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ABSTRACT. A refinement of the energy method is introduced for dispersive PDE with derivative nonlinearity posed on tori. Key ingredient is a short-time bilinear Strichartz estimate, which is used in a known combination of perturbative and energy arguments.

1. Introduction

We consider classical models with derivative nonlinearity like the $k$-generalized Benjamin-Ono equation giving rise to periodic solutions

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u + H_\partial xx u = u^{k-1}\partial_x u & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T} \\
u(0) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T})
\end{cases}
\]

or the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u + \partial_{xxx} u + \partial_y \partial_{xx} u = u \partial_x u & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \\
u(0) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^2)
\end{cases}
\]

In case $k = 2$ (1) becomes the Benjamin-Ono equation. Note that in both cases the mean value is a conserved quantity and real initial values give rise to real-valued solutions. Hence, we confine ourselves in the following to real-valued initial data with vanishing mean. The relevant subspace of the Sobolev space $H^s$ will be denoted by $H^s_{\mathbb{R}}$. For expositional purposes we confine ourselves for most of the time to quadratic nonlinearities, i.e., $k = 2$. Later, we shall see how the results generalize to higher order nonlinearities for both models.

In both cases the derivative loss prevents one from concluding well-posedness by perturbative methods (cf. [11, 17, 21]).

Computing the change of the $N$ frequencies of solutions to (1) for $k = 2$ or (2) we find

\[\|P_N u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|P_N u(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{T}} dx P_N u P_N (u \partial_x u)\]

and the most problematic $High \times Low \rightarrow High$-interaction $P_N (u P_{<N} u)$ can be handled with integration by parts and a commutator estimate. One can conclude the argument with Sobolev embedding leading to well-posedness for $s \geq 1 + d/2$, where $d$ denotes the spatial dimensions. This is one of the main arguments of the classical Bona-Smith method (cf. [2]).

However, at none of the steps the dispersive properties of the propagator are taken into account.
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Of the above equations the Benjamin-Ono equation is best understood. The first well-posedness result below $s = 3/2$ on the real line was reached by Koch-Tzvetkov in [16] using improved Strichartz estimates considering small frequency-dependent time intervals.

In this work we will use shorttime bilinear Strichartz estimates.

A breakthrough in the well-posedness theory of the Benjamin-Ono equation was the proof of global well-posedness in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ by Tao in [29], where a gauge transform mimicking the Cole-Hopf transform was used weakening the derivative loss enough to treat the equation with Strichartz estimates.

This strategy was further refined and Ionescu-Kenig [14] proved global well-posedness in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which is the best result so far although the scaling critical regularity is $s_c = -1/2$.

Adapting the gauge transform to the periodic setting global well-posedness was proved in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ by Molinet in [22]. The proofs of global well-posedness in $L^2$ were simplified in [12, 24].

However, all these results heavily draw from the gauge transform which is very accessible for the Benjamin-Ono equation. For the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation it is currently unknown whether there is a suitable gauge transform. Using shorttime linear Strichartz estimates, very recently local well-posedness of (2) was proved for $s > 5/3$ in [19]. On $\mathbb{R}^2$ the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation is known to be semilinear and locally well-posed for $s > 1/2$ (cf. [6, 20]). Making use of shorttime bilinear estimates we modestly improve the local well-posedness on $\mathbb{T}^2$ to $s > 3/2$.

The improvement of the energy method by Molinet-Vento (cf. [26]) yields well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T}\}$. The improvement hinges on the analysis of the resonance function, which is less clear for the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation or the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. The method we will describe in the present work is more flexible, in the sense that the nonlinear and energy estimates rely mostly on shorttime bilinear Strichartz estimates, so that it can also be used for equations which are not amenable to gauge transforms or have a more involved resonance. Moreover, this approach can also be applied to solutions defined on the Euclidean space, and likely even on cylinders. We choose to illustrate the method on tori because on compact manifolds the dispersive properties are not as clear as in Euclidean space, where the dispersive estimate

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_n |t|^{-n/2}\|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

holds globally in time. On compact manifolds this would contradict the conservation of mass.

In now already classical works it was demonstrated how localizing time to small frequency dependent time intervals recovers the dispersive properties from Euclidean space (cf. [4, 28]).

To be more precise, consider a smooth dispersion relation $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. The underlying heuristic is that a frequency localized solution with frequencies around $\xi$ to the linear dispersive PDE

$$i\partial_t u + \varphi(\nabla / i)u = 0$$

travels with a group velocity $|\nabla \varphi(\xi)|$ as can be seen from writing the linear propagator in Fourier space.

For the refinement of the energy method we plan to use bilinear Strichartz estimates:

In case of Schrödinger’s equation we have $\varphi(\xi) = -\xi^2$ that means Schrödinger waves even on compact manifolds do not leave one chart in a time interval of size $N^{-1}$. 
Then, we find the following estimate to hold:

\[ |\nabla \varphi(\xi)| \sim N^{\alpha - 1} \quad N \in 2^{N_0} \]

Multilinear estimates can be improved making additional use of transversality. The following result in Euclidean space is well-known:

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \( U_i \) be open sets in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( \varphi_i \in C^1(U_i, \mathbb{R}) \) and let \( u_i \) have Fourier support in balls of radius \( r \) which are contained in \( U_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Moreover, suppose that \( |\nabla \varphi(\xi_1) - \nabla \varphi(\xi_2)| \geq N > 0 \).

Then, we find the following estimate to hold:

\[ \|e^{itx^j}e^{it\varphi}u_1e^{it\varphi}u_2\|_{L^2_tL^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{r^{\frac{\alpha+1}{N_1/2}}}{N_2^{1/2}} \|u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \]

In Euclidean space this follows from a change of variables. A consequence of this transversality are bilinear Strichartz estimates for Schrödinger waves localized at frequencies \( N_1 \gg N_2 \) (cf. [3]):

\[ \|e^{it\Delta}P_{N_1}u_1e^{it\Delta}P_{N_2}u_2\|_{L^2_tL^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \frac{N_2^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}}{N_1^{1/2}} \|P_{N_1}u_1\|_{L^2}\|P_{N_2}u_2\|_{L^2} \]

For the Schrödinger equation this result was proved in Euclidean windows by Hani (cf. [10]) on general compact manifolds. On \( \mathbb{T} \) the estimate, which is to be expected working in Euclidean windows, was proven in [27]. We prove a generalization of this estimate on higher dimensional tori in Euclidean windows. However, even for solutions on the Euclidean space this estimate is not sufficient to overcome the derivative loss in case of the Benjamin-Off equation, so that the equation can be treated as semilinear. Using Duhamel’s formula we write

\[ u(t) = e^{iH\partial_x}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)H\partial_x}(u\partial_xu)(s)ds \]

Now consider the \( \text{High} \times \text{Low} \to \text{High} \)-interaction in which case the derivative loss must be completely recovered in order to close the argument:

\[ \| \int_0^T e^{i(t-s)\nabla \partial_x}P_N(P_NuP_Ku)ds \|_{L^2_x} \leq \|\partial_x(P_NuP_Ku)\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \leq |T|^{1/2}N\|P_NuP_Ku\|_{L^2_tL^2_x} \leq |T|^{1/2}N^{1/2}\|P_Nu(0)\|_{L^2}\|P_Ku(0)\|_{L^2} \]

and in order to compensate the derivative loss one has to choose \( T = T(N) = N^{-1} \). This observation was made precise in [7], where global well-posedness was proven in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}) \) without gauge transform. One key observation is that at this localization in time the bilinear Strichartz estimate also holds on the torus. To exploit this, we utilize function spaces incorporating the frequency dependent localization in time in order to prove the following theorems:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \) and \( s > 1 \).
we define function spaces and we perform energy estimates. In Section 2 we prove the bilinear Strichartz estimates. We conclude the argument to prove this result by the same means: For any \( T > 0 \) there is an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) so that the data-to-solution mapping \( S_T^{\infty} : H^s_{\mathbb{R}} \to C([0,T],H^s_{\mathbb{R}}) \) admits a unique continuous extension \( S_T^{\varepsilon} : H^s_{\mathbb{R}} \to C([0,T],H^s_{\mathbb{R}}) \) where \( B_{\varepsilon} \) denotes the \( \varepsilon \) ball around the origin in \( H^s_{\mathbb{R}} \).

