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Abstract

We establish finite analogues of the identities known as the Aoki-Ohno relation and the Le-Murakami relation in the theory of multiple zeta values. We use an explicit form of a generating series given by Aoki and Ohno.

1 Introduction and the statement

For an index set of positive integers \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \) with \( k_1 > 1 \), the multiple zeta value \( \zeta(k) \) and the multiple zeta-star value \( \zeta^*(k) \) are defined respectively by the nested series

\[
\zeta(k) = \sum_{m_1 > \cdots > m_r > 0} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}
\]

and

\[
\zeta^*(k) = \sum_{m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_r \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}.
\]

We refer to the sum \( k_1 + \cdots + k_r \), the length \( r \), and the number of components \( k_i \) with \( k_i > 1 \) as the weight, depth, and height of the index \( k \) respectively.

For given \( k \) and \( s \), let \( I_0(k, s) \) be the set of indices \( k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \) with \( k_1 > 1 \) of weight \( k \) and height \( s \). We naturally have \( k \geq 2s \) and \( s \geq 1 \); otherwise \( I_0(k, s) \) is empty.

Aoki and Ohno proved in [1] the identity

\[
\sum_{k \in I_0(k, s)} \zeta^*(k) = 2 \left( \frac{k - 1}{2s - 1} \right) (1 - 2^{1-k}) \zeta(k). \quad (1.1)
\]

On the other hand, for \( \zeta(k) \), the following identity is known as the Le-Murakami relation ([6]): For even \( k \), it holds

\[
\sum_{k \in I_0(k, s)} (-1)^{\text{dep}(k)} \zeta(k) = \frac{(-1)^{k/2}}{(k+1)!} \sum_{r=0}^{k/2-s} \binom{k+1}{2r} (2 - 2^{2r}) B_{2r} \pi^k.
\]
where $B_n$ denotes the Bernoulli number. By Euler, the right-hand side is a rational multiple of the Riemann zeta value $\zeta(k)$.

In this short article, we establish their analogous identities for finite multiple zeta values.

For an index set of positive integers $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r)$, the finite multiple zeta value $\zeta_A(k)$ and the finite multiple zeta-star value $\zeta_{\star A}(k)$ are elements in the quotient ring $A := \left(\prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\right) / \left(\bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\right)$ ($p$ runs over all primes) represented respectively by

$$\left(\sum_{p>m_1>m_2>\cdots>m_r>0} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r} \mod p}\right)_p$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{p>m_1\geq\cdots\geq m_r>0} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r} \mod p}\right)_p.$$

Studies of finite multiple zeta(-star) values go back at least to Hoffman [2] (its preprint was available around 2004) and Zhao [10]. But it was rather recent that Zagier proposed (in 2012 to the first-named author) considering them in the (characteristic 0) ring $A$ ([5], see [3, 4]). In $A$, the naive analogue $\zeta_A(k)$ of the Riemann zeta value $\zeta(k)$ is zero because $\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} 1/n^k$ is congruent to 0 modulo $p$ for all sufficiently large primes $p$. However, the “true” analogue of $\zeta(k)$ in $A$ is considered to be

$$Z(k) := \left(\frac{B_{p-k}}{k}\right)_p.$$

We note that this value is zero when $k$ is even because the odd-indexed Bernoulli numbers are 0 except $B_1$. It is still an open problem whether $Z(k) \neq 0$ for any odd $k \geq 3$.

Now we state our main theorem, where the role of $Z(k)$ as a finite analogue of $\zeta(k)$ is evident.

**Theorem 1.1.** The following identities hold in $A$:

$$\sum_{k \in I_0(k,s)} \zeta_{\star A}(k) = 2\left(\frac{k-1}{2s-1}\right)(1 - 2^{1-k})Z(k), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{k \in I_0(k,s)} (-1)^{\text{dep}(k)}\zeta_A(k) = 2\left(\frac{k-1}{2s-1}\right)(1 - 2^{1-k})Z(k). \quad (1.3)$$

We should note that the right-hand sides are exactly the same. In the next section, we give a proof of the theorem.

**2 Proof**

Let $\text{Li}_{\star k}^r(t)$ be the “non-strict” version of the multiple-polylogarithm:

$$\text{Li}_{\star k}^r(t) = \sum_{m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_r \geq 1} \frac{t^{m_1}}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}.$$
Aoki and Ohno [1] computed the generating function

$$\Phi_0 := \sum_{k,s \geq 1} \left( \sum_{k \in I_0(k,s)} \text{Li}^*_k(t) \right) x^{k-2s}z^{2s-2},$$

and, in view of $\text{Li}^*_k(1) = \zeta^*(k)$ (if $k_1 > 1$), evaluated it at $t = 1$ to obtain the identity (1.1). For our purpose, the function $\text{Li}^*_k(t)$ is useful because the truncated sum

$$\sum_{p>m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_r \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}$$

used to define $\zeta^*_n(k)$ is the sum of the coefficients of $t^i$ in $\text{Li}^*_k(t)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p - 1$. In §3 of [1], they showed

$$\Phi_0 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n t^n,$$

where

$$a_n = \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \frac{A_{n,l}(z)}{x + z - l} + \frac{A_{n,l}(-z)}{x - z - l} \right)$$

and

$$A_{n,l}(z) = (-1)^l \binom{n-1}{l-1} \frac{(z - l + 1) \cdots (z - 1)(z + 1) \cdots (z + n - l - 1)}{(2z - l + 1) \cdots (2z - 1)2z(2z + 1) \cdots (2z + n - l)}.$$
We view the sum on the right as
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{p-l-1} \frac{(l)_n(z)_n}{(2z+1)_n n!} \equiv \frac{F(-p+l, z; 2z+1; 1) - \frac{(l)_{p-l}(z)_{p-l}}{(2z+1)_{p-l}(p-l)!}}{\mod p}. \]

