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Abstract. In this article we formulate a group of birational transformations which is isomorphic to an extended affine Weyl group of type $(A_{2n+1} + A_1 + A_1)^{(1)}$ with the aid of mutations and permutations to a mutation-periodic quiver on a torus. This group provides four types of generalizations of Jimbo-Sakai’s $q$-Painlevé VI equation as translations of the affine Weyl group. Then the known three systems are obtained again; the $q$-Garnier system, a similarity reduction of the lattice $q$-UC hierarchy and a similarity reduction of the $q$-Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchy.
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1. Introduction

The cluster algebra was introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky in [4, 5]. Let $Q$ be a quiver with $N$ vertices, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ $N$-tuples of cluster variables and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_N)$ $N$-tuples of coefficients. We call the triple $(Q, x, y)$ a seed. Also let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^N$ be a skew-symmetric matrix corresponding to a quiver $Q$. For each $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, we define a mutation $\mu_k : (Q, x, y) \rightarrow (Q', x', y')$ by

$$
\lambda'_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 
-\lambda_{i,j} & (i = k \lor j = k) \\
\lambda_{i,j} + \lambda_{i,k}\lambda_{k,j} & (\lambda_{i,k} > 0 \land \lambda_{k,j} > 0) \\
\lambda_{i,j} - \lambda_{i,k}\lambda_{k,j} & (\lambda_{i,k} < 0 \land \lambda_{k,j} < 0) \\
\lambda_{i,j} & \text{(otherwise)}
\end{cases}
$$

$$
x'_i = \left(\frac{1}{(y_k + 1)x_k} \prod_{\lambda_{i,j} > 0} x_j^{\lambda_{i,j}} + y_k \prod_{\lambda_{i,j} < 0} x_j^{-\lambda_{i,j}}\right) x_i 
\quad (i = k)$$

$$
y'_i = \begin{cases} 
y^{-1}_i & (i = k) \\
y_i(y^{-1}_k + 1)^{-\lambda_{i,k}} & (\lambda_{i,k} \geq 0) \\
y_i(y_k + 1)^{-\lambda_{i,k}} & (\lambda_{i,k} < 0)
\end{cases}
$$

The cluster algebra with coefficients is defined as $A(Q_0, x_0, y_0) = \mathbb{Z}(y_0)[x| x \in X]$, where $X$ is a set of all cluster variables given by iterative mutations to an initial seed $(Q_0, x_0, y_0)$.

The property of quivers called mutation-period is the one that iterative mutations gives a permutation of vertices of quivers. It was introduced by Nakanishi in [17]. On the other hand, as is seen above, new cluster variables $x'_i$ (resp. coefficients $y'_i$) are rational in original variables and coefficients $x_i, y_i$ (resp. coefficients $y_i$). Thanks to those two properties, some mutation-periodic quivers become sources of discrete integrable systems ([7, 8, 9, 11, 18, 21]) or $q$-Painlevé equations ([11, 6, 22]). Hence it becomes our next problem to reveal how many discrete integrable systems or (generalizations of) $q$-Painlevé equations can be derived from mutation-periodic quivers.
Higher order generalizations of the $q$-Painlevé equations has been proposed from some points of view; birational representations of affine Weyl groups ([13, 14, 15, 31]), a cluster mutation ([6]), a $q$-analogue of an isomonodromy deformation ([24]), similarity reductions of discrete integrable systems ([26, 27, 28]) and a Pade method ([19, 20]). However there doesn’t exist any theory which governs all of them unlike in the case of 2nd order ([23]). Our aim is to construct a good classification theory for generalized $q$-Painlevé systems based on the affine Weyl group and the cluster algebra.

As a first step of our aim, we consider a mutation-periodic quiver on a torus; see Figure 1. Then we can find some compositions of iterative mutations and permutations such that the quiver is invariant under their actions. These compositions turn out to be generators of a group of birational transformations which is isomorphic to an extended affine Weyl group of type $A_{2n+1} + A_1 + A_1^{(1)}$. This group provides four types of generalizations of the $q$-Painlevé VI equation as translations of the affine Weyl group. They contain the known three systems; Sakai’s $q$-Garnier system ([24]), Tsuda’s $q$-Painlevé system arising from the $q$-LUC hierarchy ([23]) and the $q$-Painlevé system $q$-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ arising from the $q$-DS hierarchy ([26, 27]). Since these three systems were obtained as compatibility conditions of systems of linear $q$-difference equations called Lax pairs, the result of this article gives them interpretations as birational representations of affine Weyl groups.

**Remark 1.1.** The mutation-periodic quiver in Figure 1 is obtained from the $((n + 1), -(n + 1))$-reduction of the $\text{d}_n \text{KdV}$ quiver given in [22]. On the other hand, the generalized $q$-Painlevé VI systems given in [26, 27] is derived from the $q$-DS hierarchy corresponding to the partition $(n + 1, n + 1)$ of the natural number $(2n + 2)$. This consistency allows us to expect that there exists a relationship between mutation-periodic quivers and Lax pairs.

**Remark 1.2.** The birational representation of the affine Weyl group of type $(A_{2n+1} + A_1 + A_1^{(1)})$, where $m$ and $n$ are coprime, is formulated in [16]. It is an extension of the previous work [13]. It is also expected to give unknown generalized $q$-Painlevé systems.

**Remark 1.3.** The $q$-Painlevé VI equation was proposed by Jimbo and Sakai in [12] as a $q$-analogue of the isomonodromy deformation of the Fuchsian system. Afterward, it was given in [23, 30] as a birational representation of the extended affine Weyl group of type $D_{5}^{(1)}$. It was also derived in [22] from the mutation-periodic quiver in Figure 1 of the case $n = 1$.

**Remark 1.4.** It is shown in [20] that both $q$-Garnier system and $q$-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ are derived from the same linear $q$-difference equation. There is a difference in directions of discrete time evolutions between them. Besides, both Tsuda’s $q$-Painlevé system and $q$-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ reduce in a continuous limit $q \rightarrow 1$ to the same Hamiltonian system given in [2, 25, 29]. The result of this article clarifies the connection between these three systems in a framework of the affine Weyl group and the cluster algebra. Moreover, we obtain a more simple expression of the $q$-Garnier system than the ones in [19] and [24].

**Figure 1.** Generalized $q$-$P_{VI}$ quiver
This article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we formulate birational transformations $r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1}, s_0, s_1, s_1', \pi, \pi'$ with the aid of mutations and permutations to the mutation-periodic quiver in Figure 1. We also show that they satisfy the fundamental relations of the extended affine Weyl group of type $(A_{2n+1} + A_1 + A_1)^{(1)}$. In Section 3, four types of generalized $q$-Painlevé VI systems are obtained as translations of the affine Weyl group.

2. Affine Weyl Group of Type $(A_{2n+1} + A_1 + A_1)^{(1)}$

2.1. Birational representation. Let $Q$ be a quiver given in Figure 1. The corresponding skew-symmetric matrix $\Lambda$ is given by

$$
\Lambda = X_{1,4n+3} - X_{1,4n+4} - X_{1,2n+3} + X_{1,2n+4} - X_{2,4n+3} + X_{2,4n+4} + X_{2,2n+3} - X_{2,2n+4}
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{2i+1,2i+2n+1} - X_{2i+1,2i+2n+2} - X_{2i+1,2i+2n+3} + X_{2i+1,2i+2n+4})
$$

$$
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-X_{2i+2,2i+2n+1} + X_{2i+2,2i+2n+2} + X_{2i+2,2i+2n+3} - X_{2i+2,2i+2n+4}),
$$

(2.1)

where $X_{i,j} = E_{i,j} - E_{j,i}$ and $E_{i,j}$ is the $(4n+4) \times (4n+4)$-matrix with 1 in the $(i, j)$-th entry and zeros elsewhere. Also let $(Q, (x_1, \ldots, x_{4n+4}), (y_1, \ldots, y_{4n+4}))$ be a seed. Then we can describe the actions of the mutations $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{4n+4}$ on the coefficients $y_1, \ldots, y_{4n+4}$ following the definition given in the previous section; we don’t give their explicit formulas here. Moreover, transpositions of vertices of the quiver $(i, j)$ act on the coefficients as

$$(y_1, \ldots, y_{4n+4})^{(i,j)} \mapsto (y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}, y_j, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}, y_i, y_{j+1}, \ldots, y_{4n+4}),$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4n+4$.

Let

$$\alpha_{2i} = y_{2i+1}^2 y_{2i+2}, \quad \alpha_{2i+1} = y_{2i+2n+3} y_{2i+2n+4}, \quad \varphi_{2i} = y_{2i+1}, \quad \varphi_{2i+1} = y_{2i+2n+3} \quad (i = 0, \ldots, n).$$

Also let

$$\beta = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{y_{2i+2} y_{2i+2n+3}} = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\varphi_{2i}}{\alpha_{2i} \varphi_{2i+1}},$$

$$\beta' = \prod_{i=0}^{n} y_{2i+1} y_{2i+2n+3} = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \varphi_{2i} \varphi_{2i+1},$$

$$q = \prod_{i=1}^{4n+4} y_i = \prod_{i=0}^{2n+1} \alpha_i.$$ 

In the following we use notations

$$\alpha_{i+2n+2} = \alpha_i, \quad \varphi_{i+2n+2} = \varphi_i.$$ 

In this section we introduce some compositions of iterative mutations and transpositions such that the quiver in Figure 1 is invariant under their actions. They turn out to be birational transformations which act on $(\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{2n+1}, \beta, \beta'; \varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{2n+1})$.

