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Introduction

The employing of non self-adjoint operators for the description of experimentally observable data goes back to the early days of quantum mechanics. In the past twenty years, the steady interest in this subject grew considerably after it has been discovered numerically \[12\] and then rigorously proved \[16\] that the spectrum of the manifestly non self-adjoint Hamiltonian

\[
H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2(ix)^\epsilon, \quad 0 \leq \epsilon < 2
\]

is real. It was conjectured \[12\] that the reality of eigenvalues of \(H\) is a consequence of its \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetry: \(\mathcal{PT}H = H\mathcal{PT}\), where the space reflection (parity) operator \(\mathcal{P}\) and the complex conjugation operator \(\mathcal{T}\) are defined as follows: \((\mathcal{P}f)(x) = f(-x)\) and \((\mathcal{T}f)(x) = \overline{f(x)}\). This gave rise to a consistent complex extension of conventional quantum mechanics into \(\mathcal{PT}\) quantum mechanics (PTQM), see \[6, 7, 13, 14\] and the references therein.

Usually, non self-adjoint Hamiltonians of PTQM can be interpreted as self-adjoint ones for a suitable choice of indefinite inner product. For instance, the operator \(H\) in (I.1) is self-adjoint with respect to the indefinite inner product \([f, g] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(-x) \overline{g(x)} dx\) in the Krein space \((\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}), [, ]\)) (see Section \[1\] for the definition of Krein spaces). The eigenstates of \(H\) lose the property of being Riesz basis in the original Hilbert space \(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})\) but they still form a complete set in \(\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})\). Moreover, they form an orthonormal sequence in the Krein space \((\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}), [, ]\)).

Such kind of phenomenon is typical for \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric Hamiltonians and it gives rise to a natural problem: *What can we say about properties of vectors \(\{\phi_n\}\) which are a complete set in a Hilbert space \((\mathcal{H}, < , >)\) and, additionally, are orthonormal with respect to the indefinite inner product: \(|[\phi_n, \phi_m]| = 1|\)?

The main objective of the paper is to investigate this problem with the use of theory of generalized Riesz systems (GRS) and \(\mathcal{G}\)-quasi bases. These two concepts were originally introduced in \[20\] and \[4\], respectively and then analyzed in a series of papers, see, e.g. \[5, 8, 9, 19\]. The main motivation for introducing GRS and \(\mathcal{G}\)-quasi bases was the need to put on a mathematically rigorous ground several physical models where the eigenstates \(\{\phi_n\}\) of some non self-adjoint operator were usually claimed to be bases, while they were not.

In the present paper we focus on an important particular case (which fits well the specific of \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric Hamiltonians) where \(\{\phi_n\}\) is an orthonormal sequence in a Krein space and we combine the GRS-related approach with Krein spaces based methods \[11, 21, 22, 24\]. Such kind of synergy allows one to have the capability to provide some deeper insights into the structural

\[1\] orthonormal with respect to the indefinite inner product \([, ]\)
subtleties of $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetric Hamiltonians.

The paper is structured as follows. Section II contains preliminaries. In particular, we recall the definition of Krein spaces: let $J$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space ($\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) such that $J^2 = I$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with the indefinite inner product $\langle [\cdot, \cdot] := \langle J \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called a Krein space ($\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot]$). The example of the Krein space ($L^2(\mathbb{R}), [\cdot, \cdot]$) considered above corresponds to the case where $J = \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

In Section III we present some facts related to GRS and $G$-quasi bases. We slightly change the original definition of GRS given in [20] putting in evidence the role of a self-adjoint operator $Q$, since it is more convenient for our purpose: a sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called a generalized Riesz system (GRS) if there exists a self-adjoint operator $Q$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and an orthonormal basis (ONB) $\{e_n\}$ such that $e_n \in D(e^{Q/2}) \cap D(e^{-Q/2})$ and $\phi_n = e^{Q/2} e_n$.

For each GRS $\{\phi_n\}$, the dual GRS is determined by the formula $\{\psi_n = e^{-Q/2} e_n\}$. Obviously, $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are biorthogonal sequences. Dual GRS are Riesz bases if and only if $Q$ is a bounded operator. In this case, the operator $Q$ and the ONB $\{e_n\}$ are determined uniquely. This property does not hold for general dual GRS.

In Subsection III.3 the phenomenon of nonuniqueness of self-adjoint operators $Q$ in the formulas

$$\phi_n = e^{Q/2} e_n, \quad \psi_n = e^{-Q/2} e_n$$

(I.2)

is investigated in the case of regular biorthogonal sequences $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$. We firstly give a simple proof of the fact that such sequences are dual GRS (this result was originally established in [19]). This implies that they can be presented as (I.2) for some choice of a self-adjoint operator $Q$. Then we describe the set of all unbounded self-adjoint operators $Q$ which can appear in (I.2). In what follows we call such $Q$ as admissible operators.

Section IV contains the main results. We begin with the simple fact that each complete sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ which is orthonormal in a Krein space ($\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot]$) is a GRS and therefore, it can be expressed by (I.2) for some choice of $Q$.

If $\{\phi_n\}$ is a Riesz basis, then $Q$ is uniquely determined and it satisfies the additional anticommutation relation $JQ = -QJ$. As already stressed, in contrast to Riesz bases, GRS’s are characterized by the nonunique choice of admissible unbounded operator $Q$ in (I.2). By analogy with the Riesz bases case, it looks reasonable to choose an admissible $Q$ which anti-commutes with $J$. The benefits of such a choice are discussed in detail in Subsection IV.2. In particular, for the cases where the sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ is tame or wild in the sense of Davies, [15], we describe the linear manifold $\mathcal{D}_{un}$ of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of $f \in \mathcal{H}$ for which the series $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n [f, \phi_n] \phi_n$ ($\delta_n = \text{sign}([\phi_n, \phi_n])$) converges unconditionally in ($\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$).
Formulas (I.2) can be useful for the evaluation of norms of one-dimensional spectral projections corresponding to non self-adjoint Hamiltonians [18, 25]. To clarify this point we consider a non self-adjoint Hamiltonian \( H \) assuming that its spectrum consists of isolated simple eigenvalues and the corresponding set of eigenstates \( \{ \phi_n \} \) is complete in \( \mathcal{H} \). Assume also that \( H \) is symmetric in a Krein space \( (\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot]) \) (i.e., \( [Hf, g] = [f, Hg], f, g \in D(H) \)). Then \( [\phi_n, \phi_m] = 0 \) for \( n \neq m \) and, without loss of generality, we can suppose that the sequence \( \{ \phi_n \} \) is orthonormal with respect to \( [\cdot, \cdot] \). In this case, the biorthogonal sequence \( \{ \psi_n \} \) is determined by (IV.1) below and the spectral projection \( P_n \) associated with the \( n \)-th eigenvalue of \( H \) has the form

\[
P_n u = < u, \psi_n > \phi_n.
\]