This result recovers the results from [25] on generalized Benjamin-Ono equations on the circle up to \( s = 1 \) for small initial data. In [25] the well-posedness result was established by means of a gauge transform recasting the derivative nonlinearity into a milder form. From the proof it will be clear that we can also treat linear combinations of derivative nonlinearities \( \sum_{a_k \geq 2} a_k u^{k-1} \partial_x u \) which is not possible when one uses a gauge transform because the gauge transform changes with the order of nonlinearity. In case of mixed orders we have to consider additional smallness conditions on the initial data. Moreover, without using a gauge transform it is straightforward to analyze viscous limits (cf. [7, 23]). The requirement \( s > 1 \) only stems from a logarithmic loss of carrying out square sums. Switching to \( \ell^1 \)-Besov refinements we can also recover \( s = 1 \).

For the two-dimensional Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation we can prove the following theorem by the same means:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( T > 0 \) and \( s > 3/2 \). There exists \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(T,s) \) so that the mapping \( S_T^{\infty} \) assigning smooth real-valued initial data with vanishing mean to smooth solutions to (2) has a unique continuous continuation \( S_T^{\varepsilon} : B_{\varepsilon} \to C([0,T],H^s_{\mathbb{R}}) \).

The article is structured as follows: In Section 2 we prove the bilinear Strichartz estimates in Euclidean windows on tori. In Section 3 we define function spaces which take into account frequency dependent time localization. In Section 4 we iterate the quadratic nonlinearity in the shorttime function spaces and in Section 5 we perform energy estimates. In Section 6 we conclude the argument to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 for \( k = 2 \). In Section 7 we indicate how to extend the result to the cases \( k \geq 3 \).

2. Shorttime bilinear Strichartz estimates

Purpose of this section is to prove shorttime bilinear Strichartz estimates which resemble the bilinear Strichartz estimates one has due to transversality in Euclidean space. We are not able to recover the full result and it is unclear whether these estimates hold true in the same generality like in Euclidean space. We have to impose a condition allowing us to disentangle the oscillation in different coordinates.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a smooth function \( \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is decoupled if \( \nabla \varphi(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\xi_i) \mathbf{e}_i \).
Proposition 2.2. Let $K \ll N$, suppose that $\varphi$ is decoupled and satisfies (5) for some $\alpha > 1$. Then, we find the following estimate to hold:

\[
\|P_N e^{it\varphi(\nabla/i)}u_1 P_K e^{it\varphi(\nabla/i)}u_2\|_{L_t^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\
\lesssim \frac{K^{\alpha-1}}{N^{\alpha-1}} \|P_N u_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \|P_K u_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}
\]

Proof. We find

\[
u_1(t) = \sum_{k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ik_1 \cdot x} e^{it\varphi(k_1)} a(k_1), \quad \nu_2(t) = \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{ik_2 \cdot x} e^{it\varphi(k_2)} b(k_2)
\]

\[
u_1 \nu_2(t) = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{i(k_1 + k_2) \cdot x} [e^{it\varphi(k_1)} + e^{it\varphi(k_2)}] a(k_1)b(k_2)
\]

Consequently, Plancherel’s theorem yields

\[
\|\nu_1 \nu_2\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left| \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{it(\varphi(k-k_1)+\varphi(k_2))} a(k-k_2)b(k_2) \right|^2
\]

\[
= \sum_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k_1^{(1)}, k_2^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{it[|\varphi(k-k_1^{(1)})|+|\varphi(k_2^{(1)})|]} a(k-k_1^{(1)})b(k_2^{(1)})
\]

\[
\times a(k_1^{(2)})b(k_2^{(2)})
\]

Set $\psi_k(k') = \varphi(k-k') + \varphi(k')$. Next, let $\eta_\delta(t) = \eta(t/\delta)$ where $\eta$ is a suitable bump function and majorize

\[
\int_0^{N^{-(\alpha-1)}} dt \|\nu_1 \nu_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}^2 \leq \int \eta_\delta(t) \|\nu_1 \nu_2(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}^2, \quad \delta = N^{-(\alpha-1)}
\]

and we find

\[
\int \eta_\delta(t)(14)(t)dt = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k_1^{(1)}, k_2^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{\eta}_\delta(\psi_k(k_1^{(1)}) - \psi_k(k_2^{(2)}))
\]

\[
\times a(k-k_1^{(1)})b(k_2^{(1)})a(k-k_2^{(2)})b(k_2^{(2)})
\]

The inner sum we will estimate with Young’s inequality. Note that

\[
(15) \psi_k(k_1^{(1)}) - \psi_k(k_2^{(2)})
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 \nabla \psi_k(k_2^{(2)} + t(k_1^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)}))(k_1^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)})dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 [\nabla \varphi(k_2^{(2)} + t(k_1^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)})) - \nabla \varphi(k - (k_1^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)}))](k_1^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)})dt(k_1^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)})
\]

By assumption it is easy to see that there is one component of the integral which is of order $N^{\alpha-1}$ independent of $t$, say the first component. This gives

\[
(14) \sim (N^{\alpha-1} + C_1(k, k_1^{(1)}, k_2^{(2)}))(k_1^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)}) + \sum_{i=2}^n C_i(k, k_1^{(1)}, k_2^{(2)})(k_1^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)}), \quad |C_1| \ll N^{\alpha-1}
\]
An application of Young’s inequality yields
\[
(14) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left\{ \sup_{k_1^{(1)}, k_2^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{k_1^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\delta \hat{n}((\delta N^\alpha - 1 + \delta C_1 (k, k_2^{(1)}, k_2^{(2)}) (k_2^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)}))|
\]
\[+ \sum_{i=2}^n C_i (k, k_2^{(1)}, k_2^{(2)} (k_2^{(1)} - k_2^{(2)})) \right\} \times \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |a(k - k_2) b(k_2)|^2
\]
The sum \( \sum_{k_2^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{n}(\ldots)| \) is majorized by \( \int |\hat{n}(\xi)| d\xi \) and summing over the remaining indices yield a factor \( K \) per summation.
Consequently,
\[
\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \delta K^{n-1} \sum_{k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |a(k - k_2)|^2 |b(k_2)|^2
\]
and the proof is complete. \( \Box \)

