The lifespan of solutions of semilinear wave equations with the scale-invariant damping in one space dimension
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Abstract
The critical constant $\mu$ (see (1.1)) of time-decaying damping in the scale-invariant case is recently conjectured. It also has been expected that the lifespan estimate is the same as for the associated semilinear heat equations if the constant is in the “heat-like” domain. In this paper, we point out that this is not true if the total integral of the sum of initial position and speed vanishes. In such a case, we have a new type of the lifespan estimates which is closely related to the non-damped case in shifted space dimensions.

1 Introduction
We consider the following initial value problem for semilinear wave equations with the scale-invariant damping:

\[ \begin{aligned}
&v_{tt} - \Delta v + \frac{\mu}{1 + t} v_t = |v|^p &\text{in} &\quad \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty), \\
v(x, 0) = \varepsilon f(x), & v_t(x, 0) = \varepsilon g(x), & x &\in \mathbb{R}^n, 
\end{aligned} \]  

(1.1)
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where $p > 1$, $\mu > 0$, the initial data $(f, g) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is of compact support and $\varepsilon > 0$ is “small”. The classification of general damping terms for the linear equation is introduced by Wirth [20, 21, 22]. The scale-invariant case is critical in the behavior of the solution. For the outline of semilinear equations in other cases, see Introduction of Lai and Takamura [10].

It is interesting to look for the critical exponent $p_c(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
  p > p_c(n) \text{ (and may have an upper bound)} & \Rightarrow \ T(\varepsilon) = \infty, \\
  1 < p \leq p_c(n) & \Rightarrow \ T(\varepsilon) < \infty,
\end{cases}
$$

where $T(\varepsilon)$ is the so-called lifespan, the maximal existence time of the energy solution of (1.1) with arbitrary fixed non-zero data. Then we have the following conjecture:

$$
\begin{cases}
  \mu \geq \mu_0(n) & \Rightarrow \ p_c(n) = p_F(n) \quad \text{(heat-like)}, \\
  0 < \mu < \mu_0(n) & \Rightarrow \ p_c(n) = p_S(n + \mu) \quad \text{(wave-like)},
\end{cases}
$$

(1.2)

where

$$
\mu_0(n) := \frac{n^2 + n + 2}{n + 2}.
$$

Moreover

$$
p_F(n) := 1 + \frac{2}{n}
$$

is the so-called Fujita exponent which is the critical exponent of the associated semilinear heat equations $v_t - \Delta v = v^p$ and

$$
p_S(n) := \frac{n + 1 + \sqrt{n^2 + 10n - 1}}{2(n - 1)} \quad (n \neq 1), \quad := \infty \quad (n = 1)
$$

is the so-called Strauss exponent which is the critical exponent of the associated semilinear wave equations $v_{tt} - \Delta v = |v|^p$. We note that $p_S(n) \ (n \neq 1)$ is a positive root of

$$
\gamma(p, n) := 2 + (n + 1)p - (n - 1)p^2 = 0.
$$

Moreover, $0 < \mu < \mu_0(n)$ is equivalent to $p_F(n) < p_S(n + \mu)$. Concerning the conjecture (1.2), D’Abbicco [2] has obtained heat-like existence partially as

$$
\mu \geq \begin{cases} 
  5/3 & \text{for } n = 1, \\
  3 & \text{for } n = 2, \\
  n + 2 & \text{for } n \geq 3,
\end{cases}
$$

while Wakasugi [19] has obtained blow-up for $1 < p \leq p_F(n)$ and $\mu \geq 1$, or $1 < p \leq p_F(n + \mu - 1)$ and $0 < \mu < 1$. We note that his result is the first blow-up result for super-Fujita exponents.
Making use of the so-called Liouville transform
\[ u(x, t) = (1 + t)^{\mu/2} v(x, t), \]
one can rewrite (1.1) as
\[
\begin{cases}
  u_{tt} - \Delta u + \frac{\mu(2 - \mu)}{4(1 + t)^2} u = \frac{|u|^p}{(1 + t)^{\mu(p-1)/2}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty), \\
u(x, 0) = \varepsilon f(x), \ u_t(x, 0) = \varepsilon \{\mu f(x)/2 + g(x)\}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{cases}
\]
Due to this observation, D’Abbicco, Lucente and Reissig [4] have proved the wave-like part of the conjecture (1.2) for \( n = 2, 3 \) when \( \mu = 2 \). We note that the radial symmetry is assumed for \( n = 3 \) in [4]. Moreover D’Abbicco and Lucente [3] have obtained the wave-like existence part of (1.2) for odd \( n \geq 5 \) when \( \mu = 2 \) also with radial symmetry. In the case \( \mu = 2 \), (1.3) is a Cauchy problem for semilinear wave equations with time-dependent coefficient on the right-hand side. So, the regularity of the solution can be chosen higher, sometimes a classical solution is handled. For \( \mu \neq 2 \), Lai, Takamura and Wakasa [11] have first studied the wave-like blow-up of the conjecture (1.2) with a loss replacing \( \mu \) by \( \mu/2 \) in the sub-critical case. Initiating this, Ikeda and Sobajima [5] have obtained the blow-up part of (1.2).

