Symmetry-Protected Quantum Adiabatic Evolution in Spontaneous Symmetry-Breaking Transitions
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Quantum adiabatic evolution, an important fundamental concept in physics, describes the dynamical evolution arbitrarily close to the instantaneous eigenstate of a slowly driven Hamiltonian. In most systems undergoing spontaneous symmetry-breaking transitions, their two lowest eigenstates change from non-degenerate to degenerate. Therefore, due to the corresponding energy gap vanishes, the conventional gap condition for quantum adiabatic evolution becomes invalid. Here we explore the existence of quantum adiabatic evolutions in spontaneous symmetry-breaking transitions and derive a symmetry-dependent adiabatic condition. Because the driven Hamiltonian conserves the symmetry in the whole process, the transition between different eigenstates with different symmetries is forbidden. Therefore, even if the gap vanishes, symmetry-protected quantum adiabatic evolution may appear when the driven system varies according to the symmetry-dependent adiabatic condition. This study not only advances our understandings of quantum adiabatic evolution and spontaneous symmetry-breaking transitions, but also provides extensive applications ranging from quantum state engineering, topological Thouless pumping to quantum computing.

Quantum adiabatic theorem (QAT) states that a slowly driven system from an initial eigenstate will stay close to the corresponding instantaneous eigenstate of its Hamiltonian \( H(t) \) [1–4]. The QAT is the theoretical basis for the Landau-Zener tunneling [5, 6], the perturbative quantum field theory [7], the Berry phase [8], and the topological Thouless pumping [9] etc. Moreover, the QAT has promising applications in quantum technologies such as quantum state engineering [10, 11] and quantum computing [12]. Usually, given the instantaneous eigenvalues \( \{ E_n(t) \} \) and eigenstates \( \{ |E_n(t)\rangle \} \) of \( H(t) \), the QAT requires that \( H(t) \) slowly varies according to \( |i\hbar \langle E_n|E_m\rangle| < |E_n - E_m| \) for \( n \neq m \) [13–16]. If the energy degeneracy does not change, that is, the energy gap between neighboring energy eigenstates [13–15] (or neighboring degenerate energy eigenspaces [16]) is always open, this condition can always be satisfied if the driving is sufficiently slow. However, it is still unclear whether there is an adiabatic condition for the slowly driven system involving degeneracy change.

Spontaneous symmetry-breaking (SSB) is a powerful fundamental concept in understanding continuous phase transitions [17]. A SSB takes place when the ground-state does not display a symmetry of the physical system. In most systems undergoing SSB transitions, such as the transverse-field quantum Ising model [17, 18], the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model [19, 20] and the quantized Bose-Josephson junction [21, 22], the two lowest eigenstates vary from non-degenerate to degenerate. Therefore, driving a system through a SSB transition, the conventional adiabatic condition becomes invalid due to the corresponding energy gap vanishes. Does this means that the time-evolution dynamics is always non-adiabatic in such a driving process? If quantum adiabatic evolution can still appear, what is the adiabatic condition?

In this Letter, we study the dynamics in a slowly driven system through a SSB transition. That is, although the instantaneous ground states undergo a SSB, the driven Hamiltonian itself keeps the symmetry unchanged in the whole process. We prove that population can only transfer between the instantaneous eigenstates of the same symmetry. In further, we derive a symmetry-dependent adiabatic condition for the driven system keeping a certain symmetry. According to the symmetry-dependent adiabatic condition, even if the neighboring-eigenstate energy gap vanishes, we explore the existence of symmetry-protected quantum adiabatic evolution. To illustrate our generic statements, we consider two examples: (i) the single-particle system within a symmetric one-dimensional potential varying from single-well to double-well, and (ii) the transverse-field quantum Ising model undergoing a SSB transition.

