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Abstract

The Reynolds transport theorem provides a generalised conservation law for any conserved quantity carried by fluid flow through a continuous domain, and underpins all integral and differential analyses of flow systems. It is also intimately linked to the Liouville equation for the conservation of a local probability density function (pdf), and to the Perron-Frobenius and Koopman evolution operators. All of these tools can be interpreted as continuous temporal maps between fluid elements or domains, connected by the integral curves (pathlines) described by a velocity vector field. We present new formulations of these theorems and operators in different spaces. These include (a) spatial maps between different positions in a time-independent flow field, connected by a velocity gradient tensor field, and (b) parametric maps – expressed using an extended exterior calculus – between different positions in a manifold, connected by a vector or tensor field. The analyses reveal the existence of multivariate continuous (Lie) symmetries induced by a vector or tensor field associated with a conserved quantity, which will be manifested in all subsidiary conservation laws such as the Navier-Stokes and energy equations. The analyses significantly expand the scope of methods for the reduction of fluid flow and dynamical systems.

* Email r.niven@adfa.edu.au
1. INTRODUCTION

In the late 19th century, extending his success on fluid turbulence [1], Reynolds presented two important contributions to turbulent fluid mechanics [2, 3]. The first, Reynolds decomposition, involves the decomposition of an instantaneous flow quantity into its average and fluctuating components; e.g., the instantaneous Eulerian velocity vector $\mathbf{u}$ is decomposed as $\mathbf{u} = \bar{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{u}'$, where the overbar can denote a time average, or more generally a Reynolds average which satisfies a set of mathematical properties [2, 4]. Its application to the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations reveals the significance of the mean-fluctuating (Reynolds) stresses in turbulent flow [2]. The second contribution, the Reynolds transport theorem, provides a generalised equation for transport of a conserved physical quantity by fluid flow through a stationary or moving control volume [3], which reduces to the integral and differential conservation laws (such as mass, momentum and energy) of fluid mechanics. Reynolds’ contributions have given the foundation for present-day analyses of fluid turbulence, and for the overwhelming proportion of theoretical and numerical models used by practitioners in fluid mechanics.

In the older field of classical mechanics, Liouville [5] presented a relation for the derivative of a state function which, when applied by others in statistical mechanics, gives an equation for the conservation in time of a local probability density function [5, 6]. While often grouped with the Fokker-Planck equation [e.g. 7], the latter includes the effect of stochastic processes or diffusion. In the early 20th century, developments in matrix theory [8, 9] led to the Perron-Frobenius (or Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius) operator [10] and its dual Koopman operator [11, 12], for extrapolation of a time-evolving density or observable, respectively, from an initial value. These operators have the advantage of being linear, enabling the conversion of a nonlinear dynamical system into a linear evolution equation. Over the past decade, there has been considerable interest in the theory and application of these operators to a variety of dynamical and turbulent flow systems [e.g. 13–19].

Despite more than a century of mathematical generalisation to different fields and spaces, including of the major integral theorems of vector calculus (the gradient, divergence and Stokes’ theorems), most presentations of the Reynolds transport theorem and Liouville equation remain rigidly formulated for the time evolution of the density of a conserved quantity within a three-dimensional velocity field. However, some hints have emerged of more general formulations. The equivalence of conservation laws and symmetries has been appreciated since the famous works of Lie [20, 21] and Noether [22], and multiparameter Lie groups and other generalisations have been invoked by some authors [e.g. 23–25]. Recently, there has been new interest in the rescaling of fluid flow equations using one-parameter Lie transformations, including of the Reynolds transport theorem, Navier-Stokes and RANS equations [26–28]. Separately, Sharma and co-workers [29] introduced spatial and spatiotemporal Koopman operators, to exploit underlying symmetries (coherent structures) in the Navier-Stokes equations. The connections between these operators, singular value decomposition (SVD) and dynamic mode decomposition (DMD) have been examined by several authors [e.g. 30–33]. Furthermore, many years ago, Flanders [34] viewed the Reynolds transport theorem as not merely a theorem of fluid mechanics, but a three-dimensional generalisation of the Leibniz rule for differentiation of an integral. If so, it could be far more general and powerful than its current usage might suggest. Flanders then extended the Reynolds transport theorem to the flow of an $r$-dimensional compact submanifold within an $n$-dimensional manifold described by a patchwork of local coordinate systems, expressed in the formalism of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Temporal map</th>
<th>Spatial map</th>
<th>Parametric map</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>$a(x, t)$ or $\alpha(x, t)$</td>
<td>Density $b(u, x)$ or $\beta(u, x)$</td>
<td>Field of differential $r$-forms $\omega^r$ or $0$-forms $\beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds transport theorem</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Temporal map" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Spatial map" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Parametric map" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>$p(x</td>
<td>t)d^3x$</td>
<td>$f(u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected value</td>
<td>Volumetric mean $\langle \alpha \rangle = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \alpha \rho d^3x$</td>
<td>Ensemble mean $\langle \beta \rangle = \iiint_{D(x)} \beta \rho d^3u$</td>
<td>Submanifold mean $\langle \beta \rangle_C = \iint_{\Omega(C)} \beta \rho^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liouville equation</td>
<td>$\frac{d\langle \alpha \rangle}{dt} = \left[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \right]$</td>
<td>$d\langle \beta \rangle = (d\beta)$</td>
<td>$d\langle \beta \rangle = (d\beta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\partial_p + \nabla_x \cdot (p u_{rel}) = 0$</td>
<td>$\nabla x f + \nabla u \cdot (f G_{rel}) = 0$</td>
<td>$\nabla x f + \nabla u \cdot (f G_{rel}) = 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolution operators</td>
<td>$p(x</td>
<td>t) = \hat{P}_t p(x</td>
<td>0)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha(x, t) = \hat{K}_t \alpha(x, 0)$</td>
<td>$\beta(u, x) = \hat{K}_x \beta(u, 0)$</td>
<td>$\beta_C = \hat{K}_C \beta_0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 1.** Summary of the formulations presented in this study (for definitions of symbols, see text).

exterior calculus [34, 35]. Recently this was extended to include fixed and evolving irregular domains on a manifold [36–38]. However, these formulations still provide only one-parameter (temporal) maps induced by a stationary or time-evolving velocity vector field.

In this article, we exploit a lesser-known connection between Reynolds averaging, Reynolds transport theorem and the Liouville equation, to derive new spatial and parametric forms of these equations. The Liouville equations in turn yield spatial and parametric analogues of the Perron-Frobenius and Koopman operators. The derived theorems and operators provide mappings between different domains (in various spaces) induced by a vector or tensor field associated with a conserved quantity. For the parametric derivation, we em-
ploy an extended exterior calculus that invokes vector generalisations of the Lie derivative and other operators, and the concept of a probability differential form. The formulations presented herein are summarised in Figure 1, and are supported by mathematical proofs given in the Supplementary Information (SI). The findings are demonstrated by application to a variety of flow and dynamical systems.

2. TEMPORAL ANALYSES

(a) Temporal Reynolds Transport Theorem

We revisit the standard or temporal formulation of the Reynolds transport theorem [3]. Consider a continuum based on the Eulerian description, in which each local property of a fluid is represented as a function of Cartesian coordinates \( \mathbf{x} = [x, y, z]^\top \) and time \( t \) as the fluid moves past. For fluid transport through an enclosed, moving, smoothly deformable control volume, the temporal Reynolds transport theorem can be written – using a slightly different notation to that common in fluid mechanics – as:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint_{\Omega(t)} a \, d^3 \mathbf{x} = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \, d^3 \mathbf{x} + \iiint_{\partial \Omega(t)} a \, u_{rel} \cdot d^2 \mathbf{x} = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (a \, u_{rel}) \right] \, d^3 \mathbf{x} \tag{2.1}
\]

where \( a(\mathbf{x}, t) \) is the concentration or density of a conserved property of a fluid (scalar, vector or tensor), expressed per unit volume, \( \Omega(t) \) is the deformable and moving domain (control volume), \( \partial \Omega(t) \) is the domain boundary (control surface), \( u_{rel}(\mathbf{x}, t) \) is the relative velocity between the fluid and domain, \( d/dt \) is the total derivative (here equivalent to the material or substantial derivative, often written \( D/Dt \)), \( \partial/\partial t \) is the derivative at fixed position, \( \nabla = \partial/\partial [x, y, z]^\top \) is the nabla operator with respect to \( \mathbf{x} \), \( d^3 \mathbf{x} = dV = dxdydz \) is an infinitesimal volume element in the domain, and \( d^2 \mathbf{x} = dA = n \, dA \) is an infinitesimal directed area element at the boundary, where \( n \) is the outward unit normal. In fluid mechanics, the domain \( \Omega(t) \) on the left-hand side of equation (2.1) is commonly interpreted as the fluid volume coincident with the control volume at time \( t \).

Proofs of equation (2.1) have been given using the tools of continuum mechanics [e.g. 3, 39–43], Lagrangian coordinate transformation [e.g. 34, 44, 45] and exterior calculus [34, 35]. It is also a special case of the Helmholtz transport theorem [40]. We give variants of the first two proofs in SI §A. We also examine an exterior calculus formulation in §4 (a).

In equation (2.1), we must carefully consider the meaning of the relative velocity \( u_{rel} \). In the surface integral form, it expresses the velocity of the fluid relative to the control volume at the boundary, so \( u_{rel} \cdot n \) is the volumetric flux normal to and out of the control surface. In the volumetric integral form, \( u_{rel} \) expresses the velocity of any point in the fluid relative to the domain. The latter thus invokes – by the Gauss-Ostrogradsky divergence theorem – the existence of a continuous and continuously differentiable vector field \( u_{rel} \) throughout the domain, which by continuity must extend throughout the entire space in which the domain can be present. For consistency, the total or substantial derivative should be defined with respect to this moving frame of reference (see discussion in SI §A):

\[
\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{Da}{Dt} := \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \nabla_a \cdot u_{rel} \tag{2.2}
\]
Combining equation (2.2) and the final form of (2.1) gives a total derivative form of the Reynolds transport theorem [e.g., 44]:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\iiint_{\Omega(t)} a\,d^3\mathbf{x} = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \left[\frac{da}{dt} + a\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel}\right] d^3\mathbf{x}$$

(2.3)

By kinematics, we further identify \(\mathbf{u}_{rel} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{\Omega(t)}\), where \(\mathbf{u}_{\Omega(t)}\) is the velocity of the domain and \(\mathbf{u}\) is the intrinsic velocity of the fluid [3, 41, 43]. For a stationary domain \(\mathbf{u}_{\Omega(t)} = 0\), both equations (2.1) and (2.3) reduce to intrinsic forms of the Reynolds transport theorem, based on the intrinsic velocity field \(\mathbf{u}\).