For the semilinear wave equations with scale-invariant damping and mass, the global existence of small data and the blow-up behavior were studied in [12] and [13].

For the lifespan estimate, one may expect that
\[
T(\varepsilon) \sim \begin{cases}
  C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/(2-n(p-1))} \exp\left(C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}\right) & \text{for } 1 < p < p_F(n) \\
  \text{for } p = p_F(n)
\end{cases}
\] for the heat-like domain \( \mu \geq \mu_0(n) \) and
\[
T(\varepsilon) \sim \begin{cases}
  C\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p,n+\mu)} \exp\left(C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}\right) & \text{for } 1 < p < p_S(n+\mu) \\
  \text{for } p = p_S(n+\mu)
\end{cases}
\]
for the wave-like domain \( 0 < \mu < \mu_0(n) \). Here \( T(\varepsilon) \sim A(\varepsilon, C) \) stands for the fact that there are positive constants, \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \), independent of \( \varepsilon \) satisfying \( A(\varepsilon, C_1) \leq T(\varepsilon) \leq A(\varepsilon, C_2) \). Actually, (1.4) for \( n = 1 \) and \( \mu = 2 \) is obtained by Wakasa [18], and (1.5) is obtained by Kato and Sakuraba [8] for \( n = 3 \) and \( \mu = 2 \). Also see Lai [9] for the existence part of weaker solution. Moreover, the upper bound of (1.4) in the sub-critical case is obtained by Wakasugi [19]. Also the upper bound of (1.5) is obtained by Ikeda and Sobajima [5] in the critical case, later it is reproved by Tu and Li [17], and Tu and Li [16] in the sub-critical case.
But we have the following fact. For the non-damped case, \( \mu = 0 \), it is known that (1.5) is true for \( n \geq 3 \), or \( p > 2 \) and \( n = 2 \). The open part around this is \( p = p_S(n) \) for \( n \geq 9 \). Other cases, (1.5) is still true if the total integral of the initial speed vanishes, i.e. \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)dx = 0 \). On the other hand, we have

\[
T(\varepsilon) \sim \begin{cases} 
  C\varepsilon^{-\gamma/(p-1)} & \text{for } n = 1, \\
  C\varepsilon^{-\gamma/(3-p)} & \text{for } n = 2 \text{ and } 1 < p < 2, \\
  Ca(\varepsilon) & \text{for } n = 2 \text{ and } p = 2
\end{cases}
\] (1.6)

if \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)dx \neq 0 \), where \( a = a(\varepsilon) \) is a positive number satisfying \( \varepsilon^2 a^2 \log(1 + a) = 1 \). We note that (1.6) is smaller than the first line in (1.5) with \( \mu = 0 \) in each case. For all the references of the case of \( \mu = 0 \), see Introduction of Imai, Kato, Takamura and Wakasa [6].

Our aim in this paper is to show that the lifespan estimates for (1.3) are similar to the ones for the non-damped case even if \( \mu \) is in the heat-like domain by studying the special case of \( n = 1 \) and \( \mu = 2 \geq \mu_0(1) = 4/3 \). That is, the result on (1.4) by Wakasa [18] mentioned above is true only if \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ f(x) + g(x) \} dx \neq 0 \). More precisely, we shall show that

\[
T(\varepsilon) \sim \begin{cases} 
  C\varepsilon^{-2\gamma/(p-1)} & \text{for } 1 < p < 2, \\
  Cb(\varepsilon) & \text{for } p = 2, \\
  C\varepsilon^{-\gamma/(3-p)} & \text{for } 2 < p < 3, \\
  \exp(C\varepsilon^{-\gamma/p}) & \text{for } p = p_F(1) = 3
\end{cases}
\] (1.7)

if \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ f(x) + g(x) \} dx = 0 \), where \( b = b(\varepsilon) \) is a positive number satisfying

\[
\varepsilon^2 b \log(1 + b) = 1.
\] (1.8)

We note that (1.7) is bigger than (1.4) with \( n = 1 \) and \( \mu = 2 \) in each case. This kind of phenomenon is observed also in two space dimensions for \( 1 < p \leq p_F(2) = p_S(2 + 2) = 2 \) and \( \mu = \mu_0(2) = 2 \). Such a result will appear in our forthcoming paper [7].

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we place precise statements on (1.7). Section 3, or 4, are devoted to the proof of the lower, or upper, bound of the lifespan respectively.

## 2 Theorems and preliminaries

We shall show (1.7) by establishing the following two theorems.
Theorem 2.1  Let \( n = 1, \mu = 2 \) and \( 1 < p \leq 3 = p_\text{F}(1) \). Assume that \((f, g) \in C^2_0(\mathbb{R}) \times C^1_0(\mathbb{R})\) satisfies \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{f(x) + g(x)\} dx = 0 \) and

\[
\text{supp} (f, g) \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq k \}, \quad k > 1. \tag{2.1}
\]

Then, there exists a positive constant \( \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(f, g, p, k) \) such that a classical solution \( u \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T)) \) of (1.3) exists as far as

\[
T \leq \begin{cases} 
    c\varepsilon^{2(p-1)/\Gamma(p, 3)} & \text{if } 1 < p < 2, \\
    cb(\varepsilon) & \text{if } p = 2, \\
    c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(3-p)} & \text{if } 2 < p < 3, \\
    \exp(c\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) & \text{if } p = 3
\end{cases} \tag{2.2}
\]

for \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \), where \( c \) is a positive constant independent of \( \varepsilon \) and \( b(\varepsilon) \) is defined in (1.8).