Using again (IV.1), we get \( \| P_n \| = \| \phi_n \| \| \psi_n \| = \| \phi_n \|^2 \). The biorthogonal sequences \( \{ \phi_n \} \) and \( \{ \psi_n \} \) are defined by (I.2). Choosing in (I.2) an admissible self-adjoint operator \( Q \) which anticommutes with \( J \) we obtain

\[
\| P_n \| = \| \phi_n \|^2 = \| e^{Q/2} e_n \|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda} d\| E_\lambda e_n \|^2 = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \cosh \lambda d\| E_\lambda e_n \|^2,
\]

where \( E_\lambda \) is the spectral function of \( Q \) which satisfies the relation \( JE_{\delta} = E_{-\delta}J \) for an arbitrary Borel set \( \delta \) of \( \mathbb{R} \) [26]. In view of (1.3), the asymptotic of norms \( \| P_n \| \) depend on the behavior of \( \| E_\lambda e_n \| \) when \( n \to \infty \).

Examples of non self-adjoint Hamiltonians having complete sets of eigenstates \( \{ \phi_n \} \) orthonormal in a Krein space are given in Section V. In particular, we find the unbounded self-adjoint operator \( Q \) in (I.2) for eigenvectors of the shifted harmonic oscillator. The calculation of \( Q \) for the complex oscillator (II.1) is still an open problem, for \( \epsilon \neq 0 \).

In what follows, \( \mathcal{H} \) means a complex Hilbert space with inner product linear in the first argument. Sometimes, it is useful to specify the inner product \( < \cdot, \cdot > \) associated with \( \mathcal{H} \). In that case the notation \( (\mathcal{H}, < \cdot, \cdot >) \) has already been used, and will be used in the following. All operators in \( \mathcal{H} \) are supposed to be linear, the identity operator is denoted by \( I \). The symbols \( D(A) \) and \( R(A) \) denote the domain and the range of a linear operator \( A \).

**II Preliminary results**

Let \( G \) be a positive\(^2\), invertible, self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \). We do not suppose that \( G \) or \( G^{-1} \) are bounded. Hence, the inner product \( \langle G, \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) need not be equivalent to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and the

\(^2\) the positivity of \( G \) means that \( \langle Gf, f \rangle \) is positive for non-zero \( f \in D(G) \).
linear space $D(G)$ endowed with $< G,\cdot,\cdot >$ is only a pre-Hilbert space. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_G$ the completion of $D(G)$ with respect to $< G,\cdot,\cdot >$. The Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}_G, < G,\cdot,\cdot >)$ differs from $(\mathcal{H}, < \cdot,\cdot >)$ (as the sets of elements). However, as it is easy to see that $D(\sqrt{G}) \subset \mathcal{H}_G$.

Similarly, one can define another Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}_{G^{-1}}, < G^{-1},\cdot,\cdot >)$ as the completion of $D(G^{-1})$ with respect to $< G^{-1},\cdot,\cdot >$. Hence $D(\sqrt{G^{-1}}) \subset \mathcal{H}_{G^{-1}}$.

**Lemma II.1** Let $\{e_n\}$, $e_n \in D(\sqrt{G^{-1}})\cap D(\sqrt{G})$, be a complete set in $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\{\phi_n = \sqrt{G^{-1}}e_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n = \sqrt{G}e_n\}$ are complete sets in the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_G$ and $\mathcal{H}_{G^{-1}}$, respectively.

**Proof** – First of all we note that
\[
\|f\|^2_{[G]} = < Gf, f > = \|\sqrt{G}f\|^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in D(G).
\] (II.1)

Therefore, $\{f_n\}$ ($f_n \in D(G)$) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{H}_G$ if and only if $\{\sqrt{G}f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{H}$.

By construction, vectors $\phi_n$ are in $R(\sqrt{G^{-1}}) = D(\sqrt{G})$. Hence, $\phi_n \in \mathcal{H}_G$. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{H}_G$ is orthogonal to $\{\phi_n\}$ and consider a sequence $\{f_m\}$ ($f_m \in D(G)$) such that $f_m \to f \in \mathcal{H}_G$ (wrt. $\|\cdot\|_{[G]}$). Due to (II.1), $\sqrt{G}f_m$ converges to some $f^{[G]} \in \mathcal{H}$ (wrt. $\|\cdot\|$). Therefore,
\[
0 = < f, \phi_n > = \lim_{m \to \infty} < f_m, \sqrt{G^{-1}}e_n > = \lim_{m \to \infty} < \sqrt{G}f_m, e_n > = < f^{[G]}, e_n >.
\]

Therefore, $f^{[G]} = 0$ and, then, $f = 0$. The last relation means that $\{\phi_n\}$ is complete in $\mathcal{H}_G$.

Completeness of $\{\psi_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{G^{-1}}$ is established in a similar manner. 

An operator $J$ is called fundamental symmetry in a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, < \cdot,\cdot >)$ if $J$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ and $J^2 = I$.

Let $J$ be a non-trivial fundamental symmetry, i.e., $J \neq \pm I$. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with the indefinite inner product $[f, g] := < Jf, g >$ ($f, g \in \mathcal{H}$) is called a Krein space $(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot,\cdot])$.

The principal difference between the initial inner product $< \cdot,\cdot >$ and the indefinite inner product $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is that there exist nonzero elements $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $[f, f] < 0$. An element $f \neq 0$ is called positive or negative if $[f, f] > 0$ or $[f, f] < 0$, respectively. A closed subspace $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is called positive or negative if all nonzero elements $f \in \mathcal{L}$ are, respectively, positive or negative. In each of these classes we can define maximal subspaces. For instance, a positive subspace $\mathcal{L}$ is called maximal positive if $\mathcal{L}$ is not a proper subspace of a positive subspace in $\mathcal{H}$. The concept of maximal negative subspaces is defined similarly.

The fundamental symmetry $J$ determines a fundamental decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$:
\[
\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ [\oplus] \mathcal{H}_-, \quad \mathcal{H}_\pm = (I \pm J)\mathcal{H}
\] (II.2)

\[\text{The symbol} [\oplus] \text{in (II.2) means the orthogonality of} \mathcal{H}_\pm \text{with respect to} [\cdot,\cdot] \text{and} < \cdot,\cdot >.\]
Here, $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ are positive/negative subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ which are orthogonal both with respect to indefinite inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and inner product $<\cdot, \cdot>$.

