THE SMALLEST REGULATOR FOR NUMBER FIELDS OF DEGREE 7 WITH FIVE REAL PLACES
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Abstract. In 2016 Astudillo, Díaz y Díaz and Friedman published sharp lower bounds for regulators of number fields of all signatures up to degree seven, except for fields of degree seven having five real places. We deal with this signature, proving that the field with the first discriminant has minimal regulator. The new element in the proof is an extension of Pohst’s geometric method from the totally real case to fields having one complex place.

1. Introduction

Some thirty years ago, the number fields with smallest discriminant for signatures up to degree seven were all known [Od]. Recently [ADF] the same was established for regulators, except that no sharp lower bounds were proved for one signature in degree seven. Here we close that gap.

Theorem. Let $k$ be a number field of degree seven having five real embeddings. Then its regulator $R_k$ satisfies $R_k \geq R_{k_1} = 2.8846\ldots$, where $k_1$ is the unique field of discriminant $-2306599$ in this signature.

More precisely, except for the three unique fields with discriminants $-2306599$, $-2369207$ and $-2616839$, in this signature all fields satisfy $R_k > 3.2$.

The idea in [ADF] is to first use analytic lower bounds for regulators. These are very good up to a certain value $D_{\text{anal}}(r_1, r_2)$ of the discriminant $D_k$, where $(r_1, r_2)$ is the number of (real, complex) places of $k$. Then coarse geometric bounds due to Remak [Re] are used for $|D_k| \geq D_{\text{geom}}(r_1, r_2)$. This method works if $D_{\text{geom}}(r_1, r_2) \leq D_{\text{anal}}(r_1, r_2)$, which holds for small degrees, but fails when the unit-rank reaches 5.

In fact, unit-rank 5, 6 and 7 are handled in [ADF], but only for totally real fields, where an improved inequality due to Pohst [Po] is available. To deal with signature (5, 1), we extend Pohst’s method, allowing one of the variables to be complex.

2. Proof

If $\varepsilon$ is a unit in $k$, let

$$m_k(\varepsilon) := \sum_{\omega} \left( \log \|\varepsilon\|_\omega \right)^2,$$

where $\omega$ runs over the set of archimedean places of $k$ and $\|\cdot\|_\omega$ denotes the corresponding absolute value, normalized so that $|\text{Norm}_{k/Q}(a)| = \prod_{\omega \in \infty_k} \|a\|_\omega$. A proof of the following inequality can be found in [Re \S 6] or [Fr] Lemma 3.4.

---
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Lemma 1. (Remak) Suppose \( k = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \), where \( \varepsilon \in k \) is a unit. Then the discriminant \( D_k \) satisfies

\[
\log |D_k| \leq m_k(\varepsilon)A(k) + \log P_n,
\]

where

\[
A(k) := \sqrt{(n^3 - n - 4r_2^2 - 2r_2)/3}, \quad P_n = P_n(\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left| 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_j} \right|^2,
\]

\( n := [k : \mathbb{Q}] \), \( r_2 \) is the number of complex places of \( k \), and the \( \varepsilon_i \) are the conjugates of \( \varepsilon \) arranged so that \( |\varepsilon_1| \leq |\varepsilon_2| \leq \cdots \leq |\varepsilon_n| \).

Lemma 2. (Remak, Pohst [Re (18)] [Po Satz IV]) If \( z_1, ..., z_n \) are non-zero complex numbers arranged so that \( |z_1| \leq \cdots \leq |z_n| \), then

\[
P_n(z_1, ..., z_n) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left| 1 - \frac{z_i}{z_j} \right|^2 \leq n^n. \tag{2}
\]

If, in addition, \( n \leq 11 \) and \( z_i \in \mathbb{R} \) \((1 \leq i \leq n)\), then

\[
P_n(z_1, ..., z_n) \leq 4^{[n/2]}, \tag{3}
\]

where \([n/2] := (n - 1)/2\) if \( n \) is odd, \([n/2] := n/2\) if \( n \) is even.

