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Abstract: The reciprocal degree resistance distance index of a connected graph $G$ is defined as
$$RDR(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} \frac{d_G(u) + d_G(v)}{r_G(u,v)},$$
where $r_G(u,v)$ is the resistance distance between vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$. Let $U_n$ denote the set of unicyclic graphs with $n$ vertices. We study the graph with maximum reciprocal degree resistance distance index among all graphs in $U_n$, and characterize the corresponding extremal graph.
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1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph of order $n$ with vertex set $V = V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E = E(G)$. For any $u \in V(G)$, $d_G(u)$ is the degree of vertex $u$, the distance between vertices of $u$ and $v$, denoted by $d_G(u, v)$, is the length of a shortest path between them. Topological indices are numbers associated with molecular structures which serve for quantitative relationships between chemical structures and properties. The first such index was published by Wiener\textsuperscript{30}, but the name topological index was invented by Hosoya \textsuperscript{16}. Many of them are based on the graph distance \textsuperscript{38}, the vertex degree \textsuperscript{10}. In addition, several graph invariants are based on both the vertex degree and the graph distance \textsuperscript{7}.

One of the most intensively studied topological indices is the Wiener index. The Wiener index was introduced by American chemist H. Wiener in \textsuperscript{30}, defined as
$$W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} d_G(u, v).$$

Another distance-based graph invariant Harary index, has been introduced by Plavšić et al.\textsuperscript{8}

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: guidongy@163.com (G.D. Yu)
and independently by Ivanciuc et al.\[15\] in 1993 for the characterization of molecular graphs. The Harary index $H(G)$ of graph $G$ is defined as

$$H(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(u,v)}.$$

For more results related to Harary index, please refer to \[8, 9, 25, 32, 33, 34, 35, 39, 41\].

The resistance distance $r(u,v)$ (if more than one graphs are considered, we write $r_G(u,v)$ in order to avoid confusion) between vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$ is defined as the effective resistance between the two nodes of the electronic network obtained so that its nodes correspond the vertices of $G$ and each edges of $G$ is replaced by a resistor of unit resistance, which is compared by the methods of the theory of resistive electrical networks based on Ohm’s and Kirchoff’s laws.

The Kirchhoff index $Kf(G)$ of a graph $G$ is defined as \[2, 19\]

$$Kf(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} r_G(u,v).$$

As a new structure-descriptor, Kirchhoff index is well studied, see recent papers \[3, 6, 21, 22, 23, 26, 28, 36, 37, 40, 42, 43\]. In 2017 Chen et al.\[4\] introduced a new graph invariant reciprocal to Kirchoff index, named Resistance-Harary index, as

$$RH(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} \frac{1}{r_G(u,v)}.$$

For more results related to Resistance-Harary index, please refer to \[31, 4\].

The first and the second Zagreb indices are defined as

$$M_1 = M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)^2 = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G(u) + d_G(v)),$$

and

$$M_2 = M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_G(u)d_G(v),$$

respectively. These are the oldest \[11, 12\] and best studied degree-based topological indices (see the reviews \[13\], recent papers \[14, 20\], and the references cited therein).

Dobrynin and Kochetova\[5\] and Gutman\[15\] independently proposed a vertex-degree-weighted version of Wiener index called degree distance, which is defined for a connected graph $G$ as

$$DD(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} (d_G(u) + d_G(v))d_G(u,v).$$
The reciprocal degree distance \( \text{RDD}(G) \) is defined as
\[
\text{RDD}(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{u,v\} \in V(G)} \frac{d_G(u) + d_G(v)}{d_G(u,v)}.
\]
Hua and Zhang \cite{Hua2015} have obtained lower and upper bounds for the reciprocal degree distance of graph in terms of other graph invariants. The chemical applications and mathematical properties of the reciprocal degree distance are well studied in \cite{Hua2015, Zhang2016}.