We consider a driven quantum system \( \hat{H}(R(t)) \) with a time-independent symmetry \( \hat{Y} \) obeying the commutation relation \( [\hat{H}(R(t)), \hat{Y}] = 0 \). Generally, the Hamiltonian can be given as \( \hat{H}(R(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} R_i(t) \hat{H}_i \) with the time-varying parameters \( R(t) = [R_1(t), R_2(t), \cdots, R_K(t)] \) and the time-independent operators \( \hat{H}_i \). Thus, an arbitrary state can be expanded by the instantaneous simultaneous eigenstates of \( \hat{Y} \) and \( \hat{H}(R(t)) \): \( \{ |\phi_n^R(R(t))\rangle \} \). Here, \( E_n \) and \( \lambda_k \) stand for the \( n \)-th eigenvalue of \( \hat{H}(R(t)) \) and the \( k \)-th eigenvalue of \( \hat{Y} \), respectively.
**Symmetry-protected transition.** As \( \hat{Y} \) is a time-independent operator, we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \hat{Y} | \phi^\lambda_n(x) \rangle \right] = \hat{Y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \phi^\lambda_n(x) \rangle \right)
\]
and their inner products (with \( \langle \phi^\lambda_{m'}(x) | \phi^\lambda_{m''}(x) \rangle \)) satisfying
\[
\langle \phi^\lambda_n(x) | \phi^\lambda_{m'}(x) \rangle \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \phi^\lambda_{m'}(x) \rangle \right) (\lambda_l - \lambda_k) = 0. \quad (1)
\]
Due to \( i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \hat{H}(t) \), we have
\[
H^{(k)}_{mn}(t)(\lambda_l - \lambda_k) = 0 \quad (2)
\]
with \( H^{(k)}_{mn}(t) = \langle \phi^\lambda_{m'}(x) | \hat{H}(t) | \phi^\lambda_{m''}(x) \rangle \). This means that the state transition is protected by the symmetry. For the instantaneous eigenstates of the same symmetry (i.e. \( \lambda_l = \lambda_k \)), the population may transfer between them. For the instantaneous eigenstates with different symmetries (i.e. \( \lambda_l \neq \lambda_k \)), even if their instantaneous eigenenergies are degenerate, the population transfer between them is exactly forbidden.

**Symmetry-dependent adiabatic condition.** The symmetry-protected transition is the basis for the following symmetry-dependent adiabatic condition (SDAC). Without loss of generality, we derive the SDAC for degenerate systems, which can be relaxed to the non-degenerate systems. Below, \( \mathbb{H}_n(x) \) denotes the \( n \)-th degenerate subspace of \( \hat{H}(x) \) with the eigenenergy \( E_n \) and the degeneracy number \( d_n \).

We assume the system is driven from an instantaneous eigenstate \( | \phi^\lambda_{m'}(x) \rangle \) in a degenerate subspace \( \mathbb{H}_n(x) \), in which each eigenstate has different symmetry (i.e. \( \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \) if \( i \neq j \) for \( i, j = \{1, 2, \ldots, d_n\} \)). Thus, the adiabatic condition for remaining in the same instantaneous eigenstate at time \( t \) and \( dt \) (where \( dt \) is an infinitesimal interval) is given as
\[
\epsilon = \max_{\left\{ \lambda \right\}} \left\{ \frac{H^{(k)}_{mn}(t)}{E_m - E_n} \right\} \ll 1 \quad \text{with} \quad m \neq n, \quad (3)
\]
where \( H^{(k)}_{mn}(t) = i \hbar \langle \phi^\lambda_{m'}(x) | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} | \phi^\lambda_{m''}(x) \rangle \), \( \lambda_k \) denotes the symmetry and \( E_{(m,n)} \) stand for the instantaneous eigenenergies (see the detailed derivation in the supplementary material). This condition implies that, the adiabaticity of the time-evolution is determined by the energy gap between neighboring instantaneous eigenstates of the same symmetry. Thus there is no transition between eigenstates with different symmetries even if their energy gap vanishes. When \( d_n = 1 \), the subspace \( \mathbb{H}_n(x) \) becomes non-degenerate, and the above SDAC keeps valid. When there is no symmetry-dependent behavior, that is, all \( \lambda_l \) have the same value, the SDAC becomes the conventional adiabatic condition.