## III Generalized Riesz systems in Hilbert spaces

Let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a Riesz basis in $\mathcal{H}$. Then there exists a bounded and boundedly invertible operator $R$ such that $\phi_n = Re_n$, where $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis (ONB) of $\mathcal{H}$. The corresponding operator $R^*R$ is positive and self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}$ and it admits the presentation $R^*R = eQ$, where $Q$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator. The polar decomposition of $R$ has the form $R = U|R| = Ue^{Q/2}$, where $U$ is a unitary operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which commutes with $|R| = \sqrt{R^*R} = e^{Q/2}$. For this reason, an equivalent definition of Riesz bases is as follows:

**Definition 1** A sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ is called a Riesz basis if there exists a bounded self-adjoint operator $Q$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and an ONB $\{e_n\}$ such that $\phi_n = e^{Q/2}e_n$.

The pair $(Q, \{e_n\})$ is determined uniquely by $\{\phi_n\}$. In other words, given $\{\phi_n\}$, it is impossible to find two different pairs $(Q, \{e_n\})$ and $(Q', \{e'_n\})$ such that $\phi_n = e^{Q/2}e_n = e^{Q'/2}e'_n$. Clearly, the biorthogonal Riesz basis has the form $\{\psi_n = e^{-Q/2}e_n\}$. Hence $e^{-Q}\phi_n = \psi_n$. This relation uniquely determines the positive and bounded operator $G = e^{-Q}$ and the operator $Q = -\ln(G)$. Therefore, because of the equality $e_n = e^{-Q/2}\phi_n$, the ONB $\{e_n\}$ is also determined uniquely.

We will use these simple observations as a starting point for our generalizations.

### III.1 Generalized Riesz systems

**Definition 2** A sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ is called a generalized Riesz system (GRS) if there exists a self-adjoint operator $Q$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and an ONB $\{e_n\}$ such that $e_n \in D(e^{Q/2}) \cap D(e^{-Q/2})$ and $\phi_n = e^{Q/2}e_n$.

In contrast to the case of Riesz bases we do not suppose that $Q$ is a bounded operator.

**Remark III.1** Notice that, since $e_n \in D(e^{Q/2}) \cap D(e^{-Q/2})$, this intersection is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

For each GRS $\{\phi_n\}$ there exists a biorthogonal sequence $\{\psi_n = e^{-Q/2}e_n\}$. Obviously, $\{\psi_n\}$ is also a GRS. We will call such GRS as the dual GRS. Dual GRS are Riesz bases if and only if $Q$ is a bounded operator.
Example 1:– A first simple example of GRS can be extracted from [8]: if we take \( Q = -\frac{x^2}{2} \), \( x \) being the position operator, it is clear that \( D(e^{Q/2}) = \mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), while
\[
D(e^{-Q/2}) = D(e^{x^2/4}) = \left\{ f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : e^{x^2/4}f(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.
\]
This set is dense in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), since contains each eigenfunction of the quantum harmonic oscillator
\[
e_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} H_n(x) e^{-x^2/2}, \quad H_n(x) = e^{x^2/2} \left( x - \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n e^{-x^2/2}.
\] (III.1)
The Hermite functions \( \{e_n(x)\} \) form an orthonormal basis of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \).

Following [8], we have
\[
\phi_n(x) = e^{Q/2} e_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} H_n(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}}, \quad \psi_n(x) = e^{-Q/2} e_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}}} H_n(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{4}},
\]
and both \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are GRS, one the dual of the other. Of course, due to the unboundedness of \( Q \), they are not biorthogonal Riesz bases.

Example 2:– A rather general (and abstract) example of dual GRS can be constructed as follows: let \( H \) be an unbounded self-adjoint Hamiltonian, with eigenvalues \( \{\lambda_n > 0, n \geq 1\} \) and corresponding eigenvectors \( e_n \). Let the set \( \{e_n, n \geq 1\} \) be an ONB in \( \mathcal{H} \): \( H e_n = \lambda_n e_n \). Of course, \( H \) is densely defined. We assume that \( \lambda_n \to \infty \) when \( n \to \infty \). Let us now consider the densely defined operator \( Q = \ln(H^2) \). Its domain contains \( \text{span}\{e_n\} : Q e_n = 2 \ln(\lambda_n) e_n \). Hence
\[
\phi_n = e^{Q/2} e_n = \lambda_n e_n, \quad \psi_n = e^{-Q/2} e_n = \lambda_n^{-1} e_n.
\]
It is clear that \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are dual GRS.

In general, the inner product
\[
\langle f, g \rangle_{-Q} := \langle e^{-Q} f, g \rangle = \langle e^{-Q/2} f, e^{-Q/2} g \rangle, \quad f, g \in D(e^{-Q}) \quad (III.2)
\]
need not be equivalent to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and the linear space \( D(e^{-Q}) \) endowed with \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-Q} \) is only a pre-Hilbert space. Denote by \( \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \) the completion of \( D(e^{-Q}) \) with respect to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{-Q} \). The Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \) coincides with the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_G \) defined in Section [\text{III}] with \( G = e^{-Q} \). Analogously, \( \mathcal{H}_{G^{-1}} \) coincides with the Hilbert space \( (\mathcal{H}_Q, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q) \), which is the completion of \( D(G^{-1}) = D(e^{Q}) \) with respect to the inner product
\[
\langle f, g \rangle_Q := \langle e^{Q} f, g \rangle = \langle e^{Q/2} f, e^{Q/2} g \rangle, \quad f, g \in D(e^{Q}). \quad (III.3)
\]
Proposition III.1 Every dual GRS \( \{ \phi_n = e^{Q/2}e_n \} \) and \( \{ \psi_n = e^{-Q/2}e_n \} \) are ONB of the Hilbert spaces \((\mathcal{H}_{-Q}, < \cdot, \cdot >_-Q)\) and \((\mathcal{H}_Q, < \cdot, \cdot >_Q)\), respectively.

Proof – Due to (III.2), the sequence \( \{ \phi_n = e^{Q/2}e_n \} \) is orthonormal in \( \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \). Its completeness follows from Lemma II.1 with \( \sqrt{G^{-1}} = e^{Q/2} \). The case \( \{ \psi_n \} \) is considered similarly with the use of (III.3). \( \square \)

Let \( \{ \lambda_n \} \) be a sequence of complex numbers, \( \{ \phi_n \} \) and \( \{ \psi_n \} \) dual GRS. Then we can define two operators \( H_{\phi,\psi} \) and \( H_{\psi,\phi} \) as follows:

\[
D(H_{\phi,\psi}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle f, \psi_n \rangle \phi_n \text{ exists in } \mathcal{H} \right\},
\]

\[
D(H_{\psi,\phi}) = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle g, \phi_n \rangle \psi_n \text{ exists in } \mathcal{H} \right\},
\]

and

\[
H_{\phi,\psi} f := \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n \otimes \bar{\psi}_n \right) f := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle f, \psi_n \rangle \phi_n,
\]

\[
H_{\psi,\phi} g := \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \psi_n \otimes \bar{\phi}_n \right) g := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle g, \phi_n \rangle \psi_n,
\]

for all \( f \in D(H_{\phi,\psi}) \) and \( g \in D(H_{\psi,\phi}) \). Of course, the domain of \( H_{\phi,\psi} \) includes \( \text{span}\{\phi_n\} \) since

\[
H_{\phi,\psi} f = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \lambda_n c_n \phi_n \quad \text{for all} \quad f = \sum_{n=1}^{k} c_n \phi_n \in \text{span}\{\phi_n\}.
\]