Analogous to the relationship between degree distance and reciprocal degree distance, we introduce here a new graph invariant based on both the vertex degree and the graph distance, named the reciprocal degree resistance distance index, as
\[
\text{RDR}(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} \frac{d_G(u) + d_G(v)}{r_G(u,v)}.
\]

A unicyclic graph is a connected graph with \( n \) vertices and \( n \) edges. Let \( \mathcal{U}_n \) denote the set of unicyclic graphs with \( n \) vertices. In this paper, we determine the graph with maximum reciprocal degree resistance distance index among all graph in \( \mathcal{U}_n \), and characterize the corresponding extremal graph.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some useful lemmas and three transformations.

Let \( C_g = v_1v_2 \cdots v_gv_1 \) be the cycle on \( g \geq 3 \) vertices. For any two vertices \( v_i, v_j \in V(C_g) \) with \( i < j \), by Ohm’s law, one has
\[
r_{C_g}(v_i, v_j) = \frac{(j - i)(g + i - j)}{g}
\]

**Lemma 2.1** \cite{Hua2015} Let \( x \) be a cut vertex of a connected graph \( G \) and let \( a \) and \( b \) be vertices occurring in different components which arise upon deletion of \( x \). Then
\[
r_G(a, b) = r_G(a, x) + r_G(x, b)
\]

### 2.1 Edge-lifting transformation

Let \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) be two graphs with \( n_1 \geq 2 \) and \( n_2 \geq 2 \) vertices, respectively. If \( G \) is the graph obtained from \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) by adding an edge between a vertex \( u_0 \) of \( G_1 \) to a vertex \( v_0 \) of \( G_2 \). And \( G' \) is the graph obtained by identifying \( u_0 \) of \( G_1 \) to a vertex \( v_0 \) of \( G_2 \) and adding a pendent edge to \( u_0(v_0) \). We say that \( G' \) is obtained from \( G \) by an edge-lifting transformation at \( e = \{u_0, v_0\} \), see Fig 1.
LEMMMA 2.2 If \( G' \) can be obtained from \( G \) by an edge-lifting transformation, then \( RDR(G) < RDR(G') \)

Proof. Let \( G \) and \( G' \) be shown in Fig.1.1. By the definition of \( RDR(G) \),

\[
RDR(G) = \sum_{x,y \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)} + \sum_{x,y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_G(u_0) + d_G(x)}{r_G(u_0, x)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_G(u_0) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_0, x) + 1} + \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}, y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, u_0) + r_G(v_0, y)} + \frac{d_G(u_0) + d_G(v_0)}{r_G(u_0, v_0)}
\]

\[
RDR(G') = \sum_{x,y \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x,y)} + \sum_{x,y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x,y)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(u_0) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(u_0, x)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(v_0) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(v_0, x) + 1} + \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}, y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, u_0) + r_{G'}(v_0, y)} + \frac{d_{G'}(u_0) + d_{G'}(v_0)}{r_{G'}(u_0, v_0)}
\]

(i) Noting that \( d_G(x) + d_G(y) = d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y) \), \( r_G(x,y) = r_{G'}(x,y) \) for \( x, y \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\} \) or \( x, y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\} \), and \( d_G(u_0) + d_G(v_0) = d_{G'}(u_0) + d_{G'}(v_0) \), \( r_G(u_0, v_0) = r_{G'}(u_0, v_0) \), then we have
\[
\sum_{x,y \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \sum_{x,y \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)},
\]
\[
\sum_{x,y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \sum_{x,y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)},
\]
\[
\frac{d_G(u_0) + d_G(v_0)}{r_G(u_0, v_0)} = \frac{d_{G'}(u_0) + d_{G'}(v_0)}{r_{G'}(u_0, v_0)}.
\]

(ii) Noting that \(d_G(x) + d_G(y) = d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)\), \(r_G(x, u_0) + r_G(v_0, y) = r_{G'}(x, u_0) + r_{G'}(v_0, y)\) for \(x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}, y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}\), then we have
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}, y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, u_0) + 1 + r_G(v_0, y)} < \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}, y \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, u_0) + r_{G'}(v_0, y)}.
\]