(b) Temporal Probabilistic Analysis and the Liouville Equation

The connections between Reynolds averaging, the Reynolds transport theorem and the Liouville equation are not widely known, but are reported by some authors [e.g. 46]. Consider a fluid flow system with the observables described using a multivariate random variable \(\Upsilon_x = [\Upsilon_x, \Upsilon_y, \Upsilon_z]^\top\) with values \(x\), and a random variable for time \(\Upsilon_t\) with values \(t\). This gives the instantaneous joint probability of position, at any time:

$$\text{Prob}\left(\begin{array}{c} x \leq \Upsilon_x \leq x + dx \\ y \leq \Upsilon_y \leq y + dy \\ z \leq \Upsilon_z \leq z + dz \end{array} \bigg| t \leq \Upsilon_t \leq t + dt\right) = p(x|t)\,d^3\mathbf{x} = p(x,y,z|t)\,dxdydz$$

(2.4)

based on the probability density function (pdf) \(p(x|t)\). The pdf satisfies normalisation, for any time \(t\):

$$1 = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} p(x|t)\,d^3\mathbf{x}$$

(2.5)

We also define the (time-dependent) volumetric average of an observable \(\alpha(x, t)\):

$$\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket (t) = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \alpha(x, t)\,p(x|t)\,d^3\mathbf{x}$$

(2.6)

Substituting \(a(x, t) = \alpha(x, t)p(x|t)\), in which \(\alpha(x, t)\) is a density of a conserved quantity, into the total derivative form of the temporal Reynolds transport theorem (2.3) gives:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\iiint_{\Omega(t)} \alpha p\,d^3\mathbf{x} = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \left[\frac{d(\alpha p)}{dt} + \alpha p\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel}\right] d^3\mathbf{x}$$

(2.7)

hence from the volumetric expectation notation (2.6) and total derivative (2.2):

$$\frac{d\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket}{dt} - \llbracket \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \rrbracket = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \alpha \left[\frac{dp}{dt} + p\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel}\right] d^3\mathbf{x} = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \alpha \left[\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (p\mathbf{u}_{rel})\right] d^3\mathbf{x}$$

(2.8)

† Commonly, random variables of observable quantities are denoted by corresponding capital letters [e.g. 47]. Due to clashes with standard symbols, we use a different notation here.
We thus see from equation (2.8) that for a continuous and differentiable function \( \alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \), the volumetric average (2.6) and total derivative operators will be commutable, i.e.,

\[
\frac{d[J][\alpha]}{dt} = \frac{d[\alpha]}{dt},
\]

if and only if, for all \( \mathbf{x} \in \Omega(t) \)

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (p \mathbf{u}_{\text{rel}}) = 0
\]

This is the standard or temporal Liouville equation for a fluid flow system, for conservation of the conditional pdf \( p(\mathbf{x}|t) \) under the relative velocity \( \mathbf{u}_{\text{rel}} \). A proof of the double implication is given in SI §B. The connections between the above proof and the properties of a Reynolds average are examined further in SI §C.

We note that the temporal Liouville equation (2.10) is also obtained directly from the Reynolds transport theorem by taking \( a(\mathbf{x}, t) = p(\mathbf{x}|t) \), whence \( d[1]/dt = [d1/dt] = 0 \), or by differentiation of equation (2.5). We also emphasise that the above proof of the Liouville equation (2.10)-(2.12) does not apply to discontinuous or non-differentiable \( \alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \), and important exceptions may occur, e.g., due to the velocity discontinuity in a shock wave or mixing layer. In such cases, the commutativity relation (2.9) may also be violated.

\( \text{(c) Temporal Perron-Frobenius and Koopman Operators} \)

Taking the analysis farther, the solution to equation (2.10) can be written as the probabilistic evolution equation \( p(\mathbf{x}|t) = \hat{P}_t p(\mathbf{x}|0) \), where \( \hat{P}_t \) is the Perron-Frobenius operator, with origin \( t = 0 \) measured in the relative coordinate system of \( t \) [e.g. 48, 49]. Examining a probability product, it is readily verified that this is linear, giving \( \hat{P}_t = \exp(t \hat{L}_t) \), in which \( \hat{L}_t \) is the (multiplicative) temporal Liouville operator defined by \( \hat{L}_t p = -\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (p \mathbf{u}_{\text{rel}}) \). The Koopman operator \( \hat{K}_t \) adjoint to \( \hat{P}_t \) can also be defined using the volumetric mean (2.6), based on the duality:

\[
[J]\alpha(t) = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \hat{P}_t p(\mathbf{x}|0) \, d^3\mathbf{x} = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \hat{K}_t \alpha(\mathbf{x}, 0) p(\mathbf{x}|t) \, d^3\mathbf{x}
\]

(2.11)

The Koopman operator provides an evolution equation for the observable \( \alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) = \hat{K}_t \alpha(\mathbf{x}, 0) \), and can be determined by spectral decomposition, with close connections to DMD [e.g. 13–19, 30–33].

\( \text{(d) Further Simplifications} \)

**Intrinsic Flows**: For a stationary frame of reference \( \mathbf{u}_{\Omega(t)} = 0 \), we recover the intrinsic Liouville equation [50]:

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot (p \mathbf{u}) = 0
\]

(2.12)
This expresses the local conservation of $p$ under its intrinsic motion [50]. This can be compared to the Fokker-Planck equation [7]:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (p \mathbf{u}) - \nabla^2_x : (D \mathbf{p}) = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

in which $\nabla^2_x = \nabla_x (\nabla_x)^\top$ is the second derivative or Hessian operator, $D$ is a diffusion tensor and $\cdot$ is the tensor scalar product. Evidently, the Fokker-Planck equation is inconsistent with Reynold’s transport theorem (2.1), and contains a pdf which is not conserved locally. The distinction lies in the fact that in equation (2.12), the pdf $p(x|t)$ is considered to extend over the entire domain $\Omega(t)$, whereas in equation (2.13) it also undergoes diffusion into previously unoccupied regions.

From dynamical systems theory, we can consider equation (2.12) to be induced by $d\mathbf{x}/dt = \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{F}$ is the (vector) propagator [51]. For incompressible or solenoidal flow $\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, equation (2.12) simplifies further to give the more common total derivative form:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla_x p \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{dp}{dt} = 0 \quad (2.14)$$

**Two-Dimensional Flows:** Alternatively, consider the special case of two-dimensional flow with position $\mathbf{x} = [x, y]^\top$ and relative velocity $\mathbf{u}_{rel} = [u_{rel}, v_{rel}]^\top$. If we define a stream function $\psi$ by the relations $u_{rel} = \partial \psi / \partial y$ and $v_{rel} = -\partial \psi / \partial x$ [cf. 39, 41], substitution in the general Liouville equation (2.10), using the relative solenoidal condition $\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel} = 0$, gives the Hamiltonian-like form:

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \right) = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

This definition allows for a moving control volume. The stream function $\psi$ will be normal to the relative velocity potential $\varphi$, defined for irrotational flow $\nabla_x \times \mathbf{u}_{rel} = 0$ by $\mathbf{u}_{rel} = \nabla_x \varphi$, hence $u_{rel} = \partial \varphi / \partial x$ and $v_{rel} = \partial \varphi / \partial y$ [39, 41]. For steady flows, these give a flow net of curvilinear orthogonal coordinates $(\psi, \varphi)$, tangential and normal to the relative velocity vector.

3. **THREE-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL ANALYSES**

(a) **Spatial Reynolds Transport Theorem**

We now examine a different class of flow systems, involving three-dimensional, time-independent laminar or turbulent flow of an incompressible fluid described by Eulerian phase space (volumetric and velocimetric) coordinates, in which each fluid property $b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x})$ is represented as a local function of velocity $\mathbf{u} = [u, v, w]^\top$ and position $\mathbf{x} = [x, y, z]^\top$. This representation encompasses steady (stationary) flow systems, invoking the notion of statistical stationarity. The position coordinates are considered to be independent. For this formulation, the temporal Reynolds transport theorem (2.1) can be generalised to give a three-dimensional spatial Reynolds transport theorem (more precisely a transformation
\[ d \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})} b d^3\mathbf{u} = \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})} \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} b \, d^3\mathbf{u} + \iiint_{\partial\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})} b \mathbf{G}_{\text{rel}} \cdot d^2\mathbf{u} \cdot d\mathbf{x} = \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})} \left( \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} b + \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (b \mathbf{G}_{\text{rel}}) \right) d^3\mathbf{u} \cdot d\mathbf{x} \]

(3.1)

where \( b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) \) is the density of a conserved property of a fluid (scalar, vector or tensor), expressed per unit of velocity volume, \( d \) is the differential operator, \( d^3\mathbf{u} = d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{v} d\mathbf{w} \) is an infinitesimal velocimetric element in the domain, \( d^2\mathbf{u} \) is an infinitesimal directed area element at the boundary, \( d\mathbf{x} = [dx, dy, dz]^\top \) is the differential of (vector) position, \( \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) \) is the deformable and moving domain in velocity space, \( \partial\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) \) is the domain boundary (velocity surface), \( \mathbf{G}_{\text{rel}} = \mathbf{G}_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) \) is the (relative) velocity gradient tensor field\(^\dagger\), and we use the \( \partial(\rightarrow)/\partial(\downarrow) \) convention for vector derivatives, hence \( \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} = \partial/\partial\mathbf{x} \) is the spatial gradient operator, and \( \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} = \partial/\partial\mathbf{u} \) is the gradient operator in velocity space. The second form in equation (3.1) assumes a continuous and continuously differentiable tensor field \( \mathbf{G}_{\text{rel}} \), which extends over the entire velocity space within which the domain \( \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) \) is embedded. For consistency with the vector derivative convention, the vector-tensor dot product in equation (3.1) is defined by the matrix operation \( \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (b \mathbf{G}_{\text{rel}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{u}}^\top (b \mathbf{G}_{\text{rel}}^\top) \).

Two distinct proofs of equation (3.1) are given in SI §D. Moreover, equation (3.1) can also be derived from a general exterior calculus formulation, presented in §4 (a) and SI §E.

The formulation (3.1) bears many similarities to the treatment of molecular systems in phase space [50] (examined in §5), but here the integrals extend only over the velocity space, following long-standing tradition for the mathematical description of turbulent flow [e.g. 4, 52, 53]. The physical interpretation of equation (3.1) is analogous to that for the temporal formulation (2.1): a differential change in the integral of a local quantity \( b(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}) \) over the velocity space can be subdivided into changes which occur within the velocity domain \( \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) \), and changes which take place due to (spatial) translations into or out of the domain through the velocity surface \( \partial\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) \). Using a velocimetric form of the divergence theorem, this is equivalent to the sum of changes within the domain and changes arising from a divergence term.

As with the temporal formulation, we consider a relative velocity gradient which decomposes into two components:

\[ \mathbf{G}_{\text{rel}} = \mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})} \]  

(3.2)

where \( \mathbf{G} \) is the intrinsic field and \( \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})} \) is an additive term to enable a smoothly-varying tensorial frame of reference. For flow of a compressible Newtonian fluid, the intrinsic velocity gradient is related (implicitly) to the shear stress tensor field, here defined positive in compression [54, 55]

\[ \tau = -\mu(\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}^\top) - \lambda \delta \Delta \]

(3.3)

where \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, \( \lambda \) is the second or dilatational viscosity, \( \delta \) is the Kronecker delta tensor and \( \Delta = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{u} \) is the divergence of the velocity field.

\(^\dagger\) In fluid mechanics, the velocity gradient tensor field is commonly denoted \( \partial \mathbf{u}/\partial \mathbf{x} \) or \( \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u} \) with components \( \partial u_j/\partial x_i \), but this notation invokes a different meaning of \( \mathbf{u} \) (see discussion in SI D). To avoid this we use a separate symbol.
In consequence, for this category of flow systems expressed using \((u, x)\) coordinates, the velocity gradient tensor field – or equivalently, the shear stress tensor field – provides an intrinsic spatial connection between different velocimetric domains. This is similar to the way in which, for a flow system described by \((x, t)\) coordinates, the velocity field provides an intrinsic temporal connection – a transport equation – between different volumetric domains.

