PROPAGATION OF SINGULARITIES FOR GRAVITY-CAPILLARY WATER WAVES
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Abstract. We obtain two results of propagation for the system of gravity-capillary water waves — first the propagation of oscillations and decay at the spatial infinity and second a microlocal smoothing effect when the initial surface is non-trapping — extending the results of Craig, Kappeler and Strauss [15], Wunsch [58] and Nakamura [45] to quasilinear dispersive equations. We also prove the existence of water waves with an asymptotically Euclidean surface and an asymptotically stationary velocity field. To obtain these results, we extend the paradifferential calculus to weighted Sobolev spaces and develop a semiclassical paradifferential calculus, we also define a family of wavefront sets — the quasi-homogeneous wavefront sets which, at least in the Euclidean geometry, generalize the wavefront set of Hörmander, the scattering wavefront set of Melrose, the quadratic scattering wavefront set of Wunsch and the homogeneous wavefront set of Nakamura.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in the propagation of singularities for the quasilinear system of gravity-capillary water waves. Before stating the main results, we shall first revisit classical results of propagation for the linear half wave equation and the linear Schrödinger equation which lead us to a more generalized and more adaptive notion of singularities for various linear and nonlinear dispersive equations.

1.1. Half wave equation. Let $u$ be a distribution on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ without boundary. The singular support of $u$, denoted by sing supp $u$, is the smallest closed subset of $M$ outside of which $u$ is smooth. Members of sing supp $u$ are called singularities of $u$. To study the propagation of singularities when $u$ solves some partial differential equations, the information given by sing supp $u$ alone is usually insufficient, as the direction of propagation is not determined by the location of a singularity, but rather by its “direction of oscillation”. With this mindset, Hörmander [24] introduced the wavefront set $WF(u)$, lifting sing supp $u$ to the cotangent bundle $T^*M\setminus 0$.

Definition 1.1. Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$, $WF(u)$ is a subset of $T^*M\setminus 0$. In local coordinates, we say that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF(u)$ if for some $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$, $\widehat{\varphi u}$ decays rapidly within some conical neighborhood of $\xi_0$. Members of $WF(u)$ are called microlocal singularities of $u$.

By the Paley–Wiener–Hörmander theorem, $x_0 \in \text{sing supp } u$ if and only if $(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u)$ for some $\xi_0 \neq 0$. Using the wavefront set, Hörmander obtained a precise propagation of microlocal singularities for solutions to pseudodifferential equations of real principal type, generalizing previous results on wave propagation by Courant and Lax [14, 37].

Theorem 1.2 (Hörmander [24]). If $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{D}'(M))$ solves the equation

$$\partial_t u + iPu = 0,$$

where $P$ is a first-order pseudodifferential operator admitting a real principal symbol $\sigma(P)$, then $WF(u)$ is propagated by the Hamiltonian flow $\Phi$ of $\sigma(P)$. More precisely, if $(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u(0))$, then $\Phi_t(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF(u(t))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

In particular, in the case of the half wave equation

$$\partial_t u + i\sqrt{-\Delta_g} u = 0,$$

(microlocal) singularities travel at speed one along (co-)geodesics, and we obtain a mathematical justification of the Huygens–Fresnel principal of wavefront propagation. For propagation of semilinear
waves, we refer to Bony [10] and Lebeau [38]. For propagation on manifolds with corners, see Vasy [54] and Melrose, Vasy and Wunsch [40].

1.2. Schrödinger equation. Hörmander’s theorem is no longer true when \( P \) is of order higher than one. For example in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), the Schrödinger propagator \( e^{it\Delta/2} \) sends compactly supported distributions to smooth functions whenever \( t \neq 0 \). Combining the reversibility in time of the Schrödinger equation, we conclude that singularities may appear and disappear along the Schrödinger flow. This phenomenon of “smoothing effect & singularity formation” is due to the infinite speed of propagation of the Schrödinger equation, which allows high-frequency oscillations to be instantaneously propagated to or back from the spatial infinity. The study of this infinite speed of propagation probably dates back to Boutet-de-Monvel [11] and Lascar [35, 36] who proved that singularities propagate along geodesics at an infinite speed. They did not, however, obtain a time-dependent propagation. Kato [32] obtained a local smoothing effect for generalized KdV equations, which is the first result of smoothing effect for dispersive equations with an infinite speed of propagation. Craig, Kappeler and Strauss [15] obtained microlocal smoothing effects for Schrödinger equations under the non-trapping condition of the geometry. Their results were later refined by Wunsch [58] who obtained a time-dependent propagation by revealing the transformation between microlocal singularities and quadratic oscillations at the spatial infinity. A typical example of this transformation can be shown by the following explicit calculation in \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
e^{it\Delta/2}\delta_{x_0} = \frac{e^{-\pi i d/4}}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}}e^{i|\xi - x_0|^2/2t}.
\]

Wunsch’s idea was to introduce the quadratic scattering wavefront set \( WF_{qsc}(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of \( u \). His results were stated in a rather general geometric setting — Riemannian manifolds endowed with a scattering metric. Similar results were later obtained, independently, by Nakamura [45] via a much easier calculus but in a more restricted geometric setting — asymptotically Euclidean geometries. Nakamura defined the homogeneous wavefront set \( HWF(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of a tempered distribution \( u \) at a temporal frequency \( \omega \). A typical example of this transformation can be shown by the following explicit calculation in \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
e^{it\Delta/2}\delta_{x_0} = \frac{e^{-\pi i d/4}}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}}e^{i|\xi - x_0|^2/2t}.
\]

Wunsch’s idea was to introduce the quadratic scattering wavefront set \( WF_{qsc}(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of \( u \). His results were stated in a rather general geometric setting — Riemannian manifolds endowed with a scattering metric. Similar results were later obtained, independently, by Nakamura [45] via a much easier calculus but in a more restricted geometric setting — asymptotically Euclidean geometries. Nakamura defined the homogeneous wavefront set \( HWF(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of a tempered distribution \( u \) at a temporal frequency \( \omega \). A typical example of this transformation can be shown by the following explicit calculation in \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
e^{it\Delta/2}\delta_{x_0} = \frac{e^{-\pi i d/4}}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}}e^{i|\xi - x_0|^2/2t}.
\]

Wunsch’s idea was to introduce the quadratic scattering wavefront set \( WF_{qsc}(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of \( u \). His results were stated in a rather general geometric setting — Riemannian manifolds endowed with a scattering metric. Similar results were later obtained, independently, by Nakamura [45] via a much easier calculus but in a more restricted geometric setting — asymptotically Euclidean geometries. Nakamura defined the homogeneous wavefront set \( HWF(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of a tempered distribution \( u \) at a temporal frequency \( \omega \). A typical example of this transformation can be shown by the following explicit calculation in \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
e^{it\Delta/2}\delta_{x_0} = \frac{e^{-\pi i d/4}}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}}e^{i|\xi - x_0|^2/2t}.
\]

Wunsch’s idea was to introduce the quadratic scattering wavefront set \( WF_{qsc}(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of \( u \). His results were stated in a rather general geometric setting — Riemannian manifolds endowed with a scattering metric. Similar results were later obtained, independently, by Nakamura [45] via a much easier calculus but in a more restricted geometric setting — asymptotically Euclidean geometries. Nakamura defined the homogeneous wavefront set \( HWF(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of a tempered distribution \( u \) at a temporal frequency \( \omega \). A typical example of this transformation can be shown by the following explicit calculation in \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
e^{it\Delta/2}\delta_{x_0} = \frac{e^{-\pi i d/4}}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}}e^{i|\xi - x_0|^2/2t}.
\]

Wunsch’s idea was to introduce the quadratic scattering wavefront set \( WF_{qsc}(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of \( u \). His results were stated in a rather general geometric setting — Riemannian manifolds endowed with a scattering metric. Similar results were later obtained, independently, by Nakamura [45] via a much easier calculus but in a more restricted geometric setting — asymptotically Euclidean geometries. Nakamura defined the homogeneous wavefront set \( HWF(u) \) which detects the quadratic oscillations of a tempered distribution \( u \) at a temporal frequency \( \omega \). A typical example of this transformation can be shown by the following explicit calculation in \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
e^{it\Delta/2}\delta_{x_0} = \frac{e^{-\pi i d/4}}{(2\pi t)^{d/2}}e^{i|\xi - x_0|^2/2t}.
\]
(S.1) HWF(u)\((\{x = 0\} \cup \{\xi = 0\})\) is propagated by the Euclidean geodesic flow. More precisely, let \((x_0, \xi_0) \in\) HWF(u(0))\(\{\xi = 0\}\) and \(t_0 \in \mathbb{R}\). If \(x_0 + t\xi_0 \neq 0\) for all \(t\) between 0 and \(t_0\), then \((x_0 + t\xi_0, \xi_0) \in\) HWF(u(t_0)).

(S.2) Let \(\{(x_t, \xi_t)\}_t \in \mathbb{R}\) be the co-geodesic issued from \((x_0, \xi_0) \in\) WF(u(0)). Suppose that it is forwardly/backwardly non-trapping in the sense that \(\limsup_{t \to \pm \infty} |x_t| = +\infty\), then \(\xi_t = \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \xi_t\) exists and \((t_0\xi_\pm, \xi_\pm) \in\) HWF(u(t_0)) for all \(\pm t_0 > 0\).