In the one-dimensional case this estimate was proved up to complex conjugation in [27, Theorem 4, p. 125]. We have a look at examples: In the one-dimensional case one can consider the equations:
\[
i \partial_t u + D^a u = 0, \quad a > 1, \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times T \rightarrow C
\]
or, similarly,
\[
\partial_t u + \partial_x D_x^{a-1} u = 0, \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
\]
In both cases Proposition 2.2 yields
\[
\|P_N u_1(t) P_k u_2(t)\|_{L^t_2([0, N^{-\alpha - 1}], L^2(T^n) \lesssim N^{-\frac{2+\alpha}{\alpha}} \|P_N u_1(0)\|_{L^2(T^n)} \|P_k u_2(0)\|_{L^2(T^n)}
\]
In higher dimensions one can still consider
\[
i \partial_t u + \Delta u = 0, \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times T^n \rightarrow C
\]
In this case Proposition 2.2 recovers the result [10, Theorem 1.2, p. 343] in the special case of \( M = T^n \).
Later, we will deal with derivative nonlinearities and in order to integrate by parts when carrying out energy estimates one had to consider the artificial nonlinearity \( u \partial_x \pi \), which can be treated without usage of short time norms. In two dimensions one has the more interesting example of the linear part of the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation:
\[
\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + \partial_x \partial_y^2 u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in T^2 \times \mathbb{R}
\]
Proposition 2.2 does not apply directly because the dispersion relation \( \varphi(k_1, k_2) = k_1^3 + k_1 k_2^2 \) does not separate time oscilations of the coordinates. However, performing a transformation symmetrizing the Zakharov-Kuznetsov system (cf. [1, 6]) in momentum space we find
\[
\varphi(A(k_1', k_2')) = (k_1')^3 + (k_2')^3, \quad \text{where}
\]
\[
A(k_1', k_2') = (\mu (k_1' + k_2'), \lambda (k_1' - k_2')), \quad \mu = 4^{-1/3}, \quad \lambda = \sqrt[3]{34^{-1/3}}.
\]
The linear transformation is essentially a rotation. When we compute the \( L^2 \)-norm of a product of free solutions we arrive at the
Remark 2.3. From expression where \( \| \) the bilinear estimate. Moreover, rescaling solutions transformation decoupling the time oscillations. It is unclear how to generalize the proof of the bilinear estimate to higher dimensional generalizations of the Zakharov-Kuznetsov system because of the lack of \( k = Am, k_i^{(j)} = Am_i^{(j)} \) we find
\[
\sum_{m \in A^{-1}(Z^2)} e^{it[(\chi(m_1^{(1)}) + \chi(m_2^{(1)})) - (\chi(m_1^{(2)}) + \chi(m_2^{(2)}))] a(Am_1^{(1)}) b(Am_2^{(2)})}
\]
where \( \chi(k_1, k_2) = k_1^1 + k_2^2 \) is decoupled. Using this transformation one proves like above
\[
\| P_N e^{it\varphi_{JK}(\nabla/i)} u_1(0) P_K e^{it\varphi_{JK}(\nabla/i)} u_2(0) \|_{L^2([0,N^{-2}],L^2(T^n))} \lesssim \frac{K^{1/2}}{N^{1/2}} \| P_N u_1(0) \|_{L^2} \| P_K u_2(0) \|_{L^2}
\]
where \( S_{>\lambda} \) denotes the part where the modulus of the frequencies is separated of order \( \lambda \) and \( N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N \). Similarly,
\[
\| S_{>\lambda} (P_N, e^{it\varphi_{JK}(\nabla/i)} u_1(0) P_N e^{it\varphi_{JK}(\nabla/i)} u_2(0)) \|_{L^2([0,N^{-2}],L^2(T^n))} \lesssim \frac{K^{1/2}}{N} \| P_N u_1(0) \|_{L^2(T^n)} \| P_N u_2(0) \|_{L^2(T^n)}
\]
It is unclear how to generalize the proof of the bilinear estimate to higher dimensional generalizations of the Zakharov-Kuznetsov system because of the lack of transformation decoupling the time oscillations. Moreover, rescaling solutions \( u(t, x) \to u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x) \) yields the estimate (12) with the same constant.

To conclude the section we compare the linear Strichartz estimate from [19] to the bilinear estimate. For (2) the linear Strichartz estimate [19, Equation (2.4), p. 4] reads
\[
\| e^{it\varphi_{JK}(\nabla/i)} P_N u \|_{L^2([0,N^{-2}],L^\infty_{\tau,x})} \lesssim \frac{N^{-1/3}}{N} \| P_N u \|_{L^2(T^2)}
\]
This yields the worse bilinear estimate
\[
\| e^{it\varphi_{JK}(\nabla/i)} P_N u_1 e^{it\varphi_{JK}(\nabla/i)} P_K u_2 \|_{L^2([0,N^{-2}],L^2(T^2))} \lesssim \frac{N^{-1/3}}{N} \| P_N u_1(0) \|_{L^2(T^2)} \| P_K u_2(0) \|_{L^2(T^2)}
\]
3. Notation and Function Spaces

We use short-time $U^p/I/V^p$-spaces which have previously been deployed for functions defined on the real line (see e.g. [5, 18]). Here, we adapt to periodic solutions. For a detailed exposition on $U^p/I/V^p$-spaces we refer to [8], see also [9]. Below we collect the most important information to keep the exposition self-contained. The $V^p(I)$-spaces contain functions of bounded $p$-variation, where $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $U^p(I)$ is an atomic space which are the predual spaces of the $V^p(I)$-spaces. Here, $I = [a, b]$, where $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ and the functions under consideration will take values in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ (although the function space properties will remain valid for an arbitrary Hilbert space). We let $Z(I)$ denote the set of all possible partitions of $I$, that are sequences $a = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_K = b$.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $\{t_k\}^K_{k=0} \in Z(I)$ and $\{\phi_k\}^{K-1}_{k=0} \subseteq L^2_x$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \parallel \phi_{k-1} \parallel_{L^2_x}^p = 1$. Then, the function

$$a(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \phi_{k-1} \chi_{[t_{k-1}, t_k)}(t) \quad \text{(17)}$$

is said to be a $U^p(I)$-atom. Further,

$$U^p(I) = \{ f : I \to L^2_x(\mathbb{T}^n) \mid \parallel f \parallel_{U^p(I)} < \infty \} \quad \text{(18)}$$

where

$$\parallel f \parallel_{U^p(I)} = \inf \{ \parallel \lambda_k \parallel_{\ell^p} \mid f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k(t), \ a_k - U^p - \text{atom} \} \quad \text{(19)}$$

From the atomic representation we find elements $u(t) \in U^p(I)$ to be continuous from the right, having left-limits everywhere and admitting only countably many discontinuities (cf. [8, Proposition 2.2, p. 921]). Properties of the spaces with bounded $p$-variation were already discussed in [30].

**Definition 3.2.** We set

$$V^p(I) = \{ v : I \to L^2_x \mid \parallel v \parallel_{V^p(I)} < \infty \},$$

where

$$\parallel v \parallel_{V^p(I)} = \sup_{\{t_k\}^K_{k=0} \in Z(I)} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K} \parallel v(t_k) - v(t_{k-1}) \parallel_{L^2_x}^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \quad \text{(20)}$$

We recall that one-sided limits exist for $V^p$-functions and again $V^p$-functions can only have countably many discontinuities (cf. [8, Proposition 2.4, p. 922]). In the following we will confine ourselves to considering the subspaces $V^p_{-rc} \subseteq V^p$ of right-continuous functions vanishing at $-\infty$. For the sake of brevity we will write $V^p$ for $V^p_{-rc}$.

**Definition 3.3.** We define the following subspaces of $V^2$, respectively $U^2$:

$$V^2_0(I) = \{ v \in V^2(I) \mid v(a) = 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad U^2_0(I) = \{ u \in U^2(I) \mid u(b) = 0 \} \quad \text{(21)}$$

These function spaces behave well with sharp cutoff functions contrary to $X^{s,b}$-spaces, where one has to use smooth cutoff functions. The following estimates are
immediate:
\[ \|u\|_{U^p(I)} = \|\chi_I u\|_{U^p([-\infty, \infty))} \]
\[ \|v\|_{V^p(I)} \leq \|\chi_I u\|_{V^p([-\infty, \infty))} \leq 2\|u\|_{V^p(I)} \]

We further record the following embedding properties:

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( I = [a, b) \).

1. If \( 1 \leq p \leq q < \infty \), then \( \|u\|_{U^q} \leq \|u\|_{U^p} \) and \( \|u\|_{V^q} \leq \|u\|_{V^p} \).
2. If \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), then \( \|u\|_{V^p} \lesssim \|u\|_{U^p} \).
3. If \( 1 \leq p < q < \infty \), \( u(a) = 0 \) and \( u \in V^p \) is right-continuous, then \( \|u\|_{V^q} \lesssim \|u\|_{U^p} \).
4. Let \( 1 \leq p < q < \infty \), \( E \) be a Banach space and \( T \) be a linear operator with
\[ \|Tu\|_E \leq C^q \|u\|_{U^q}, \quad \|Tu\|_E \leq C^p \|u\|_{U^p}, \] with \( 0 < C^p \leq C^q \).

Then,
\[ \|Tu\|_E \lesssim \log\left(\frac{C^q}{C^p}\right) \|u\|_{V^p} \]

**Proof.** The first part follows from the embedding properties of the \( \ell^p \)-norms and the second part from considering \( U^p \)-atoms. For the third claim see [8, Corollary 2.6, p. 923]. \( \square \)

**Definition 3.5.** We define
\[ DU^2(I) = \{ \partial_t u \mid u \in U^2(I) \} \]
with the derivative taken in the sense of generalized functions.