According to the SDAC (S21), adiabatic evolutions may still appear even if the energy gap between nearest neighboring eigenstates vanishes. In a driven system through a SSB transition, in which the two lowest eigenstates change from non-degenerate to degenerate, the dynamics may still evolve arbitrarily close to its instantaneous ground state if there is a finite minimum energy gap between instantaneous eigenstates of the same symmetry. Smaller \( \epsilon \) corresponds to higher probability that the system stays in the same instantaneous eigenstate. To achieve adiabatic evolution, it is important to determine how to sweep the parameters according to the SDAC (S21). In the following, we will give two examples to illustrate the SDAC (S21) and its applications.

**Example 1:** Single-particle system within a symmetric potential varying from single-well to double-well. We first consider a single particle confined within a symmetric one-dimensional potential, which slowly varies from single-well to double-well. The system obeys the Hamiltonian,
\[
\hat{H}_S(x, t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x, t), \quad (4)
\]
with \( m \) being the particle mass and \( \hbar \) denoting the reduced Plank’s constant. The first term is the kinetic energy and the second term describes the external potential. The time-varying potential \( V(x, t) \) is a superposition of a time-independent harmonic trap and a time-dependent Gaussian barrier,
\[
V(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2 + A(t) e^{-x^2/\sigma^2}. \quad (5)
\]
Here, \( \omega \) is the trapping frequency, \( d \) denotes the barrier width and the barrier height \( A(t) \) varies with time. At \( t = 0, A = 0, V(x, t) \) is a harmonic potential (which is a symmetric single-well potential). When \( A(t) \) increases with time, \( V(x, t) \) gradually becomes a symmetric double-well potential. Correspondingly, the two lowest eigenstates change from non-degenerate to degenerate (or quasi-degenerate for a large but finite \( A(t) \)).

In the whole process, the Hamiltonian (4) keeps the mirror-reflection parity symmetry. That is, \( \hat{H}_S(x, t) \) is invariant under the mirror reflection \( \hat{P} : x \rightarrow -x \),
\[
\left[ \hat{H}_S(x, t), \hat{P} \right] = 0. \quad \text{Thus} \quad \hat{P} \text{ have two eigenvalues} \pm 1 \text{ respectively representing even and odd parity. Due to the parity symmetry, the instantaneous eigenstates appear with even and odd parity alternately. Initially, the energy levels are non-degenerate, see Fig. 1 (a). When the barrier height is sufficiently high (i.e. \( A \gg \omega^2 d^2 \)), the neighbouring pairs of eigenstates of different parity become quasi-degenerate, see Fig. 1 (b). The quasi-degeneracy is also evidenced by the static energy spectrum versus the barrier height \( A \), see Fig. 1 (c).}

Now we discuss how adiabatic evolution appears. Due to the symmetry protected transition, from an initial even-parity eigenstate, the odd-parity instantaneous eigenstates will never be populated and vice versa. According to the SQAC (S21), the adiabaticity is determined by the minimum energy gap between the instantaneous eigenstates of the same symmetry. Since there al-
ways exists a finite gap between the instantaneous eigenstates of the same symmetry, adiabatic evolution may always appear if the system is driven sufficiently slowly.

To show how to achieve adiabatic evolution via designing the sweeping process of the barrier height, we perform a numerical calculation based upon the Schrödinger equation $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(x, t)\rangle = \hat{H}_S(x, t) |\Psi(x, t)\rangle$. In our calculation, we use the dimensionless units of $m = \hbar = \omega = 1$ and set $d = \sqrt{2}$. The initial state is chosen as the ground-state of $\hat{H}_S(0)$ and the barrier height gradually increases from $A(0) = 0$ to $A(\tau) \gg \omega^2 d^2$. The sweeping process is described as $A(t) = \int_0^t v_A(t) dt$ with the sweeping rate $v_A(t)$. For a fixed $\epsilon$, from the SDAC (S21), the sweeping rate is given as $v_A(t) = \frac{\epsilon |E_2(t) - E_1(t)|^2}{|E_1(t)| e^{-\epsilon^2/2 |E_1(t)|}}$.

To show how the state evolves, we define the fidelity $F_n = |\langle \Psi(x, t) | E_n(t) \rangle|^2$ to characterize the overlap between the instantaneous state $|\Psi(x, t)\rangle$ and the $n$-th instantaneous eigenstate $|E_n(t)\rangle$.