(iii) Noting that \(r_{G'}(u_0, x) = r_G(u_0, x)\) for any \(x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}\), and \(d_{G'}(u_0) = d_G(u_0) + d_G(v_0) - 1\), then we have
\[
(\sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(u_0) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(u_0, x)} - \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_G(u_0) + d_G(x)}{r_G(u_0, x)}) +
\]
\[
(\sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(v_0) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(v_0, x)} - \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_G(v_0) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_0, x)}) =
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{d_G(v_0) - 1}{r_G(u_0, x)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{u_0\}} \frac{1 - d_G(v_0)}{r_G(u_0, x) + 1} > 0.
\]

(iv) Noting that \(r_{G'}(v_0, x) = r_G(v_0, x), d_{G'}(x) = d_G(x)\) for any \(x \in V(G_2), d_{G'}(v_0) = d_G(u_0) + d_G(v_0) - 1\), then we have
\[
(\sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(v_0) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(v_0, x)} - \sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_G(v_0) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_0, x)}) +
\]
\[
(\sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_{G'}(u_0) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(u_0, x)} - \sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_G(u_0) + d_G(x)}{r_G(u_0, x)}) =
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{d_G(u_0) - 1}{r_G(v_0, x)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_0\}} \frac{1 - d_G(u_0)}{r_G(v_0, x) + 1} > 0.
\]

Thus by (i)-(iv), we get \(RDR(G') - RDR(G) > 0\), the results follows. \(\blacksquare\)

2.2 Cycle-lifting transformation

Let \(G\) be a graph as shown in Fig 2. Take a cycle \(C\) in \(G\), say \(C = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_p v_1\), \(G\) can be viewed as a graph obtained by coalescing \(C\) with a number of star subgraphs of \(G\), say \(G_1, G_2, \cdots G_p\), by
identifying $v_i$ with the center of $G_i$ for all $i(1 \leq i \leq p)$, denoted $|E(G_i)| = s_i$, and $|V(G_i)| = s_i + 1$. Deleting all edges in $G_i$, joining $v_1$ to all pendent vertices of $G_i (2 \leq i \leq p)$, we obtained a new graph, denoted by $G'$. (see Fig 2). This operation is called a cycle-lifting transformation of $G$ with respect to $C$.

\[ RDR(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x,y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(v_i) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_i,x)} + \sum_{i \neq j}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}, y \in V(G_j) \setminus \{v_j\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,v_i)+r_G(v_i,v_j)+r_G(v_j,y)} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_p) \setminus \{v_i\}} \sum_{y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)} \]

\[ RDR(G') = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x,y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G'(x) + d_G'(y)}{r_G'(x,y)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G'(v_i) + d_G'(x)}{r_G'(v_i,x)} + \sum_{i \neq j}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}, y \in V(G_j) \setminus \{v_j\}} \frac{d_G'(x) + d_G'(y)}{r_G'(x,v_i)+r_G'(v_i,v_j)+r_G'(v_j,y)} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_p) \setminus \{v_i\}} \sum_{y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G'(x) + d_G'(y)}{r_G'(x,y)} + \sum_{x,y \in V(G_p)} \frac{d_G'(x) + d_G'(y)}{r_G'(x,y)}. \]
(i) Noting that $d_G(x) + d_G(y) = d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)$, $r_G(x, y) = r_{G'}(x, y)$ for $x, y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}$ in $G$, and $x, y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}$ in $G'$, then we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x,y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x,y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)}.
$$

(ii) Let $r_G(v_i, v_j) = r_{G'}(v_i, v_j) = r_t$, when $|j - i| = t$, then $r_t = r_{p-t}$. $d_G(v_i) = s_i + 2$ for any $v_i \in V(C_p)$ in $G$, $d_{G'}(v_1) = s_1 + \cdots + s_p + 2$ for $v_1 \in V(C_p)$ in $G'$, then we have

