Unbounded sequence of observers exhibiting Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen steering
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A sequential steering scenario is investigated, where multiple Bobs aim at demonstrating steering using successively the same half of an entangled quantum state. With isotropic entangled states of local dimension $d$, the number of Bobs that can steer Alice is found to be $N_{\text{Bob}} \sim d/\log d$, thus leading to an arbitrary large number of successive instances of steering with independently chosen and unbiased inputs. This scaling is achieved when considering a general class of measurements along orthonormal bases, as well as complete sets of mutually unbiased bases. Finally, we show that similar results can be obtained in an anonymous sequential scenario, where none of the Bobs know their position in the sequence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Distant parties sharing an entangled quantum state can establish strong correlations that admit no analog in classical physics [1, 2]. Formally, quantum correlations can be captured via different concepts, such as entanglement, EPR steering and Bell nonlocality. All of these are inequivalent concepts [3, 4], forming a hierarchy of quantum correlations where entanglement is the weakest form and Bell nonlocality the strongest; see, e.g., [5] for recent reviews.

The standard scenario for discussing these phenomena involves two ingredients: (i) a source distributing an entangled state to distant parties, and (ii) sets of local measurements performed by the parties. In recent years however, it was suggested to add another ingredient to this picture, namely, quantum channels. This leads to a scenario where several quantum measurements can be performed sequentially on the same quantum subsystem.

This idea was first proposed in order to reveal the “hidden nonlocality” of certain entangled states admitting a local model [7–9]. This amounts to each party performing a sequence of measurements, specifically, w.r.t. complete sets of mutually unbiased bases. Finally, we show that an arbitrary long sequence of Bobs can establish nonlocal correlations with Alice, given that their measurement inputs are judiciously biased. This motivated further work exploring the potential of these ideas for randomness generation [13], their classical communication cost [14], and led to experimental demonstrations [15, 16]. More recently, these ideas were extended to the case of EPR steering, and Ref. [17] showed that three Bobs, each performing three different measurements, could steer Alice using a two-qubit maximally entangled pair.

In the present work, we consider the general scenario of sequential steering, featuring an arbitrary number of Bobs steering the state of Alice. We show that for symmetric entangled states of arbitrary dimension $d \times d$, the problem can essentially be completely solved. Considering first a situation where the ith Bob can choose an unsharpness parameter $\eta_i$ and perform any measurement in $\mathcal{P}_{\eta}$ (see Eq. (1)), we show that the number of Bobs that can steer Alice grows as $N_{\text{Bob}} \sim d/\log d$. Hence an unbounded number of Bobs can steer Alice, using independently chosen and unbiased measurement settings. Then we show that the same result can be obtained under the restriction that each Bob performs only a finite set of measurements, specifically, w.r.t. complete sets of mutually unbiased bases. Finally, we show that these results can be extended to the scenario in which the Bobs do not know their position in the sequence. Finally, we conclude with a list of open questions.

In the previous paragraph and in the following, we use $\mathcal{P}_\eta$ to denote the set of measurements obtained by mixing projective measurements with white noise, namely,

$$
\mathcal{P}_\eta = \left\{ \left. \left( \eta |\phi_i \rangle \langle \phi_i | + (1-\eta) \frac{1}{d} \right) \right| _{i=1\ldots d} \left| \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle \right|^2 = \delta_{ij} \right\}.
$$

For $\eta = 1$, $\mathcal{P}_1$ contains all projective measurements whereas, for $\eta = 0$, $\mathcal{P}_0$ is reduced to white noise only.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.
II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Steering