We first define compositions $r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1}$ by

$$r_{2i} = (2i + 1, 2i + 2) \mu_{2i+2} \mu_{2i+1}.$$
\[ r_{2i+1} = (2i + 2n + 3, 2i + 2n + 4) \mu_{2i+2n+4} \mu_{2i+2n+3}, \]

for \( i = 0, \ldots, n \). Their actions on \((\alpha_i, \beta, \beta'; \varphi_i)\) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_i(\alpha_{i-1}) &= \alpha_i \alpha_{i-1}, & r_i(\alpha_i) &= \frac{1}{\alpha_i}, & r_i(\alpha_{i+1}) &= \alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}, & r_i(\alpha_j) &= \alpha_j, \\
  r_i(\beta) &= \beta, & r_i(\beta') &= \beta', \\
  r_i(\varphi_{i-1}) &= \frac{\alpha_i + \varphi_i}{1 + \varphi_i} \varphi_{i-1}, & r_i(\varphi_i) &= \frac{\varphi_i}{\alpha_i}, & r_i(\varphi_{i+1}) &= \frac{\alpha_i (1 + \varphi_i)}{\alpha_i + \varphi_i} \varphi_{i+1}, & r_i(\varphi_j) &= \varphi_j,
\end{align*}
\]

for \( j \neq i - 1, i, i + 1 \) and \( i = 0, \ldots, 2n + 1 \). We can obtain them by direct calculations. For example, the action of \( r_0 \) is derived as follows;

\[
(y_1, \ldots, y_{4n+4}) \mapsto \left( \frac{1}{y_1}, y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_{2n+2}, (1 + y_1) y_{2n+3}, \frac{y_{2n+4}}{1 + \frac{1}{y_1}}, y_{2n+5}, \ldots, y_{4n+2}, \frac{y_{4n+3}}{1 + \frac{1}{y_1}}, (1 + y_1) y_{4n+4} \right),
\]

\[
\mu_2 \rightarrow \left( \frac{1}{y_1}, \frac{1}{y_2}, y_3, \ldots, y_{2n+2}, \frac{1 + y_1}{1 + \frac{1}{y_2}} y_{2n+3}, \frac{1 + y_2}{1 + \frac{1}{y_1}} y_{2n+4}, y_{2n+5}, \ldots, y_{4n+2}, \frac{1 + y_2}{1 + \frac{1}{y_1}} y_{4n+3}, \frac{1 + y_1}{1 + \frac{1}{y_2}} y_{4n+4} \right),
\]

\[
1.2 \rightarrow \left( \frac{1}{y_1}, \frac{1}{y_2}, y_3, \ldots, y_{2n+2}, \frac{1 + y_1}{1 + \frac{1}{y_2}} y_{2n+3}, \frac{1 + y_2}{1 + \frac{1}{y_1}} y_{2n+4}, y_{2n+5}, \ldots, y_{4n+2}, \frac{1 + y_2}{1 + \frac{1}{y_1}} y_{4n+3}, \frac{1 + y_1}{1 + \frac{1}{y_2}} y_{4n+4} \right),
\]

from which we obtain

\[
(\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{2n+1}, \beta, \beta'; \varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{2n+1}) \mapsto r_0 \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0}, \alpha_0 \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{2n}, \alpha_0 \alpha_{2n+1}, \beta, \beta'; \varphi_0, \frac{\alpha_0 + \varphi_0}{\alpha_0 + \varphi_0} \frac{\alpha_0 (1 + \varphi_0)}{\alpha_0 + \varphi_0} \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_{2n}, \frac{\alpha_0 + \varphi_0}{\alpha_0 + \varphi_0} \varphi_{2n+1} \right).
\]

We next define compositions \( s_0, s_1, s'_0, s'_1 \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
  s_0 &= \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_2 n + 3 \mu_2 n + 6 \ldots \mu_2 n - 1 \mu_4 n + 2 \mu_2 n + 1 (2n + 1, 4n + 4) \mu_2 n + 1 \mu_4 n + 2 \mu_2 n - 1 \ldots \mu_2 n + 6 \mu_3 \mu_2 n + 4 \mu_1, \\
  s_1 &= \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_2 n + 5 \ldots \mu_2 n \mu_4 n + 1 \mu_2 n + 2 (2n + 2, 4n + 3) \mu_2 n + 2 \mu_4 n + 1 \mu_2 n \ldots \mu_2 n + 5 \mu_4 \mu_2 n + 3 \mu_2, \\
  s'_0 &= \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_2 n + 5 \ldots \mu_2 n - 1 \mu_4 n + 1 \mu_2 n + 1 (2n + 1, 4n + 3) \mu_2 n + 1 \mu_4 n + 1 \mu_2 n - 1 \ldots \mu_2 n + 5 \mu_5 \mu_2 n + 3 \mu_1, \\
  s'_1 &= \mu_2 \mu_2 n + 4 \mu_4 \mu_2 n + 6 \ldots \mu_2 n \mu_4 n + 2 \mu_2 n + 2 (2n + 2, 4n + 4) \mu_2 n + 2 \mu_4 n + 2 \mu_2 n \ldots \mu_2 n + 6 \mu_4 \mu_2 n + 4 \mu_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Their actions on \((\alpha_i, \beta, \beta'; \varphi_i)\) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  s_0(\alpha_i) &= \alpha_i, & s_0(\beta) &= \frac{1}{\beta}, & s_0(\beta') &= \beta', \\
  s_1(\alpha_i) &= \alpha_i, & s_1(\beta) &= \frac{1}{\beta}, & s_1(\beta') &= \beta',
\end{align*}
\]
for $i = 0, \ldots, 2n + 1$,

$$s_0(\varphi_{2i}) = \frac{\varphi_{2i+1}}{\varphi_{2i+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{1} \varphi_{2i+2k+3} \varphi_{2i+2k+1} (1 + \varphi_{2i+2j})}{\varphi_{2i+2k+4}} (1 + \varphi_{2i+2j+2})$$

$$s_0(\varphi_{2i+1}) = \frac{\varphi_{2i+1} \varphi_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{2} \varphi_{2i+2k+3} \varphi_{2i+2k+2} (1 + \varphi_{2i+2j+1})}{\varphi_{2i+2k+4}} (1 + \varphi_{2i+2j+3})$$

$$s_1(\varphi_{2i}) = \frac{\varphi_{2i+1}}{\varphi_{2i+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{1} \varphi_{2i+2k+3} \varphi_{2i+2k+2} (1 + \varphi_{2i+2j+1})}{\varphi_{2i+2k+4}} (1 + \varphi_{2i+2j+3})$$

$$s_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) = \frac{\varphi_{2i+1} \varphi_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{2} \varphi_{2i+2k+3} \varphi_{2i+2k+2} (1 + \varphi_{2i+2j+1})}{\varphi_{2i+2k+4}} (1 + \varphi_{2i+2j+3})$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, n$,

$$s'_0(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i, \quad s'_0(\beta) = \beta, \quad s'_0(\beta') = \frac{1}{\beta'},$$

$$s'_1(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i, \quad s'_1(\beta) = \beta, \quad s'_1(\beta') = \frac{q^2}{\beta'}.$$
\( \pi^{2n+2} = 1, \quad (\pi')^{2n+2} = 1, \quad \pi \pi' = \pi' \pi, \quad \pi^2 = (\pi')^2, \)
\( (r_i s_k)^2 = 1, \quad (r_i s'_j)^2 = 1, \)
\( r_i \pi = \pi r_{i+1}, \quad r_i \pi' = \pi' r_{i+1}, \)
\( s_k \pi = \pi s_{k+1}, \quad s_k \pi' = \pi' s_k, \quad s'_j \pi = \pi' s'_j, \quad s'_j \pi' = \pi' s'_{j+1}, \)

for \( i = 0, \ldots, 2n + 1 \) and \( k, l = 0, 1 \), where
\[ r_{i+2n+2} = r_i, \quad s_{k+2} = s_k, \quad s'_{j+2} = s'_j. \]

We prove this theorem in the next subsection.

**Remark 2.2.** The compositions \( s_0, s_1, s'_0, s'_1 \) were first given in [10]. They are found again through a recent work [16], in which a relationship between Weyl groups and mutation-periodic quivers is investigated systematically. Besides, the definition of the compositions \( r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1} \) is suggested by [11], in which the birational representations of the affine Weyl groups providing nine types of q-Painlevé equations below q-P(A2) are derived from mutation-periodic quivers.

### 2.2. Proof of theorem 2.1

We have already known that the relations
\[ r_i^2 = 1, \quad (r_i r_{i+1})^3 = 1, \quad (r_i r_j)^2 = 1, \]
are satisfied because the action of \( r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1} \) is equivalent to the one of type \( A_{2n+1}^{(1)} \) given in [13, 14]. The relations
\[ s_k^2 = 1, \quad (s'_j)^2 = 1, \quad (r_i s_k)^2 = 1, \quad (r_i s'_j)^2 = 1, \]
can be shown with the aid of ones
\[ \mu_i^2 = 1, \quad (i, j) \mu_i = \mu_j (i, j), \quad (i, j) \mu_k = \mu_k (i, j) \quad (i \neq j \neq k \neq i, i, j, k = 1, \ldots, 4n + 4). \]
The relations containing the rotations \( \pi, \pi' \) can be shown easily. Hence we only have to show the relation \((s_k s'_j)^2 = 1\).

In the following we show the relation \((s_i s'_j)^2 = 1\). The rest three relations can be shown by using \( s_0 = \pi s_1 \pi \) and \( s'_0 = \pi' s'_1 \pi' \). Since \( \alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{2n+1} \) are invariant under the actions of \( s_1, s'_1 \), we investigate the actions on \( \varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{2n+1} \). They are expressed as
\[ s_1(\varphi_{2i}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i+1}}{\alpha_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+1}, \quad s_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\alpha_{2i+1}} \varphi_{2i+1}, \]
\[ s'_1(\varphi_{2i}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i+1} \alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i}, \quad s'_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i+2} \alpha_{2i+1}}{\varphi_{2i+1}} \varphi_{2i+1}, \]
for \( i = 0, \ldots, n \), where
\[ S_{2i} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k}}{\varphi_{2i+2k}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k}}{\varphi_{2i+2k}} \right), \quad S_{2i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\varphi_{2i+2k+1}}{\alpha_{2i+2k+1}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi_{2i+2k+1}}{\alpha_{2i+2k+1}} \right), \]
\[ S'_{2i} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\varphi_{2i+2k+1}}{\alpha_{2i+2k+1}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\varphi_{2i+2k+1}}{\alpha_{2i+2k+1}} \right), \quad S'_{2i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}} \right). \]

Note that
\[ S_{i+2n+2} = S_i, \quad S'_{i+2n+2} = S'_i. \]
Lemma 2.3. The following equations are satisfied.

\[ S_{2i} + \varphi_{2i+1} S_{2i+2} = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}}\right) S_{2i+1}, \quad (2.4) \]

\[ S'_{2i} + \frac{\varphi_{2i+1}}{\alpha_{2i+1}} S'_{2i+2} = \left(1 + \frac{\varphi_{2i}}{\alpha_{2i}}\right) S'_{2i+1}, \quad (2.5) \]

\[ S_{2i} - \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} S_{2i+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta'}, \quad (2.6) \]

\[ S'_{2i} - \frac{\varphi_{2i}}{\alpha_{2i}} S'_{2i+1} = 1 - \frac{\beta'}{\beta}, \quad (2.7) \]

for \( i = 0, \ldots, n \).