Our main task will be to improve on Remak’s bound \( P_2 \leq 7^7 \) when 5 of the \( z_i \)’s are real and the remaining two are complex conjugates. We begin more generally, assuming henceforth that \( n - 2 \) of the \( z_i \)’s are real and the remaining two are complex conjugates. We shall denote the real elements by \( r_i \) \((1 \leq i \leq n - 2)\) and the complex conjugate pair by \( xe^{\theta} \) and \( xe^{-\theta} \) \((\theta \in (0, \pi), x > 0)\), arranging them so that

\[
0 < |r_1| \leq |r_2| \leq \cdots \leq |r_{n-2}|, \quad |r_1| \leq x \leq |r_{t+1}|, \tag{4}
\]

where if \( x \geq |r_{n-2}| \) we mean \( t = n - 2 \), and if \( x \leq |r_1| \) we mean \( t = 0 \).

Grouping the factors \( |1 - \frac{z_i}{z_j}|^2 \) in (2) according to whether both, none or one of \( z_i, z_j \in \mathbb{R} \), \( P_n \) factors as

\[
P_n = P_{n-2}(r_1, ..., r_{n-2}) \cdot |1 - e^{-2i\theta}|^2 \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{n-2} |1 - cm^e^{\theta}|^4, \quad c_m := \begin{cases} r_m/x & \text{if } m \leq t, \\ x/r_m & \text{if } m > t. \end{cases} \tag{5}
\]

Note that \( c_m \in [-1, 1] \), \( c_m \neq 0 \) \((1 \leq m \leq n - 2)\).

Lemma 3. If \( 0 \leq c \leq 1 \), then

\[
|1 - ce^{\theta}|^2 \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/3, \\ 2(1 - \cos(\theta)) & \text{if } \pi/3 \leq \theta \leq \pi. \end{cases}
\]

If \(-1 \leq c \leq 0\), then

\[
|1 - ce^{\theta}|^2 \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \\ 2(1 + \cos(\theta)) & \text{if } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi/3. \end{cases}
\]

Proof. Let \( g(c) := |1 - ce^{\theta}|^2 = 1 + c^2 - 2c \cos(\theta) \). The critical point of \( g \) is a minimum, so we just compare the values of \( g \) at the endpoints of the intervals involved. \( \square \)
Lemma 4. For $a, b > 0$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have
\[
(1 - \cos^2(\theta))^a (1 - \cos(\theta))^b \leq \frac{2^{2a+b} a^a (a + b)^{a+b}}{(2a + b)^{2a+b}}.
\] (6)

Proof. For $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, let $g(x) := (1 - x^2)^a (1 - x)^b$. Elementary calculus shows that $g$ assumes its maximum value $M$ at $x = -b/(2a + b)$, and that $M$ is given by the right-hand side of (6). \hfill \square

Lemma 5. Assume $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $-1 \leq c_m \leq 1$ for $1 \leq m \leq r$. Let $d_+$ be the number of $c_m$ with $c_m > 0$, let $d_-$ be the number of $c_m$ with $c_m < 0$, and define
\[
B_r = B_r(\theta, c_1, \ldots, c_r) := |1 - e^{-2i\theta}|^2 \prod_{m=1}^r |1 - c_m e^{i\theta}|^4.
\] (7)

Then
\[
B_r \leq \max\left(\frac{4^{2a+b} a^a (a + b)^{a+b}}{(2a + b)^{2a+b}}, \frac{4^{2+f} (1 + f)^{1+f}}{(2 + f)^{2+f}}\right),
\] (8)

where $a := 1 + 2 \min(d_+, d_-)$, $b := 2|d_+ - d_-|$ and $f := 2 \max(d_+, d_-)$.