We start by recalling the usual (i.e., non-sequential) steering scenario. Alice and Bob share an entangled state quantum state $\rho$. Let Alice be allowed to fully characterize her part of the shared state, while Bob performs measurements represented by POVMs $B_{b|y}$ with inputs $y$ and corresponding outcomes $b$. Once Bob has performed his measurement, Alice is left with an sub-normalized assemblage of states

$$\sigma_{b|y} = \text{tr}_B(1 \otimes B_{b|y})\rho.$$  

(2)

such that the no-signalling condition is satisfied, i.e., $\sum_b \sigma_{b|y} = \rho_A = \text{tr}_B(\rho)$ for all $y$. If the assemblage can be explained by a local hidden state (LHS) model, namely,

$$\sigma_{b|y} = \int \pi(\lambda)p_B(b|y,\lambda)d\lambda,$$  

(3)

then the state is said to be unsteerable from Bob to Alice with respect to the chosen set of measurements $B_{b|y}$. On the contrary, when such model can be proven not to exist, we say that steering from Bob to Alice is demonstrated, as Bob can remotely steer Alice’s state in a way that admits no local explanation.

B. Symmetric states

In our work, we will focus on two classes of bipartite entangled states featuring a strong degree of symmetry, namely, Werner and isotropic states. Werner states satisfy, for all unitary $U$, the invariance property [13]

$$\rho_W = U \otimes U \rho_W U^\dagger \otimes U^\dagger.$$  

(4)

and can be specified, once the local dimension is fixed to $d$, with a single parameter $0 \leq p \leq 1$, namely,

$$\rho_W(p) = \frac{1}{d(d-1)} \left( \frac{d-1+p}{d} \mathbb{I} - pV \right),$$  

(5)

where $V = \sum_{i,j} |ij\rangle\langle ji|$ is the swap operator.

Similarly, isotropic states verify

$$\rho_{iso} = U^\ast \otimes U \rho_{iso}(U^\ast)^\dagger \otimes U^\dagger$$  

(6)

and can be parametrized by $0 \leq p \leq 1$ through

$$\rho_{iso}(p) = p|\phi^\ast\rangle\langle\phi^\ast| + (1-p)\mathbb{I}/d^2,$$  

(7)

where $|\phi^\ast\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle \otimes |i\rangle$ is a maximally entangled state of dimension $d \times d$.

Both Werner and isotropic states are known to be separable if and only if $p \leq 1/(d+1)$. Following Ref. [3], we also know the exact characterization of the steerability of these classes of states when using all projective measurements. Specifically, we are interested in the threshold $p_{steer}$ above which Bob can exhibit steering by means of all (noiseless) projective measurement and below which a LHS model exists. We have that

$$p_{steer}^W = \frac{d-1}{d}$$  

and

$$p_{steer}^{iso} = \frac{d}{d-1}.$$  

(8)

III. STEERING WITH MULTIPLE BOBS

We consider a sequential steering scenario, which features an arbitrary number of Bobs, each of them trying to steer the state of Alice (see Fig. 1). That is, each Bob $B_i$ aims at generating an assemblage for Alice that demonstrates steering.

Formally, $B_i$ shares a state $\rho_i$ (acting on a Hilbert space of dimension $d \times d$) with Alice. Upon receiving an input $y_i$, $B_i$ applies a quantum measurement on his subsystem. This produces (i) a measurement output $b_i$, and (ii) an output (or post-measurement) state $\rho_{i+1}$ that will be shared between Alice and the next Bob $B_{i+1}$. Importantly, we assume that all inputs $y_i$ are chosen uniformly at random, and are uncorrelated to each other.

The channels describing the quantum operation implemented by each Bob are represented by a set of Kraus operators $\{K_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}\}_{b_i}$, for each input $y_i$. The POVM describing $B_i$’s measurement is $P_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)} = K_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)\dagger}K_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}$, while the output (or post-measurement state) state is given by

$$\rho_{i+1} = \sum_{y_i,b_i} (1 \otimes K_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)})\rho_i(1 \otimes K_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)\dagger}).$$  

(9)

We will focus on $d$-output measurements in $\mathcal{P}_d$. These can also be viewed as noisy implementations of projective measurements. The reason for using this kind of measurement is quite intuitive. If we use projective measurements, only the first Bob can exhibit steering since the disturbance he would introduce would prevent all the following Bobs to do so. Unsharpening the measurements with a parameter $\eta$ gives the ability to tune the trade-off between disturbance and information gain.

