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ABSTRACT. Let $G$ be a finite group, $H$ be a normal subgroup of prime index $p$. Let $F$ be a field of either characteristic $0$ or prime to $|G|$. Let $\eta$ be an irreducible $F$-representation of $H$. If $F$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic either $0$ or prime to $|G|$, then the induced representation $\eta \uparrow_{H}^{G}$ is either irreducible or a direct sum of $p$ pairwise inequivalent irreducible representations. In this paper, we show that if $F$ is not assumed algebraically closed field, then there are five possibilities in the decomposition of induced representation into irreducible representations.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group, and $H$ be a normal subgroup of prime index $p$ in $G$. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $0$ or prime to $|G|$ (not necessarily algebraically closed field). This paper is motivated by the problem: “Given an irreducible $F$-representation $\eta$ of $H$, determine the irreducible sub-representations of the induced representation $\eta \uparrow_{H}^{G}$ induced from $\eta$”.

Let $F$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic either $0$ or prime to $|G|$. We denote the set of conjugacy classes in $G$ by $C_{G}$ and the set of irreducible representations of $G$ by $\Omega_{G}$. For an element $g$ in $G$, we denote by $C_{G}(g)$ the $G$-conjugacy class of $g$. Let $H$ be normal subgroup of $G$. If $h$ in $H$, let $C_{H}(h)$ denotes the $H$-conjugacy class of $h$. Then $G$ starts acting on $C_{H}$ by conjugation. For $h \in H$, and $g$ in $G$, the $g$-action on $C_{H}$ maps $C_{H}(h)$ onto $C_{H}(ghg^{-1})$. In fact, $C_{G}(h)$ is a union of the $H$-conjugacy classes $C_{H}(ghg^{-1})$ for all $g$ in $G$. In the $G$-action on $C_{H}$, $H$ itself acts trivially so we have actually a $G/H$-action on $C_{H}$; the $G$-conjugacy leaves the complement $G - H$ of $H$ also invariant, and so $G - H$ is also a union of certain $G$-conjugacy classes. It is well known that, for a finite group $G$, $\Omega_{G}$ and $C_{G}$ contain the same number of elements, and these two sets are dual to each other. When $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, then $G$ also starts acting on $\Omega_{H}$ by conjugation. Let $\eta$ be in $\Omega_{H}$, and $g$ in $G$. Then $g \cdot \eta(h) = \eta(ghg^{-1})$ is also a representation of $H$, which is conjugate representation conjugating by $g$ in $G$. This action of $G$ restricted to $H$ is trivial, so we actually have the action of $G/H$.

The following theorem (see [2], Theorem 13.52) gives decomposition of $\eta \uparrow_{H}^{G}$ into irreducible sub-representations. We call this theorem ‘index-$p$ theorem’.

Theorem 1.1. (Index-$p$ theorem) Let $G$ be a group, $H$ a normal subgroup of index $p$, $p$ a prime. Let $F$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $0$ or prime to $|G|$. Let $\eta$ be an irreducible representation of $H$ over $F$. 
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(1) If the $G/H$-orbit of $\eta$ is a singleton, then $\eta$ extends to $p$ mutually inequivalent representations $\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_p$ of $G$.

(2) If the $G/H$-orbit of $\eta$ consists of $p$ points $\eta = \eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_p$ then the induced representations $\eta_1 \uparrow^G_H, \eta_2 \uparrow^G_H, \ldots, \eta_p \uparrow^G_H$, are equivalent, say $\rho$ and $\rho$ is irreducible.

