CONTINUOUS CHARACTERIZATION OF BESOV SPACES OF VARIABLE SMOOTHNESS AND INTEGRABILITY

DOUADI DRIHEM AND SALAH BEN MAHMOUD

Abstract. In this paper we obtain new equivalent quasi-norms of the Besov spaces of variable smoothness and integrability. Our main tools are the continuous version of Calderón reproducing formula, maximal inequalities and variable exponent technique, but allowing the parameters to vary from point to point will raise extra difficulties which, in general, are overcome by imposing regularity assumptions on these exponents.

1. Introduction

Besov spaces of variable smoothness and integrability initially appeared in the paper of A. Almeida and P. Hästö [3], where several basic properties were shown, such as the Fourier analytical characterization. Later the author [9] characterized these spaces by local means and established the atomic characterization. After that, Kempka and Vybíral [15] characterized these spaces by ball means of differences and also by local means. The duality of these function spaces is given in [13] and [17].

The interest in these spaces comes not only from theoretical reasons but also from their applications to several classical problems in analysis. For further considerations of PDEs, we refer to [8] and references therein.

The main aim of this paper is to present new equivalent norm of these function spaces, which based on the continuous version of Calderón reproducing formula. Firstly, we define new family of function spaces and prove their basic properties. Secondly, under some suitable assumptions on the parameters we prove that these function spaces are just the Besov spaces of variable smoothness and integrability of Almeida and Hästö. Finally, we characterize these function spaces in terms of continuous local means.

This paper needs some notation. As usual, we denote by \(\mathbb{N}_0\) the set of all non-negative integers. The notation \(f \lesssim g\) means that \(f \leq c g\) for some independent positive constant \(c\) (and non-negative functions \(f\) and \(g\)), and \(f \approx g\) means that \(f \lesssim g \lesssim f\). For \(x \in \mathbb{R}\), \([x]\) stands for the largest integer smaller than or equal to \(x\).

If \(E \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) is a measurable set, then \(|E|\) stands for the Lebesgue measure of \(E\) and \(\chi_E\) denotes its characteristic function. By \(c\) we denote generic positive constants, which may have different values at different occurrences. Although the exact values of the constants are usually irrelevant for our purposes, sometimes we emphasize their dependence on certain parameters (e.g., \(c(p)\) means that \(c\) depends on \(p\), etc.).
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The symbol $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is used in place of the set of all Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We define the Fourier transform of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) := (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) \, dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$  

We denote by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the dual space of all tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The variable exponents that we consider are always measurable functions $p$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with range in $(0, \infty]$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of such functions bounded away from the origin (i.e., $p^+ > 0$). The subset of variable exponents with range in $[1, \infty]$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We use the standard notation:

$$p^- := \operatorname{ess-inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x) \quad \text{and} \quad p^+ := \operatorname{ess-sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} p(x).$$

We put

$$\omega_p(t) = \begin{cases} t^p & \text{if } p \in [1, \infty) \text{ and } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } p = \infty \text{ and } 0 < t \leq 1, \\ \infty & \text{if } p = \infty \text{ and } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

The variable exponent modular is defined by

$$\varrho_p(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \omega_p(|f(x)|) \, dx.$$  

The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}$ consists of measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\varrho_p(\lambda f) < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$. We define the Luxemburg (quasi)-norm on this space by the formula

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho_p \left( \frac{f}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$  

A useful property is that $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1$ if and only if $\varrho_p(f) \leq 1$ (see Lemma 3.2.4 from [S]).

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The mixed Lebesgue-sequence space $\ell^{p(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})$ is defined on sequences of $L^{p(\cdot)}$-functions by the modular

$$\varrho_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})}((f_v)_v) := \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \inf \left\{ \lambda_v > 0 : \varrho_{p(\cdot)} \left( \frac{f_v}{\lambda_v^{1/q(\cdot)}} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$  

The (quasi)-norm is defined from this as usual:

$$\|(f_v)_v\|_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})} := \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \varrho_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})} \left( \frac{1}{\mu} (f_v)_v \right) \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

If $q^+ < \infty$, then we can replace (1.1) by a simpler expression:

$$\varrho_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})}((f_v)_v) = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left\| |f_v|^{p(\cdot)} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{1/q(\cdot)}.$$  

We use this notation even when $q^+ = \infty$. Let $(f_t)_{0 < t \leq 1}$ be a sequence of measurable functions when $t$ is a continuous variable. We set

$$\varrho_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})}((f_t)_{0 < t \leq 1}) := \int_0^1 \inf \left\{ \lambda_t : \varrho_{p(\cdot)} \left( \frac{f_t}{\lambda_t^{1/q(\cdot)}} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \, dt.$$  

The (quasi)-norm is defined by

$$\|(f_t)_{0 < t \leq 1}\|_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})} := \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \varrho_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})} \left( \frac{1}{\mu} (f_t)_{0 < t \leq 1} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$
We say that a real valued-function $g$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is \textit{locally log-Hölder continuous} on $\mathbb{R}^n$, abbreviated $g \in C_{loc}^{log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if there exists a constant $c_{log}(g) > 0$ such that
\[
|g(x) - g(y)| \leq \frac{c_{log}(g)}{\log(e + 1/|x - y|)}
\]
for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We say that $g$ satisfies the \textit{log-Hölder decay condition}, if there exist two constants $g_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_{log} > 0$ such that
\[
|g(x) - g_{\infty}| \leq \frac{c_{log}}{\log(e + |x|)}
\]
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $g$ is \textit{globally log-Hölder continuous} on $\mathbb{R}^n$, abbreviated $g \in C_{loc}^{log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if it is locally log-Hölder continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and satisfies the log-Hölder decay condition. The constants $c_{log}(g)$ and $c_{log}$ are called the \textit{locally log-Hölder constant} and the \textit{log-Hölder decay constant}, respectively. We note that any function $g \in C_{loc}^{log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ always belongs to $L^\infty$.

We define the following class of variable exponents:
\[
P_0^{log}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{ p \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^n) : \frac{1}{p} \in C^{log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \},
\]
which is introduced in [6 Section 2]. The class $P^{log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined analogously. We define $\frac{1}{p_{\infty}} := \lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{1}{p(x)}$ and we use the convention $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$. Note that although $\frac{1}{p}$ is bounded, the variable exponent $p$ itself can be unbounded. We put $\Psi(x) := \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} |\varphi(y)|$ for $\varphi \in L^1$. We suppose that $\Psi \in L^1$. Then it was proved in [8 Lemma 4.6.3] that if $p \in P^{log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then
\[
\|\varphi \ast f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c\|\Psi\|_1\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}
\]
for all $f \in L^p(\cdot)$, where $\varphi_{\varepsilon} := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \varphi \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right), \varepsilon > 0$. We put $\eta_{t,m}(x) := t^{-m} (1 + t^{-1} |x|)^{-m}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$ and $m > 0$. Note that $\eta_{t,m} \in L^1$ when $m > n$ and that $\|\eta_{t,m}\|_1 = c(m)$ is independent of $t$. If $t = 2^{-v}$, $v \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then we put $\eta_{2^{-v},m}$.

We refer to the recent monograph [5] for further properties, historical remarks and references on variable exponent spaces.

2. Basic tools

In this section we present some useful results. The following lemma is proved in [7 Lemma 6.1] (see also [13 Lemma 19]).