(b) Spatial Probabilistic Analysis and the Liouville Equation

Now consider a probabilistic form of the spatial formulation, based on the three-dimensional random variable for the velocity vector \(\Upsilon_u = [\Upsilon_u, \Upsilon_v, \Upsilon_w]^{\top}\) with values \(u\), subject to the three-dimensional random variable for position \(\Upsilon_x = [\Upsilon_x, \Upsilon_y, \Upsilon_z]^{\top}\) with values \(x\). The local joint probability of \(\Upsilon_u\), conditioned on \(\Upsilon_x\), is:

\[
\text{Prob}\left(\begin{array}{c}
u \leq \Upsilon_u \leq u + du \\
y \leq \Upsilon_y \leq y + dy \\
z \leq \Upsilon_z \leq z + dz
\end{array}\right) = f(u|x) d^3u = f(u,v,w|x,y,z) dudvdw
\]

(3.4)

where \(f(u|x)\) is a joint conditional pdf. Although not usually written in conditional form, we can recognise \(f(u|x)\) – more commonly written \(f(u|r)\) as a function of relative position \(r\) – as the basis of the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes formulation, and the single-position correlation functions of turbulent fluid mechanics [e.g. 4, 52, 53, 56].

Taking the domain \(\mathcal{D}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3\) of the velocity space to be a function of \(x\), the pdf will be normalised at each position \(x\):

\[
1 = \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} f(u|x) d^3u
\]

(3.5)

For any local quantity \(\beta(u, x)\) – whether scalar, vector or tensor – we define the conditional expectation:

\[
\langle \beta \rangle(x) = \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \beta(u, x) f(u|x) d^3u
\]

(3.6)

This can be interpreted physically as the local ensemble mean of \(\beta(u, x)\), i.e. its average over all values of the instantaneous velocity \(u \in \mathcal{D}(x)\) at position \(x\). In many studies, equation (3.6) is assumed equivalent to the local time mean \(\overline{\beta}(x)\). In the present work, we maintain the most general interpretation of equation (3.6), without any ergodic hypothesis.

Substitution of \(b(u, x) = \beta(u, x)f(u|x)\), in which \(\beta(u, x)\) is also conserved, into the spatial Reynolds transport theorem (3.1) gives:

\[
d\langle \beta \rangle = \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \left( \nabla_x (\beta f) + \nabla_u \cdot (\beta f \mathbf{G}_{rel}) \right) d^3u \cdot dx
\]

\[
= \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} f \left( \nabla_x \beta + \nabla_u \beta \cdot \mathbf{G}_{rel} \right) d^3u \cdot dx + \left[ \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \beta (\nabla_x f + \nabla_u \cdot (f \mathbf{G}_{rel})) d^3u \right] \cdot dx
\]

(3.7)
Using $u_j$ from $[u_1, u_2, u_3] = [u, v, w]$ and the expansion

$$
\langle d\beta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_i} \right) dx_i + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_j} \right) du_j = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial G_{rel,ij}} \right) \right] dx_i
$$

(3.8)

where $G_{rel,ij} = (\partial u_j/\partial x_i)_{rel}$ is the $ij$th component of the relative velocity gradient, equation (3.7) rearranges to the expectation form

$$
d\langle \beta \rangle - \langle d\beta \rangle = \left[ \iint_{D(x)} \beta (\nabla_x f + \nabla_u (f G_{rel})) d^3 u \right] \cdot dx
$$

(3.9)

The Reynolds commutativity, in this case expressed by

$$
d\langle \beta \rangle = \langle d\beta \rangle
$$

(3.10)

for a continuous and differentiable function $\beta$, is therefore satisfied if and only if the following three-dimensional spatial Liouville equation is valid for all $u \in D(x)$:

$$
\nabla_x f + \nabla_u (f G_{rel}) = 0
$$

(3.11)

Equation (3.11) implies that each spatial component must independently be equal to zero:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \nabla_u (f G_{rel,i}) = 0, \quad \forall x_i \in [x, y, z]
$$

(3.12)

where $G_{rel,i} = \partial u_i/\partial x_i$ is the $i$th row of the velocity gradient tensor. The proof of the double implication between equations (3.10) and (3.11) is identical, apart from different symbols, to that for the temporal formulation given in SI §B. The connections between this analysis and the Reynolds average are examined in SI §C.

We note that the spatial Liouville equation (3.11) is obtained directly from the Reynolds transport theorem by $\beta = 1$, or by differentiation of equation (3.5). We also again emphasise that if the velocity gradient is not continuous or continuously differentiable, the spatial Liouville equation (3.11)-(3.12) may be invalid, e.g., due to a discontinuity in the velocity gradient. In such cases, the commutativity relation (3.10) may also be invalid.

Despite an extensive search, we have not identified any previous report of the three-dimensional or one-dimensional spatial Liouville equation (3.11)-(3.12) in the fluid mechanics or physics literature, or even in the probability literature. A contributing factor may be that in the traditional Liouville equation derived by Gibbs [57], based on the pdf $f(q, \dot{q} | t)$ in $6N$-dimensional phase space (where $q$ and $\dot{q}$ are position and momentum vectors), all parameters are functions of time, leading exclusively to a temporal Liouville equation [e.g. 58, 59]. Spatial Liouville equations are also accessible using the apparatus of exterior calculus (see §4 (a)), but we have not found any previous study to do so – noting that this requires a multiparameter Lie derivative, divorcing this operator from the concept of physical time.

(c) Spatial Perron-Frobenius and Koopman Operators

Taking the analysis farther, the solution to equation (3.11) is $f(u|x) = \hat{P}_x f(u|0)$, using a three-dimensional spatial Perron-Frobenius operator $\hat{P}_x$, in which the origin $x = 0$ is measured in the relative coordinate system of $x$. Again this is linear, giving $\hat{P}_x = \exp(x \cdot \hat{L}_x)$,
in which \( \hat{L}_x \) is a vector spatial Liouville operator defined by \( \hat{L}_x f = - \nabla_u \cdot (f \mathbf{G}_{rel}) \). The adjoint three-dimensional spatial Koopman operator \( \hat{K}_x \), defined using the duality

\[
\langle \beta \rangle (x) = \iint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \beta(u, x) \hat{P}_x f(u|0) \, d^3u = \iint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \hat{K}_x \beta(u, 0) f(u|x) \, d^3u
\]

(3.13)
gives the spatial evolution equation \( \beta(u, x) = \hat{K}_x \beta(u, 0) \). We note the connection between \( \hat{K}_x \) and Koopman operators derived for other partial derivative equations, under different contexts [60].

In consequence, if one has local information on a time-independent flow system at one position, either in probabilistic form or in the form of a conserved observable property, it is possible to extrapolate this information using the spatial Perron-Frobenius and Koopman operators to all positions within the velocity gradient field. These operators therefore provide new tools for the analysis of time-independent dynamical systems.

(d) Further Simplifications

**Intrinsic Velocity Gradients**: For a fixed velocity gradient frame of reference \( \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{D}(x)} = 0 \), we obtain the intrinsic spatial Liouville equation:

\[
\nabla_x f + \nabla_u \cdot (f \mathbf{G}) = 0
\]

(3.14)
expressing the natural variation of \( f \) with \( x \). Equation (3.14) can be considered to be induced by \( \mathbf{G} = \Xi(u) \), a system of spatial partial differential equations with tensor operator \( \Xi \).

For incompressible or solenoidal flow \( \nabla_x \cdot u = 0 \) of a Newtonian fluid with a symmetric shear stress tensor, reduction of equation (3.3) to the explicit relation \( \mathbf{G} = -\tau/2\mu \) gives the simplified spatial Liouville equation:

\[
\nabla_x f - \nabla_u \cdot f \frac{\tau}{2\mu} = 0
\]

(3.15)
or if the shear stress tensor is homogenous in velocity space:

\[
\nabla_x f - \frac{\tau}{2\mu} \cdot \nabla_u f = 0
\]

(3.16)

**One-Dimensional Geometries**: For flows with a one-directional velocity gradient aligned with one \( x_i \), from \([x_1, x_2, x_3] \), for example plane parallel flow in the zone of established flow, the above analysis will reduce to a one-dimensional spatial Reynolds theorem, which can be written as the total derivative:

\[
\frac{d}{dx_i} \iint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} b \, d^3u = \iint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} \, d^3u + \iint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} b \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \cdot d^2u = \iint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \left[ \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} + \nabla_u \cdot (b \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{D}(x)}) \right] \, d^3u
\]

(3.17)

Substituting \( b = \beta f \), the Reynolds commutativity \( d\langle \beta \rangle/dx_i = \langle d\beta/dx_i \rangle \) gives a single one-dimensional Liouville equation in the form of equation (3.12).
Two-Dimensional Velocity Gradients: A special case involves a two-dimensional velocity vector \( \mathbf{u} = [u, v] \) with gradients in a single direction \( x_i \), leading to a velocity gradient vector \( (du/dx_i)_{rel} = [(du/dx_i)_{rel}, (dv/dx_i)_{rel}] \) (here reverting to traditional notation). If this is also subject to the no-divergence condition \( \nabla \cdot (du/dx_i)_{rel} = 0 \), we can define a spatial stream function or velocity gradient function \( \gamma \) by \( (du/dx_i)_{rel} = \left[ \partial \gamma / \partial v, -\partial \gamma / \partial u \right] \). Substitution into the one-dimensional spatial Liouville equation (3.12) gives the Hamiltonian form:

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial v} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial u} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \right) = 0 \quad (3.18)
\]

For a curl-free gradient \( \nabla \times (du/dx_i)_{rel} = 0 \), the function \( \gamma \) will be normal to a velocity gradient potential \( \zeta \) defined by \( (du/dx_i)_{rel} = \nabla u \zeta = \left[ \partial \zeta / \partial u, \partial \zeta / \partial v \right] \). For constant gradients these give a gradient net of curvilinear orthogonal coordinates \( (\gamma, \zeta) \), tangential and normal to the velocity gradient vector.

4. GENERAL FORMULATIONS

(a) Exterior Calculus Formulation

The above analyses can be generalised to the analysis of differential forms. Let \( V \) be a smooth vector or tensor field on an \( n \)-dimensional manifold \( M^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \), in which there is an \( r \)-dimensional oriented compact submanifold \( \Omega^r \subset M^n \). If the field trajectories (tangent bundles) are independent of the \( m \)-dimensional parameter vector \( C \) (which could include time \( t \)), they will generate the “flow” \( \phi_C : M^n \rightarrow M^n \), which will map the submanifold to \( \Omega^r(C) = \phi_C \Omega^r(0) \) at \( C \). For this we can construct a generalised Reynolds transport theorem (more precisely, a transformation theorem) for the \( r \)-form \( \omega^r \) in \( \Omega^r \), based on parametric versions of differential operators:

\[
\frac{d}{dC} \int_{\Omega(C)} \omega^r = \int_{\Omega(0)} \mathcal{L}^{(C)}_V \omega^r \cdot dC = \int_{\Omega(0)} \left( \int_{\partial \Omega(C)} i_V^{(C)} d\omega^r + \int_{\Omega(0)} i_V^{(C)} \omega^r \right) \cdot dC = \int_{\Omega(0)} \left( \int_{\partial \Omega(C)} i_V^{(C)} d\omega^r + d(i_V^{(C)} \omega^r) \right) \cdot dC \quad (4.1)
\]

where \( d \) is now the exterior derivative, \( \partial \Omega(C) \) is the submanifold boundary, \( \mathcal{L}^{(C)}_V \) is a multiparameter Lie derivative with respect to \( V \) over parameters \( C \), \( i_V^{(C)} \) is a multiparameter interior product with respect to \( V \) over parameters \( C \), and “\( \cdot \)” is the usual vector scalar product (dot product). The multiparameter operators used in equation (4.1), and its proof, are given in SI §E.