Proof. Replacing $\theta$ by $-\theta$ if necessary, we can assume $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. We shall first show that if $\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi/3$, then $B_r$ is bounded by the first element inside the max in (8). Say $d_+ > d_-$, so that $a = 1 + 2d_-$ and $b = 2(d_+ - d_-)$. Then, using Lemma 4 and $\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi/3$,
\[
B_r = 4(1 - \cos^2(\theta)) \left( \prod_{m=1}^r |(1 - c_m e^{i\theta})|^2 \right)^2
\]
\[
\leq 4(1 - \cos^2(\theta)) \left( \prod_{c_m > 0}^r 2(1 - \cos(\theta)) \right)^2 \left( \prod_{c_m < 0}^r 2(1 + \cos(\theta)) \right)^2
\]
\[
= 2^{2+2(d_+ + d_-)} (1 - \cos^2(\theta)) (1 - \cos(\theta))^{2d_+} (1 + \cos(\theta))^{2d_-}
\]
\[
= 2^{2a+b} (1 - \cos^2(\theta))^{1+2d_-} (1 - \cos(\theta))^{2(d_+ - d_-)}
\]
\[
= 2^{2a+b} (1 - \cos^2(\theta))^a (1 - \cos(\theta))^b
\]
\[
\leq \frac{2^{2(2a+b)} a^a (a + b)^{a+b}}{(2a + b)^{2a+b}} \quad \text{(see Lemma 4)},
\]
proving (8) in this case. If $d_+ < d_-$, a similar argument gives
\[
B_r \leq 2^{2a+b} (1 - \cos^2(\theta))^{1+2d_+} (1 + \cos(\theta))^{2(d_- - d_+)}
\]
and (8) follows as above from Lemma 4 (with $\theta$ replaced by $\theta + \pi$). The case $d_+ = d_-$ is clear, since then $b = 0$ and we get $B_r \leq 2^{2a} (1 - \cos^2(\theta))^{1+2d_+} \leq 2^a$, proving (8) when $\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi/3$. \hfill \square
If \( 0 \leq \theta < \pi/3 \), we again use Lemmas 3 and 4 to get
\[
B_r \leq 4 \left( 1 - \cos^2(\theta) \right) \left( \prod_{m \leq 0} 2 \left( 1 + \cos(\theta) \right) \right)^2 = 2^{2+2d} \left( 1 - \cos^2(\theta) \right) \left( 1 + \cos(\theta) \right)^{2d} \\
\leq 2^{2+f} \left( 1 - \cos^2(\theta) \right) \left( 1 + \cos(\theta) \right)^f \leq 2^{2+f} \left( 1 + f \right)^{1+f} \left( 2 + f \right)^{2+f}.
\]
A similar argument proves (8) in the remaining case, i.e. when \( 2\pi/3 < \theta \leq \pi \). □

Lemma 6. (Pohst) For \( \alpha, \beta \in [-1, 1] \), the following hold.

(i) If \( \alpha \geq 0 \), then \( (1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha \beta) \leq 1 \).

(ii) \( (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)(1 - \alpha \beta) \leq 2 \).

(iii) If \( |\alpha| \leq |\beta| \) and \( \beta \neq 0 \), then \( (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)(1 - (\alpha/\beta)) \leq 2 \).

Proof. Inequalities (i) and (ii) [Po, p. 468] can be proved by checking for critical points and the boundary. The last one follows from (ii), on replacing \( \alpha \) by \( \alpha/\beta \). □

We now specialize to \( n = 7 \).

Lemma 7. Suppose \( n = 7 \) and \( c_1 > 0 \) in (5), then \( P_7 < e^{12} < 162755 \).

We note that \( 7^7 = 823543 \approx e^{13.62} \), so we have gained a factor of a little over 5 compared with Remak’s bound (2).

Proof. We begin with (5),
\[
P_7 = B_5 P_5 = B_5(\theta, c_1, ..., c_5)P_5(r_1, ..., r_5) \quad \text{(see (2) and (7))}. \tag{9}
\]
Depending on the signs of the \( c_m \), we will show that \( B_5 \) or \( P_5 \) is small. There are 16 possibilities for the signs of \( c_2, ..., c_5 \), which we divide into three cases:

(1) Three of the \( c_m \) are of one sign and two have the opposite sign (\( 1 \leq m \leq 5 \)).