(S.1) studies the propagation of oscillations and spatial growth/decay for Schrödinger waves at the spatial infinity as we are away from \(x = 0\). In Euclidean geometry, this result is a natural consequence of the commutation relation

\[
\left[ i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \Delta, a(t, h\xi, hD_x) \right] = (i\partial_t a - \xi \cdot \partial_x a) (t, h\xi, hD_x) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)
\]

and a routine Egorov-type construction of symbols. In asymptotic Euclidean geometries, similar arguments still work by simply replacing the role of the quantization \(x \mapsto h\xi\) with the spatial decay of the metric \(g\). (S.2) states a microlocal smoothing effect. Namely, if one does not observe an accumulation of mass for \(u(t_0)\) in a conical neighborhood of \((t_0\xi_\pm, \xi_\pm)\), then \((x_0, \xi_0)\) cannot be a microlocal singularity of \(u(0)\). This result is a refinement [15]. For related results, see Doi [20, 21] and Burq [12] for the necessity of the non-trapping condition, see Robbiano and Zuily [49] for a microlocal analytic smoothing effect and see Kenig, Ponce and Vega [34] and Szeftel [53] for local and microlocal smoothing effects for semilinear Schrödinger equations. We should also remark that, Hörmander [25] also introduced an essentially equivalent counterpart of the homogeneous wavefront set to which a similar definition as that of Nakamura was given. See Rodino and Wahlberg [50], Schulz and Wahlberg [52] for more comments.

However, Theorem 1.4 is unable to show how oscillations at the spatial infinity form singularities along the Schrödinger flow. Indeed, the information about the locations of singularities is not contained in quadratic oscillations but rather in linear oscillations at the spatial infinity. The latter can be detected by the scattering wavefront set \(WF_{sc}\) of Melrose [41]. See Hassell and Wunsch [23] for a precise statement relating \(WF(u(0))\) with \(WF_{sc}(u(t)e^{-i|\xi|^2/2t})\). See also Nakamura [46] for a different approach.

1.3. Gravity-capillary water wave equation. The gravity-capillary water wave equation describes the evolution of inviscid, incompressible and irrotational fluid with a free surface, in the presence of a gravitational field and the surface tension. We shall first recall the Eulerian formulation of the water wave equation.

1.3.1. Eulerian formulation. Let \(\eta = \eta(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}\) with \((t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d\), and \(0 < b < \infty\). Let

\[
\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} : -b < y < \eta(x)\}
\]

be a time-dependent domain. Then \(\partial\Omega\) consists of a free surface \(\Sigma = \{y = \eta\}\) and a flat bottom \(\Gamma = \{y = -b\}\). Let \(v : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d\) be the Eulerian vector field, \(P : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}\) be the internal pressure, \(g \in \mathbb{R}\) be the gravitational acceleration, \(\mathbf{e}_y = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)\) be the upward vertical direction, and \(\mathbf{n} : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{S}^d\) be the exterior unit normal vector field of \(\partial\Omega\). Then the water wave equation can be formulated as an Euler equation in \(\Omega\) with suitable boundaries conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla P &= - g \mathbf{e}_y, & \text{Euler equation;} \\
\nabla \cdot v &= 0, & \text{incompressibility \& irrotationality;} \\
\n\partial_t \eta &= \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2} \cdot v, & \text{kinetic condition;} \\
-P |_{\Sigma} &= \kappa H(\eta), & \text{dynamic condition;} \\
|v|_\Gamma \cdot \mathbf{n} &= 0, & \text{impenetrability of bottom.}
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(\nabla v = (\nabla, \partial_y)\) and \(\nabla = (\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_d})\). The kinetic condition implies that fluid particles initially on the free surface will stay on the free surface. The dynamic condition expresses the balance between the interior pressure and the surface tension \(\kappa H(\eta)\) where \(\kappa > 0\) is a constant and

\[
H(\eta) = \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\nabla \eta}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2}} \right)
\]
is the mean curvature of the free surface.

1.3.2. Zakharov–Craig–Sulem formulation. One of the main difficulties in the study of the water wave equation is the time-dependence of the domain $\Omega$. By Zakharov [62], Craig and Sulem [16], we can reformulate the water wave equation as a system in $\mathbb{R}^d$. To do this, observe that due to the incompressibility and irrotationality of the fluid, there exists $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\nabla_{xy}\phi = v, \ \Delta_{xy}\phi = 0, \ \partial_y\phi|_\Gamma = 0.
$$

Denote $\psi(x) = \phi(x, \eta(x))$, where we temporarily omit the time variable for simplicity, then the right hand side of the kinetic condition can be written as

$$
\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\eta|^2} \ v|_\Sigma \cdot n = G(\eta)\psi,
$$

where $G(\eta)$, called the Dirichlet–Neumann operator, is defined by

$$
G(\eta)\psi(x) = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\eta|^2} \ \partial_n\phi|_\Sigma = \partial_\eta\phi(x, \eta(x)) - \nabla\eta(x) \cdot \nabla\phi(x, \eta(x)).
$$

The gravity-capillary water wave equation now writes in terms of variables $(\eta, \psi)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_\eta \psi - G(\eta)\psi &= 0, \\
\partial_t \psi + g\eta - \kappa H(\eta) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\nabla\eta \cdot \nabla\psi + G(\eta)\psi)^2}{1 + |\nabla\eta|^2} &= 0.
\end{align*}
$$

We shall assume henceforth that $\kappa = 1$ for simplicity.

The purpose of this paper is to present the propagation of singularities for solutions to (1.2), including a microlocal smoothing effect. To the best of our knowledge, these results are the first of this type for quasilinear dispersive equations.

1.3.3. Quasi-homogeneous wavefront set and model equation. Recall that the linearization of (1.2) at the stationary state $(\eta, \psi) = (0, 0)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_\eta \psi - |D_x| \tanh(b|D_x|)\psi &= 0, \\
\partial_t \psi + g\eta - \Delta \eta &= 0.
\end{align*}
$$

Let us oversimplify (1.3) by setting $g = 0$, $b = \infty$, then $u := \psi - i\sqrt{|D_x|}\eta$ satisfies the fractional Schrödinger equation

$$
\partial_t u + i|D_x|^{3/2}u = 0,
$$

for which neither $\text{WF}(u)$ nor $\text{HWF}(u)$ seems to be good objects for propagation. Let us consider the more general model equation

$$
\partial_t u + i|D_x|^\gamma u = 0, \ \gamma \geq 1,
$$

which includes the half wave equation ($\gamma = 1$), the fractional Schrödinger equations ($1 < \gamma < 2$) and the Schrödinger equation ($\gamma = 2$), etc., as special cases. A wave packet of (1.4) near the frequency $\xi \sim h^{-1}$ travels at the group velocity $v = \frac{d|D_x|^\gamma}{d\xi} = \gamma|\xi|^{\gamma-2}\xi \sim h^{-(\gamma-1)}$, so the quasi-homogeneously scaled quantization $a \mapsto a(h^{\gamma-1}x, hD_x)$ seems to be (one of) the rightful choice(s).

**Definition 1.5** (Quasi-homogeneous wavefront set). Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\delta \geq 0$, $\rho \geq 0$ with $\delta + \rho > 0$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The quasi-homogeneous wavefront set $\text{WF}^\mu_{\delta, \rho}(u)$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ such that $(x_0, \xi_0) \not\in \text{WF}^\mu_{\delta, \rho}(u)$ if and only if for some $a \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with $a(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$, $a(h^\delta x, h^\rho D_x)u = O(h^{\mu})_{L^2}$, $0 < h < 1$. Here,

$$
a(h^\delta x, h^\rho D_x)u(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y) \cdot \xi} a(h^\delta x, h^\rho \xi)u(y) \, dy \, d\xi.
$$

If $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}^\mu_{\delta, \rho}(u)$, we shall call it a quasi-homogeneous singularity of $u$, or more precisely, a $(\delta, \rho)$-singularity of $u$ (of order $\mu$).
The quasi-homogeneous wavefront set clearly generalizes the homogeneous wavefront set of Naka-
mura by setting \((\delta, \rho, \mu) = (1, 1, \infty)\) and the wavefront set of Hörmander by setting \((\delta, \rho, \mu) = (0, 1, \infty)\) due to a semiclassical characterization of \(WF(u)\) by Guillemain and Sternberg [22]. It also generalizes — at least in \(\mathbb{R}^d\) — the scattering wavefront set of Melrose [41] by setting \((\delta, \rho, \mu) = (1, 0, \infty)\) for we shall see in \(\S 2\) that \((x_0, \xi_0) \in WF_{\delta,\rho}^\mu(u)\) if and only if \((\xi_0, -x_0) \in WF_{\rho,\delta}^\mu(u)\).

Now we readily extend the theorems of Hörmander and Nakamura to the model equation \((1.4)\).

**Theorem 1.6.** Let \(u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, H^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d))\) solve \((1.4)\) and \(\mu \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}\).

\((M.1)\) If \(\rho \gamma = \delta + \rho\), then \(WF_{\delta,\rho}^\mu(u) \setminus \{\xi = 0\}\) is propagated by the Hamiltonian flow of \(|\xi|\gamma\). More precisely, given \((x_0, \xi_0) \in WF_{\delta,\rho}^\mu(u(0)) \setminus \{\xi = 0\}\) and \(t_0 \in \mathbb{R}\),

\[
(x_0 + t_0 \gamma)|\xi_0|^{\gamma-2}\xi_0, \xi_0) \in WF_{\delta,\rho}^\mu(u(t_0)).
\]

\((M.2)\) If \(\gamma > 1\), \(\rho \gamma > \delta + \rho\) and \((x_0, \xi_0) \in WF_{\delta,\rho}^\mu(u(0)) \setminus \{\xi = 0\}\), then \(\forall t_0 \neq 0\)

\[
(t_0 \gamma)|\xi_0|^{\gamma-2}\xi_0, \xi_0) \in WF_{\rho(\gamma-1),\rho}^\mu(u(t_0)).
\]

### 1.3.4. Asymptotically flat water waves

Instead of the linearization at \((\eta, \psi) = (0, 0)\), if we paralinearize and symmetrize \((1.2)\) as in [1], we obtain a paraprofessional fractional Schrödinger equation of order \(3/2\) (with lower order terms) on the free surface. The geometry of the free surface is time-dependent and is given by the solution itself for \((1.2)\) is quasilinear. We need this geometry to be asymptotically Euclidean to avoid problems caused by the infinite speed of propagation. We shall prove the existence of such geometry by establishing the existence of solutions to \((1.2)\) in suitable weighted Sobolev spaces.