Proof. We prove Equation (2.4). The definition of \( S_{2i} \) implies

\[ S_{2i} + \varphi_{2i+1} S_{2i+2} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k}}{\varphi_{2i+2k}} \right) \varphi_{2i+2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k}}{\varphi_{2i+2k}} \right) \varphi_{2i+2j+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}} \right) \varphi_{2i+2j+2}. \]

The second term of right-hand side is rewritten as

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}} \right) \varphi_{2i+2j+2} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}} \right) \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}}. \]

The third term is rewritten as

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \varphi_{2i+1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}} \right) \varphi_{2i+2j+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+1}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}} \right) \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j+1}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+2}}. \]

The first and fourth terms are rewritten as

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k}}{\varphi_{2i+2k}} \right) \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \varphi_{2i+1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2k+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2k+2}} \right) \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j+1}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+2}} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \varphi_{2i+1} + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+3} + \ldots + \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+1}} \varphi_{2i+2j+1} \]

\[ + \varphi_{2i+1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+3} + \varphi_{2i+1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+4} + \ldots + \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j+1}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+2}} \varphi_{2i+2j+1} \varphi_{2i+2j+2} = \]

\[ = 1 + \varphi_{2i+1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+3} + \varphi_{2i+1} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+4} + \ldots + \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j+1}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+2}} \varphi_{2i+2j+1} \varphi_{2i+2j+2} \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \varphi_{2i+1} + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+3} + \ldots + \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+1}} \varphi_{2i+2j+1} \varphi_{2i+2j+2} \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \varphi_{2i+1} + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+3} + \ldots + \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+1}} \varphi_{2i+2j+1} \varphi_{2i+2j+2} \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \varphi_{2i+1} + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \frac{\alpha_{2i+2}}{\varphi_{2i+2}} \varphi_{2i+3} + \ldots + \frac{\alpha_{2i+2j}}{\varphi_{2i+2j+1}} \varphi_{2i+2j+1} \varphi_{2i+2j+2} \].
\[= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \varphi_{2i+2k+1} \varphi_{2i+2k+2} \alpha_{2i+2k+2} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{2i} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \varphi_{2i+2k+1} \varphi_{2i+2k+2} \alpha_{2i+2k+2} \right) \varphi_{2i+2j+1}.\]

It follows that

\[S_{2i} + \varphi_{2i+1} S_{2i+2} = \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \right) S_{2i+1}.\]

The other equations can be shown in a similar way. \( \square \)

We first show the relation \( s'_1 s_1(\varphi_{2i}) = s_1 s'_1(\varphi_{2i}) \) for each \( i = 0, \ldots, n \). The action \( s'_1 s_1(\varphi_{2i}) \) is described as

\[s'_1 s_1(\varphi_{2i}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i} \alpha_{2i+1}}{\alpha_{2i}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} s_{1}(\varphi_{2i+2k+1} \varphi_{2i+2k+2}) \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j+1} \alpha_{2i+2k+1} \alpha_{2i+2k+2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{s_{1}(\varphi_{2i+2j})}{\alpha_{2i+2j}} \right)\]

Then we have

\[= \frac{\alpha_{2i+2} S_{2i+2} S_{2i+1}}{\alpha_{2i+1} S_{2i+2}} S_{2i+3} S_{2i+1}^{2i+1} \]

by using equation (2.4). It follows that

\[s'_1 s_1(\varphi_{2i}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i} \alpha_{2i+1}}{\alpha_{2i}} S_{2i+2} S_{2i+1}^{2i+1}. \quad (2.8)\]

Similarly we obtain

\[s_1 s'_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i} \alpha_{2i+1}}{\alpha_{2i}} S_{2i+1} S_{2i+2} S_{2i+3}, \]

by using equation (2.5).

We next show the relation \( s'_1 s_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) = s_1 s'_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) \) for each \( i = 0, \ldots, n \). The action \( s'_1 s_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) \) is described as

\[s'_1 s_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i+1} \alpha_{2i+2}}{\alpha_{2i+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} s_{1}(\varphi_{2i+2k+1} \varphi_{2i+2k+2}) \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j+1} \alpha_{2i+2k+1} \alpha_{2i+2k+2} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{s_{1}(\varphi_{2i+2j+1})}{\alpha_{2i+2j+1}} \right), \]

Then we have

\[\varphi_{2i+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j} \alpha_{2i+2k+1} \alpha_{2i+2k+2} \right) \left( S_{2i+2j+1} S_{2i+2j+2} + \frac{\varphi_{2i+2j+2} S_{2i+2j+2}}{\alpha_{2i+2j+2} S_{2i+2j+3}} \right) \]

\[= \frac{\varphi_{2i+1} S_{2i+2j+1} S_{2i+2j+2}}{\alpha_{2i+2} S_{2i+2j+3}} S_{2i+2j+3} + \frac{\varphi_{2i+2j+2} S_{2i+2j+2}}{\alpha_{2i+2j+2} S_{2i+2j+3}} S_{2i+2j+3} + \frac{\varphi_{2i+2j+3} S_{2i+2j+3}}{\alpha_{2i+2j+3} S_{2i+2j+4}} S_{2i+2j+4}. \]
The following equation is satisfied.

Similarly we obtain

by using (2.4). The first term of the right-hand side is equivalent to \( \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} S'_{2i} \). Moreover, we rewrite the second term as

by using equation (2.6). It follows that

Similarly we obtain

by using equation (2.5) and (2.7).

We will use the following lemma in the next section.

**Lemma 2.4.** The following equation is satisfied.

**Proof.** Equation (2.9) is rewritten into

On the other hand, in a similar way as (2.4), we have

(2.12)
Equations (2.4) and (2.12) give

\[
\left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} S_{2i} S_{2i+1} + \left(\frac{\beta'}{q} - 1\right) S_{2i}\right) = \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}}\right) S_{2i} S_{2i+1} + \frac{\varphi_{2i+1}}{\alpha_{2i+1}} S_{2i} S_{2i+2} - \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}} S_{2i} S_{2i+1}^2
\]

for \( i = 0, \ldots, n \). Substituting (2.13) to (2.11), we obtain equation (2.10).

**Remark 2.5.** The fundamental relation \((s_i s_i')^2 = 1\) has been already shown in [10] and [16] independently by more efficient methods. In this section we show it by a direct calculation on purpose because we will use equations (2.8) and (2.10) to derive a generalized \(q\)-Painlevé VI system in the next section.

3. Derivations of the generalized \(q\)-Painlevé VI systems

In the previous section we presented birational transformations \( r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1}, s_0, s_1, s_0', s_1', \pi, \pi' \) which generate the extended affine Weyl group of type \((A_{2n+1} + A_1 + A_1)^{(1)}\). Hence we can define four types of translations of the affine Weyl group

\[
T_1 = s_1' s_1 \pi' \pi^{-1}, \\
T_2 = (r_0 r_1 \cdots r_n r_{n+2} r_{n+3} \cdots r_{2n} \pi')^2, \\
T_3 = r_1 r_2 \cdots r_{2n+1} s_1' \pi, \\
T_4 = (r_0 r_2 \ldots r_{2n} \pi')^2,
\]

which act on \((\alpha_i, \beta, \beta')\) as

\[
T_1(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i, \quad T_1(\beta) = q \beta, \quad T_1(\beta') = q \beta', \\
T_2(\alpha_0) = \frac{\alpha_0}{q}, \quad T_2(\alpha_n) = q \alpha_n, \quad T_2(\alpha_{n+1}) = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{q}, \quad T_2(\alpha_{2n+1}) = q \alpha_{2n+1}, \\
T_2(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i \quad (i \neq 0, n, n + 1, 2n + 1), \quad T_2(\beta) = \beta, \quad T_2(\beta') = \beta', \\
T_3(\alpha_0) = q \alpha_0, \quad T_3(\alpha_i) = \frac{\alpha_i}{q}, \quad T_3(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i \quad (i \neq 0, 1), \quad T_3(\beta) = q \beta, \quad T_3(\beta') = \beta', \\
T_4(\alpha_{2i}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{2i+1} \alpha_{2i+2} \alpha_{2i+3}} , \quad T_4(\alpha_{2i+1}) = \alpha_{2i+1} \alpha_{2i+2} \alpha_{2i+3} \alpha_{2i+4} \alpha_{2i+5} \quad (i = 0, \ldots, n), \\
T_4(\beta) = \beta, \quad T_4(\beta') = \beta'.
\]

In this section we investigate the actions of translations \(T_1, T_2\) and \(T_4\) on \(\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{2n+1}\).

**Remark 3.1.** In a strict sense the transformation \(T_4\) is not a translation of the affine Weyl group. An iterative action of \(T_4\) gives a translation as

\[
T_4^m(\alpha_{2i}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{q}, \quad T_4^m(\alpha_{2i+1}) = q \alpha_{2i+1} \quad (n = 2m - 1),
\]

\[
T_4^{2m+1}(\alpha_{2i}) = \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{q^2}, \quad T_4^{2m+1}(\alpha_{2i+1}) = q^2 \alpha_{2i+1} \quad (n = 2m),
\]

for \(i = 0, \ldots, n\). We utilize this property in order to derive Tsuda’s \(q\)-Painlevé system.
Remark 3.2. We conjecture that the translation $T_3$ is equivalent to a variation of the $q$-Garnier system $T_{q(2,1)}^{-1} T_{q(2,1)}^{-1}$ given in Section 3.2.4 of [20]. However, in order to prove it, we have to formulate the transformations $r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1}, s_0, s_1, s_0', s_1', \pi, \pi'$ in a framework of a Lax form. It is a future problem.

3.1. $T_1$: $q$-Painlevé system $q$-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ arising from $q$-DS hierarchy. In this subsection we show that the action of $T_1$ on $\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{2n+1}$ is equivalent to the $q$-Painlevé system $q$-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ given in [26, 27], whose explicit formula is placed in Appendix [B].

Let $a_i, b_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n + 1$) be parameters and $t$ independent variable defined by

$$
\frac{a_i}{b_i} = \alpha_{2i-1}, \quad \frac{b_i}{a_{i+1}} = \alpha_{2i} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \quad a_{n+1} = \alpha_{2n+1}, \quad q^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i = \beta, \quad t = \beta'.
$$

Also let $f_i, g_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) be variables depending on $t$ defined by

$$
f_i = \frac{1 + \varphi_{2i}}{1 + \varphi_0} \prod_{j=i}^{n} \varphi_{2j+1} \varphi_{2j+2}, \quad g_i = -\frac{1}{\varphi_{2i}} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).
$$

Then we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. The action of the translation $T_1$ on $(f_i, g_i)$ is equivalent to system (3.1). Moreover, the actions of the transformations $r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1}$ and $\tilde{\pi} = s_1' \pi$ on $(a_i, b_i, f_i, g_i)$ are equivalent to the one in Appendix [B].