Analogously, \( \text{span}\{\psi_n\} \) is contained in \( D(H_{\psi,\phi}) \). These operators can be interpreted as manifestly non self-adjoint Hamiltonians with known eigenvalues and eigenvectors, arising out of dual GRS. We refer to [8] for the analysis of ladder operators associated to similar biorthogonal sets, and how and if these ladder operators can be used to factorize the Hamiltonians above.

Corollary III.1 If the set of eigenfunctions \( \{ \phi_n \} \) of the operator \( H_{\phi,\psi} \) is a GRS and all eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) are real, then \( H_{\phi,\psi} \) is essentially self-adjoint in \((\mathcal{H}_{-Q}, < \cdot, \cdot >_-Q)\).

Proof – Immediately follows from Proposition III.1. \( \square \)

We refer to [9] for the connection between \( H_{\phi,\psi} \) and the adjoint of \( H_{\psi,\phi} \), since this aspect is not relevant in what we are interested in, here.
### III.2 Dual GRS and $G$-quasi bases

Dual GRS $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ can be considered as examples of more general object: $G$-quasi bases. These are biorthogonal sets originally introduced in [4], and then analyzed in a series of papers (see [6] for a relatively recent review). The main motivation for introducing $G$-quasi bases was the need to put on a mathematically rigorous ground several physical models arising in PTQM, where the eigenstates of some non self-adjoint operator were usually claimed to be bases, while they were not. We again refer to [6] for more on this aspect.

**Definition 3** Let $G$ be a densely defined linear manifold in $\mathcal{H}$. Biorthogonal sequences $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are called $G$-quasi bases, if for all $f, g \in G$, the following holds:

$$<f, g> = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} <f, \phi_n><\psi_n, g> = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} <f, \psi_n><\phi_n, g>.$$  \hfill (III.4)

**Proposition III.2** Dual GRS $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are $G$-quasi bases with $G = D(e^{Q/2}) \cap D(e^{-Q/2})$.

**Proof** – If $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are dual GRS, then there exists a self-adjoint operator $Q$ and ONB $\{e_n\}$ such that $\phi_n = e^{Q/2}e_n$ and $\psi_n = e^{-Q/2}e_n$. Hence, $e_n = e^{-Q/2}\phi_n = e^{Q/2}\psi_n$ and, for all $f, g \in G = D(e^{Q/2}) \cap D(e^{-Q/2})$,

$$e^{Q/2}f = \sum <e^{Q/2}f, e_n> e_n = \sum <f, \phi_n> e_n$$

and

$$e^{-Q/2}g = \sum <e^{-Q/2}g, e_n> e_n = \sum <g, \psi_n> e_n.$$  

The last relations yield

$$<f, g> = <e^{Q/2}f, e^{-Q/2}g> = \sum <f, \phi_n><\psi_n, g>.$$  

Similarly, $<f, g> = <e^{-Q/2}f, e^{Q/2}g> = \sum <f, \psi_n><\phi_n, g>$. \hfill \(\Box\)

The reverse implication (when $G$-quasi bases are dual GRS?), will be discussed in Section III.3.

**Remark III.2** Proposition III.2 implies that Example 1 above of GRS provides also an example of $G$-quasi bases, with $G = D(e^{x^2/4})$, in agreement with what found in [8].
III.3 Regular biorthogonal sequences and dual GRS

Following [19] we say that biorthogonal sequences \( \{ \phi_n \} \) and \( \{ \psi_n \} \) are regular if \( \{ \phi_n \} \) and \( \{ \psi_n \} \) are complete sets in \( \mathcal{H} \). In other words, a biorthogonal sequence \( \{ \psi_n \} \) is defined uniquely by \( \{ \phi_n \} \) and vice-versa.

**Theorem III.1** Regular biorthogonal sequences \( \{ \phi_n \} \) and \( \{ \psi_n \} \) are dual GRS.

**Proof** – Let \( \{ \phi_n \} \) and \( \{ \psi_n \} \) be regular biorthogonal sequences. Then an operator \( G_0 \) defined initially as

\[
G_0 \phi_n = \psi_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\]  

and extended on \( D(G_0) = \text{span}\{ \phi_n \} \) by the linearity is densely defined and positive. The later follows from the fact that

\[
< G_0 f, f > = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \sum_{m=1}^{k} c_n \overline{c}_m < \psi_n, \phi_m > = \sum_{n=1}^{k} |c_n|^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad f = \sum_{n=1}^{k} c_n \phi_n \in D(G_0).
\]

Let \( G \) be the Friedrichs extension of \( G_0 \). The operator \( G \) should be positive. Indeed, assuming that \( Gg = 0 \) for \( g \in D(G) \) we obtain \( 0 = < Gg, \phi_n > = < g, G\phi_n > = < g, \psi_n > \). Therefore, \( g = 0 \) since \( \{ \psi_n \} \) is a complete set in \( \mathcal{H} \). The positivity of \( G \) allows one to state that \( G = e^{-Q} \), where \( Q \) is a self-adjoint operator in \( \mathcal{H} \), often called admissible. Denote \( e_n = e^{-Q/2} \phi_n \).

Due to (III.5), \( e_n = e^{Q/2} \psi_n \). Therefore, \( e_n \in D(e^{Q/2}) \cap D(e^{-Q/2}) \) and

\[
\phi_n = e^{Q/2} e_n, \quad \psi_n = e^{-Q/2} e_n.
\]  

(III.6)

The sequence \( \{ e_n \} \) is orthonormal in \( \mathcal{H} \) since

\[
< e_n, e_m > = < e^{-Q/2} \phi_n, e^{Q/2} \psi_m > = < \phi_n, \psi_m > = \delta_{nm}.
\]

Let us show that \( \{ e_n \} \) is complete in \( \mathcal{H} \). To that end, first of all we mention that the set \( D(G_0) \) is dense in the Hilbert space \( (\mathcal{H}_-Q, < \cdot, \cdot >_Q) \) (since we choose the Friedrichs extension \( G = e^{-Q} \) of \( G_0 \)). Hence, \( \{ \phi_n \} \) is a complete set in \( \mathcal{H}_-Q \).