We observe that for any \( f \in DU^2(I) \), the function \( u \in U^2(I) \) satisfying \( \partial_t u = f \) is unique up to constants. Fixing the right limit to be zero, we can set
\[ \|f\|_{DU^2(I)} = \|u\|_{U^2(I)}, \quad f = \partial_t u, \quad u \in U^2_0 \]
which makes \( DU^2(I) \) a Banach space. We have the following embedding property:

**Lemma 3.6.** Let \( I = [a, b) \). Then,
\[ L^1(I) \hookrightarrow DU^2(I) \]

**Proof.** We argue via atomic representation of \( U^2 \)-functions. The time derivatives of \( U^2 \)-atoms are linear combinations of \( \delta \)-functions and the \( L^1 \)-norm is controlled by the \( U^2 \)-norm. \( \square \)

We have the following lemma on \( DU - V \)-duality:

**Lemma 3.7.** [8, Proposition 2.10, p. 925] We have \( (DU^2(I))^* = V^2_0(I) \) with respect to a duality relation which for \( f \in L^1(I) \subseteq DU^2(I) \) is given by
\[ \langle f, v \rangle = \int_a^b \langle f(t), v(t) \rangle_{L^2} \, dt = \int_a^b \int f v \, dx \, dt \]
Moreover,
\[ \|f\|_{DU^2(I)} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_a^b \int f v \, dx \, dt \right| \mid \|v\|_{V^2_0} = 1 \right\} \]
For \( f \in DU^2(I) \) one can still consider a related mapping, but this requires more careful considerations (cf. [8, Theorem 2.8, p. 924]).

Next, we consider a linear dispersive PDE on \( \mathbb{T}^n \):
\[
i\partial_t u + \varphi(\nabla/i)u = 0, \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{C}
\]

Adapting \( U^p \)-\( V^p \)-spaces to the linear propagator \( e^{it\varphi(\nabla/i)} \) yields the following function spaces:
\[
\|u\|_{U_p^2(I;H)} = \|e^{-it\varphi(\nabla/i)}u\|_{U_p(I;H)}
\]
\[
\|v\|_{V_p^2(I;H)} = \|e^{-it\varphi(\nabla/i)}v\|_{V_p(I;H)}
\]
\[
\|u\|_{DU_p^2(I;H)} = \|e^{-it\varphi(\nabla/i)}u\|_{DU_p^2(I;H)}
\]

\( U_p^2 \)-atoms are piecewise free solutions.

Suppose that \( \varphi \) satisfies (5) for some \( \alpha > 1 \). That means that waves with frequency localization \( N \) travel with a speed of \( N^{\alpha-1} \). Consequently, in a time interval of size \( N^{-(\alpha-1)} \) there should be no difference observing the waves on \( \mathbb{T}^n \) or \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Correspondingly, we define the shorttime spaces for \( \varphi \) with a time localization of order \( N^{-(\alpha-1)} \) for frequencies \( N \).

We define the shorttime \( U^2 \)-space into which we will place the solution as
\[
\|u\|^2_{F^s} = \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{2s} \sup_{|I| = N^{-(\alpha-1)}} \|\chi_I P_N u\|^2_{U_p^2(I;L^2)}
\]
The space into which we will place the nonlinearity is given as
\[
\|f\|^2_{N^s} = \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{2s} \sup_{|I| = N^{-(\alpha-1)}} \|\chi_I P_N u\|^2_{DU_p^2(I;L^2)}
\]
The frequency dependent time localization erases the dependence on the initial data away from the origin. Instead of a common energy space \( C([0,T],H^s) \) this forces us to consider the following space:
\[
\|u\|^2_{E^s(T)} = \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{2s} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|P_N u(t)\|^2_{L^2}
\]

This space deviates from the usual energy space logarithmically.

Next, consider the nonlinear equation
\[
i\partial_t u + \varphi(\nabla/i)u = F(u), \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{C}
\]
with \( \varphi \) satisfying (5) for some \( \alpha > 1 \). The propagation of \( u \) in the \( F^s \)-spaces is described by the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \( u \) be a solution to (23). Then, we find the following estimate to hold:
\[
\|u\|_{F^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{E^s(T)} + \|F(u)\|_{N^s(T)}
\]

**Proof.** Consider for \( N \geq 1 \) some \( I = [t_0, t_1] \subseteq [0,1], |I| = N^{-(\alpha-1)} \). After projecting (23) to frequencies of size \( N \) we find
\[
P_N u(t) = e^{it(\varphi(\nabla/i)P_N u(t_0))} - i \int_{t_0}^t e^{i(t-s)\varphi(\nabla/i)P_N F(u)(s)}ds
\]
on \( I \). The claim follows from the above display by the definition of the function spaces and unitarity of the propagator. \( \Box \)
Since $U^2_{BO}$-atoms are piecewise free solutions, we can infer the following estimates. This conclusion is commonly referred to as transfer principle.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let $N_1 \gg N_2 \sim N_3$ and $I$ and $J$ be intervals with $|I| = N_1^{-1}$, $|J| = N_2^{-1}$. Then, we find the following estimate to hold:

\[
\|P_{N_1} u_1 P_{N_2} u_2\|_{L^2(I;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \lesssim N_1^{-1/2} \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{V_{BO}^2(I)} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{V_{BO}^2(I)}
\]

\[
\|P_{N_1} u_1 P_{N_2} u_2\|_{L^2(I;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \lesssim N_1^{-1/2} \log(N_1) \|P_{N_1} u_1\|_{V_{BO}^2(I)} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{V_{BO}^2(I)}
\]

\[
\|S_{\lesssim N}(P_{N_2} u_2 P_{N_1} u_3)\|_{L^2(I;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \lesssim N_1^{-1/2} \|P_{N_2} u_2\|_{V_{BO}^2(I)} \|P_{N_3} u_3\|_{V_{BO}^2(I)}
\]

**Proof.** The $U^2_{BO}$-estimates are a consequence of atomic representations like described above. For the $V^2_{BO}$-estimate use Lemma 3.4, Property 4. For details on the interpolation see e.g. [8].

The analogous bilinear estimates for (2) are omitted.

4. **Applications of the shorttime bilinear Strichartz estimates to shorttime nonlinear estimates**

In this section the nonlinearity of certain dispersive PDE is propagated in short-time norms. We focus on quadratic derivative nonlinearities, that is we will consider

\[i\partial_t u + \varphi(\nabla / i) u = \partial_x (u^2), \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{R}\]

In order to keep the exposition simple, we focus on quadratic nonlinearities. In Section 7 we generalize to higher order nonlinearities $\partial_x (u^k)$, $k \geq 3$.

Although one could formulate the proof of the estimates

\[
\|\partial_x (uv)\|_{N_x^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{F_x^2} \|v\|_{F_x^2}
\]

\[
\|\partial_x (uv)\|_{N_x^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{F_2^2} \|v\|_{F_2^2}
\]

in an abstract way, we prefer to prove the bilinear estimates in the two cases of the Benjamin-Ono equation and the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation. We will see that the arguments parallel each other, although the concretely deployed Strichartz estimates differ. Namely, after performing a Littlewood-Paley decomposition $u = \sum_{N \geq 1} P_N u$ we have to consider the following three interactions in both cases:

- **High $\times$ Low $\rightarrow$ High-interaction**: Suppose that $N_2 \ll N_1 \sim N$. We have to prove the estimate

  \[
  \|P_N \partial_x (P_{N_1} u P_{N_2} u)\|_{N_x^2} \lesssim K^{s-\varepsilon} \|P_{N_1} u\|_{F_x} \|P_{N_2} v\|_{F_x}
  \]

  where the $\varepsilon$ is required to carry out the square sum in $K$.

- **High $\times$ High $\rightarrow$ High-interaction**: Suppose that $N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N$. We have to prove the estimate

  \[
  \|P_N \partial_x (P_{N_1} u P_{N_2} v)\|_{N_x^2} \lesssim N^s \|P_{N_1} u\|_{F_x} \|P_{N_2} v\|_{F_x}
  \]

- **High $\times$ High $\rightarrow$ Low-interaction**: Suppose that $N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N$. We have to show

  \[
  \|P_N \partial_x (P_{N_1} u P_{N_2} v)\|_{N_x^2} \lesssim N^{-s-\varepsilon N^2} \|P_{N_1} u\|_{F_x} \|P_{N_2} v\|_{F_x}
  \]
4.1. Benjamin-Ono equation. In case of the Benjamin-Ono equation the derived estimates take the following form.