Proof. We first investigate the action $T_1(g_i)$. Equation (2.8) implies

$$
T_1(\varphi_{2i}) = \alpha_{2i} \varphi_{2i} \alpha_{2i+1} \frac{S_{2i}' S_{2i}'}{S_{2i} S_{2i}'} (i = 1, \ldots, n),
$$

where

$$
\overline{S}_{2i} = \sum_{j=i}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=j}^{j-1} \varphi_{2k} \alpha_{2k+1} \varphi_{2k+1} \right) (1 + \varphi_0) + \left( \prod_{k=j}^{n} \varphi_{2k} \alpha_{2k+1} \varphi_{2k+1} \right) (1 + \varphi_0)
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=j}^{j-1} \varphi_{2k} \alpha_{2k+1} \varphi_{2k+1} \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \varphi_{2k} \alpha_{2k+1} \varphi_{2k+1} \right) (1 + \varphi_2),
$$

$$
\overline{S}_{2i}' = \sum_{j=i}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=j}^{j-1} \varphi_{2k} \varphi_{2k+1} \right) (1 + \varphi_0) + \left( \prod_{k=j}^{n} \varphi_{2k} \varphi_{2k+1} \right) (1 + \varphi_0)
$$

$$
+ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \left( \prod_{k=j}^{j-1} \varphi_{2k} \varphi_{2k+1} \right) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \varphi_{2k} \varphi_{2k+1} \right) (1 + \varphi_2),
$$

(3.2)
for \( i = 1, \ldots, n + 1 \). On the other hand, the definition of \( f_i, g_i \) implies

\[
\varphi_{2i} = \frac{b_i}{g_i} \quad (i = 0, \ldots, n),
\]

\[
\varphi_1 = \frac{g_0 (b_1 - g_1) t}{b_1 (b_0 - g_0) f_1},
\]

\[
\varphi_{2i+1} = \frac{g_i (b_{i+1} - g_{i+1}) f_i}{b_{i+1} (b_i - g_i) f_{i+1}} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1),
\]

\[
\varphi_{2n+1} = \frac{g_n (b_0 - g_0)}{b_0 (b_n - g_n) f_n},
\]

where \( g_0 g_1 \ldots g_n = q^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} t^{-1} \) and \( b_0 = q b_{n+1} \). Substituting (3.3) to (3.2), we have

\[
\tilde{S}_{2i} = -\frac{b_i - g_i}{q^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} g_0 f_i} \left( \prod_{j=i}^{n} \frac{1}{g_j^2} \right) G_i, \quad \tilde{S}_{2i}' = \frac{b_i - g_i}{t b_i f_i} F_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\]

\[
\tilde{S}_{2n+2} = -\frac{b_0 - g_0}{q^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} g_0} G_{n+1}, \quad \tilde{S}_{2n+2}' = \frac{b_0 - g_0}{t b_0} F_{n+1}.
\]

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) to (3.1), we obtain

\[
T_i(g_i) = \frac{1}{g_i} G_i F_{i+1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).
\]

Note that

\[
T_0(g_0) = \frac{1}{q^{n+1} T_1(g_1) \ldots T_1(g_n)} = \frac{1}{q^{n+1} t^2 g_0 F_{n+1} G_1}.
\]

We next investigate the action \( T_1(f_i) \). Equation (2.10) implies

\[
T_1(\varphi_{2i-1}) = \frac{1 + \varphi_{2i}}{1 + \varphi_{2i-2}} \frac{\tilde{S}_{2i-2} \tilde{S}_{2i} + \varphi_{2i-2} \alpha_{2i-1} \tilde{S}_{2i-2} \tilde{S}_{2i}}{\tilde{S}_{2i} \tilde{S}_{2i+2} + \varphi_{2i} \alpha_{2i+1} \tilde{S}_{2i} \tilde{S}_{2i+2}} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\]

where \( \tilde{S}_0 = \tilde{S}_{2n+2} \). It follows that

\[
T_1(\varphi_{2i-1} \varphi_{2i}) = \frac{1 + \varphi_{2i}}{1 + \varphi_{2i-2}} \frac{\tilde{S}_{2i} \tilde{S}_{2i+2} \tilde{S}_{2i-2} \tilde{S}_{2i} + \varphi_{2i-2} \alpha_{2i-1} \tilde{S}_{2i} \tilde{S}_{2i+2}}{\tilde{S}_{2i} \tilde{S}_{2i+2} \tilde{S}_{2i} \tilde{S}_{2i+2} + \varphi_{2i} \alpha_{2i+1} \tilde{S}_{2i} \tilde{S}_{2i+2}} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).
\]

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) to (3.6), we have

\[
T_1 \left( \frac{b_i}{g_i} - \frac{1}{f_i} \right) = \frac{f_i g_1 F_1 G_2}{q^{n+1} t^2 g_0 F_{n+1} G_1} - \frac{q^{n+1} t^2 g_0 F_{n+1} a_1 G_1}{G_1 F_2 - g_1 F_1 a_2 G_2},
\]

\[
T_1 \left( \frac{b_{i+1}}{b_i} - \frac{1}{f_{i+1}} \right) = \frac{f_{i+1} g_{i+1} F_{i+1} G_{i+2}}{f_i g_i F_{i+1} G_{i+2}} - \frac{G_i F_{i+1} - g_i F_i a_{i+1} G_{i+1}}{G_{i+1} F_{i+2} - g_{i+1} F_{i+1} a_{i+2} G_{i+2}} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).
\]

Combining (3.5) and (3.7), we obtain

\[
T_1 \left( \frac{f_i}{t} \right) = \frac{1}{f_i} \frac{F_2}{F_{n+1}} \frac{b_i}{f_1} - \frac{1}{f_1} \frac{b_0}{f_1} - \frac{1}{T_1(g_1) - a_2} - \frac{1}{T_1(g_0) - a_1}.
\]
\[ T_1 \left( \frac{f_{i+1}}{f_i} \right) = \frac{f_i}{f_{i+1}} \frac{F_{i+2}}{F_i} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} - 1 \frac{T_1(g_{i+1}) - a_{i+2}}{T_1(g_i) - a_{i+1}} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1). \]

The actions of the transformations \( r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1} \) and \( \bar{\pi} = \pi' \pi \) on \((a_i, b_i, f_i, g_i)\) can be obtained similarly by direct calculations.

3.2. \textit{T}_2: \textit{q-Garnier system}. The fundamental relations imply

\[ \bar{\pi}^2 = \pi' \pi \pi = \pi^2, \]

from which we obtain

\[ T_2 = r_0 r_1 \ldots r_{n-1} r_{n+1} r_{n+2} \ldots r_{2n} r_{2n+1} r_0 \ldots r_{n-2} r_n r_{n+1} \ldots r_{2n-1} \bar{\pi}^2. \]

In the previous subsection we showed that the transformations \( r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1} \) and \( \bar{\pi} \) are equivalent to the ones given in \([26, 27]\). Moreover, these transformations are given in \([26]\) as gauge transformations for the Lax pair. Hence we have a system of linear \( q \)-difference equations

\[ T^{-1}_{q,\zeta}(\psi) = M \psi, \quad T_2(\psi) = \Gamma \psi, \quad (3.8) \]

where

\[ \Gamma = r_0 \ldots r_{n-1} r_{n+1} \ldots r_{2n+1} r_0 \ldots r_{n-2} r_n r_{n+1} \ldots r_{2n-1} \bar{\pi}^2 \left( \Gamma_0 \right) \times r_2 \ldots r_{n-1} r_{n+1} \ldots r_{2n+1} r_0 \ldots r_{n-2} r_n r_{n+1} \ldots r_{2n-1} \bar{\pi}^2 \left( \Gamma_1 \right) \times \ldots \times r_{2n-2} r_{2n-1} \bar{\pi}^2 \left( \Gamma_{2n-3} \right) \times r_{2n-1} \bar{\pi}^2 \left( \Gamma_{2n-2} \right) \times \bar{\pi}^2 \left( \Gamma_{2n-1} \right) \times \Pi, \]

whose compatibility condition, that is \( T_2(M) \Gamma = T^{-1}_{q,\zeta}(\Gamma) M \), implies the action of \( T_2 \) on \((a_i, b_i, f_i, g_i)\).

Here we use notations

\[ T_{q,\zeta}(x(z)) = x(q z), \quad T^{-1}_{q,\zeta}(x(z)) = x(q^{-1} z). \]

The explicit formulas of the matrices \( M, \Gamma_0, \ldots, \Gamma_{2n+1} \) and \( \Pi \) are placed in Appendix B. The matrix \( \Gamma \) is expressed as

\[ \Gamma = z^{-\frac{1}{\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{1,1} & \Gamma_{1,2} & \Gamma_{1,3} & \cdots & \Gamma_{1,n} \\ \Gamma_{2,1} & \Gamma_{2,2} & \Gamma_{2,3} & \cdots & \Gamma_{2,n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \Gamma_{n,1} & \Gamma_{n,2} & \Gamma_{n,3} & \cdots & \Gamma_{n,n} \\ \z \Gamma_{n+1,1} & \z \Gamma_{n+1,2} & \z \Gamma_{n+1,3} & \cdots & \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \]

where

\[ \Gamma_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{2i-1,2j-1} & \gamma_{2i-1,2j} & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_{2i,2j} \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n + 1), \]

\[ \Gamma_{i,j+1} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{\pi t}^{-1} \gamma_{2i,2j+1} & 0 \\ 0 & q_{\pi t}^{-1} \gamma_{2i,2j+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \quad \Gamma_{n+1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} q_{\pi t}^{-1} t & 0 \\ 0 & q_{\pi t}^{-1} t \end{pmatrix}. \]

Each \( \gamma_{i,j} \) is rational in the entries of the matrix \( M \); we don’t give its explicit formula here. In this subsection we show that system \((3.8)\) is equivalent to the Lax pair of the \( q \)-Garnier system given in \([24]\). Note that

\[ T_2(a_i) = q^{\frac{1}{\pi t}} a_i, \]
for $n = 2m - 1$ and
\[ T_2(a_i) = q^{\frac{i}{m+1}} a_i \quad (i \neq m), \quad T_2(a_m) = q^{-\frac{m}{m+1}} a_m \]
\[ T_2(b_i) = q^{\frac{i}{m+1}} b_i \quad (i \neq 2m), \quad T_2(b_{2m}) = q^{-\frac{m}{m+1}} b_{2m}, \]
for $n = 2m$.

We first consider a gauge transformation
\[
\hat{\psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\psi}_{2n+2} \end{pmatrix} = z^{\log_q a_1} \psi.
\]

Then system (3.8) is transformed to
\[
T_{q,z}^{-1}(\hat{\psi}) = \left( \hat{M}_0 + z \hat{M}_1 \right) \hat{\psi}, \quad T_2(\hat{\psi}) = \left( \hat{\Gamma}_0 + z \hat{\Gamma}_1 \right) \hat{\psi}, \quad (3.9)
\]
where
\[
\hat{M}_0 + z \hat{M}_1 = \frac{1}{a_1} M(z), \quad \hat{\Gamma}_0 + z \hat{\Gamma}_1 = \frac{1}{a_1} \Gamma(z).
\]

We next consider a $q$-Laplace transformation $(z, T_{q,z}^{-1}) \rightarrow (T_{q,z}, z)$. Then system (3.9) is transformed to
\[
\hat{M}_1 T_{q,z}(\hat{\psi}) = (z \hat{M}_1 \hat{M}_0) \hat{\psi}, \quad T_2(\hat{\psi}) = \hat{\Gamma}_0 \hat{\psi} + \hat{\Gamma}_1 T_{q,z}(\hat{\psi}), \quad (3.10)
\]
where the symbol $I$ stands for the identity matrix. We rewrite system (3.10) into
\[
T_{q,z} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_1 \\ \hat{\psi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{M}_{n+1,1}^{-1}(z \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} \hat{M}_0) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_{2n+1} \\ \hat{\psi}_{2n+2} \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
T_2 \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_{2i+1} \\ \hat{\psi}_{2i} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\Gamma}_{i,i} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_{2i-1} \\ \hat{\psi}_{2i} \end{pmatrix} + \hat{\Gamma}_{i,i+1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_{2i+1} \\ \hat{\psi}_{2i+2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\]
\[
T_2 \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_{2n+1} \\ \hat{\psi}_{2n+2} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\Gamma}_{n+1,n+1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_{2n+1} \\ \hat{\psi}_{2n+2} \end{pmatrix} + z \hat{\Gamma}_{n+1,1} T_{q,z} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_1 \\ \hat{\psi}_2 \end{pmatrix},
\]
by using notations of $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ block matrices
\[
\hat{M}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{a_1} M_{i,j}, \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j} = \frac{1}{a_1} \Gamma_{i,j}.
\]