It follows from (II.1) (with \( G = e^{-Q} \)) that

\[
\| f \|_{-Q}^2 = < e^{-Q} f, f > = \| e^{-Q/2} f \|_2^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in D(e^{-Q}).
\]  

(III.7)

Since \( e^{-Q/2} D(e^{-Q}) \) is a dense set in \( \mathcal{H} \), each vector \( \gamma \in \mathcal{H} \) can be approximated by elements \( e^{-Q/2} f \) (\( f \in D(e^{-Q}) \)). Precisely, there exists a sequence \( \{ f_m \} \) (\( f_m \in D(e^{-Q}) \)) such that
\[ e^{-Q/2}f_m \to \gamma \text{ in } \mathcal{H}. \]  In this case, due to (III.7), \( \{f_m\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \) and therefore, \( f_m \) tends to some \( f \in \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \). After such preliminary work, we obtain

\[
\langle \gamma, e_n \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle e^{-Q/2}f_m, e_n \rangle = \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle f_m, \phi_n \rangle_{-Q} = \langle f, \phi_n \rangle_{-Q}.
\]

If \( \gamma \) is orthogonal to \( \{e_n\} \) in \( \mathcal{H} \), then the vector \( f \) must be orthogonal to \( \{\phi_n\} \) in \( \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \). Therefore, \( f = 0 \) (since \( \{\phi_n\} \) is complete in \( \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \)) and \( \gamma = 0 \). Hence, \( \{e_n\} \) is complete in \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \{e_n\} \) is an ONB of \( \mathcal{H} \). This fact and (III.6) complete the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark III.3** Another proof of Theorem (III.1) can be found in [19, Theorem 2.1].

**Remark III.4** The choice of Friedrichs extension \( G = e^{-Q} \) of \( G_0 \) in the proof above is related to the fact that \( D(G_0) \) must be dense in the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_G = \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \) (this is necessary for the completeness of \( \{e_n\} \) in \( \mathcal{H} \)). Generally, there are many self-adjoint extensions \( G \) of \( G_0 \) which preserve this property and each of them can be used instead of the Friedrichs extension.

**Remark III.5** Theorem (III.1) can be applied to \( G \)-quasi bases, since they are made of biorthogonal sets. To conclude that they are also dual GRS, it is sufficient to check if they are regular or not. In fact, this is what happens in all the examples known in the literature so far, see [2] for instance, even if no general statement has still been proved.

We recall [2] that a nonnegative self-adjoint extension \( G \) of \( G_0 \) is called an extremal extension if

\[
\inf_{f \in D(G_0)} \langle G(\phi - f), (\phi - f) \rangle = \inf_{f \in D(G_0)} \|\phi - f\|_{[G]}^2 = 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in D(G). \quad (III.8)
\]

The Friedrichs extension and the Krein-von Neumann extension of \( G_0 \) are examples of extremal extensions.

In view of (III.8), the extremality of a self-adjoint extension \( G \) of \( G_0 \) is equivalent to the density of \( D(G_0) \) in the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_G = \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \). For this reason, we can use an arbitrary extremal extension \( G \) in the proof of Theorem (III.1). Therefore, in contrast to the case of Riesz basis, the self-adjoint operator \( Q = -\ln G \) in (III.6) is not determined uniquely and it is parametrized by the set of extremal self-adjoint extensions \( G = e^{-Q} \) of \( G_0 \). Each operator \( Q \) of this type will be called an admissible operator for regular biorthogonal sequences \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \).

The particular case when the set of admissible operators contains just one operator can be easily described. Indeed, in this case, the Friedrichs extension of \( G_0 \) must coincide with
the Krein-von Neumann extension. The latter, due to [23, Theorem 9], is equivalent to the condition
\[ \inf_{f \in D(G_0)} \frac{<G_0 f, f>}{|<f, g>|^2} = 0, \quad \text{for all nonzero } g \in \ker(I + G_0^*). \]

## IV Orthonormal sequences in Krein space

A sequence \( \{\phi_n\} \) is called orthonormal in a Krein space \((\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot])\) (briefly, \(J\)-orthonormal) if \([\phi_n, \phi_m]\) = \(\delta_{nm}\). For each \(J\)-orthonormal sequence \(\{\phi_n\}\) there exists a biorthogonal one \(\{\psi_n\}\) which is determined as
\[ \psi_n = \text{sign}([\phi_n, \phi_n])J\phi_n. \] (IV.1)

Obviously, \(\{\psi_n\}\) is also \(J\)-orthonormal.

In general, the condition of \(J\)-orthonormality does not mean that \(\{\phi_n\}\) is bounded, i.e., \(0 < C \leq \|\phi_n\|^2 \leq D < \infty\), for all \(n\). However, if an \(J\)-orthonormal sequence \(\{\phi_n\}\) is a basis, the boundedness of \(\{\phi_n\}\) follows from [31, p.76].

Following [15] we say that a complete \(J\)-orthonormal sequence \(\{\phi_n\}\) is tame if there exist \(\varepsilon \geq 0\) such that
\[ \|\phi_n\|^2 \leq C_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon, \quad C_\varepsilon > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \] (IV.2)

The sequence \(\{\phi_n\}\) is called wild if no such bound exists. Each bounded complete \(J\)-orthonormal sequence (in particular, each basis) is a tame sequence with \(\varepsilon = 0\).

Tameness is closely related to an Abel-like summation property for a complete \(J\)-orthonormal sequence \(\{\phi_n\}\), which means that for all \(f \in \mathcal{H}\):
\[ f = \lim_{t \to 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-nt} < f, \phi_n > \phi_n = \lim_{t \to 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-nt} \delta_n[f, \phi_n] \phi_n, \quad \delta_n = \text{sign}([\phi_n, \phi_n]). \] (IV.3)

Namely, if the sequence \(\{\phi_n\}\) satisfies (IV.3), then for all \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists \(C_\varepsilon\) such that (IV.2) is true [15, Theorem 1].

**Remark IV.1** Similar estimates for the norms of vectors of biorthogonal systems have been introduced in the context of the so-called bicoherent and bisqueezed states, which extend coherent and squeezed states to some cases relevant mainly in quantum optics, when non self-adjoint Hamiltonians are used. We refer to [14, 10] for recent results, where similar estimates have been used to prove the convergence of the series defining those states.
IV.1 Complete $J$-orthonormal sequences and dual GRS

If $\{\phi_n\}$ is complete in $\mathcal{H}$, then $\{\psi_n\}$ in (IV.1) is complete too. Therefore, $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are regular biorthogonal sequences and, by Theorem III.1, they should be dual GRS. This implies the existence of a self-adjoint operator $Q$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and an ONB $\{e_n\}$ such that the sequences $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are expressed by the formulas (III.6).