Hence, in the notation of Lemma 5, \( a = 5, b = 2 \) and \( f = 6 \).

(2) One of the \( c_m \) is of one sign and four have the opposite sign. Hence \( a = 3, b = 6 \) and \( f = 8 \).

(3) All of the \( c_m \) are positive.

In case (1), Lemma 5 gives \( B_5 < 4842.63 \) and Pohst’s inequality (3) gives \( P_5 \leq 16 \). Now (3) yields \( P_7 < 77483 \), proving the lemma in case (1).

In case (2), Lemma 5 only gives
\[
B_5 < 40624, \tag{10}
\]
but we will improve Pohst’s bound to \( P_5 \leq 4 \). This just suffices to prove the lemma in this case. Following Pohst [Po, p. 467], for \( 1 \leq i, \ell, \ell' \leq 4 \) let
\[
x_i := \frac{r_i}{r_{i+1}}, \quad y_{\ell, \ell'} := 1 - \prod_{i=\ell}^{\ell'} x_i = 1 - \frac{r_\ell}{r_{\ell'}},
\]
and
\[
A = A(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) := \prod_{1 \leq \ell \leq \ell' \leq 4} y_{\ell, \ell'} = \sqrt{P_5(r_1, ..., r_5)}.
\]

Note that \(-1 \leq x_i \leq 1\), \(0 \leq y_{\ell,}\ell' \leq 2\) and that the signs of the \(x_i\)'s are determined from those of the \(c_m\)'s and vice-versa, as we are assuming \(c_1 > 0\) in \((5)\). All 5 possible signs of \(c_1,\ldots,c_5\) in case (2) are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. All sign patterns in case (2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(c_1)</th>
<th>(c_2)</th>
<th>(c_3)</th>
<th>(c_4)</th>
<th>(c_5)</th>
<th>(x_1)</th>
<th>(x_2)</th>
<th>(x_3)</th>
<th>(x_4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since \(A(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) = A(x_4,x_3,x_2,x_1)\), it suffices to deal with the first, middle and last lines in Table 1.

We factor

\[
A = y_{1,1}y_{2,2}y_{3,3}y_{4,4}y_{1,2}y_{2,3}y_{3,4}y_{1,3}y_{2,4}y_{1,4} \\
= (y_{1,1}y_{2,1,2})(y_{3,3}y_{4,4})(y_{1,2}y_{2,3})(y_{3,1}y_{4,4})
\]

For the first line in Table 1, \(x_1, x_2, x_1x_2 \geq 0\), so we have trivially that \(y_{1,1}y_{2,2}y_{1,2} \leq 1\). By lemma \((5)\) (i), using \(x_3, x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_3 \geq 0\), we have \(y_{3,3}y_{3,4} \leq 1\), \(y_{2,2}y_{2,4} \leq 1\) and \(y_{1,3}y_{1,4} \leq 1\). Finally \(y_{4,4} \leq 2\), and so \(A \leq 2\) for the signs on the first line of Table 1.

We consider now the third line in Table 1. Then, grouping \((11)\) differently,

\[
A = (y_{1,1}y_{1,2}y_{2,4})(y_{2,2}y_{2,3})(y_{1,2}y_{1,3})(y_{3,3}y_{4,3}).
\]

Trivially, \(y_{1,1}y_{1,4}y_{2,4} \leq 1\). By Lemma \((6)\) (i), since \(x_2, x_1x_2 \geq 0\), we have \(y_{2,2}y_{2,3} \leq 1\) and \(y_{1,2}y_{1,3} \leq 1\). By Lemma \((6)\) (ii), \(y_{3,3}y_{4,4}y_{3,4} \leq 2\), and so again \(A \leq 2\).