$$
\sum_{x,y \in V(C_p)} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \sum_{i=2}^{p} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_1, v_i)} + \sum_{i=3}^{p} \frac{d_G(v_2) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_2, v_i)} + \cdots + \frac{d_G(v_{p-1}) + d_G(v_p)}{r_G(v_{p-1}, v_p)}.
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{p} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_1, v_i)} = \frac{s_1 + s_2 + 4}{r_1} + \frac{s_1 + s_3 + 4}{r_2} + \cdots + \frac{s_1 + s_p + 4}{r_{p-1}},
$$

$$
\sum_{i=3}^{p} \frac{d_G(v_2) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_2, v_i)} = \frac{s_2 + s_3 + 4}{r_1} + \cdots + \frac{s_2 + s_p + 4}{r_{p-2}},
$$

$$
\frac{d_G(v_{p-1}) + d_G(v_p)}{r_G(v_{p-1}, v_p)} = \frac{s_{p-1} + s_p + 4}{r_1}.
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{x,y \in V(C_p)} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \left(\frac{s_1 + \cdots + s_{p-1}}{r_1} + \frac{s_2 + \cdots + s_p + 4(p-1)}{r_1}\right)
$$

$$
+ \left(\frac{s_1 + \cdots + s_{p-2}}{r_2} + \frac{s_3 + \cdots + s_p + 4(p-2)}{r_2}\right)
$$

$$
+ \cdots + \frac{s_1 + s_p + 4}{r_{p-1}}.
$$

Similarly

$$
\sum_{x,y \in V(C_p)} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} = \left(\frac{s_1 + \cdots + s_p + 4(p-1)}{r_1} + \frac{s_1 + \cdots + s_p + 4(p-2)}{r_2}\right)
$$

$$
+ \cdots + \frac{s_1 + \cdots + s_p + 4}{r_{p-1}}.
$$
Then
\[
\sum_{x,y \in V(C_p)} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x,y)} - \sum_{x,y \in V(C_p)} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)} = \frac{s_2 + \cdots + s_{p-1}}{r_1} + \frac{s_3 + \cdots + s_{p-2}}{r_2} + \cdots + \frac{-(s_2 + \cdots + s_{p-1})}{r_{p-1}} = 0.
\]

(iii) Noting that \(d_G(x) + d_G(y) = d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y), r_G(x, v_i) + r_G(v_j, y) = r_{G'}(x, v_i) + r_{G'}(v_j, y)\) for \(x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}, y \in V(G_j) \setminus \{v_j\}\) in \(G\), and for \(x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}, y \in V(G_j) \setminus \{v_j\}\) in \(G'\), then we have
\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^p \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}}^{i \neq j} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, v_i) + r_G(v_i, v_j) + r_G(v_j, y)} < \sum_{i,j=1}^p \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}}^{i \neq j} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, v_i) + r_{G'}(v_i, y)}.
\]

(iv) Noting that \(r_G(v_i, x) = r_{G'}(v_i, x) = 1, d_G(v_i) + d_G(x) = s_1 + 3\) for \(x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}\) in \(G\), \(d_{G'}(v_i) + d_{G'}(x) = s_1 + \cdots + s_p + 3\) for \(x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}\) in \(G'\), then we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(v_i) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_i, x)} = s_1(s_1 + 3) + s_2(2s_2 + 3) + \cdots + s_p(s_p + 3) = \sum_{i=1}^p s_i(s_i + 3).
\]
\[
\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(v_i) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(v_i, x)} = s_1(s_1 + \cdots + s_p + 3) + \cdots + s_p(s_1 + \cdots + s_p + 3) = \sum_{i=1}^p s_i(s_1 + \cdots + s_p + 3).
\]

Thus we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(v_i) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(v_i, x)} - \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(v_i) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_i, x)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^p s_i \cdot s_j.
\]