When the $i$th Bob chooses an unsharpness parameter $\eta_i$, he can perform any measurement in $\mathcal{P}_\eta$, namely,

$$B_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)} = \eta_i|\phi_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}\rangle\langle\phi_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}| + (1-\eta_i)\mathbb{I}/d.$$  

A natural choice

![Sequential steering scenario: multiple Bobs aim at steering Alice. Note that each state $\rho_i$ is a shared state between Alice and the $i$-th Bob.](image)
for the Kraus operators, usually named after Lüders [19],
is \( \hat{K}_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)} = \sqrt{B_{b_i|y_i}} \). Here we get \( \hat{K}_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)} \) of the form
\[
\left( \frac{1 + (d-1)\eta}{d} - \sqrt{\frac{1 - \eta}{d}} \right) |\phi_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}\rangle \langle \phi_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}| + \frac{1 - \eta}{d} \mathbb{1},
\]
(10)
In the qubit case, the measurements we are interested in are of the form
\[
B_{\pm|\hat{y}} = \eta|\pm\rangle\langle\pm| + (1 - \eta) \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1 \pm \eta \hat{y} \cdot \hat{\sigma}}{2},
\]
(11)
where \( \hat{y} \) is the direction of the measurement in the Bloch sphere. Then Eq. (10) reduces to
\[
K_{\pm|\hat{y}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( (1 + \eta)|\hat{y}\rangle\langle\hat{y}| + \sqrt{1 - \eta}|-\hat{y}\rangle\langle-\hat{y}| \right).
\]
(12)
As we are dealing with measurements based on orthonormal bases, it is convenient to introduce the unitary matrices \( U_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)} \) defined by \( U_{b_i}^{(i)}|b_i\rangle = |\phi_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}\rangle \), where \( \{ |b_i\rangle \} \) is the computational basis. Then it is straightforward to see that \( K_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)} = U_{b_i}^{(i)} \hat{K}_{b_i} U_{b_i}^{(i)*} \), with \( \hat{K}_{b_i}^{(i)} \) defined as in Eq. (10) but with \( |b_i\rangle \langle b_i| \) instead of \( |\phi_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}\rangle \langle \phi_{b_i|y_i}^{(i)}| \).

Let us comment on the use of Lüders measurement. Clearly, the sequential steering scenario requires measurement channels that minimize disturbance (of the post-measurement state) given a certain information gain, or vice versa. Intuitively, Lüders measurements are a good choice. More formally, this can be verified for the qubit case, using the figures of merit introduced by in Ref. [12], where disturbance is quantified via the fidelity \( F \) of the post-measurement state with respect to the input state, while information gain is characterized by the sharpness of the measurement into the state, namely,\[
\text{tr}(\mathbb{1} \otimes B_{b_i}^{\eta}) \rho_{\text{iso}}(\eta) = \text{tr}_B(\mathbb{1} \otimes B_i) \rho_{\text{iso}}(\eta),
\]
(16)
and similarly for Werner states. Therefore, by using the results of Ref. [13] recalled in Eq. (8), \( B_i \) can exhibit steering if and only if
\[
\eta_i p_i > \rho_{\text{steer}}.
\]
(17)

It is insightful to explore graphically the behavior of the sequence \( \{p_i\} \) that saturates the steering criterion (17) for every Bob. It is fully characterized by the initial value \( p_1 = 1 \) and the recursive equation (15) in which we plug \( \eta_i = \rho_{\text{steer}}/p_i \). In the following we will refer to the latter as the saturating function. On Figure 2 we plot it for \( d = 2 \).