Our numerical results show the time-evolution sensitivity depends on the value of $\epsilon$. In Fig. 1 (d), for $\epsilon = 0.1$, we show the fidelities $F_{1,2,3}$ versus the instantaneous barrier height $A(t)$. Although the first gap $E_2(t) - E_1(t)$ gradually vanishes, because the transition is protected by the symmetry, the population in the first-excited state (characterized by $F_2$) keeps zero during the whole process. Particularly, the population in the groundstate (characterized by $F_1$) keeps above 0.95 and only small population is transferred to the second-excited state (characterized by $F_3$). To find out how slow the sweeping is practical, we show the final fidelity $F_1$ at $A(t) = 20$ versus the parameter $\epsilon$, see Fig. 1 (e). Clearly, the final fidelity shows the appearance of adiabatic evolution for sufficiently small $\epsilon$. If $\epsilon \leq 0.05$, $F_1$ is always above 0.997. That is, the system is almost completely stay in its instantaneous groundstate if the barrier height is swept according to the SDAC (S21) with $\epsilon \leq 0.05$.

**Example 2:** Transverse-field quantum Ising model driven through a SSB transition. In addition to single-particle systems, symmetry-protected quantum adiabatic evolutions may also appear in many-body quantum systems driven through a SSB transition. Below we consider the transverse-field quantum Ising model,

$$\hat{H}_T(t) = B(t) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^x + \sum_{i<j}^{N-1} J_{ij} \sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x,$$  

with the Pauli operators $\sigma_i^{x,z}$, the Ising interaction $J_{ij}$, the time-varying transverse magnetic field $B(t)$ and the total spin number $N$.

The Hamiltonian (6) is invariant under the transformation: $\sigma_i^x \rightarrow \sigma_i^x$, $\sigma_i^y \rightarrow -\sigma_i^y$, $\sigma_i^z \rightarrow -\sigma_i^z$. By defining the parity operator, $\hat{P} = e^{-i\pi/2} \sum_i \sigma_i^z$ for even $N$ and $\hat{P} = -ie^{-i\pi/2} \sum_i \sigma_i^z$ for odd $N$ [20, 23], which has two eigenvalues $\pm 1$ respectively representing even and odd parity, we have $\left[\hat{H}_T(t), \hat{P}\right] = 0$. If the Hamiltonian is dominated by the first term, the ground state is a paramagnetic state of all spins aligned along the magnetic field $B(t)$. If the Hamiltonian is dominated by the second term and $J_{ij} < 0$, there appear two degenerate ferromagnetic ground-states of all spins in either spin-up or spin-down. Thus any superposition of these two ground-states is also a ground state, in which the equal-probability superposition of these two states is known as a GHZ state.

The model (6) can be experimentally realized via ul-
Our pairs of eigenstates of different parity become degenerate transverse magnetic field (i.e. lowest eigenstates change from non-degenerate to degenerate, and the eigenstates alternately appear with even and odd parities. Fixing the Ising interaction, when the transverse magnetic field \( B(t) \) \( \rightarrow \) 0, the neighboring pairs of eigenstates of different parity become degenerate (or quasi-degenerate for finite \( N \)).

According to the SDAC (S21), although pairs of neighboring eigenstates become degenerate, adiabatic evolutions may still appear in the system (6) due to the energy gaps between eigenstates of the same parity are always finite. In particular, from the ground state for \( \hat{H}_0(t) \) with \( |B| \gg |J| \) and \( J < 0 \), due to the symmetry-protected transition, one may adiabatically prepare the GHZ state, which is of broad applications in quantum information science and quantum metrology. Based upon our numerical simulation of the Schrödinger equation \( i\hbar \partial_t \Psi(t) = \hat{H}_0(t) \Psi(t) \), we find that the adiabaticity is only determined by the minimum energy gaps between eigenstates of the same parity. In our calculation, we set \( J = \frac{1}{2} \) and sweep \( B(t) \) from \( B(0) = -2 \) to \( B(\tau) = 0 \). The sweeping process is designed as \( B(t) = \int_0^t v_B(t) dt \) with the sweeping rate \( v_B(t) \) determined by the SDAC (S21).