\textbf{Lemma 2.1.} Let $\alpha \in C^{log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $R \geq c_{log}(\alpha)$, where $c_{log}(\alpha)$ is the constant from (1.2) for $g = \alpha$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that
\[
t^{-\alpha(x)}\eta_{t,m+R}(x - y) \leq c t^{-\alpha(y)}\eta_{t,m}(x - y)
\]
for any $0 < t \leq 1$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The previous lemma allows us to treat the variable smoothness in many cases as if it were not variable at all. Namely, we can move the factor $t^{-\alpha(x)}$ inside the convolution as follows:
\[
t^{-\alpha(x)}\eta_{t,m+R} \ast f(x) \leq c \eta_{t,m} \ast (t^{-\alpha} f)(x).
\]

The following lemma is from [22 Lemma 3.14].
Lemma 2.2. Let \( p, q \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Let \( f \) be a measurable function on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). If \( \|f|^{q(\cdot)}\|_{p(\cdot)}^{r(\cdot)} > 1 \), then

\[
\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}^{q(\cdot)} \leq \|f|^{q(\cdot)}\|_{p(\cdot)}^{r(\cdot)}.
\]

The next lemma is a Hardy type inequality, see [13].

Lemma 2.3. Let \( s > 0 \) and \((\varepsilon_t)_{0 < t < 1}\) be a sequence of positive measurable functions when \( t \) is a continuous variable. Let

\[
\eta_t = t^s \int_0^1 \tau^{-s} \varepsilon_{\tau} \, d\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_t = t^{-s} \int_0^1 \tau^{-s} \varepsilon_{\tau} \, d\tau.
\]

Then there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) depending only on \( s \) such that

\[
\int_0^1 \eta_t \, dt + \int_0^1 \delta_t \, dt \leq c \int_0^1 \varepsilon_t \, dt.
\]

Lemma 2.4. Let \( r, N > 0 \), \( m > n \) and \( \theta, \omega \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( \text{supp} \mathcal{F} \omega \subset B(0, 1) \). Then there exists a constant \( c = c(r, m, n) > 0 \) such that for all \( g \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n) \), we have

\[
|\theta_N \ast \omega_N \ast g(x)| \leq c(\eta_{N,m} \ast |\omega_N \ast g|) \frac{r}{N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

where \( \theta_N(\cdot) := N^m \theta(N \cdot), \omega_N(\cdot) := N^m \omega(N \cdot) \) and \( \eta_{N,m} := N^m(1 + N |\cdot|)^{-m} \).

The proof of this lemma is given in [13], Lemma 2.2. The following lemma is from A. Almeida and P. Hästö [3, Lemma 4.7] (we use it, since the maximal operator is in general not bounded on \( \ell^{q(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)}) \), see [3, Example 4.1]).

Lemma 2.5. Let \( p \in P^\log(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( q \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( \frac{1}{q} \in C^\log_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). For \( m > n + c_{\log}(1/q) \), there exists \( c > 0 \) such that

\[
\| (\eta_{t,m} \ast f_t) \|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})} \leq c \| f_t \|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})}.
\]

Now we present the continuous version of the last lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Let \( p \in P^\log(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( q \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( \frac{1}{q} \in C^\log_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then the inequality

\[
\| (\eta_{t,m} \ast f_t)_{0 < t < 1} \|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})} \leq c \| f_t \|_{0 < t < 1} \|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})}
\]

holds for every sequence of functions \((f_t)_{0 < t < 1}\), when \( t \) is a continuous variable, and constant \( m > n + c_{\log}(1/q) \) such that the right-hand side is finite.

Proof. By the scaling argument, it suffices to consider the case \( \| f_t \|_{0 < t < 1} \|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}(L^{p(\cdot)})} = 1 \) and show that

\[
S := \int_0^1 \left\| c \eta_{t,m} \ast f_t \right\|_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}} \frac{dt}{t} \leq 2
\]

for some positive constant \( c \). Let us prove that

\[
\left\| c \eta_{t,m} \ast f_t \right\|_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \left\| f_t \right\|_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}} + t = \delta
\]

for any \( t \in (0, 1] \). This claim can be reformulated as showing that

\[
J = \left\| c \delta^{-\frac{1}{q(\cdot)}} \eta_{t,m} \ast f_t \right\|_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}} \leq 1, \quad t \in (0, 1].
\]

We have

\[
J \leq \left\| \eta_{t,m - c_{\log}(\frac{1}{q})} \ast c \delta^{-\frac{1}{q(\cdot)}} f_t \right\|_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}} \leq \left\| \delta^{-\frac{1}{q(\cdot)}} f_t \right\|_{\ell^{p(\cdot)}},
\]
since \( \delta \in (t, 1+t] \) and the fact that the convolution with a radially decreasing \( L^1 \)-function is bounded on \( L^{p(t)} \), with an appropriate choice of \( c \). Now the right-hand side is bounded if and only if

\[
\| |f_t|^{q(t)}\|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \leq \delta,
\]

which follows from the definition of \( \delta \). The proof is complete.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let \( 0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty, p \in P^{\log} (\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( q \in P_0 (\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( \frac{1}{q} \in C^{\log} (\mathbb{R}^n) \). Let

\[
g_t(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma_t \star f_t(x) \frac{d\tau}{\tau}, \quad t \in (0, 1], x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

(i) Assume that \( 0 < \beta t \leq 1 \). The inequality

\[
\| cg_t |^{q(t)} \|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \| f_t |^{q(t)} \|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} + t, \quad t \in (0, 1]
\]

holds for every sequence of functions \( (f_t)_{0 < t \leq 1} \) and constant \( m > n + c_{\log} (\frac{1}{q}) \) such that the first term on right-hand side is at most one, where the constant \( c \) independent of \( t \).

(ii) The inequality

\[
\| (g_t)_{0 < t \leq 1} \|_{L^{p(t)} (\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \| (f_t)_{0 < t \leq 1} \|_{L^{p(t)} (\mathbb{R}^n)}
\]

holds for every sequence of functions \( (f_t)_{0 < t \leq 1} \) and constant \( m > n + c_{\log} (\frac{1}{q}) \) such that the right-hand side is finite.

**Proof.** First let us prove (i). The claim can be reformulated as showing that

\[
J := \| c_1 \delta^{-\frac{1}{q(t)}} g_t \|_{p(t)} \leq 2 \frac{1}{\tau} + \log \frac{\beta}{\alpha}, \quad t \in (0, 1],
\]

where \( c_1 > 0 \) and \( \delta := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \| f_t |^{q(t)} \|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} + t \). Applying Lemma 2.1 with an appropriate choice of \( c_1 \), we get

\[
J \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \| c_1 \delta^{-\frac{1}{q(t)}} (\gamma_t \star f_t) \|_{p(t)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau}
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \| \gamma_t \star f_t \|_{p(t)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau},
\]

since \( \delta \in (t, 1+t] \) and that the convolution with a radially decreasing \( L^1 \)-function is bounded on \( L^{p(t)} \), since \( m > n + c_{\log} (\frac{1}{q}) \).