![FIG. 2. Graphical representation of the number of Bobs that are able to exhibit steering in the limit case in which each of them just saturates the steering criterion, here for the case of qubits \( d = 2 \). In the shaded area, the noise is too strong for steering to be demonstrated. We thus see that only five Bobs can exhibit steering.](image-url)

A. All measurements
Let us consider the case where each Bob is given the choice to perform any measurement of the form of Eq. (10) and chooses uniformly among them. As we assume that all Bobs are independent, \( B_{i+1} \) does not know the input and output of \( B_i \), so that the state he receives is obtained by averaging over all possible channels, namely,
\[
\rho_{i+1} = \int dU \sum_{b_i} (\mathbb{1} \otimes U K_{b_i}^{(i)} U^\dagger) \rho_i (\mathbb{1} \otimes U^\dagger K_{b_i}^{(i)} U),
\]
(13)
where \( dU \) is the uniform (Haar) measure on \( d \times d \) unitaries. From this we can immediately see that if \( \rho_i \) is invariant over conjugation by either \( U \otimes U \) or \( U^\dagger \otimes U \), then so is \( \rho_{i+1} \). Therefore, if the initial state is either a Werner state or an isotropic state, then the whole sequence of states \( \rho_i \) will inherit from this symmetry and thus stay in the same class. Moreover, following Ref. [20], we can use the invariance of the integral (13) to express the parameter \( p_{i+1} \) as a function of \( p_i \) and \( \eta_i \).
\[
p_{i+1} = \sum_{b_i} \frac{\tr K_{b_i}^{(i)} K_{b_i}^{(i)*}}{d^2 - 1}
= \frac{(1 - \eta_i) (d - 1) + 2 \sqrt{1 - \eta_i} \sqrt{(d - 1) \eta_i}}{d + 1} p_i.
\]
(15)
Bobs that can exhibit steering is reached whenever the sequence goes below $p_{\text{steer}}$. In dimension two, Werner and isotropic states coincide and enable up to five consecutive Bobs to demonstrate steering of Alice. In higher dimensions, for Werner states, as $p_{\text{steer}}^{W}$ increases with $d$, less and less Bobs can exhibit steering, so that, already for $d = 4$, only one Bob can do so. With isotropic states, the behavior is different as $p_{\text{iso}}^{\text{steer}}$ decreases with $d$, and tends to 0 for large $d$. For increasing $d$, the saturating function therefore gets closer to maintaining the parameter $p_{i+1} = p_{i}$ for the next Bob, so that the corresponding sequence $\{p_{i}\}$ decreases slower. We illustrate this effect on Figure 3. Therefore, the number of Bobs, $N_{\text{Bob}}$, that can exhibit steering increases with the dimension $d$. More precisely, by lower and upper bounding the saturating function by suitable linear functions, we can show, by means of a symbolic computation software, that

$$N_{\text{Bob}} \sim \frac{d}{\log d},$$

(18)

Importantly, note that in this construction each Bob must know their respective position in the sequence, as they perform a measurement with a well chosen strength $\gamma_{k} = p_{\text{steer}}^{k}/p_{k}$. Nevertheless, we will see in Section IV that this condition can be dispensed with, while keeping the scaling of Eq. (18).

Also, note that the above construction is optimal for symmetric states, when considering Lüders measurements of the form of Eq. (10). Indeed, as the states are symmetric there can be no preferred measurement direction, and hence the best possibility consists in considering all possible measurements directions on an equal footing. Our result also imposes bounds on the case where each Bob would like to demonstrate Bell inequality violation with Alice, as there cannot be any a Bell violation without steering.

B. Finite sets of measurements

While the case of all possible measurements is interesting conceptually, it is also relevant to ask (e.g., from a practical point of view) whether similar results can be obtained when each Bob performs only a finite set of measurements. A natural idea here is to use 2-designs in order to replace the integral (13) by a sum (21), hence mapping the previous case to that of a finite set of measurements. Intuitively, 2-designs are simply finite sets over which the average reproduces the average over the total (infinite) set.

