Electron-only magnetic reconnection in plasma turbulence
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We present hybrid-Vlasov-Maxwell (HVM) simulations of plasma turbulence including electron-inertia effects. When fluctuation energy is directly injected near ion-kinetic scales, the ions become de-magnetized around naturally forming electron-scale current sheets, in which efficient electron-only reconnection occurs. We show that these electron-only reconnection events are characterized by electron jets unaccompanied by ion outflows, in agreement with recent MMS measurements taken in the Earth’s turbulent magnetosheath region (downstream of the bow shock). We conjecture that this kind of turbulent regime is typical of systems in which plasma processes, such as velocity-space instabilities and/or shocks, modify the cascade by injecting energy close to the ion-kinetic scales. We also demonstrate that this reconnection physics and the accompanying turbulent dynamics is consistent with an electron-magneto-hydrodynamic description applied to the scale interval between the ion and electron inertial lengths and, in particular, around the reconnection layers.
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Introduction.—The solar wind and the Earth’s magnetosphere are the best natural laboratories for studying the fundamental physics of weakly collisional, magnetized plasmas [1]. Our knowledge and understanding of these environments is based on highly accurate in situ satellite measurements of plasma fluctuations and particle distribution functions, revealing the detailed properties of plasma turbulence and magnetic reconnection [2, 3]. Space missions such as Cluster and MMS provide unprecedented opportunities to investigate multiscale plasma physics, from the ion-kinetic scales down to the electron-kinetic scales and beyond, and thereby constrain theoretical models of kinetic turbulence.

By contrast with hydrodynamical (fluid) turbulence, turbulence in weakly collisional, magnetized plasmas involves a complex interplay between myriad physical regimes delineated by disparate scales [4]. Above the ion- and electron-kinetic scales, the turbulence is “magneto-fluid”, behaving qualitatively similar to turbulence in collisional, magnetized fluids. However, as the turbulence cascades down to the ion and electron scales, several plasma-kinetic processes become simultaneously important and compete in a six-dimensional phase-space cascade of free energy. Furthermore, non-local energy transfers can be mediated by magnetic reconnection (e.g., Refs. [5, 6]), and a variety of instabilities driven by anisotropy in the particle distribution function can inject fluctuations on a range of scales (e.g., Refs. [7, 11]).

Regarding the former, magnetic reconnection plays an important role in systems where ion-scale currents sheets (CSs) are continuously generated and disrupted by turbulent motions, as observed both by satellites [2, 12, 13] and in numerical simulations [4, 20]. In this situation, reconnection can modify [21, 22] or even short-circuit [3, 4] the otherwise continuous energy transfer from fluid to kinetic scales, the latter facilitated by a direct injection of energy at scales around and below the ion skin depth $d_i$ and/or the ion Larmor radius $\rho_i$.

In the standard picture, reconnection takes place in the electron “diffusion region” embedded within an ion-scale CS, driving both ion and electron outflows in the “exhaust” [31]. Surprisingly, MMS has recently seen evidence in the turbulent Earth’s magnetosheath of electron-scale reconnection where super-Alfvénic electron jets were not accompanied by ion outflows. They dubbed these events “electron-only reconnection” [31]. It is difficult to explain these results in the context of a turbulent cascade in which energy is supposed to cascade first from magnetofluid scales to ion-kinetic scales, thus coupling to the ion dynamics in the standard way [2, 5, 6, 12, 17, 21, 22, 24].

In this Letter, we investigate how electron-only reconnection (hereafter, e-rec) can develop in a large-scale turbulent system using 2D-3V hybrid-Vlasov-Maxwell (HVM) simulations of freely decaying plasma turbulence. Our HVM model includes electron-inertia terms in a generalized Ohm’s law [32]. We demonstrate that any mechanism capable of modifying the cascade by injecting energy close enough to $d_i$ leads to CS production and e-rec, in quantitative agreement with recent MMS observations [31]. This process may be driven by instabilities and/or by locally generated large-amplitude fluctuations, as is likely to occur past the bow shock. We also show that the physics of e-rec is well described by electron magnetohydrodynamics (EMHD) [33, 35].

The model.—We integrate the Vlasov equation for the ion distribution function $f_i$ and Faraday’s law for the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ [36], using a generalized Ohm’s law that
includes the inertia of an isothermal electron fluid \footnote{32}:

\[
(1 - d_e^2 \nabla^2) E = -u_e \times B - \nabla \ln n \\
+ d_e^2 \nabla \cdot \left[ n(u_iu_i - u_eu_e) \right], \quad (1)
\]

where \( d_e \equiv \sqrt{m_e/m_i} d_i \) is the electron skin depth, \( E \) is the electric field, \( u_e = u_i - J/n \) is the electron flow velocity, \( T_e \) is the (constant) electron temperature, and \( n \) and \( u_i \) are the first two velocity-space moments of \( f_i \). Quasi-neutrality is assumed, \( n_i \approx n_e = n \); the current density \( J = \nabla \times B \).

