W}_ε , then*

$$\|u_n - u\|_\varepsilon^p = \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^p - \|u\|_\varepsilon^p + o_n(1).$$

Proof. From the Brezis-Lieb Lemma [13] we know that if $r \in (1, \infty)$ and $(g_n) \subset L^r(\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a bounded sequence such that $g_n \rightarrow g$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^k , then we have

$$\|g_n - g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^k)}^r = \|g_n\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^k)}^r - \|g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^k)}^r + o_n(1). \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n - u|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^p + o_n(1),$$

and taking

$$g_n = \frac{u_n(x) - u_n(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p}}}, \quad g = \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{N+sp}{p}}}, \quad r = p \text{ and } k = 2N$$

in (2.6), we can see that

$$[u_n - u]_{s,p}^p = [u_n]_{s,p}^p - [u]_{s,p}^p + o_n(1).$$

□

The next lemma is a variant of the Brezis-Lieb Lemma [13] (see also [1]) for the nonlocal term.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(u_n) \subset W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Set $v_n = u_n - u$. Then we have*

$$\Sigma(u_n) - \Sigma(v_n) - \Sigma(u) = o_n(1),$$

and for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ such that $\|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \leq 1$ it holds

$$\langle \Sigma'(u_n) - \Sigma'(v_n) - \Sigma'(u), \varphi \rangle = o_n(1),$$

where

$$\Sigma(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(u)(x) F(u(x)) dx \quad \text{and} \quad K(u)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u(y))}{|x - y|^\mu} dy.$$

Proof. We only show the validity of the first statement because the second one can be proved using similar arguments. For more details we refer the interested reader to [1].

Firstly, we show that

$$F(u_n) - F(v_n) \rightarrow F(u) \text{ in } L^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2.7)$$

By the Mean Value Theorem, assumption (f_1) and Young's inequality we can see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(u_n) - F(v_n)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} &\leq \left| \int_0^1 f(u_n - tu) u dt \right|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\ &\leq [|u|(|u_n| + |u|)^{q_1-1} + |u|(|u_n| + |u|)^{q_2-1}]^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon (|u_n|^{\frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu}} + |u_n|^{\frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu}}) + C (|u|^{\frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu}} + |u|^{\frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu}}) \end{aligned}$$

which together with

$$|F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \leq C (|u|^{\frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu}} + |u|^{\frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu}})$$

implies that

$$|F(u_n) - F(v_n) - F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \leq \varepsilon (|u_n|^{\frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu}} + |u_n|^{\frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu}}) + C (|u|^{\frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu}} + |u|^{\frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu}}).$$

Let us define

$$G_{\varepsilon,n} = \max \left\{ |F(u_n) - F(v_n) - F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} - \varepsilon (|u_n|^{\frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu}} + |u_n|^{\frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu}}), 0 \right\},$$

and we observe that $G_{\varepsilon,n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$0 \leq G_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C(|u|^{\frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu}} + |u|^{\frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu}}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

because of $p < \frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu} < p_s^*$ and $p < \frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu} < p_s^*$ in view of $p < q_1 \leq q_2 < \frac{p(N-\mu)}{N-sp}$.

Hence, invoking the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get $G_{\varepsilon,n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

On the other hand, from the definition of $G_{\varepsilon,n}$ it follows that

$$|F(u_n) - F(v_n) - F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \leq \varepsilon(|u_n|^{\frac{2Nq_1}{2N-\mu}} + |u_n|^{\frac{2Nq_2}{2N-\mu}}) + G_{\varepsilon,n},$$

so, using the boundedness of (u_n) in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can deduce that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(u_n) - F(v_n) - F(u)|^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}} \leq C\varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

This ends the proof of (2.7). Then, in view of Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * (F(u_n) - F(v_n)) \rightarrow \frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \text{ in } L^{\frac{2N}{\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2.8)$$

Now, let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u_n) \right) F(u_n) - \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(v_n) \right) F(v_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * (F(u_n) - F(v_n)) \right) (F(u_n) - F(v_n)) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * (F(u_n) - F(v_n)) \right) F(v_n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Since $F(v_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can use (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) to obtain the thesis. \square

3. VARIATIONAL FRAMEWORK

In order to study (1.3), we will look for critical points of the following Euler-Lagrange functional $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_\varepsilon^p - \Sigma(u)$$

where

$$\Sigma(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(u)(x) F(u(x)) dx \quad \text{and} \quad K(u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u(y))}{|x-y|^\mu} dy.$$

In view of (f₁), Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 1.2, it is easy to check that \mathcal{J}_ε is well-defined, $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R})$ and its differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), v \rangle &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y)) (v(x) - v(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p-2} uv dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u(y))}{|x-y|^\mu} f(u(x)) v(x) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

for any $u, v \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$. Let us introduce the Nehari manifold associated with (1.3), namely

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon := \{u \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} : \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Firstly, we show that \mathcal{J}_ε verifies the assumptions of the Mountain Pass Theorem [4].

Lemma 3.1. \mathcal{J}_ε verifies the following properties:

- (i) there exist $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) \geq \alpha$ for any $u \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ such that $\|u\|_\varepsilon = \rho$;
- (ii) there exists $e \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ with $\|e\|_\varepsilon > \rho$ such that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(e) < 0$.

Proof. Using (f_1) and applying Theorem 1.2 we get

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(u)F(u) dx \right| \leq C|F(u)|_t|F(u)|_t \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{q_1} + |u|^{q_2} dx)^t \right)^{\frac{2}{t}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{2}(2 - \frac{\mu}{N})$. Since $p < q_1 \leq q_2 < \frac{p_s^*}{2}(2 - \frac{\mu}{N})$, we can see that $tq_1, tq_2 \in (p, p_s^*)$, and from Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{q_1} + |u|^{q_2})^t dx \right)^{\frac{2}{t}} \leq C(\|u\|_\varepsilon^{q_1} + \|u\|_\varepsilon^{q_2})^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Putting together (3.1) and (3.2) we can deduce that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \right) F(u) dx \right| \leq C(\|u\|_\varepsilon^{q_1} + \|u\|_\varepsilon^{q_2})^2 \leq C(\|u\|_\varepsilon^{2q_1} + \|u\|_\varepsilon^{2q_2}).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}(u) \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_\varepsilon^p - C(\|u\|_\varepsilon^{2q_1} + \|u\|_\varepsilon^{2q_2}),$$

and being $q_2 \geq q_1 > \frac{p}{2}$ we can see that (i) holds. Fix $u_0 \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $u_0 \geq 0$, and we set

$$h(t) = \Sigma \left(\frac{tu_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} \right) \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Using (f_2) , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} h'(t) &= \Sigma' \left(\frac{tu_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F \left(\frac{tu_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} \right) \right) f \left(\frac{tu_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F \left(\frac{tu_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} \right) \right) 2f \left(\frac{tu_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{tu_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} dx \\ &> \frac{\theta}{t} h(t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Integrating (3.3) on $[1, t\|u_0\|_\varepsilon]$ with $t > \frac{1}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon}$, we find

$$h(t\|u_0\|_\varepsilon) \geq h(1)(t\|u_0\|_\varepsilon)^\theta$$

which implies that

$$\Sigma(tu_0) \geq \Sigma \left(\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon} \right) \|u_0\|_\varepsilon^\theta t^\theta.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(tu_0) = \frac{t^p}{p}\|u_0\|_\varepsilon^p - \Sigma(tu_0) \leq C_1 t^p - C_2 t^\theta \text{ for } t > \frac{1}{\|u_0\|_\varepsilon}.$$

Taking $e = tu_0$ with t sufficiently large, we can see that (ii) holds. \square

Now, we prove the following lemma related to the function $K(u)$ which will be very useful in the sequel.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that (f_1) -(f_3) hold, $0 < \mu < sp$ and $p < q_1 \leq q_2 < \frac{p(N-\mu)}{N-sp}$. Let (u_n) be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{W}_ε . Then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$|K(u_n)|_\infty \leq C_0 \text{ for any } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Let us note that (f_1) yields

$$|F(t)| \leq C(|t|^{q_1} + |t|^{q_2}) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} |K(u_n)(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u_n)}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{F(u_n)}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right| + \left| \int_{|x-y| > 1} \frac{F(u_n)}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|u_n(y)|^{q_1} + |u_n(y)|^{q_2}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{q_1} + |u_n|^{q_2}) dy \\ &\leq C \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|u_n(y)|^{q_1} + |u_n(y)|^{q_2}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy + C \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where in the last line we used Theorem 2.2 and $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \leq K$. Now, we take

$$t \in \left(\frac{N}{N-\mu}, \frac{Np}{(N-sp)q_1} \right] \text{ and } r \in \left(\frac{N}{N-\mu}, \frac{Np}{(N-sp)q_2} \right].$$

Applying the Hölder inequality and using Theorem 2.2 and $\|u_n\|_\varepsilon \leq K$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|u_n(y)|^{q_1}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy &\leq \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |u_n|^{tq_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\frac{t\mu}{t-1}}} dy \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^1 \rho^{N-1-\frac{t\mu}{t-1}} d\rho \right)^{\frac{t-1}{t}} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

because of $N-1-\frac{t\mu}{t-1} > -1$. Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|u_n(y)|^{q_2}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy &\leq \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} |u_n|^{rq_2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\frac{r\mu}{r-1}}} dy \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^1 \rho^{N-1-\frac{r\mu}{r-1}} d\rho \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}} < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

in view of $N-1-\frac{r\mu}{r-1} > -1$. Putting together (3.6) and (3.7) we can see that

$$\int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{|u_n(y)|^{q_1} + |u_n(y)|^{q_2}}{|x-y|^\mu} dy \leq C \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

which in view of (3.5) yields (3.4). \square

Since f is only continuous, the next results are very important because they allow us to overcome the non-differentiability of \mathcal{N}_ε . We begin proving some properties for the functional \mathcal{J}_ε .

