Efficient indirect control of nuclear spins in diamond NV centers
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Hybrid quantum systems, such as electron-nuclear spins of nitrogen vacancy (NV) center in diamond, have emerged as useful physical systems for implementing quantum computing and imaging. The difference in the inherent properties of the two subsystems are often useful, e.g. for implementing fast gate operations on the electron spin and achieving long information storage in the nuclear spin. However, it also provides challenges for the coherent control of hybrid spin systems, e.g. since the interaction between the nuclear spin magnetic moment and the control fields is orders of magnitude weaker than that of the electron spins, which has relatively short coherence times. To alleviate this problem, we propose and demonstrate an experimental scheme that does not require any radio-frequency pulses and therefore achieves much faster operations on the nuclear spins. Instead, it uses only microwave (MW) pulses acting on the electron spin, combined with free precession under the effect of anisotropic hyperfine interactions between the electron and nuclear spins. Compared with earlier works based on dynamical decoupling or modulated microwave pulses consisting of hundreds or even thousands of MW pulse segments, our elementary unitary operations consist of only 2 - 3 rectangular MW pulses separated by delays, thus greatly reducing the control cost.

As specific illustrations, we use this scheme to implement operations that occur in many quantum information or imaging tasks: we generate and detect nuclear spin coherence, transfer population between the electronic and nuclear spins, and generate a pseudo-Hadamard gate on the nuclear spin. Using these operations, we polarize the nuclear spin and measure the nuclear spin transition frequencies using free induction decay (FID) signals. Our system of interest consists of the electron spin and one $^{13}$C nuclear spin, which is relatively weakly coupled to the electron ($A<0.2$ MHz). In this system, the nuclear spin transition frequencies (NMR spectrum) are spread over a spectral range of more than 200 kHz, which would be out of reach for direct radio-frequency excitation but can be readily excited by our indirect control scheme. The system also contains a $^{14}$N nuclear spin, which we do not consider in this context. Instead, we focus onto the subsystem where the $^{14}$N is (and remains) in the $m_N=1$ state. The spins interact with a weak magnetic field $B$ oriented along the symmetry axis of the NV-center. In a suitable reference frame (for details see Methods), we can write the relevant part of the Hamiltonian as $H_{e/C}/(2\pi) = DS_z^2 - (\nu_e - \nu_N)S_z - \nu_C I_z + A_{zz} S_z I_z + A_{xx} S_x I_x$, where $S_z$ denotes the electron-spin-1 operator, and $I_x/I_z$ the $^{13}$C spin-1/2 operators. The zero-field splitting is $D = 2.87$ GHz. $\nu_e/C = \gamma_e/C B$ denotes the Larmor frequencies of the spins specified by the indices for the electron and $^{13}$C nuclear spins, with $\gamma$ being the gyromagnetic ratio. $\nu_N = -2.16$ MHz is the secular part of the hyperfine coupling with the $^{14}$N nuclear spin while $A_{zz}$ and $A_{xx}$ are the relevant components of the $^{13}$C hyperfine tensor.

The eigenstates of $H_{e,C}$ are $|0\rangle, |\varphi_+,\rangle, |\psi_+,\rangle, |0,\uparrow\rangle, |0,\downarrow\rangle, |1,\varphi_-,\rangle, |1,\psi_-,\rangle$, where $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ are the eigenstates of $I_z$, and

$$|\varphi_\pm\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle \cos(\theta/2) + |\downarrow\rangle \sin(\theta/2)$$

$$|\psi_\pm\rangle = -|\uparrow\rangle \sin(\theta/2) + |\downarrow\rangle \cos(\theta/2).$$

Here $|1\rangle, \{0\}$ and $|-1\rangle$ are the eigenstates of $S_z$, $\theta_\pm = \arctan[A_{zz}/(A_{xx} \mp \nu_C)]$ is the angle between the nuclear spin quantization axis and the $z$-axis of our coordinate system, which we chose along the symmetry axis of the center. The nuclear spin transition frequencies are $\nu_C$ and $\nu_\pm = \sqrt{A_{xx}^2 + (\nu_C \mp A_{zz})^2}$ if the electron spin is in the state $m_S = 0$, and $m_S = \pm 1$, respectively.

The different orientations of the nuclear spin quantization axes provide the possibility to achieve indirect control of the nuclear spin by only controlling the electron. The basic idea of this scheme is to start with the electron spin initialised, e.g., in the $m_S = 0$ state and the nuclear spin aligned along the corresponding quantization axis, which is close to the $z$-axis. If a MW pulse changes the state of the electron spin on a timescale that is fast compared to the precession period of the nuclear spin, the nuclear spin remains unchanged during the MW pulse. After the pulse, it is therefore oriented at an angle $\theta_\pm$ from the new quantization axis and starts to precess.
The control procedure then consists in finding the best combination of precession periods around the different axes that bring the spin close to the targeted orientation.