For a given \( \epsilon \), we have \( v_B(t) = \frac{\epsilon |E_2(t)|^2}{|E_3(t)|} \). With \( |E_n(t)| \) being the n-th eigenstate. Similarly, the fidelity \( F_n = |\langle \Psi(t)|E_n(t) \rangle|^2 \) characterizes the overlap between the instantaneous state \( \Psi(t) \) and the n-th instantaneous eigenstate \( |E_n(t)\rangle \).

In Fig. 2, we show the static energy spectrum and the driven population dynamics. Given \( \epsilon = 0.1 \), we show the fidelities \( F_{1,2,3} \) versus the instantaneous magnetic field \( B(t) \). Due to the two lowest instantaneous eigenstates have different symmetry and the system is driven from the ground state, as a result of the symmetry-protected transition, the instantaneous first-excited state is never occupied, see \( F_2(t) \) in Fig. 2(b). Most of population stays in the instantaneous ground-state fidelity and only small amount mainly jumps to the instantaneous second-excited state, see \( F_1(t) \) and \( F_3(t) \) in Fig. 2(b). To find out how slow the sweeping is practical, we calculate the fidelity \( F_1(B(t)) \) for different \( \epsilon \), see Fig. 2(d). Our numerical results indeed confirms the appearance of adiabatic evolution under sufficiently small \( \epsilon \). When \( \epsilon \lesssim \frac{1}{3} \), the final fidelity \( F_1 \) is always above 0.975. Therefore, by sweeping \( B(t) \) according to the SDAC (S21), although the energy gap between the two lowest instantaneous states gradually vanishes, the GHZ state can be prepared with very high fidelity when the system is driven through the critical point.

**Conclusions.** In summary, we have studied the time-evolution dynamics in a slowly driven system with degeneracy change and time-independent symmetry. Due to the commutativity between the symmetry and the Hamiltonian, we prove that the population transition is protected by the symmetry. In further, although the conventional adiabatic condition becomes invalid, we derive a SDAC. According to the SDAC, even if there is no gap between neighboring energy eigenstates, we find the existence of adiabatic evolutions. To illustrate our generic statements, we consider two typical examples: one single-particle system and one many-body quantum system. By designing proper sweeping processes according to the SDAC, our numerical results confirm the existence of symmetry-protected quantum adiabatic evolutions.

Our study will not only advance the research in fun-
damental quantum sciences, but also provide promising applications in practical quantum technologies. Firstly, it will deepen our understandings of quantum adiabatic evolution and SSB transitions. Secondly, it is of extensive applications ranging from quantum state engineering, topological Thouless pumping to quantum computing. Thirdly, it points out an experimentally feasible way for generating highly entangled multi-particle quantum states, which are essential for quantum information technology and quantum metrology.
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**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

**Derivation of the symmetry-protected transition**

In this section, we give the proof of Eqs. (1) and (2), which describe the symmetry-protected transition. Assume the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} R_i(t) \hat{H}_i \) with \( K \) time-varying parameters \( \mathbf{R}(t) = [R_1(t), R_2(t), R_3(t), ..., R_K(t)] \), and has at least one time-independent symmetry \( \hat{Y} \) that commutes with the Hamiltonian \( H : [\hat{Y}, \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}(t))] = 0 \). Thus, the operator \( \hat{Y} \) and the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \) have a set of simultaneous eigenstates: \( \{ \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \} \). Here, \( E_n \) and \( \lambda \) stand for \( n \)-th and \( k \)-th eigenvalues of \( \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \) and \( \hat{Y} \), respectively.

We write the eigen-equations as,

\[ \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}(t)) \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) = E_n(\mathbf{R}(t)) \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \right) \]  

and

\[ \hat{Y} \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) = \lambda_k \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \]  

in which

\[ E_1(\mathbf{R}(t)) \leq E_2(\mathbf{R}(t)) \leq ... \leq E_n(\mathbf{R}(t)) \ldots \leq E_N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \],

with \( n, k = 1, ..., N \).