(v) Noting that \(d_G(x) + d_G(y) = 3 + s_i, d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y) = 3, r_G(x, y) = r_{G'}(x, y)\) for any \(x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}, y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}\) in \(G\), and for \(x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}, y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}\) in \(G'\), when \(s_{i+t} > s_p (1 \leq t \leq p - 1)\), then \(s_{i+t} = s_{i+t-p}\), then we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}}^{y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)} = \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}}^{y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)} + \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}}^{y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)} + \cdots + \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}}^{y \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x,y)}.
\]
Thus
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_1) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \frac{s_1(3 + s_2)}{r_1 + 1} + \frac{s_1(3 + s_3)}{r_2 + 1} + \cdots + \frac{s_1(3 + s_p)}{r_{p-1} + 1},
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_2) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \frac{s_2(3 + s_3)}{r_1 + 1} + \frac{s_2(3 + s_4)}{r_2 + 1} + \cdots + \frac{s_2(3 + s_1)}{r_{p-1} + 1},
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_p) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \cdots
\]
thus we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{s_i(3 + s_{i+1})}{r_1 + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{s_i(3 + s_{i+2})}{r_2 + 1} + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{s_i(3 + s_{i+p-1})}{r_{p-1} + 1}.
\]
Thus
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{p} \frac{s_i \cdot (3 + s_j)}{r_G(v_i, v_j) + 1}.
\]
Similarly
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} = \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} + \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} + \cdots + \sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)}
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} = \frac{3s_1}{r_1 + 1} + \frac{3s_1}{r_2 + 1} + \cdots + \frac{3s_1}{r_{p-1} + 1},
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} = \frac{3s_2}{r_1 + 1} + \frac{3s_2}{r_2 + 1} + \cdots + \frac{3s_2}{r_{p-1} + 1},
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} = \cdots
\]
\[
\sum_{x \in V(G_i) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} = \frac{3s_p}{r_1 + 1} + \frac{3s_p}{r_2 + 1} + \cdots + \frac{3s_p}{r_{p-1} + 1}.
\]
Thus we have
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{3s_i}{r_1 + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{3s_i}{r_2 + 1} + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{3s_i}{r_{p-1} + 1}. \]

Then
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_i\}} \frac{d_{G}(x) + d_{G}(y)}{r_{G}(x, y)} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^{p} \frac{s_i \cdot s_j}{r_{G}(v_i, v_j) + 1}. \]

Thus by (i)-(v), we get \( RDR(G') - RDR(G) > 0 \), the results follows. □

2.3 Cycle-shrinking transformation

Denote by \( S_n^p \) the unicyclic graph obtained from cycle \( C_p \) by attaching \( n-p \) pendent edges to a vertex \( v_1 \) of \( C_p \) (see Fig 3). Let \( G = S_n^p \) \((p \geq 4)\), deleting the edges \( v_2v_3, \ldots, v_{p-1}v_p \), and adding the edges \( v_2v_p, v_3v_1, \ldots, v_{p-1}v_1 \), we obtain the graph \( G' = S_n^3 \). This operation is called cycle-shrinking transformation. Denoted by \( W(W', \text{resp}) \), the set of pendent vertices of \( G(G', \text{resp}) \). Let \(|W| = k, |W'| = k'\). It is clear that \( p + k = n \), and \( 3 + k' = n \). Then, \( k' = k + (p - 3) \), thus \( W' \) can be partitioned into two subsets. One has \( k \) vertices, which is naturally corresponding to \( W \). So we also denote it by \( W \), another has \( p - 3 \) vertices, denoted by \( \tilde{W} \).

\[ \text{Fig 3: The cycle-shrinking transformation} \]

\textbf{Lemma 2.4} Let \( G \) be an unicyclic graph of order \( n \) and a cycle \( C_p \) with \( p \geq 4 \), if \( G' \) can be obtained from \( G \) by a cycle-shrinking transformation, then \( RDR(G) < RDR(G') \).
Proof. Let $G$ and $G'$ be shown in Fig.1.3. By the definition of $RDR(G)$,

$$RDR(G) = \sum_{x, y \in W} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} + \sum_{x \in W} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} + \sum_{y \in \{v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)}$$

$$+ \sum_{x \in W, y \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} + \sum_{y \in \{v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} + \sum_{x \in W, y \in \tilde{W}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} + \sum_{x, y \in \tilde{W}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} + \sum_{y \in \{v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} + \sum_{x \in W} \frac{d_G(v_2) + d_G(v_p)}{r_G(v_2, v_p)}.$$ 