As turbulent fluctuations cascade to smaller scales, their frequencies increase and thus eventually exceed those included within the MHD description. In particular, when such wavelengths shrink below the ion-kinetic scales, the frequency associated with those fluctuations increases even faster, ultimately decoupling the electrons from the ion dynamics. As a result, spatial derivatives of \( n \) and \( u_i \) can be neglected at sub-ion scales—the so-called EMHD regime. In this limit, our Eq. (1) corresponds to Eq. (7) of Ref. \footnote{33}, which governs the collisionless EMHD dynamics and preserves the topology of \( B' \equiv B - d_e^2 \nabla^2 B \), which is frozen into an incompressible electron flow. At these scales the current is carried by the electrons only, \( u_e \approx -J/n \). In 2D the magnetic field can be written as \( B = e_z \times \nabla \psi + B_z \), where \( \psi \) is the flux function, and collisionless EMHD ensures the Lagrangian conservation of \( F \equiv \psi - d_e^2 \nabla^2 \psi \) advected by \( u_e \).

**Simulation.**—We consider an initially Maxwellian, \( m_i/m_e = 144 \) plasma in 2D-3V phase space with 1024\(^2 \) grid points spanning a real-space domain of size \( L_x = L_y = 30 \pi d_i \), corresponding to a spatial resolution \( dx = dy = 0.06 d_i \approx 0.7 d_e \). The initial plasma \( \beta_i \equiv 8 \pi n_i T_i / B_0^2 = 1 \), with a mean out-of-plane magnetic field \( B_0 = 1 \), so that \( \rho_i = d_i \). The velocity space is sampled by \( 51^2 \) uniformly distributed grid points spanning \([-5.5,5.5] \) in \( v_{th,i} \), where \( \sqrt{\beta_i}/2 \) is the ion thermal speed. The initial condition includes random, isotropic magnetic-field perturbations with wavenumbers \( k \) in the range \( 0.1 \leq k d_i \leq 0.6 \) and \(|e_z \times \nabla \psi|^{\text{max}} \approx 0.15\). The eddy turnover time associated with the shortest initial wavelength \( (\lambda \approx 10 d_i) \) is \( \tau \approx 300 \Omega_i^{-1} \). We will show that e-rec events develop throughout the domain already on this timescale, whereas fully developed turbulence is realized only on the eddy turnover time of the outer-scale fluctuations, \( \tau \approx 100 \Omega_i^{-1} \).

**Results.**—In Fig. 1 we show a full-box view of the out-of-plane current density \( J_z \) at \( t = 42.5 \Omega_i^{-1} \). We observe the formation of several electron-scale CSs, e.g., CS1 (highlighted by the rectangle box) at \((x,y) = (58,11)\), CS2 at \((23,11)\), and CS3 at \((21,36)\). All CSs we have analyzed are characterized by widths \( \ell \approx (4-6) d_i \leq d_i \), which are much smaller than those typically observed in simulations where the energy injection occurs exclusively at scales (much) larger than the ion-kinetic scales (e.g., \( k \perp d_i \ll 0.3 \)). Their lengths \( L_{CS} \approx 2 d_i \) are also much shorter than those typically observed in turbulence simulations \footnote{3, 6, 22}. On these scales the electron fluid, and thus the magnetic field, is expected to decouple from the ions. Only deep within these thin CSs does the electron fluid decouple from the magnetic field, a feature shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. There we plot \( J_z \) (black), \( E_z' \equiv E_z + (u_e \times B) \) (red), and \( E_z + (u_i \times B) \) (blue) versus \( y \) at \( x \approx 58 d_i \) (traced by the vertical dashed line in the top panel). While the electron fluid noticeably decouples from the magnetic field only deep within CS1 at \( y \approx 11 d_i \), where \( E_z' \neq 0 \), the ions are mostly decoupled over much of the simulation domain. The width of CS1, inferred by the condition \( |J_z| > J_z^{\text{max}} \), is \( \ell \approx 4 d_i \), corresponding to the physical range on which e-rec has been observed to occur \footnote{31}.

Fig. 2 zooms in on CS1 after the onset of e-rec and the formation of an active X-point structure at \( t = 42.5 \Omega_i^{-1} \). The top panel reveals a quadrupolar structure of \( B_z \) (color) near the CS, known to be caused by Hall diffusion (i.e., ion-electron drift \footnote{37}). Iso-contours of the flux function \( \psi \) (dashed white lines) and of the correspond-
ing EMHD invariant $F$ (black lines) coincide on scales larger than $d_e$, i.e. where electron inertia is unimportant. Both quantities, advected by the flow, are well conserved everywhere except around the X-point, where $\psi$ locally breaks (and reconnects) on scales $\sim d_e$. This separation of the $\psi$ and $F$ iso-contours signals the start of the EMHD regime (e.g., Ref. [38]). Indeed, in the bottom panel of Fig. 2 the $x$-component of the electron flow $u_{e,x}$ shows the typical electron jet structures coming out from the X-point (highlighted by $F$, the solid black lines). No evidence of corresponding ion outflows is found in the exhaust around the X-point.