By differentiating Eq. (S8) with respect to time, we obtain

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \hat{Y} \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \right] = \hat{Y} \frac{\partial \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t))}{\partial t} = \lambda_k \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \]  

where \( |\phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \rangle \). By taking the inner product with \( \langle \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) | \) we obtain

\[ \langle \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \rangle | \lambda_t - \lambda_k \rangle = 0 \]  

Thus, if \( \lambda_t \neq \lambda_k \), the above equation requests

\[ \langle \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \rangle = 0 \]  

On the other hand, the time-evolution of the eigenstate \( |\phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \rangle \) obeys the Schrödinger equation,

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \rangle = \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}(t)) |\phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \rangle \]  

Thus, substituting Eq. (S12) into the Eq. (S11), we have

\[ H_{mn}^{lk}(t) = \langle \phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) | \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}(t)) |\phi_{E_n, \lambda}^N(\mathbf{R}(t)) \rangle = 0 \]  

for \( \lambda_t \neq \lambda_k \).
Derivation of the symmetry-dependent adiabatic condition

In this section, we give the detailed derivation of the symmetry-dependent adiabatic condition (SDAC) [the Eq. (3) in the main text]. We start from the time-evolution of a state, i.e., the time-dependent Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial |\Psi(R(t))\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H}(R(t)) |\Psi(R(t))\rangle .$$

(S14)

At any instant, the instantaneous state $|\Psi(R(t))\rangle$ can be expanded in terms of the complete basis of $|\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle$,

$$|\Psi(R(t))\rangle = \sum_n \sum_k a_n^k(t) \exp\left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_n(R(t')) dt' \right] |\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle .$$

(S15)

Inserting the above expansion (S15) into the Schrödinger equation (S14), we obtain

$$\sum_n \sum_k \exp\left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_n(R(t')) dt' \right] \left\{ \hat{\alpha}_n^k(t) + a_n^k(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right\} |\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle = 0.$$  

(S16)

Taking the inner product with $\langle\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda_i}(R(t))|\exp[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_m(R(t')) dt']\rangle$, the differential equation for the coefficients are

$$\hat{\alpha}_n^k(t) = -\sum_m \sum_l a_m^l(t) \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t [E_n(R(t')) - E_m(R(t'))] dt' \right\} \langle\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda_i}(R(t))|\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle .$$

(S17)

By using the result of Eq. (2) in the main text, submitting Eq. (S11) into Eq. (S17), we have

$$\hat{\alpha}_n^k(t) = -\sum_m \sum_l a_m^l(t) \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t [E_n(R(t')) - E_m(R(t')) dt'] \right\} \langle\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda_i}(R(t))|\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle \delta_{\lambda_i,\lambda_k} .$$

(S18)

Therefore, the Hilbert space of the quantum system can be partitioned into different subspaces according to the eigenvalues of the symmetry operator $\hat{Y}$, and the transition between the states with different eigenvalues of $\hat{Y}$ is forbidden in the dynamical evolution process.

Without loss of generality, we first derive the SDAC for the degenerate quantum system and then relax it to the non-degenerate cases. In the following, $\mathbb{H}_n(R(t))$ denotes the $n$-th degenerate subspace of $\hat{H}(R(t))$ with the eigenenergy $E_n$. The degeneracy of each subspace $\mathbb{H}_n(R(t))$ is denoted by $d_n$, and each energy eigenstate has different symmetry (i.e., $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $i, j = \{1, \ldots, d_n\}$). That is, the degenerate energy eigenstates in the subspace $\mathbb{H}_n(R(t))$ can be distinguished from each other by the symmetry $\hat{Y}$. We use $|\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle$ to denote the instantaneous eigenstates in $n$-th degenerate subspace $\mathbb{H}_n(R(t))$. According to Eq. (S18), the transitions between states in the same degenerate subspace $\mathbb{H}_n(R(t))$ are forbidden if all degenerate energy eigenstate possess different values of $\lambda_k$. Thus, evolving from the initial state $|\Psi(R(0))\rangle = |\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(0))\rangle$, the instantaneous state $|\Psi(R(t))\rangle$ is given as

$$|\Psi(R(t))\rangle = \sum_n a_n^k(t) \exp\left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_n(R(t')) dt' \right] |\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle .$$