Lemma 3.3. *Under assumptions (V) and (f_1) -(f_3) we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$:*

- (i) \mathcal{J}'_ε maps bounded sets of \mathcal{W}_ε into bounded sets of \mathcal{W}_ε .
- (ii) \mathcal{J}'_ε is weakly sequentially continuous in \mathcal{W}_ε .
- (iii) $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t_n u_n) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, where $u_n \in K$ and $K \subset \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$ is a compact subset.

Proof. (i) Let (u_n) be a bounded sequence in \mathcal{W}_ε and $v \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$. Then, from (f_1) and Lemma 3.2 we deduce that

$$\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle \leq C \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^{p-1} \|v\|_\varepsilon + C \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^{q_1-1} \|v\|_\varepsilon + C \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^{q_2-1} \|v\|_\varepsilon \leq C.$$

(ii) Assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in \mathcal{W}_ε and take $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^{p-2} (u_n(x) - u_n(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n v dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u_n(y))}{|x - y|^\mu} f(u_n(x)) v(x) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

The weak convergence gives that

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^{p-2} (u_n(x) - u_n(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ &\quad \rightarrow \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n v dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p-2} u v dx.$$

Now, the growth conditions on f and the boundedness of (u_n) in \mathcal{W}_ε imply that $F(u_n)$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and the continuity of F gives that $F(u_n) \rightarrow F(u)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Therefore, $F(u_n) \rightharpoonup F(u)$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using Theorem 1.2, we know that the convolution term

$$\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * w(x) \in L^{\frac{2N}{\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \forall w \in L^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and it is a linear bounded operator from $L^{\frac{2N}{2N-\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $L^{\frac{2N}{\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Accordingly,

$$\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u_n) \rightharpoonup \frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \text{ in } L^{\frac{2N}{\mu}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Since f has subcritical growth, we know that $f(u_n) \rightarrow f(u)$ in $L^r_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $r \in [1, \frac{p_s^*}{q_2-1})$. Therefore, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u_n) \right) f(u_n) v \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \right) f(u) v.$$

In conclusion, $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), v \rangle$ for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and using the density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in \mathcal{W}_ε we get the thesis.

(iii) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|u\|_\varepsilon = 1$ for each $u \in K$. For $u_n \in K$, after passing to a subsequence, we obtain that $u_n \rightarrow u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$. Then, using (f_2) and Fatou's Lemma we can see that

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t_n u_n) \leq C t_n^p - C t_n^\theta \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where we used that $\theta > p$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3, for $\varepsilon > 0$ we have:*

- (i) *for all $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$, there exists a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. Moreover, $m_\varepsilon(u) = t_u u$ is the unique maximum of \mathcal{J}_ε on \mathcal{W}_ε , where $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon = \{u \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon : \|u\|_\varepsilon = 1\}$.*
- (ii) *The set \mathcal{N}_ε is bounded away from 0. Furthermore \mathcal{N}_ε is closed in \mathcal{W}_ε .*
- (iii) *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $t_u \geq \alpha$ for each $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ and, for each compact subset $W \subset \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$, there exists $C_W > 0$ such that $t_u \leq C_W$ for all $u \in W$.*
- (iv) *For each $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, $m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u) = \frac{u}{\|u\|_\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. In particular, \mathcal{N}_ε is a regular manifold diffeomorphic to the sphere in \mathcal{W}_ε .*
- (v) *$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon \geq \rho > 0$ and \mathcal{J}_ε is bounded below on \mathcal{N}_ε , where ρ is independent of ε .*

Proof. (i) For each $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ and $t > 0$, we define $h(t) = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(tu)$. From the proof of the Lemma 3.1 we know that $h(0) = 0$, $h(t) < 0$ for t large and $h(t) > 0$ for t small. Therefore, $\max_{t \geq 0} h(t)$ is achieved at some $t = t_u > 0$ satisfying $h'(t_u) = 0$ and $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. Now, we note that $tu \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ if and only if

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(tu(y))}{t^{\frac{p}{2}}|x-y|^\mu} \frac{f(tu(x))}{t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}} u(x) dx dy. \quad (3.8)$$

Using (f_2) and (f_3) , we can see that the functions

$$t \mapsto \frac{F(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}}$$

are increasing for $t > 0$, so the right hand side in (3.8) is an increasing function of t . Then, it is easy to verify the uniqueness of a such t_u .

(ii) Using Theorem 1.2 and (f_1) , we can see that for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon^p \leq C(\|u\|_\varepsilon^{2q_1} + \|u\|_\varepsilon^{2q_2})$$

so there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa. \quad (3.9)$$

Now we prove that the set \mathcal{N}_ε is closed in \mathcal{W}_ε . Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in \mathcal{W}_ε . In view of Lemma 3.3 we know that $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n)$ is bounded, so we can deduce that

$$\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle - \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle + \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

that is $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = 0$. This combined with $\|u\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa$ implies that

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa > 0,$$

that is $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$.

(iii) For each $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ there exists $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. From the proof of (ii), we can see that

$$t_u = \|t_u u\|_\varepsilon \geq \kappa.$$

Now we prove that $t_u \leq C_W$ for all $u \in W \subset \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$. Assume by contradiction that there exists $(u_n) \subset W \subset \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ such that $t_{u_n} \rightarrow \infty$. Since W is compact, there is $u \in W$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in \mathcal{W}_ε and $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Using Lemma 3.3-(iii), we can infer that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t_{u_n} u_n) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which gives a contradiction because (f_2) implies that

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u)|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(u) \left[\frac{1}{p} f(u) u - \frac{1}{2} F(u) \right] dx \geq 0.$$

(iv) Let us define the maps $\hat{m}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ and $m_\varepsilon : \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ by

$$\hat{m}_\varepsilon(u) = t_u u \quad \text{and} \quad m_\varepsilon = \hat{m}_\varepsilon|_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon}. \quad (3.10)$$

In the light of (i)-(iii), we can apply Proposition 8 in [50] to deduce that m_ε is a homeomorphism between \mathbb{S}_ε and \mathcal{N}_ε and the inverse of m_ε is given by $m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u) = \frac{u}{\|u\|_\varepsilon}$. Therefore \mathcal{N}_ε is a regular manifold diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}_ε .

(v) For $\varepsilon > 0$, $t > 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$, we can argue as in Lemma 3.1 to see that

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(tu) \geq \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|_\varepsilon^p - C(t^{2q_1} \|u\|_\varepsilon^{2q_1} + t^{q_2} \|u\|_\varepsilon^{2q_2}).$$

Hence, we can find $\rho > 0$ such that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(tu) \geq \rho > 0$ for $t > 0$ small enough. On the other hand, using (i)-(iii), we know (see [50]) that

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(tu) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(tu) \quad (3.11)$$

which yields $c_\varepsilon \geq \rho$ and $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \geq \rho$. \square

Now we introduce the functionals $\hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\Psi_\varepsilon : \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\hat{m}_\varepsilon(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_\varepsilon = \hat{\Psi}_\varepsilon|_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon},$$

where $\hat{m}_\varepsilon(u) = t_u u$ is given in (3.10). As in [50] we have the following result:

Lemma 3.5. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3, we have that for $\varepsilon > 0$:*

(i) $\Psi_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\Psi'_\varepsilon(w)v = \|m_\varepsilon(w)\|_\varepsilon \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(m_\varepsilon(w))v \quad \text{for } v \in T_w(\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon).$$

(ii) (w_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence for Ψ_ε if and only if $(m_\varepsilon(w_n))$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathcal{J}_ε . If $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for \mathcal{J}_ε , then $(m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u_n))$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for Ψ_ε .