Write

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \| \delta^{-\frac{1}{q(t)}} f_t \|_{p(t)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} = \int_{(at, \beta t) \cap B} \cdots \frac{d\tau}{\tau} + \int_{(at, \beta t) \cap B^c} \cdots \frac{d\tau}{\tau}
\]

\[
= J_{1,t} + J_{2,t},
\]

where

\[
B := \{ \tau > 0 : \| |f_t|^{q(t)}\|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \geq 1 \}.
\]

By Lemma 2.2,

\[
J_{1,t} \leq \int_{(at, \beta t) \cap B} \| |f_t|^{q(t)}\|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \delta^{-1} \int_{at}^{\beta t} \| |f_t|^{q(t)}\|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}
\]

\[
J_{2,t} \leq \int_{(at, \beta t) \cap B^c} \| |f_t|^{q(t)}\|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \delta^{-1} \int_{at}^{\beta t} \| |f_t|^{q(t)}\|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}
\]

\[
\int_{(at, \beta t) \cap B} \| |f_t|^{q(t)}\|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \delta^{-1} \int_{at}^{\beta t} \| |f_t|^{q(t)}\|_{\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{q}}.
\]
and
\[ J_{2,t} \leq \int_{\alpha t}^{\beta t} \left\| \delta^{-\frac{1}{n}} f_{\tau} \right\|_{p(\frac{\tau}{\alpha})} d\tau \leq \int_{\alpha t}^{\beta t} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} = \log \frac{\beta}{\alpha}. \]

Now we prove (ii). By the scaling argument, it suffices to consider the case
\[ \| (f_t)_{0 < t \leq 1} \|_{\ell^1(\ell^p(\cdot))} = 1 \]
and show that the modular of \( f \) on the left-hand side is bounded. In particular, we show
that
\[ \int_0^1 \left\| \phi_t |\eta(\cdot)\|_{p(\frac{\tau}{\tau^2})} \frac{dt}{\tau} \right\| \leq 2 \]
for some positive constant \( c \). Applying Hardy inequality, see Lemma 2.3 and the property
(i) we obtain the desired result. □

**Lemma 2.8.** Let \( 0 < r < \infty \) and \( m > \max(n, \frac{n}{r}) \). Let \( \{\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}\} \) be a resolution of
unity:
\[ \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}(\xi) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}(t\xi) \frac{dt}{t} = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \]

(i) Let \( \theta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) be such that \( \text{supp} \mathcal{F}_{\theta} \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2 \} \). There exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that
\[ |\theta * f|^r \leq c \eta_{1,mr} * |\Phi * f|^r + c \int_{1/4}^1 \eta_{1,mr} * |\varphi_t * f|^r \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \]
for any \( f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \), where \( \varphi_t = t^{-n} \varphi(\frac{\cdot}{t}) \).

(ii) Let \( \omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) be such that \( \text{supp} \mathcal{F}_{\omega} \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2 \} \). There exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that
\[ |\omega_t * f|^r \leq c \eta_{1,mr} * |\Phi * f|^r + c \int_{t/4}^{\min(1,4t)} \eta_{1,mr} * |\varphi_t * f|^r \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \]
for any \( f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and any \( 0 < t \leq 1 \), where \( \omega_t = t^{-n} \omega(\frac{\cdot}{t}) \).

**Proof.** We split the proof into two steps. First the case \( 1 \leq r < \infty \) follows by the Hölder inequality.

**Step 1.** Proof of (i). Since \( \{\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}, \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}\} \) is a resolutions of unity, it follows that
\[ \theta * f = \Phi * \theta * f + \int_{1/4}^1 \theta * \varphi_t * f \frac{d\tau}{\tau}. \]

First recall the elementary inequality
\[ d^n \eta_{d,m}(y - z) \leq \min\{d^n \eta_{d,-m}(y - x) \eta_{d,m}(x - z), 0 > 0, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.9) \]
which together with Lemma 2.3 implies that
\[ |\Phi * \theta * f(y)|^r \leq \eta_{1,mr} * |\Phi * f|^r(y) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{1,mr}(y - z) |\Phi * f(z)|^r dz \leq \eta_{1,mr}(y - x) \eta_{1,mr} * |\Phi * f|^r(x) \]
for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $m > \frac{n}{r}$. Furthermore,

$$|\Phi * \theta * f(y)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{1,N}(y-z)|\theta * f(z)|dz$$

$$\leq \eta_{1,-m}(y-x)\theta_1^{*,m} f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{1,N-m}(y-z)dz$$

$$\leq \eta_{1,-m}(y-x)\theta_1^{*,m} f(x)$$

for any $N > m + n$, where

$$\theta_1^{*,m} f(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\theta * f(y)|}{(1 + |y - x|)^m}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$ 

Therefore,

$$|\Phi * \theta * f(y)| \lesssim \eta_{1,-m}(y-x) (\theta_1^{*,m} f(x))^{1-r} \eta_{1,m} * |\Phi * f|^r(x)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $m > n$. Again from Lemma 2.4 we conclude

$$|\theta * \varphi \ast f(y)|^r \lesssim \eta_{1,mr} * |\varphi \ast f|^r(y) \lesssim (1 + |y - x|)^{mr} \eta_{1,mr} * |\varphi \ast f|^r(x)$$

and

$$|\theta * \varphi \ast f(y)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{r,N}(y-z)|\theta * f(z)|dz, \quad \frac{1}{4} \leq r \leq 1$$

$$\lesssim (1 + |y - x|)^m \theta_1^{*,m} f(x)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, any $m > n$ and any $N > m + n$. Consequently

$$\theta_1^{*,m} f(x) \leq c (\theta_1^{*,m} f(x))^{1-r} \left( \eta_{1,mr} * |\Phi * f|^r(x) + \int_{1/4}^1 \eta_{1,mr} * |\varphi \ast f|^r(x) \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right), \quad (2.10)$$

which implies that

$$|\theta * f(x)|^r \leq c \eta_{1,mr} * |\Phi * f|^r(x) + c \int_{1/4}^1 \eta_{1,mr} * |\varphi \ast f|^r(x) \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \quad (2.11)$$

when $\theta_1^{*,m} f(x) < \infty$, which is true if $m \geq \frac{n}{r} + N_0$ (order of distribution). We will use the Strömberg and Torchinsky idea [19]. Observe that the right-hand side of (2.11) decreases as $m$ increases. Therefore, we have (2.11) for all $m > \frac{n}{r}$ but with $c = c(f)$ depending on $f$. We can easily check that if the right-hand side of (2.11), with $c = c(f)$, is finite imply that $\theta_1^{*,m} f(x) < \infty$, otherwise, there is nothing to prove. Returning to (2.10) and having in mind that now $\theta_1^{*,m} f(x) < \infty$, we obtain the desired estimate (2.11).