We consider first the case of a unitary 2-design $U$. If $B_{i}$ performs measurements in the bases corresponding to the elements of $U$, then

$$p_{i+1} = \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{b_{i}} (1 \otimes U K_{b_{i}}^{(i)} U^\dagger) \rho_{i} (1 \otimes U K_{b_{i}}^{(i)} U^\dagger)$$

(19)

$$= \int dU \sum_{b_{i}} (1 \otimes U K_{b_{i}}^{(i)} U^\dagger) \rho_{i} (1 \otimes U K_{b_{i}}^{(i)} U),$$

(20)

which has the same invariance property as Eq. (13). Thus, if all Bobs use the elements of $U$ as their measurements bases, the evolution of both Werner and isotropic states coincide and enable up to five consecutive Bobs to demonstrate steering of Alice. In higher dimensions, for Werner states, as $p_{\text{iso}}$ increases with the dimension $d$, only one Bob can do so. With isotropic states, the behavior is different as $p_{\text{iso}}^{\text{steer}}$ decreases slower. We illustrate this effect on Figure 3. Therefore, the number of Bobs, $N_{\text{Bob}}$, that can exhibit steering increases with the dimension $d$. More precisely, by lower and upper bounding the saturating function by suitable linear functions, we can show, by means of a symbolic computation software, that

$$N_{\text{Bob}} \sim \frac{d}{\log d},$$

(18)

FIG. 3. Similar curve as in Fig. 1, here for isotropic states in dimensions 2, 4, and 16 (from bottom to top). The corresponding noise thresholds $p_{\text{iso}}^{\text{steer}}$ are approximately 0.50, 0.36, and 0.16. This means that 5, 6, and 13 Bobs (respectively) can demonstrate steering.

$$p_{i+1} = \frac{1}{d+1} \sum_{y_{i+1}} \sum_{b_{i}} (1 \otimes K_{b_{i}}^{(i)} y_{i+1}) \rho_{i} (1 \otimes K_{b_{i}}^{(i)} y_{i+1})$$

(21)

$$= \int d\phi [1 \otimes K^{(i)}(\phi)] \rho_{i} [1 \otimes K^{(i)}(\phi)]$$

(22)

$$= \int dU (1 \otimes U K_{1}^{(i)} U^\dagger) \rho_{i} (1 \otimes U K_{1}^{(i)} U$$

(23)

$$= \int dU \sum_{b_{i}} (1 \otimes U K_{b_{i}}^{(i)} U^\dagger) \rho_{i} (1 \otimes U K_{b_{i}}^{(i)} U),$$

(24)

which has again the desired invariance property. The last equality is obtained by replacing the factor $d$ by the sum...
over \(b_i\), then remarking that in the integral the index \(b_i\) can be used to address any other column of \(U\) (since they play similar roles), and eventually to permute the sum and the integral to recover exactly Eq. (13).

Interestingly, the steering properties of CSMUB have already been characterized [25]. From this work, it follows that, for isotropic states measured with (noiseless) CSMUB, we have

\[ p_{\text{steer}}^{\text{iso}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{d+1} + 1. \]

(25)

Thus we can follow the same construction as in the previous section to show that an unbounded number of Bobs can exhibit steering, namely,

\[ N_{\text{Bob}}^{\text{MUB}} \sim \frac{d}{\log d}. \]

(26)

IV. ANONYMOUS SEQUENTIAL STEERING

The results obtained in the previous sections were using the fact that each Bob knows their position in the sequence. It is therefore natural to ask whether similar results could also be obtained when none of the Bobs are aware of their position. That is, each Bob must now act independently of all other Bobs. Here we show that in this anonymous scenario, the number of Bobs that can demonstrate steering remains unbounded.