It follows that
\[
T_{q,z}(\Psi) = \mathcal{A} \Psi, \quad T_2(\Psi) = \mathcal{B} \Psi, \quad \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\psi}_1 \\ \hat{\psi}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.11)
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{A} = \hat{M}_{n+1,1}^{-1} \left( z \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} \hat{M}_0 \right) \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}^{-1} \left( z \hat{M}_{n,n} \right) \cdots \hat{M}_{1,2}^{-1} \left( z \hat{M}_{1,1} \right),
\]
\[
\mathcal{B} = \hat{\Gamma}_{1,1} + \hat{\Gamma}_{1,2} \hat{M}_{1,2}^{-1} \left( z \hat{M}_{1,1} \right).
\]

**Lemma 3.4.** The compatibility condition of (3.9), that is $T_2(\hat{M}) \Gamma = T_{q,z}^{-1}(\Gamma) \hat{M}$, implies the one of (3.11), that is $T_2(\mathcal{A}) \mathcal{B} = T_{q,z}(\mathcal{B}) \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. The compatibility condition of (3.9) is described as

\[ T_2(\hat{M}_{i,i}) \Gamma_{i,i} = \Gamma_{i,i} \hat{M}_{i,i} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n + 1), \tag{3.12} \]

and

\[ T_2(\hat{M}_{i,i}) \Gamma_{i,i+1} + T_2(\hat{M}_{i,i+1}) \Gamma_{i+1,i+1} = \Gamma_{i,i} \hat{M}_{i,i+1} + \Gamma_{i,i+1} \hat{M}_{i+1,i+1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \]

\[ T_2(\hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}) \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} + T_2(\hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}) \Gamma_{1,1} = \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} + q^{-1} \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} \hat{M}_{1,1}, \tag{3.13} \]

\[ T_2(\hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}) \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} = \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}, \]

\[ T_2(\hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}) \Gamma_{1,2} = q^{-1} \Gamma_{n+1,1} \hat{M}_{1,2}. \]

Equation (3.13) implies

\[ T_2(\hat{M}^{-1}_{i,i+1}) \left\{ \Gamma_{i,i} + (z I - T_2(\hat{M}_{i,i})) \Gamma_{i,i+1} \hat{M}^{-1}_{i,i+1} \right\} = \left\{ \Gamma_{i,i+1} + \Gamma_{i+1,i+1} \hat{M}^{-1}_{i+1,i} (z I - \hat{M}_{i+1,i+1}) \right\} \hat{M}^{-1}_{i,i+1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1), \]

\[ T_2(\hat{M}^{-1}_{n+1,n+1}) \left\{ \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} + (z I - T_2(\hat{M}_{n+1,n+1})) \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} \hat{M}^{-1}_{n+1,n+1} \right\} \hat{M}^{-1}_{n+1,n+1} \quad (3.14) \]

Then we obtain

\[ T_2(\mathcal{A}) B = T_2(\hat{M}^{-1}_{n+1,n+1}) \cdots (z I - T_2(\hat{M}_{n+1,n+1})) T_2(\hat{M}^{-1}_{n+2,n+2}) (z I - T_2(\hat{M}_{n+2,n+2})) \left\{ \Gamma_{1,1} + \Gamma_{1,2} \hat{M}^{-1}_{1,2} (z I - \hat{M}_{1,2}) \right\} \]

\[ = \left\{ \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} + \Gamma_{n+1,n+1} \hat{M}^{-1}_{n+1,n+1} (z I - \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}) \right\} \hat{M}^{-1}_{n+1,n+1} \cdots (z I - \hat{M}_{1,1}) \]

by using (3.12) and (3.14).

System (3.11) is equivalent to the Lax pair of the \( q \)-Garnier system (of inverse direction).

**Theorem 3.5.** The matrices \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( B \) satisfy the following properties.

1. \( \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 + z \mathcal{A}_1 + \ldots + z^{n+1} \mathcal{A}_{n+1}, \)

\[ \mathcal{A}_{n+1} = (-a_1)^{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} r^{-1} & 0 \\ -q^{-2} r^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_0 \sim \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ q^n a_{n+1} b_1 \ldots a_{n+1} b_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}. \]
(2) \( \det \mathcal{A} = \frac{a_1^{2n+2}}{t} (z - \frac{b_1}{a_1}) (z - \frac{a_1}{a_1}) (z - \frac{a_2}{a_1}) \ldots (z - \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_1}) (z - \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_1}) \).

(3) \( \det \mathcal{B} = \begin{cases} \frac{t \hat{a}_1^2}{a_1^2} (z - \frac{b_1}{a_1}) (z - \frac{a_1}{a_1}) \quad (n = 2m - 1) \\ \frac{t \hat{a}_1^2}{a_1^2} (z - \frac{a_1}{a_1}) (z - \frac{b_{m+1}}{a_1}) \quad (n = 2m) \end{cases} \).

Proof. (1) It is obvious that the matrix \( \mathcal{A} \) is a polynomial of \((n + 1)\)-st order in \( z \). Also it is easy to verify that

\[
\mathcal{A}_{n+1} = \hat{M}_{n+1,1}^{-1} \ldots \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}^{-1} = a_1^{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} -t^{-1} & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ldots \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (-a_1)^{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} t^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We will investigate the eigenvalues of the matrix \( \mathcal{A}_0 \). We obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\det \left( \hat{M}_0 + z \hat{M}_1 \right) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \hat{M}_{1,1} & \hat{M}_{1,2} & \hat{M}_{1,3} & \cdots & \hat{M}_{1,n} & \hat{M}_{1,n+1} \\ \hat{M}_{2,1} & \hat{M}_{2,2} & \hat{M}_{2,3} & \cdots & \hat{M}_{2,n} & \hat{M}_{2,n+1} \\ \hat{M}_{3,1} & \hat{M}_{3,2} & \hat{M}_{3,3} & \cdots & \hat{M}_{3,n} & \hat{M}_{3,n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{M}_{n,1} & \hat{M}_{n,2} & \hat{M}_{n,3} & \cdots & \hat{M}_{n,n} & \hat{M}_{n,n+1} \\ \hat{M}_{n+1,1} & \hat{M}_{n+1,2} & \hat{M}_{n+1,3} & \cdots & \hat{M}_{n+1,n} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} \\
\end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{t}{a_1^{2n+2}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \hat{M}_{1,2}^{-1} & I \\ \hat{M}_{2,3}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{2,2} & I \\ \hat{M}_{3,4}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{3,3} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{M}_{n,n+1}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{n,n} & \hat{M}_{n,n+1} & I \\ \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} & I \\
\end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{t}{a_1^{2n+2}} \det \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ -\hat{M}_{2,3}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{1,2}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{1,1}^{-1} & I \\ \hat{M}_{3,4}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{3,3} & \hat{M}_{2,2} & \hat{M}_{1,2}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{1,1}^{-1} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{M}_{n,n+1}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{n,n} & \hat{M}_{n,n+1} & I \\ \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} & I \\
\end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{t}{a_1^{2n+2}} \det \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ O & \hat{M}_{2,3}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{2,2} & \hat{M}_{1,2}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{1,1}^{-1} & I \\ \hat{M}_{3,4}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{3,3} & \hat{M}_{2,2} & \hat{M}_{1,2}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{1,1}^{-1} & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{M}_{n,n+1}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{n,n} & \hat{M}_{n,n+1} & I \\ \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1}^{-1} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} & \hat{M}_{n+1,n+1} & I \\
\end{pmatrix} \\
&= \ldots
\end{align*}
\]
which is described as by using A. It follows that the eigenvalues of the matrix B

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
O & I \\
O & * \\
O & * \\
\vdots & & & & \\
O & * \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
(-1)^{n-1} \hat{M}_{n,n+1} \hat{M}_{n,n} \cdots \hat{M}_{1,2} \hat{M}_{1,1}
\]

\[
(z I - \mathcal{A}_0)
\]

\[
= \frac{t}{a_1^{2n+2}} \det \left( O \begin{pmatrix} I \\ * \\ * \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

\[
\hat{M}_{n+1,1} \cdots \hat{M}_{n,1} \cdots \hat{M}_{1,1}
\]

\[
(z I - \mathcal{A}_0)
\]

\[
= \frac{t}{a_1^{2n+2}} \det \left( O \begin{pmatrix} I \\ O \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

\[
\hat{M}_{n+1,1} \cdots \hat{M}_{n,1} \cdots \hat{M}_{1,1}
\]

\[
(z I + \hat{M}_1)
\]

\[
\frac{t z - q^{-\frac{2}{n}}} {a_1^{2n+2}}
\]

\[
\left( z - a_1 \right) \left( z - b_1 \right)
\]

\[
(i = 1, \ldots, n, n + 1)
\]

(3.15)

On the other hand, thanks to Lemma 2.4 of [3], we have

\[
\det (z I - \hat{M}_{i,i}) = \left( z - \frac{a_i}{a_1} \right) \left( z - \frac{b_i}{a_1} \right)
\]

\[
(i = 1, \ldots, n + 1)
\]

It follows that the eigenvalues of the matrix \( \mathcal{A}_0 \) are \( q^{-\frac{2}{n}} r^{-1} \) and \( q^{\frac{2}{n}} a_1 b_1 \cdots a_{n+1} b_{n+1} \).

(2) We can prove easily by using (3.15) and

\[
\det (z I - \hat{M}_{i,i}) = \det \left( z \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a_1}{a_1} \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

\[
\left( z = \frac{a_i}{a_1} \right) \left( z = \frac{b_i}{a_1} \right)
\]

\[
(i = 1, \ldots, n + 1)
\]

(3) We will prove for the case \( n = 2m - 1 \). The matrix \( \mathcal{B} \) is rewritten into

\[
\mathcal{B} = z (\hat{M}_{1,2} \hat{M}_{1,1}) + (\hat{M}_{1,2} \hat{M}_{1,1})
\]

where

\[
\hat{M}_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t_{m+1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Then we can express the determinant of the matrix \( \mathcal{B} \) as

\[
\det \mathcal{B} = t^{m+1} \frac{a_1^2}{a_1^{2m+2}} (z - t_1) (z - t_2)
\]

The compatibility condition of system (3.11), that is \( T_2(\mathcal{A}) \mathcal{B} = T_{q,z}(\mathcal{B}) \mathcal{A} \), implies

\[
\det T_2(\mathcal{A}) \det \mathcal{B} = \det T_{q,z}(\mathcal{B}) \det \mathcal{A}
\]

which is described as

\[
q^2 \left( z - \frac{b_m}{q a_1} \right) \left( z - \frac{b_{n+1}}{q a_1} \right) (z - t_1) (z - t_2) = (q z - t_1) (q z - t_2) \left( z - \frac{b_m}{a_1} \right) \left( z - \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_1} \right)
\]

Then we obtain

\[
t_1 = \frac{b_m}{a_1}, \quad t_2 = \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_1}.
\]
We can prove for the case $n = 2m$ in a similar way.