(i) Noting that $d_G(x) + d_G(y) = d_G'(x) + d_G'(y)$, $r_G(x, y) = r_G'(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in W$, then we have

$$\sum_{x, y \in W} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \sum_{x, y \in W} \frac{d_G'(x) + d_G'(y)}{r_G'(x, y)}.$$ 

(ii) Noting that $d_G(x) + d_G(y) = d_G'(x) + d_G'(y) = 3$, $r_G(x, y) = \frac{n-1}{p} + 1 \geq r_G'(x, y) = \frac{2}{3} + 1$ for any $x \in W, y \in \{v_2, v_p\}$ in $G$ and $x \in W, y \in \{v_2, v_p\}$ in $G'$, then we have

$$\sum_{x \in W} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G'(x, y)} \geq 0.$$ 

(iii) Noting that $d_G(v_1) + d_G(x) = k + 3$, $d_G'(v_1) + d_G'(x) = k + p$, $r_G(v_1, x) = r_G'(v_1, x) = 1$, for $x \in W$ in $G$ and $G'$ respectively, then we have

$$\sum_{x \in W} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G'(x)}{r_G'(v_1, x)} - \sum_{x \in W} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} = k(k + p) - k(k + 3) = k(p - 3).$$
(iv) Noting that \(d_G(x) + d_G(y) = 3, r_G(x, y) = r_G(v_i, v_1) + 1 > 2(3 \leq i \leq p - 1)\) for \(x \in W, y \in V(C_p)\{v_1, v_2, v_p\}\), and \(d_G(x) + d_G(y) = 2, r_G(x, y) = 2\) for \(x \in W, y \in \tilde{W}\), then we have
\[
\sum_{x \in W, y \in \tilde{W}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} - \sum_{x \in W, y \in V(C_p)\{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} \geq k(p - 3) - \frac{3k(p - 3)}{2} = -\frac{k(p - 3)}{2}.
\]

Combing (iii) – (iv), we have
\[
\left(\sum_{x \in W} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_1, x)} - \sum_{x \in W} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)}\right) + \left(\sum_{x \in W, y \in \tilde{W}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} - \sum_{x \in W, y \in V(C_p)\{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)}\right)
\geq \frac{k(p - 3)}{2} \geq 0.
\]

(v) Noting that \(d_G(v_1) + d_G(x) = k + 4, r_G(v_1, x) = \frac{p - 1}{p}\), and \(d_G(v_1) + d_G(x) = k + p + 1, r_G(v_1, x) = \frac{2}{3}\), for \(x \in \{v_2, v_p\}\) in \(G\) and \(G'\) respectively, then we have
\[
\sum_{x \in \{v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} - \sum_{x \in \{v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} = 3(k + p + 1) - \frac{2p(k + 4)}{p - 1} = \frac{(k + 3p + 1)(p - 3)}{p - 1}.
\]

(vi) Noting that \(d_G(v_1) + d_G(x) = k + 4, r_G(v_1, x) = r_G(v_1, v_i) > 1(3 \leq i \leq p - 1)\) for \(x \in V(C_p)\{v_1, v_2, v_p\}\), and \(d_G(v_1) + d_G(x) = k + p, r_G(v_1, x) = 1\) for \(x \in \tilde{W}\), then we have
\[
\sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} - \sum_{x \in V(C_p)\{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} \geq (p - 3)(k + p) - (p - 3)(k + 4) = (p - 3)(p - 4).
\]