Theorem 2.2  Let \( n = 1, \mu = 2 \) and \( 1 < p \leq 3 = p_\text{F}(1) \). Assume that \((f, g) \in C^2_0(\mathbb{R}) \times C^1_0(\mathbb{R})\) satisfy \( f(x) \geq 0 \) (\( \not\equiv 0 \)), \( f(x) + g(x) \equiv 0 \) and (2.1).

Then, there exists a positive constant \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(f, g, p, k) \) such that a classical solution \( u \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T)) \) of (1.3) cannot exist whenever \( T \) satisfies

\[
T \geq \begin{cases} 
    C\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\Gamma(p, 3)} & \text{if } 1 < p < 2, \\
    Cb(\varepsilon) & \text{if } p = 2, \\
    C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(3-p)} & \text{if } 2 < p < 3, \\
    \exp(C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)}) & \text{if } p = 3
\end{cases} \tag{2.2}
\]

for \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1 \), where \( C \) is a positive constant independent of \( \varepsilon \) and \( b(\varepsilon) \) is defined in (1.8).

As preliminaries for the proofs of the above theorems we list some known facts. First, \( u^0 \) is defined by

\[
u^0(x, t) := \frac{1}{2} \{ f(x+t) + f(x-t) \} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} \{ f(y) + g(y) \} dy \tag{2.3}
\]

with \((f, g) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \times C^1(\mathbb{R})\) satisfies

\[
\begin{cases} 
    u^0_{tt} - u^0_{xx} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty), \\
    u^0(x, 0) = f(x), \ u^0_t(x, 0) = f(x) + g(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}.
\end{cases}
\]

If we assume (2.1) and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \{ f(x) + g(x) \} dx = 0,
\]
then we have
\[
\text{supp } u^0 \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) : t - k \leq |x| \leq t + k\}. \tag{2.4}
\]
Moreover, if \(u \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty))\) is a solution of
\[
u(x, t) = \varepsilon u^0(x, t) + L(|u|^p)(x, t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty), \tag{2.5}
\]
where
\[
L(F)(x, t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{x-t+s}^{x+t-s} \frac{F(y, s)}{(1 + s)^{p-1}} dyds \tag{2.6}
\]
for \(F \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty))\), then \(u \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty))\) is the solution to the initial value problem (1.3). We also note that (2.1) implies
\[
\text{supp } u \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) : |x| \leq t + k\}. \tag{2.7}
\]
We define the \(L^\infty\) norm of \(V\) by
\[
\|V\|_0 := \sup_{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} |V(x, t)|. \tag{2.8}
\]
Let \(r = |x|\). For \(r, t \geq 0\), we define the following weighted functions:
\[
w(r, t) := \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } p > 2, \\
\{\log \tau_+(r, t)\}^{-1} & \text{if } p = 2, \\
\tau_+(r, t)^{p-2} & \text{if } 1 < p < 2,
\end{cases} \tag{2.9}
\]
where we set
\[
\tau_+(r, t) := \frac{t + r + 2k}{k}.
\]
For these weighted functions, we denote a weighted \(L^\infty\) norm of \(V\) by
\[
\|V\| := \sup_{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]} \{w(|x|, t)|V(x, t)|\}. \tag{2.10}
\]
Finally, we shall show some useful representations for \(L\). It is trivial that
\[
1 + s \geq (2k + s)/2k \text{ is valid for } s \geq 0 \text{ and } k > 1.
\]
Setting \(s = (\alpha + \beta)/2 \geq 0\) with \(\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq -k\), we have
\[
1 + s \geq \frac{\alpha + 2k}{4k}, \text{ or } \geq \frac{\beta + 2k}{4k}.
\]
Thus, for \(0 \leq \theta \leq 1\), we get
\[
\frac{1}{1 + s} \leq \left\{(\alpha + 2k)/k\right\}^\theta \left\{(\beta + 2k)/k\right\}^{1-\theta}. \tag{2.11}
\]
Let $F = F(|x|, t) \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])$ and 

$$\text{supp } F \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + k\}.$$ 

From (2.6), we obtain 

$$|L(F)(x, t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ds \int_{r-t+s}^{r+t-s} \frac{|F(|y|, s)|}{(1 + s)^{p-1}} dy$$ 

$$=: L_1(F)(r, t) + L_2(F)(r, t),$$ 

where 

$$L_1(F)(r, t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ds \int_{r-t+s}^{r+t-s} \frac{|F(|y|, s)|}{(1 + s)^{p-1}} dy$$ 