Proposition 4.1. Let $T \in (0,1]$ and $s > 0$. Then we find the following estimates to hold:

\begin{align}
\| \partial_x (uv) \|_{N_{BO}^{-1}(T)} & \lesssim \| u \|_{F_{BO}^{-1}(T)} \| v \|_{F_{BO}^{-1}(T)} \\
\| \partial_x (uv) \|_{N_{BO}^{-1}(T)} & \lesssim \| u \|_{F_{BO}^{-1}(T)} \| v \|_{F_{BO}^{-1}(T)}
\end{align}

Proof. In case of $High \times Low \to High$-interaction we use the embedding $L^1(I) \hookrightarrow DU_{BO}^2(I)$, Hölder in time (recall that $|I| = N^{-1}$) and the bilinear Strichartz estimate to derive

\[ \| P_N \partial_x (P_N u P_N v) \|_{N_{BO}^{-1}} \lesssim N \| P_N u P_N v \|_{L^1(I;L^2_x)} \]

\[ \lesssim N^{1/2} \| P_N u P_N v \|_{L^2(I;L^2_x)} \lesssim \| P_N u \|_{U_{BO}^{2}(I)} \| P_N v \|_{U_{BO}^{2}(I)} \]

For $High \times High \to High$-interaction we use duality to write

\[ \| P_N \partial_x (P_N u P_N v) \|_{N_{BO}} = \sup_{\| w \|_{V_{BO}^{2}} = 1} \left| \int \int P_N \partial_x (P_N u P_N v) w dx dt \right| \]

Since two factors must be frequency separated of order $N$, we can use a bilinear Strichartz estimate on two factors (say $u$ and $w$) and use the energy estimate on the remaining factor to find

\[ N \left| \int \int P_N \partial_x (P_N u P_N v) w dx dt \right| \leq N \| P_N w \|_{L^2(I;L^2_x)} \| P_N u \|_{L^2(I;L^2_x)} \lesssim \log(N) \| u \|_{V_{BO}^{2}(I)} \| P_N u \|_{U_{BO}^{2}} \| P_N v \|_{U_{BO}^{2}} \]

Finally, for $High \times High \to Low$-interaction we have to partition the interval $I$, $|I| = N^{-1}$ into $N^{-1}$ intervals which accounts for a factor of $N_1/N$. Using duality and the bilinear Strichartz estimate we find

\[ \frac{N_1}{N} \cdot N \int \int P_N w P_N u P_N v dx dt \lesssim N_1 \| P_N w \|_{L^2(I;L^2_x)} \| P_N u \|_{L^2(I;L^2_x)} \]

\[ \lesssim \log \left( \frac{N_1}{N} \right) \| P_N w \|_{V_{BO}^{2}(I')} \| P_N u \|_{V_{BO}^{2}(I')} \| P_N v \|_{U_{BO}^{2}} \]

which yields the claim. \qed

4.2. Zakharov-Kuznetsov system.

Proposition 4.2. Let $T \in (0,1]$ and $s > 0$. Then we find the following estimates to hold:

\begin{align}
\| \partial_x (uv) \|_{N_{ZK}^{-1}(T)} & \lesssim \| u \|_{F_{ZK}^{-1}(T)} \| v \|_{F_{ZK}^{-1}(T)} \\
\| \partial_x (uv) \|_{N_{ZK}^{-1}(T)} & \lesssim \| u \|_{F_{ZK}^{-1}(T)} \| v \|_{F_{ZK}^{-1}(T)}
\end{align}

Proof. For $High \times Low \to High$-interaction we compute like above for $N_2 \ll N \sim N_1$

\[ \| \partial_x P_N (P_N u P_N v) \|_{N_{ZK}^{-1}} \leq N \| P_N (P_N u P_N v) \|_{DU_{ZK}^{2}} \leq \| P_N u P_N v \|_{L^2([0,N^{-2}],L^2_x)} \]

\[ \lesssim \frac{K^{1/2}}{N} \| P_N u \|_{U_{ZK}^{2}} \| P_N u \|_{U_{ZK}^{2}} \]

For $High \times High \to High$-interaction we find like above using duality and by the remark following the proof of Proposition 2.2 for $N \sim N_1 \sim N_2$

\[ \| P_N (P_N u P_N v) \|_{N_{ZK}} \lesssim \log(N) N^{-1/2} \| P_N u \|_{U_{ZK}^{2}} \| P_N v \|_{U_{ZK}^{2}} \]

\[ \| P_N (P_N u P_N v) \|_{N_{ZK}} \lesssim \log(N) N^{-1/2} \| P_N u \|_{U_{ZK}^{2}} \| P_N v \|_{U_{ZK}^{2}} \]

\[ \| P_N (P_N u P_N v) \|_{N_{ZK}} \lesssim \log(N) N^{-1/2} \| P_N u \|_{U_{ZK}^{2}} \| P_N v \|_{U_{ZK}^{2}} \]
For $High \times High \to Low$-interaction we again add localization in time and use bilinear estimates for $V^2$-functions to find like in the proof of Proposition 4.1

$$\| P_N \partial_x (P_N u P_N v) \|_{L^2_K} \lesssim \log \left( \frac{N_1}{N} \right) \left( \frac{N_1}{N} \right)^2 \frac{N_1^{1/2}}{N_1} (N_1)^{-1} \| P_N u \|_{L^2_K} \| P_N v \|_{L^2_K}$$

Since one has to increase the frequency dependent localization in time giving a factor $(N_1/N)^2$ this estimate is the worst one and gives the threshold $s > 0$. The proof is complete.

5. ENERGY ESTIMATES

Purpose of this section is to propagate the energy norm. For solutions to the original equation this estimate reads as

$$\| u \|^2_{H^s(T)} \lesssim \| u_0 \|^2_{H^s} + \| u \|^3_{F^s(T)} \tag{29}$$

For solutions to the difference equation, that is for $v = u_1 - u_2$, where $u_1, u_2$ are solutions to the original equation we prove two estimates in addition to (29). The first one leads to Lipschitz dependence of the data-to-solution mapping in $L^2$:

$$\| v \|^2_{F^s(T)} \lesssim \| v(0) \|^2_{L^2} + \| v \|^2_{F^s(T)} \| u_1 \|_{F^s(T)} + \| u_2 \|_{F^s(T)} \tag{30}$$

The second one will lead to non-uniform continuous dependence of the data-to-solution mapping in $H^2$:

$$\| v \|^2_{H^2(T)} \lesssim \| v \|^2_{H^s} + \| v \|^2_{F^s(T)} + \| v \|^2_{F^s(T)} \| u_1 \|_{F^s(T)} + \| v \|_{F^s(T)} \| v \|_{F^s(T)} \| u_2 \|_{F^s(T)} \tag{31}$$

In case of the Benjamin-Ono equation we prove the following estimates:

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $T \in (0, 1]$ and $s > 1$.

(a) For a smooth solution $u$ to (1) we find (29) to hold.

(b) Let $u_1, u_2$ be smooth solutions to (1) and $v = u_1 - u_2$ be the difference of the two solutions. Then we find (30) and (31) to hold.

The building blocks to prove Proposition 5.1 will be the estimates proven in the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $T \in (0, 1]$ and $N_2 \leq N_1 \sim N$. Then we find the following estimate to hold:

$$\int_0^T ds \int dx P_N u_1 P_N u_2 P_N u_3 \lesssim \| P_N u_1 \|_{F^0} \| P_N u_2 \|_{F^0} \| P_N u_3 \|_{F^0} \tag{32}$$

**Proof.** The key ingredient is again the short-time bilinear Strichartz estimate. First, consider the case $N_2 \ll N$. After breaking $[0, T]$ into $\lesssim NT$ intervals $I$ of size $N^{-1}$ we have to estimate

$$\int_I ds \int dx P_N u_1 P_N u_2 P_N u_3 \leq \| P_N u_1 P_N u_2 \|_{L^2(I; L^2)} \| P_N u_3 \|_{L^2(I; L^2)} \lesssim N^{-1} \| P_N u_1 \|_{L^2(I; L^2)} \| P_N u_2 \|_{L^2(I; L^2)} \| P_N u_3 \|_{L^2(I; L^2)}$$

Since splitting the time interval accounts for a factor of at most $N$, the proof is complete. In case $K \sim N$ we can still use a short-time bilinear Strichartz estimate following Remark 2.3.