For the last line in Table 1 we write

\[
A = (y_{1,3}y_{1,4}y_{2,4})(y_{1,1}y_{1,2})(y_{4,4}y_{3,4}).
\]

Again trivially, \(y_{1,3}y_{1,4}y_{2,4} \leq 1\). By lemma \((6)\) (i), since \(x_1, x_4 \geq 0\), \(y_{1,1}y_{1,2} \leq 1\) and \(y_{4,4}y_{3,4} \leq 1\). Finally, by lemma \((6)\) (ii), we have \(y_{2,2}y_{3,3}y_{2,3} \leq 2\). Thus, in case (2) we are done proving \(A \leq 2\), i.e. \(P_5 \leq 4\). As indicated after \((10)\), this implies the lemma in case (2).

In case (3) we have \(c_m > 0\), and so \(r_m > 0\) for \(m = 1,\ldots,5\). Thus

\[
0 \leq 1 - \frac{r_\ell}{r_{\ell'}} \leq 1 \quad \left(\ell < \ell'\right).
\]

We shall need

\[
R_{\ell,\ell'} := (1 + c_\ell)(1 + c_{\ell'})(1 - (r_\ell/r_{\ell'})) \leq 2 \quad \left(\ell < \ell'\right).
\]

To prove \((13)\), we consider three possibilities according to the position of \(t\) in \((4)\).

If \(\ell' \leq t\), then by \((6)\), \(c_\ell = r_\ell/x, c_{\ell'} = r_{\ell'}/x\). Hence \(|c_\ell| \leq |c_{\ell'}|\) and so Lemma \((6)\) (iii) yields \((13)\) (on setting \(\alpha := -c_\ell, \beta := -c_{\ell'}\)). Similarly, if \(\ell > t\), \(c_\ell = x/r_\ell, c_{\ell'} = x/r_{\ell'}\), so \(|c_\ell| \leq |c_{\ell'}|\) and Lemma \((6)\) (iii) yields \((13)\) (with \(\alpha := -c_{\ell'}, \beta := -c_\ell\)). Lastly, if \(\ell \leq t < \ell'\), then \(c_\ell = r_\ell/x, c_{\ell'} = x/r_{\ell'}\). Now \((13)\) follows from Lemma \((6)\) (ii).
Using (12) and (13), we estimate

\[ \sqrt{P_7} = |1 - e^{-2i\theta}| \cdot \prod_{1 \leq \ell < e \leq 5} \left(1 - \frac{r_\ell}{r_e}\right) \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{5} \left|1 - cm e^{i\theta}\right|^{2} \]

\[ \leq 2 \prod_{1 \leq \ell < e \leq 5} \left(1 - \frac{r_\ell}{r_e}\right) \cdot \prod_{m=1}^{5} (1 + cm)^2 \]

\[ = 2R_{1,2}R_{2,3}R_{3,4}R_{4,5}R_{1,5} (1 - \frac{r_1}{r_3}) (1 - \frac{r_2}{r_4}) (1 - \frac{r_2}{r_5}) (1 - \frac{r_3}{r_5}) \]

\[ \leq 2R_{1,2}R_{2,3}R_{3,4}R_{4,5}R_{1,5} \leq 2^{6} . \]

Hence \( P_7 \leq 2^{12} . \)

We can now prove our final geometric bound.

**Lemma 8.** Suppose \( k \) is a number field of degree 7 having five real places and regulator \( R_k \leq 3.2 \). Then the discriminant \( D_k \) of \( k \) satisfies \( \log |D_k| < 31.492 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \varepsilon \) yield the positive minimum value of \( m_k \) in (11) on the units of \( k \). As \( [k : \mathbb{Q}] = 7 \), we have \( k = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \). Using the value \( \gamma_5 = \sqrt{8} \) for Hermite’s constant in dimension 5, we find \( m_k \leq (3.2\sqrt{6})^{1/5} \sqrt{7} < 1.85847 \) \( \text{[ADF (5)]} \). Let \( r_1, \ldots, r_5 \) be the five real conjugates of \( \varepsilon \), ordered so that \( |r_1| \leq \cdots \leq |r_5| \), and let \( xe^{\pm i\theta} \) be the two complex conjugates \((x > 0, \theta \in (0, \pi))\). Replacing \( \varepsilon \) by \( -\varepsilon \) if necessary, we may assume that \( r_1 > 0 \), so \( c_1 > 0 \) with notation as in (5). Lemmas 1 and 7 yield \( \log |D_k| < 31.4918 \).