We then define the expected value of a function (0-form) \( \beta \) on the submanifold by:

\[
\bar{\beta}^{\Omega}(C) = \int_{\Omega(C)} \beta \rho^r, \text{ with } \rho^r \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega(C)} \rho^r = 1 \quad (4.2)
\]

where \( \rho^r = \rho^r_C \) is the underlying probability \( r \)-form conditioned on \( C \). Substituting \( \omega^r = \beta \rho^r \) in equation (4.1), there will be commutativity \( d(\bar{\beta})^{\Omega} = \bar{d(\beta)}^{\Omega} \) if and only if the generalised...
Liouville equation is satisfied

\[ \mathcal{L}^{(C)} \rho^r = i^{(C)}_V d\rho^r + d(i^{(C)}_V \rho^r) = 0 \]  

(4.3)

This expresses the local conservation of the probability \( r \)-form \( \rho^r \) under the (intrinsic) variation of its conditions.

Finally, the solution to equation (4.3) can be written as \( \rho^r_C = \hat{P}_C \rho^r_0 \), which defines an exterior Perron-Frobenius operator \( \hat{P}_C \). From the moment (4.2), this will have an adjoint exterior Koopman operator \( \hat{K}_C \) defined by the observable map \( \beta_C = \hat{K}_C \beta_0 \).

Examining the literature, although multiparameter Lie groups and other generalisations have been examined [e.g., 23–25], neither the full multiparameter transformation equation (4.1) nor its associated Liouville equation (4.3) appear to have been reported previously. The coordinate augmentation method used for previous analyses of time-dependent flows [35], based on an augmented Lie derivative, is rather different to the treatment here, although it serves a similar purpose. For one-parameter flows \( C = t \), equation (4.1) reduces to the exterior calculus formulation of the temporal Reynolds transport theorem [35]. A temporal Liouville equation has also been written for an arbitrary conserved \( r \)-form in terms of the standard Lie derivative [e.g., 61], but not (we believe) in terms of a probability \( r \)-form. The inherent subtleties in the definition of a probability form are discussed further in SI §F.

(b) Parametric Formulation

If \( \omega^n \) is an \( n \)-dimensional compact material form based on the density \( b(X, C) \) in the \( n \)-dimensional space described by the global coordinates \( X \), and is a function of the \( m \)-dimensional “flow” parameter \( C \), equation (4.1) reduces to a generalised parametric Reynolds transport theorem:

\[
\int_{\Omega(C)} b d^n X = \int_{\Omega(C)} \nabla_C b d^n X + \int_{\partial \Omega(C)} b (\nabla_C X) \cdot d^{n-1} X \cdot dC \\
= \left[ \int_{\Omega(C)} \left[ \nabla_C b + \nabla_X \cdot \{b(\nabla_C X)\} \right] d^n X \right] \cdot dC 
\]  

(4.4)

in which vector operators are extended naturally to their \( n \)- and \( m \)-dimensional variants. For consistency with the \( \partial(\rightarrow)/\partial(\downarrow) \) convention, the vector-tensor dot product in equation (4.4) is again defined by \( \nabla_X \cdot \{b(\nabla_C X)\} = \nabla^T_X \{b(\nabla_C X)^T\} \).

Substituting \( b(X, C) = \beta(X, C) \hat{p}(X|C) \) based on pdf \( \hat{p}(X|C) \), and defining the moment \( \langle \beta \rangle_C = \int_{\Omega(C)} \beta(X, C) \hat{p}(X|C) d^n X \), then from equation (4.4), the commutativity relation \( d(\beta) = 0 \) will be valid if and only if the parametric Liouville equation applies for all \( X \in \Omega(C) \):

\[ \nabla_C \hat{p} + \nabla_X \cdot \{ \hat{p}(\nabla_C X) \} = 0 \]  

(4.5)

This expresses the conservation of the pdf \( \hat{p}(X|C) \) under the (intrinsic) variation of its parameters \( C \).

We further note that equation (4.5) is induced by the dynamical system \( \nabla_C X = F(X) \) with operator \( F \). Its solution can be written \( \hat{p}(X|C) = \hat{P}_C \hat{p}(X|0) \) using a linear parametric
Perron-Frobenius operator $\hat{P}_C = \exp(C \cdot \hat{L}_C)$, in which the origin $C = 0$ is measured in the relative coordinate system of $C$. Here $\hat{L}_C$ is a parametric Liouville operator defined by $\hat{L}_C \hat{p} = -\nabla \hat{x} \cdot \{ \hat{p}(\nabla_C \hat{X}) \}$. The adjoint parametric Koopman operator $\hat{K}_C$, defined via the moment $\langle \beta \rangle$, gives the observable equation $\beta(X, C) = \hat{K}_C \beta(X, 0)$.

5. APPLICATIONS

We now have the apparatus to construct multidimensional parametric Liouville equations for a variety of physical systems. Several examples are listed (in intrinsic form) below:

(1) **Spatiotemporal fluid flow systems** with spatially and time-varying flow described by $\hat{p}(u(x, t)|x, t)$, giving joint spatial and temporal Liouville equations:

$$\begin{cases}
\nabla_x \hat{p} + \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} G_1) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} u) = 0
\end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

with $\dot{u} = \partial u/\partial t$. Using the four-dimensional operator $\nabla_x = [\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z, \partial/\partial t]^\top$ and tensor-vector field $\hat{G} = [G, \dot{u}]^\top = \nabla_x u$, this can be written as:

$$\nabla_x \hat{p} + \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} \hat{G}) = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

This can be considered induced by $\hat{G} = F(u)$. The Liouville equation (5.2) and moment $\langle \beta \rangle$ then give the spatiotemporal maps $\hat{p}(u(x, t)|x, t) = \hat{P}_{x,t} \hat{p}(u(0, 0)|0, 0)$ and $\beta(u, x, t) = \hat{K}_{x,t} \beta(u, 0, 0)$, invoking spatiotemporal operators $\hat{P}_{x,t} = \exp([x, t] \hat{L}_{x,t})$, $\hat{L}_{x,t} \hat{p} = -\nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} \hat{G}) = -\nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} F(u))$ and $\hat{K}_{x,t}$. The connections between these operators and those examined recently in the literature [e.g. 29, 30, 32, 33] warrant further examination.

Previously, time-dependent flows have been treated differently, by augmentation of the manifold $M^n$ to include the time coordinate [35]. Here, we use a multidimensional “flow” $\phi^{x,t}$, bringing additional conditions into the probability form or pdf.

(2) **Spatiotemporal fluid flow systems with pairwise correlations**: these invoke the pairwise pdf $\hat{p}(u_1(x_1, t)\, u_2(x_2, t)|x_1, x_2, t)$ [e.g. 4, 52, 53], whence:

$$\begin{cases}
\nabla_{x_1} \hat{p} + \nabla_{u_1} \cdot (\hat{p} G_{1,1}) = 0 \\
\nabla_{x_2} \hat{p} + \nabla_{u_2} \cdot (\hat{p} G_{2,2}) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \nabla_{u_1} \cdot (\hat{p} \dot{u}_1) + \nabla_{u_2} \cdot (\hat{p} \dot{u}_2) = 0
\end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

where $\nabla_{x_i}$ is based on $x_i$, $\dot{u}_i = \partial u_i/\partial t$ and $G_{i} = \nabla_{x_i} u_i$. This expresses the dynamical system $\nabla_{x_1,x_2} \{ u_1, u_2 \} = F(u_1, u_2)$. Previous workers give only the temporal equation [e.g. 62]. The Liouville equation (5.3) and two-point moments $\langle \beta \rangle(x_1, x_2, t)$ then give the pairwise maps $\hat{p}(u_1, u_2|x_1, x_2, t) = \hat{P}_{x_1,x_2,t} \hat{p}(u_1, u_2|0, 0, 0)$ and $\beta(u_1, u_2, x_1, x_2, t) = \hat{K}_{x_1,x_2,t} \beta(u_1, u_2, 0, 0, 0)$, invoking the pairwise operators $\hat{P}_{x_1,x_2,t} = \exp([x_1, x_2, t] \hat{L}_{x_1,x_2,t})$, $\hat{L}_{x_1,x_2,t} \hat{p} = -\nabla_{u_1,u_2} \cdot (\hat{p} F(u_1, u_2))$ and $\hat{K}_{x_1,x_2,t}$.

For homogenous turbulence $x_1 \to x, x_2 \to x + r, u_1 \to u_0, u_2 \to u_r$, the pdf reduces to $\hat{p}(u_0(t), u_r(r,t)|r, t)$ [4, 52], transforming equation (5.3):

$$\begin{cases}
\nabla_r \hat{p} + \nabla_{u_r} \cdot (\hat{p} G_r) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \nabla_{u_0} \cdot (\hat{p} \dot{u}_0) + \nabla_{u_r} \cdot (\hat{p} \dot{u}_r) = 0
\end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$
using $G_0 = \nabla_x u_0 = 0$ and $G_r = \nabla_r u_r$. This is induced by the dynamical system $\nabla_{r,t}[u_0, u_r] = \mathcal{F}(u_0, u_r)$, and gives maps with simplified homogeneous operators $\dot{P}_{r,t} = \exp([r, t] L_{r,t}), \dot{L}_{r,t} = -\nabla_{u_0, u_r} \cdot (\dot{p} \mathcal{F}(u_0, u_r))$ and $\dot{K}_{r,t}$. In isotropic flow, the velocity gradient is constant in all directions $G_r = \delta d|u_r|/dr = -\tau \delta/\mu$, allowing further simplification.

(3) **Spatiotemporal fluid flow systems with $n$-wise correlations**: the previous system can be extended to triadic, quartic or $n$-wise correlations, based on the pdf $\hat{p}(u_1(x_1, t), ..., u_n(x_n, t)|x_1, ..., x_n, t)$ [4, 52, 53]. The Liouville system is then:

$$\begin{cases}
\nabla_x \hat{p} + \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} G_i) = 0, \forall i \in \{1, ..., n\} \\
\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} u_i) = 0
\end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

The dynamical system can be written as $\nabla_{x_1, ..., x_n,t}[u_1^\top, ..., u_n^\top] = \mathcal{F}(u_1, ..., u_n)$. The Liouville system (5.5) and $n$-point moments then give the maps $\hat{p} = \hat{P}_{x_1, ..., x_n,t} \hat{p}_0$ and $\beta = \hat{K}_{x_1, ..., x_n,t} \beta_0$, based on multipoint operators $\hat{P}_{x_1, ..., x_n,t} = \exp([x_1, ..., x_n, t] L_{x_1, ..., x_n,t}), \hat{L}_{x_1, ..., x_n,t} = -\nabla_{u_1, ..., u_n} \cdot (\hat{p} \mathcal{F}(u_1, ..., u_n))$ and $\hat{K}_{x_1, ..., x_n,t}$.