We show Proposition 5.1:
Proof of Proposition 5.1. First, we show (29). One has to analyze \( \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| P_N u(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \) in order to conclude the estimate of the \( E^* \)-norm after carrying out the sum over \( N \) with weight \( N^{2s} \).

The fundamental theorem of calculus yields
\[
\| P_N u(t) \|_{L^2}^2 = \| P_N u(0) \|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int dx P_N u P_N (\partial_x (u u))
\]

First, consider \( \text{High} \times \text{Low} \rightarrow \text{High} \)-interaction. That means we estimate
\[
\int_0^t \int dx P_N u P_N [\partial_x (u P_{N_2} u)],
\]
where \( N_2 \ll N \). In case the derivative hits the high frequency factor we integrate by parts in order to derive a favourable expression: Write
\[
\int_0^t \int dx P_N u P_N (\partial_x u P_{N_2} u) = \int_0^t \int dx P_N u P_N (\partial_x u) P_K u dx
\]
\[
+ \int_0^t \int dx P_N u [P_N (\partial_x u P_{N_2} u) - P_N (\partial_x u) P_{N_2} u] dx
\]
After integration by parts the first expression is dominated by \( | \int ds \int dx P_N u P_N u P_{N_2} (\partial_x u) | \).

For the second one consider the squared bracket in momentum space:
\[
\chi_N (\xi_1 + \xi_2) (-i \xi_1 \tilde{u}(\xi_1) \chi_K (\xi_2) \tilde{u}(\xi_2) - \chi_N (\xi_1) (-i \xi_1 \tilde{u}(\xi_1) \chi_K (\xi_2) \tilde{u}(\xi_2)
\]
\[
= [\chi_N (\xi_1 + \xi_2) - \chi_N (\xi_1)] (-i \xi_1 \tilde{u}(\xi_1) \chi_K (\xi_2) \tilde{u}(\xi_2)
\]
The mean value theorem shows that the expression in the squared brackets is of order at most \( K/N \). Since it is smooth and admits an off-diagonal continuation, we can expand it in a rapidly converging Fourier series and changing back to position space we find
\[
\frac{K}{N} \int_0^t \int dx P_N u \tilde{P}_N (\partial_x u) P_K u
\]
which implies that effectively we are again dealing with an expression of the form
\[
\sum_{N_2 \sim N} \left| \int dx P_N u P_{N_1} u P_{N_2} (\partial_x u) \right|
\]
By virtue of (32) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality one finds
\[
\sum_{N \geq 1} N^{2s} \sum_{N_1 \sim N} \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq N} \left| \int_0^t \int dx P_N u P_{N_1} u P_{N_2} (\partial_x u) \right|
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{2s} \sum_{N_1 \sim N} \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq N} N_2 \| P_N u \|_{F^0} \| P_{N_1} u \|_{F^0} \| P_{N_2} u \|_{F^0} \lesssim \| u \|^3_{F^*(T)}
\]
In the above estimate we did not distinguish between \( \text{High} \times \text{Low} \rightarrow \text{High} \)-interaction or \( \text{High} \times \text{High} \rightarrow \text{High} \)-interaction. When dealing with \( \text{High} \times \text{High} \rightarrow \text{High} \)-interaction there is no point to integrate by parts.

In case of \( \text{High} \times \text{High} \rightarrow \text{Low} \)-interaction we again do not integrate by parts, but simply use (32) to find
\[
\sum_{N \geq 1} N^{2s} \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N} \left| \int dx P_N u P_N \partial_x (P_{N_1} u P_{N_2} u) \right|
\]
\[
\lesssim \sum_{N \geq 1} N^{2s+1} \sum_{N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N} \| P_N u \|_{F^0(T)} \| P_{N_1} u \|_{F^0(T)} \| P_{N_2} u \|_{F^0(T)} \lesssim \| u \|^3_{F^*(T)}
\]
provided that \( s > 1 \). The proof of estimate (29) is complete.

Next, we turn to estimate (30): Due to the reduced symmetry one can not always integrate by parts like above. Again, we invoke the fundamental theorem of calculus to write

\[
\|P_N v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|P_N v(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t ds \int dx P_N v(P_N(\partial_x(v(u_1 + u_2))))
\]

First, consider \( \text{High} \times \text{Low} \rightarrow \text{High}-\text{interaction} \). In case the high frequency is on the difference solution, that means we are considering the expression

\[
\int_0^t ds \int dx P_N vP_N(\partial_x(v(P_K(u_1 + u_2))))
\]

we can argue like above with integration by parts and the commutator estimate to conclude

\[
\sum_{N \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq N} \sum_{N_1 \sim N} \|P_N v\|_{F^0} \|P_N_1 v\|_{F^0} \|P_N_2 u\|_{F^0} \lesssim \sum_{N \geq 1} \sum_{N' \sim N} \|P_N v\|_{F^0} \|P_N_1 v\|_{F^0} \|P_N_2 u\|_{F^0} \lesssim \|v\|_{F^s}^2 \|u\|_{F^s}
\]

However, when considering the expression \( \int ds \int dx P_N vP_N(\partial_x(P_N_2 v \cdot u)) \) we can not integrate by parts, but still

\[
\sum_{N \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq N} \sum_{N_1 \sim N} N \|P_N v\|_{F^0} \|P_N_1 u\|_{F^0} \|P_N_2 v\|_{F^0} \lesssim \|v\|_{F^0} \|u\|_{F^0}
\]

provided that \( s > 1 \). In case of \( \text{High} \times \text{High} \rightarrow \text{High} \)- and \( \text{High} \times \text{High} \rightarrow \text{Low} \)-interaction the argument from the proof of (29) applies without modification and yields the desired estimate. This completes the proof of (30).

We turn to the proof of (31). For this purpose rewrite the equation satisfied by \( v = u_1 - u_2 \) as

\[
\partial_t v + H \partial_{xx} v = \partial_x(v^2) + \partial_x(\nu u_2)
\]

Using the same strategy like above we have to focus on \( \text{High} \times \text{Low} \rightarrow \text{High} \)-interaction in the expression \( \partial_x(vu_2) \). More precisely, we have to carry out the estimate

\[
\sum_{N \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq N} \sum_{N_1 \sim N} \int_0^t ds \int dx P_N vP_N(\partial_x u_2 P_N_2 v) \lesssim \sum_{N \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq N_2 \leq N} \sum_{N_1 \sim N} N^{2s+1} \|P_N v\|_{F^0} \|P_N' u_2\|_{F^0} \|P_N_2 v\|_{F^0} \lesssim \|v\|_{F^0} \|u\|_{F^0}
\]

The remaining cases can be treated like above. The proof is complete. \( \square \)

We record the corresponding result for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \( T \in (0, 1) \) and \( s > 3/2 \).

(a) Suppose that \( u \) is a smooth solution to (2). Then we find (29) to hold.

(b) Suppose that \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) are smooth solutions to (2) and let \( v = u_1 - u_2 \).

Then we find (30) and (31) to hold.

The proof follows the argument from above, but the worse shorttime bilinear Strichartz estimate accounts for worse regularity.
6. A priori estimates and continuity of the data-to-solution mapping

This section is devoted to the proof of a priori estimates and the existence and continuity of the data-to-solution mapping for quadratic derivative nonlinearities. For most of the time we confine ourselves to the analysis of the evolution of small initial data in the unit time interval. At the end of the section we generalize the argument to large initial data. The argument is pretty standard by now (cf. [13]), but included for the sake of completeness. We only demonstrate it for the Benjamin-Ono equation.