(iii) $u \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ is a critical point of Ψ_ε if and only if $m_\varepsilon(u)$ is a critical point of \mathcal{J}_ε . Moreover the corresponding critical values coincide and

$$\inf_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} \Psi_\varepsilon = \inf_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon = c_\varepsilon.$$

Using a variant of the Mountain Pass Theorem without Palais-Smale condition [52], we know that there exists a Palais-Smale sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ at the level c_ε such that

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c_\varepsilon \text{ and } \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and (u_n) be a Palais-Smale sequence of \mathcal{J}_ε at level c . Then (u_n) is bounded in \mathcal{W}_ε .*

Proof. Using assumption (f_2) (which implies that $K(u_n) \geq 0$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} c + o_n(1)\|u_n\|_\varepsilon &= \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^p + \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(u_n) \left(f(u_n)u_n - \frac{\theta}{2} F(u_n) \right) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|_\varepsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

and being $\theta > p$ we get the thesis. \square

4. THE LIMIT PROBLEM

In this section we deal with the autonomous problem associated with (1.3), that is

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s u + \mu|u|^{p-2}u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(u) \right) f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (P_\mu)$$

where $\mu > 0$. The corresponding functional is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_\mu(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_\mu^p - \Sigma(u)$$

which is well defined on the space $\mathbb{X}_\mu = W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_\mu^p := [u]_{s,p}^p + \mu|u|_p^p.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{I}_\mu \in C^1(\mathbb{X}_\mu, \mathbb{R})$ and its differential \mathcal{I}'_μ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{I}'_\mu(u), \varphi \rangle &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) dx dy \\ &\quad + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u(y))}{|x - y|^\mu} f(u(x)) v(x) dx dy \end{aligned}$$

for any $u, \varphi \in \mathbb{X}_\mu$. Let us define the Nehari manifold associated with \mathcal{I}_μ , that is

$$\mathcal{M}_\mu = \{u \in \mathbb{X}_\mu \setminus \{0\} : \langle \mathcal{I}'_\mu(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Arguing as in Section 3 we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3, for $\mu > 0$ we have:*

- (i) *for all $u \in \mathbb{S}_\mu$, there exists a unique $t_u > 0$ such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$. Moreover, $m_\mu(u) = t_u u$ is the unique maximum of \mathcal{I}_μ on \mathcal{W}_ε , where $\mathbb{S}_\mu = \{u \in \mathbb{X}_\mu : \|u\|_\mu = 1\}$.*
- (ii) *The set \mathcal{M}_μ is bounded away from 0. Furthermore \mathbb{X}_μ is closed in \mathbb{X} .*
- (iii) *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $t_u \geq \alpha$ for each $u \in \mathbb{S}_\mu$ and, for each compact subset $W \subset \mathbb{S}_\mu$, there exists $C_W > 0$ such that $t_u \leq C_W$ for all $u \in W$.*
- (iv) *\mathcal{M}_μ is a regular manifold diffeomorphic to the sphere in \mathbb{X}_μ .*
- (v) *$d_\mu = \inf_{\mathcal{M}_\mu} \mathcal{I}_\mu > 0$ and \mathcal{I}_μ is bounded below on \mathcal{M}_μ by some positive constant.*
- (vi) *\mathcal{I}_μ is coercive on \mathcal{M}_μ .*

Now we define the following functionals $\hat{\Psi}_\mu : \mathbb{X}_\mu \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\Psi_\mu : \mathbb{S}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\hat{\Psi}_\mu = \mathcal{I}_\mu(\hat{m}_\mu(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_\mu = \hat{\Psi}_\mu|_{\mathbb{S}_\mu}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.3, we have that for $\mu > 0$:*

- (i) $\Psi_\mu \in C^1(\mathbb{S}_\mu, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\Psi'_\mu(w)v = \|m_\mu(w)\|_\mu \mathcal{I}'_\mu(m_\mu(w))v \quad \text{for } v \in T_w(\mathbb{S}_\mu).$$

- (ii) *(w_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence for Ψ_μ if and only if $(m_\mu(w_n))$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathcal{I}_μ . If $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\mu$ is a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for \mathcal{I}_μ , then $(m_\mu^{-1}(u_n))$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for Ψ_μ .*
- (iii) *$u \in \mathbb{S}_\mu$ is a critical point of Ψ_μ if and only if $m_\mu(u)$ is a critical point of \mathcal{I}_μ . Moreover the corresponding critical values coincide and*

$$\inf_{\mathbb{S}_\mu} \Psi_\mu = \inf_{\mathcal{M}_\mu} \mathcal{I}_\mu = d_\mu.$$

Remark 4.1. *As in (3.11), from (i)-(iii) of Lemma 4.1, we can see that d_μ admits the following minimax characterization*

$$d_\mu = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu} \mathcal{I}_\mu(u) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{X}_\mu \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\mu(tu) = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}_\mu} \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\mu(tu). \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_\mu$ be a minimizing sequence for \mathcal{I}_μ . Then, (u_n) is bounded and there exist a sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and constants $R, \beta > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y_n)} |u_n|^p dx \geq \beta > 0.$$

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.6, we can see that (u_n) is bounded in \mathbb{X}_μ . Now, assume by contradiction that for any $R > 0$ it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y)} |u_n|^p dx = 0.$$

Since (u_n) is bounded in \mathbb{X}_μ , we can apply Lemma 2.1 to see that

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for any } t \in (p, p_s^*). \quad (4.2)$$

Since $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\mu(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$ we get

$$\|u_n\|_\mu^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(u_n) f(u_n) u_n dx.$$

Taking into account (f_1) , Lemma 3.2, (4.2) and the fact that (u_n) is bounded in \mathbb{X}_μ , we have

$$0 \leq \|u_n\|_\mu^p \leq C(|u_n|_{q_1}^{q_1} + |u_n|_{q_2}^{q_2}) \rightarrow 0$$

from which we deduce that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{X}_μ . \square

Let us conclude this section proving the following existence result for (P_μ) .

Lemma 4.4. *For all $\mu > 0$, problem (P_μ) has at least one positive ground state solution.*

Proof. From (v) of Lemma 4.1, we know that $d_\mu > 0$ for each $\mu > 0$. Moreover, if $u \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ verifies $\mathcal{I}_\mu(u) = d_\mu$, then $m_\mu^{-1}(u)$ is a minimizer of Ψ_μ and it is a critical point of Ψ_μ . In view of Lemma 4.2, we can see that u is a critical point of \mathcal{I}_μ . Now we show that there exists a minimizer of $\mathcal{I}_\mu|_{\mathcal{M}_\mu}$. Applying Ekeland's variational principle there exists a sequence $(\nu_n) \subset \mathbb{S}_\mu$ such that $\Psi_\mu(\nu_n) \rightarrow d_\mu$ and $\Psi'_\mu(\nu_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u_n = m_\mu(\nu_n) \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$. Then, thanks to Lemma 4.2, $\mathcal{I}_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow c_\mu$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing as in Lemma 3.6, (u_n) is bounded in \mathbb{X}_μ and $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in \mathbb{X}_μ . From Lemma 4.3, we can find $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $R, \beta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y_n)} |u_n|^p dx \geq \beta > 0.$$

Set $v_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n)$. Then $\int_{\mathcal{B}_R(0)} |v_n|^p dx \geq \frac{\beta}{2}$. Since \mathcal{I}_μ and \mathcal{I}'_μ are invariant by translation, it holds that $\mathcal{I}_\mu(v_n) \rightarrow d_\mu$ and $\mathcal{I}'_\mu(v_n) \rightarrow 0$. Observing that (v_n) is bounded in \mathbb{X}_μ , we may assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in \mathbb{X}_μ , for some $v \neq 0$. Arguing as in (ii) of Lemma 3.3, we can deduce that $\mathcal{I}'_\mu(v) = 0$. Since $v \neq 0$, we can deduce that $v \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$. Hence, $\mathcal{I}_\mu(v) \geq c_\mu$ and using Fatou's Lemma we can conclude that $\mathcal{I}_\mu(v) = d_\mu$. Now, recalling that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$ and $|x - y|^{q-2}(x - y)(x^- - y^-) \geq |x^- - y^-|^q$ for all $q \geq 1$, we can deduce that $\langle \mathcal{I}'_\mu(v), v^- \rangle = 0$ implies that $v \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Arguing as in Lemma 6.5, we can obtain that $v \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and applying Corollary 5.5 in [25] we have $v \in C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using the maximum principle in [15] we can conclude that $u > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . \square

5. EXISTENCE OF A GROUND STATE SOLUTION

In this section we focus on the existence of a solution to (1.3) provided that ε is sufficiently small. Firstly, we can note that arguing as in Lemma 4.3 we have the following result:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ be a sequence for \mathcal{J}_ε such that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in \mathcal{W}_ε . Then, one of the following alternatives occurs*

- (a) $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{W}_ε ;
- (b) there are a sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and constants $R, \beta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y_n)} |u_n|^p dx \geq \beta > 0.$$

Proof. Assume that (b) does not hold true. Then, for any $R > 0$ it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y)} |u_n|^p dx = 0.$$

Since (u_n) is bounded in \mathcal{W}_ε , from Lemma 2.1 it follows that

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ for any } r \in (p, p_s^*). \quad (5.1)$$

Then we can proceed as in Lemma 4.3 to get the thesis. \square

In order to get a compactness result for \mathcal{J}_ε , we need to prove the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $V_\infty < \infty$ and let $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ be a sequence such that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(v_n) \rightarrow d$ with $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{W}_ε . If $v_n \not\rightharpoonup 0$ in \mathcal{W}_ε , then $d \geq d_{V_\infty}$.*

Proof. Let $(t_n) \subset (0, +\infty)$ be such that $(t_n v_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$.