As each Bob does not know how many other Bobs have previously tried to steer Alice (and how many could still follow after him), the only option is that all Bobs perform measurements of the same strength, i.e., set the noise parameters to a constant, i.e., \(\eta = \eta\) for all \(i\). Then from Eq. (15) we get

\[ p_i = \left( \eta + (1-\eta)(d-1) + 2\sqrt{1-\eta}\sqrt{1+(d-1)\eta} \right)^{-1}. \]

(27)

We call \(r(\eta)\) the ratio of this geometric sequence. From Eq. (17), and considering the case of an isotropic state, we get a condition for \(B_i\) to be able to steer Alice, namely,

\[ i < f_{\text{ano}}(\eta) = 1 + \frac{\log (p_{\text{steer}}^{\text{iso}}/\eta)}{\log r(\eta)}. \]

(28)

Clearly, the function \(f_{\text{ano}}\), plotted in Fig. 4 for \(d = 2 \ldots 16\), quantifies the number of Bobs that can exhibit steering, considering here all measurements.

The behavior of this function can be understood in intuitive terms. When \(\eta\) is just above \(p_{\text{steer}}^{\text{iso}}\), only the first Bob can exhibit steering. In order to get more Bobs to steer, one needs to increase \(\eta\) to compensate for the decrease of the visibility of the state through the sequence. However, at some point, when \(\eta\) is too large, the disturbance introduced by each Bob becomes so large that it prevents the following Bobs from steering. Therefore there is an optimal value of \(\eta\) at which \(f_{\text{ano}}\) is maximal, and thus \(N_{\text{Bob}}\) as well.

For example, taking \(\eta = 2p_{\text{steer}}^{\text{iso}}[1 - 1/(4\log d)]\), we get the lower bound

\[ N_{\text{Bob}} \geq u_d \sim \frac{\log 2}{2} \cdot \frac{d}{\log d}. \]

(29)

Hence we see that it is again possible for an unbounded number of Bobs to steer Alice.

With CSMUB, the same analysis applies, leading to

\[ N_{\text{Bob}}^{\text{MUB}} \geq v_d \sim \frac{\log 2}{2} \cdot \frac{d}{\sqrt{d}}. \]

(30)

One might be surprised by the difference of scaling between Eqs (29) and (30). Let us comment on that point. Previously, in the non-anonymous case, the same scaling was obtained for all measurements and CSMUB (see Eqs (18) and (26)), as the proofs relied only on the fact that \(p_{\text{steer}}\) tends to 0 when \(d\) goes to infinity. In the scenario we are now considering, as fewer assumptions are needed, the scaling depends on the precise behavior of \(p_{\text{steer}}^{\text{iso}}\). For the case of all measurements we have \(p_{\text{steer}}^{\text{iso}} \sim \log d/d\) whereas \(p_{\text{steer}}^{\text{iso}} \lesssim 1/\sqrt{d}\) for CSMUB.

V. CONCLUSION

We discussed a scenario of sequential steering, where multiple Bobs aim at steering Alice. For symmetric entangled states (of dimension \(d \times d\)) and Lüders measurements, the problem can be solved in general. We showed that the number of Bobs that can steer Alice grows as \(N_{\text{Bob}} \sim d/\log d\), considering both the cases of essentially all possible measurements as well as complete sets of MUB. This shows that an unbounded number of Bobs can demonstrate steering, while using independently chosen and unbiased inputs. Finally, we showed that these conclusions also hold in a scenario where each Bob does not know his position in the sequence.
It would be interesting to further investigate the sequential steering scenario. In particular, a natural question is whether the scaling we found for $N_{\text{tot}}$ is optimal or if it can be improved. For symmetric states, we conjecture that our construction is essentially optimal. One could consider measurements that are not of the Lüders form, and more general POVMs. Nevertheless, we believe that none of these would help. Alternatively, one could consider other entangled states. However, solving the problem in this case is likely to be more challenging, due to the reduced symmetry.
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