(vii) Noting that \(d_G(x) + d_G(y) = 4\) for \(x \in V(C_p)\{v_1, v_2, v_p\}, y \in \{v_2, v_p\}\), and \(d_G(x) + d_G(y) = 4, r_G(x, y) = r_G(v_2, v_1) + 1 = \frac{2}{3} + 1 = \frac{5}{3}\), for \(x \in \tilde{W}, y \in \{v_2, v_p\}\), by the symmetry between \(v_2\) and \(v_p\), then we have
\[
\sum_{x \in \tilde{W}, y \in \{v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} - \sum_{x \in V(C_p)\{v_1, v_2, v_p\}, y \in \{v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)}
\]
\[
= 2 \sum_{i=3}^{p-1} \frac{3}{r_G(v_2, v_1) + 1} - 2 \sum_{i=3}^{p-1} \frac{4}{r_G(v_2, v_i)}
\]
\[
= \frac{18(p - 3)}{5} - \frac{8p}{p - 1} + 2 \sum_{i=4}^{p-1} \frac{4}{r_G(v_2, v_i)}.
\]
Since \( r_G(v_2, v_i) \geq r_G(v_2, v_4) = \frac{2(p-2)}{p} \) \((4 \leq i \leq p-1)\), if \( \frac{2(p-2)}{p} \geq \frac{5}{3} \), then \( p \geq 12 \).

Then

\[
2 \sum_{i=4}^{p-1} \frac{4}{r_G(v_2, v_i)} \leq \frac{24(p-4)}{5}
\]

Thus

\[
\sum_{x \in \tilde{W}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} - \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} \geq \frac{18(p-3)}{5} - \left( \frac{8p}{p-1} + \frac{24(p-4)}{5} \right).
\]

(viii) Noting that \( d_G(x) + d_G(y) = 4 \), \( r_G(x, y) = r_G(v_i, v_j)(3 \leq i < j \leq p-1) \), for \( x, y \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\} \), \( d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y) = 2 \), \( r_{G'}(x, y) = 2 \), for \( x, y \in \tilde{W} \), then we have

\[
\sum_{x, y \in \tilde{W}} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} - \sum_{x, y \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \frac{(p-3)(p-4)}{2} - \sum_{i,j=3}^{p-1} \frac{4}{r_G(v_i, v_j)}.
\]

Then

\[
\sum_{i,j=3}^{p-1} \frac{4}{r_G(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{i=4}^{p} \frac{d_G(v_3) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_3, v_i)} + \sum_{i=5}^{p} \frac{d_G(v_4) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_4, v_i)} + \cdots + \frac{d_G(v_{p-2}) + d_G(v_{p-1})}{r_G(v_{p-2}, v_{p-1})}.
\]

When \( j - i = t(i < j) \), \( r_G(v_i, v_j) = r_t = r_{p-t} \), thus

\[
\sum_{i=4}^{p} \frac{d_G(v_3) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_3, v_i)} = \frac{4}{r_1} + \frac{4}{r_2} + \cdots + \frac{4}{r_{p-4}},
\]

\[
\sum_{i=5}^{p} \frac{d_G(v_4) + d_G(v_i)}{r_G(v_4, v_i)} = \frac{4}{r_1} + \cdots + \frac{4}{r_{p-5}},
\]

\[
\frac{d_G(v_{p-2}) + d_G(v_{p-1})}{r_G(v_{p-2}, v_{p-1})} = \frac{4}{r_1}.
\]
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thus
\[
\sum_{x, y \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} = \frac{4(p - 4)}{r_1} + \frac{4(p - 5)}{r_2} + \cdots + \frac{4}{r_{p-4}}.
\]
Since \( r_1 = \frac{p-1}{p} \), \( r_t \geq r_2 = \frac{2(p-2)}{p} (2 \leq t \leq p - 4) \), if \( \frac{2(p-2)}{p} \geq \frac{5}{3} \), then \( p \geq 12 \).

Then
\[
\sum_{x, y \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} \leq \frac{4p(p - 4)}{p - 1} + \frac{6(p - 4)(p - 5)}{5}.
\]

Thus
\[
\sum_{x, y \in W} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} - \sum_{x, y \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} \geq \frac{(p-3)(p-4)}{2} - \left( \frac{4p(p - 4)}{p - 1} + \frac{6(p - 4)(p - 5)}{5} \right).
\]