**Definition 1.7.** For \((\nu, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2\), \(H_k\nu\) consists of those \(u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)\) with

\[
\|u\|_{H_k\nu} := \|(x)^k(D_x)^\nu u\|_{L^2} < \infty.\tag{1(i)}
\]

Moreover, given \((\mu, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}\), denote \(\mathcal{H}_m^\mu = \bigcap_{j=0}^m H_j^{\mu-j/2}\).

**Theorem 1.8.** Let \(d \geq 1\), \(\mu > 3 + d/2\), \(m \leq 2\mu - 6 - d\) and \((\eta_0, \psi_0) \in \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^\mu\). For some \(T > 0\), there exists a unique solution

\[
(\eta, \psi) \in C([-T, T], \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^\mu)
\]

to the Cauchy problem of \((1.2)\) with initial data \((\eta_0, \psi_0)\).

The study of the Cauchy problem for the water wave equation dates back to Nalimov [47], Kano and Nishida [31] and Yoshihara [59, 60]. The local well-posedness in Sobolev spaces with general initial data were achieved by Wu [56, 57], Beyer and Günther [8]. Our analysis of the water wave equation relies on the paraprofessional calculus of Bony [10], which was introduced to the study of the water wave equation by Alazard and Métivier [6], and later allowed Alazard, Burq and Zuily [1, 2] to prove the local well-posedness with low Sobolev regularity. For recent progress of the Cauchy problem, see e.g., [4, 17, 18, 19, 27, 28, 29, 44, 51, 55].

To prove Theorem 1.8, we shall combine the analysis in [1] and a paraprofessional calculus in weighted Sobolev spaces. The latter requires us to modify the definition of paraprofessional operators via a spatial dyadic decomposition. More precisely, given a symbol \(a\), we shall define the dyadic paraprofessional operator

\[
P_a = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j T_{\psi_j a} \psi_j,
\]

where \(\{\psi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) is a dyadic partition of unity of \(\mathbb{R}^d\), \(\psi_j = \sum_{|k-j| \leq N} \psi_k\) for some sufficiently large \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), and \(T_{\psi_j a}\) is the usual paraprofessional operator of Bony. Such dyadic paraprofessional calculus inherits the symbolic calculus and the paralinearization of Bony’s calculus while at the same time allows the spatial polynomial growth/decay of symbols to play their roles in estimates.

We do not attempt to lower \(\mu\) to \(2 + d/2\) as the case it was in [1]. The range of \(m\) is so chosen that \(\mu - m/2 > 3 + d/2\), enabling us to paralinearize \((1.2)\) in \(\mathcal{H}_m^\mu\). We should mention that the existence

\[\text{(i) Here and throughout this paper, } \langle \cdot \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\cdot|^2}.\]
of gravity water waves — water waves without surface tension — in uniformly local weighted Sobolev spaces was obtain by Nguyen [48] via a periodic spatial decomposition from [3].

1.3.5. Propagation at spatial infinity. Our first main result concerns about the propagation of (1/2, 1)-singularities at the spatial infinity, corresponding to (M.1) of Theorem 1.6.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let \( d \geq 1, \mu > 3 + d/2, 3 \leq m \leq 2\mu - 6 - d, T > 0 \) and \((\eta, \psi) \in C([-T, T], H^\mu_{m+1/2} \times H^\mu_{m})\) that solves (1.2). Given

\[
(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta(0)) \cup WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi(0)), \quad \xi_0 \neq 0,
\]

where \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq m/2 - 3/2 \) and let \( t_0 \in [-T, T] \) such that

\[
x_0 + \frac{3}{2} t_0 \xi_0^{-1/2} \xi_0 \neq 0
\]

for all \( t \in [0, t_0] \) if \( t_0 \geq 0 \), or respectively for all \( t \in [t_0, 0] \) if \( t_0 \leq 0 \). Then

\[
(x_0 + \frac{3}{2} t_0 |\xi_0|^{-1/2} \xi_0, \xi_0) \in WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta(t_0)) \cup WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi(t_0)).
\]

We will see that, by Lemma 2.15, as \((\eta, \psi) \in H^\mu_{m+1/2} \times H^\mu_{m}\),

\[
WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+1/2}(\eta) \cup WF_{1/2,1}^\mu(\psi) \subset \{x = 0\} \cup \{\xi = 0\}.
\]

By [6], we expect \( \sigma \) to be at most \( \mu - \alpha - d/2 \) for some \( \alpha > 0 \), corresponding to the extra gain of regularity by the remainder of the paralinearization procedure. Although Theorem 1.9 does not give the optimal upper bound for \( \sigma \), as it is not our priority in this paper, but when \( m = 2\mu - 6 - d \), \( \sigma \) can still be as large as \( \mu - 9/2 - d/2 \).

1.3.6. Microlocal smoothing effect. Our second main result shows that a \((0, 1)\)-singularity instantaneously leads to a \((1/2, 1)\)-singularity at the spatial infinity, corresponding to (M.2) of Theorem 1.6. To state the result, observe that, given \( \eta \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^d) \), the surface \( \Sigma(\eta) = \{y = \eta(x)\} \) is isometric to \((\mathbb{R}^d, g)\), with

\[
g = \begin{pmatrix}
\text{Id} + \nabla \eta \nabla \eta & t \nabla \eta \\
\nabla \eta & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

As \( \eta \) is time-dependent, we shall denote \( \Sigma_0 = \Sigma(\eta(0)) \) and \( g_0 = g(\eta(0)) \). We identify the co-geodesic flow \( \mathcal{G} \) on \( T^* \Sigma_0 \) with the Hamiltonian flow on \( \mathbb{R}^{2d} \) of the symbol

\[
G(x, \xi) = t \xi g_0(x)^{-1} \xi.
\]

That is, \( \partial_t \mathcal{G}_t = X_G(\mathcal{G}_t) \), \( \mathcal{G}_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \), where \( X_G = (\partial_x G, -\partial_x G) \).

**Theorem 1.10.** Let \( d \geq 1, \mu > 3 + d/2, 3 \leq m \leq 2(\mu - 3 - d/2), T > 0 \) and \((\eta, \psi) \in C([-T, T], H^\mu_{m+1/2} \times H^\mu_{m})\) that solves (1.2). Let

\[
(x_0, \xi_0) \in WF_{0,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta(0)) \cup WF_{0,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi(0)), \quad \xi_0 \neq 0,
\]

where \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq \min\{\mu/2 - 3/4, 3m/2\} \), and suppose that the co-geodesic \( \{(x_t, \xi_t) = \mathcal{G}_t(x_0, \xi_0)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \) is forwardly resp. backwardly non-trapping, i.e., for any compact set \( K \subset \mathbb{R}^d, x_t \not\in K \), resp. \( x_{-t} \not\in K \) when \( t > 0 \) is sufficiently large. Then there exists \( \xi_{+\infty} \), resp. \( \xi_{-\infty} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^{d}\backslash\{0\} \) such that

\[
\lim_{t \to -\infty} \xi_t = \xi_{+\infty}, \quad \text{resp.} \quad \lim_{t \to -\infty} \xi_{-t} = \xi_{-\infty},
\]

and for all \( 0 < t_0 \leq T, \text{ resp. } -T \leq t_0 < 0 \),

\[
\left( \frac{3}{2} t_0 |\xi_{+\infty}|^{-1/2} \xi_{+\infty}, \xi_{+\infty} \right) \in WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta(t_0)) \cup WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi(t_0)),
\]

resp.

\[
\left( \frac{3}{2} t_0 |\xi_{-\infty}|^{-1/2} \xi_{-\infty}, \xi_{-\infty} \right) \in WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta(t_0)) \cup WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi(t_0)).
\]
We remark that the asymptotic directions $\xi_{\pm\infty}$ are determined solely by the initial geometry given by $\eta(0)$, due to the infinite speed of propagation.

One may wonder whether the non-trapping assumption in Theorem 1.10 is necessary. We are tempted to believe that the co-geodesic flow on $\Sigma_0$ is everywhere non-trapping, both forwardly and backwardly, because $\Sigma_0$ is the graph of a function from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}$. However, only two special cases are known to the author to be true: either when $d = 1$, or when $\nabla \eta(0) \in L^\infty$ and $\| (x) \nabla^2 \eta(0) \|_{L^\infty}$ is sufficiently small. In both cases we obtain the following local smoothing effect.

**Corollary 1.11.** Let $d \geq 1$, $\mu > 3 + d/2$, $3 \leq m \leq \frac{2}{d}(\mu - 3 - d/2)$, $T > 0$ and $(\eta, \psi) \in C([-T, T], \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu})$ that solves (1.2). Suppose that both of the following two conditions are satisfied,

1. either $d = 1$ or $\| (x) \nabla^2 \eta(0) \|_{L^\infty}$ is sufficiently small;
2. $\WF_{1/2, \mu}(\eta(0)) \cup \WF_{1/2, \mu}(\psi(0)) \subset \{ x = 0 \} \cup \{ \xi = 0 \}$.