Here, \( F(a; b; c; z) \) is the Gauss hypergeometric series
\[ F(a; b; c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n n!} z^n, \]
where \((a)_n\) for \(n \geq 1\) is as before and \((a)_0 = 1\). Note that if \(a\) (or \(b\)) is a non-positive integer \(-m\), then \(F(a; b; c; z)\) is a polynomial in \(z\) of degree at most \(m\), and the renowned formula of Gauss
\[ F(-m; b; c; 1) = \frac{(c-b)_m}{(c)_m}. \]

Hence
\[ F(-p+l, z; 2z+1; 1) = \frac{(z+1)_{p-l} (2z+1)_{p-l}}{(2z^p-1) (z-l+1)_{p-l}} \equiv \frac{z^{p-1} - 1 (2z - l + 1)_{p-l}}{(2z^p-1) (z-l+1)_{p-l}} \mod p. \]

We also compute
\[ \frac{(l)_{p-l}(z)_{p-l}}{(2z+1)_{p-l}(p-l)!} \equiv (-1)^{l-1} z^{(p^p-1) - 1} (2z - l + 1)_{p-l} \mod p. \]

Since we only need the coefficient of \(z^{2s-2}\), we may work modulo higher power of \(z\) and in particular we may replace \((z^p-1)/((2z^p-1)\) by 1, assuming \(p\) is large enough. Hence we get
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} a_n \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^l}{2z} \left( \frac{1}{x+z-l} - \frac{1}{x-z-l} \right) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(x+z-l)(z-l)} - \frac{1}{(x-z-l)(z+l)} \right) \right\} \mod p. \]

By the binomial expansion, we have
\[ \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^l}{x+z-l} = \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x+z}{l} \right)^m \\
= \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \left( \frac{m}{i} \right) x^{m-i} z^i \\
= \sum_{m \geq 2} \frac{m}{i} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l^{m+1}} \right) x^{m-i} z^i. \]
From this we obtain
\[
\sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^l}{2z} \left( \frac{1}{x+z-l} - \frac{1}{x-z-l} \right) = \sum_{m \geq 2l} \left( m \left( \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l^{m+1}} \right) x^{m-2l-1} \right) z^{2l-1}.
\]
and the coefficient of \( x^{k-2} z^{2s-2} \) in this is (by letting \( i \to s-1 \) and \( m \to k-1 \))
\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{k-1}{2s-1} \right) \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l^k}.
\end{align*}
\]
This is known to be congruent modulo \( p \) to
\[
2 \left( \frac{k-1}{2s-1} \right) (1 - 2^{1-k}) \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}
\]
(see e.g. [11, Theorem 8.2.7]). Concerning the other term
\[
\sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(x+z-l)(z-l)} - \frac{1}{(x-z-l)(z+l)} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{1}{z-l} - \frac{1}{x+z-l} \right) - \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{1}{z+l} + \frac{1}{x-z-l} \right) \right\},
\]
every quantity that appears as coefficients in the expansion into power series in \( x \) and \( z \) is a multiple of the sum of the form \( \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} 1/l^m \), and are all congruent to 0 modulo \( p \). This concludes the proof of (2.1).

We may prove (1.3) in a similar manner by using the generating series of Ohno-Zagier [7], but we reduce (1.3) to (1.2) by showing that the left-hand sides of both formulas are equal up to sign.

Set \( S_{k,s} := \sum_{k \in I_0(k,s)} (-1)^{\text{dep}(k)} \zeta_A(k) \) and \( S_{k,s}^* := \sum_{k \in I_0(k,s)} \zeta_A^*(k) \).

**Lemma 2.1.** \( S_{k,s}^* = (-1)^{k-1} S_{k,s} \).

**Proof.** We use the well-known identity (see for instance [8, Corollary 3.16])
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{r} (-1)^i \zeta_A(k_i, \ldots, k_1) \zeta_A^*(k_{i+1}, \ldots, k_r) = 0. \tag{2.1}
\]
Taking the sum of this over all \( k \in I_0(k, s) \) and separating the terms corresponding to \( i = 0 \) and \( i = r \), we obtain
\[
S_{k,s}^* + \sum_{k' \in I_0(k', s')} \left( \sum_{k'' \in I(k'', s'')} (-1)^{\text{dep}(k'')} \zeta_A^*(k'') \right) \zeta_A(k') = 0.
\]
Here, \( k' \) denotes the reversal of \( k' \), and the set \( I(k'', s'') \) consists of all indices (no restriction on the first component) of weight \( k'' \) and height \( s'' \). We have used \( \zeta_A(k') = (-1)^k \zeta_A(k) \) in computing the last term \( (i = r) \). Since the second sum in the middle is symmetric and hence 0 (by using Hoffman [2, Theorem 4.4] and \( \zeta_A(k) = 0 \) for all \( k \geq 1 \)), we prove the lemma. \( \square \)
Since $Z(k) = 0$ if $k$ is even, we see from Lemma 2.1 that the formula for $S_{k,s}$ is the same as that for $S'_{k,s}$. This concludes the proof of our theorem.

**Remark 2.2.** K. Yaeo [9] proved the lemma in the case $s = 1$ and T. Murakami (unpublished) in general for all odd $k$.
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