**Step 2.** Proof of (ii). We have

$$\omega_t * f = \int_{t/4}^{\min(1,4t)} \omega_t * \varphi \ast f \frac{d\tau}{\tau} + \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } 0 < t < \frac{1}{4}; \\
\omega_t * \Phi * f, & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq 1.
\end{cases}$$

Let

$$g_t(y) = \int_{t/4}^{\min(1,4t)} \omega_t * \varphi \ast f(y) \frac{d\tau}{\tau}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < t \leq 1.$$
It follows from Lemma 2.4 that
\[
|\omega_t \ast \varphi_t \ast f(y)|^r \lesssim \eta_{t,mr} \ast |\varphi_t \ast f|^r(y) \\
\lesssim \eta_{t,mr} \ast |\varphi_t \ast f|^r(y) \\
= c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{t,mr}(y - z)|\varphi_t \ast f(z)|^r \, dz \\
\lesssim (1 + r^{-1}|y - x|)^{mr} \eta_{t,mr} \ast |\varphi_t \ast f|^r(x)
\]
and
\[
|\omega_t \ast \varphi_t \ast f(y)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{t,N}(y - z)|\omega_t \ast f(z)| \, dz \\
\lesssim \omega_{t,m}^s f(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{t,N}(y - z)(1 + t^{-1}|y - z|)^m \, dz \\
\lesssim \omega_{t,m}^s f(y) \\
\lesssim (1 + t^{-1}|y - x|)^m \omega_{t,m}^s f(x)
\]
for any \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\), any \(t/4 \leq \tau \leq \min(1, 4t)\), \(0 < t \leq 1\) and any \(N > m + n\), where
\[
\omega_{t,m}^s f(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\omega_t \ast f(y)|}{(1 + t^{-1}|y - x|)^m}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < t \leq 1.
\]
Therefore, \(g_t(y)\) can be estimated from above by
\[
c (\omega_{t,m}^s f(x))^{1-r} \left(1 + t^{-1}|y - x|\right)^{m(1-r)} \\
\times \int_{t/4}^{\min(1, 4t)} (1 + r^{-1}|y - x|)^m \eta_{t,mr} \ast |\varphi_t \ast f|^r(x) \, \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \\
\lesssim (1 + t^{-1}|y - x|)^m (\omega_{t,m}^s f(x))^{1-r} \int_{t/4}^{\min(1, 4t)} \eta_{t,mr} \ast |\varphi_t \ast f|^r(x) \, \frac{d\tau}{\tau},
\]
if \(0 < t \leq 1\). Now if \(\frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq 1\), we easily obtain
\[
|\omega_t \ast \Phi \ast f(y)| = |\omega_t \ast \Phi \ast f(y)|^{1-r} |\omega_t \ast \Phi \ast f(y)|^r \\
\lesssim (1 + t^{-1}|y - x|)^{m(1-r)} (\omega_{t,m}^s f(x))^{1-r} \eta_{1,mr} \ast |\Phi \ast f|^r(y) \\
\lesssim (1 + t^{-1}|y - x|)^m (\omega_{t,m}^s f(x))^{1-r} \eta_{1,mr} \ast |\Phi \ast f|^r(x),
\]
which yields that
\[
\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\omega_t \ast \Phi \ast f(y)|}{(1 + t^{-1}|y - x|)^m} \lesssim (\omega_{t,m}^s f(x))^{1-r} \eta_{1,mr} \ast |\Phi \ast f|^r(x).
\]
Consequently
\[
|\omega_t \ast f(x)|^r \lesssim (\omega_{t,m}^s f(x))^r \lesssim \eta_{1,mr} \ast |\Phi \ast f|^r(x) + \int_{t/4}^{\min(1, 4t)} \eta_{t,mr} \ast |\varphi_t \ast f|^r(x) \, \frac{d\tau}{\tau},
\]
when \(\omega_{t,m}^s f(x) < \infty, 0 < t \leq 1\) and \(x \in \mathbb{R}^n\). Using a combination of the arguments used in (i), we arrive at the desired estimate. The proof is complete.

The following lemma is from [IS] Lemma 1.
Lemma 2.12. Let η, μ ∈ S(ℝⁿ), and M ≥ −1 an integer such that
\[ \int_{ℝⁿ} x^\alpha \mu(x) dx = 0 \]
for all |α| ≤ M. Then for any N > 0, there is a constant c(N) > 0 such that
\[ \sup_{z \in ℝⁿ} |t^{-n} \mu(t^{-1} \cdot \varphi(z)) (1 + |z|)^N | ≤ c(N) t^{M+1}. \]

3. VARIABLE BESOV SPACES

In this section we present the definition of Besov spaces of variable smoothness and
integrability, and prove the basic properties in analogy to the case of fixed exponents.
Select a pair of Schwartz functions Φ and ϕ satisfying
\[ \text{supp} \Phi \subset \{ x \in ℝⁿ : |x| ≤ 2 \}, \quad \text{supp} \varphi \subset \{ x \in ℝⁿ : 1/2 ≤ |x| ≤ 2 \} \]
and
\[ \mathcal{F} \Phi(\xi) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{F} \varphi(t\xi) \frac{dt}{t} = 1, \quad \xi \in ℝⁿ. \]
Such a resolution [5,1] and [3,2] of unity can be constructed as follows. Let μ ∈ S(ℝⁿ)
be such that |Fμ(ξ)| > 0 for 1/2 < |ξ| < 2. There exists η ∈ S(ℝⁿ) with
\[ \sup \mathcal{F} \eta \subset \{ x \in ℝⁿ : 1/2 ≤ |x| ≤ 2 \} \]
such that
\[ \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F} \mu(t\xi) \mathcal{F} \eta(t\xi) \frac{dt}{t} = 1, \quad \xi \neq 0, \]
see [4, 12] and [14]. We set \( \mathcal{F} \varphi = \mathcal{F} \mu \mathcal{F} \eta \) and
\[ \mathcal{F} \Phi(\xi) = \begin{cases} \int_1^\infty \mathcal{F} \varphi(t\xi) \frac{dt}{t} & \text{if } \xi \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases} \]

Then \( \mathcal{F} \Phi \in \mathcal{S}(ℝⁿ) \), and as \( \mathcal{F} \eta \) is supported in \( \{ x \in ℝⁿ : 1/2 ≤ |x| ≤ 2 \} \), we see that
\[ \sup \mathcal{F} \Phi \subset \{ x \in ℝⁿ : |x| ≤ 2 \}. \]

Now we define the spaces under consideration.

Definition 3.3. Let \( \alpha : ℝⁿ \to ℝ \) and \( p, q \in \mathcal{P}_0(ℝⁿ) \). Let \( \{ \mathcal{F} \Phi, \mathcal{F} \varphi \} \) be a resolution of unity and we put \( \varphi_t = t^{-n} \varphi(\frac{\cdot}{t}) \), \( 0 < t \leq 1 \). The Besov space \( \mathfrak{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(\cdot) \) is the collection of all \( f \in \mathcal{S}'(ℝⁿ) \) such that
\[ \| f \|_{\mathfrak{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(\cdot)} := \| \Phi * f \|_{p(\cdot)} + \| (t^{-\alpha}(\varphi_t * f))_{0 < t \leq 1} \|_{p(\cdot)} < \infty. \]

When \( q = \infty \), the Besov space \( \mathfrak{B}^\alpha_{p,\infty}(\cdot) \) consist of all distributions \( f \in \mathcal{S}'(ℝⁿ) \) such that
\[ \| f \|_{\mathfrak{B}^\alpha_{p,\infty}(\cdot)} := \| \Phi * f \|_{p(\cdot)} + \sup_{t \in (0,1]} \| t^{-\alpha}(\varphi_t * f) \|_{p(\cdot)} < \infty. \]

One recognizes immediately that \( \mathfrak{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(\cdot) \) is a quasi-normed space and if \( \alpha, p \) and \( q \) are constants, then
\[ \mathfrak{B}^\alpha_{p,q}(\cdot) = B^\alpha_{p,q}, \]
where \( B^\alpha_{p,q} \) is the usual Besov spaces.
Now, we are ready to show that the definition of these function spaces is independent of the chosen resolution \( \{ F \Phi, F \varphi \} \) of unity. This justifies our omission of the subscript \( \Phi \) and \( \varphi \) in the sequel.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( \{ F \Phi, F \varphi \} \) and \( \{ F \Psi, F \psi \} \) be two resolutions of unity. Let \( \alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( p, q \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Assume that \( p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( \alpha, \frac{1}{q} \in C_{\log}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then

\[
\| f \|_{2^p \cap q(\cdot)} \approx \| f \|_{2^p \cap q(\cdot)}.
\]