(S19)

From the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, the differential equation for the coefficients in Eq. (S19) can be written as

$$\dot{a}_n^k(t) = -a_n^k(t) \langle\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))|\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle - \sum_{m \neq n} a_m^k(t) \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t [E_m(R(t')) - E_m(R(t'))] dt' \right\} \langle\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda_i}(R(t))|\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle .$$

(S20)

To ensure the adiabatic evolution for the instantaneous eigenstate $|\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle$, the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(R(t))$ should be slowly varied according to

$$\epsilon = \max_m \left\{ \left| \frac{\hbar \langle\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))|\phi_{E_n}^{\lambda_k}(R(t))\rangle}{E_m - E_n} \right| \right\} \ll 1 \ \text{with} \ m \neq n,$$

(S21)
where $E_m$ and $E_n$ stand for the instantaneous eigenenergies of $\hat{H}(R(t))$, and they respectively belong to different subspaces $\mathbb{H}_m(R(t))$ and $\mathbb{H}_n(R(t))$. Due to $i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{H}(R(t))}{\partial t} = \hat{H}(R(t))$, we have $H_{mn}^{kk}(t) = i\hbar \langle \phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{mn}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) | \phi_{E_n}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) \rangle$, thus the symmetry dependent adiabatic condition (SDAC) can be written as

$$\epsilon = \max_m \left\{ \frac{H_{mn}^{kk}(t)}{E_m - E_n} \right\} \ll 1 \text{ with } m \neq n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (S22)

In addition to this SDAC, according to the eigen-equation (S7) and take the time derivative on both sides, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \hat{H}(R(t))}{\partial t} |\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t))\rangle + \hat{H}(R(t)) |\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t))\rangle = \frac{\partial E_m(R(t))}{\partial t} |\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t))\rangle + E_m(R(t)) |\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t))\rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S23)

Multiplying this equation from the left by $|\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t))\rangle$, we have

$$\langle \phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) | \phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) \rangle = \frac{\langle \phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) | \frac{\partial \hat{H}(R(t))}{\partial t} | \phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) \rangle + E_m(R(t)) |\phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t))\rangle}{E_m(R(t)) - E_n(R(t))}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S24)

Therefore, Eq. (S21) can be written as

$$\epsilon = \max_m \left\{ \left| \frac{\hbar \langle \phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) | \frac{\partial \hat{H}(R(t))}{\partial t} | \phi_{E_m}^{\lambda k}(R(t)) \rangle}{(E_m(R(t)) - E_n(R(t)))^2} \right| \right\} \ll 1 \text{ with } m \neq n. \hspace{1cm} (S25)$$

**Parity operator**

In this section, we give a brief introduction about the parity operator $\hat{P}$. The parity operator $\hat{P}$ is defined as an operation of space inversion. The parity operator $\hat{P}$ has the following properties

$$\hat{P}^2 = 1, \quad \hat{P} = P^\dagger.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S26)

As it turns out, the parity operator $\hat{P}$ can only ever have two eigenvalues $\xi = \pm 1$. The parity eigenvalue equations are given as

$$\hat{P} |\xi\rangle_{\text{even}} = +1 |\xi\rangle_{\text{even}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (S27)

and

$$\hat{P} |\xi\rangle_{\text{odd}} = -1 |\xi\rangle_{\text{odd}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (S28)

This implies that the parity eigenstates will either be the same or be the opposite with their original ones under the space inversion. If the sign doesn’t change, the state $|\xi\rangle_{\text{even}}$ is symmetric under space inversion (called even). But, if the sign does change, the state $|\xi\rangle_{\text{odd}}$ is antisymmetric under space inversion (called odd). For different quantum systems, the parity operator has different definitions, but they share common properties Eq. (S26). If the parity operator $\hat{P}$ commutes with the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(R(t))$ of the system, we called the system has the parity symmetry.