Then $\forall t_0 \in [-T, T] \setminus \{ 0 \}$ and $\forall \epsilon > 0$,

$$(\eta(t_0), \psi(t_0)) \in H^{\mu+1/2+\sigma-\epsilon}_{\text{loc}} \times H^{\mu+\sigma-\epsilon}_{\text{loc}}.$$ 

We remark that the second condition is satisfied if $(\eta(0), \psi(0)) \in H^{2k+\mu+1/2+\sigma-\epsilon}_{2k} \times H^{\mu+\sigma-\epsilon}_{2k'}$ for some $(k, k') \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, which is particularly the case if $(\eta(0), \psi(0)) \in \mathfrak{B} \times \mathfrak{B}'$.

We refer to Christianson, Hur and Staffilani [13], Alazard, Burq and Zuily [1] for local smoothing effects of 2D capillary-gravity water waves. See also Alazard, Ifrim and Tataru [5] for a Morawetz inequality of 2D gravity water waves.

### 1.4. Outline of paper.

In §2, we present basic properties of weighted Sobolev spaces and the quasi-homogeneous wavefront set. In §3, we prove Theorem 1.6 by extending the idea of Nakamura. In §4, we review the paradifferential calculus of Bony, and extend it to weighted Sobolev spaces by a spatial dyadic decomposition. We also develop a quasi-homogeneous semiclassical paradifferential calculus, and study its relations with the quasi-homogeneous wavefront set. In §5, we study the Dirichlet–Neumann operator in weighted Sobolev spaces and prove the existence of asymptotically flat gravity-capillary water waves, i.e., Theorem 1.8. In §6, we prove our main results, i.e., Theorem 1.9, Theorem 1.10 and Corollary 1.11, by extending the proof of Theorem 1.6 to the quasilinear equation using the paradifferential calculus.

### Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Thomas Alazard, Nicolas Burq and Claude Zuily for their constant support and encouragement. He would like to thank Shu Nakamura for helpful discussions during the early stage of this project. He would also like to thank Jean-Marc Delort for his careful reading of the manuscript, and thank Daniel Tataru for his useful comments.

### 2. Quasi-homogeneous microlocal analysis

#### 2.1. Quasi-homogeneous semiclassical calculus.

**Definition 2.1.** For $(\mu, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, set $m_k^\mu(x, \xi) = (x)^k (\xi)^\mu$. Let $a_h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we say that $a_h \in S_k^\mu$ if $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\exists C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$, such that $\forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},$

$$\sup_{0 < h < 1} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a_h(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} m_k^{\mu-|\beta|} (x, \xi).$$

We say that $a_h \in S_k^\mu$ is $(\mu, k)$-elliptic if $\exists C > 0$ such that for $|x| + |\xi| \geq R,$

$$\inf_{0 < h < 1} |a_h(x, \xi)| \geq C m_k^\mu (x, \xi).$$

Denote $S_\infty = \bigcup_{(\mu, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} S_k^\mu$, $S_{-\infty}^\mu = \bigcap_{(\mu, k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} S_k^\mu$. We say that $a_h \in S_{-\infty}^\mu$ is elliptic at $(x_0, \xi_0)$ if for some neighborhood $\Omega$ of $(x_0, \xi_0),$

$$\inf_{0 < h < 1} \inf_{(x, \xi) \in \Omega} |a_h(x, \xi)| > 0.$$
Definition 2.2. Given $\delta \geq 0, \rho \geq 0$, for $h > 0$, define $\theta_{h,x}^{\delta,\rho}(x,\xi) = (h^\delta x, h^\rho \xi)$, which induces a pullback $\theta_{h,x}^{\delta,\rho}$ on $S^\infty$, $\theta_{h,x}^{\delta,\rho} a_h = a_h \circ \theta_{h,x}^{\delta,\rho}$. Then set

$$\text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) = \text{Op}(\theta_{h,x}^{\delta,\rho} a_h),$$

where $\text{Op}(a)(x) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,\xi) u(y) \, dy \, d\xi$.

By the formula $(\overline{\partial}_x^\delta)^{-1} \text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(a) \overline{\partial}_x^{\delta,\rho} = \text{Op}_h^{0,\delta,\rho}(a)$, where $\overline{\partial}_x^{\delta,\rho} u(x) := h^{\delta/2} u(h^\delta x)$ is an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we induce the following results from the usual semiclassical calculus for which we refer to [64].

Proposition 2.3. There exists $K > 0$ such that, if $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with $\| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a \|_{L^\infty} \leq M$ for all $|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq d$, then $\| \text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(a) \|_{L^2} \leq KM$.

Proposition 2.4 (Sharp Garding Inequality). If $\delta + \rho > 0$ and $a_h \in S^0_0$ such that $\text{Re} a_h \geq 0$, then for some $C > 0$, all $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $0 < h < 1$,

$$\text{Re}(\text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) u, u) \geq -C h^{\delta,\rho} \|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proposition 2.5. There exists a bilinear operator $\mathcal{P}_h^{\delta,\rho} : S^\infty_\infty \times S^\infty_\infty \to S^\infty_\infty$, such that

$$\text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) \text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(b_h) = \text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(a_h \mathcal{P}_h^{\delta,\rho} b_h).$$

If $a_h \in S^\mu_k$, $b_h \in S^\nu_k$, then $a_h \mathcal{P}_h^{\delta,\rho} b_h \in S^{\mu+k}_\infty$. For $\gamma > 0$, define

$$a_h \mathcal{P}_h^{\delta,\rho} b_h = \sum_{|\alpha| < \gamma} \frac{h^{[\alpha]}(\delta,\rho)}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha a_h D_x^{\delta,\rho} b_h,$$

then $a_h \mathcal{P}_h^{\delta,\rho} b_h - a_h \mathcal{P}_h^{\delta,\rho} b_h = \mathcal{O}(h^{\gamma(\delta,\rho)} s^{\mu+k+\nu-\gamma}_\infty)$. If $\delta + \rho > 0$ and either $a_h \in S^{\infty}_\infty$ or $b_h \in S^{\infty}_\infty$, then $a_h \mathcal{P}_h^{\delta,\rho} b_h - a_h \mathcal{P}_h^{\delta,\rho} b_h = \mathcal{O}(h^{\gamma(\delta,\rho)} s^{\infty}_\infty)$.

Proposition 2.6. Then there exists a linear operator $\mathcal{C}_h^{\delta,\rho} : S^\infty_\infty \to S^\infty_\infty$ such that

$$\text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) = \text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(\mathcal{C}_h^{\delta,\rho} a_h).$$

If $a_h \in S^\mu_k$, then $\mathcal{C}_h^{\delta,\rho} a_h \in S^\mu_k$. For $\gamma > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{C}_h^{\delta,\rho} a_h = \sum_{|\alpha| < \gamma} \frac{h^{[\alpha]}(\delta,\rho)}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^{\delta,\rho} a_h,$$

then $\mathcal{C}_h^{\delta,\rho} a_h - \mathcal{C}_h^{\delta,\rho} a_h = \mathcal{O}(h^{\gamma(\delta,\rho)} s^{\infty}_\infty)$. If $\delta + \rho > 0$ and $a_h \in S^{\infty}_\infty$, then $\mathcal{C}_h^{\delta,\rho} a_h - \mathcal{C}_h^{\delta,\rho} a_h = \mathcal{O}(h^{\gamma(\delta,\rho)} s^{\infty}_\infty)$.

2.2. Weighted Sobolev spaces. Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the Schwartz space, and $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the space of tempered distributions.

Proposition 2.7. $\mathcal{S} = \bigcap_{(\mu,k) \in \mathbb{R}^2} H^{\mu}_k, \mathcal{S}' = \bigcup_{(\mu,k) \in \mathbb{R}^2} H^\mu_k$.

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{S} \subset \bigcap_{(\mu,k) \in \mathbb{R}^2} H^{\mu}_k$. The converse follows by Sobolev injection. As for the second statement, clearly $\bigcup_{(\mu,k) \in \mathbb{R}^2} H^{\mu}_k \subset \mathcal{S}'$. Conversely, let $u \in \mathcal{S}'$, then $\exists N > 0$, such that $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}' \mathcal{S}} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N} \|x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \varphi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\text{Op}(m^M_N) \varphi\|_{L^2}$$

with $M$ sufficiently large, implying that $u \in H^{-M}_\infty$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 2.8. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'$, then $u = h^{-M} \text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(n^{-N}_M) \mathcal{O}(1)_{L^2}$ for some $M > 0$. Therefore, if $\delta + \rho > 0$, and $a_h \in \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty}) s^{\infty}_\infty$, then $\text{Op}_h^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) u_h = \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty})_{\mathcal{S}}$. 

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 2.7, \( \exists M, N > 0 \), such that \( \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S} \),
\[
\langle u, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \leq N} \| x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \varphi \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim h^{-M} \| \text{Op}_h^\nu (m_M^\alpha) \varphi \|_{L^2}.
\]
\( \square \)

**Definition 2.9.** We say that a linear operator \( A : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}' \) is of order \((\nu, \ell) \in\mathbb{R}^2\), and denote \( A \in \mathcal{O}^\nu_\ell \) if \( \forall (\mu, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), there exists \( C > 0 \) such that \( \forall u \in \mathcal{S} \), \( \|Au\|_{H_{k-\ell}^{\mu-\nu}} \leq C\|u\|_{H_k^\mu} \). Therefore, \( A \) extends to a bounded linear operator from \( \mathcal{H}_k^{\mu-\nu} \) to \( \mathcal{H}_{k-\ell}^{\mu-\nu} \). We denote \( A \in \mathcal{O}^\nu_{\ell, \ell} \) if \( A \in \mathcal{O}^\nu_\ell \), \( \forall (\nu, \ell) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \).