(4) **Phase space systems** (including molecular gases) described by $\hat{p}(u(t), x(t)|t)$, with $u$ and $x$ now defined as $6N$-vectors to represent $N$ particles, giving the combined Liouville equation (here reverting to traditional notation):

$$\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot \left( \hat{p} \frac{dx}{dt} \right) + \nabla_u \cdot \left( \hat{p} \frac{du}{dt} \right) = 0 \quad (5.6)$$

This expresses the dynamical system $d[u, x]^\top/dt = \mathcal{F}(u, x)$. Indeed, the Boltzmann equation (usually written with $x \mapsto q$ and $u \mapsto \dot{q}$ for positions $q$ and momenta $\dot{q}$) can be written in this form [63, 64]. The Liouville equation (5.6) and moment $\langle \beta \rangle$ then give the phase space maps $\hat{p}(u, x|t) = \hat{P}_t \hat{p}(u, x|0)$ and $\beta(u, x, t) = \hat{K}_t \beta(u, x, 0)$, invoking temporal forms of the Perron-Frobenius and Koopman operators, now with Liouville operator $\hat{L}_t \hat{p} = -\nabla_{u,x} \cdot (\hat{p} \mathcal{F}(u, x))$.

Making the further assumption of zero-divergence flow [65, 66] $\nabla_{x,u}[\dot{x}, \dot{u}] = 0$ gives Liouville's theorem as written by Gibbs [57, 63]:

$$\frac{d\hat{p}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \left( \nabla_x \hat{p} \cdot \frac{dx}{dt} + \nabla_u \hat{p} \cdot \frac{du}{dt} \right) = 0 \quad (5.7)$$

This is the oft-quoted statement of “conservation of phase”, a special case of the more general result (5.6). Introducing the Hamiltonian relations:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}, \quad \frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \quad (5.8)$$

this reduces to the Hamiltonian form [50, 58, 63]:

$$\frac{d\hat{p}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \left( \nabla_x \hat{p} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} - \nabla_u \hat{p} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \right) = 0 \quad (5.9)$$

It is readily verified that the Hamiltonian form (5.8) satisfies zero divergence [66].
(5) **Lagrangian fluid flow systems** described by $\hat{p}(x|x_0, t)$ based on the initial position $x_0$ [4, 45]. These have joint spatial and temporal Liouville equations:

$$
\begin{cases}
\nabla x_0 \hat{p} + \nabla_x \cdot (\hat{p} J) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (\hat{p} u) = 0
\end{cases}
(5.10)
$$

where $\nabla x_0$ is based on $x_0$ and $J = \nabla x_0 x$. This can be summarised by

$$
\nabla x_0 \hat{p} + \nabla_x \cdot (\hat{p} \tilde{J}) = 0
(5.11)
$$

where $\tilde{J} = \nabla x_0 x$. This is induced by the system $\tilde{J} = \mathcal{F}(x)$. The Liouville equation (5.11) and moment $\langle \beta \rangle$ then give the Lagrangian maps $\hat{p}(x|x_0, t) = \hat{P}_{x_0,t} \hat{p}(x|0, 0)$ and $\beta(x, x_0, t) = \hat{K}_{x_0,t} \beta(x, 0, 0)$, invoking the Lagrangian operators $\hat{P} = \exp([x_0, t] \cdot L_{x_0,t})$, $\hat{\beta} = -\nabla \beta \cdot (\hat{p} \tilde{J})$ and $\hat{K}_{x_0,t}$.

(6) **Spectral flow systems** with observables $\beta(u, k, t)$ and pdf $\hat{p}(u|\kappa, t)$, where $\hat{u}$ is the modal amplitude vector and $\kappa$ the wavenumber vector [e.g. 53]. These have the joint Liouville equations:

$$
\begin{cases}
\nabla_k \hat{p} + \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} \Gamma) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} \hat{u}) = 0
\end{cases}
(5.12)
$$

using $\Gamma = \nabla_k \hat{u}$ and $\hat{u} = \partial u/\partial t$. This is induced by the system $\tilde{\Gamma} = \nabla_k \hat{u} = \mathcal{F}(u)$. Equation (5.12) and the spectral moment $\langle \beta \rangle$ then give the maps $\hat{p}(u|\kappa, t) = \hat{P}_{\kappa,t} \hat{p}(u|0, 0)$ and $\beta(u, \kappa, t) = \hat{K}_{\kappa,t} \beta(u, 0, 0)$, invoking spectral operators $\hat{P}_{\kappa,t} = \exp([\kappa, t] \hat{L}_{\kappa,t})$, $\hat{\beta} = -\nabla \beta \cdot (\hat{p} \mathcal{F}(u))$ and $\hat{K}_{\kappa,t}$. Many other Fourier-transformed dynamical systems – in space and/or time – can be analysed in a similar manner.

(7) **Chemical reaction and flow systems** described by $\hat{p}(m, u|x, t)$, where $m$ is the vector of mass (or molar) concentrations of different species, with joint Liouville equations:

$$
\begin{cases}
\nabla x \hat{p} + \nabla_m \cdot (\hat{p} M) + \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} G) = 0 \\
\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial t} + \nabla_m \cdot (\hat{p} m) + \nabla_u \cdot (\hat{p} \hat{u}) = 0
\end{cases}
(5.13)
$$

using $\nabla m = \partial / \partial m$ and $M = \nabla x m$, hence $\nabla x [m, u] = \mathcal{F}(m, u)$. These in turn give the maps $\hat{p}(m, u|x, t) = \hat{P}_{x,t} \hat{p}(m,u|0,0)$ and $\beta(m, u, x, t) = \hat{K}_{x,t} \beta(m, u, 0,0)$, invoking spatiotemporal Perron-Frobenius and Koopman operators similar to those in part (1), now with Liouville operator $\hat{L}_{x,t} \hat{p} = -\nabla m \cdot (\hat{p} \mathcal{F}(m, u))$. These relations give a very different approach for the probabilistic analysis of reactive dynamical systems (cf. [67]).

(8) **Chemical reaction-dependent flow systems**, in general described by the $r$-form $\rho_{x,m,t}$, a function of local velocity $u$ conditioned on $x$, $m$ and $t$, giving the Liouville equation system:

$$
\mathcal{L}^{(x,m,t)}_u \rho^r = \int u^{(x,m,t)} d\rho^r + d \int u^{(x,m,t)} \rho^r = 0
(5.14)
$$

For global velocity coordinates these reduce to equation (5.1) and $\nabla m \hat{p} + \nabla u \cdot (\hat{p} K) = 0$ with $K = \nabla m u$ for variations in chemical species, induced by the system $[\nabla x, \nabla m, \partial / \partial t]^T u = \nabla x m u = \mathcal{F}(u)$. These in turn give the spatiochemiotemporal maps $\hat{p}(u|x, m, t) = \hat{P}_{x,m,t} \hat{p}(u|0,0,0)$ and $\beta(u, x, m, t) = \hat{K}_{x,m,t} \beta(u, 0,0,0)$, invoking spatiochemiotemporal operators $\hat{P}_{x,m} = \exp([x, m] \hat{L}_{x,m,t})$, $\hat{\beta} = -\nabla u \cdot (\hat{p} \mathcal{F}(u))$ and $\hat{K}_{x,m,t}$.
6. CONCLUSION

We present new spatial and generalised parametric forms of the Reynolds transport theorem, Liouville equation and Perron-Frobenius and Koopman operators, providing continuous maps between different elements or domains in various spaces, described by the integral curves of a vector or tensor field. The spatial formulation provides spatial maps between different positions in a time-independent flow field, connected by a velocity gradient tensor field, while the parametric formulation provides parametric maps between different positions in a manifold, connected by a vector or tensor field. The parametric formulation invokes multivariate extensions of several exterior calculus operators, including the flow, pullback, pushforward, Lie derivative and interior product, and the concept of a probability differential form. The analyses reveal the existence of multivariate continuous (Lie) symmetries – in time, space and/or parametric coordinates – induced by a vector or tensor field associated with a conserved quantity, which will be manifested in subsidiary conservation laws such as the continuity, Navier-Stokes and energy equations. The analyses therefore significantly expand the scope of available methods for the reduction of fluid flow and dynamical systems.

To demonstrate their breadth, we present new formulations of the Liouville equations, Perron-Frobenius and Koopman operators for a number of prominent case study systems in fluid mechanics and dynamical systems. These include spatial (time-independent) and spatiotemporal fluid flows, flow systems with pairwise or n-wise correlations, phase space systems, Lagrangian flows, spectral flows, and systems with coupled chemical reaction and flow processes.

This study opens a number of important avenues for further research. The new spatial equations and operators enable new analyses of spatial maps in time-stationary flows, while the parametric formulations enable applications to a diverse range of other dynamical systems governed by a conservation equation. These include analyses of the multiparameter Lie symmetries associated with the Reynolds transport theorem and its subsidiary conservation laws, extending previous one-parameter treatments [e.g. 26–28]. The connection between spatiotemporal Lie symmetries and coherent structures, for example as identified in the Navier-Stokes equations [e.g. 29], also requires further analysis. Further research is also needed in the extension of the temporal Perron-Frobenius and Koopman operators [e.g. 13–19] to their (vector) spatial and parametric counterparts. The role of the conditions to the pdf or probability differential form (such as time, position or parametric space) – especially the effects of fluctuations or stochastic phenomena on their “stationarity” – demand further study. The connections between the extended Lie symmetries and other diffeomorphisms, such as those previously identified for the Perron-Frobenius and Koopman operators [68, 69], also warrant further examination.
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Appendix A: Proofs of the Temporal Reynolds Transport Theorem

We first revisit two proofs of the standard Reynolds transport theorem (2.1), based respectively on continuum mechanics [modified after 3, 39–43] and a Lagrangian coordinate transformation [e.g. 34, 44, 45].

1. Proof 1: Continuum Mechanics

For the first proof, we adopt the continuum assumption and Eulerian description of fluid flow, in which each conserved fluid property \( a(x, t) \) can be represented as a local function of Cartesian position \( x = [x, y, z]^\top \) and time \( t \) within a prescribed domain (control volume) as the fluid moves past. We also consider the Lagrangian or material description of fluid flow, in which each fluid element is assigned a characteristic label, for example its position vector \( x_0 \) at time \( t_0 \). The position of each fluid element at time \( t \) is then \( x(x_0, t) \), giving the fluid element velocity \( u^L(x_0, t) = \frac{\partial x(x_0, t)}{\partial t} \). The two descriptions can be united by the equivalence of the material and Eulerian velocities [45, 70]:

\[
\frac{\partial x(x_0, t)}{\partial t} = u^L(x_0, t) = u(x, t)
\]  

(A.1)

For the present analysis, we also incorporate a moving and smoothly deforming domain with local velocity field \( u_{\Omega(t)} \), so the fluid velocity field relative to the domain is \( u_{\text{rel}} = u - u_{\Omega(t)} \). A schematic diagram of several streamlines of this relative velocity field moving through the domain is given in Figure 2(a). The two descriptions in equation (A.1) also establish

FIG. 2. Schematic diagrams of (a) a velocity field for fluid flow through a geometric domain, and (b) a volume element on the boundary induced by the flow.

