A CLASS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH REAL COEFFICIENTS

MILUTIN OBRADOVIĆ AND NIKOLA TUNESKI

Abstract. In this paper we study class $S^+$ of univalent functions $f$ such that $\frac{z}{f(z)}$ has real and positive coefficients. For such functions we give estimates of the Fekete-Szegő functional and sharp estimates of their initial coefficients and logarithmic coefficients. Also, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for $f \in S^+$ to be starlike of order $1/2$.

1. Introduction

Let $A$ be the class of functions $f$ that are analytic in the open unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ of the form $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$. Then the class of starlike functions of order $\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, is defined by

$$S^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in A : \text{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha, \ z \in D \right\},$$

while $S^* \equiv S^*(0)$ is the well known class of starlike functions mapping the unit disc onto a starlike region $D$, i.e.,

$$w \in f(D) \iff tw \in f(D) \text{ for all } t \in [0,1].$$

More on this classes can be found in [7] and [1].

Further, let $S^+$ denote the class of univalent functions in the unit disc with the next representation

$$\frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots, \ b_n \geq 0, \ n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

For example, the Silverman class (the class with negative coefficients) is included in the class $S^+$. Namely, that class consists of univalent functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - a_2 z^2 - a_3 z^3 - \cdots, \ a_n \geq 0, \ n = 2, 3, \ldots$$

which implies that

$$\frac{z}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - a_2 z - a_3 z^2 - \cdots},$$

i.e., $\frac{z}{f(z)}$ has the form [1]. Also, the Koebe function $k(z) = \frac{z}{(1+z)^2} \in S^+$. The next characterization is valid for the class $S^+$ (see [2]):

$$f \in S^+ \iff \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)b_n \leq 1.$$ 

From the relations [1] and [2] we have that

$$b_2 + 2b_3 \leq 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \leq b_2 \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq b_3 \leq 1/2.$$ 
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If we put \( f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \ldots \), then by using (1) we easily obtain that
\[
(4) \quad b_1 = -a_2, \quad b_2 = a_2^2 - a_3.
\]
This implies that \( 0 \leq b_1 \leq 2 \). From (1) we obtain
\[
\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = -\log(1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots),
\]
or
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\gamma_n z^n = -b_1 z + \left( \frac{1}{2} b_1^2 - b_2 \right) z^2 + \left( -\frac{1}{3} b_1^3 + b_1 b_2 - b_3 \right) z^3 + \cdots.
\]
(We call \( \gamma_n, n = 1, 2, \ldots \) the logarithmic coefficients of the function \( f \).) From the last relation we have
\[
(5) \quad \begin{cases} 
2\gamma_1 = -b_1, \\
2\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2} b_1^2 - b_2, \\
2\gamma_3 = -\frac{1}{3} b_1^3 + b_1 b_2 - b_3.
\end{cases}
\]

For functions \( f \) in \( S^+ \) we give sharp estimates of their logarithmic coefficients \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) and \( \gamma_3 \) of \( f \) and lower and upper bound of the Fekete-Szegő functional \( (a_3 - \gamma a_2^2) \). Additionally, sharp estimates of coefficients \( a_2, a_3, a_4 \) and \( a_5 \) for functions in a class containing \( S^+ \) is given. At the end the relation between the class \( S^+ \) and the class of starlike functions is studied.

### 2. Results over the coefficients

We start the section with a study of the Fekete-Szegő functional for the functions in the class \( S^+ \).

**Theorem 1.** For each \( f \in S^+ \) we have
\[
-1 \leq a_3 - \gamma a_2^2 \leq \begin{cases} 
1 + 2e^{-2\gamma/(1-\gamma)}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{\nu_0}{1+\nu_0} = 0.456278\ldots \\
2(\gamma-1)(\nu_0+1)^2, & \frac{\nu_0}{1+\nu_0} \leq \gamma < 1.
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \nu_0 = 0.83927\ldots \) is the positive real root of the equation
\[
(6) \quad 2(2\nu + 1)e^{-2\nu} = 1.
\]
The lower bound is sharp due to the function \( f_1(z) = \frac{\sqrt[3]{\gamma}}{1+z^2} \).