and 

$$L_2(F)(r, t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{(t-r)_+} ds \int_{r-t+s}^{r+t-s} \frac{|F(|y|, s)|}{(1 + s)^{p-1}} dy$$ 

$$= \int_0^{(t-r)_+} ds \int_0^{t-r-s} |F(|y|, s)| \frac{1}{(1 + s)^{p-1}} dy.$$ 

Here we write $(a)_+ = \max(a, 0)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Changing the variables by $\alpha = s + y, \beta = s - y$ and making use of (2.11), we have 

$$L_1(F)(r, t) \leq \int_{(t-r)_+}^{t-r} d\beta \int_{(\beta - r)_+}^{(t-r) +} \frac{4^{p-2} |F((\alpha - \beta)/2, (\alpha + \beta)/2)|}{((\alpha + 2k)/k)^{\|\theta\|p-1}\{(\beta + 2k)/k\}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} d\alpha}$$ 

$$\leq \int_{(t-r)_+}^{t-r} d\beta \int_{(\beta - r)_+}^{(t-r) +} \frac{4^{p-2} |F((\alpha - \beta)/2, (\alpha + \beta)/2)|}{((\alpha + 2k)/k)^{\|\theta\|p-1}\{(\beta + 2k)/k\}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} d\alpha}.$$ 

Similarly it follows from (2.11) that 

$$L_2(F)(r, t) \leq \int_{(t-r)_+}^{t-r} d\beta \int_{(\beta - r)_+}^{(t-r) +} \frac{2^{-1}4^{p-1} |F((\alpha - \beta)/2, (\alpha + \beta)/2)|}{((\alpha + 2k)/k)^{\|\theta\|p-1}\{(\beta + 2k)/k\}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} d\alpha}$$ 

$$\leq \int_{(t-r)_+}^{t-r} d\beta \int_{(\beta - r)_+}^{(t-r) +} \frac{2^{-1}4^{p-1} |F((\alpha - \beta)/2, (\alpha + \beta)/2)|}{((\alpha + 2k)/k)^{\|\theta\|p-1}\{(\beta + 2k)/k\}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} d\alpha}.$$ 

Therefore, we obtain by (2.12) and (2.13) that 

$$|L(F)(x, t)| \leq \int_{(t-r)_+}^{t-r} d\beta \int_{(\beta - r)_+}^{(t-r) +} \frac{4^{p-1} |F((\alpha - \beta)/2, (\alpha + \beta)/2)|}{((\alpha + 2k)/k)^{\|\theta\|p-1}\{(\beta + 2k)/k\}^{(1-\theta)(p-1)} d\alpha}. $$
3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

First of all, we prove an estimate for the linear part of the solution from (2.5).

**Lemma 3.1** Let $u^0$ be as in (2.3). Assume that the assumptions in Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled. Then, there exists a positive constant $C_0$ such that

$$\|u^0\|_0 \leq C_0. \quad (3.1)$$

**Proof.** It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$|u^0(x, t)| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \|f + g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$ 

Therefore, due to (2.8), we obtain (3.1). This completes the proof. $\square$

Next, we prove an a-priori estimate for a linear integral operator related with the right-hand side of (1.3).

**Lemma 3.2** Let $L$ be the linear integral operator defined by (2.6). Assume that $V_0 \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])$ with supp $V_0 \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] : t - k \leq |x| \leq t + k\}$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C_1$ independent of $T$ and $k$ such that

$$\|L(|V_0|^p)\| \leq C_1 k^2 \|V_0\|_0^p. \quad (3.2)$$

**Proof.** We note that (3.2) follows from the following basic estimates:

$$|L(\chi_{t-k \leq r \leq t+k})(x, t)| \leq C_1 k^2 w(r, t)^{-1}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\chi_A$ is a characteristic function of a set $A$.

From now on to the end of this section, $C$ stands for a positive constant independent of $T$ and $k$, and may change from line to line. It is easy to show (3.3) by (2.14) with $\theta = 1$ and (2.9). Actually we have that

$$|L(\chi_{t-k \leq r \leq t+k})(x, t)| \leq C \int_{-k}^k d\beta \int_{-k}^{t+r} \frac{d\alpha}{\{\alpha + 2k/k\}^{p-1}}$$

$$\leq Ck^2 \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p > 2, \\ \log \tau_+(r, t) & \text{if } p = 2, \\ \tau_+(r, t)^{2-p} & \text{if } 1 < p < 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\leq Ck^2 w(r, t)^{-1}.$$ 

This completes the proof. $\square$

The following lemma contains one of the most essential estimates.
Lemma 3.3 Let $L$ be the linear integral operator defined by (2.6). Assume that $V \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T])$ with $\text{supp } V \subset \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] : |x| \leq t + k\}$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C_2$ independent of $T$ such that

$$\|L(|V|^p)\| \leq C_2 k^2 \|V\|^p D(T),$$

where $D(T)$ is defined by

$$D(T) := \begin{cases}
\log T_k & \text{if } p = 3, \\
\frac{T_k^{p-2}}{k} & \text{if } 2 < p < 3, \\
T_k \log T_k & \text{if } p = 2, \\
\frac{Tk^{(p-3)/2}}{k} & \text{if } 1 < p < 2
\end{cases}$$

with $T_k := (T + 2k)/k$.