**Remark 3.6.** The transformation from (3.9) to (3.11) is suggested by the previous work [20], in which the connection between $q$-Garnier system and $q$-$P_{(2^{n+1})}$ is clarified at the level of Lax forms with the aid of a duality in a reduction of the $q$-KP hierarchy.

### 3.3. $T_4$: Tsuda’s $q$-Painlevé system arising from $q$-LUC hierarchy.

The transformation $T_4$ acts on $\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_{2n+1}$ as

\[ T_4(\varphi_{2i}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{2i+2} \alpha_{2i+3}} \frac{(1 + \varphi_{2i+1})(\alpha_{2i+3} + \varphi_{2i+3})}{(1 + \varphi_{2i+2})(\alpha_{2i+1} + \varphi_{2i+1})} \varphi_{2i+2}, \]

\[ T_4(\varphi_{2i+1}) = \alpha_{2i+1} \frac{1}{\alpha_{2i+2}} \frac{1 + T_4(\varphi_{2i+2})}{1 + T_4(\varphi_{2i})} \frac{1}{\alpha_{2i+3} \alpha_{2i+4} \alpha_{2i+5}} \varphi_{2i+3}, \]  

for $i = 0, \ldots, n$. In this subsection we show that system (3.16) is equivalent to Tsuda’s $q$-Painlevé system given in Section 3.4 of [28].

Let $t = (t_0, t_1)$ a 2-tuple of independent variables defined by

\[ t_0 = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\varphi_{2i}} = \frac{1}{\beta^+ (\beta')^+} \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\alpha_{2i}}, \]

\[ t_1 = \prod_{i=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{2i+1}}{\varphi_{2i+1}} = \frac{\beta^+ (\beta')^+}{\prod_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{2i}^+ \alpha_{2i+1}}, \]

and $c = (c_0, \ldots, c_{2n+1})$ be a $(2n + 2)$-tuple of parameters defined by

\[ c_{2i} = t_0^{\delta_{2i}} t_1^{\delta_{2i}^{-1}} \alpha_{2i}, \quad c_{2i+1} = t_0^{\delta_{2i+1}} t_1^{\delta_{2i+1}^{-1}} \alpha_{2i+1} \quad (i = 0, \ldots, n). \]

The transformation $T_4$ acts on $(t, c)$ as

\[ T_4(t_0) = q t_0, \quad T_4(t_1) = q t_1, \]

and

\[ T_4^{m}(c_2) = c_{2i}, \quad T_4^{m}(c_{2i+1}) = c_{2i+1} \quad (n = 2m - 1), \]

\[ T_4^{2m+1}(c_2) = c_{2i}, \quad T_4^{2m+1}(c_{2i+1}) = c_{2i+1} \quad (n = 2m). \]

We now regard $\varphi_i (i = 0, \ldots, 2n + 1)$ as variables depending on $(t, c)$ and denote them by $\varphi_i(t, c)$. Then system (3.16) is rewritten into

\[ \varphi_2(\varphi; T_4(c)) = \frac{(1 + \varphi_{2i+1}(t; c)) \left\{ t_0^{\alpha_{2i+3}} t_1^{\alpha_{2i+3}} c_{2i+3} + \varphi_{2i+3}(t; c) \right\}}{(1 + \varphi_{2i+2}(t; c)) \left\{ t_0^{\alpha_{2i+2}} t_1^{\alpha_{2i+2}} c_{2i+2} + \varphi_{2i+2}(t; c) \right\}} \varphi_{2i+2}(t, c), \]

\[ \varphi_{2i+1}(\varphi; T_4(c)) = c_{2i+1} c_{2i+2} \frac{(1 + \varphi_{2i+2}(q t; T_4(c))) \left\{ t_0^{\alpha_{2i+2}} t_1^{\alpha_{2i+2}} c_{2i+2} + \varphi_{2i+2}(q t; T_4(c)) \right\}}{(1 + \varphi_{2i}(q t; T_4(c))) \left\{ t_0^{\alpha_{2i}} t_1^{\alpha_{2i}} c_{2i+3} c_{2i+4} c_{2i+5} + \varphi_{2i+2}(q t; T_4(c)) \right\}} \varphi_{2i+3}(t, c), \]

for $i = 0, \ldots, n$, where $q t = (q t_1, q t_2)$.  
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With the aid of the action of $T_4$ on parameters, we define a set of dependent variables by

$$f_{i,n-i+2k}(t) = t_0^{-\frac{i+1}{n+1}} \varphi_2(t; T_4^{i+1}(c)), \quad g_{i,n-i+2k-1}(t) = t_0^{-\frac{i+1}{n+1}} \varphi_2(i+1; T_4^{-i-k}(c)) \quad (i, k = 0, \ldots, n).$$

We also set

$$\alpha = -t_1^{-\frac{i+1}{n+1}}, \quad \beta = -t_1^{-\frac{i+1}{n+1}}, \quad \gamma = -t_1^{-\frac{i+1}{n+1}}, \quad \delta = -t_1^{-\frac{i+1}{n+1}},$$

$$c_{i,n-i+2k} = \frac{t_0^{-\frac{i+1}{n+1}} \varphi_2(i+1; c_2)}{q_{i+1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}, \quad c_{i,n-i+2k-1} = \frac{1}{t_0^{-\frac{i+1}{n+1}} \varphi_2(i+1; c_2)} \quad (i, k = 0, \ldots, n).$$

Here indices $i, j$ of $f_{i,j}(t), g_{i,j}(t), c_{i,j}$ are congruent modulo $n + 1$. Then system (3.17) is equivalent to Tsuda’s $q$-Painlevé system.

**Theorem 3.7.** The dependent variables $f_{i,n-i+2k}, g_{i,n-i+2k-1} (i, k = 0, \ldots, n)$ satisfy a system of $q$-difference equations

$$\begin{align*}
\overline{f}_{i,n-i+2k} &= \frac{c_{i,n-i+2k}}{c_{i,n-i+2k+1}} (g_{i+1,n-i+2k} - \alpha)(g_{i+1,n-i+2k+1} - c_{i,n-i+2k} + \beta)
\overline{g}_{i,n-i+2k-1} &= \frac{c_{i+1,n-i+2k-1}}{c_{i+1,n-i+2k}} \left( \overline{f}_{i+1,n-i+2k} - \gamma \overline{f}_{i+1,n-i+2k} - c_{i+1,n-i+2k} + \delta \right) \quad g_{i+1,n-i+2k},
\end{align*}$$

where

$$\overline{f}_{i,n-i+2k} = f_{i+1,n-i+2k}(q t), \quad \overline{g}_{i,n-i+2k-1} = g_{i+1,n-i+2k-1}(q t),$$

$$\overline{\alpha} = q^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \alpha, \quad \overline{\beta} = q^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \beta, \quad \overline{\gamma} = q^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \gamma, \quad \overline{\delta} = q^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \delta, \quad \overline{\alpha} = \overline{\gamma}, \quad \overline{\beta} = \overline{\delta}.$$
where $\lambda^{(1)}_{1,2n+3} = 1$ stands for

$$\lambda^{(1)}_{1,2n+3} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(1)}_{2n+3,1} = -1.$$  

Here we write only entries of the matrix which are changed by the mutation $\mu_1$. In a similar manner, the matrices $\left(\lambda^{(k)}_{i,j}\right)_{i,j}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+4,1} &= -1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+4,2} = -1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+4,3} = -1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+4,4} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+4,2n+3} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+4,4n+4} = 1, \\
\lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+4,4n+4} &= -1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{j,2n+3} = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, 3), \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{1,4n+4} = 0, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2,4n+4} = 0, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{3,4n+4} = -1,
\end{align*}
$$

for $k = 2n + 4$,  

$$
\lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+1,2n+2} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+1,2i+2n+2} = -1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+1,2i+2n+3} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+1,2i+2n+4} = -1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+1,4n+4} = 1,
$$

for $k = 2 + 1 \ (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1)$,  

$$
\lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+4,2i+1} = -1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+4,2i+2n+3} = -1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+4,2i+2n+4} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+4,2i+2n+3} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+4,2i+2n+4} = 1,
$$

for $k = 2i + 2n + 4 \ (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1)$ and  

$$
\lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+1,2n+2} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+1,4n+2} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+1,4n+3} = 1, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+1,2n+2} = 0, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+1,4n+2} = 0, \quad \lambda^{(2n+4)}_{2n+1,4n+3} = 1,
$$

for $k = 2n + 1$. The coefficients $y^{(1)}_{1}, \ldots, y^{(1)}_{4n+4}$ are given by

$$
y^{(1)}_{1} = \frac{1}{y_{1}}, \quad y^{(1)}_{2n+3} = y_{2n+3} \ (1 + y_{1}), \quad y^{(1)}_{2n+4} = \frac{y_{2n+4}}{1 + \frac{1}{y_{1}}}, \quad y^{(1)}_{4n+3} = \frac{y_{4n+3}}{1 + \frac{1}{y_{1}}}, \quad y^{(1)}_{4n+4} = y_{4n+4} \ (1 + y_{1}).
$$

Here we write only coefficients which are changed by the mutation $\mu_1$. In a similar manner, the coefficients $y^{(k)}_{1}, \ldots, y^{(k)}_{4n+4}$ are given by

$$
y^{(2n+4)}_{1} = \frac{y^{(1)}_{1}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{1}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2} = \frac{y^{(1)}_{2}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{3} = \frac{y^{(1)}_{3}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{3}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{4} = \frac{y^{(1)}_{4}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{4}}},
$$

for $k = 2n + 4$,  

$$
y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+1} = \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+1}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+2}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+2} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+2}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+2n+2}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+3} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+2n+3}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+2n+3}}},
$$

for $k = 2i + 1 \ (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1)$,  

$$
y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+1} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+1}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+1}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+3} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+3}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+3}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+4} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+4}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+4}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+5} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+5}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+5}}},
$$

for $k = 2i + 2n + 4 \ (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1)$,  

$$
y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+3} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+3}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+3}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+4} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+4}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+4}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+5} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+5}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+5}}}, \quad y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+6} = \frac{y^{(2n+4)}_{2i+6}}{1 + \frac{1}{y^{(1)}_{2i+6}}}.
\[ y_{2i+2n+3}^{(2i+2n+4)} = y_{2i+2n+3}^{(2i+1)} (1 + y_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+1)}), \quad y_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+2n+4)} = \frac{1}{y_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+1)}}, \quad y_{4n+4}^{(2i+2n+4)} = y_{4n+4}^{(2i+1)} (1 + y_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+1)}), \]

for \( k = 2i + 2n + 4 \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n - 1)\) and

\[
\begin{align*}
&y_{2n+1}^{(2n+1)} = \frac{1}{y_{2n+1}^{(4n+2)}}, \quad y_{2n+2}^{(2n+1)} = y_{2n+2}^{(4n+2)} (1 + y_{2n+1}^{(4n+2)}), \quad y_{4n+3}^{(2n+1)} = y_{4n+3}^{(4n+2)} (1 + y_{2n+1}^{(4n+2)}), \\
&y_{4n+4}^{(2n+1)} = \frac{y_{4n+2}^{(4n+2)}}{1 + \frac{1}{y_{2n+1}^{(4n+2)}}},
\end{align*}
\]

for \( k = 2n + 1 \).