In general, when $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are not Riesz bases, the admissible operator $Q$ in (III.6) is not determined uniquely and it depends on the choice of extremal extension $G$ of $G_0$, since $Q = -\ln G$. Such kind of freedom allows us to select an admissible operator $Q$ (or $G = e^{-Q}$ that is equivalent) which fits well with the $J$-orthonormality of $\{\phi_n\}$. In order to understand which properties of $Q$ can be expected, we first consider the simplest case assuming that a $J$-orthonormal sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ is a Riesz basis of $\mathcal{H}$. Then the operator $G_0$ defined by (III.5) is bounded and it can be extended onto $\mathcal{H}$ by continuity as a bounded positive operator $G = e^{-Q}$, where $Q$ is determined uniquely. By virtue of (III.5) and (IV.1),

$$JG_0f = G_0^{-1}Jf, \quad f \in D(G_0) = \text{span}\{\phi_n\}. \quad (IV.4)$$

The relation (IV.4) can be extended by the continuity as: $JGf = G^{-1}Jf$, where $f \in D(G) = \mathcal{H}$. Denote $C = JG = Je^{-Q}$. Then $C^2 = I$ and $JC = e^{-Q} > 0$. These relations and [24] Theorem 2.1 imply that

$$JQ = -QJ. \quad (IV.5)$$

The next statement shows that the anticommutation relation (IV.5) remains true without the assumption of being Riesz basis.

**Theorem IV.1** If $\{\phi_n\}$ is a complete $J$-orthonormal sequence such that an admissible self-adjoint operator $Q$ in (III.6) is determined uniquely, then (IV.5) holds.

**Proof** – If $Q$ is determined uniquely, then the extremal extension $G = e^{-Q}$ is also determined uniquely. In this case, the operator $G$ coincides with the Friedrichs extension of $G_0$ as well as with the Krein-von Neumann extension of $G_0$. This fact, by virtue of [24] Theorem 4.3], means that $JG = G^{-1}J$. The last relation gives (IV.5). \qed

**Remark IV.2** The operator $Q$ satisfying (IV.5) in Theorem IV.1 is now, in general, unbounded. In this case, we understood (IV.5) as the identity $JQf = -QJf$, where $f \in D(Q)$ and $J$ leaves $D(Q)$ invariant. Similarly, formula $JG = G^{-1}J$ above means that $JD(G) = D(G^{-1})$ and $JGf = G^{-1}Jf$ for $f \in D(G)$. From now on, we will adopt this simplifying notation.
Let a complete $J$-orthonormal sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ and its biorthogonal one $\{\psi_n\}$ be determined by (III.6), where $Q$ anticommutes with $J$. In this case, we can say more about the ONB $\{e_n\}$. Actually, each vector $e_n$ belongs to one of the subspaces $H_\pm$ of the fundamental decomposition (II.2). Indeed, $[\phi_n, \phi_n] = <Je^{Q/2}e_n, e^{Q/2}e_n> = <e^{-Q/2}Je_n, e^{Q/2}e_n> = <Je_n, e_n> = [e_n, e_n]$.

By virtue of (III.6) and (IV.1),

$$J\phi_n = Je^{Q/2}e_n = e^{-Q/2}Je_n = \text{sign}([\phi_n, \phi_n])\psi_n = \text{sign}([e_n, e_n])e^{-Q/2}e_n.$$ Comparing the third and the fifth terms in the equality above we get $Je_n = \text{sign}([e_n, e_n])e_n$ that implies $e_n \in H_\pm$.

The next simple statement, in some sense, inverts what stated in Theorem IV.1.

Theorem IV.2 Let $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ be regular biorthogonal sequences such that: (i) the admissible self-adjoint operator $Q$ in (III.6) anticommutes with $J$; (ii) the vectors $e_n$ belong to one of the subspaces $H_\pm$ of the fundamental decomposition (II.2). Then $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are complete $J$-orthonormal sequences.

Proof – Since $\{\phi_n\}$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ are complete in $\mathcal{H}$ by assumption, it suffices to verify the $J$-orthonormality of $\{\phi_n\}$:

$$[\phi_n, \phi_m] = <Je^{Q/2}e_n, e^{Q/2}e_m> = <e^{-Q/2}Je_n, e^{Q/2}e_m> = \text{sign}([e_n, e_n])\delta_{nm}.$$ \hfill \qed

IV.2 $J$-orthonormal sequences with condition A

By virtue of Theorems IV.1 IV.2 it is natural to consider $J$-orthonormal sequences with the additional condition:

Condition A. For a complete $J$-orthonormal sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ there exists an admissible self-adjoint operator $Q$, which anticommutes with $J$, i.e., (III.6) holds with the additional property: $JQ = -QJ$.

Condition A means that the (possibly large) set of admissible operators for $\{\phi_n\}$ contains at least one element $Q$ which anticommutes with $J$. It should be noted that if admissible operators are not determined uniquely, then not each admissible $Q$ will anticommute necessarily with $J$. In particular, as follows from [21], the operator $Q$ that corresponds to the Friedrichs extension $G = e^{-Q}$ of $G_0$ can not satisfy (IV.5). Moreover, there exist complete $J$-orthonormal sequences for which no admissible operators $Q$ which anticommute with $J$ can be found, [21, Section 6].
For these reasons, it is natural to ask: *which benefits are expected when Condition A holds?*

First of all, the anticommutation relation (IV.3) between \( Q \) and \( J \) ensures the extensions by continuity of the original indefinite inner product \([\cdot, \cdot]\) onto the new Hilbert spaces \((\mathcal{H}_{-Q}, < \cdot, \cdot >_{-Q})\) and \((\mathcal{H}_Q, < \cdot, \cdot >_Q)\). The latter allows one to determine the inner products \(< \cdot, \cdot >_{\pm Q}\) directly in terms of \([\cdot, \cdot]\), see (IV.8) below. Let us briefly explain this point (see [21] for details).