**Proof.** Our proof use partially some decomposition techniques already used in [11]. It is sufficient to show that there exists a constant \( c > 0 \) such that for all \( f \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)} \) we have

\[
\| f \|_{2^p \cap q(\cdot)} \lesssim \| f \|_{2^p \cap q(\cdot)}.
\]

In view of Lemma 2.8, the problem can be reduced to the case of \( p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n) \). By the scaling argument, it suffices to consider the case \( \| f \|_{2^p \cap q(\cdot)} = 1 \) and show that

\[
\| \Phi \ast f \|_{p(\cdot)} \lesssim 1
\]

and

\[
\int_0^1 \| c t^{-\alpha(\cdot)}(\varphi_t \ast f)\|_{q(\cdot)} \frac{dt}{t} \lesssim 1
\]

for some positive constant \( c \). Interchanging the roles of \( (\Psi, \psi) \) and \( (\Phi, \varphi) \) we obtain the desired result. We have

\[
F \Phi(\xi) = F(\xi) F \Psi(\xi) + \int_{1/4}^1 F(\xi) F \Psi(\tau \xi) \frac{d\tau}{\tau}
\]

and

\[
F \varphi(t \xi) = \int_{1/4}^{\min(1, t 4)} F(\tau \xi) F \Psi(\tau \xi) \frac{d\tau}{\tau} + \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 < t < \frac{1}{4}; \\ F(\tau \xi) F \Psi(\xi), & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}
\]

for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then we see that

\[
\Phi \ast f = \Phi \ast \varphi \ast f + \int_{1/4}^1 \Phi \ast \psi \ast f \frac{d\tau}{\tau} = \Phi \ast \varphi \ast f + g
\]

and

\[
\varphi_t \ast f = \int_{1/4}^{\min(1, t 4)} \varphi_t \ast \psi \ast f \frac{d\tau}{\tau} + \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 < t < \frac{1}{4}; \\ \varphi_t \ast \varphi \ast f, & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}
\]

First observe that

\[
| \Phi \ast \varphi \ast f | \lesssim | \eta_{0, m} \ast \psi \ast f | \\
\lesssim \eta_{0, m} \ast \tau^{-\alpha(\cdot)}| \psi \ast f |, \quad \frac{1}{4} < \tau < 1, m > n
\]

and

\[
| \Phi \ast \varphi \ast f | \lesssim \eta_{0, m} \ast | \varphi \ast f |, \quad m > n.
\]

Therefore,

\[
| \Phi \ast f | \leq \eta_{0, m} \ast | \varphi \ast f | + \int_{1/4}^1 \eta_{0, m} \ast \tau^{-\alpha(\cdot)}| \psi \ast f | \frac{d\tau}{\tau}
\]

\[
= \eta_{0, m} \ast | \varphi \ast f | + g.
\]
Since \( p \in \mathcal{P}^\log (\mathbb{R}^n) \) and the convolution with a radially decreasing \( L^1 \)-function is bounded on \( L^p(\cdot) \):
\[
\| \eta_{0,m} \ast \Psi \ast f \|_{p(\cdot)} \lesssim \| \Psi \ast f \|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1.
\]
Now, for some suitable positive constant \( c_1 \),
\[
\| c_1 g \|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1
\]
if and only if
\[
\| c_1 g \|_{q(\cdot)}^{q(\cdot)} \|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq 1,
\]
which follows by Lemma 2.7/(i). Therefore,
\[
\| \Phi \ast f \|_{p(\cdot)} \lesssim 1.
\]
Using the fact that the convolution with a radially decreasing \( L^1 \)-function is bounded on \( L^p(\cdot) \), we obtain
\[
\| c \varphi_t \ast \Psi \ast f \|_{q(\cdot)}^{q(\cdot)} \|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq 1,
\]
with an appropriate choice of \( c \) and any \( t \in (0, 1] \). Observe that
\[
| \varphi_t \ast f | \lesssim \int_{t/4}^{t} \eta_{r,m} \ast \psi_{r \cdot} \ast f \left\| \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right\|, \quad m > n, t \in (0, \frac{1}{4}].
\]
Applying again Lemma 2.7/(i), we find that
\[
\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{t}} \left( \| ct^{-\alpha(\cdot)}( \varphi_t \ast f ) \|_{q(\cdot)}^{q(\cdot)} \right) \frac{dt}{t} \leq 1
\]
for some suitable positive constant \( c \). The proof of theorem is complete. \( \square \)

Let \( a > 0, \alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then we define the Peetre maximal function as follows:
\[
\varphi_t^{\ast,a} t^{-\alpha(\cdot)} f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{-\alpha(y)} | \varphi_t \ast f(y) |}{(1 + t^{-1} | x - y |^a)}, \quad t > 0
\]
and
\[
\Phi^{\ast,a} f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{| \Phi \ast f(y) |}{(1 + | x - y |^a)}.
\]
We now present a fundamental characterization of the spaces under consideration.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let \( \alpha, \frac{1}{q} \in C^\log_{\text{loc}} (\mathbb{R}^n), p \in \mathcal{P}^\log_0 (\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( a > \frac{n}{p} \). Then
\[
\| f \|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}} := \| \Phi^{\ast,a} \|_{p(\cdot)} + \| (\varphi_t^{\ast,a} t^{-\alpha(\cdot)} f)_0 \|_{L^p(\cdot)} \]
is an equivalent quasi-norm in \( \mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)} \).

**Proof.** It is easy to see that for any \( f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n) \) with \( \| f \|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}} < \infty \) and any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we have
\[
t^{-\alpha(x)} | \varphi_t \ast f(x) | \leq \varphi_t^{\ast,a} t^{-\alpha(\cdot)} f(x).
\]
This shows that \( \| f \|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}} \leq \| f \|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}} \). We will prove that there is a constant \( C > 0 \) such that for every \( f \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)} \)
\[
\| f \|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}} \leq C \| f \|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}}.
\] (3.6)
By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4 the estimate

$$t^{-\alpha(y)} |\varphi_t \ast f(y)| \leq C_1 t^{-\alpha(y)} \left( \eta_{t,\sigma} \ast |\varphi_t \ast f|^p(y) \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C_2 \left( \eta_{t,\sigma-c_{\log}(\alpha)} \ast (t^{-\alpha} |\varphi_t \ast f|^p)(y) \right)^{1/p}$$

(3.7)

is true for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma > n/p^-$ and $t > 0$. Now dividing both sides of (3.7) by $(1 + t^{-1} |x - y|)^a$, in the right-hand side we use the inequality

$$\left(1 + t^{-1} |x - y| \right)^a \leq \left(1 + t^{-1} |x - z| \right)^a \left(1 + t^{-1} |y - z| \right)^a, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

while in the left-hand side we take the supremum over $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we find that for all $f \in B^0_{p,q}$ any $t > 0$ and any $\sigma > \max(n/p^-,a + c_{\log}(\alpha))$

$$\varphi_t^{s,a} \ast f(x) \leq C_2 \left( \eta_{t,\sigma-p} \ast (t^{-\alpha} |\varphi_t \ast f|^p)(x) \right)^{1/p},$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is independent of $x,t$ and $f$. Applying Lemma 2.6 we get the desired estimate (3.6). The proof of Theorem 3.5 is complete. \hfill \Box