After taking iterative mutations \( \mu_{2n+1} \ldots \mu_{1} \) and a transposition \( (2n + 1, 4n + 4) \), we have

\[
\left( y_{1}, \ldots, y_{4n+4}, (\lambda, \iota)_{i,j} \right)_{(2n+1,4n+4)\mu_{2n+1} \ldots \mu_{1}} \rightarrow \left( \hat{y}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{4n+4}, (\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\iota})_{i,j} \right),
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{y}_{1} &= \frac{y_{2n+4}}{1 + y_{1} y_{2n+4}},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{y}_{2i+1} &= y_{2i+2n+4} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2k+1} + y_{2k+2n+4} \right) (1 + y_{2j+1}) + \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} y_{2k+1} y_{2k+2n+4} (i = 1, \ldots, n - 1),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{y}_{2i+2} &= y_{2i+2n+4} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2k+1} + y_{2k+2n+4} \right) (1 + y_{2j+1}) (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{y}_{2n+1} &= y_{4n+4} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2k+1} + y_{2k+2n+4} \right) (1 + y_{2j+1}) + \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{2k+1} y_{2k+2n+4} (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{y}_{2i+2n+1} &= y_{2i+2n+4} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2k+1} + y_{2k+2n+4} \right) (1 + y_{2j+1}) + \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} y_{2k+1} y_{2k+2n+4} (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{y}_{2i+2n+2} &= y_{2i+2n+4} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2k+1} + y_{2k+2n+4} \right) (1 + y_{2j+1}) (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\hat{y}_{4n+3} = \frac{y_{4n+3}}{1 + y_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2k+1} + y_{2k+2n+4} \right) (1 + y_{2j+1}) + \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{2k+1} y_{2k+2n+4}},
\]

and

\[
\left( \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\iota} \right)_{i,j=1}^{4n+4} = -X_{1,4} + X_{1,2n+4} - X_{1,4n+3} - X_{2,4} + X_{2,2n+4} - X_{2,4n+3} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( X_{2} + X_{1,2i+2} - X_{2} + X_{1,2i+6} - X_{2} + X_{1,2i+2} + X_{2} + X_{1,2i+6} - X_{2} + X_{1,2i+6} + X_{2} + X_{1,2i+6} \right) + X_{2} + X_{1,2n+2} - X_{2} + X_{1,4n+2} + X_{2} + X_{1,4n+2} - X_{2} + X_{1,4n+2} + X_{2} + X_{1,4n+2} - X_{2} + X_{1,4n+2} - X_{2} + X_{1,4n+2}.
for $k$ for $k$ for $k$

Similarly as above, the matrices $(\hat{\lambda}_{i,j}^{(l)})$ are given by

$$
\hat{\lambda}_{2n+1,2n+2}^{(2i+2n+4)} = 1, \quad \hat{\lambda}_{2i+2n+4,2i+2n+3}^{(2i+2n+4)} = -1, \quad \hat{\lambda}_{2i+2n+4,2i+2n+3}^{(2i+2n+4)} = 0
$$

for $k = 2i + 2n + 4$ ($i = n - 1, \ldots, 1$),

$$
\hat{\lambda}_{2i+2n+4,2i+2n+3}^{(2i+1)} = 1, \quad \hat{\lambda}_{2i+2n+4,2i+2n+3}^{(2i+1)} = 0
$$

for $k = 2i + 1$ ($i = n - 1, \ldots, 1$),

for $k = 2n + 4$ and

for $k = 1$. The coefficients $\hat{y}_{2n+1}^{(k)}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{4n+4}^{(k)}$ are given by

$$
\hat{y}_{2n+1}^{(2n+1)} = \frac{1}{\hat{y}_{2n+1}}, \quad \hat{y}_{2n+2}^{(2n+1)} = \frac{\hat{y}_{2n+2}}{1 + \frac{1}{\hat{y}_{2n+1}}}, \quad \hat{y}_{4n+2}^{(2n+1)} = \hat{y}_{4n+2} (1 + \hat{y}_{2n+1}), \quad \hat{y}_{4n+3}^{(2n+1)} = \frac{\hat{y}_{4n+3}}{1 + \frac{1}{\hat{y}_{2n+1}}},
$$

for $k = 2n + 1$,

$$
\hat{y}_{2i+1}^{(2i+2n+4)} = \hat{y}_{2i+1}^{(2i+3)} (1 + \hat{y}_{2i+2n+4}), \quad \hat{y}_{2i+3}^{(2i+2n+4)} = \hat{y}_{2i+3}^{(2i+3)} (1 + \hat{y}_{2i+2n+4}), \quad \hat{y}_{2i+4}^{(2i+2n+4)} = \frac{\hat{y}_{2i+4}}{1 + \frac{1}{\hat{y}_{2i+2n+4}}},
$$

for $k = 2n + 4$.
for $k = 2i + 2n + 4$ ($i = n - 1, \ldots, 1$),

$$\hat{y}_{2i+2n+3}^{(2i+2n+4)} = \frac{y_{2i+2n+3}^{(2i+3)}}{1 + y_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+3)}}, \quad \hat{y}_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+2n+4)} = \frac{1}{y_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+3)}}, \quad \hat{y}_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+2n+4)} = \frac{y_{4n+4}^{(2i+3)}}{1 + y_{2i+2n+4}^{(2i+3)}},$$

for $k = 2i + 1$ ($i = n - 1, \ldots, 1$),

$$\hat{y}_{2i+1}^{(2i+1)} = \frac{1}{y_{2i+1}^{(2i+3)}}, \quad \hat{y}_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)} = \frac{y_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)}}{1 + y_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)}}, \quad \hat{y}_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)} = \frac{y_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)}}{1 + y_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)}}, \quad \hat{y}_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)} = \frac{y_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)}}{1 + y_{2i+2}^{(2i+1)}},$$

for $k = 2n + 4$ and

$$\hat{y}_{1}^{(2n+4)} = \frac{1}{y_{1}^{(2n+4)}}, \quad \hat{y}_{2n+3}^{(2n+4)} = \frac{y_{2n+3}^{(2n+4)}}{1 + y_{2n+4}^{(2n+4)}}, \quad \hat{y}_{2n+4}^{(2n+4)} = \frac{y_{2n+4}^{(2n+4)}}{1 + y_{2n+4}^{(2n+4)}},$$

for $k = 1$.

After taking iterative mutations $\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{2n+1}$, the matrix $(\hat{y}_{i,j}^{(1)})_{i,j}^{4n+4}$ is equivalent to one defined by (2.1). Moreover, we have

$$\hat{y}_{2i+1}^{(1)} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n}(\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2i+2k+1} y_{2i+2k+2n+4})(1 + y_{2i+2j+1})}{y_{2i+2n+4} \sum_{j=0}^{n}(\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2i+2k+3} y_{2i+2k+2n+6})(1 + y_{2i+2j+3})},$$

$$\hat{y}_{2i+2}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{y_{2i+2}^{(1)} y_{2i+2}},$$

(A.1)

$$\hat{y}_{2i+2}^{(1)} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n}(\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2i+2k+2n+4} y_{2i+2k+2n+6})(1 + y_{2i+2j+2n+4})}{y_{2i+3} \sum_{j=0}^{n}(\prod_{k=0}^{j-1} y_{2i+2k+2n+6} y_{2i+2k+3})(1 + y_{2i+2j+2n+6})},$$

$$\hat{y}_{2i+2n+4}^{(1)} = \frac{y_{2i+2n+3} y_{2i+2n+4}}{y_{2i+2n+3}},$$

where $y_{i+4n+4} = y_i$, for $i = 0, \ldots, n$. Then, substituting

$$y_{2i+1} = \varphi_{2i}, \quad y_{2i+2} = \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{\varphi_{2i}}, \quad y_{2i+2n+3} = \varphi_{2i+1}, \quad y_{2i+2n+4} = \frac{\alpha_{2i+1}}{\varphi_{2i+1}} (i = 0, \ldots, n),$$

to (A.1), we obtain the action of $s_0$ given by (2.2) and (2.3).
Appendix B. Explicit Formula and Lax Form of $q$-Painlevé System $q$-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$

The $q$-Painlevé system $q$-$P_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is expressed as the system of $q$-difference equations

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_i \bar{f}_i &= q t \frac{F_i F_{i+1} \left( \frac{b_i}{b_0} - 1 \right) \left( g_i - a_{i+1} \right)}{F_{n+1} F_1 \left( \frac{b_0}{b_0} - 1 \right) \left( g_0 - a_1 \right)}, \\
    \bar{g}_i \bar{f}_i &= \frac{F_{i+1} G_i}{F_i G_{i+1}} (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\end{align*}
\]

where $g_0 g_1 \cdots g_n = q^{-\frac{n^2}{2}} t^{-1}$, $b_0 = q b_{n+1}$ and

\[
F_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j + t \sum_{j=i}^{n} f_j + t, \\
G_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{k=j}^{i-1} b_k a_{k+1} \frac{\prod_{l=j}^{n} g_l f_j + q^2 t \prod_{k=j}^{n} b_k a_{k+1} + q^n t \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{n+1} a_1 \prod_{l=j}^{n} b_k a_{k+1} \prod_{l=j}^{n} g_l f_j}{\prod_{k=j}^{n} g_l}
\]

for $i = 1, \ldots, n + 1$. Here we use notations

\[
\overline{x(t)} = x(q t), \quad \overline{x(t)} = x(q^{-1} t) \quad (t, q \in \mathbb{C}, \ |q| < 1).
\]

Note that $q$-$P_{(2,2)}$ coincides with $q$-$P_{V1}$.