Let \( A_\alpha : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}' \) and \( C_\alpha > 0 \) be indexed by \( \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \). We say that \( A_\alpha = \mathcal{O}(C_\alpha)_{\nu_\ell} \), if \( \forall (\mu, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( \exists K > 0 \), such that \( \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \), \( \|A_\alpha\|_{H_k^\mu \to H_{k-\ell}^{\mu-\nu}} \leq KC_\alpha \).

By Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.5, we obtain

**Proposition 2.10.** If \( a_h \in S^\nu_\ell \) with \( (\nu, \ell) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), then \( \text{Op}(a_h) \in \mathcal{O}^\nu_\ell \). Let \( u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \), then \( u \in H_k^\mu \) if and only if for some \( a_h \in S^\nu_\ell \) which is \((\mu, k)\)-elliptic, \( \text{Op}(a_h)u \in L^2 \).

Next, we characterize weighted Sobolev spaces by a dyadic decomposition.

**Definition 2.11.** Let \( \psi : \mathbb{N} \to C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( j \mapsto \psi_j \). We denote \( \psi \in \mathcal{P} \) if
- \( \text{(i) } \text{supp } \psi_j \subset \{ C^{-1} 2^j \leq |x| \leq C 2^j \} \) for some \( C > 1 \) and all \( j \geq 1 \);
- \( \text{(ii) } \psi_j \geq 0 \) for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( C^{-1} \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j \leq C \) for some \( C > 1 \);
- \( \| \partial_x^\alpha \psi_j \|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{\alpha} (2^{-j} |\alpha|) \) for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \), \( j \in \mathbb{N} \), and some \( C_{\alpha} > 0 \) depending solely on \( \alpha \).

Given \( \psi \in \mathcal{P} \), we denote \( \psi \in \mathcal{P}_* \) if
- \( \text{(i) } \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j = 1 \);
- \( \text{(ii) } \text{supp } \psi_j \cap \text{supp } \psi_k = \emptyset \) whenever \(|j - k| > 2| \).

**Proposition 2.12.** Let \( (\mu, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( \psi \in \mathcal{P} \), and \( u \in \mathcal{S}' \), then \( u \in H_k^\mu \) if and only if
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{2j} \| \psi_j u \|_{H_k^\mu}^2 < \infty.
\]
Moreover, \( \exists C > 1 \), such that \( \forall u \in H_k^\mu \),
\[
C^{-1} \|u\|_{H_k^\mu}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{2j} \| \psi_j u \|_{H_k^\mu}^2 \leq C \|u\|_{H_k^\mu}^2.
\]

**Proof.** We may assume that \( \psi \in \mathcal{P}_* \), because \( \forall \phi^1, \phi^2 \in \mathcal{P} \),
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{2j} \| \phi_{\ell}^j u \|_{H_k^\mu}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{2j} \| \phi_{\ell}^j u \|_{H_k^\mu}^2,
\]
Define \( \tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{P} \) by setting \( \tilde{\psi}_j = \sum_{|k - j| \leq 2} \psi_k \), then \( \tilde{\psi}_j \psi_j = \psi_j, \forall j \). For \( u \in H_k^\mu \),
\[
2^{2j} \| \psi_j u \|_{H_k^\mu}^2 \lesssim \| \tilde{\psi}_j (D_x)^\mu \psi_j (x)^k u \|_{L^2}^2 + \| (1 - \tilde{\psi}_j) (D_x)^\mu \psi_j (x)^k u \|_{L^2}^2,
\]
Apply Proposition 2.5 with \( (\delta, \rho) = (1, 0) \) and \( h = 2^{-j} \), we have
\[
(1 - \tilde{\psi}_j) (D_x)^\mu \psi_j (x)^k u \|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-2j} \| u \|_{H_k^\mu}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{H_k^\mu}^2.
\]
Therefore,
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \| (1 - \tilde{\psi}_j) (D_x)^\mu \psi_j (x)^k u \|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-2j} \| u \|_{H_k^\mu}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{H_k^\mu}^2.
\]
For \( r = 0, 1, \ldots, 9 \), set
\[
\alpha_r = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^+} \tilde{\psi}_j \langle (\xi) \rangle^r \phi_j \in S^\nu_\ell, \quad \tilde{\psi}^r = \tilde{\psi}_j \langle (\xi) \rangle^r \phi_j \in S^\nu_\ell.
\]
Observe that for \(0 \neq j - j' \in 10\mathbb{N}\), \(\text{supp} \tilde{\psi}_j \cap \text{supp} \tilde{\psi}_{j'} = \emptyset\), therefore,

\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\tilde{\psi}_j (D_x)^{\mu} \psi_j(x)^k u\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{r=0}^{9} \sum_{j \in 10\mathbb{N} + r} \|\tilde{\psi}_j (D_x)^{\mu} \psi_j(x)^k u\|_{L^2}^2
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{9} \|\text{Op}(a_r) u\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{H_k^{\mu}}^2.
\]

We proved that \(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{2jk} \|\psi_j u\|_{H_k^{\mu}} \lesssim \|u\|_{H_k^{\mu}}^2\).

Conversely, observe that \(a := \sum_{r=0}^{9} a_r\) is \((\mu, k)\)-elliptic, so for some \(r \in S_{-\infty}^{-}\),

\[
\|u\|_{H_k^{\mu}}^2 \lesssim \|\text{Op}(a) u\|_{L^2} + \|\text{Op}(r) u\|_{L^2}^2.
\]

Similarly as above, we have

\[
\|\text{Op}(a) u\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{r=0}^{9} \|\text{Op}(a_r) u\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \sum_{r=0}^{9} \sum_{j \in 10\mathbb{N} + r} \|\tilde{\psi}_j (D_x)^{\mu} \psi_j(x)^k u\|_{L^2}^2
\]

\[
\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{2jk} \|\langle D_x \rangle^{\mu} \psi_j u\|_{L^2}^2;
\]

while for the second term,

\[
\|\text{Op}(r) u\|_{L^2}^2 = (u, \text{Op}(r^* \tilde{r}) u)_{L^2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (u, \text{Op}(r^* \tilde{r}) \psi_j u)_{L^2}.
\]

For each term in the summation, we have for all \(N > 0\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\),

\[(u, \text{Op}(r^* \tilde{r}) \psi_j u)_{L^2} = (\text{Op}(m_N^k) u, \text{Op}(m_{-N}^k r^* \tilde{r} \tilde{m}^k \mu_{N+k})(D_x)^{\mu} \langle x \rangle^{-N+k} \psi_j u)_{L^2}
\]

\[
\lesssim \|u\|_{H_k^{\mu}}^2 \|\langle D_x \rangle^{\mu} \langle x \rangle^{-N+k} \psi_j u\|_{L^2}
\]

\[
\lesssim 2^{-jN} \|u\|_{H_k^{\mu}}^2 2^{jk} \|\langle D_x \rangle^{\mu} \psi_j u\|_{L^2}
\]

\[
\lesssim 2^{-jN} (\varepsilon \|u\|_{H_k^{\mu}}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} 2^{jk} \|\langle D_x \rangle^{\mu} \psi_j u\|_{L^2}^2).
\]

Summing up in \(j\),

\[
\|\text{Op}(r) u\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \varepsilon \|u\|_{H_k^{\mu}}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{2jk} \|\psi_j u\|_{H_k^{\mu}}^2.
\]

We conclude by choosing \(\varepsilon\) sufficiently small. \(\square\)

2.3. Quasi-homogeneous wavefront sets. The following characterization is easy to prove by a routine construction of parametrix.

**Proposition 2.13.** Let \(u \in \mathcal{S}'\), then \((x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{\delta, \rho}^\mu(u)\) if and only if for some \(a_h \in S_{-\infty}^{-}\) which is elliptic at \((x_0, \xi_0)\), \(\text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(a_h) u = O(h^\mu)_{L^2}\).