Separating the sequence \( \{\phi_n\} \) by the signs of \([\phi_n, \phi_n]\):

\[
\phi_n = \begin{cases} 
\phi_n^+ & \text{if } [\phi_n, \phi_n] = 1, \\
\phi_n^- & \text{if } [\phi_n, \phi_n] = -1 
\end{cases}
\] (IV.6)

we obtain two sequences of positive \( \{\phi_n^+\} \) and negative \( \{\phi_n^-\} \) elements. Denote by \( \mathcal{M}_0^+ \) and \( \mathcal{M}_0^- \) the closure (in the Hilbert space \((\mathcal{H}, < \cdot, \cdot >)\) of the linear spans generated by the sets \( \{\phi_n^+\} \) and \( \{\phi_n^-\} \), respectively. By construction, \( \mathcal{M}_0^\pm \) are positive/negative subspaces and their \( J \)-orthogonal sum \( \mathcal{M}_0^+[-\mathcal{M}_0^-] \) coincides with the domain of the closure of \( G_0 \) determined by (III.5) on \( \text{span}\{\phi_n\} \). If an admissible operator \( Q \) satisfies Condition A, then the direct sum \( \mathcal{M}_0^+[-\mathcal{M}_0^-] \) is extended to the \( J \)-orthogonal direct sum

\[ D(G) = D(e^{-Q}) = \mathcal{M}_0^+[-\mathcal{M}_0^-], \quad \mathcal{M}_0^\pm \subset \mathcal{M}_\pm, \]

where \( \mathcal{M}_\pm \) are maximal positive/negative subspaces in the Krein space \((\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot])\) and they are uniquely determined by the choice of \( Q \): \( \mathcal{M}_\pm = (I \pm Je^{-Q})D(G) \).

The indefinite inner product \([\cdot, \cdot]\) coincides with \( < \cdot, \cdot >_{-Q} \) on \( \mathcal{M}_+ \) and with \( -< \cdot, \cdot >_{-Q} \) on \( \mathcal{M}_- \) and it can be extended by continuity onto the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \). (We keep the same notation \([\cdot, \cdot]\) for the extension on \( \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \).) Moreover,

\[ \mathcal{H}_{-Q} = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_+[-\mathcal{M}_-], \quad \text{(IV.7)} \]

where \( \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\pm \) are the completion of the pre-Hilbert spaces \((\mathcal{M}_\pm, [\cdot, \cdot])\) and \([\cdot, \cdot]_Q\) means the orthogonality with respect to \( < \cdot, \cdot >_{-Q} \) and \([\cdot, \cdot] \). The subspaces \( \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\pm \) are maximal positive/negative in the Krein space \((\mathcal{H}_{-Q}, [\cdot, \cdot])\) and (IV.7) is the fundamental decomposition of \((\mathcal{H}_{-Q}, [\cdot, \cdot])\).

For every \( f, g \in \mathcal{H}_{-Q} \) \( (f_\pm, g_\pm \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\pm) \).

\[
<f, g >_{-Q} = [f_+, g_+] - [f_-, g_-] \quad \text{and} \quad [f, g] = [f_+, g_+] + [f_-, g_-]. \] (IV.8)

A similar result for \( \mathcal{H}_Q \) can be obtained by considering \( \{\psi_n\} \) instead of \( \{\phi_n\} \).
Let a complete $J$-orthonormal sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ satisfy Condition A. Then the linear manifold $G = D(e^{Q/2}) \cap D(e^{-Q/2})$ in Proposition III.2 is invariant with respect to $J$ and the expression (III.4) can be rewritten as

\[ [f, g] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n[f, \phi_n][\phi_n, g], \quad f, g \in G, \]

where $\delta_n = \text{sign}([\phi_n, \phi_n]) = \text{sign}([\psi_n, \psi_n])$. Moreover, for all $f \in H_Q$,

\[ f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n[f, \phi_n] \phi_n, \quad (IV.9) \]

where the series converges in $(H_Q, < \cdot, \cdot>_Q)$. Indeed, $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} < f, \phi_n >_Q \phi_n$ since $\{\phi_n\}$ is ONB of $H_Q$ (Proposition III.1). By virtue of (IV.7) and (IV.8), $< f, \phi_n >_Q = \delta_n[f, \phi_n]$ that implies (IV.9).

Assume that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n$ converges to an element $f$ in $(H, < \cdot, \cdot>)$. In this case, due to (IV.1), $c_n = < f, \psi_n > = \delta_n[f, \phi_n]$. Therefore, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n$ coincides with (IV.9) and we arrive to the conclusion:

if $f \in H$ has the presentation $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n$ in $H$, then $f$ belongs to $H \cap H_Q$.

In general, we cannot state that this series converges unconditionally in $H$. Denote

\[ D_{un} = \{ f \in H : \text{the series (IV.9) converges unconditionally in } H \}. \]

**Theorem IV.3** Let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a complete $J$-orthonormal sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ with Condition A. Then the following inclusions hold:

\[ D_{un} \subseteq M_0^+ + M_0^- \subseteq H \cap H_Q. \quad (IV.10) \]

If the sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ is tame and there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\{e^{\alpha n}[f, \phi_n]\}$ belongs to $l_1(\mathbb{N})$, then the series (IV.9) converges unconditionally in $H$.

**Proof** – The second inclusion in (IV.10) is true since

\[ M_0^+ + M_0^- \subseteq D(e^{-Q}) \subseteq D(e^{-Q/2}) \subseteq H \cap H_Q. \]

Let $f \in D_{un}$. Then, simultaneously with (IV.9), the series ($\phi_n^+$ are determined by (IV.6))

\[ \sum_{n}^{\infty} [f, \phi_n^+] \phi_n^+ \quad \text{and} \quad -\sum_{n}^{\infty} [f, \phi_n^-] \phi_n^- \]
converge to elements $f_\pm$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (see, e.g., \cite[Theorem 3.10]{17}). By the construction $f_\pm \in \mathcal{M}_0$. Therefore, $f = f_+ + f_-$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_+ + \mathcal{M}_0$ and the first inclusion in (IV.10) is proved.

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that \{e$^{an}[f, \phi_n]$\} $\in l_1(\mathbb{N})$ and let \{\phi_n\} be tame (i.e., (IV.2) holds). Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|[f, \phi_n]\phi_n\|$ converges since:

$$\|[f, \phi_n]\phi_n\| \leq \sqrt{C}e^{an} |[f, \phi_n]| \cdot |e^{-an}n^{\epsilon/2}| \leq Ce^{an}\|[f, \phi_n]\|.$$

This means that (IV.9) converges unconditionally \cite[Lemma 3.5]{17}.

In the case of wild sequences, the unconditional convergence of (IV.9) can be reached by the imposing stronger conditions on the behavior of \{[f, \phi_n]\}. Let \{\beta_n\} be a sequence of nonnegative numbers such that

$$\|\phi_n\|^2 = \|e^{Q/2}e_n\|^2 \leq Ce^{2\beta_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \text{(IV.11)}$$

**Corollary IV.1** Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that \{e$^{\beta_n}[f, \phi_n]$\} $\in l_1(\mathbb{N})$ and let (IV.11) hold. Then the series (IV.9) converges unconditionally.