We shall need the following analytic tool \( \text{[ADF]} \) Lemmas 4 and 5.

**Lemma 9.** Let \( k \) be a number field having \( r_1 \) real and \( r_2 \) complex places, and define \( g(x) := \frac{1}{2^{\pi/4} \pi t} \int_{2^{-i\infty}}^{2+i\infty} (\pi^n A^{r_2} x)^{-s/2} (2s - 1) \Gamma(s/2) \Gamma(s) r_1^sds \) \((x > 0, n := r_1 + 2r_2)\).

Suppose \( 0 < d_1 \leq |D_k| \leq d_2 \leq d_3 \), and assume \( g(4/d_3) \geq 0 \). Then for any \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( R_k \geq 2G(d_1, d_2, N) \), where

\[ G(d_1, d_2, N) := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \min (g(j^{2n}/d_1), g(j^{2n}/d_2)) . \]

If the ideal class of the different of \( k \) is trivial, then \( R_k \geq 4G(d_1, d_2, N) \).

We now prove the Theorem in §1. So assume \((r_1, r_2) = (5, 1)\) and \( R_k \leq 3.2 \). We shall first show that \( |D_k| < 3030000 \). Since \( R_k \leq 3.2 \), Lemma 8 shows that \( |D_k| \leq e^{31.492} \). We deal separately with various subintervals of \([3030000, e^{31.492}]\), always taking \( d_3 = e^{31.492} \) in Lemma 6 noting that \( g(4/e^{31.492}) = 8.5631... > 0 \). If \( |D_k| \leq e^{20} \), then the ideal class of the different of \( k \) is trivial \( \text{[ADF Table 2]} \). A calculation shows that \( R_k \geq 4G(3030000, e^{20}, 1) = 3.23... > 3.2 \). Hence this range
of discriminant is ruled out by Lemma 9. We subdivide the remaining interval $[e^{20}, e^{31.492}]$ into four subintervals and calculate $2G$ for them.

$$2G(e^{31.4}, e^{31.492}, 3) = 3.511...,$$
$$2G(e^{31.4}, e^{31.492}, 3) = 4.195...,$$
$$2G(e^{28}, e^{31}, 3) = 3.257...,$$
$$2G(e^{20}, e^{28}, 3) = 13.295...$$

Thus, Lemma 9 rules out discriminants in the interval $[e^{20}, e^{31.492}]$, and so $|D_k| < 3030000$. We conclude with Table 2, listing $R_k$ for all fields $k$ with $|D_k| < 3030000$.

**Table 2.** All fields of degree 7 having 5 real places and |discriminant| < 3030000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discriminant</th>
<th>Polynomial</th>
<th>Regulator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-2360599$</td>
<td>$x^7 - 3x^5 - x^4 + x^3 + 3x^2 + x - 1$</td>
<td>2.88465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2369207$</td>
<td>$x^7 - x^5 - 5x^4 - x^3 + 5x^2 + x - 1$</td>
<td>2.93325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2616839$</td>
<td>$x^7 - x^6 - 5x^5 - x^4 + 4x^3 + 3x^2 - x - 1$</td>
<td>3.13684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2790047$</td>
<td>$x^7 + x^6 - 2x^5 - 3x^4 - 2x^3 + 3x^2 + 4x - 1$</td>
<td>3.26802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2790551$</td>
<td>$x^7 - 5x^6 - x^4 + 7x^3 + 3x^2 - 3x - 1$</td>
<td>3.27113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2894039$</td>
<td>$x^7 - 4x^5 - 2x^4 + 4x^3 + 4x^2 - x - 1$</td>
<td>3.34402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2932823$</td>
<td>$x^7 - x^6 - 4x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x - 1$</td>
<td>3.36846</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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