### 4. Relation between $B^0_{p,q}$ and $B^0_{p,q}$

In this section we present the coincidence between the above function spaces and the variable Besov spaces of Almeida and Hästö, where to define these function spaces we first need the concept of a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Let $\Psi$ be a function in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\Psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\Psi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. We define $\psi_0$ and $\psi_1$ by $F\psi_0(x) = \Psi(x)$, $F\psi_1(x) = \Psi(x) - \Psi(2x)$ and

$$F\psi_v(x) = F\psi_1(2^{-v}x) \quad \text{for} \quad v = 2, 3, \ldots.$$ 

Then $\{F\psi_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a smooth dyadic resolution of unity, $\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} F\psi_v(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus we obtain the Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$f = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \psi_v \ast f$$

for all $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (convergence in $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

We state the definition of the spaces $B^s_{p,q}$, which introduced and investigated in [3].

**Definition 4.1.** Let $\{F\psi_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a resolution of unity, $s : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $p,q \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The Besov space $B^s_{p,q}$ consists of all distributions $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}} := \left\| \left(2^{us} \psi_v \ast f \right)_v \right\|_{L^p(L^q)} < \infty.$$ 

Taking $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in (0, \infty]$ as constants we derive the spaces $B^s_{p,q}$ studied by Xu in [22]. We refer the reader to the recent papers [1], [2], [9] and [15] for further details, historical remarks and more references on these function spaces. For any $p,q \in P_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $s \in C^{\log}_{\text{loc}}$, the space $B^s_{p,q}$ does not depend on the chosen smooth dyadic resolution of unity $\{F\psi_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ (in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms) and

$$S(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow B^s_{p,q} \rightarrow S'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, if $p,q,s$ are constants, we re-obtain the usual Besov spaces $B^s_{p,q}$ studied in detail in [20] and [21].
Theorem 4.2. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that $p \in \mathcal{P}_0^\log(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha, \frac{1}{q} \in C^{\log}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then
\[
\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)} = \mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)},
\]
in the sense of equivalent quasi-norms.

Proof. Step 1. We will prove that
\[
\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}.
\]
From Lemma 2.8 we only consider the case $p \in \mathcal{P}_0^\log(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\{\mathcal{F}\Phi, \mathcal{F}\varphi\}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be two resolutions of unity and let $f \in \mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}$ with
\[
\|f\|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}} \leq 1.
\]
We have
\[
\psi_v * f = \int_{2^{-v}-2}^{\min(1,2^{2-v})} \psi_v * \varphi_t * f \frac{dt}{t} + \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } v \geq 2; \\
\psi_v * \Phi * f, & \text{if } v = 0, 1, 2.
\end{cases}
\]
Since the convolution with a radially decreasing $L^1$-function is bounded on $L^p(\cdot)$, we obtain
\[
\|c \psi_v * \Phi * f \|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}} \leq 1, \quad v = 0, 1, 2
\]
for some suitable positive constant $c$. Applying Lemma 2.7 we obtain
\[
\|c_1 \, 2^{\alpha(\cdot)} \psi_v * f \|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}} \leq \int_{2^{-v}-2}^{\min(1,2^{2-v})} \|2^{\alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_t * f \|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}} \frac{dt}{t} + 2^{-v}, \quad v \geq 2,
\]
with an appropriate choice of $c_1$. Taking the sum over $v \geq 2$, we obtain $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}} \lesssim 1$.

Step 2. We will prove that
\[
\mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}.
\]
Let $\{\mathcal{F}\Phi, \mathcal{F}\varphi\}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}\psi_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be two resolutions of unity and let $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}$ with
\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}} \leq 1.
\]
We have
\[
\varphi_t * f = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \varphi_t * \psi_v * f = \sum_{v=\lceil \log_2(\frac{t}{\delta}) \rceil}^{\lceil \log_2(\frac{t}{\delta}) \rceil + 1} \varphi_t * \psi_v * f + \sum_{v=\lceil \log_2(\frac{t}{\delta}) \rceil + 1}^{\infty} \varphi_t * \psi_v * f = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } 0 < t \leq \frac{1}{4}; \\
\psi_0 * \Phi * f, & \text{if } t > \frac{1}{4},
\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
\Phi * f = \sum_{v=0}^{2} \Phi * \psi_v * f.
\]
Notice that if $v < 0$ then we put $\psi_v * f = 0$. Since the convolution with a radially decreasing $L^1$-function is bounded on $L^p(\cdot)$, we obtain
\[
\|c \psi_v * \Phi * f \|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}} \leq 1, \quad v = 0, 1, 2,
\]
which yields,
\[
\|\Phi * f \|_{\mathfrak{B}^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}} \leq 1
\]
for some suitable positive constant $c$. Let $t \in [2^{-i}, 2^{-i+1}]$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$t^{-\alpha(\cdot)}|\varphi_t * f| \lesssim \sum_{v=\lfloor \log_2(\frac{1}{2^t}) \rfloor}^{\lfloor \log_2(\frac{1}{2^t}) \rfloor + 1} t^{-\alpha(\cdot)} \eta_{t, m} * |\psi_v * f|$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{v=1}^{i-1} 2^{(i-v)\alpha} \eta_{t, m - c_{\log(\alpha)}} * 2^{\alpha(\cdot)} |\psi_v * f|$$

$$= c \sum_{j=-3}^{-1} 2^{-ja} \eta_{t, m - c_{\log(\alpha)}} * 2^{(j+a)\alpha} |\psi_{j+i} * f|,$$

where $m > n + c_{\log(\alpha)} + c_{\log(\frac{1}{4})}$. Now observe that

$$\int_0^1 \|c t^{-\alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_t * f \|_{q(\cdot)} \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-i}}^{2^{-i-1}} \|t^{-\alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_t * f \|_{q(\cdot)} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-ja} \sum_{j=-3}^{-1} \|c \eta_{j+i, m - c_{\log(\alpha)}} * 2^{(j+i)\alpha} |\psi_{j+i} * f| \|_{q(\cdot)}$$

for some suitable positive constant $c$. The desired estimate follows by Lemma 2.5. The proof is complete.