The following theorem is due to Berman (see [1]), when $F$ is an algebraically closed, which gives us an expression of the primitive central idempotents in $F[G]$ in terms of the primitive central idempotents in $F[H]$.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $G$ be a finite group and $H$ be a normal subgroup of index $p$, a prime. Let $G/H = \langle x \rangle$, for some $x$ in $G$. Let $F$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $0$ or prime to $|G|$. Let $\hat{C}(x)$ be the conjugacy class sum of $x$ in $F[G]$. Let $(\eta, W)$ be an irreducible $F$-representation of $H$ and $e_\eta$ be its corresponding primitive central idempotent in $F[H]$. We distinguish two cases:

(1) If $e_\eta$ is a central idempotent in $F[G]$, then $\eta$ extends to $p$ distinct irreducible representations $\rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{p-1}$ (say) of $G$. It follows that $(\hat{C}(x))^p e_\eta = \lambda e_\eta$, where $\lambda \neq 0$. For each $i$, $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, let $e_{\rho_i}$ be the primitive central idempotent corresponding to the representation $\rho_i$. Then

$$e_{\rho_i} = \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 + \zeta^i c + \zeta^{2i} c^2 + \cdots + \zeta^{(p-1)} c^{p-1} \right) e_\eta,$$

where $c = \frac{\hat{C}(x)e_\eta}{\lambda}$ and $\zeta$ is a primitive $p^{th}$ root of unity in $F$. Moreover,

$$e_\eta = e_{\rho_0} + e_{\rho_1} + \ldots + e_{\rho_{p-1}}.$$

(2) If $e_\eta$ is not a central idempotent in $F[G]$, then $\eta \uparrow^G_H, \eta^x \uparrow^G_H, \ldots, \eta^{x^{p-1}} \uparrow^G_H$ are all equivalent to an irreducible representation $\rho$ (say) of $G$ over $F$ and in this case,

$$e_\rho = e_\eta + e_{\eta^x} + \cdots + e_{\eta^{x^{p-1}}}.$$

**Notations.** We need some notations to state our theorem. Let $G$ be a group, $H$ a normal subgroup of index $p$, $p$ a prime. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic $0$ or prime to $|G|$. Let $\bar{F}$ be the algebraic closure of $F$. Let $\Phi_p(X)$ denotes the $p$-th cyclotomic polynomial over $F$. Let $\zeta_p$ be a primitive $p$-th root of unity in $F$. Let $X^p - 1 = f_0(X)f_1(X) \ldots f_k(X)$ with $f_0(X) = X - 1$ be the factorization into irreducibles over $F$. Let $\eta$ be an irreducible $F$-representation of $H$. Then by Schur’s theory (see [3]) on group representations

$$\eta \otimes_F \bar{F} \cong m(\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_k),$$

where $\eta_i$s are Galois conjugates over $F$ and $m$ is Schur indices of $\eta_i$’s over $F$. One can show that either $\eta_i \not\cong \eta^x_i$, for all $i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ or $\eta_i \cong \eta^x_i$, for all $i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $\eta_i \cong \eta^x_i$, for all $i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, then by Theorem 1.1 each $\eta_i$ extends to $p$ distinct ways. For each $i$, let $\rho_{i,0}, \rho_{i,1}, \ldots, \rho_{i,p-1}$ be the $p$ extensions of $\eta_i$. Let $\chi_{\eta_i}$ be the character corresponding to the representation $\eta_i$. Let $e_{\eta_i}$ be the primitive central idempotent corresponding to $\eta_i$ in $\bar{F}[H]$. Let $e_{\rho_{i,j}}$ be the primitive central idempotent corresponding to $\rho_{i,j}$ in $\bar{F}[G]$. By Theorem 1.2, $(\hat{C}(x))^p e_{\eta_i} = \lambda_i e_{\eta_i}$. 

where \( \lambda_i \) is a nonzero element in \( \bar{F} \). Let \( \mu_i \) be a \( p \)-th root of \( \lambda_i \) in \( F \). By Theorem 1.2 for each \( i \), the primitive central idempotent \( e_{\mu_i} \) is:

\[
e_{\mu_i} = \frac{1}{p} \left\{ 1 + \zeta_p^j \left( \frac{\bar{C}(x)}{\mu_i} \right) + \cdots + \zeta_p^{j(p-1)} \left( \frac{\bar{C}(x)}{\mu_i} \right)^{p-1} \right\} e_{\eta_i},
\]

where \( 0 \leq j \leq p - 1 \).