For the first proof, we adopt the continuum assumption and Eulerian description of fluid flow, in which each conserved fluid property \( a(x, t) \) can be represented as a local function of Cartesian position \( x = [x, y, z]^\top \) and time \( t \) within a prescribed domain (control volume) as the fluid moves past. We also consider the Lagrangian or material description of fluid flow, in which each fluid element is assigned a characteristic label, for example its position vector \( x_0 \) at time \( t_0 \). The position of each fluid element at time \( t \) is then \( x(x_0, t) \), giving the fluid element velocity \( u^L(x_0, t) = \frac{\partial x(x_0, t)}{\partial t} \). The two descriptions can be united by the equivalence of the material and Eulerian velocities [45, 70]:

\[
\frac{\partial x(x_0, t)}{\partial t} = u^L(x_0, t) = u(x, t)
\]  

(A.1)

For the present analysis, we also incorporate a moving and smoothly deforming domain with local velocity field \( u_{\Omega(t)} \), so the fluid velocity field relative to the domain is \( u_{\text{rel}} = u - u_{\Omega(t)} \). A schematic diagram of several streamlines of this relative velocity field moving through the domain is given in Figure 2(a). The two descriptions in equation (A.1) also establish
the equivalence of the substantial and total derivatives (2.2), based on the moving frame of reference:

$$\frac{Da(x, t)}{Dt} = \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \nabla_x a \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel}(x, t) = \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \nabla_x a \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}(x_0, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{da(x, t)}{dt} \quad (A.2)$$

Now consider the volumetric integral of a conserved quantity $a(x, t)$, given by:

$$\hat{a}(t) = \iiint_{\Omega(t)} a(x, t) \, dV \quad (A.3)$$

where $dV = d^3x = dx dy dz$ is an infinitesimal volume element and $\Omega(t)$ is the time-varying volumetric domain. Since $\hat{a}(t)$ is a function only of time, it is possible to write its total derivative as:

$$\frac{d\hat{a}(t)}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{\Omega(t)} a(x, t) \, dV = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ \iiint_{\Omega(t+\Delta t)} a(x, t + \Delta t) \, dV - \iiint_{\Omega(t)} a(x, t) \, dV \right] \quad (A.4)$$

The second form follows by definition of the derivative, with $\Omega(t + \Delta t)$ interpreted as the fluid volume (relative to the moving domain) at time $t + \Delta t$. By a Taylor expansion [40]:

$$a(x, t + \Delta t) = a(x, t) + \frac{\partial a(x, t)}{\partial t} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 a(x, t)}{\partial t^2} (\Delta t)^2 + ... \quad (A.5)$$

Substitution into equation (A.4) gives

$$\frac{d\hat{a}(t)}{dt} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ \iiint_{\Omega(t+\Delta t)} \left( a(x, t) + \frac{\partial a(x, t)}{\partial t} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 a(x, t)}{\partial t^2} (\Delta t)^2 + ... \right) \, dV - \iiint_{\Omega(t)} a(x, t) \, dV \right]$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \iiint_{\Omega(t+\Delta t)} \frac{\partial a(x, t)}{\partial t} \Delta t \, dV + \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left[ \iiint_{\Omega(t+\Delta t)} a(x, t) \, dV - \iiint_{\Omega(t)} a(x, t) \, dV \right]$$

$$= \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial a(x, t)}{\partial t} \, dV + \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \iiint_{\Omega(t+\Delta t) - \Omega(t)} a(x, t) \, dV \quad (A.6)$$

Note the second-order and higher derivatives vanish in the limit.

We see that the second integral reduces to that of a thin domain (of variable sign) adjacent to the boundary, created by motion of the fluid (relative to the domain) between $t$ and $t + \Delta t$. To examine this, consider a volume element $dV$ in this boundary region, illustrated schematically in Figure 2(b) [after 39–43, 71]. At time $t$, the rate of change of fluid position relative to the boundary is $d\mathbf{x}(x_0, t)/dt = \mathbf{u}_{rel}$. In time $\Delta t$, this will induce the displacement $\mathbf{u}_{rel} \Delta t$ in the direction of $\mathbf{u}_{rel}$. The volumetric element $dV$ is therefore the inclined cylinder formed by projection of the boundary element $dA$ over the inclined distance $\mathbf{u}_{rel} \Delta t$. Accounting for its height in the direction of the outward unit normal $\mathbf{n}$, this gives the intrinsic length $d\ell = \mathbf{u}_{rel} \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{n}$, hence $dV = d\ell dA = \mathbf{u}_{rel} \Delta t \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dA$ (in principle,
of either sign). Thus equation (A.6) reduces to

\[
\frac{d\hat{a}(t)}{dt} = \iint_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial a(x, t)}{\partial t} dV + \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} \iint_{\partial\Omega(t)} a(x, t) u_{rel} \Delta t \cdot n dA
\]

\[
= \iint_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial a(x, t)}{\partial t} dV + \iint_{\partial\Omega(t)} a(x, t) u_{rel} \cdot n dA
\]

(A.7)

where \( \partial\Omega(t) \) is the domain boundary. This gives the first form of the Reynolds transport theorem in equation (2.1). The second form in equation (2.1) is obtained by the divergence theorem. \( \square \)

2. Proof 2: Lagrangian Coordinate Transformation

For the second proof, we follow a Lagrangian description [34, 45] and consider Lagrangian coordinates \( \mathbf{x}_0 = [x_0, y_0, z_0]^T \) with the fixed original domain \( \Omega(t_0) \). Rewriting the first two parts of equation (A.4) in Lagrangian coordinates gives

\[
\frac{d\hat{a}(t)}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{\Omega(t_0)} a(x, t) dV = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{\Omega(t_0)} a(x(x_0, t), t) \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right| dV_0
\]

(A.8)

where \( V_0 = dx_0 dy_0 dz_0 \) and \( |\partial \mathbf{x} / \partial \mathbf{x}_0| \) is the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of Eulerian with respect to Lagrangian coordinates. The domain in the last part of equation (A.8) is now independent of time, so the derivative can be brought inside the integral. Furthermore, since fluid elements are unique and indivisible, the Jacobian \( \partial \mathbf{x} / \partial \mathbf{x}_0 \) will be non-singular and its determinant everywhere non-zero. The derivative of the determinant, in the moving frame of reference, is [34, 72]:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right| \nabla_x \cdot \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \right)_{rel} = \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right| \nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel}
\]

(A.9)

Expanding equation (A.8) using equations (A.2) and (A.9), and then reverting back to the variable domain, gives:

\[
\frac{d\hat{a}(t)}{dt} = \iiint_{\Omega(t_0)} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + (\nabla_x a) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel} \right) \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right| + a \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_0} \right| \nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel} \right] dV_0
\]

\[
= \iiint_{\Omega(t)} \left[ \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + (\nabla_x a) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel} + a \nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u}_{rel} \right] dV
\]

(A.10)

This is identical to the second form of the Reynolds transport theorem in equation (2.1). The first form is then obtained by the divergence theorem. \( \square \)
Appendix B: Proof of Double Implication

We first examine the forward implication ($\Rightarrow$) between Reynolds commutativity (2.9) and the Liouville equation (2.10). Setting $\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}[\alpha]$ gives

$$0 = \oint_{\Omega(t)} \alpha \left[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (pu_{rel}) \right] d^3x$$

(B.1)

Since the differential $d^3x$ is in principle non-zero, and invoking the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations – thus for continuous and continuously differentiable functions $\alpha(x,t)$ – the square bracketed term must vanish, giving the Liouville equation (2.10). The reverse implication ($\Leftarrow$) follows directly from substitution of $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (pu_{rel}) = 0$ into equation (2.8). □

Appendix C: Reynolds Averages and the Liouville Equation

As defined by Reynolds [2, 3] and later workers [e.g. 4], the Reynolds average of a set of observables $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$, often denoted with an overbar $\bar{a}$, is a statistical (probabilistic) measure of central tendency which satisfies the following axioms [4]:

$$\bar{a + b} = \bar{a} + \bar{b}, \quad k\bar{a} = \bar{k a}, \quad \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial s} \right) = \frac{\partial \bar{a}}{\partial s}, \quad \bar{a b} = \bar{a}\bar{b}$$

(C.1)

where $a$ and $b$ refer to two compatible groups of observables, $k$ is a constant and $s$ is a parameter. Other equivalent choices of axioms are also possible [e.g 4]. For observables with multivariate dependencies, we could rewrite the third condition in terms of the differential:

$$\bar{a + b} = \bar{a} + \bar{b}, \quad k\bar{a} = \bar{k a}, \quad \overline{\partial a} = \overline{d a}, \quad \overline{a b} = \overline{a}\bar{b}$$

(C.2)

Commonly, $\bar{a}$ or $\bar{b}$ are interpreted as time averages. However, for an infinite domain of integration, it is clear that any probabilistic expectation will also satisfy the Reynolds conditions (C.1)-(C.2), for example the volumetric mean defined in equation (2.6) as a function of time, or the ensemble mean defined in equation (3.6) as a function of three-dimensional position.

For non-infinite, functionally dependent domains of integration, the consistency between an expectation and the third Reynolds condition in equations (C.1) or (C.2) might be thought to be problematic. However, from the analyses for the spatial formulation in the main manuscript, in particular equations (2.8)-(2.9) and (3.9)-(3.10), we can conclude that even in these circumstances, expected values can satisfy the properties of a Reynolds average, provided the observable is continuous and continuously differentiable. Furthermore, the existence of a Reynolds average is then equivalent to the existence of a Liouville equation for the underlying joint-conditional probability density function for the system.

Appendix D: Proofs of the Spatial Reynolds Transport Theorem

We now provide two proofs of the spatial Reynolds transport theorem (3.1) for time-independent flows, based respectively on arguments from continuum mechanics and a coordinate transformation method. These follow the essential details of the temporal proofs in SI §A.
1. Proof 1: Continuum Mechanics (Steady Flow)

For the first proof we again make the continuum assumption, and consider an Eulerian
phase space (volumetric and velocimetric) description of fluid flow, in which each conserved
fluid property \( b(u, x) \) can be represented as a local function of velocity \( u = [u, v, w]^\top \) and
position \( x = [x, y, z]^\top \). This representation assumes time-independent flow, for example a
steady velocity field, although in the probabilistic formulation this can be relaxed to consider
statistical rather than strict stationarity. We also consider an alternative description in
which the velocity at each point \( u^R(u_0, x) \) is a function of the velocity \( u_0 \) at some reference
location \( x_0 \), while the spatial coordinates \( x \) are independent variables. The two descriptions
are united by the equivalence of the velocity gradient tensor:

\[
\frac{\partial u^R}{\partial x}(u_0, x) = G(u, x) \tag{D.1}
\]

As with the temporal analysis, we also incorporate a spatially varying velocimetric domain
\( D(x) \) which undergoes a changing reference velocity gradient \( G_{D(x)} \), giving the relative
gradient \( G_{rel} = G - G_{D(x)} \). A set of field lines for such a system – for example in one
spatial coordinate of the tensor – is illustrated schematically in Figure 3(a).