**Proof.** We note that (3.4) follows from the following basic estimates:

$$|L(w^{-p})(x,t)| \leq C_k^2 |L(w)| D(T) w^{1-p}(r,t)^{-1}.$$

We divide the proof into three cases.

(i) Case of $2 < p \leq 3$.

It follows from (2.9), (2.14) with $\theta = 1$ and (3.5) that

$$|L(w^{-p})(x,t)| \leq C \int_{-T_k}^{t+r} \frac{d\alpha}{((\alpha + 2k)/k)^{p-1}} \int_{-T_k}^{t+r} \frac{d\beta}{((\beta + 2k)/k)^{2-p}}.$$

Here we have used by (2.7) that

$$\tau_+(r,t) \leq \frac{2T_k + 3k}{k} \leq 2T_k \quad \text{and} \quad T_k \geq 2.$$

From now on, we will employ this estimate at the end of each case.

(ii) Case of $p = 2$.

It follows from (2.14) with $\theta = 1/2$, (2.9) and (3.5) that

$$|L(w^{-p})(x,t)| \leq C \log^2 \tau_+(r,t) \int_{-T_k}^{t+r} \frac{d\alpha}{((\alpha + 2k)/k)^{3/2}} \int_{-T_k}^{t+r} \frac{d\beta}{((\beta + 2k)/k)^{-1/2}}.$$

Here we have used (2.7) that

$$\tau_+(r,t) \leq \frac{2T_k + 3k}{k} \leq 2T_k \quad \text{and} \quad T_k \geq 2.$$
Similarly to the above, it follows from (2.14) with $\theta = 1$ and (2.9) that

$$|L(w^{-p})(x,t)| \leq C \int_{-k}^{t+r} d\beta \int_{-k}^{t+r} \left(\frac{\alpha + 2k}{k}\right)^{(2-p)p-1} d\alpha$$

$$\leq Ck^2 \tau_+(r,t)^{-p^2+p+3}$$

$$\leq Ck^2 D(T) w(r,t)^{-1}.$$

The proof is now completed. \qed

Finally, we state an a-priori estimate of mixed type.

**Lemma 3.4** Let $L$ be the linear integral operator defined by (2.6), and $V, D(T)$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Assume that $V_0 \in C\left(\mathbb{R} \times [0,T]\right)$ with

$$\text{supp } V_0 \subset \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0,T] : t - k \leq |x| \leq t + k\}.$$

Then, there exists a positive constant $C_3$ independent of $T$ and $k$ such that

$$\|L(|V_0|^{p-1}|V|)\| \leq C_3 k^2 \|V_0\|^{p-1} \|V\| D(T)^{1/p}.$$

**Proof.** Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.2, we shall show

$$|L(\chi_{t-k \leq r \leq t+k} w^{-1})(x,t)| \leq C_3 k^2 w(r,t)^{-1} D(T)^{1/p}. \quad (3.6)$$

(i) Case of $2 < p \leq 3$.

Since $w(r,t) = 1$, (3.6) is established by the estimates for $2 < p \leq 3$ in Lemma 3.3 and $1 \leq D(T)^{1/p}$.

(ii) Case of $p = 2$.

It follows from (2.14) with $\theta = 1$ that

$$|L(\chi_{t-k \leq r \leq t+k} w^{-1})(x,t)| \leq C \int_{-k}^{k} d\beta \int_{-k}^{t+r} \log\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha + 2k}{k}\right)\right\} d\alpha$$

$$\leq Ck^2 \log^2 \tau_+(r,t)$$

$$\leq Ck^2 \log T_k \cdot w(r,t)^{-1}.$$

Since $\log T_k \leq D(T)^{1/2}$, we obtain (3.6).

(iii) Case of $1 < p < 2$.

It follows from (2.14) with $\theta = 1$ that

$$|L(\chi_{t-k \leq r \leq t+k} w^{-1})(x,t)| \leq C \int_{-k}^{k} d\beta \int_{-k}^{t+r} \left(\frac{\alpha + 2k}{k}\right)^{2-p(p-1)} d\alpha$$

$$\leq Ck^2 T_k^{2-p} w(r,t)^{-1}.$$
Since $2 - p \leq \gamma(p, 3)/2p$, we obtain (3.6).

The proof is now completed. \qed

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We consider the following integral equation.

$$U = L(|\varepsilon u^0 + U|^p) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]. \quad (3.7)$$

Suppose we have a solution $U = U(x,t)$ of (3.7). Then, by putting $u = U + \varepsilon u^0$, we obtain a solution of (2.5) and its lifespan is the same as that of $U$. Thus, our aim here is to construct a solution of (3.7) in the Banach space,

$$X := \{U(x,t) \in C(\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]) : \text{supp } U \subset \{(x,t) : |x| \leq t + k\}\}$$

which is equipped with the norm (2.10).