**Proof.** We will use the same method as in the proof of Fekete-Szego theorem for the class \( S \) (see [1] Theorem 3.8, p. 104). First, from the relation (1) we have that
\[
-1 \leq a_3 - \gamma a_2^2 = -b_2 \leq 0.
\]
Since \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) are real we can put (as in that proof) \( a_2 = -2 \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(t)dt \), where \( \varphi \) is real function and \( |\varphi(t)| \leq e^{-t} \). If we put
\[
\int_0^{\infty} [\varphi(t)]^2 dt = \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) e^{-2\nu}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < \infty,
\]
then by Valiron-Landau lemma we have that \( |a_2| \leq 2(\nu + 1)e^{-\nu} \). Also, we have (as [1]):
\[
a_3 - a_2^2 = 1 - 4\int_0^{\infty} [\varphi(t)]^2 dt = 1 - 4 \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) e^{-2\nu} \leq 0
\]
if and only if \( 0 \leq \nu \leq \nu_0 \), where \( \nu_0 = 0.83927\ldots \) is the root of the equation (6).
Now, for $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and for $0 \leq \nu \leq \nu_0$ we have that
\[
a_3 - \gamma a_2^2 \leq 4(1 - \gamma) \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(t) dt \right)^2 - 4 \int_{0}^{\infty} \varphi(t)^2 dt + 1
\]
\[
= 4e^{-2\nu} \left( (1 - \gamma)(\nu + 1)^2 - \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) + 1
\]
\[
= \psi(\nu).
\]
It is an elementary fact that the function $\psi$ has its maximum $\psi(\gamma/(1-\gamma))$ if $\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \in [0,\nu_0]$ and $\psi(\nu_0)$ if $\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \notin [0,\nu_0]$, which gives the right estimation in the theorem (in the second case we used that $\nu_0$ satisfies the equation (6)).

On the other hand side $a_3 - \gamma a_2^2 \geq a_3 - a_2^2 \geq -1$.

Next we give sharp estimates of the first three logarithmic coefficients for functions in $S^+$. 

**Theorem 2.** Let $f \in S^+$ and let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ be its logarithmic coefficients. Then

(a) $-1 \leq \gamma_1 \leq 0$;

(b) $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \gamma_2 \leq \frac{(\nu_0+1)^2}{2(2\nu_0+1)} = 0.631464\ldots$,

where $\nu_0 = 0.83927\ldots$ is the solution of the equation (6);

(c) $-\frac{1}{3} \leq \gamma_3 \leq \frac{1}{7}$.

Some of these results are the best possible.

**Proof.**

(a) It is evident since $\gamma_1 = -\frac{1}{2} b_1$ (from (5)) and $0 \leq b_1 \leq 2$. The functions $f_1(z) = \frac{z}{1+b_2}$ and

$f_2(z) = \frac{z}{1+b_3}$ show that the result is the best possible.

(b) From (1) and (5) we have that

\[
\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}b_1^2 - b_2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_2^2 \right)
\]

and the result directly follows from Theorem 1 for $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. For the function $f_1(z) = \frac{z}{1+b_2}$ we have that $\log f_1(z) = -\log(1 + z^2) = -z^2 + \ldots$, which means that left hand side estimate is the best possible.