We define birational transformations $r_0, r_2, \ldots, r_{2n}$ by

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{2j}(b_j) &= a_{j+1}, \quad r_{2j}(a_{j+1}) = b_j, \quad r_{2j}(b_i) = b_i, \quad r_{2j}(a_{i+1}) = a_{i+1} \quad (i \neq j),
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{2j}(f_i) &= f_i, \quad r_{2j}(g_i) = g_i.
\end{align*}
\]

We also define birational transformations $r_1, r_3, \ldots, r_{2n+1}$ by

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{2j+1}(a_{j+1}) &= b_{j+1}, \quad r_{2j+1}(b_{j+1}) = a_{j+1}, \quad r_{2j+1}(a_i) = a_j, \quad r_{2j+1}(b_i) = b_j \quad (i \neq j + 1),
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_1(f_i) &= f_i \frac{R_{i+1}^{a,a,a}}{R_i^{a,a,b}}, \quad r_1(g_i) = g_i \frac{R_{i+1}^{b,a,a}}{R_i^{b,b,b}}, \quad r_1(f_i) = f_i \frac{R_{i+1}^{a,a,a}}{R_i^{a,a,b}}, \quad r_1(g_i) = g_i \quad (i \neq j),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{2j+1}(f_j) &= f_j \frac{R_{j+1}^{a,b,b}}{R_{j+1}^{a,a,a}}, \quad r_{2j+1}(g_j) = g_j \frac{R_{j+1}^{b,b,b}}{R_{j+1}^{a,a,a}}, \quad r_{2j+1}(f_{j+1}) = f_{j+1} \frac{R_{j+1}^{a,a,a}}{R_{j+1}^{a,a,b}}, \quad r_{2j+1}(g_{j+1}) = g_{j+1} \frac{R_{j+1}^{b,b,b}}{R_{j+1}^{a,a,a}},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{2j+1}(f_i) &= f_i, \quad r_{2j+1}(g_i) = g_i \quad (j = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \ i \neq j, \ j + 1),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{2n+1}(f_n) &= f_n \frac{R_{n+1}^{a,a,a}}{R_{n+1}^{a,a,a}}, \quad r_{2n+1}(g_n) = g_n \frac{R_{n+1}^{b,b,b}}{R_{n+1}^{a,a,a}}, \quad r_{2n+1}(f_i) = f_i \frac{R_{n+1}^{a,a,a}}{R_{n+1}^{a,a,a}}, \quad r_{2n+1}(g_i) = g_i \quad (i \neq n),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
R_{j}^{a,b,y} = (g_j - \alpha_j) \frac{1}{f_j} + \left( \beta_j b_{j-1} - \frac{1}{g_{j-1}} - \gamma_j \right) \frac{1}{f_{j-1}} \quad (j \neq n + 1),
\]

\[
R_{n+1}^{a,b,y} = \frac{1}{q} (g_0 - q \alpha_{n+1}) + \left( \beta_{n+1} b_n - \frac{1}{g_n} - \gamma_{n+1} \right) \frac{1}{f_n}.
\]

A birational transformation $\tilde{\pi}$ is defined by

\[
\tilde{\pi}(a_i) = \frac{b_i}{q^{\alpha_i}}, \quad \tilde{\pi}(b_i) = \frac{a_{i+1}}{q^{\alpha_i}} \quad (i \neq n + 1),
\]
\[ \tilde{\pi}(a_{n+1}) = \frac{b_{n+1}}{q^{a_1}}, \quad \tilde{\pi}(b_{n+1}) = \frac{a_1}{q^{b_{n+1}}}, \quad \tilde{\pi}(\rho_1) = -\rho_1 - \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad \tilde{\pi}(t) = \frac{q^2}{t}, \]

where

\[ q^{(n+1)\rho_1} = q^a a_1 b_1 \ldots a_{n+1} b_{n+1}. \]

and

\[
\tilde{\pi}(f_i) = \frac{q^2 (g_i R_i^* - b_i R_{i+1}^*) (b_{i+1} - g_{i+1}) (R_{i+1}^* + 1 - \frac{1}{q}) f_i}{t (g_0 R_0^* - b_1 R_1^*) (b_1 - g_1) (R_1^* + 1 - \frac{1}{q}) f_{i+1}}, \quad \tilde{\pi}(g_i) = \frac{a_{i+1} b_{i+1} R_{i+1}^*}{q^{g_{i+1}}} \frac{g_i R_i^*}{g_i R_i^*} (i \neq n),
\]

\[
\tilde{\pi}(f_n) = q \frac{(g_0 R_0^* - b_1 R_1^*) (b_0 - g_0) (R_0^* + 1 - \frac{1}{q}) f_1}{(g_0 R_0^* - b_1 R_1^*) (b_1 - g_1) (R_1^* + 1 - \frac{1}{q}) f_0}, \quad \tilde{\pi}(g_n) = \frac{a_{n+1} b_{n+1} R_0^*}{q^{g_1}} \frac{g_n R_n^*}{g_n R_n^*},
\]

where

\[
R_i^* = -\frac{f_i}{b_i - g_i} \left( t \sum_{j=1}^{i} R_j^{b,a,a} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n+1} R_j^{b,a,a} \right),
\]

\[
= \frac{f_i}{b_i - g_i} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{a_{j+1}}{g_j} \right) (b_j - g_j) f_j + \frac{1}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{a_{i+1}}{g_i} \right) (b_i - g_i) f_i + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n+1} \left( 1 - \frac{a_{j+1}}{g_j} \right) (b_j - g_j) f_j \right).
\]

Here we set \( f_0 = t \). Then the system \( q-P_{(n+1,n+1)} \) is invariant under the actions of the transformations \( r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1} \) and \( \tilde{\pi} \). Moreover, a group of birational transformations \( \langle r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1}, \tilde{\pi} \rangle \) is isomorphic to an extended affine Weyl group of type \( A_{2n+1}^{(1)} \).

We next recall the Lax form of \( q-P_{(n+1,n+1)} \). Consider a system of linear \( q \)-difference equations

\[
\psi(q^{-1}z, t) = M(z, t) \psi(z, t), \quad \psi(z, q^{-1}t) = B(z, t) \psi(z, t), \quad \psi(z, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_{2n+2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{(B.2)}
\]

with \((2n + 2) \times (2n + 2)\) matrices

\[
M(z, t) = \begin{pmatrix}
M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & M_{1,3} & \cdots & M_{1,n+1} \\
M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} & M_{2,3} & \cdots & M_{2,n+1} \\
M_{3,1} & M_{3,2} & M_{3,3} & \cdots & M_{3,n+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
M_{n,1} & M_{n,2} & M_{n,3} & \cdots & M_{n,n+1} \\
n M_{n+1,1} & M_{n+1,2} & M_{n+1,3} & \cdots & M_{n+1,n+1}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
M_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & y_{i-1} - y_i \\ 0 & b_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n + 1),
\]

\[
M_{i,i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ x_{i} - x_{i+1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \quad M_{n+1,1} = \begin{pmatrix} -t & 0 \\ t_{n+1} - x_1 & -1 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
25
\]
and

\[
B(z, t) = \begin{pmatrix}
B_{1,1} & B_{1,2} & \cdots & B_{1,n} & B_{1,n+1} \\
B_{2,1} & B_{2,2} & \cdots & B_{2,n} & B_{2,n+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
B_{n,1} & B_{n,2} & \cdots & B_{n,n} & B_{n,n+1} \\
B_{n+1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & B_{n+1,n} & B_{n+1,n+1}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
B_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{a_i}{1 + x_j y_i - 1} & -y_i \\
0 & 1 + x_j y_i
\end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n+1),
\]

\[
B_{i,i+1} = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \quad B_{n+1,1} = \begin{pmatrix}
-t \\
t x_{n+1}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Here we set \(y_0 = \frac{q}{t} y_{n+1}\). We also use a notation \(x_0 = t x_{n+1}\) below. Note that the symbol \(x_i\) (resp. \(y_i\)) doesn’t stand for a cluster variable (resp. a coefficient). The compatibility condition of (B.2), that is \(M(z, q^{-1} t) B(z, t) = B(q^{-1} z, t) M(z, t)\), implies a system of \(q\)-difference equations of \((2n + 2)\)-nd order

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{i-1} - x_i &= \frac{b_{i-1} x_{i-1}}{1 + x_i y_{i-1}} - \frac{a_i x_i}{1 + x_i y_i} \\
y_{i-1} - y_i &= \frac{a_i y_{i-1}}{1 + x_i y_{i-1}} - \frac{b_i y_i}{1 + x_i y_i}
\end{align*}
\]

with 1 constraint

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \frac{1 + x_i y_i}{1 + x_i y_{i-1}} = q^{-\frac{t}{2}}.
\]

If, in system (B.3), we set

\[
f_i = t \frac{x_i - x_{i+1}}{t x_{n+1} - x_1}, \quad g_i = a_i \frac{x_{i+1} (1 + x_i y_i)}{x_i (1 + x_{i+1} y_i)} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),
\]

then they satisfy system (B.1).

We also consider gauge transformations for system (B.2)

\[
r_j(\psi) = \Gamma_j \psi \quad (j = 0, \ldots, 2n + 1), \quad \tilde{r}^2(\psi) = \Pi \psi,
\]

where

\[
\Gamma_0 = I + \frac{b_{n+1} - a_1}{t x_{n+1} - x_1} z^{-1} E_{1,2n+2}, \quad \Gamma_{2j} = I + \frac{b_j - a_{j+1}}{x_j - x_{j+1}} E_{2j+1,2j} \quad (j = 1, \ldots, n),
\]

\[
\Gamma_{2j+1} = I + \frac{a_{j+1} - b_{j+1}}{y_j - y_{j+1}} E_{2j+2,2j+1} \quad (j = 0, \ldots, n),
\]

\[
\Pi = z^{-\frac{t}{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{\frac{t}{2}} t^{\frac{t}{2}} E_{2i-1,2i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{\frac{t}{2}} t^{\frac{t}{2}} E_{2i,2i+2} + q^{\frac{t}{2}} t^{\frac{t}{2}} E_{2n+1,1} + q^{\frac{t}{2}} t^{\frac{t}{2}} E_{2n+2,2} \right).
\]

Here the symbol \(I\) stands for the identity matrix and \(E_{i,j}\) a \((2n + 2) \times (2n + 2)\) matrix with 1 in \((i, j)\)-th entry and 0 elsewhere. The compatibility condition of (B.2) and (B.4), that is

\[
r_i(M(z)) \Gamma_i(z) = \Gamma_i(q^{-1} z) M(z) \quad (j = 0, \ldots, 2n + 1), \quad \tilde{r}^2(M(z)) \Pi(z) = \Pi(q^{-1} z) M(z),
\]
implies the actions of birational transformations \( r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1} \) and \( \tilde{\pi}^2 \) on \((x_i, y_i)\). They are described as

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{r}_{2j-2}(x_{i-1}) &= x_{i-1}, & \tilde{r}_{2j-2}(y_{i-1}) &= y_{i-1} - \delta_{i,j} \frac{b_j - a_j}{x_{j-1} - x_j} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n + 1), \\
\tilde{r}_{2j-1}(x_i) &= x_i - \delta_{i,j} \frac{a_j - b_j}{y_{j-1} - y_j}, & \tilde{r}_{2j-1}(y_i) &= y_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n + 1),
\end{align*}
\]

where the symbol \( \delta_{i,j} \) stands for the Kronecker’s delta, and

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\pi}^2(x_i) &= t^{\pi i} x_{i+1}, & \tilde{\pi}^2(y_i) &= q^{\frac{\pi i}{2\pi}} t^{-\frac{\pi i}{2\pi}} y_{i+1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \\
\tilde{\pi}^2(x_{n+1}) &= t^{-\frac{\pi i}{2\pi}} x_1, & \tilde{\pi}^2(y_{n+1}) &= q^{-\frac{\pi i}{2\pi}} t^{\frac{\pi i}{2\pi}} y_1,
\end{align*}
\]

from which we obtain the actions of \( r_0, \ldots, r_{2n+1} \) and \( \tilde{\pi}^2 \) on \((f_i, g_i)\); see [27] for its detail. Note that we haven’t found a gauge transformation which gives the transformation \( \tilde{\pi} \) yet.
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