Define a sequence of functions $\{U_l\} \subset X$ by

$$U_1 = 0, \quad U_l = L(|\varepsilon u^0 + U_{l-1}|^p) \quad \text{for} \quad l \geq 2$$

and set

$$M_0 := 2^{p-1} C_1 k^2 C_0^p, \quad C_4 := (2^{(p+1)p})^p \max\{C_2 k^2 M_0^{p-1}, (C_3 k^2 C_0^p)^p\},$$

where $C_i$ (0 $\leq$ i $\leq$ 3) are positive constants given in Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. Then, analogously to the proof of Theorem 1 in [6], we see that $\{U_l\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$ provided that the inequality

$$C_4 \varepsilon^{p(p-1)} D(T) \leq 1 \quad (3.8)$$

holds. Since $X$ is complete, there exists a function $U$ such that $U_l$ converges to $U$ in $X$. Therefore $U$ satisfies (3.7).

Note that (2.2) follows from (3.8). We shall show this fact only in the case of $p = 2$ since the other cases can be proved similarly. By definition of $b$ in (1.8), we know that $b(\varepsilon)$ is decreasing in $\varepsilon$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+0} b(\varepsilon) = \infty$. Let us fix $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ as

$$1 < C_5 b(\varepsilon_0), \quad (3.9)$$

where $C_5 = \min\{2^{-1}, (3C_4)^{-1}\}$. For $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, we take $T$ to satisfy

$$1 \leq T < C_5 b(\varepsilon). \quad (3.10)$$
Since $k > 1$, it follows from (3.5) and (3.10) that
\[
C_4\varepsilon^2 D(T) \leq C_4\varepsilon^2 (3T) \log(2T + 1)
\leq 3C_4C_5\varepsilon^2 b(\varepsilon) \log(2C_3b(\varepsilon) + 1)
\leq b(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^2 \log(b(\varepsilon) + 1) = 1.
\]
Hence, if we assume (3.9) and (3.10), then (3.8) holds. Therefore (2.2) in the case $p = 2$ is obtained for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. 

\section{Proof of Theorem 2.2}

In order to obtain an upper bound of the lifespan, we shall take a look on the ordinary differential inequality for
\[
F(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x,t) dx
\]
and shall follow the arguments in Section 5 of Takamura [14]. The equation in (1.3) with $\mu = 2$ and (2.7) imply that
\[
F''(t) = \frac{1}{(1 + t)^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x,t)|^p dx \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq 0.
\]
Hence, Hölder’s inequality and (2.7) yield that
\[
F''(t) \geq 2^{-(p-1)}(t + k)^{-2(p-1)}|F(t)|^p \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq 0.
\]
Due to the assumption on the initial data in Theorem 2.2,
\[
f(x) \geq 0 \; (\neq 0), \quad f(x) + g(x) \equiv 0,
\]
we have
\[
F(0) > 0, \quad F''(0) = 0.
\]
Neglecting the nonlinear term in (2.5), from (2.3) and (2.1), we also obtain the following point-wise estimate.
\[
u(x,t) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(x-t)\varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad x + t \geq k \quad \text{and} \quad -k \leq x - t \leq k.
\]
First, we shall handle the sub-critical case. In such a case, the following basic lemma is useful.
Lemma 4.1 ([14]) Let $p > 1, a > 0, q > 0$ satisfy
\[
M := \frac{p-1}{2} a - \frac{q}{2} + 1 > 0. \tag{4.5}
\]
Assume that $F \in C^2([0, T])$ satisfies
\[
F(t) \geq At^a \quad \text{for } t \geq T_0, \tag{4.6}
\]
\[
F''(t) \geq B(t + k)^{-q} |F(t)|^p \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \tag{4.7}
\]
\[
F(0) > 0, \quad F'(0) = 0, \tag{4.8}
\]
where $A, B, k, T_0$ are positive constants. Moreover, assume that there is a $t_0 > 0$ such that
\[
F(t_0) \geq 2F(0). \tag{4.9}
\]
Then, there exists a positive constant $C_* = C_*(p, a, q, B)$ such that
\[
T < 2^{2/M} T_1 \tag{4.10}
\]
holds provided
\[
T_1 := \max \{T_0, t_0, k\} \geq C_* A^{-(p-1)/(2M)}. \tag{4.11}
\]
This is exactly Lemma 2.2 in [14], so that we shall omit the proof here. We already have (4.7) and (4.8), so that the key estimate is (4.6) which is expected better than a constant $F(0)$ trivially follows from (4.7).

From now on to the end of this section, $C$ stands for a positive constant independent of $\varepsilon$, and may change from line to line. It follows from (4.1) and (4.4) that
\[
F''(t) \geq \frac{1}{(1 + t)^{p-1}} \int_{t-k}^{t+k} |u(x, t)|^p dx \geq C\varepsilon^p t^{1-p} \quad \text{for } t \geq k.
\]
Since (4.7) and (4.8) imply $F(t) > 0$ and $F'(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq 0$, integrating this inequality twice in $t$, we obtain
\[
F(t) \geq C\varepsilon^p \times \begin{cases} t^{3-p} & \text{if } 1 < p < 2, \\ t \log \frac{t}{2k} & \text{if } p = 2, \\ t & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } t \geq 4k. \tag{4.12}
\]
(i) Case of $1 < p < 2$.
According to (4.12), one can apply Lemma 4.1 to our situation with
\[
A = C\varepsilon^p, \quad a = 3 - p > 0, \quad B = 2^{-(p-1)}, \quad q = 2(p - 1).
\]
In this case, the blow-up condition (4.5) is satisfied by