**Lemma 2.14.** Let \(u \in \mathcal{S}' \) and \(a_h \in S_{-\infty}^{-}\) such that \(\text{supp} a_h \subset K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \text{WF}_{\delta, \rho}^\mu(u)\) for some compact set \(K\) and all \(0 < h < 1\), then

\[(u, \text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(a_h) u)_{\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}} = O(h^{2\mu}), \quad \text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(a_h) u = O(h^\mu)_{L^2}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in I}\) be an open cover of \(K\). Let \(b_h^i \in S_{-\infty}^{-}\) be elliptic everywhere in \(\Omega_i\), such that \(\text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(b_h^i) u = O(h^\mu)_{L^2}\). By a partition of unity, we may assume that \(K \subset \Omega := \bigcup_{i \in I} \Omega_{i_0}\) for some \(i_0 \in I\), and set \(b_h = b_h^{i_0}\). By the ellipticity of \(b_h\), we can find \(c_h \in S_{-\infty}^{-}\) and \(r_h = O(h^{\infty})_{S_{-\infty}^{-}}\) such that

\[a_h = (\xi_h^\delta b_h) c_h^\delta b_h + r_h.\]

Therefore, by Lemma 2.8,

\[
\langle u, \text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(a_h) u \rangle_{\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}} = (\text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(b_h) u, \text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(c_h) \text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(b_h) u)_{L^2}
\]

\[
+ \langle u, \text{Op}_{h}^{\delta, \rho}(r_h) u \rangle_{\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}} = O(h^{\mu})^2 + O(h^{\infty}) = O(h^{2\mu}).
\]

\(\square\)
Lemma 2.15. Let \( u \in \mathcal{S}' \), then

1. \( \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(u) \) is a closed \((\delta,\rho)\)-cone, i.e., \( \theta_{\lambda}^\delta \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(u) = \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(u), \forall \lambda > 0 \);
2. \( \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(u) = \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\xi,\rho}(u), \forall \gamma > 0 \);
3. \((x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(u) \iff (x_0, -\xi_0) \in \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(\hat{u})
4. \((x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(u) \iff (x_0, -\xi_0) \in \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(\hat{u})
5. Denote \( \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(u)^0 = \text{WF}^{-\mu}_{\delta,\rho}(u) \cap \mathcal{M}_{\delta,\rho}, \)

\[
(2.1) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\delta,\rho} = \begin{cases} \{x = 0\} \times \mathbb{R}^d, & \delta > 0, \rho = 0; \\ \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\xi = 0\}, & \delta = 0, \rho > 0; \\ \{x = 0\} \times \mathbb{R}^d \cup \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\xi = 0\}, & \delta > 0, \rho > 0. \end{cases}
\]

If \( u \in H^\mu_k \) with \((\mu,k) \in \mathbb{R}^2\), and \( a_h \in S^{-\infty}_\infty \) such that \( \mathcal{M}_{\delta,\rho} \bigcap \bigcup_{0<h<1} \text{supp} a_h = \emptyset \), then \( \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(a_h)u = O(h^{\delta+\rho})_{L^2} \). In particular, \( \text{WF}^{\delta,\rho}(u)^0 = \emptyset \).

Proof. (1) and (2) are easy. To prove (3), we use \( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) \mathcal{F} = \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}a_h) \) where \( \mathcal{F} \) is the Fourier transform and \( b_h(x,\xi) = a_h(\xi, -x) \). To prove (4), we use \( \text{Op}(a_h)u_h = \text{Op}(b_h)\overline{u_h} \), where \( b_h(x,\xi) = a_h(x, -\xi) \). To prove the case where \( \delta > 0, \rho > 0 \) of (5), we simply observe that

\[
(\theta_{\mu+\rho} a_h)(\xi)^{-\mu+\rho} \rho \lambda = O(h^{\delta+\rho})_{S^0}. \]

The other two cases are similar. \( \Box \)

3. Model equation

We prove Theorem 1.6 by combining the ideas of Nakamura [45] and simple scaling methods. There is no harm in assuming that \( u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

3.1. Proof of (M.1). For \( a \in W^{1,\infty}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}) \) and \( A \in W^{1,\infty}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2 \to L^2) \) (which means that \( t \mapsto \|A(t)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \in L^\infty_\text{loc}, t \mapsto \|\partial_t A(t)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \in L^\infty_\text{loc} \), define

\[
\mathcal{L}_t a = \partial_t a + \{[\xi, a], L_t A = \partial_t A + i[\partial_t D_x, A].
\]

Here \([\cdot, \cdot] \) is the Poisson bracket, \([f, g] = \partial_x f \cdot \partial_x g - \partial_x f \cdot \partial_x g \).

Lemma 3.1. For \( a_h \in W^{1,\infty}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}, S^{-\infty}_\infty) \) with \( \bigcup_{0<h<1} \text{supp} a_h \bigcap \{\xi = 0\} = \emptyset \), there exists \( b_h \in L^{\infty}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}, S^{-\infty}_\infty) \) with \( \text{supp} b_h \subset \text{supp} a_h \), such that

\[
\mathcal{L}_t \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) = \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(\mathcal{L}_t a_h) + h^{\delta+\rho} \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(b_h) + O(h^{\delta+\rho})_{L^{\infty}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2 \to L^2)}.
\]

Proof. For \( \forall T > 0, \exists \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( \bigcup_{T - T} T \bigcup_{0<h<1} \text{supp} a_h(t, \cdot) \bigcap \{|[\xi|, \epsilon\} = \emptyset \). Let \( \pi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) such that \( 0 \leq \pi \leq 1, \pi \xi = 0 \) for \( |\xi| \leq \epsilon \), \( \pi \xi = 1 \) for \( |\xi| \geq 2\epsilon \). Then

\[
i[|D_x|, \pi \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(a_h)] = ih^{-\rho} [h^{-\rho} D_x |\gamma \pi (h^{-\rho} D_x), \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(a_h)] + O(h^{\delta+\rho})_{L^{\infty}(T-T, L^2 \to L^2)}.
\]

Now that \( |\xi| \pi(\xi) \in S^0 \), we conclude by Proposition 2.5 and the hypothesis \( \rho \gamma = \delta + \rho \). \( \Box \)

Assume that \( \mu = \infty \), as the proof is similar for \( \mu < \infty \). Let \((x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}^\mu_{\delta,\rho}(u_0) \) with \( \xi_0 \neq 0 \). We aim to find \( a_h \in W^{1,\infty}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}, S^{-\infty}_\infty) \) of the asymptotic expansion

\[
a_h \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h^{j(\delta+\rho)} a_h^j
\]

where \( a_h^j \in W^{1,\infty}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}, S^{-\infty}_\infty) \), such that \( \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, a_h(t, \cdot) \) is elliptic at \((x_0 + t\gamma |\xi_0|^{-\gamma} \xi_0, \xi_0) \), and

\[
\mathcal{L}_t \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) = O(h^{\delta+\rho})_{L^{\infty}_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}, L^2 \to L^2)}.
\]

If such \( a_h \) is found, we set \( A_h = \text{Op}^{\delta,\rho}(a_h) \), then

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \|A_h u\|_{L^2} = 2\text{Re}(\mathcal{L}_t A_h u, A_h u)_{L^2} + 2\text{Re}(i|D_x| A_h u, A_h u)_{L^2} \leq O(h^{\delta+\rho}) \|A_h u\|_{L^2} + 0.
\]
We deduce by Gronwall’s inequality that $A_h u = O(h^\infty)_{L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R},L^2)}$ and conclude.

To construct $a_h$, let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0))$ with $\varphi(x_0, \xi_0) \neq 0$, such that $O_{h^\rho}(\varphi) u = O(h^\infty)_{L^2}$. Then we can construct $a_h$ with $a_h |_{t=0} = \varphi$ with $a_h^j \in W^{\infty,\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R},S^{-\infty})$ by solving iteratively the transportation equations

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_t a_h^0 &= 0, & \mathcal{L}_t a_h^j + b_h^{j-1} &= 0, \\
\partial_t a_h^j |_{t=0} &= \varphi; & a_h^j |_{t=0} &= 0, \ j \geq 1,
\end{align*}$$

where $b_h^{j} \in W^{\infty,\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R},S^{-\infty})$ satisfies, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\mathcal{L}_t O_{h^\delta}(a_h^j) = O_{h^\delta}(\mathcal{L}_t a_h^j) + h^{\delta + \rho} O_{h^\delta}(b_h^j) + O(h^\infty)_{L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R},L^2 \rightarrow L^2)}.$$

3.2. Proof of (M.2). Let $\beta = \rho \gamma - (\delta + \rho) > 0$, introduce the semiclassical time variable $s = h^{-\beta} t$, and rewrite (1.4) as

$$\partial_s u + i h^{\beta} |D_x|^\gamma u = 0.$$ 

For $a = a(s,x,\xi) \in W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(s) \in W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R},L^2 \rightarrow L^2)$, define

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} a = \partial_s a + |\xi|^{\gamma} a,
\mathcal{L}_{\gamma} = \partial_s a + i h^{\beta} [|D_x|^\gamma,\mathcal{A}].$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\phi \geq 0$, $\phi(0) > 0$, and $x \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \leq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0)$. For $s \geq 0$ and $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, set

$$\chi(s,x,\xi) = \phi\left(\frac{(x-s\gamma) \xi^{-2}_\gamma - \xi_0}{1+s}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Then $\chi \in W^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},S^{-\infty})$, $\mathcal{L}_x \chi \in W^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},S^{-1})$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_x \chi \geq 0.$$

Let $t_0 > 0$, and set $(\tau u)(s,x,\xi) = u\left(s, \frac{s}{t_0} x, \xi\right)$, then $\chi \in W^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},S^{-\infty})$. Let $\epsilon$ be sufficiently small, then $(\chi \tau)(s, \cdot)$ is elliptic at $(t_0 \gamma |\xi_0|^{-2} \xi_0, \xi_0)$ for $s$ sufficiently large.