CLAIM 1: We aim to prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \leq 1.$$

Assume by contradiction that there exist $\delta > 0$ and a subsequence, still denoted by (t_n) , such that

$$t_n \geq 1 + \delta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.2)$$

Since $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle = 0$, we have

$$[v_n]_{s,p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(v_n(y))f(v_n(x))v_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu}. \quad (5.3)$$

On the other hand, $t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{V_\infty}$, so we get

$$t_n^p [v_n]_{s,p}^p + t_n^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty |v_n|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(t_n v_n(y))f(t_n v_n(x))t_n v_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu}. \quad (5.4)$$

Putting together (5.3) and (5.4) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_\infty - V(\varepsilon x)) |v_n|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{F(t_n v_n(y))f(t_n v_n(x))t_n v_n(x)}{t_n^{p-1} |x-y|^\mu} - \frac{F(v_n(y))f(v_n(x))v_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} \right].$$

By assumption (V) we can see that, given $\zeta > 0$ there exists $R = R(\zeta) > 0$ such that

$$V(\varepsilon x) \geq V_\infty - \zeta \quad \text{for any } |x| \geq R. \quad (5.5)$$

Now, taking into account the fact that $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathcal{B}_R(0))$ and the boundedness of (v_n) in \mathcal{W}_ε , we can infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_\infty - V(\varepsilon x)) |v_n|^p dx &= \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(0)} (V_\infty - V(\varepsilon x)) |v_n|^p dx + \int_{\mathcal{B}_R^c(0)} (V_\infty - V(\varepsilon x)) |v_n|^p dx \\ &\leq V_\infty \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(0)} |v_n|^p dx + \zeta \int_{\mathcal{B}_R^c(0)} |v_n|^p dx \\ &\leq o_n(1) + \frac{\zeta}{V_0} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R^c(0)} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^p dx \\ &\leq o_n(1) + \frac{\zeta}{V_0} \|v_n\|_\varepsilon^p \leq o_n(1) + \zeta C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{F(t_n v_n(y))f(t_n v_n(x))t_n v_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} - \frac{F(v_n(y))f(v_n(x))v_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} \right] \leq \zeta C + o_n(1). \quad (5.6)$$

Since $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$ in \mathcal{W}_ε , we can apply Lemma 5.1 to deduce the existence of a sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, and the existence of two positive numbers \bar{R}, β such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_{\bar{R}}(y_n)} |v_n|^p dx \geq \beta > 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Let us consider $\bar{v}_n = v_n(x + y_n)$. Taking into account that $V_0 < V(\varepsilon x)$ and the boundedness of (v_n) in \mathcal{W}_ε , we can see that (\bar{v}_n) is bounded in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then we may assume that $\bar{v}_n \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (5.7) there exists $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with positive measure and such that $\bar{v} > 0$ in Ω . Using (5.2), (5.6), and the facts $\frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}}$ and $\frac{F(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}}}$ are increasing for $t > 0$ in view of (f_2) and (f_3) , we can

infer

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v_n(x)|^{\frac{p}{2}} |v_n(y)|^{\frac{p}{2}}}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \left[\frac{F((1+\delta)v_n(y))f((1+\delta)v_n(x))(1+\delta)v_n(x)}{(1+\delta)^{\frac{p}{2}}|v_n(x)|^{\frac{p}{2}}(1+\delta)^{\frac{p}{2}}|v_n(y)|^{\frac{p}{2}}} - \frac{F(v_n(y))f(v_n(x))v_n(x)}{|v_n(y)|^{\frac{p}{2}}|v_n(x)|^{\frac{p}{2}}} \right] \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{F((1+\delta)v_n(y))f((1+\delta)v_n(x))(1+\delta)v_n(x)}{(1+\delta)^p|x-y|^{\mu}} - \frac{F(v_n(y))f(v_n(x))v_n(x)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \right] \\
&\leq \zeta C + o_n(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and applying Fatou's Lemma we obtain

$$0 < \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{F((1+\delta)v(y))f((1+\delta)v(x))(1+\delta)v(x)}{(1+\delta)^p|x-y|^{\mu}} - \frac{F(v(y))f(v(x))v(x)}{|x-y|^{\mu}} \right] \leq \zeta C$$

for any $\zeta > 0$, and this is a contradiction.

Now, we distinguish the following cases:

CASE 1: Assume that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 1$. Then there exists (t_n) such that $t_n \rightarrow 1$. Using $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(v_n) \rightarrow d$ and $(t_n v_n) \subset \mathcal{M}_{V_{\infty}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d + o_n(1) &= \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(v_n) \\
&= \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(v_n) - \mathcal{I}_{V_{\infty}}(t_n v_n) + \mathcal{I}_{V_{\infty}}(t_n v_n) \\
&\geq \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(v_n) - \mathcal{I}_{V_{\infty}}(t_n v_n) + d_{V_{\infty}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Now, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(v_n) - \mathcal{I}_{V_{\infty}}(t_n v_n) \\
&= \frac{(1-t_n^p)}{p} [v_n]_{s,p}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_{\infty}) |v_n|^p dx + \Sigma(t_n v_n) - \Sigma(v_n).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Taking into account assumption (V), $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(\mathcal{B}_R(0))$, $t_n \rightarrow 1$, (5.5), and

$$V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_{\infty} = (V(\varepsilon x) - V_{\infty}) + (1-t_n^p)V_{\infty} \geq -\zeta + (1-t_n^p)V_{\infty} \quad \forall |x| \geq R$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_{\infty}) |v_n|^p dx \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(0)} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_{\infty}) |v_n|^p dx + \int_{\mathcal{B}_R^c(0)} (V(\varepsilon x) - t_n^p V_{\infty}) |v_n|^p dx \\
&\geq (V_0 - t_n^p V_{\infty}) \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(0)} |v_n|^p dx - \zeta \int_{\mathcal{B}_R^c(0)} |v_n|^p dx + V_{\infty}(1-t_n^p) \int_{\mathcal{B}_R^c(0)} |v_n|^p dx \\
&\geq o_n(1) - \zeta C,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Since (v_n) is bounded in $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon}$ and $t_n \rightarrow 1$, we can conclude that

$$(1-t_n^p)[v_n]_{s,p}^p = o_n(1). \tag{5.11}$$

Putting together (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11), we get

$$\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(v_n) - \mathcal{I}_{V_{\infty}}(t_n v_n) = \Sigma(t_n v_n) - \Sigma(v_n) + o_n(1) - \zeta C. \tag{5.12}$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 3.2, (f_1) and $t_n \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$\Sigma(t_n v_n) - \Sigma(v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(t_n v_n) (F(t_n v_n) - F(v_n)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(v_n) (F(t_n v_n) - F(v_n)) = o_n(1). \tag{5.13}$$

Hence, taking into account (5.8), (5.12) and (5.13), we can infer that

$$d + o_n(1) \geq o_n(1) - \zeta C + d_{V_{\infty}},$$

and taking the limit as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ we have $d \geq d_{V_\infty}$.

CASE 2: Suppose that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t_0 < 1$. Then we can extract a subsequence, still denoted by (t_n) , such that $t_n \rightarrow t_0 < 1$ and $t_n < 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\langle \mathcal{J}'_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n), t_n v_n \rangle = 0$ and $\mathcal{I}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) \geq d_{V_\infty}$, and using the fact that $t \mapsto \frac{1}{p}f(t)t - \frac{1}{2}F(t)$ is increasing for $t > 0$ by (f_2) and (f_3) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{V_\infty} &\leq \mathcal{I}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle \mathcal{I}'_{V_\infty}(t_n v_n), t_n v_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(t_n v_n(y))f(t_n v_n(x))t_n v_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(t_n v_n(y))F(t_n v_n(x))}{|x-y|^\mu} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(v_n(y))f(v_n(x))v_n(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(v_n(y))F(v_n(x))}{|x-y|^\mu} \\ &= \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(v_n) - \frac{1}{p} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle \\ &= d + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Letting the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we can infer that $d \geq d_{V_\infty}$. \square

In view of the previous lemma, we can show that the Palais-Smale condition holds in a suitable sublevel, related to the ground energy at infinity.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ be such that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c$, where $c < d_{V_\infty}$ if $V_\infty < \infty$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if $V_\infty = \infty$. Then (u_n) has a convergent subsequence in \mathcal{W}_ε .*