$$2M = (p - 1)(3 - p) - 2(p - 1) + 2 = \frac{\gamma(p, 3)}{2} > 0.$$ 

Next we fix $t_0$ to satisfy (4.9). Due to (4.12), it is

$$F(t_0) \geq C\varepsilon^p t_0^{3-p} = 2F(0) = 2\|f\|_{L^1(R)\varepsilon},$$

namely

$$t_0 = C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/(3-p)}.$$

Hence, setting

$$T_0 = C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/(2M)} = C\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p, 3)},$$

we have a fact that there exists an $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(f, g, p, k) > 0$ such that

$$T_1 := \max\{T_0, t_0, k\} = T_0 = C\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p, 3)} \geq 4k$$

holds for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ because of

$$\frac{1}{3 - p} < \frac{2p}{\gamma(p, 3)} \iff p > 1.$$

Therefore, from (4.10), we obtain $T < 2^{2/M}T_1 = C\varepsilon^{-2p(p-1)/\gamma(p, 3)}$ as desired.

(ii) Case of $2 < p < 3$.

According to (4.12), one can apply Lemma 4.1 to our situation with

$$A = C\varepsilon^p, \ a = 1, \ B = 2^{-(p-1)}, \ q = 2(p - 1).$$

In this case, the blow-up condition (4.5) is satisfied by

$$2M = p - 1 - 2(p - 1) + 2 = 3 - p > 0.$$ 

Next we fix $t_0$ to satisfy (4.9). Due to (4.12), it is

$$F(t_0) \geq C\varepsilon^p t_0 = 2F(0) = 2\|f\|_{L^1(R)\varepsilon},$$

namely

$$t_0 = C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)}.$$

Hence, setting

$$T_0 = C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/(2M)} = C\varepsilon^{-p(p-1)/(3-p)},$$
we have a fact that there exists an $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(f, g, p, k) > 0$ such that
\[
T_1 := \max\{T_0, t_0, k\} = T_0 = C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/(3-p)} \geq 4k
\]
holds for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$ because of
\[
1 < \frac{p}{3-p} \iff p > \frac{3}{2}.
\]
Therefore we obtain $T < 2^{2/M}T_1 = C\varepsilon^{-(p-1)/(3-p)}$ as desired.

(iii) Case of $p = 2$.

Neglecting the logarithmic term in (4.12), similarly to the case of $2 < p < 3$, one can apply Lemma 4.1 to our situation with
\[
A = C\varepsilon^2, \quad a = 1, \quad B = 2^{-1}, \quad q = 2, \quad 2M = 1.
\]
We shall fix a $T_0$ as follows. In order to establish (4.11) in Lemma 4.1, we have to assume that $T_0 \geq C_*A^{-1}$ namely
\[
A \geq C_*T_0^{-1}.
\]
On the other hand, (4.6) in Lemma 4.1 can be established by (4.12) as far as
\[
C\varepsilon^2 \log \frac{T_0}{2k} \geq A.
\]
Hence $T_0$ must satisfy
\[
\varepsilon^2 T_0 \log \frac{T_0}{2k} \geq C_{**}, \quad (4.13)
\]
where $C_{**}$ is a positive constant independent of $\varepsilon$. Here we identify a constant $C$ as $C_{**}$ to fix $T_0$. Recall the definition of $b(\varepsilon)$ in (1.8) and the fact that $b(\varepsilon)$ is monotonously decreasing in $\varepsilon$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} b(\varepsilon) = \infty$. If $C_{**} \geq 1$, then we set $T_0 = 4kC_*b(\varepsilon)$. Taking $\varepsilon$ small to satisfy $C_*b(\varepsilon) \geq 1$, we have
\[
\varepsilon^2 T_0 \log \frac{T_0}{2k} \geq 4kC_*\varepsilon^2 b(\varepsilon) \log \{1 + C_*b(\varepsilon)\} \geq 4kC_*.
\]
Therefore (4.13) holds if $C_{**} \geq 1$ by $k > 1$. On the other hand, if $C_{**} < 1$, then we set $T_0 = 4kb(\varepsilon)$. In this case, taking $\varepsilon$ small to satisfy $b(\varepsilon) \geq 1$, we have
\[
\varepsilon^2 T_0 \log \frac{T_0}{2k} \geq 4k\varepsilon^2 b(\varepsilon) \log \{1 + b(\varepsilon)\} = 4k,
\]
so that (4.13) holds by $4k > 1 > C_{**}$. In this way one can say that our situation can be applicable to Lemma 4.1 with $T_0 = Cb(\varepsilon)$ for small $\varepsilon$ except for $t_0$ in (4.9).
In this case, (4.9) follows from (4.12) and
\[ F(t_0) \geq C \varepsilon^2 t_0 \log \frac{t_0}{2k} = 2 F(0) = 2 \| f \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \varepsilon, \]
namely
\[ \varepsilon t_0 \log \frac{t_0}{2k} = C. \]
Comparing this equality with (4.13), we know that there exists an \( \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(f, g, k) > 0 \) such that
\[ T_1 := \max\{ T_0, t_0, k \} = T_0 = Cb(\varepsilon) \geq 4k \]
holds for \( 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1 \). Therefore we obtain \( T < 2^{2/M} T_1 = Cb(\varepsilon) \) as desired.