We were not able to prove sharpness of the right hand side of the inequality (the upper bound of $\gamma_2$), but it is worth pointing that the estimate goes in a line with the sharp estimate coresponding to the univalent functions, known to be (see [1, Theorem 3.8] or [2, p.136])

\[
|\gamma_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2e^{-2}) = 0.635\ldots
\]

(c) From (5) we have

\[
2\gamma_3 = -\frac{1}{3}b_1^3 + b_1 b_2 - b_3 =: u(b_1),
\]

where

\[
u(t) = -\frac{1}{3}t^3 + b_2 t - b_3, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2.
\]

Since $u'(t) = -t^2 + b_2$ and $u'(t) = 0$ for $t_0 = \sqrt{b_2}$, then the function $u$ attains its maximum

\[
u(t_0) = u(\sqrt{b_2}) = \frac{2}{3} b_2^{3/2} - b_3 \leq \frac{2}{3}(1 - 2b_3)^{3/2} - b_3 \leq \frac{2}{3}.
\]
because \( b_2 \leq 1 - 2b_3 \) (see (3)) and the last function is a decreasing function of \( b_3, 0 \leq b_3 \leq \frac{1}{2} \).

This provides that \( \gamma_3 \leq \frac{1}{3} \). For the function \( f_3(z) = \frac{z}{1+z+z^2} \) we have
\[
\log \frac{f_3(z)}{z} = -\log(1 + z + z^2) = -z - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{2}{3}z^3 + \cdots,
\]
i.e. \( \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{3} \).

As for lower bound for \( \gamma_3 \), by using (5) and (4), we have
\[
-2\gamma_3 = \frac{1}{3}b_3^3 - b_1b_2 + b_3
= \frac{1}{3}b_3^3 - b_1(b_2^2 - a_3) + b_3
= -\frac{2}{3}b_3^3 + a_3b_1 + b_3
= v(b_1),
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
v(t) &= -\frac{2}{3}t^3 + a_3t + b_3, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 2). \\
\end{align*}
\]
From here we have
\[
v'(t) = -2t^2 + a_3.
\]
If \( a_3 \leq 0 \), then \( v'(t) \leq 0 \), and if \( a_3 > 0 \) then we can write
\[
v'(t) = -2(b_2^2 - a_3) - a_3 = -2b_2 - a_3
\]
and also we have \( v'(t) < 0 \), since \( 0 \leq b_2 \leq 1 \). It means that the function \( v \) is a decreasing function, which gives that
\[
-2\gamma_3 \leq v(0) = b_3 \leq \frac{1}{2},
\]
i.e. \( \gamma_3 \geq -\frac{1}{4} \). The function \( f_4(z) = \frac{z}{1+z^4/2} \) shows that the result is the best possible.

Let \( U(\lambda), 0 < \lambda \leq 1 \), denote the class of functions \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) which satisfy the condition
\[
(7) \quad \left| \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^2 f'(z) - 1 \right| \leq \lambda \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).
\]
We put \( U(1) \equiv U \). More about classes \( U \) and \( U(\lambda) \) we can find in [5], [7], [3] and [4].

Let \( U^+(\lambda), 0 < \lambda \leq 1 \), denote the class of functions \( f \) satisfy the conditions (1) and (7). By using (2) we can conclude that \( U^+(1) \equiv S^+ \). For example, the function
\[
f(\lambda) = \frac{z}{1 + (1 + \lambda)z + \lambda z^2}
= \frac{z}{1 + (1 + \lambda + \lambda^2)z^3 - (1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \lambda^3)z^4 + \cdots},
\]
belongs to the class \( U^+(\lambda) \) and it is extremal in many cases.

Also, if \( f \in U^+(\lambda) \) and has the form (11) then by (2):
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)b_n \leq \lambda,
\]
which implies the appropriate inequalities:

(9) \[ 0 \leq b_2 \leq \lambda, \quad b_2 + 2b_3 \leq \lambda, \quad b_2 + 2b_3 + 3b_4 \leq \lambda, \ldots. \]

For the coefficients of functions from the class \( U^+(\lambda) \), the next theorem is valid.

**Theorem 3.** If \( f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots \) belongs to the class \( U^+(\lambda) \), \( 0 < \lambda \leq 1 \), then we have

\[-(1 + \lambda) \leq a_2 \leq 0, \]
\[-\lambda \leq a_3 \leq 1 + \lambda + \lambda^2, \]
\[-(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \lambda^4) \leq a_4 \leq \frac{4\lambda}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{3}}, \]
\[a_5 \geq \begin{cases} -\lambda/3, & 0 < \lambda \leq 4/27 \\ -9\lambda^2/4, & 4/27 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \end{cases}.\]

All these inequalities are sharp.