Proof. Arguing as in Lemma 3.6 we can see that (u_n) is bounded in \mathcal{W}_ε . Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{for any } q \in [1, p_s^*), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Using (f_1) , (5.14) and the fact that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it is standard to check that $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$. Now, let $v_n = u_n - u$. In view of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(v_n) &= \frac{\|u_n\|_\varepsilon^p}{p} - \frac{\|u\|_\varepsilon^p}{p} - \Sigma(u_n) + \Sigma(u) + o_n(1) \\ &= \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n) - \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) + o_n(1) \\ &= c - \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) + o_n(1) =: d + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Moreover, we can prove that $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(v_n) = o_n(1)$. Indeed, applying Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.3 in [36] with $z_n = v_n$ and $w = u$ we get

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |\mathcal{A}(u_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n) - \mathcal{A}(u)|^{p'} dx = o_n(1), \tag{5.16}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^{p-2} v_n - |u_n|^{p-2} u_n + |u|^{p-2} u |v_n|^{p'} dx = o_n(1). \tag{5.17}$$

From the Hölder inequality, we have for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ such that $\|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(v_n) - \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n) + \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), \varphi \rangle| \\ & \leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} |\mathcal{A}(u_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n) - \mathcal{A}(u)|^{p'} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} [\varphi]_{s,p} \\ & + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_n|^{p-2} v_n - |u_n|^{p-2} u_n + |u|^{p-2} u |^{p'} dx \right)^{p'} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |\varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & + |\langle \Sigma'(v_n) - \Sigma'(u_n) + \Sigma'(u), \varphi \rangle|, \end{aligned}$$

and in view of Lemma 2.5, (5.16), (5.17), $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n) = 0$ and $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$ we obtain that $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n), \varphi \rangle = o_n(1)$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ such that $\|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \leq 1$.

On the other hand, using (f₂), we can see that

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{p} \langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u(y))f(u(x))u(x)}{|x-y|^\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(u(y))F(u(x))}{|x-y|^\mu} \geq 0. \quad (5.18)$$

Now, suppose that $V_\infty < \infty$. From (5.15) and (5.18) it follows that

$$d \leq c < d_{V_\infty}$$

which together with Lemma 5.2 implies that $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{W}_ε , that is $u_n \rightarrow u$ in \mathcal{W}_ε .

Finally, we deal with the case $V_\infty = \infty$. Then, by Theorem 2.3, we deduce that $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $r \in [p, p_s^*)$. This fact combined with (f₁) and Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(v_n) f(v_n) v_n dx = o_n(1). \quad (5.19)$$

In view of $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle = o_n(1)$ and (5.19) we can infer that

$$\|v_n\|_\varepsilon^p = o_n(1),$$

which gives $u_n \rightarrow u$ in \mathcal{W}_ε . □

Now, we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that (V) and (f₁)-(f₃) hold. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that problem (1.3) admits a ground state solution for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$.*

Proof. From (v) of Lemma 3.4, we know that $c_\varepsilon \geq \rho > 0$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, if $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ verifies $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = c_\varepsilon$, then $m_\varepsilon^{-1}(u)$ is a minimizer of Ψ_ε and it is a critical point of Ψ_ε . In view of Lemma 3.5 we can see that u is a critical point of \mathcal{J}_ε .

Now we show that there exists a minimizer of $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon}$. Applying Ekeland's variational principle there exists a sequence $(v_n) \subset \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ such that $\Psi_\varepsilon(v_n) \rightarrow c_\varepsilon$ and $\Psi'_\varepsilon(v_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u_n = m_\varepsilon(v_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. Then, from Lemma 3.5 we deduce that $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c_\varepsilon$, $\langle \mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$ and $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, (u_n) is a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathcal{J}_ε at level c_ε . It is standard to check that (u_n) is bounded in \mathcal{W}_ε and we denote by u its weak limit. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$. Let us consider $V_\infty = \infty$. Using Lemma 2.3, we have $\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) = c_\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{J}'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$.

Now, we deal with the case $V_\infty < \infty$. In view of Proposition 5.1 it is enough to show that $c_\varepsilon < d_{V_\infty}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that

$$V(0) = V_0 = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x).$$

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\mu \in (V_0, V_\infty)$. Then we can see that $d_{V_0} < d_\mu < d_{V_\infty}$. Let $\eta_r \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a cut-off function such that $\eta_r = 1$ in $\mathcal{B}_r(0)$ and $\eta_r = 0$ in $\mathcal{B}_{2r}^c(0)$. Let us define $w_r(x) := \eta_r(x)w(x)$,

where $w \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive ground state to autonomous problem (P_μ) , which there exists by Lemma 4.4. Take $t_r > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}_\mu(t_r w_r) = \max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\mu(t w_r).$$

Our next claim consists in finding r sufficiently large such that $\mathcal{I}_\mu(t_r w_r) < d_{V_\infty}$.

Assume by contradiction $\mathcal{I}_\mu(t_r w_r) \geq d_{V_\infty}$ for any $r > 0$. Taking into account $w_r \rightarrow w$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in view of Lemma 2.2, $t_r w_r$ and w belong to \mathcal{M}_μ and using assumption (f_3) , we have $t_r \rightarrow 1$, and

$$d_{V_\infty} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_\mu(t_r w_r) = \mathcal{I}_\mu(w) = d_\mu$$

which is impossible since $d_{V_\infty} > d_\mu$. Hence, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{I}_\mu(t_r w_r) = \max_{\tau \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\mu(\tau(t_r w_r)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_\mu(t_r w_r) < d_{V_\infty}. \quad (5.20)$$

Now, condition (V) implies that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$V(\varepsilon x) \leq \mu \text{ for all } x \in \text{supp}(w_r), \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0). \quad (5.21)$$

Therefore, by (5.20) and (5.21), we deduce that

$$c_\varepsilon \leq \max_{\tau \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\tau(t_r w_r)) \leq \max_{\tau \geq 0} \mathcal{I}_\mu(\tau(t_r w_r)) = \mathcal{I}_\mu(t_r w_r) < d_{V_\infty}$$

which implies that $c_\varepsilon < d_{V_\infty}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this last section we investigate the multiplicity of solutions to (1.1). First of all, we need to introduce some useful tools.

Fix $\delta > 0$, and let w be a ground state solution for (P_{V_0}) (whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 4.4). Let η be a smooth nonincreasing cut-off function defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\eta(t) = 1$ if $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\eta(t) = 0$ if $t \geq \delta$.

For any $y \in M$, we define

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,y}(x) = \eta(|\varepsilon x - y|)w\left(\frac{\varepsilon x - y}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

and we denote by $t_\varepsilon > 0$ the unique positive number such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t \Psi_{\varepsilon,y}) = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon \Psi_{\varepsilon,y}).$$

Finally, we consider $\Phi_\varepsilon : M \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ defined as $\Phi_\varepsilon(y) = t_\varepsilon \Psi_{\varepsilon,y}$.

Lemma 6.1. *The functional Φ_ε satisfies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = d_{V_0} \text{ uniformly in } y \in M.$$

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exist $\delta_0 > 0$, $(y_n) \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$|\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - d_{V_0}| \geq \delta_0. \quad (6.1)$$

Let us note that using the change of variable $z = \frac{\varepsilon_n x - y_n}{\varepsilon_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) &= \frac{t_{\varepsilon_n}^p}{p} [\eta(|\varepsilon_n \cdot|)w]_{s,p}^p + \frac{t_{\varepsilon_n}^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) (\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)w(z))^p dz \\ &\quad - \Sigma(t_{\varepsilon_n} \eta(|\varepsilon_n \cdot|)w). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

In view of the Dominated Convergence Theorem and Lemma 2.2, it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{\varepsilon_n} = \|w\|_{V_0} \in (0, \infty)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma(\Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) = \Sigma(w).$$

Since $t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, we can see that

$$t_{\varepsilon_n}^p \|\Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{\varepsilon_n}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}}{|x - y|^\mu},$$

so we can deduce that

$$\|w\|_{V_0}^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}}{t_{\varepsilon_n}^p |x - y|^\mu}. \quad (6.3)$$

Taking into account $\frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}}$ and $\frac{F(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}}}$ are increasing for $t > 0$, $\eta = 1$ in $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_n}}(0)$ for all n big enough, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) \Psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}}{t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p-1} |x - y|^\mu} \geq |\mathcal{B}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)| \frac{F(t_{\varepsilon_n} w(\bar{z}))}{(t_{\varepsilon_n} w(\bar{z}))^{\frac{p}{2}}} \frac{f(t_{\varepsilon_n} w(\bar{z}))}{(t_{\varepsilon_n} w(\bar{z}))^{\frac{p}{2}-1}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)} w(z)^p \quad (6.4)$$

where $w(\bar{z}) = \min_{z \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(0)}} w(z) > 0$ (we recall that $w \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $w > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N by Lemma 4.4).

Hence, if $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \infty$, we can use (f_2) to see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}} = \infty$$

which together with (6.3) and (6.4) gives a contradiction. Then, there exists $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0$. In particular, from (f_1) and (6.3), we can see that $t_0 > 0$.