We start with proving a priori estimates for periodic solutions to the Benjamin-Ono equation. The set of estimates we deploy to prove a priori estimates for periodic solutions to the Benjamin-Ono equation provided that \( s > 1 \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{F^s(T)} & \lesssim \|u\|_{E^s(T)} + \|\partial_x(u^2)\|_{N^s(T)} \\
\|\partial_x u\|_{N^s(T)} & \lesssim \|u\|_{F^s(T)}^2 \\
\|u\|_{E^s(T)}^2 & \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u\|_{F^s(T)}^3
\end{align*}
\]

Putting the estimates together one finds

\[
\|u\|_{F^s(T)}^2 \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u\|_{F^s(T)}^3 + \|u\|_{F^s(T)}
\]

Starting with small initial data \( \|u_0\|_{H^s} \leq \varepsilon \) and due to \( \lim_{T \to 0} \|u\|_{F^s(T)} \leq 2\|u_0\|_{H^s} \) the claim follows from a standard bootstrap argument. The details are omitted.

Due to \( F^s(T) \hookrightarrow L^2_{x} H^s \) this yields a priori estimates for the Benjamin-Ono equation provided that \( s > 1 \) and the same argument yields a priori estimates for the Zakharov-Kuznetsov equation for \( s > 3/2 \).

We turn to continuity of the data-to-solution mapping. For this purpose we will use a variant of the Bona-Smith approximation (cf. [2]). The key idea is to show that the solutions coming from frequency truncated initial data provide good approximations. The approximations are not uniform. Therefore, the argument does not yield uniform continuity of the data-to-solution mapping which one can not expect for equations with quadratic derivative nonlinearity (cf. [17, 19]).\(^1\) The approximation rate depends on the distribution of the Sobolev energy on the frequencies.

We start with Lipschitz continuity in the \( L^2 \)-topology provided that the initial data are in \( H^s \) for \( s > 1 \). For this purpose consider the following estimates derived in the previous sections for \( s > 1 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{F^0(T)} & \lesssim \|u(0)\|_{E^0(T)} + \|\partial_x((v(u_1 + u_2))\|_{N^0(T)} \\
\|\partial_x(v(u_1 + u_2))\|_{N^0(T)} & \lesssim \|v\|_{F^0(T)}(\|u_1\|_{F^s(T)} + \|u_2\|_{F^s(T)}) \\
\|v\|_{E^0(T)}^2 & \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{F^0(T)}^2(\|u_1\|_{F^s(T)} + \|u_2\|_{F^s(T)})
\end{align*}
\]

Provided that \( \|u_1\|_{F^s(T)}, \|u_2(0)\|_{F^s(T)} \) are sufficiently small which is the case for small initial data \( \|u_1(0)\|_{H^s}, \|u_2(0)\|_{H^s} \) according to the above analysis we find from putting the estimates together

\[
\|v\|_{F^0(T)}^2 \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{F^0(T)}^2(\|u_1\|_{F^s(T)} + \|u_2\|_{F^s(T)}) + \|v\|_{F^0(T)}^2(\|u_1\|_{F^s(T)} + \|u_2\|_{F^s(T)}) + \|v\|_{F^0(T)}^2(\|u_1\|_{F^s(T)} + \|u_2\|_{F^s(T)})
\]

and for sufficiently small initial data this implies

\[
\|v\|_{F^0(T)} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{L^2} \|v(0)\|_{L^2}
\]

\(^1\)Notably, the data-to-solution mapping for the Benjamin-Ono equation posed on \( T \) for real initial data with vanishing mean is \( C^\infty \) (cf. [22]), but this seems to be very special.
Moreover, for differences of solutions to the Benjamin-Ono equation we find at $H^s$-regularity
\[
\begin{align*}
\|v\|_{F^s(T)} & \lesssim \|v\|_{E^s(T)} + \|\partial_x (v(u_1 + u_2))\|_{N^s(T)} \\
\|\partial_x (v(u_1 + u_2))\|_{N^s(T)} & \lesssim \|v\|_{E^s(T)}(\|u_1\|_{F^s(T)} + \|u_2\|_{F^s(T)}) \\
\|v\|_{E^s(T)}^2 & \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^s} + \|v\|_{F^s(T)}^3 + \|v\|_{F^s(T)}\|v\|_{F^s(T)}\|u_2\|_{F^s(T)}
\end{align*}
\]
provided that $s > 1$.

Let $S_T^\infty$ denote the mapping $H^\infty \to C([0,T], H^\infty)$ assigning smooth initial data to smooth solutions to the Benjamin-Ono equation.

Let $u_0$ be a smooth initial datum and consider $v = S_T^\infty(u_0) - S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_0)$. Observe that
\[
\|v\|_{F^0(T)} \lesssim \|u_0 - P_{\leq N}u_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|P_{> N}u_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim N^{-s}\|P_{> N}u_0\|_{H^s}.
\]
Moreover, $P_{> N}u_0$ is the initial datum to $v$. Consequently, $\|P_{> N}u_0\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|v\|_{F^s(T)}$. A variant of the proof of the a priori estimates for solutions yields the system of inequalities
\[
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{F^{2s}(T)} & \lesssim \|u\|_{E^{2s}(T)} + \|\partial_x (u^2)\|_{N^{2s}(T)} \\
\|u\|_{E^{2s}(T)} & \lesssim \|u\|_{F^{2s}(T)}\|u\|_{F^s(T)} \\
\|u\|_{E^s(T)} & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^{2s}} + \|u\|_{F^{2s}(T)}\|v\|_{F^s(T)}
\end{align*}
\]
which yields
\[
\|u\|_{F^{2s}(T)} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u\|_{F^{2s}(T)}^2 \|v\|_{F^s(T)} + \|u\|_{F^{2s}(T)}^2 \|v\|_{F^s(T)}\|u\|_{F^s(T)}
\]
This shows $\|u_2\|_{F^{2s}(T)} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^s}$ provided that $\|u_2\|_{F^s(T)}$ is sufficiently small. This implies
\[
\|u\|_{F^{2s}(T)} \lesssim \|P_{\leq N}u\|_{H^{2s}} \lesssim N^s\|u\|_{H^s}.
\]
For the solution to the difference equation we derive the inequality
\[
\|v\|_{F^{2s}(T)} \lesssim \|v(0)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v\|_{F^s(T)}^3 + \|v\|_{F^s(T)}\|u\|_{H^s}
\]
This allows us to conclude a priori estimates for $v = S_T^\infty(u_0) - S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_0)$.

Next, we consider a sequence of smooth initial data $(u_n) \subseteq H^\infty$ converging to $u_0 \in H^s$, $s > 1$.

We write
\[
S_T^\infty(u_n) - S_T^\infty(u_m) = (S_T^\infty(u_n) - S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_n)) - (S_T^\infty(u_m) - S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_m))
\]
and by the above considerations
\[
||S_T^\infty(u_n) - S_T^\infty(u_m)||_{C([0,T], H^s)} \leq \|P_{> N}u_n\|_{H^s} + \|P_{> N}u_m\|_{H^s} + \|S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_n) - S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_m)\|_{C([0,T], H^s)}
\]

For the third term note that
\[
||S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_n) - S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_m)||_{C([0,T], H^s)} \leq ||S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_n) - S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_m)||_{C([0,T], H^s)}
\]

With $f(x) \to 0$ as $x \to 0$ due to continuous dependence in $H^3$. Since $\|P_{\leq N}u_n - P_{\leq N}u_m\|_{H^s} \lesssim N^{-s}\|P_{\leq N}(u_n - u_m)\|_{H^s}$ we find that
\[
||S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_n) - S_T^\infty(P_{\leq N}u_m)||_{C([0,T], H^s)} \to 0
\]
for any $N$. Chosing $N$ so that $\|P_{> N}u_n\|_{H^s} + \|P_{> N}u_m\|_{H^s} \leq \varepsilon/2$ for any $n, m$ which is possible due to convergence to $u$ we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 for $k = 2$. When dealing with large initial data we rescale the initial value $u_0 \to \lambda u_0(\cdot/\lambda)$ to consider the Benjamin-Ono equation with small initial data on
the rescaled torus $\lambda T$. Following Remark 2.3 the decisive bilinear Strichartz estimate is scaling invariant, which allows us to rerun the above proof for small initial data on the rescaled torus. But note that this argument does not adapt in a simple manner to the case of higher order nonlinearities because of criticality or supercriticality of the $L^2$-norm.