Proof. Each time we differentiate $\chi$ with respect to $x$, we get a multiplicative factor $(1+s)^{-1}$, which is of size $(x)^{-1}$ in $\sup \chi$ as $\sup \chi \subset \{C^{-1} s \leq |x| \leq Cs\}$ for some $C > 0$ when $|s|$ is sufficiently large. Therefore $\chi \in W^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},S^{-\infty})$. It is easy to see that $\chi \tau(s, \cdot)$ is bounded in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We write

$$\phi\left(\frac{x-t_0 \gamma |\xi_0|^{-2} \xi_0/s}{1+s}\right) - \gamma |\xi|^{-2} \xi - \gamma |\xi_0|^{-2} \xi_0 - \frac{x_0}{1+s} \phi\left(\frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\epsilon}\right),$$

where $|\xi|^{-2} \xi - |\xi_0|^{-2} \xi_0 = o(1)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, whence $\chi \tau(s, \cdot)$ elliptic at $(t_0 \gamma |\xi_0|^{-2} \xi_0, \xi_0)$ for sufficiently large $s$. To estimate $\mathcal{L}_x \chi$, we perform an explicit computation,

$$\partial_x \chi = - (\nabla \phi)\left(\frac{x-s\gamma \xi^{-2} - \xi_0}{1+s}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\epsilon}\right) \times \frac{(x-s\gamma \xi^{-2} - x_0 + (1+s) \gamma \xi^{-2} \xi)}{(1+s)^2},$$

$$\{\xi|\gamma \cdot \nabla \phi\} \left(\frac{x-s\gamma \xi^{-2} - \xi_0}{1+s}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\epsilon}\right) \times \frac{1}{1+s}.$$ 

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}_x \chi = - (\nabla \phi)\left(\frac{x-s\gamma \xi^{-2} - x_0}{1+s}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \frac{x-s\gamma \xi^{-2} - x_0}{(1+s)^2} \geq 0.$$ 

Similarly as above, we prove that $\mathcal{L}_x \chi \in W^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0},S^{-1})$. 

We assume $t_0 > 0$ and $\mu = \infty$ as the other cases are similar. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small and let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [1,1+\epsilon]$ be strictly increasing. Let $\phi$ be as in Lemma 3.2, and set

$$\chi_j(s,x,\xi) = \phi\left(\frac{x-s\gamma \xi^{-2} - x_0}{\lambda_j(1+s)}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\lambda_j \lambda_j \epsilon}\right), \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. $$
Then supp $\chi_j \subset \{ \chi_{j+1} > 0 \}$. We aim to construct $a_h \in W^{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, S_0^{-\infty})$, such that

(i) supp $a_h \subset \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ supp $\chi_j$;

(ii) $a_h(0, \cdot) - (\xi_h^j \phi(0, \cdot)) = O(h^\infty)_{S_0^{-\infty}}$;

(iii) $\tau a_h \in W^{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, S_0^{-\infty})$;

(iv) $\tau a_h(s, \cdot)$ is elliptic at $(t_0 \gamma |\xi_0|^2, \xi_0)$ for sufficiently large $s$;

(v) $L^2_s \delta^{h, \rho}(a_h) \geq O(h^\infty)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, L^2 \rightarrow L^2)}$.

If such $a_h$ is found, and assume that

$$(t_0 \gamma |\xi_0|^2, \xi_0) \notin WF_{\rho(\gamma-1), \rho}(u(t = t_0)).$$

By (i) and (3.3), if we replace $\phi$ with $\phi(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda > 1$ sufficiently large, then for some compact set $K$, and sufficiently small $h > 0$,

$$\text{supp } \theta_{1/h, s} a_h \subset K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus WF_{\rho(\gamma-1), \rho}(u(t = t_0)).$$

By (iv), $\theta_{1/h, s} a_h \in S^{-\infty}$ is elliptic at $(t_0 \gamma |\xi_0|^2, \xi_0)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.14,

$$(u, \text{Op}_h^{\delta, \rho}(a_h)u)_{L^2_{s=0}} - \int_0^{t_0} (u, \text{Op}_h^{\delta, \rho}(a_h)u)_{L^2_{s=0}} \, ds \leq O(h^\infty) + O(h^{-\beta} \times h^\infty) = O(h^\infty).$$

By (3.1),

$$\frac{d}{ds} (u, \text{Op}_h^{\delta, \rho}(a_h)u)_{L^2} = (u, \mathcal{L}^h_s \text{Op}_h^{\delta, \rho}(a_h)u)_{L^2},$$

which implies by (v) that

$$(u, \text{Op}_h^{\delta, \rho}(a_h)u)_{L^2_{s=0}} - \int_0^{t_0} (u, \mathcal{L}^h_s \text{Op}_h^{\delta, \rho}(a_h)u)_{L^2} \, ds \leq O(h^\infty) + O(h^{-\beta} \times h^\infty) = O(h^\infty).$$

Therefore, by (ii),

$$\|\text{Op}_h^{\delta, \rho}(\phi(0, \cdot))u_{s=0}\|_{L^2}^2 = (u, \text{Op}_h^{\delta, \rho}(a_h)u)_{L^2_{s=0}} + O(h^\infty) = O(h^\infty).$$

We conclude that $(x_0, \xi_0) \notin WF_{h, s}^{\infty}(u_0)$.

We shall construct $a_h$ in the following form of asymptotic expansion

$$a_h(s, x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} h^{j(\delta + \rho)} \phi^j(s) a^j_h(s, x, \xi),$$

where $a^j_h \in W^{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, S_0^{-\infty})$, with supp $a^j_h \subset$ supp $\chi_j$, and $\phi^j \in P_j$, with

(3.4) \[ P_j = \left\{ f(\ln(1 + s)) : f(X) = \sum_{k=0}^j c_k X^k; c_k \geq 0, \forall k \right\}. \]

All functions belonging to $P_j$ are smooth and non-negative for $s \geq 0$. Moreover, if $\psi \in P_j$, then

$$((1 + s)\partial_s)^{-1} \psi(s) := \int_0^s (1 + \sigma)^{-1} \psi(\sigma) \, d\sigma \in P_{j+1}.$$

Indeed,

$$((1 + s)\partial_s)^{-1}(\ln(1 + \cdot))^n = (n + 1)^{-1}(\ln(1 + \cdot))^{n+1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

The above asymptotic expansion is in the weak sense that, for some $\epsilon' > 0$, and all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_h - \sum_{j < N} h^{j(\delta + \rho)} \phi^j \, a^j_h \in O(h^{N(\delta + \rho - \epsilon')})_{W^{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, S_0^{-\infty})}.$$

We begin by setting $\phi^0 \equiv 1$ and choosing $a^0_h$ satisfying

$$a^0_h - (\xi_h^0 \phi(0, \cdot)) = O(h^\infty)_{W^{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, S_0^{-\infty})},$$

$$a^0_h(0, \cdot) - (\xi_h^0 \phi(0, \cdot)) = O(h^\infty)_{S_0^{-\infty}}.$$
By the definition of $\beta$ and Proposition 2.5, Proposition 2.6,
\[ L_h^0 \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (a_h^0) = 2 \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (\chi_0 \mathcal{L}_s \chi_0) + h^{\delta + \rho} \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (r_h^0) + \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty}) L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \]
where $r_h^0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, S^{-\infty}_0)$, with supp $r_h^0 \subset \text{supp} \chi_0$. So $(s) r_h^0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, S^{-\infty}_0)$. Similarly, $(s) \chi_0 \mathcal{L}_s \chi_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, S^{-\infty}_0)$. By Lemma 3.2, $(s) \chi_0 \mathcal{L}_s \chi_0 \geq 0$. So by the sharp Gårding inequality, $\exists b_h^0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, S^{-\infty}_0)$, with supp $b_h^0 \subset \{ \chi_1 > 0 \}$, such that
\[ L_h^0 \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (b_h^0) = - (s)^{-1} h^{\delta + \rho} \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (b_h^0) + \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty}) L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \]
Suppose that we have found $\varphi^j \in P_\ell$, $a_h^j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1$ and $\psi^{\ell - 1} \in P_{\ell - 1}$, $b_h^{\ell - 1} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, S^{-\infty}_0)$, with supp $b_h^{\ell - 1} \subset \{ \chi_\ell > 0 \}$, such that
\[ L_h^0 \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\ell - 1} h^{j(\delta + \rho)} \varphi^j a_h^j \right) \geq - (s)^{-1} h^{\ell - 1} \psi^{\ell - 1} h^{\delta + \rho} \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (b_h^{\ell - 1}) + \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty}) L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \]
Then we set
\[ \varphi^\ell = ((1 + s) \partial_s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1}, \quad a_h^\ell (s, x, \xi) = B_t \chi_\ell (s, x, \xi), \]
for some constant $B_t > 0$ sufficiently large, such that
\[ \mathcal{L}_s (\varphi^\ell a_h^\ell) = B_t (1 + s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} \chi_\ell + B_t \psi^\ell \mathcal{L}_s \chi_\ell \geq B_t (1 + s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} \chi_\ell \geq (s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} b_h^{\ell - 1}. \]
Observe that
\[ \mathcal{L}_s (\varphi^\ell a_h^\ell) = \mathcal{O}((s)^{-1} (\psi^{\ell - 1} + \varphi^\ell)) S^{-\infty}_0, \quad (s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} b_h^{\ell - 1} = \mathcal{O}((s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1}) S^{-\infty}_0. \]
By the sharp Gårding inequality, $\exists b_h^\ell \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, S^{-\infty}_0)$, with supp $b_h^\ell \subset \{ \chi_{\ell + 1} > 0 \}$, such that
\[ L_h^0 \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (\varphi^\ell a_h^\ell) - (s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (b_h^{\ell - 1}) = - (s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell + 1} h^{\delta + \rho} \mathrm{Op}_h^\delta\rho (b_h^\ell) + \mathcal{O}(h^{\infty}) L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \]
with $\psi^\ell = \psi^{\ell - 1} + \varphi^\ell \in P_\ell$. Summing up (3.5) and $h^{\ell(\delta + \rho)} \times (3.6)$, we close the induction procedure.