Recalling that w is a ground state to (P_{V_0}) and using the fact that the maps $\frac{f(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}}$ and $\frac{F(t)}{t^{\frac{p}{2}}}$ are increasing for $t > 0$, we can conclude that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow t_0 = 1$. Accordingly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma(t_{\varepsilon_n} \eta(|\varepsilon_n \cdot|)w) = \Sigma(w)$$

and passing to the limit in (6.2), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = \mathcal{I}_{V_0}(w) = d_{V_0},$$

which contradicts (6.1). □

For any $\delta > 0$, let $\rho = \rho(\delta) > 0$ be such that $M_\delta \subset \mathcal{B}_\rho(0)$. Let $\Upsilon : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be defined as $\Upsilon(x) = x$ for $|x| \leq \rho$ and $\Upsilon(x) = \frac{\rho x}{|x|}$ for $|x| \geq \rho$. Then, we consider the barycenter map $\beta_\varepsilon : \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ given by

$$\beta_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Upsilon(\varepsilon x) |u(x)|^p dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^p dx}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *The function β_ε verifies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y \text{ uniformly in } y \in M.$$

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that there exist $\delta_0 > 0$, $(y_n) \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| \geq \delta_0. \quad (6.5)$$

From the definitions of $\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)$, β_{ε_n} and η , we can see that

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) - y_n] |\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) w(z)|^p dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|) w(z)|^p dz}.$$

Recalling that $(y_n) \subset M \subset \mathcal{B}_\rho(0)$ and using the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| = o_n(1)$$

which contradicts (6.5). □

At this point, we prove the following compactness result which will be crucial in the sequel.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ be such that $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0}$. Then there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $v_n(x) = u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$ has a convergent subsequence in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$.*

Proof. Since $\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0}$, we can argue as in Lemma 3.6 to see that (u_n) is bounded in $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_n}$. Now, we show that there exist a sequence $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, and constants $R > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(\tilde{y}_n)} |u_n|^p dx \geq \beta > 0. \quad (6.6)$$

Suppose that condition (6.6) does not hold. Then, for all $R > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y)} |u_n|^p dx = 0.$$

Since we know that (u_n) is bounded in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can use Lemma 2.1 to deduce that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (p, p_s^*)$. Taking into account $\langle \mathcal{J}'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$ and applying Theorem 1.2 and (f_1) , we can infer that $\|u_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and this is a contradiction because of $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0} > 0$.

Now, we set $v_n(x) = u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$. Then, (v_n) is bounded in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and we may assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v \neq 0$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $t_n > 0$ such that $\tilde{v}_n = t_n v_n \in \mathcal{M}_{V_0}$. Since $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, we can see that

$$d_{V_0} \leq \mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n) = \mathcal{I}_{V_0}(t_n u_n) \leq \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n) \leq \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = d_{V_0} + o_n(1)$$

which gives $\mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0}$. From Ekeland's variational principle, we may assume that (\tilde{v}_n) is a bounded $(PS)_{d_{V_0}}$. In particular, we get $\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{v}$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\tilde{v} \neq 0$, and $\mathcal{I}'_{V_0}(\tilde{v}) = 0$.

Using Lemma 2.3 and 2.5, we can deduce that

$$\mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}) \rightarrow d_{V_0} - \mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}) \text{ and } \mathcal{I}'_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since Fatou's Lemma gives

$$\begin{aligned} d_{V_0} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{p} \langle \Sigma'(\tilde{v}_n), \tilde{v}_n \rangle - \Sigma(\tilde{v}_n) \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} \langle \Sigma'(\tilde{v}), \tilde{v} \rangle - \Sigma(\tilde{v}) \right) = \mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}) \geq d_{V_0}, \end{aligned}$$

we can infer that

$$\mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{I}'_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}\|_{V_0}^p \leq C \left[\mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle \mathcal{I}'_{V_0}(\tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v}), \tilde{v}_n - \tilde{v} \rangle \right] \rightarrow 0,$$

that is

$$\tilde{v}_n \rightarrow \tilde{v} \text{ in } W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (6.7)$$

This and the fact that $t_n \rightarrow t_0$, for some $t_0 > 0$, yield $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we set $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n$, and we aim to prove that (y_n) admits a subsequence, still denoted by y_n , such that $y_n \rightarrow y$, for some $y \in M$. Firstly, we show that (y_n) is bounded. We argue by

contradiction, and we assume that, up to a subsequence, $|y_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account (6.7) and $V_0 < V_\infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_{V_0} &= \mathcal{I}_{V_0}(\tilde{v}) < \mathcal{I}_{V_\infty}(\tilde{v}) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{p} [\tilde{v}_n]_{s,p}^p + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |\tilde{v}_n|^p - \Sigma(\tilde{v}_n) \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{t_n^p}{p} [u_n]_{s,p}^p + \frac{t_n^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n z) |u_n|^p - \Sigma(t_n u_n) \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(t_n u_n) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u_n) = d_{V_0} \end{aligned}$$

which gives an absurd. Therefore, (y_n) is bounded, and we may assume that $y_n \rightarrow y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Clearly, $y \in M$ otherwise we can argue as above to get a contradiction. \square

Now, we define a map $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ given by $h(\varepsilon) = \max_{y \in M} |\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) - d_{V_0}|$. By Lemma 6.1, we know that $h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$. Let us consider

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) \leq d_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)\},$$

and we note that $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ because $\Phi_\varepsilon(y) \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ for all $y \in M$. Moreover, we can see that

Lemma 6.4.

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon} \text{dist}(\beta_\varepsilon(u), M_\delta) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $u_n \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that

$$\sup_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}} \inf_{y \in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u) - y| = \inf_{y \in M_\delta} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - y| + o_n(1).$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that there exists $(y_n) \subset M_\delta$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) - y_n| = 0. \quad (6.8)$$

We note that $(u_n) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, from which we deduce that

$$d_{V_0} \leq c_{\varepsilon_n} \leq \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq d_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon_n).$$

This yields $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow d_{V_0}$. Using Lemma 6.3, there exists $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \in M_\delta$ for n sufficiently large. Setting $v_n = u_n(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n)$ and using a change of variable, we can see that

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = y_n + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\Upsilon(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) - y_n] |v_n|^p dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^p dx}.$$

Since $\varepsilon_n x + y_n \rightarrow y \in M$, we deduce that $\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = y_n + o_n(1)$, that is (6.8) holds. \square

6.1. Multiple solutions to (1.1). Now we show that (1.3) admits at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ positive solutions. In order to achieve our aim, we recall the following result for critical points involving Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category; see [35].

Theorem 6.1. *Let U be a $C^{1,1}$ complete Riemannian manifold (modeled on a Hilbert space). Assume that $h \in C^1(U, \mathbb{R})$ bounded from below and satisfies $-\infty < \inf_U h < d < k < \infty$. Moreover, suppose that h satisfies Palais-Smale condition on the sublevel $\{u \in U : h(u) \leq k\}$ and that d is not a critical level for h . Then*

$$\text{card}\{u \in h^d : \nabla h(u) = 0\} \geq \text{cat}_{h^d}(h^d).$$

Since \mathcal{N}_ε is not a C^1 submanifold of \mathcal{W}_ε , we cannot directly apply Theorem 6.1. However, in view of Lemma 3.4, we know that the mapping m_ε is a homeomorphism between \mathcal{N}_ε and \mathbb{S}_ε , and \mathbb{S}_ε is a C^1 submanifold of \mathcal{W}_ε . Therefore, we can apply Theorem 6.1 to $\Psi_\varepsilon(u) = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\hat{m}_\varepsilon(u))|_{\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon} = \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(m_\varepsilon(u))$, where Ψ_ε is given in Lemma 3.5.

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that (V) and (f_1) – (f_3) hold. Then, for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $\bar{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}_\delta)$, problem (1.3) has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ positive solutions.*

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $\alpha_\varepsilon : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ by $\alpha_\varepsilon(y) = m_\varepsilon^{-1}(\Phi_\varepsilon(y))$. Using Lemma 6.1 and the definition of Ψ_ε , we can see that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Psi_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(y)) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = d_{V_0} \quad \text{uniformly in } y \in M.$$

Thus, there exists $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon := \{w \in \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon : \Psi_\varepsilon(w) \leq d_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)\} \neq \emptyset$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \tilde{\varepsilon})$, where $h(\varepsilon) = |\Psi_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(y)) - d_{V_0}| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Putting together Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.4, we can find $\bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$ such that the following diagram

$$M \xrightarrow{\Phi_\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon^{-1}} \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{m_\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\beta_\varepsilon} M_\delta$$

is well defined for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$.