Consider the integral of the conserved local quantity \( b(u, x) \), a function of both velocity
and position, over the velocity domain:

\[
\hat{b}(x) = \iiint_{D(x)} b(u, x) \, dU \tag{D.2}
\]

where \( dU = d^3u = dudvdw \) is the velocity volume element. Since \( \hat{b}(x) \) is multivariate, it is
not possible to define the total derivative, but we can directly consider its differential:

\[
\hat{d}b(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial \hat{b}(x)}{\partial x_i} \, dx_i = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \iiint_{D(x)} b(u, x) \, dU \right] \, dx_i \tag{D.3}
\]
where \( x_i \in [x_1, x_2, x_3] \). Each partial derivative is, by definition:

\[
\frac{\partial b(x)}{\partial x_i} = \lim_{\Delta x_i \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \left[ \iiint_{D(x + \Delta x_i)} b(u, x + \Delta x_i) \ dU - \iiint_{D(x)} b(u, x) \ dU \right]
\]

(D.4)

where we use the notation \( (x + \Delta x) \) to indicate \((x + \Delta x, y, z)\), \((x, y + \Delta y, z)\) or \((x, y, z + \Delta z)\) respectively for \(x_i \in [x_1, x_2, x_3]\). \( D(x + \Delta x_i) \) is then interpreted as the velocity domain shifted to position \( x + \Delta x_i \). By a one-dimensional Taylor expansion – or a multi-dimensional expansion with non-zero translation in only one coordinate – we obtain [40]:

\[
b(u, x + \Delta x_i) = b(u, x) + \frac{\partial b(u, x)}{\partial x_i} \Delta x_i + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 b(u, x)}{\partial x_i^2} (\Delta x_i)^2 + ...
\]

(D.5)

Substitution into equation (D.4) gives

\[
\frac{\partial b(x)}{\partial x_i} = \lim_{\Delta x_i \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \left[ \iiint_{D(x + \Delta x_i)} b(u, x) + \frac{\partial b(u, x)}{\partial x_i} \Delta x_i + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 b(u, x)}{\partial x_i^2} (\Delta x_i)^2 + ... \right] \ dU - \iiint_{D(x)} b(u, x) \ dU
\]

\[
= \lim_{\Delta x_i \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \iiint_{D(x + \Delta x_i)} \frac{\partial b(u, x)}{\partial x_i} \Delta x_i \ dU + \lim_{\Delta x_i \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \left[ \iiint_{D(x + \Delta x_i)} b(u, x) \ dU - \iiint_{D(x)} b(u, x) \ dU \right]
\]

\[
= \iiint_{D(x)} \frac{\partial b(u, x)}{\partial x_i} \ dU + \lim_{\Delta x_i \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \iiint_{D(x + \Delta x_i) - D(x)} b(u, x) \ dU
\]

(D.6)

where again the second-order and higher derivatives vanish in the limit.

We again see that the second integral reduces to that of a thin domain (of variable sign) in velocimetric space adjacent to the boundary, created by translation of the field (relative to the domain) between \( x \) and \( x + \Delta x_i \). Consider a velocimetric element \( dU \) in this boundary region, illustrated schematically in Figure 3(b), with spatial displacement in only one component \( x_i \in [x_1, x_2, x_3] \). At position \( x \), the velocity gradient relative to the boundary \( \partial \partial D(x) \) is \( G_{rel,i} \). Over the distance \( \Delta x_i \), this will induce the change in velocity \( G_{rel,i} \Delta x_i \) in the direction described by \( G_{rel,i} \). The velocimetric element \( dU \) is therefore the inclined cylinder formed by projection of the boundary element \( dS \) over the inclined distance \( G_{rel,i} \Delta x_i \). Accounting for its height in the direction of the outward unit normal \( n_S \), this gives the intrinsic length \( d\ell_S = G_{rel,i} \Delta x_i \cdot n_S \), hence \( dU = d\ell_S dS = G_{rel,i} \Delta x_i \cdot n_S dS \) (in principle, of either sign). Thus equation (D.6) reduces to

\[
\frac{\partial b(x)}{\partial x_i} = \iiint_{D(x)} \frac{\partial b(u, x)}{\partial x_i} \ dU + \lim_{\Delta x_i \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \iiint_{\partial D(x)} b(u, x) G_{rel,i} \Delta x_i \cdot n_S \ dS
\]

\[
= \iiint_{D(x)} \frac{\partial b(u, x)}{\partial x_i} \ dU + \iiint_{\partial D(x)} b(u, x) G_{rel,i} \cdot n_S \ dS
\]

(D.7)
Assembling these into equation (D.3), we obtain the differential

\[
\hat{d}b(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \frac{\partial b(u, x)}{\partial x_i} dU + \iiint_{\partial \mathcal{D}(x)} b(u, x) G_{rel,i} \cdot n_S dS \right] dx_i
\]  

(D.8)

The divergence theorem can be extended (strictly, in the form of Stokes’ theorem) to any metric space [e.g. 73]. Applying its three-dimensional velocimetric formulation then gives:

\[
\hat{d}b(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \left( \frac{\partial b(u, x)}{\partial x_i} + \nabla_u \cdot (b(u, x) G_{rel,i}) \right) dU \right] dx_i
\]  

(D.9)

Reverting to \( d^3u = dU, d^2u = n_S \cdot dS \) and adopting vector and tensor notation, based on the gradient convention used herein, equations (D.8)-(D.9) give the spatial Reynolds transport theorem in equation (3.1). □

Alternative proof: A more direct proof is to recognise \( \hat{d}b(x) \) in equation (D.3) as the directional derivative \( D_x \hat{b}(x) = \nabla_x \hat{b}(x) \cdot r \), in the direction of the differential vector \( r = dx \).
By definition:

\[
D_x \hat{b}(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\hat{b}(x + hdx) - \hat{b}(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x+hdx)} b(u, x + hdx) dU - \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} b(u, x) dU \right]
\]  

(D.10)

Using a multidimensional Taylor expansion:

\[
b(u, x + hdx) = b(u, x) + hdx^T \nabla_x b(u, x) + \frac{h^2}{2} dx^T \nabla_x^2 b(u, x) dx + ...
\]  

(D.11)

where \( \nabla_x^2 = \nabla_x (\nabla_x)^T \) is the second derivative or Hessian operator, we obtain:

\[
D_x \hat{b}(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x+hdx)} \left( b(u, x) + hdx^T \nabla_x b(u, x) + \frac{h^2}{2} dx^T \nabla_x^2 b(u, x) dx + ... \right) dU - \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} b(u, x) dU \right]
\]  

\[
\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x+hdx)} hdx^T \nabla_x b(u, x) dU + \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x+hdx)} b(u, x) dU - \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} b(u, x) dU \right] \right]
\]  

\[
= \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} dx^T \nabla_x b(u, x) dU + \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x+hdx) - \mathcal{D}(x)} b(u, x) dU \right]
\]  

(D.12)

where again the second and higher derivatives vanish. The analysis uses the same directional argument as before, now in resultant form \( dU = hdx^T G_{rel} \cdot n_S dS \), giving the limit

\[
\hat{d}b(x) = D_x \hat{b}(x) = \iiint_{\mathcal{D}(x)} dx^T \nabla_x b(u, x) dU + \iiint_{\partial \mathcal{D}(x)} b(u, x) dx^T G_{rel} \cdot n_S dS
\]  

(D.13)

This is identical to equation (D.8) and the first part of equation (3.1). □
2. Proof 2: Reference Velocity Coordinate Transformation

For the second proof, we consider the alternative description based on a reference set of velocity coordinates $u_0 = [u_0, v_0, w_0]^T$ in a spatially fixed velocity domain $\mathcal{D}(x_0)$. Rewriting the left hand side of equation (D.3) gives

$$
\hat{db}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{D}(x)} b(u, x) dU \right] dx_i = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{D}(x_0)} b(u(u_0, x), x) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial u_0} \right| dU_0 \right] dx_i \quad (D.14)
$$

where $dU_0 = du_0 dv_0 dw_0$ and $|\partial u/\partial u_0|$ is the Jacobian determinant for this coordinate transformation. For the class of time-independent flow systems examined here, we consider the Jacobian $\partial u/\partial u_0$ to be non-singular. Using the velocity analogue of the relation (A.9) for independent spatial coordinates $x$:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial u_0} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial u_0} \right| \nabla u \cdot G_{rel,i} \quad (D.15)
$$

then from equation (D.14)

$$
\hat{db}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{D}(x)} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} + (\nabla_u b) \cdot G_{rel,i} \right) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial u_0} \right| + b \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial u_0} \right| \nabla_u \cdot G_{rel,i} \right\} dU_0 \right] dx_i \quad (D.16)
$$

This gives the second form of the spatial Reynolds transport theorem in equation (3.1), with the first form obtained by Gauss’ divergence theorem in velocity space. □

Appendix E: Definitions and Proof of the Multiparameter Reynolds Transport Theorem in Exterior Calculus

We now prove the multiparameter Reynolds Transport Theorem for differential forms (4.1), based on multiparameter extensions of exterior calculus operators and the proof of the one-parameter case [e.g., 34; 35, eqs. 0.49 and 4.33-4.34]. Excellent reviews of the tools of existing (one-parameter) exterior calculus are available in a number of monographs [e.g. 35, 74–80].

Consider an $n$-dimensional differentiable manifold $M^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, described using local coordinates $X = [X_1, \ldots, X_n]^T$ defined in some neighbourhood $N(s)$ of each point $s \in M^n$. Let $V$ be an $n \times m$ vector or tensor field on the manifold, which is parameterised by the $m$-dimensional vector of parameters $C = [C_1, \ldots, C_m]^T$ (which could include time $t$). This field will create the $m$-parameter maximal integral curve or “flow” within the manifold, defined by the map [compare 74, chap. 1; 75, §3.3; 78, §2.1; 35, §1.4]:

$$
\phi : M^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow M^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \quad (E.1)
$$
such that, respectively in vector form (using the \(\partial(\to)/\partial(\downarrow)\) convention) or in terms of the components\(s_i \in s\) and \(C_c \in C\):

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \phi(s, C)}{\partial C} \right)^\top = V(s) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \phi(s_i, C_c)}{\partial C_c} = V_{ic}
\]  

(E.2)

Since (by construction) the flow is independent of \(C\), \(\phi\) will satisfy the following properties for all \(s \in M^n\) and all \(B, C \in \mathbb{R}^m\) (c.f. [35, 74, 77, 78]):

\[
\phi(s, 0) = s \\
\phi(\phi(s, C), B) = \phi(s, B + C)
\]

(E.3)

By previous custom for the one-parameter case, we write this as the bijection (diffeomorphism) (c.f. [35, 74, 77, 78]):

\[
\phi^C : M^n \to M^n, \quad \phi^C(s) = \phi(s, C)
\]

(E.4)

which is therefore invertible, and operates linearly \(\phi^{C+B} = \phi^C \circ \phi^B = \phi^B \circ \phi^C\). Thus if the manifold contains an \(r\)-dimensional oriented compact submanifold \(\Omega^r \subset M^n\), each point in the submanifold at \(C\) can be mapped from the origin at \(C = 0\) by \(\Omega^r(C) = \phi^C \Omega^r(0)\), and vice versa \(\Omega^r(0) = \phi^{-C} \Omega^r(C)\). Informally, we might describe \(\Omega^r(C)\) as a “moving domain” and the map \(\phi^C\) as a “movement”, although they each involve a transformation in the parameter vector \(C\) (such as in spatial coordinates) – reflecting the symmetries of the vector or tensor field – rather than necessarily in physical time.