Let \( \mathcal{G} \) denotes \( \text{Gal}(F(\chi_{\eta_i})/F) \). For \( 1 \leq i, j \leq k \), as \( \eta_i \) and \( \eta_j \) are Galois conjugate over \( F \), there is an element \( \sigma \in \mathcal{G} \) s.t. \( \sigma(\eta_i) = \eta_j \). So

\[
\{\bar{C}(x)\}^{p} e_{\eta_i} = \{\bar{C}(x)\}^{p} \sigma(e_{\eta_i}) = \sigma\{\bar{C}(x)^{p} e_{\eta_i}\} = \sigma(\lambda_i e_{\eta_i}) = \sigma(\lambda_i) e_{\eta_i}.
\]

Therefore, if \( \sigma(e_{\eta_i}) = e_{\eta_j} \), then \( \sigma(\lambda_i) = \lambda_j \), and so there is an element \( \gamma \) in \( \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \) such that \( \gamma(\mu_i) = \mu_j \). So one can conclude that either \( \mu_i \in F \), for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), in this case \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k \) or \( \mu_i \notin F \), for all \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). We use all the above notations to state our theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( G \) be a finite group. Let \( H \) be a normal subgroup of index \( p \), a prime in \( G \). Let \( G/H = \langle \bar{x} \rangle \). Let \( x \) be a lift of \( \bar{x} \) in \( G \). Let \( F \) be a field of characteristic \( 0 \) or prime to \( |G| \). Let \( \bar{F} \) be the algebraic closure of \( F \). Let \( \eta \) be an irreducible \( F \)-representation of \( H \).

\[
\eta \otimes_F \bar{F} \cong m(\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_k),
\]

where \( \eta_i \)'s are Galois conjugates over \( F \) and \( m \) is the Schur indices of \( \eta_i \)'s over \( F \).

1. If \( \eta \not\cong \eta^x \), then \( p \) number of inequivalent \( H \)-representations \( \eta, \eta^x, \ldots, \eta^{x^{p-1}} \) induce the same irreducible representation.
2. If \( \eta \cong \eta^x \) and \( \eta_i \not\cong \eta_i^x \), for all \( i, 1 \leq i \leq k \), then \( \eta \uparrow^G_H \) is direct sum of a single irreducible \( F \)-representation of \( G \).
3. If \( \eta \cong \eta^x \), \( \eta_i \cong \eta_i^x \) and \( \mu_i \notin F \), for all \( i, 1 \leq i \leq k \), then \( \eta \uparrow^G_H \) is direct sum of a single irreducible \( F \)-representation of \( G \).
4. If \( \eta \cong \eta^x \), \( \eta_i \cong \eta_i^x \), \( \mu_i \in F \), for all \( i, 1 \leq i \leq k \) and \( \zeta_p \in F \), then \( \eta \uparrow^G_H \) is direct sum of \( p \) inequivalent irreducible \( F \)-representation of \( G \).
5. If \( \eta \cong \eta^x \), \( \eta_i \cong \eta_i^x \), \( \mu_i \in F \), for all \( i, 1 \leq i \leq k \) and \( \zeta_p \notin F \), then \( \eta \uparrow^G_H \) is direct sum of \( 1 + k \) inequivalent irreducible \( F \)-representation of \( G \).