7. EXTENDING THE METHOD TO HIGHER ORDER NONLINEARITIES

Finally, we indicate how the method can also deal with higher order nonlinearities. For definiteness consider the equation

$$\partial_t u + \mathcal{H}\partial_{xx} u = u^{k-1}\partial_x u, \quad u : \mathbb{R} \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

For solutions we prove the following set of estimates:

$$(33) \quad \|u\|_{F^0_{\infty}(T)} \lesssim \|u\|_{F^0_{\infty}(T)} + \|\partial_x(u^k)\|_{N^0_{\infty}(T)}$$

$$(34) \quad \|\partial_x(u^k)\|_{N^0_{\infty}(T)} \lesssim \|u\|_{F^0_{\infty}(T)}$$

For the nonlinear interaction we prove the following estimates:

$$(35) \quad \|\partial_x(u_1 \ldots u_k)\|_{N^0_{\infty}(T)} \lesssim \prod_{l=1}^k \|u_k\|_{F^0_{\infty}(T)}$$

$$(36) \quad \|\partial_x(u_1 \ldots u_k)\|_{N^0_{\infty}(T)} \lesssim \|u_1\|_{F^0_{\infty}(T)} \prod_{l=2}^k \|u_k\|_{F^0_{\infty}(T)}$$

In case of $High \times \ldots \rightarrow High$-interaction the following crude estimate suffices:

$$\|P_N(\partial_x(P_{N_1}u_1 \ldots P_{N_k}u_k))\|_{L^1_tL^2_x} \lesssim N^{1/2} \|P_{N_1}u_1 \ldots P_{N_k}u_k\|_{L^2_x}$$

$$\lesssim \|P_{N_1}u_1\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x} \prod_{l=2}^k \|P_{N_l}u_l\|_{L^\infty_t}$$

$$(37) \quad \lesssim \|P_{N_1}u_1\|_{F^0_{\infty}} \prod_{l=2}^k N^{1/2} \|P_{N_l}u_l\|_{F^0_{\infty}}$$

For $High \times High \times \ldots \rightarrow Low$-interaction observe

$$\|P_N(\partial_x(P_{N_1}u_1 P_{N_2}u_2 \ldots P_{N_k}u_k))\|_{DU^2(T)}$$

$$\lesssim N \frac{N_1}{N} \sup_{\|v\|_{L^2}^2=1} \int_{|l'|=N_1^{-1}} \int P_N v P_{N_1}u_1 P_{N_2}u_2 \ldots P_{N_k}u_k dx dt$$

$$(38) \quad \lesssim N \sup_{\|v\|_{L^2}^2=1} \|P_N v P_{N_1}u_1\|_{L^2_t,L^\infty_x} \|P_{N_2}u_2\|_{L^2_t,L^\infty_x} \prod_{l=3}^{k+1} \|P_{N_l}u_l\|_{L^\infty_t}$$

$$\lesssim \log \left( \frac{N_1}{N} \right) \|P_{N_1}u_1\|_{F^0_{\infty}} \|P_{N_2}u_2\|_{F^0_{\infty}} \prod_{l=3}^{k+1} N_l^{1/2} \|P_{N_l}u_l\|_{F^0_{\infty}}$$

which is again enough to conclude the estimates (35), (36).

We turn to the modification of the energy estimate for solutions: After Littlewood-Paley decomposition, localization in time reciprocal to the highest frequencies and possibly integration by parts we have to estimate expressions of the kind

$$(39) \quad \int_{|t|=N_1^{-1}} \int P_{N_1}u P_{N_2}u P_{N_3}u \ldots P_{N_k}u dx dt,$$

where $N_1 \sim N_2 \geq N_3 \geq \ldots \geq N_{k+1}$.

Suppose there are less than four frequencies, which are much larger than the rest.
and use pointwise bounds for the remaining frequencies to find

\[ (39) \lesssim \| P_{N_1} u P_{N_2} u \|_{L^4_{t,x}} \| P_{N_3} u P_{N_4} u \|_{L^4_{t,x}} \prod_{l=3}^{k+1} \| P_{N_l} u \|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \]

Next, we find

\[ (40) \]

\[ \lesssim N_1^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^4 \| P_{N_l} u \|_{F^0} \prod_{l=5}^{k+1} \| P_{N_l} u \|_{L^\infty_t L^\infty_x} \]

and further

\[ \int_0^t ds \int dx P_{N_1} u \partial_x (P_{N_2} u P_{N_3} u P_{N_4} u \ldots P_{N_{k+1}} u) \]

\[ \lesssim N_3 \prod_{l=1}^4 \| P_{N_l} u \|_{F^0} \prod_{l=5}^{k+1} N_l^{1/2} \| P_{N_l} u \|_{F^0} \]

In case the input frequency is not involved the estimate can be deduced by the same means without integration by parts.

Next, suppose that \( N_1 \sim N_2 \sim N_3 \sim N_4 \). In this case we can use four \( L^4_{t,x} \)-Strichartz estimates on the high frequencies which gains a factor \( N^{-1/2} \) due to Hölder in time and \( L^\infty_{t,x} \)-estimates on the remaining frequencies. Since we have two high frequencies to spare, this easily compensates for \( N^{3/2} \).

We turn to differences of solutions \( v = u_1 - u_2 \). Note the algebraic identities

\[ \partial_x (u_1^k - u_2^k) = \partial_x (v(P_k(u_1, u_2))) = \partial_x (vQ_k(v, u_2)) \]

First, we turn to the following set of estimates:

\[ (42) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\| v \|_{F^0(T)} 
\| \partial_x (v(P_k(u_1, u_2))) \|_{N^0(T)} 
\| \partial_x (vQ_k(v, u_2)) \|_{N^0(T)} 
\| u \|_{L^2(T)}^2 
\end{array} \right\} \]

\[ \lesssim \| v \|_{F^0(T)} + \| \partial_x (vP_k(u_1, u_2)) \|_{N^0(T)} + \| \partial_x (vQ_k(v, u_2)) \|_{N^0(T)} + \| u \|_{L^2(T)}^2 \]

for \( s > 1, T \in (0, 1] \).

The nonlinear estimate is settled with \( (36) \). For the energy estimate we in addition to above have to consider the interaction where we can no longer integrate by parts due to the reduced symmetry of the difference equation:

\[ (43) \]

\[ N_1^2 \int_{|t|=N_1^{-1}} \int P_{N_1} v P_{N_2} u_{i_2} P_{N_3} v P_{N_4} u_{i_4} \ldots dt, \]

where \( N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N_3 \geq N_4 \geq \ldots \geq N_{k+1} \).

We find

\[ \lesssim N_1^2 \| P_{N_1} v P_{N_2} v \|_{L^2_{t,x}} \| P_{N_2} u_{i_2} P_{N_3} u_{i_3} \|_{L^2_{t,x}} \prod_{l=5}^{k+1} \| P_{N_l} u_{i_l} \|_{L^\infty_{t,x}} \]

\[ \lesssim \| P_{N_1} v \|_{F^0} \| P_{N_3} v \|_{F^0} N_2 \| P_{N_3} u_{i_2} \|_{F^0} \| P_{N_4} u_{i_4} \|_{F^0} \prod_{l=5}^{k+1} N_l^{1/2} \| P_{N_l} u_{i_l} \|_{F^0} \]
and the summation to conclude the energy estimate from (42) is straightforward. Finally, we turn to the following set of estimates

\[
\begin{cases}
\|v\|_{F_{BO}^s(T)} \lesssim \|\partial_x (v(P_k(u_1, u_2)))\|_{N^r(T)} \\
\|\partial_x (v(P_k(u_1, u_2)))\|_{N^r(T)} \\
\|v\|_{E^*(T)}
\end{cases}
\]

The last term is new and comes from the estimate of

\[
N_1 \int_{|I|=N_1^{-1}} \int P_{N_1} v P_{N_2} v P_{N_2} u_2 \prod_{l=4}^{l+1} P_{N_l} w_l \, dx \, dt,
\]

where \(N_1 \sim N_2 \gg N_3 \geq \ldots \geq N_{k+1}\).

In this case we estimate \(P_{N_2} u_2\) in \(F_{BO}^{2k}\) which allows us to conclude the estimates. With the necessary estimates at our disposal we can prove local well-posedness for generalized Benjamin-Ono equations (33) like in Section 6.
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