We consider three different operations. The operations $U_c$ and $U_p$, both apply to the initial state $\rho_0 = |0\rangle|0\rangle \otimes E/2$, where the electron spin is in a pure state and the $^{13}$C nuclear spin is in a mixed state. The final states are

$$\rho_c = U_c \rho_0 U_c^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \left( |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes |s_0\rangle\langle s_0| + |+\rangle\langle -1| \otimes |s_-\rangle\langle s_-| \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

$$\rho_p = U_p \rho_0 U_p^\dagger = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes |+\rangle\langle +| / 2 \otimes |\uparrow\rangle\langle \uparrow|,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

respectively, where $|s_0\rangle = (|\uparrow\rangle + i|\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|s_-\rangle = (|\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The third operation $U_{90} = e^{-i(\pi/2)H_\text{N}}$ is a $\pi/2$ rotation around the $x$-axis for $^{13}$C.

The nuclear spin coherence remains an almost equal weight superposition of the two eigenstates, which subsequently undergoes free evolution for a time $\tau$. At
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set shows the nuclear spin polarisation $P$, the amplitudes of the two lines in the spectrum are $13$ for transitions $13, 14, 19–24$. Fig. 3 shows the experimental scheme - a necessary step in realizing quantum computation - a power of about 0.5 mW. It can be fitted by the function $P_{\text{meas}} = 0.31 - 0.51 e^{-(a+\beta)d_L} + 0.50 e^{-2\gamma d_L}$, where $a = 1.10$, $\beta = 0.41$ and $\gamma = 0.022$ ms$^{-1}$ are the pumping rates for states $|13, 14, 19–24$ and $|0, 13, 14, 19–24$, and $|0, 13, 14, 19–24$ respectively. The highest polarisation of $p_{\text{max}} \approx 80\%$ was reached for a laser pulse duration $d_L = 1.1 \mu s$.

In the following, we use this polarized state to demonstrate the pseudo-Hadamard gate $U_{90}$. We detect its effect by implementing the standard $13C$ FID experiment (see, e.g., 22, 24). The MW pulse sequences and experimental results are shown in Fig. 4. Unlike in subspace $m_S = 0$, we replace $U_{90}$ by a $180^\circ_y$ MW pulse that transforms $m_S = 0$ to $-1$, and generates a coherence between $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\varphi\rangle$ of $13C$ in $m_S = -1$ subspace since $\theta_{-} \approx 90^\circ$. The scheme for $m_S = 1$ subspace is similar to the case of $m_S = 0$, except that we transfer the spin states between $m_S = 0$ and $m_S = 1$ using $180^\circ_y$ pulse before and after the free evolution time $\tau$. The measured transition frequencies are $0.158, 0.110$ and $0.328$ MHz, matching well with $\nu_C$, $\nu_-$ and $\nu_+$ respectively.

As yet another illustration, we use $U_p$ to polarize $13C$ - a necessary step in realizing quantum computation 13, 14, 19–24. Fig. 3 shows the experimental scheme and the results. The polarization of $13C$ is detected via FID measurements of the electron. As shown in Fig. 3, spectroscopy results in the two lines in the spectrum are proportional to the populations $P_{|0\rangle}$ and $P_{|1\rangle}$ as a function of the nuclear power of about 0.5 mW. It can be fitted by the function $P_{\text{prep}} = 0.31 - 0.51 e^{-(a+\beta)d_L} + 0.50 e^{-2\gamma d_L}$, where $a = 1.10$, $\beta = 0.41$ and $\gamma = 0.022$ ms$^{-1}$ are the pumping rates for states $|0, 0\rangle \rightarrow |0, \uparrow\rangle$ (or $|1, \downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |0, \downarrow\rangle$), $|1, \uparrow\rangle \rightarrow |0, \downarrow\rangle$ (or $|0, \downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |0, \downarrow\rangle$), and $|0, \uparrow\rangle \leftrightarrow |0, \downarrow\rangle$ respectively. The highest polarisation of $p_{\text{max}} \approx 80\%$ was reached for a laser pulse duration $d_L = 1.1 \mu s$.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated highly efficient control of nuclear spins in a solid-state system without using any radio-frequency irradiation. Instead, we relied on suitably chosen sequences of microwave pulses that drive the electronic spin and thereby modulate the anisotropic interaction and the effective field acting on the nuclear spins. The scheme was verified for the example of diamond NV-centers, working at room temperature. Using this technique, we implemented several fundamental unitary operations for quantum computing, such as generating quantum coherence, transferring populations, and
Hadamard-like gate. For this demonstration, we only used 2 or 3 MW control pulses, resulting in short gate times. Our scheme does not require a specific choice of the magnetic field, it can be used at arbitrary temperature and applied to different types of hybrid qubit systems.
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FIG. 4: Experimental $^{13}$C FIDs obtained with the transformation $U_{90}$. The time domain data and the Fourier transforms are shown as the left to right columns. From top to bottom we list the results obtained in the subspaces $m_S = 0, -1, 1$, and the insets show the MW pulse sequences correspondingly. $U_t$ performs as a controlled NOT -like gate approximately in the subspace spanned in the basis $\{m_S = 0, m_S = 1\} \otimes \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$ to transfer the population from state $|0, \downarrow\rangle$ to $|1, \downarrow\rangle$, so that the $^{13}$C coherence can be detected by the readout laser. $U_t$ is implemented by two 90° MW pulses with 90° phase shift and separated by a delay as $1/(2|\langle A_{zz}\rangle|)$.