2. Preliminary Results

We now state the following lemma without proof, which is immediate from the definitions.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( G \) be a finite group and \( H \) be a normal subgroup of \( G \). Let \( F \) be a field of characteristic either \( 0 \) or prime to \( |G| \). Let \( E \) be a Galois extension of \( F \). Let \( \gamma \in \text{Gal}(E/F) \). Let \( \rho \) be an \( E \)-representation of \( H \) and \( \eta \) be an \( F \)-representation of \( H \). Then

\[
(\eta \otimes_F E) \uparrow^G_H \cong (\eta \uparrow^G_H) \otimes_F E \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho^\gamma) \uparrow^G_H \cong (\rho \uparrow^G_H)^\gamma.
\]

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( F \) a field of characteristic \( 0 \) or prime to \( n \). Let \( \Phi_n(X) = f_1(X) \cdots f_k(X) \) be the decomposition of \( \Phi_n(X) \) into irreducible factors over \( F \). Then
Proof. The splitting field of $\Phi_n(X)$ over $F$ is $F(\zeta)$. The Galois group $\text{Gal}(F(\zeta)/F)$, permutes all the roots of $\Phi_n(X)$. The set all the roots of $f_i(X)$ is: $\{\sigma(\zeta) | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(F(\zeta)/F)\}$. So the set of all the roots of $f_i(X)$ is: $\{\zeta^{r_1}, \zeta^{r_2}, \ldots, \zeta^{r_s}\}$, where $r_i$’s are natural numbers with $r_1 = 1$, and the sequence $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_s\}$ is independent of irreducible factors of $\Phi_n(X)$ and any root of $\Phi_n(X)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

3.1. Proof of (1). If $(\eta, W) \not\cong (\eta^x, W^x)$, then all the irreducible representations $\{(\eta^x, W^x)\}_{x=0}^{p-1}$ are pairwise inequivalent. So $(\eta, W)$ is not equivalent to $(\eta^x, W^x)$ for any $s \in G - H$. Hence, by the Mackey irreducibility criterion (see [5], Theorem 45.2) $\eta \uparrow^G_H$ is irreducible and also

$$\eta \uparrow^G_H \cong \eta^x \uparrow^G_H \cong \ldots \cong \eta^{x^{p-1}} \uparrow^G_H.$$ 

This completes the proof of the statement (1).

Suppose that $\eta \cong \eta^x$. Let

$$\eta \otimes_F \bar{\mathcal{F}} \cong m(\eta_1 \oplus \eta_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \eta_k),$$

where $\eta_i$’s are Galois conjugates over $F$ and $m$ is Schur indices of $\eta_i$’s over $F$. By lemma 2.1 one can show that following two cases can arise:

(i) $\eta_i \not\cong \eta_i^x$, for all $i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$;
(ii) $\eta_i \cong \eta_i^x$, for all $i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

3.2. Proof of (2). If $\eta_i \not\cong \eta_i^x$, for all $i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Write

$$\eta \otimes_F \bar{\mathcal{F}} \cong m(\eta_1 \oplus \eta_1^x \oplus \ldots \oplus \eta_1^{x^{p-1}})$$

$$\oplus m(\eta_2 \oplus \eta_2^x \oplus \ldots \oplus \eta_2^{x^{p-1}})$$

$$\oplus \ldots \oplus \ldots \oplus$$

$$\oplus m(\eta_k \oplus \eta_k^x \oplus \ldots \oplus \eta_k^{x^{p-1}}).$$

Note that $lp = k$. By Lemma 2.1

$$(\eta \uparrow^G_H) \otimes_F \bar{\mathcal{F}} \cong (\eta \otimes_F \bar{\mathcal{F}}) \uparrow^G_H \cong mp(\eta_1 \uparrow^G_H \oplus \eta_2 \uparrow^G_H \oplus \ldots \oplus \eta_k \uparrow^G_H).$$