In view of Lemma 6.2, there exists a function $\theta(\varepsilon, y)$ with $|\theta(\varepsilon, y)| < \frac{\delta}{2}$ uniformly in $y \in M$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$ such that $\beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y + \theta(\varepsilon, y)$ for all $y \in M$. Then, we can see that $H(t, y) = y + (1 - t)\theta(\varepsilon, y)$ with $(t, y) \in [0, 1] \times M$ is a homotopy between $\beta_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon = (\beta_\varepsilon \circ m_\varepsilon) \circ \alpha_\varepsilon$ and the inclusion map $id : M \rightarrow M_\delta$. This fact implies that $\text{cat}_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon) \geq \text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$.

On the other hand, let us choose a function $h(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and such that $d_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)$ is not a critical level for \mathcal{J}_ε . For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we deduce from Proposition 5.1 that \mathcal{J}_ε satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$. Then, by (ii) of Lemma 3.5, we infer that Ψ_ε satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon$. Hence, by Theorem 6.1, we obtain that Ψ_ε has at least $\text{cat}_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon}(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon)$ critical points on $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_\varepsilon$. In the light of (iii) of Lemma 3.5, we can infer that \mathcal{J}_ε admits at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points. \square

6.2. Concentration of the maximum points. In what follows, we study the behavior of maximum points of solutions to (1.3). Firstly, we establish L^∞ -estimate using a variant of the Moser iteration argument [38].

Lemma 6.5. *Let v_n be a solution to*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s v_n + V_n(x)|v_n|^{p-2}v_n = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(v_n)\right) f(v_n) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ v_n \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad v_n > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (6.9)$$

where $V_n(x) = V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)$, and $\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$.

If $v_n \rightarrow v \neq 0$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|v_n|_\infty \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that $|v_n|_\infty \geq \sigma$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For any $L > 0$ and $\beta > 1$, let us consider the function

$$\gamma(v_n) = \gamma_{L,\beta}(v_n) = v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} \in \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$$

where $v_{L,n} = \min\{v_n, L\}$. Let us observe that, since γ is an increasing function, then it holds

$$(a - b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define the functions

$$\Lambda(t) = \frac{|t|^p}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(t) = \int_0^t (\gamma'(\tau))^{\frac{1}{p}} d\tau.$$

Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a > b$. Then, from the above definitions and applying Jensen's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda'(a-b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) &= (a-b)^{p-1}(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) = (a-b)^{p-1} \int_b^a \gamma'(t) dt \\ &= (a-b)^{p-1} \int_b^a (\Gamma'(t))^p dt \geq \left(\int_b^a (\Gamma'(t)) dt \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

In similar way, we can prove that the above inequality is true for any $a \leq b$. This means that

$$\Lambda'(a-b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) \geq |\Gamma(a) - \Gamma(b)|^p \text{ for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.10)$$

By (6.10),

$$|\Gamma(v_n)(x) - \Gamma(v_n)(y)|^p \leq |v_n(x) - v_n(y)|^{p-2} (v_n(x) - v_n(y)) ((v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(x) - (v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(y)). \quad (6.11)$$

Now, we take $\gamma(v_n) = v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)}$ as test function in (6.9). Then, in view of (6.11),

$$\begin{aligned} &[\Gamma(v_n)]_{s,p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x) |v_n|^p v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx \\ &\leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_n(x) - v_n(y)|^{p-2} (v_n(x) - v_n(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} ((v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(x) - (v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(y)) dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x) v_n^p v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(v_n) \right) f(v_n) v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Since $\Gamma(v_n) \geq \frac{1}{\beta} v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}$, and invoking Theorem 2.1, we get

$$[\Gamma(v_n)]_{s,p}^p \geq S_*^{-1} |\Gamma(v_n)|_{p_s^*}^p \geq \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right)^p S_*^{-1} |v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}|_{p_s^*}^p. \quad (6.13)$$

On the other hand, from the boundedness of (v_n) and Lemma 3.2, it follows that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |K(v_n)|_\infty \leq C_0. \quad (6.14)$$

From the growth assumptions on f , for any $\xi > 0$ there exists $C_\xi > 0$ such that

$$|f(v_n)| \leq \xi |v_n|^{p-1} + C_\xi |v_n|^{p_s^*-1}. \quad (6.15)$$

Choosing $\xi \in (0, V_0)$, and using (6.13), (6.14) and (6.15), we can see that (6.12) yields

$$|w_{L,n}|_{p_s^*}^p \leq C \beta^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p_s^*} v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx, \quad (6.16)$$

where $w_{L,n} := v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}$. Now, we take $\beta = \frac{p_s^*}{p}$ and fix $R > 0$. Observing that $0 \leq v_{L,n} \leq v_n$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{p_s^*} v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{p_s^*-p} v_n^p v_{L,n}^{p_s^*-p} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{p_s^*-p} (v_n v_{L,n}^{\frac{p_s^*-p}{p}})^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{v_n < R\}} R^{p_s^*-p} v_n^{p_s^*} dx + \int_{\{v_n > R\}} v_n^{p_s^*-p} (v_n v_{L,n}^{\frac{p_s^*-p}{p}})^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\{v_n < R\}} R^{p_s^*-p} v_n^{p_s^*} dx + \left(\int_{\{v_n > R\}} v_n^{p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p_s^*-p}{p_s^*}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_n v_{L,n}^{\frac{p_s^*-p}{p}})^{p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_s^*}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Since $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can see that for any R sufficiently large

$$\left(\int_{\{v_n > R\}} v_n^{p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p_s^*-p}{p_s^*}} \leq \frac{1}{2C\beta^p}. \quad (6.18)$$

Putting together (6.16), (6.17) and (6.18) we get

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (v_n v_{L,n}^{\frac{p_s^*-p}{p}})^{p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_s^*}} \leq C\beta^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R^{p_s^*-p} v_n^{p_s^*} dx < \infty$$

and taking the limit as $L \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $v_n \in L^{\frac{(p_s^*)^2}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now, using $0 \leq v_{L,n} \leq v_n$ and passing to the limit as $L \rightarrow \infty$ in (6.16), we have

$$|v_n|_{\beta p_s^*}^{\beta p} \leq C\beta^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{p_s^*+p(\beta-1)} dx,$$

from which we deduce that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{\beta p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\beta-1)p_s^*}} \leq (C\beta)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{p_s^*+p(\beta-1)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p(\beta-1)}}.$$

For $m \geq 1$ we define β_{m+1} inductively so that $p_s^* + p(\beta_{m+1} - 1) = p_s^* \beta_m$ and $\beta_1 = \frac{p_s^*}{p}$. Then

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{\beta_{m+1} p_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{(\beta_{m+1}-1)p_s^*}} \leq (C\beta_{m+1})^{\frac{1}{\beta_{m+1}-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{p_s^* \beta_m} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s^*(\beta_m-1)}}.$$

Let us define

$$D_m = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^{p_s^* \beta_m} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_s^*(\beta_m-1)}}.$$

Using an iteration argument, we can find $C_0 > 0$ independent of m such that

$$D_{m+1} \leq \prod_{k=1}^m (C\beta_{k+1})^{\frac{1}{\beta_{k+1}-1}} D_1 \leq C_0 D_1.$$

Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ we get $|v_n|_\infty \leq K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, from Corollary 5.5 in [25], we can deduce that $v_n \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\alpha > 0$ (independent of n) and $[v_n]_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$, with C independent of n . Since $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can infer that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v_n(x) = 0$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, using $v_n \rightarrow v \neq 0$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ again, it follows that there are (y_n) and $\beta, R > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y_n)} |v_n|^p dx \geq \beta.$$

If $|v_n|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, then we have

$$\beta \leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_R(y_n)} |v_n|^p dx \leq |\mathcal{B}_R(0)| |v_n|_\infty^p \rightarrow 0$$

which gives a contradiction. Hence, there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that $|v_n|_\infty \geq \sigma$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