In order to formulate the main result of this section, let us consider $k_0, k \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $S \geq -1$ an integer such that for an $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|Fk_0| > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\xi| < 2\varepsilon,$$

$$|Fk| > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \varepsilon \leq |\xi| < 2\varepsilon,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha k(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad |\alpha| \leq S.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

Here (4.3) and (4.4) are Tauberian conditions, while (4.5) states that moment conditions on $k$. We recall the notation

$$k_t(x) := t^{-n}k(t^{-1}x) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm}

For any $a > 0$, $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we denote

$$k_t^{*, a} f(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{-\alpha(y)} |k_t * f(y)|}{(1 + t^{-1} |x - y|)^a}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$  \hspace{1cm}

We are now able to state the so called local mean characterization of $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ spaces.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $\alpha, \frac{1}{q} \in C_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p \in P_0^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $a > \frac{n}{p^n}$ and $\alpha^+ < S + 1$. Then

$$\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}} := \|k_0^{*, a} f\|_{p(\cdot)} + \|k_t^{*, a} t^{\alpha(\cdot)} f\|_{0 < t \leq 1} \|_{p(\cdot)(L^p(\cdot))}$$

is an equivalent quasi-norm on $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$.  \hspace{1cm}
Proof. The idea of the proof is from V. S. Rychkov [18]. The proof is divided into three steps.
Step 1. Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Take any pair of functions \( \varphi_0 \) and \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that
\[
|\mathcal{F}\varphi_0(\xi)| > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\xi| < 2\varepsilon, \\
|\mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi)| > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < |\xi| < 2\varepsilon.
\]
We prove that there is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that for any \( f \in B^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)} \)
\[
\|f\|_{B^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}} \leq c \|\varphi_0^* f\|_{p(\cdot)} + \left\| \phi_j^{*,a} 2^{j\alpha(\cdot)} f \right\|_{L^1(L^{p(\cdot)})}, \tag{4.7}
\]
Let \( \Lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that 
\[
\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\Lambda \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| < 2\varepsilon \}, \quad \text{supp } \mathcal{F}\lambda \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varepsilon/2 < |\xi| < 2\varepsilon \}
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{F}\Lambda(\xi)\mathcal{F}\varphi_0(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}\lambda(2^{-j}\xi)\mathcal{F}\varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
In particular, for any \( f \in B^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)} \) the following identity is true:
\[
f = \Lambda * \varphi_0 * f + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j * \varphi_j * f,
\]
where
\[
\varphi_j := 2^{jn} \varphi(2^j \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j := 2^{jn} \lambda(2^j \cdot), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Hence we can write
\[
k_t * f = k_t * \Lambda * \varphi_0 * f + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k_t * \lambda_j * \varphi_j * f, \quad t \in (0, 1].
\]
Let \( 2^{-i} \leq t \leq 2^{1-i} , i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). First, let \( j \leq i \). Writing for any \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n \)
\[
k_t * \lambda_j(z) = 2^{jn} k_{2^j t} * \lambda(2^j z),
\]
we deduce from Lemma 2.12 that for any \( N > 0 \) there is a constant \( c > 0 \) independent of \( t \) and \( j \) such that
\[
|k_t * \lambda_j(z)| \leq c \left( 2^{jt} \right)^{S+1} \eta_{j,N}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
This together with Lemma 2.1 yield that
\[
t^{-\alpha(y)} |k_t * \lambda_j * \varphi_j * f(y)|,
\]
can be estimated from above by
\[
c 2^{(j-i)(S+1-a^+)} \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{j\alpha(\cdot)} f(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{j,N-c \log(\alpha) - a} (y - z) dz \leq 2^{(j-i)(S+1-a^+)} \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{j\alpha(\cdot)} f(y)
\]
for any \( N > n + a + c \log(\alpha) \) any \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and any \( j \leq i \). Next, let \( j > i \). Then, again by Lemma 2.12 we have for any \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and any \( L > 0 \)
\[
|k_t * \lambda_j(z)| = t^{-n} \left| k * \lambda_{\frac{z}{2t}} \left( \frac{z}{t} \right) \right| \leq c \left( \frac{1}{2^{jt}} \right)^{M+1} \eta_{L}(z),
\]
where an integer $M \geq -1$ is taken arbitrarily large, since $D^{\beta}F\lambda(0) = 0$ for all $\beta$. Hence, again with Lemma 2.1

\[ t^{-\alpha(y)}|k_t * \lambda_j * \varphi_j * f(y)| \leq t^{-\alpha(y)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |k_t * \lambda_j(y - z)| |\varphi_j * f(z)| \, dz \]

\[ \leq 2^{(i-j)(M+1+\alpha^-)} - \alpha^* \cdot a 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_{j,-c_{\log}(\alpha)-a}(y - z) \eta_{L}(y - z) \, dz. \]

We have for any $j > i$

\[ (1 + 2^i |z|)^{c_{\log}(\alpha)+a} \leq 2^{(j-i)(c_{\log}(\alpha)+a)} (1 + 2^i |z|)^{c_{\log}(\alpha)+a}. \]

Then, by taking $L > n + a + c_{\log}(\alpha)$,

\[ t^{-\alpha(y)}|k_t * \lambda_j * \varphi_j * f(y)| \leq 2^{(i-j)(M+1+n+\alpha^- - c_{\log}(\alpha)-a)} \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(y), \]

Let us take $M > c_{\log}(\alpha) - \alpha^- + 2a - n$ to estimate the last expression by

\[ c 2^{(i-j)(a+1)} \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(y), \]

where $c > 0$ is independent of $i, j$ and $f$. Using the fact that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $N > 0$

\[ |k_t * \Lambda(z)| \leq c t^{S+1} \eta_{1,N}(z), \]

we obtain by the similar arguments that for any $2^{-i} \leq t \leq 2^{-i+1}, i \in \mathbb{N}$

\[ \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{-\alpha(y)}|k_t * \Lambda * \varphi_0 * f(y)|}{(1 + t^{-1} |x - y|)^a} \leq C \left( 2^{-(S+1+a^-)} \right) \varphi_0^{*,a} f(x). \]

Further, note that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ all $2^{-i} \leq t \leq 2^{1-i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

\[ \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(y) \leq \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(x)(1 + 2^j |x - y|)^a \]

\[ \leq \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(x) \max(1, 2^{(j-i)a})(1 + 2^i |x - y|)^a. \]

Hence

\[ \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{-\alpha(y)}|k_t * \lambda_j * \varphi_j * f(y)|}{(1 + t^{-1} |x - y|)^a} \leq C \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(x) \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2^{(j-i)(S+1+a^-)} & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ 2^{-j} & \text{if } j > i. \end{array} \right. \]

Therefore for all $f \in B^{(\cdot)}_{p_i}(\cdot,q_i)$, any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $2^{-i} \leq t \leq 2^{1-i}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we get

\[ k_t^{*,a} t^{-\alpha(\cdot)} f(x) \]

\[ \leq 2^{-(S+1+a^-)} \varphi_0^{*,a} f(x) + C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \min \left( 2^{(j-i)(S+1+a^-)}, 2^{-j} \right) \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(x) \]

\[ = C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \min \left( 2^{(j-i)(S+1+a^-)}, 2^{-j} \right) \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{ja(\cdot)} f(x) \]