Notice that $\eta_1 \uparrow^G_H, \eta_2 \uparrow^G_H, \ldots, \eta_k \uparrow^G_H$ are Galois conjugate over $F$. So, $\eta \uparrow^G_H$ is equivalent to direct sum of a single irreducible $F$-representation. This completes the proof of the statement (2).
If \( \eta_i \cong \eta_i^x \), for all \( i, 1 \leq i \leq k \), then each \( \eta_i \) extends to \( p \) distinct ways. For each \( i \), let \( \rho_{i,o}, \rho_{i,1}, \ldots, \rho_{i,p-1} \) be the \( p \) extensions of \( \eta_i \). Let \( \chi_{\eta_i} \) be the character corresponding to the representation \( \eta_i \). Let \( e_{\eta_i} \) be the primitive central idempotent corresponding to \( \eta_i \) in \( \overline{F}[G] \). Let \( e_{\rho_{i,j}} \) be the primitive central idempotent corresponding to \( \rho_{i,j} \) in \( \overline{F}[G] \). By Berman’s theorem (see Theorem 1.2), \( (\overline{C}(x))^p e_{\eta_i} = \lambda_i e_{\eta_i} \), where \( \lambda_i \) is a nonzero element in \( \overline{F} \). Let \( \mu_i \) be a \( p \)-th root of \( \lambda_i \) in \( \overline{F} \). By Berman’s theorem (see Theorem 1.2), for a fixed \( i \), the primitive central idempotent corresponding to \( \rho_{i,j} \) is:

\[
e_{\rho_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 + \zeta_p^j \left( \frac{\overline{C}(x)}{\mu_i} \right) + \cdots + \zeta_p^{j(p-1)} \left( \frac{\overline{C}(x)^{p-1}}{\mu_i} \right) \right) e_{\eta_i},
\]

where \( 0 \leq j \leq p - 1 \).

### 3.3. Proof of (3)

If \( \mu_i \not\in F \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). In this case, \( \rho_{i,j} \)'s are Galois conjugate over \( F \). So the induced representation \( \eta \uparrow_{H}^{G} \) is direct sum of single irreducible \( F \)-representation. This completes the proof of the statement (3).

### 3.4. Proof of (4)

If \( \mu_i \in F \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). In this case, \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k = \mu \) (say). If \( \mu \in F, \zeta_p \in F \), then the induced representation \( \eta \uparrow_{H}^{G} \) is direct sum of \( p \) irreducible \( F \)-representations. Let \( \psi_j, j = 0, 2, \ldots, p - 1 \) be the \( p \) irreducible \( F \)-representations. Then

\[
\psi_j = m_j (\rho_{1,j} \oplus \rho_{2,j} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{k,j}),
\]

where \( 0 \leq j \leq p - 1 \), and \( m_j \) is the Schur index of each \( \rho_{i,j} \). So,

\[
\eta \uparrow_{H}^{G} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p-1} (m/m_j) \psi_j.
\]

This completes the proof of the statement (4).

### 3.5. Proof of (5)

If \( \mu_i \in F \), for \( 1 \leq i \leq k \). In this case, \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k = \mu \) (say). Assume that \( \mu \in F, \zeta_p \not\in F \). As \( \mu \in F \), then \( \rho_{1,o}, \rho_{2,o}, \ldots, \rho_{k,o} \) are Galois conjugate over \( F \), and the Schur index is equal to \( m \). So, \( \eta \) extends to an irreducible \( F \)-representation \( m(\rho_{1,o} \oplus \rho_{2,o} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{k,o}) \), say \( \rho \). Then

\[
\eta \uparrow_{H}^{G} = F[G/H] \otimes_{F} \rho.
\]

Let \( \Phi_p(X) = f_1(X) f_2(X) \cdots f_k(X) \) be the factorization into irreducible polynomials over \( F \). Then \( X^p - 1 = (X - 1) f_1(X) f_2(X) \cdots f_k(X) \) be the factorization into irreducibles over \( F \). So \( F[G/H] \approx F[X]/(X - 1) \oplus_{i=1}^{k} F[X]/(f_i(X)) \). In this case, \( \eta \uparrow_{H}^{G} \) is direct sum of \( 1 + k \) many distinct irreducible \( F \)-representations of \( G \). This completes the proof of the statement (5).
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