**Proof.** For \( f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots \) and \( f \in U(\lambda) \), \( 0 < \lambda \leq 1 \) it is shown in [4] the next sharp inequalities:

\[ |a_2| \leq 1 + \lambda, \quad |a_3| \leq 1 + \lambda + \lambda^2, \quad |a_4| \leq 1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 + \lambda^3. \]

In the same paper the authors conjectured that \( |a_n| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^k \). Since the function \( f_\lambda \) defined by (8) belongs to the class \( U^+(\lambda) \), then the lower bounds for \( a_2 \) and \( a_4 \) and the upper bounds for \( a_3 \) are valid and sharp. We only need to prove the lower bounds for \( a_3 \) and \( a_5 \) and the upper bounds for \( a_2 \) and \( a_4 \).

If \( f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots \) and \( f \) has the form (9), then by comparing the coefficients we easily conclude that

(10)

\[ \begin{align*}
  a_2 &= -b_1, \\
  a_3 &= -b_2 + b_1^2, \\
  a_4 &= -b_3 + 2b_1 b_2 - b_1^3, \\
  a_5 &= -b_4 + b_2^2 + 2b_1 b_3 - 3b_2 b_2 + b_1^4.
\end{align*} \]

From \( a_2 = -b_1 \) and \( b_1 \geq 0 \), we have \( a_2 \leq 0 \). Also, by using (9) and (10), we obtain

\[ -3b_2 = b_2 - b_1^2 \leq b_2 \leq \lambda, \]

which implies \( a_3 \geq -\lambda \). The function \( f_6(z) = \frac{z}{1 + \lambda z^2} (= z - \lambda z^3 + \cdots) \) shows that two previous results are the best possible.

Further, from (10) we have

\[ a_4 = -b_3 + 2b_1 b_2 - b_1^3 \leq 2b_2 b_1 - b_1^3 =: w(b_1), \]

where \( 0 \leq b_1 \leq 1 + \lambda \) (since \( b_1 = -a_2 \leq 1 + \lambda \)). It is an elementary fact to get that the function \( w \) has its maximum \( \frac{4b_2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2b_2}{3}} \) for \( b_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2b_2}{3}} \). It means that

\[ a_4 \leq \frac{4b_2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2b_2}{3}} \leq \frac{4\lambda}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda}{3}}, \]

since \( 0 \leq b_2 \leq \lambda \). The function

\[ f_7(z) = \frac{z}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{2b_2}{3}} z + \lambda z^2} \]

shows that the result is the best possible.
Finally, from (10) we also have
\[-a_5 = b_4 - b_2^2 - 2b_1b_3 + 3b_1^2b_2 - b_1^4\]
\[\leq b_4 + 3b_1^2b_2 - b_1^4\]
\[\leq \frac{1}{3}(\lambda - b_2) + 3b_1^2b_2 - b_1^4\]
\[\leq \frac{9}{4}b_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\lambda - b_2)\]
\[\leq \begin{cases} \lambda/3, & 0 < \lambda \leq 4/27 \\ 9\lambda^2/4 - 4/27 & 4/27 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \end{cases}
\]
where we used the relation (9) and the same method as in the previous case. The functions
\[f_2(z) = \frac{z}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{3\lambda}{2}z + \lambda z^2}}\]
and
\[f_8(z) = \frac{z}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{3}z^4}\]
show that the result is the best possible.

For \(\lambda = 1\) from the previous theorem we have

**Corollary 1.** Let \(f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \cdots\) belong to the class \(S^+\). Then we have the next sharp inequalities

\[-2 \leq a_2 \leq 0, \quad -1 \leq a_3 \leq 3, \quad -4 \leq a_4 \leq \frac{4}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad -\frac{9}{4} \leq a_5 \leq 5.\]

We note that upper bound for \(a_5\) follows from de Brange’s theorem.