**Proof** – It suffices to note that $\|[f, \phi_n]\phi_n\| \leq \sqrt{C}e^{\beta_n}\|[f, \phi_n]\|$. \qed

**V Examples**

**V.1 Eigenfunctions of the shifted harmonic oscillator**

In the space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ we define a fundamental symmetry $J$ as the space parity operator $\mathcal{P}f(x) = f(-x)$. The space $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ endowed with the indefinite inner product:

$$[f, g] = \langle Jf, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(-x)\overline{g(x)}dx$$

is a Krein space. Its fundamental decomposition (II.2) coincide with the decomposition of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto the subspaces of even and odd functions: $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}^2_{ev}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathcal{L}^2_{od}(\mathbb{R})$.

The Hermite functions $e_n$ in (III.1) form an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and they are either odd or even functions (depending on the choice of $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).
Define
\[ \phi_n(x) = e_n(x + ia), \quad \psi_n(x) = e_n(x - ia), \quad a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad (V.1) \]
using the fact that \( e_n(x) \) are entire functions. The functions \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are eigenvectors of the shifted harmonic oscillators
\[ H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + 2iax \quad \text{and} \quad H^* = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 - 2iax, \]
respectively. It follows from [25, Lemma 2.5] that \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are regular biorthogonal sequences and hence, they are dual GRS (Theorem III.1).

In order to find a self-adjoint operator \( Q \) in (III.6), we calculate the Fourier transform
\[ F\phi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ix\xi} \phi_n(x) \, dx. \]
In view of (V.1), \( F\phi_n = e^{-a\xi}F e_n \). Therefore, \( \phi_n = F^{-1}e^{-a\xi}F e_n \).

The last relation can be rewritten as
\[ \phi_n = e^{Q/2}e_n, \quad e^{Q/2} = F^{-1}e^{-a\xi}F, \quad (V.2) \]
where \( Q = 2ai\frac{d}{dx} \) is an unbounded self-adjoint operator in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) that anticommutes with \( J \). By virtue of Theorem IV.2, \( \{\phi_n\} \) is a complete \( J \)-orthonormal sequence in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Moreover, \( \{\phi_n\} \) satisfies Condition A. An additional analysis based on the results of [22] shows that the operator \( Q \) in (V.2) is determined uniquely.

In view of of [25, Theorem 2.6],
\[ \|\phi_n\|^2 = \|\psi_n\|^2 = \frac{1}{2(2n)^{1/4}|a|^{3/4}} e^{3/2|a|\sqrt{n}} (1 + O(n^{-1/2})), \quad n \to \infty. \quad (V.3) \]
Therefore, the both sequences \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are wild. By virtue of (V.3), the sequence \( \{\beta_n\} \) in (IV.11) can be chosen as \( \beta_n = \sqrt{2n}|a| \). By Corollary IV.1, the series (IV.9) converges unconditionally for all \( f \in \mathcal{H} \) such that \( \{e^{\sqrt{2n}|a|}f, \phi_n\} \in l_1(\mathbb{N}) \).

V.2 Eigenfunctions of perturbed anharmonic oscillator

Let \( \{e_n\} \) be eigenfunctions of the anharmonic oscillator
\[ H_\beta = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + |x|\beta, \quad \beta > 2 \]
Without loss of generality we assume that \( \|e_n\| = 1 \). The eigenfunctions \( \{e_n\} \) form an orthonormal basis in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) and they are either even or odd functions.
Consider the sequences
\[ \phi_n(x) = e^{p(x)} e_n(x), \quad \psi_n(x) = e^{-p(x)} e_n(x) \] (V.4)
where a real-valued odd function \( p \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \) satisfies [25] Assumption II. The functions \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are eigenvectors of the perturbed anharmonic oscillators
\[ H = H_\beta + 2p'(x)\frac{d}{dx} + p''(x) - (p'(x))^2 \quad \text{and} \quad H^* = H_\beta - 2p'(x)\frac{d}{dx} - p''(x) - (p'(x))^2, \]
respectively. By means of [25, Lemma 3.6], \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are regular biorthogonal sequences and hence, they are dual GRS. Theorem IV.2 implies that \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are \( J \)-orthonormal and they satisfy satisfies Condition A since (V.4) takes the form (II I.6) with the operator of multiplication \( Q = 2p(x) \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) which anticommutes with \( J \). In view of [25, Theorem 3.8], the sequences \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are wild.

### V.3 Back to the harmonic oscillator

Let us go back to Example 1 in Section III.1. The vectors \( \phi_n(x) \) and \( \psi_n(x) \) are respectively eigenstates of \( H \) and \( H^* \), where
\[ H = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{x^2}{2} - 1 \right) \right); \]
\[ H \phi_n = E_n \phi_n \quad \text{and} \quad H^* \psi_n = E_n \psi_n, \]
where \( E_n = n + \frac{1}{2} \). Simple computations show that \( H^* = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{x^2}{2} + 1 \right) \right) \), see [3]. The sets \( \{\phi_n\} \) and \( \{\psi_n\} \) are both complete in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \). Hence they are regular and dual GRS, as a consequence of Theorem III.1. In fact, this is in agreement with what we found before, with other means.

The interesting aspect of this example is that the operator \( Q = -\frac{x^2}{2} \) does not anticommute with \( J \). In fact, we have \([Q, J] = 0\). Then Theorem IV.1 suggests that the set \( \{\phi_n\} \) cannot be \( J \)-orthonormal. In fact, it is possible to check that this is what happens. To do that, we first notice that, because of the parity properties of the Hermite polynomials, we have
\[ [\phi_n, \phi_m] = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{2}^{n+m} n! m!} \int_\mathbb{R} H_n(x) H_m(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx. \]
This integral is zero if \( n + m \) is odd. But, if \( n + m \) is even, the result is non zero. In fact, after some computations, we get
\[ [\phi_n, \phi_m] = \sqrt{\frac{2^{n+m+1}}{3^{n+m+1} \pi n! m!}} \Gamma \left( \frac{n + m + 1}{2} \right) \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) F_1 \left( -m, n; \frac{1 - m - n}{2}; \frac{3}{2} \right)}{n! m!}, \]
where Γ and \( _2F_1 \) are respectively the Gamma and the Hypergeometric functions. The result is not zero, if \( n + m \) is even. Hence the set \( \{ \phi_n \} \) cannot be \( J \)-orthonormal, in agreement with the Theorem [V.1]

VI Conclusions

In this paper we have discussed the mathematical properties of some biorthogonal sets of vectors, and their relations with other analogous systems introduced recently in the literature. These vectors have been analysed in Hilbert and Krein spaces. In particular, we have considered dual GRS, which extend in a particular way the notion of Riesz bases to the case of unbounded maps, and we have discussed their relations with \( \mathcal{G} \)-quasi bases. We have further studied orthonormal sequences in Krein spaces, and the role of tame and wild sequences has been discussed. The functional structure which emerges is rather rich, interesting and surely suggests to go deeper and deeper in the analysis. Applications to some quantum mechanical systems, recently introduced in the context of PTQM and its relatives, have also been proposed.
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