When \( p \geq 12 \), combing (v) – (viii), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left( \sum_{x \in \{v_1, v_p\}} \frac{d_{G'}(v_1) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(v_1, x)} \right) - \sum_{x \in \{v_1, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} \\
&\quad + \left( \sum_{x \in W} \frac{d_{G'}(v_1) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(v_1, x)} \right) - \sum_{x \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(v_1) + d_G(x)}{r_G(v_1, x)} \\
&\quad + \left( \sum_{y \in \{v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_{G'}(v_1) + d_{G'}(x)}{r_{G'}(v_1, x)} \right) - \sum_{y \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} \\
&\quad + \left( \sum_{x, y \in W} \frac{d_{G'}(x) + d_{G'}(y)}{r_{G'}(x, y)} \right) - \sum_{x, y \in V(C_p) \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_p\}} \frac{d_G(x) + d_G(y)}{r_G(x, y)} \\
&\quad \geq \frac{(k + 3p + 1)(p - 3)}{p - 1} + \frac{3(p - 3)(p - 4)}{2} + \frac{18(p - 3)}{5} - \frac{4p(p - 2)}{p - 1} - \frac{6(p - 1)(p - 4)}{5} \\
&\quad = \frac{3p^3 - 22p^2 + 33p - 54 + k(10p - 30)}{10(p - 1)}.
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( f(p) = 3p^3 - 22p^2 + 33p - 54, p \in [12, +\infty) \), this function is strictly increasing in this interval, thus \( f(p) \geq f(12) = 2358 > 0 \).

Thus
\[
\frac{3p^3 - 22p^2 + 33p - 54 + k(10p - 30)}{10(p - 1)} > 0
\]

(ix) Noting that \( d_G(x) + d_G(y) = 4 \), \( r_G(v_2, v_p) = \frac{2(p-2)}{p} \) for \( x \in \{v_2, v_p\} \) in \( G \), and \( d_{G'}(x) + \)
\[d_{G'}(y) = 4, \quad r_{G'}(v_2, v_p) = \frac{2}{3} \text{ for } x \in \{v_2, v_p\} \text{ in } G', \text{ then we have}
\]
\[
\frac{d_{G'}(v_2) + d_{G'}(v_p)}{r_{G'}(v_2, v_p)} = \frac{d_G(v_2) + d_G(v_p)}{r_G(v_2, v_p)} = 6 - \frac{2p}{p-2} = \frac{4p - 12}{p-2} > 0.
\]
Thus by (i)-(ix), when \( p \geq 12 \), we get \( RDR(G') - RDR(G) > 0 \), the results follows.

When \( 4 \leq p \leq 11 \), \( U_n(G_0) = \{S_3^4, S_5^5, S_6^6, S_7^7, S_8^8, S_9^9, S_{10}^9, S_{11}^{10}, S_{12}^{11}\} \). By comparison of direct calculation, we can obtained \( RDR(S_3^3) > RDR(S_n^9) \).

The proof is completed.

\[\text{■}\]

3 Maximum reciprocal degree resistance distance index of unicyclic graphs

Let \( G \) be a connected graph with exactly one cycle, say \( C_p = v_1v_2 \cdots v_pv_1 \). \( G \) can be viewed as a graph obtained by coalescing \( C_p \) with a number of trees, denoted by \( G_1, G_2, \cdots G_p \), by identifying \( v_i \) with some vertex of \( G_i \) for all \( i \). Denote \( S_3^3 \) by the unicyclic graph obtained from cycle \( C_3 \) by attaching \( n - 3 \) pendent edges to a vertex of \( C_3 \). The next theorem discusses the maximum reciprocal degree resistance distance index in \( U_n \), which is the main result of our work.

**Theorem 3.1** Let \( G \) be a unicyclic graph of order \( n \), then \( RDR(G) \leq RDR(S_3^3) \), with equality holds if and only if \( G \cong S_3^3 \).

**Proof.** Let \( G \) be a unicyclic graph of order \( n \) such that the \( \xi(G) \) is as big as possible. By Lemma 2.2-2.4, we have \( RDR(G) \leq RDR(S_3^3) \), equality holds if and only if \( G \cong S_3^3 \). \[\text{■}\]
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