3. Relation with starlike functions

In this section we study the relation between the class \(S^+\) and the class of starlike functions.

**Theorem 4.** Let \(f \in A\) and satisfy the condition (11). Then the condition

\[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n - 1)b_n \leq 1\]

is necessary and sufficient for \(f\) to be in the class \(S^*(1/2)\).

**Proof.** The sufficient condition follows from the result given in the paper of Reade, Silverman and Todorov [6].

Let’s prove the necessary case. If \(f \in S^*(1/2)\), then

\[\left|\frac{z'f(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right| < 1 \quad (z \in D)\]

or equivalently

\[\left|\frac{z \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)'}{f(z)} - z \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)''\right| < 1 \quad (z \in D)\]
and from here
\[ \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n b_n z^n \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \]
If \( z = r \) (0 < r < 1) we have from the last inequality that
\[ \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n b_n r^n}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) b_n r^n} < 1, \]
which implies the condition
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n - 1) b_n r^n < 1. \]
Finally, when \( r \to 1 \) we have
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n - 1) b_n \leq 1, \]
i.e., the relation (11).

**Remark 1.** Since the class of convex functions is the subset of the class \( S^*(1/2) \), then if a function \( f \) is convex and
\[ \frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \ldots \]
with \( b_n \geq 0 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), we have
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n - 1) b_n \leq 1. \]
The converse is not true. Namely, for the function
\[ f(z) = \frac{z}{1 + \frac{1}{2} z^2}, \]
we have that
\[ \frac{z}{f(z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{3} z^2 \]
and
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n - 1) b_n = 1, \]
but
\[ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{1 - 2z^2 + \frac{1}{9} z^4}{1 - \frac{1}{9} z^4} < 0 \]
for \( z = r(0 < r < 1) \) and \( r \) close to 1.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( f \in S^+ \) and let \( b_1 = 0 \), then \( f \in S^* \).

**Proof.** Since \( f \in S^+ \), then \( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) b_n \leq 1 \), and since \( b_1 = 0 \), then also \( \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) b_n \leq 1 = 1 - b_1 \), which implies, by result of Reade et al. (10) (see the previous sited result in Theorem 4), that \( f \in S^* \).

We note that if \( b_1 = 0 \), then \( \Re \frac{f(z)}{z} > \frac{1}{2} \) (\( z \in \mathbb{D} \)) since
\[ |z/f(z) - 1| \leq |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) b_n \leq |z|^2 < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}). \]
But under the condition of this theorem we do not have that $f \in S^*(1/2)$. For example, for the function $f_1(z) = \frac{1}{1 + z^2}$ we have $b_1 = 0$, but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n - 1) b_n = 3$, which means that $f_1 \notin S^*(1/2)$ (by the previous theorem). \hfill \Box

**Theorem 6.** Let $f \in S^+$. Then the function

\begin{equation}
 g(z) = z + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} - 1 - b_1 z \right)
\end{equation}

is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. More precisely, $\Re g'(z) > 0 \ (z \in \mathbb{D})$, $g \in S^*$ and $g \in \mathcal{U}$.

**Proof.** It is well-known that if $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n |a_n| \leq 1$, then $\Re f'(z) > 0 \ (z \in \mathbb{D})$ and $f \in S^*$ with $\left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \ (z \in \mathbb{D})$. It is easily to prove those statement (in the second case better to consider the form $|zf'(z) - f(z)| < |f(z)|$).

By (12) we have

\[ g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} b_n z^n. \]

Since $f \in S^+$ implies $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) b_n \leq 1$ and since $\frac{n}{2(n-1)} \leq 1$ for $n \geq 2$, then

\[ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \left( \frac{1}{2} b_n \right) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) b_n \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) b_n \leq 1. \]

By previous remarks we have $\Re g'(z) > 0 \ (z \in \mathbb{D})$ and $g \in S^*$. Also, $g \in \mathcal{U}$ by the result given in [5]. \hfill \Box
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