Now consider the \(r\)-form \(\omega^r\), a linear function defined on the cotangent space of the manifold \(M^n\). This can be written as (e.g., 74, chap. 1; 75, §1.1; 76, §A.3)\(\dagger\):

\[
\omega^r = \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_r} w_{j_1, \ldots, j_r} \, dX_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dX_{j_r}
\]

(E.5)

where \(w_{j_1, \ldots, j_r}\) are scalars (possibly functions of \(X\)), \(\wedge\) is the exterior or wedge product and the \(dX_{j_k}\) are an ordered selection of \(r\) terms from the vectors \(dX = [dX_1, \ldots, dX_n]^\top\), with the sum taken over all increasing combinations of the \(dX_{j_k}\). Physically, the wedge product \(dX_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dX_{j_r}\) is the oriented volume of an infinitesimal \(r\)-dimensional parallelepiped. Integration of \(\omega^r\) over the submanifold \(\Omega(C) \subset M^n\):

\[
W(C) = \int_{\Omega(C)} \omega^r
\]

(E.6)

therefore gives the total oriented quantity \(W(C)\) in the submanifold, as a function of its parameters \(C\). The \(r\)-form formalism thus extends standard multivariate calculus to the analysis of oriented areas and volumes on manifolds, using a patchwork of local coordinate systems.

For a smooth (infinitely differentiable) map \(f : M^n \to N^\ell\) between smooth manifolds \(M^n\) and \(N^\ell\) (for \(\ell, n \in \mathbb{N}\)), there exists an important theorem that a smooth \(r\)-form \(\omega^r\) on \(N^\ell\)

\(\dagger\) For tensor fields, it may be convenient to represent the manifold using higher-order coordinates \(s \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_k}\). For example, the shear stress tensor \(\tau\), represented with second order elements \(\tau_{ij} \in M^{3 \times 3} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}\), can be used to define the third-order tensor field \(\partial \tau / \partial C\) with elements \(V_{ijc} = \partial \tau_{ij} / \partial C_c\).

\(\ddagger\) Note that many authors adopt an implied summation convention for this and subsequent equations; we do not do so here.
can be mapped to a smooth $r$-form $f^*\omega^r$ on $M^n$, where $f^*$ is known as the pullback [e.g., 35, §2.7]. In consequence, assuming smoothness, the multiparametric diffeomorphism $\phi^C$ defined in equation (E.4) can be used to define a vector pullback $\phi^{*C}$, providing an invertible coordinate transformation between $M^n$ and itself in the $C$ direction (with inverse $\phi^{-1}_C$, known as the pushforward). Formally, we define [compare 76, §5.5; 35, §0j and §2.7; 80, §3.2]:

$$
\phi^{*C}\omega^r = \sum_{j_1<...<j_r} (w_{j_1...j_r} \circ \phi^C) \, d\phi^C_{j_1} \wedge ... \wedge d\phi^C_{j_r}
$$

(E.7)

where $|\partial(\phi^C_{j_1}, ..., \phi^C_{j_r})/\partial(X_{k_1}, ..., X_{k_r})|$ is the determinant of the Jacobian matrix between the two coordinate systems, without change of sign. We see that the pullback $\phi^{*C}$ satisfies linearity, and enables an $r$-form at $C$ to be mapped back to $C = 0$, or vice versa using the pushforward $\phi^C$.

We next consider the exterior derivative, which when applied to an $r$-form gives [e.g., 74, chap. 1; 75, §3.2; 76, §A.3; 35, §2.6]:

$$
d\omega^r = \sum_{j_1<...<j_r} dw_{j_1...j_r} \wedge dX_{j_1} \wedge ... \wedge dX_{j_r}
$$

(E.8)

Since the integral in equation (E.6) is a 0-form, its exterior derivative is its differential:

$$
dW(C) = \sum_{c=1}^{m} \frac{\partial W}{\partial C_c} \bigg|_{C_k \neq C_c} \, dC_c = \frac{\partial W}{\partial C} \cdot dC = \frac{\partial(\int_{\Omega(0)} \phi^{*C} \omega^r)}{\partial C} \cdot dC
$$

(E.9)

which indicates the terms $C_k$, for all $k \neq c$, are held constant in each partial derivative, and which uses the standard dot product. To simplify, the variable domain of integration is first converted to a fixed domain via the pullback:

$$
dW(C) = \frac{\partial(\int_{\Omega(0)} \phi^{*C} \omega^r)}{\partial C} \cdot dC = \sum_{c=1}^{m} \frac{\partial(\int_{\Omega(0)} \phi^{*C_c} \omega^r)}{\partial C_c} \bigg|_{C_k \neq C_c} \, dC_c
$$

(E.10)

using the reference position $C = 0$, in the (relative) coordinate system chosen for $C$. For each component in equation (E.10), from the definition of the partial derivative and the linearity of the pullback (E.7):

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial C_c} \int_{\Omega(0)} \phi^{*C_c} \omega^r = \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{\Omega(0)} \phi^{*(C_c+h)} \omega^r - \int_{\Omega(0)} \phi^{*C_c} \omega^r

= \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{\Omega(0)} \phi^{*C_c}(\phi^{*h} \omega^r - \omega^r) = \int_{\Omega(C_c)} \left\{ \lim_{h \to 0} (\phi^{*h} \omega^r - \omega^r) \right\}
$$

(E.11)

where the last step converts back to a variable domain using the pushforward $\phi^C_{C_c}$. The term in braces is the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{V_{c}}$, with respect to the column vector field $V_{c} \in V$ associated with the flow $\phi^{C_c} \in \phi^C$, based on the increment $h$ in the one-dimensional flow parameter $C_c$ [78, §2.2; 35, §4.3a]. Taking a cue from the directional derivative (see SI §D), this could
equivalently be defined in terms of the pullback $\phi^* h dC_c$ and written as $\mathcal{L}_V^{(C)}$, to explicitly identify the direction $C_c$. In consequence, we can define an $m$-dimensional multiparameter Lie derivative of an $r$-form with respect to $V$ over parameter $C$ by:

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{(C)} \omega^r = \left[ \mathcal{L}_V^{(C_1)} \omega^r, ..., \mathcal{L}_V^{(C_m)} \omega^r \right] \omega^r = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\left( \phi^* h dC_1 \omega^r - \omega^r \right), ..., \left( \phi^* h dC_m \omega^r - \omega^r \right)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(\phi^* h dC \omega^r - \omega^r)}{h} \tag{E.12}$$

Assembling equations (E.6)-(E.12) then gives:

$$dW(C) = d \int_{\Omega(C)} \omega^r = \left( \int_{\Omega(C)} \mathcal{L}_V^{(C)} \omega^r \right) \cdot dC \tag{E.13}$$

This is the first part of equation (4.1).

Finally, we consider the one-parameter interior product, which effects the contraction of an $r$-form to an $(r-1)$-form, given by [e.g., 74, chap. 1; 76, §A.3; 35, §2.9]:

$$i_U \omega^r = \sum_{j_2 < \ldots < j_r} \sum_k U_k w_{k,j_2...j_r} dX_{j_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge dX_{j_r} \tag{E.14}$$

based on components $U_k$ of a one-parameter vector field $U$ with implicit parameter $t$. This was shown by Cartan to satisfy the equation $\mathcal{L}_U \omega^r = i_U d\omega^r + d (i_U \omega^r)$ [e.g., 75, §5.8; 76, §A.3; 35, §4.2b]. By component-wise extension, it is possible to define a multiparameter interior product based on the field $V$ with parameters $C$:

$$i_V^{(C)} \omega^r = \left[ \sum_{j_2 < \ldots < j_r} \sum_k V_k w_{k,j_2...j_r} dX_{j_2} \wedge \ldots \wedge dX_{j_r} \right] \tag{E.15}$$

based on row vectors $V_k \in V$. By construction, this satisfies a multiparameter Cartan equation $\mathcal{L}_V^{(C)} \omega^r = i_V^{(C)} d\omega^r + d (i_V^{(C)} \omega^r)$. Using this result, and the exterior calculus expression of Stokes’ theorem $\int_{\Omega(C)} d\omega^r = \oint_{\partial \Omega(C)} \omega^r$ [e.g., 76, §5.5; 79, §6.2; 35, §3.3b], we obtain the third and fourth terms in equation (4.1).

The above proof invokes $m$-parameter vector extensions of “flow” (E.1)-(E.4), the pullback (E.7) and pushforward, the Lie derivative (E.12) and the interior product (E.15), which follow naturally from their one-parameter definitions. The $r$-form, exterior derivative and dot product are unchanged. The proof also extends naturally to higher-order tensor fields and to vector- or tensor-valued differential forms, by component-wise application of operators, in the same manner as does the traditional Reynolds transport theorem (2.1). It also can be extended to a parametric tensor $C$, if desired, using an element-wise (Hadamard) tensor product, or alternatively by the use of trace or higher-order diagonal operators on matrix products (such as in the Frobenius inner product). The parametric formulation of the Reynolds transport theorem (4.4) for a top-level $(n$-dimensional) form is obtained from equation (4.1) by substitution of vector operators, or can be derived directly using multidimensional extensions of the analyses in SI §A and §D.
Appendix F: Probability $r$-forms

There is a complication in the definition of probability $r$-forms, due to the question of orientation [e.g. 81, §11.4]. We here adopt the definition:

$$\rho^r = \sum_{j_1 < \ldots < j_r} p_{j_1 \ldots j_r} \ dX_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dX_{j_r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (F.1)

where $p_{j_1 \ldots j_r}$ are scalars and the $dX_{j_k}$ are an ordered selection of $r$ vectors from $[dX_1, \ldots, dX_n]^\top$. This definition is made subject to local and global constraints, respectively:

$$\rho^r \geq 0, \ \forall s \in M^n$$

$$\int_{\Omega(C)} \rho^r = 1, \ \forall \Omega(C) \in M^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (F.2)

To satisfy these constraints, we define equations (F.1)-(F.2) only for an oriented compact submanifold $\Omega(C)$ within an orientable manifold $M^n$, and preclude non-orientable manifolds [81]. Furthermore, the choices of the $p_{j_1 \ldots j_r}$ terms and/or the combinations of $dX_{j_k}$ need to be restricted with respect to the orientation of the submanifold $\Omega(C)$ to satisfy the constraints. The $p_{j_1 \ldots j_r}$ terms can then be interpreted as connected segments or portions of a joint-conditional pdf $\hat{p}(s|C)$ defined over all points $s \in \Omega(C)$ in the submanifold, using a local coordinate system $X(s)$, subject to the conditions $C$.

The nonnegativity constraint in equation (F.2) can be achieved in several ways: the simplest method is to take $\rho^r$ as the absolute and normalised value of some $r$-form $\nu^r$ defined over the manifold. A broader method would be to impose the equivalence $\text{sign}(p_{j_1 \ldots j_r}) = \text{sign}(dX_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dX_{j_r})$, ensuring non-negative terms in the sum. An even broader method would be to allow negative local terms $p_{j_1 \ldots j_r} < 0$ and oriented volume elements $dX_{j_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dX_{j_r} < 0$, so long as the constraints (F.2) are satisfied in the sum (F.1).