In Fig. 3 we show data taken from two virtual spacecraft passing through CS1 along the paths traced by the vertical dashed lines in Fig. 2 (left column). The vertical dashed lines represent the local boundaries of the CS given by the condition $|J_z| > J_z^{rms}$. Within these boundaries, there are clear signatures of oppositely oriented electron jets, identified as the exhausts (panels (c) and (h)). This feature is in agreement with MMS measurements [31]. Note that ion jets do not appear even if we move the spacecraft trajectories further away from the X-point location. The parallel electric field $E_{||}$, the $z$-component of the electric field in the electron reference frame $E_z' \equiv E_z + (u_e \times B)_z$, and the dissipation rate $J \cdot E'$ all depart significantly from zero only across the CS (panels (d), (e), (i), and (j)). Although $J \cdot E'$ oscillates, its integral across CS1 is positive, indicating the possibility of a region of net magnetic-to-particle energy conversion (in our simulation, only conversion into electron bulk energy can take place). All of these features seen in CS1 are observed also in the other CSs in the simulation.

Figure 2. Zoom-in on CS1 at $t = 42.5\Omega_e^{-1}$. (top) Shaded iso-contours of the out-of-plane magnetic field $B_z$ with superposed iso-contours of $\psi$ (dashed white) and $F$ (black). (bottom) Shaded iso-contours of the $x$-component of the electron flow, $u_{e,x}$, and of $\psi$ (solid black lines). Dashed vertical lines trace the two virtual spacecraft trajectories shown in the two columns of Fig. 3.

Figure 3. Data taken by two virtual spacecraft passing through CS1 along the paths traced by the vertical dashed lines in Fig. 2 (“Spacecraft 1” at $x \approx 57.4d_i$, left column; and “Spacecraft 2” at $x \approx 58.4d_i$, right column). The vertical dashed lines represent the local boundaries of the CS given by the condition $|J_z| > J_z^{rms}$.

Figure 4. The spectrum at $t = 42.5\Omega_e^{-1}$ of the in-plane magnetic field (blue solid line), of the solenoidal contributions to the in-plane ion and electron velocities $u_{i,e}^{(sol)}$ (orange and red solid lines, respectively), and of the irrotational contributions of the same velocities $u_{i,e}^{(irr)}$ (green and black-dashed curves, respectively). The $-1$ and $-3$ slopes are given as references.
And ion flow, simulation box at Figure 5. Shaded iso-contours of (minus) the out-of-plane and incompressible across an even larger range. We have also electron velocities (compared with the spectrum of the in-place magnetic field). These spectra demonstrate d ∇ · | the CSs largely dominates over its irrotational counterpart, and that the “EMHD terms” in our generalized viz. Ohm’s law dominate the dynamics (see, e.g., Ref. [35]).

The role of the ions in the fully developed turbulent regime (t ≪ τ) has been further investigated by comparing the pattern of the out-of-plane and in-plane electron and ion flow velocities. This is done at t = 114Γ −1, when the squared mean perpendicular current J⊥2/n, a proxy for the turbulent activity, has overcome its maximum value (not shown here; see, e.g., Fig.1 of Ref. [39]). That the turbulence is fully developed is further confirmed by the energy spectra of the magnetic and electric energies, which show power laws close to −3 and to −1, respectively, in the range d−3 < k⊥ < d−1 (not shown here), in agreement with previous simulations [14, 21, 22, 40, 48] and satellite measurements [49–52]. In Fig. 4 we show iso-contours of −ue,z ≈ Jz/n (top left), u⊥,z (top right), ue,y (bottom left), and u⊥,y (bottom right). All electron quantities exhibit many thin structures at the electron scale, well correlated with the CSs traced by Jz (not shown here, as it is nearly identical to −ue,z). On the other hand, all of the ion quantities exhibit much smoother variations and, in particular, are largely uncorrelated with the corresponding electron flow or the CSs. As in Fig. 2 electron jets are also visible in u⊥,y (e.g., at (x, y) ≈ 10 d⊥; note that here the jets are along y), while no corresponding ion outflows are apparent.

Conclusions.—Using a hybrid-Vlasov simulation including electron inertia, we have demonstrated for the first time the possibility of electron-only reconnection in plasma turbulence. The properties of the emergent reconnecting CSs are in excellent agreement with those “electron-scale” CSs recently measured in the turbulent magnetosheath by MMS. In particular, we find that the absence of corresponding ion outflows in the reconnection exhaust found by Ref. [31] can result from the injection of large-amplitude, broad-band fluctuations near ion-kinetic scales (in our case, ≳10d⊥). The dynamics of the reconnecting magnetic field is controlled almost entirely by the electrons, supporting a model based on the EMHD equations at sub-ion scales. Based on our results and their close resemblance to MMS data, we conjecture that energy injection occurring near ion-kinetic scales, perhaps due to velocity-space instabilities and/or shocks, can qualitatively alter the evolution of CSs and the dynamics of magnetic reconnection in plasma turbulence. The prospect of directly observing such dynamics outside of the magnetosheath in the turbulent solar wind should be considered a frontier in the exploration of the heliosphere and the analysis of satellite data.
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