\[ = C \Psi_i(x). \]
Assume that the right hand side of (4.7) is less than or equal one. We have
\[
\int_0^1 \left\| \frac{k_t^* a f(x)}{t} \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{F})} \frac{dt}{t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-i}}^{2^{1-i}} \left\| \frac{k_t^* a f(x)}{t} \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{F})} \frac{dt}{t} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left\| c\Psi_i \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{F})}^{q_i}
\]
for some positive constant \( c \). The last term on the right hand side is less than or equal one if and only if
\[
\left\| (c_1 \Psi_i)_i \right\|_{\ell^q(L^p(\mathcal{F}))} \leq 1
\]
for some suitable positive constant \( c_1 \), which follows by Lemma 8 of [15] and the fact that \( \alpha^+ < S + 1 \). Also we have for any \( z \in \mathbb{R}^n \), any \( N > 0 \) and any integer \( M \geq -1 \)
\[
|k_0 * \lambda_j(z)| \leq c 2^{-j(M+1)} \eta_j(z) \quad \text{and} \quad |k_0 * \Lambda(z)| \leq c \eta_1(z).
\]
As before, we get for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \)
\[
k_j^* a f(x) \leq C \varphi_j^* a f(x) + C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varphi_j^* a 2^j a f(x). \tag{4.8}
\]
In (4.8) taking the \( L^p(\mathcal{F}) \)-norm and using the embedding \( \ell^q(L^p) \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty(L^p) \) we get
\[
(4.7).
\]
Step 2. Let \( \{ \mathcal{F} \varphi_j \}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) be such that
\[
\text{supp } \mathcal{F} \varphi \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \epsilon/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2\epsilon \}
\]
and
\[
\text{supp } \mathcal{F} \varphi_0 \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq \epsilon 2 \}, \quad \epsilon > 0,
\]
with \( \varphi_j = 2^{jn} \varphi(2^j \cdot), j \in \mathbb{N} \). We will prove that
\[
\left\| \varphi_0 * f \right\|_{\ell^q(\mathcal{F})} + \left\| (2^{jn}(\varphi_j * f))_{j \geq 1} \right\|_{\ell^q(L^p(\mathcal{F}))} \lesssim \left\| f \right\|_{B^a_{p,q}(\mathcal{F})} \tag{4.9}
\]
Let \( \Lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that
\[
\text{supp } \mathcal{F} \Lambda \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| < 2\epsilon \}, \quad \text{supp } \mathcal{F} \lambda \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \epsilon/2 < |\xi| < 2\epsilon \},
\]
\[
\mathcal{F} \Lambda(\xi) \mathcal{F} k_0(\xi) + \int_0^1 \mathcal{F} \lambda(\tau \xi) \mathcal{F} k(\tau \xi) \frac{d\tau}{\tau} = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
In particular, for any \( f \in B^a_{p,q}(\mathcal{F}) \), the following identity is true:
\[
f = \Lambda * k_0 * f + \int_0^1 \lambda_\tau * k_\tau * f \frac{d\tau}{\tau}.
\]
Hence we can write
\[
\varphi_j * f = \int_0^1 \varphi_j * \lambda_\tau * k_\tau * f \frac{d\tau}{\tau} = \int_{2^{-j-2}}^{2^{-j+2}} \varphi_j * \lambda_\tau * k_\tau * f \frac{d\tau}{\tau}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Using the fact that
\[
\max(|k_\tau * \lambda_\tau(z)|, |\varphi_j * \lambda_\tau(z)|) \lesssim \eta_j(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, 2^{-j-2} \leq \tau \leq 2^{-j+2}, j \in \mathbb{N}
\]
and Lemma 2.1 with \( N > 0 \) large enough, we easily obtain
\[
2^{ja(y)} |\varphi_j * \lambda_\tau * k_\tau * f(y)| \lesssim \min(k_\tau^* a \tau^{-a} f(y), \varphi_j * a 2ja(y) f(y))
\]
for any \( y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and any \( 2^{-j+2} \leq \tau \leq 2^{-j-2}, j \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore
\[
2^{j \alpha(y)}|\varphi_j * f(y)| \lesssim (\varphi_j * 2^{j \alpha(y)} f(y))^{1-r} \int_{2^{-j-2}}^{2^{-j+2}} (k_{\tau}^{*a} \tau^{-\alpha(y)} f(y))^{r} \frac{d\tau}{\tau}, \quad 0 < r < 1,
\]
which yields that
\[
\varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{j \alpha(y)} f(x) \lesssim (\varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{j \alpha(y)} f(x))^{1-r} \int_{2^{-j-2}}^{2^{-j+2}} (k_{\tau}^{*a} \tau^{-\alpha(y)} f(x))^{r} \frac{d\tau}{\tau}.
\]
This estimate gives
\[
(\varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{j \alpha(y)} f(x))^{r} \lesssim \int_{2^{-j-2}}^{2^{-j+2}} (k_{\tau}^{*a} \tau^{-\alpha(y)} f(x))^{r} \frac{d\tau}{\tau}
\]
and
\[
2^{j \alpha(x)} |\varphi_j * f(x)|^{r} \lesssim \int_{2^{-j-2}}^{2^{-j+2}} (k_{\tau}^{*a} \tau^{-\alpha(y)} f(x))^{r} \frac{d\tau}{\tau}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]
but if \( \varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{j \alpha(y)} f(x) < \infty \). Using a combination of the arguments used in Lemma 2.8, we get (4.10) for all \( 0 < r < 1, a > 0 \) and all \( f \in B_{p,\alpha}^{\alpha} \). Similarly we obtain
\[
|\varphi_0 * f(x)|^{r} \lesssim (k_0^{*,a} f(x))^{r} + \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{1} (k_{\tau}^{*,a} \tau^{-\alpha(y)} f(x))^{r} \frac{d\tau}{\tau}, \quad 0 < r < 1, a > 0, f \in B_{p,\alpha}^{\alpha}.
\]
Let \( \theta > 0 \) be such that \( \max(1, \frac{1}{2^\theta}) < \theta < \frac{2}{r} \). Hölder’s and Minkowski’s inequalities yield
\[
\|c 2^{j \alpha(y)} (\varphi_j * f)|^{q(y)}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\varphi_j)}^{q(y)} \lesssim \left( \int_{2^{-j-2}}^{2^{-j+2}} \|k_{\tau}^{*,a} \tau^{-\alpha(y)} f\|^{q(y)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right)^{\theta} \lesssim \int_{2^{-j-2}}^{2^{-j+2}} \|k_{\tau}^{*,a} \tau^{-\alpha(y)} f\|^{q(y)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau}.
\]
We obtain
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|c 2^{j \alpha(y)} (\varphi_j * f)|^{q(y)}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\varphi_j)}^{q(y)} \leq 1,
\]
with an appropriate choice of \( c > 0 \) such that the left hand side of (4.11) at most one. Similarly we obtain
\[
\|c \varphi_0 * f|^{q(y)}\|_{\mathcal{E}(\varphi_0)}^{q(y)} \leq 1.
\]
The desired estimate follows by the scaling argument.

**Step 3.** We will prove in this step that for all \( f \in B_{p,\alpha}^{\alpha} \) the following estimates are true:
\[
\|f\|_{B_{p,\alpha}^{\alpha}} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p,\alpha}^{\alpha}} \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p,\alpha}^{\alpha}}.
\]
Let \( \{\mathcal{F}_j \varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \) be a resolution of unity. The first inequality follows by the chain of the estimates
\[
\|f\|_{B_{p,\alpha}^{\alpha}} \lesssim \|\varphi_0^{*,a} f\|_{p(y)} + \left( \|\varphi_j^{*,a} 2^{j \alpha(y)} f\|_{j \geq 1} \|f\|_{L_{p(y)}} \right) \lesssim \|f\|_{B_{p,\alpha}^{\alpha}},
\]
where the first inequality is \([4.7]\), see Step 1 and the second inequality is obvious. Now the second inequality in \([4.11]\) can be obtained by the following chain of the estimates

\[
\|f\|_{B^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}} \lesssim \|\varphi_0 \ast f\|_{p(\cdot)} + \left\| (2^{j\alpha(\cdot)} (\varphi_j \ast f)) \right\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{B^{\alpha(\cdot)}_{p(\cdot),q(\cdot)}},
\]

where the first inequality is obvious and the second inequality is \([4.9]\), see Step 2. Thus, Theorem 4.6 is proved. □
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