At this point, we are able to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 as follows. Let u_{ε_n} be a solution to (1.3). Then $v_n = u_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n)$ solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s v_n + V_n(x) |v_n|^{p-2} v_n = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(v_n) \right) f(v_n) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ v_n \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad v_n > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where $V_n(x) = V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)$, and $(\tilde{y}_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is given by Lemma 6.3. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$ and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If p_n denotes a maximum point of v_n , then there exists $R > 0$ such that $|p_n| \leq R$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 6.5. Thereby the maximum point of u_{ε_n} is given by $z_{\varepsilon_n} = p_n + \tilde{y}_n$ and we get $\varepsilon_n z_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow y \in M$. From the continuity of V we deduce that $V(\varepsilon_n z_{\varepsilon_n}) \rightarrow V(y) = V_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, if u_ε is a solution to (1.3) then $w_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(x/\varepsilon)$ is a solution to (1.1). Hence, the maximum points η_ε and z_ε of w_ε and u_ε , respectively, satisfy $\eta_\varepsilon = \varepsilon z_\varepsilon$ from which we can infer that $V(\eta_{\varepsilon_n}) \rightarrow V_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, we give an estimate on the decay properties of solutions w_n of (1.1). For this aim, using Lemma 7.1 in [16], we can find a positive function ω and a constant $C > 0$ such that for large $|x| > R_0$ it holds that $\omega(x) \leq \frac{C}{1+|x|^{N+sp}}$ and $(-\Delta)_p^s \omega + \frac{V_0}{2} \omega^{p-1} \geq 0$. From Lemma 6.5, (6.14) and (6.15) we obtain for some large $R_1 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)_p^s v_n + \frac{V_0}{2} v_n^{p-1} &= \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(v_n) \right) f(v_n) - \left(V_n - \frac{V_0}{2} \right) v_n^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\mu} * F(v_n) \right) f(v_n) - \frac{V_0}{2} v_n^{p-1} \\ &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } |x| > R_1. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the continuity of v_n and ω , there exists some constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $\psi_n := v_n - C_1 \omega \leq 0$ on $|x| = R_2$, where $R_2 = \max\{R_0, R_1\}$. Moreover, arguing as in Remark 3 in [10], we can prove that $(-\Delta)_p^s \psi_n + \frac{V_0}{2} \psi_n^{p-1} \leq 0$ holds for $|x| \geq R_2$. Thus, by the maximum principle [15], we infer that $\psi_n \leq 0$ for $|x| \geq R_2$, that is $v_n \leq C_1 \omega$ for $|x| \geq R_2$. Recalling that $w_n(x) = u_n(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n}) = v_n(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n} - \tilde{y}_n)$ we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} w_n(x) &= u_n \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n} \right) = v_n \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_n} - \tilde{y}_n \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{1 + \left| \frac{x}{\varepsilon_n} - \tilde{y}_n \right|^{N+sp}} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon_n}^{N+sp}}{\varepsilon_n^{N+sp} + |x - \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n|^{N+sp}} \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon_n}^{N+sp}}{\varepsilon_n^{N+sp} + |x - \eta_{\varepsilon_n}|^{N+sp}} \end{aligned}$$

which gives the required estimate.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Ackermann, *On a periodic Schrödinger equation with nonlocal superlinear part*, Math. Z. **248** (2004) 423–443.
- [2] C. O. Alves and O. H. Miyagaki, *Existence and concentration of solution for a class of fractional elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N via penalization method*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **55** (2016), no. 3, Art. 47, 19 pp.

- [3] C. A. Alves and M. Yang, *Multiplicity and concentration of solutions for a quasilinear Choquard equation*, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014), no. 6, 061502, 21 pp.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti P. H. and Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [5] V. Ambrosio, *Multiple solutions for a fractional p -Laplacian equation with sign-changing potential*, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., vol. 2016 (2016), no. 151, pp. 1–12.
- [6] V. Ambrosio, *Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of fractional Schrödinger equations via penalization method*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **196** (2017), no. 6, 2043–2062.
- [7] V. Ambrosio, *Multiplicity and concentration results for a fractional Choquard equation via penalization method*, Potential Anal. **50** (2019), no. 1, 55–82.
- [8] V. Ambrosio, *A multiplicity result for a fractional p -Laplacian problem without growth conditions*, Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.) **9** (2018), no. 1, 53–71.
- [9] V. Ambrosio and H. Hajaiej, *Multiple solutions for a class of nonhomogeneous fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , J. Dynam. Differential Equations **30** (2018), no. 3, 1119–1143.
- [10] V. Ambrosio and T. Isernia, *Multiplicity and concentration results for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations with the fractional p -Laplacian*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **38** (2018), no. 11, 5835–5881.
- [11] P. Belchior, H. Bueno, O. H. Miyagaki and G. A. Pereira, *Remarks about a fractional Choquard equation: ground state, regularity and polynomial decay*, Nonlinear Analysis **164** (2017), 38–53.
- [12] V. Benci and G. Cerami, *Multiple positive solutions of some elliptic problems via the Morse theory and the domain topology*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **2** (1994), no. 1, 29–48.
- [13] H. Brézis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1983), no. 3, 486–490.
- [14] P. d’Avenia, G. Siciliano, and M. Squassina, *On fractional Choquard equations*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **25** (2015), no. 8, 1447–1476.
- [15] L.M. Del Pezzo and A. Quaas, *A Hopf’s lemma and a strong minimum principle for the fractional p -Laplacian*, J. Differential Equations, **263** (2017), no. 1, 765–778.
- [16] L. M. Del Pezzo and A. Quaas, *Spectrum of the fractional p -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N and decay estimate for positive solutions of a Schrödinger equation*, preprint arXiv:1812.00925.
- [17] A. Di Castro, T. Kuusi and G. Palatucci, *Local behavior of fractional p -minimizers*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **33** (2016), no. 5, 1279–1299.
- [18] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. math. **136** (2012), 521–573.
- [19] S. Dipierro, M. Medina and E. Valdinoci, *Fractional elliptic problems with critical growth in the whole of \mathbb{R}^n* , Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie) [Lecture Notes. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series)], 15. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2017. viii+152 pp.
- [20] P. Felmer, A. Quaas and J. Tan, *Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **142** (2012), 1237–1262.
- [21] A. Fiscella and P. Pucci, *p -fractional Kirchhoff equations involving critical nonlinearities*, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. **35** (2017), 350–378.
- [22] R.L. Frank and E. Lenzmann, *On ground states for the L^2 -critical boson star equation*, preprint arXiv:0910.2721.
- [23] G. Franzina and G. Palatucci, *Fractional p -eigenvalues*, Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.), **5** (2014), no. 2, 373–386.
- [24] F. Gao and M. Yang, *On nonlocal Choquard equations with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev critical exponents*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **448** (2) (2017) 1006–1041.
- [25] A. Iannizzotto, S. Mosconi and M. Squassina, *Global Hölder regularity for the fractional p -Laplacian*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., **32** (2016), 1353–1392.
- [26] T. Kuusi and G. Palatucci (Eds.), *Recent developments in Nonlocal Theory*, De Gruyter, Berlin/Boston, 2018, 454 pp.
- [27] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A **268** (2000), no. 4-6, 298–305.
- [28] S. Liang and V. Rădulescu, *Existence of infinitely many solutions for degenerate Kirchhoff-type Schrödinger-Choquard equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2017, Paper No. 230, 17 pp.
- [29] E. H. Lieb *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquards nonlinear equation*, Stud. Appl. Math. **57** (1977), 93–105.
- [30] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. xviii+278 pp.
- [31] E. Lindgren and P. Lindqvist, *Fractional eigenvalues*, Calc. Var. **49** (2014) 795–826.
- [32] P.L. Lions, *The Choquard equation and related questions*, Nonlinear Anal. **4** (1980), 1063–1072.
- [33] L. Ma and L. Zhao *Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, **195** (2010), 455–467.

- [34] J. Mawhin and G. Molica Bisci, *A Brezis-Nirenberg type result for a nonlocal fractional operator*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **95** (2017), no. 1, 73–93.
- [35] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [36] C. Mercuri and M. Willem, *A global compactness result for the p -Laplacian involving critical nonlinearities*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **28** (2010), 469–493.
- [37] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu and R. Servadei, *Variational Methods for Nonlocal Fractional Problems*, Cambridge University Press, **162** Cambridge, 2016.
- [38] J. Moser, *A new proof of De Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (1960), 457–468.
- [39] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 153–84.
- [40] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *Semi-classical states for the Choquard equation*, Calc. Var. PDE **52** (2015), 199–235.
- [41] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *A guide to the Choquard equation*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **19** (2017), no. 1, 773–813.
- [42] G. Palatucci, *The Dirichlet problem for the p -fractional Laplace equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **177** (2018), 699–732.
- [43] S. Pekar, *Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle* (Akademie Verlag, 1954).
- [44] R. Penrose, *Quantum computation, entanglement and state reduction*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. **356** (1998) 1–13.
- [45] P. Pucci, M. Xiang and B. Zhang, *Multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous Schrödinger-Kirchhoff type equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N* , Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 3, 2785–2806.
- [46] P. Pucci, M. Xiang and B. Zhang, *Existence results for Schrödinger-Choquard-Kirchhoff equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian*, Adv. Calc. Var., doi: 10.1515/acv-2016-0049.
- [47] P. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations* Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43** (1992), no. 2, 270–291.
- [48] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 031501.
- [49] Z. Shen, F. Gao, and M. Yang, *Ground states for nonlinear fractional Choquard equations with general nonlinearities*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **39** (2016), no. 14, 4082–4098.
- [50] A. Szulkin and T. Weth, *The method of Nehari manifold*, in Handbook of Nonconvex Analysis and Applications, edited by D. Y. Gao and D. Montreanu, International Press, Boston, 2010, 597–632.
- [51] J. Wei and M. Winter, *Strongly interacting bumps for the Schrödinger-Newton equation*, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), no. 1, 012905, 22 pp.
- [52] M. Willem, *Minimax theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, **24**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. x+162 pp.

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA INDUSTRIALE E SCIENZE MATEMATICHE

UNIVERSITÀ POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE

VIA BRECCE BIANCHE, 12

60131 ANCONA (ITALY)

E-mail address: ambrosio@dipmat.univpm.it