(iv) Case of \( p = p_F(1) = 3 \)
Even in this case, (4.12) is still valid. But \( a = 1 \) and \( p = 3 \) yield \( M = 0 \) in Lemma 4.1. So we need a critical version of the lemma, which is a variant of Lemma 2.1 in Takamura and Wakasa [15] with a slightly different initial condition. One can readily show it by small modification. Here we shall avoid to employ it, and shall make use of (4.7) and (4.12) only to give a simple proof by means of “slicing method” of the blow-up domain introduced in Agemi, Kurokawa and Takamura [1].

For \( j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ 0 \} \), define
\[ a_j := \sum_{i=0}^{j} \frac{1}{2^i} \quad \text{and} \quad K := 4k. \]
Assume presumably
\[ F(t) \geq D_j t \log^{b_j} \frac{t}{a_j K} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq a_j K, \quad (4.14) \]
where each \( b_j \) and \( D_j \) are positive constants. We note that (4.14) with \( j = 0 \) is true by (4.12) if we set \( b_0 = 0 \) and \( D_0 = C \varepsilon^3 \). Plugging (4.14) into the right hand side of (4.2) with a restriction to the interval \([a_j K, \infty)\), we obtain that
\[ F''(t) \geq 2^{-6} D_j^3 t^{-1} \log^{3b_j} \frac{t}{a_j K} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq a_j K \]
which yields that
\[ F'(t) \geq 2^{-6} D_j^3 \cdot \frac{1}{3b_j + 1} \log^{3b_j+1} \frac{t}{a_j K} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq a_j K. \]
Integrating this inequality and diminishing the interval to make use of
\[
\int_{a_jK}^{t} \log^{3b_j+1} \frac{s}{a_jK} ds \geq \int_{a_jt/a_{j+1}}^{t} \log^{3b_j+1} \frac{s}{a_{j+1}K} ds
\]
for \( t \geq a_{j+1}K \),
we obtain that
\[
F(t) \geq 2^{-6} D_j^3 \cdot \frac{1}{3b_j + 1} \left( 1 - \frac{a_j}{a_{j+1}} \right) t \log^{3b_j+1} \frac{t}{a_{j+1}K} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq a_{j+1}K.
\]
Thus, due to
\[
1 - \frac{a_j}{a_{j+1}} = \frac{1}{2^{j+1} a_{j+1}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{j+2}},
\]
(4.14) inductively holds if the sequence \( \{b_j\} \) is defined by
\[
b_{j+1} = 3b_j + 1, \quad b_0 = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},
\]  
(4.15)

and \( \{D_j\} \) is defined by
\[
D_{j+1} := \frac{D_j^3}{2^{j+8}(3b_j + 1)}, \quad D_0 := C\varepsilon^3 \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.
\]  
(4.16)

It is easy to see that (4.15) gives us
\[
b_j = \frac{3^j - 1}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.
\]  
(4.17)

From now on, let us look for a suitable lower bound of \( D_j \) by (4.16). Since
\[
3b_j + 1 = b_{j+1} \leq \frac{3^{j+1}}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}
\]
by (4.17), we have
\[
\log D_{j+1} \geq 3 \log D_j - (2j + 8) \log 3 \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}
\]
which yields
\[
\log D_j \geq 3^{j-1} \log D_0 - \log 3 \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} 3^{j-1-i}(2i + 8) \quad \text{for} \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Hence, it follows from
\[
S := \lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{2i + 8}{3^i} > 0
\]
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by d’Alembert criterion that
\[ D_j \geq \left( \frac{D_0}{3^s} \right)^{3^{j-1}} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}. \]

Therefore, together with (4.14), we have
\[ F(t) \geq \left( \frac{D_0}{3^s} \right)^{3^{j-1}} t \log^{(3^j-1)/2} \frac{t}{2K} = \frac{3^s}{D_0} t \left( \log^{-1/2} \frac{t}{2K} \right) I(t)^{3^j} \]
for \( t \geq 2K \) and \( j \geq 1 \), where we set
\[ I(t) := \frac{D_0}{3^s} \log^{1/2} \frac{t}{2K}. \]

This inequality means that
\[ \lim_{j \to \infty} F(t_1) = \infty \]
if there exists a \( t_1 \geq 2K \) such that \( I(t_1) > 1 \). It can be achieved by
\[ \exp \left( -\left( \frac{D_0}{3^s} \right)^{-2} \right) \frac{t_1}{2K} > 1. \]

Therefore, \( T \) has to satisfy
\[ T \leq 2K \exp \left( C\varepsilon^{-6} \right). \]

The proof is now completed in all the cases. \( \square \)
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