We prove the asymptotic expansion by observing that, for all $\ell' > 0$,
\[ \| \varphi^\ell \|_{L^\infty([0, \delta^{-\ell} T])} = \mathcal{O}((\log h)^{\ell'}) = \mathcal{O}(h^{-\ell'}). \]
\[ \Box \]

4. PARADIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS

We develop a paradifferential calculus on weighted Sobolev spaces, and a semiclassical paradifferential calculus.

4.1. Classical paradifferential calculus. We recall some classical results of the paradifferential calculus. We refer to the original work of Bony [9], and the books [26, 42, 7]. The results and proofs below are mainly based on [42], so we shall only sketch them. In the meanwhile, we shall also make some refinements regarding the estimates of the remainder terms, for the sake of the semiclassical paradifferential calculus that will be developed later.

Definition 4.1. For $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \geq 0$, $\Gamma^{m,r}$ is the space of locally bounded functions $a(x, \xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0)$ which are $C^\infty$ with respect to $\xi$, and $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $\exists C_\alpha > 0$ such that
\[ \| \partial_\xi^\alpha a(\cdot, \xi) \|_{W^{r,\infty}} \leq C_\alpha (\xi)^{m - |\alpha|}, \quad \forall |\xi| \geq 1/2. \]
Moreover we denote
\[ M^{m,r}(a) = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq d+2+r} \sup_{|\xi| \geq 1/2} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - |\alpha|} \| \partial_\xi^\alpha a(\cdot, \xi) \|_{W^{r,\infty}}. \]

Definition 4.2. $(\chi, \pi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus 0) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called admissible if
\begin{enumerate}
  \item $\pi(\eta) = 1$ for $|\eta| \geq 1$, $\pi(\eta) = 0$ for $|\eta| \leq 1/2$;
  \item $\chi$ is an even function, homogeneous of degree 0, and for some $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < 1$, \[ (\chi) \theta(\eta, \eta) = 1, \quad |\theta| \leq \epsilon_1 |\eta|, \]
  \[ \chi(\eta, \eta) = 0, \quad |\theta| \geq \epsilon_2 |\eta|. \]
\end{enumerate}
Definition 4.3. For $a \in \Gamma^{m,0}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, the paradifferential operator $T_a$ is defined by
\begin{equation}
T_a u(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi(\xi - \eta, \eta) \pi(\eta) \hat{a}(\xi - \eta) \hat{u}(\eta) \, d\eta,
\end{equation}
where $(\chi, \pi)$ is admissible and $\hat{a}(\theta, \xi) = \int e^{-i\xi \cdot \theta} a(x, \xi) \, dx$. In other words, $T_a = \text{Op}(\sigma_a)$ with
\[ \sigma_a(\cdot, \xi) = \pi(\xi) \chi(D_x, \xi) a(\cdot, \xi). \]

Proposition 4.4. Let $a \in \Gamma^{m,0}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, then $T_a = O(M^{m,0}(a))_{\mathcal{E}_0^m}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $a \in \Gamma^{m,r}$ with $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \geq 0$, then $M^{m,r}(\sigma_a) \lesssim M^{m,r}(a)$. If $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|\beta| \leq r$,
\begin{equation}
M^{m-r+|\beta|,0}(\partial_x^\beta(\sigma_a - a\pi)) \lesssim M^{m,0}(\nabla^r a).
\end{equation}

Proof. The first statement is proven in [42]. We only prove the second statement. There is no harm in assuming that $\beta = 0$. By [42], for $\xi \neq 0$,
\[ (\sigma_a - a\pi)(x, \xi) = \pi(\xi) \int \rho(x, y, \xi) \Phi(y, \xi) \, dy, \]
where
\[ \rho(x, y, \xi) = \sum_{|\gamma| = r} \frac{(-y)^\gamma}{\gamma!} \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{r-1} \partial_t^\gamma a(x - ty, \xi) \, dt \]
satisfies for $|\xi| \geq 1/2$ and $|\alpha| \leq 2(d + 2) + r$ the estimates
\[ \|\partial_x^\epsilon \rho(\cdot, y, \xi)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |y|^r \|\partial_x^\epsilon \nabla^r a(\cdot, \xi)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |y|^r |\xi|^{m-|\alpha|} M^{m,0}(\nabla^r a), \]
and $\Phi(\cdot, \xi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(\cdot, \xi))$. We conclude with simple integral inequalities as in [42].

Corollary 4.6. Let $a \in \Gamma^{m,r}$ with $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(\chi, \pi)$ and $(\chi', \pi')$ be admissible. Denote by $T_a$ and $T'_a$ the paradifferential operators respectively defined by these two admissible pairs. Then
\[ T_a - T'_a = O(M^{m,0}(\nabla^r a))_{\mathcal{E}_0^{m-r}} + O(M^{m,r}(a))_{\mathcal{E}_0^{-\infty}}. \]

Proof. Let $T''_a$ be the paradifferential operator defined with respect to $(\chi', \pi)$, then by Lemma 4.5, $T_a - T''_a = O(M^{m,0}(\nabla^r a))_{\mathcal{E}_0^{m-r}}$, while we easily verify that $T''_a - T'_a = O(M^{m,r}(a))_{\mathcal{E}_0^{-\infty}}$.

Corollary 4.7. Let $\psi \in W^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $T_a \psi = \psi \in \mathcal{E}_0^{-\infty}$.

Proposition 4.8. Let $a \in \Gamma^{m,r}$, $b \in \Gamma^{m',r}$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $m' \in \mathbb{R}$, then
\[ T_a T_b - T_{a\#b} = O(M^{m,r}(a)M^{m',0}(\nabla^r b) + M^{m,0}(\nabla^r a)M^{m',r}(b))_{\mathcal{E}_0^{m+m'-r}} + O(M^{m,r}(a)M^{m',r}(b))_{\mathcal{E}_0^{-\infty}}. \]

where $a\#b = \sum_{|\alpha| < r} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_x^\alpha a D_x^\alpha b$.

Remark 4.9. If $a = a$ and $b = b$, then by the proof below,
\[ T_a T_b - T_{a\#b} = O(M^{m,r}(a)M^{m',0}(\nabla^r b) + M^{m,0}(\nabla^r a)M^{m',r}(b))_{\mathcal{E}_0^{m+m'-r}}. \]

Proof. By Corollary 4.6, we assume that $\epsilon_2 < 1/4$. Decompose $T_a T_b - T_{a\#b} = (I) + (II)$, where
\[ (I) = \text{Op}(\sigma_a)\text{Op}(\sigma_b) - \text{Op}(\sigma_a \# \sigma_b), \quad (II) = \text{Op}(\sigma_a \# \sigma_b) - \text{Op}(\sigma_{a\#b}). \]
Let $\theta$ be admissible such that $\theta \chi = \chi$. Then by [42], $\text{Op}(\sigma_a)\text{Op}(\sigma_b) = \text{Op}(\sigma)$,
\[ \sigma(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int e^{i(x-y) \cdot \eta} \sigma_a(x, \xi + \eta) \theta(\eta, \xi) \sigma_b(y, \xi) \, d\eta \]
\[ = \sigma_a \# \sigma_b(x, \xi) + \sum_{|\alpha| = r} q_\alpha(x, \xi). \]
where
\[ q_\alpha(x, \xi) = \int R_\alpha(x, x - y, \xi)(D_x^\alpha \sigma_b)(y, \xi) \, dy. \]
with $R_\alpha$ satisfying
\[ \| \partial^\beta \xi R_\alpha(x, \xi) \|_{L^1} \lesssim M^{m, r}(a) \langle \xi \rangle^{m - |a| - |\beta|}. \]

Use $D^a_\xi \sigma_b = \sigma D^a_\xi b$, we verify that
\[ \| \partial^\beta \xi q_\alpha(x, \xi) \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim M^{m, 0}(a) M^{m', 0}(\nabla^r b) \langle \xi \rangle^{m + m' - |a| - |\beta|}, \]
and consequently, as in [42],
\[ \| (I) \|_{H^{s-m-m'+r}} \lesssim \sum_{|a|=r} M^{m + m' - |a|, 0}(q_\alpha) \approx M^{m, r}(a) M^{m', 0}(\nabla^r b). \]

To Estimate (II), for each $|a| < r$, we decompose
\[ \partial^\beta \xi \sigma_a D^a_\xi \sigma_b - \sigma D^a_\xi a = (i) + (ii) + (iii) + (iv), \]
where
\[ (i) = \partial^\beta \xi (\sigma_a - a \pi) D^a_\xi \sigma_b, \quad (ii) = \partial^\beta \xi (a \pi) D^a_\xi (\sigma_b - b \pi), \]
\[ (iii) = \partial^\beta \xi (\sigma_a - a \pi) D^a_\xi (b \pi) - \sigma D^a_\xi (\sigma \pi D^a_\xi b), \quad (iv) = \sigma \partial^\beta \xi (\sigma \pi D^a_\xi b). \]

By Lemma 4.5,
\[ M^{m + m' - r, 0}(i) \lesssim M^{m - r, 0}(\sigma_a - a \pi) M^{m', 0}(D^a_\xi \sigma_b) \approx M^{m, 0}(\nabla^r a) M^{m', r}(b), \]
\[ M^{m + m' - r, 0}(ii) \lesssim M^{m - |a|, 0}(\partial^\beta \xi \sigma_a) M^{m', 0}(D^a_\xi (\sigma_b - b \pi)) \approx M^{m, 0}(a) M^{m', 0}(\nabla^r b), \]

By Lemma 4.5, Leibniz’s rule and interpolation,
\[ M^{m + m' - r, 0}(iii) \lesssim M^{m + m' - |a|, 0}(\nabla^r |a| (\partial^\beta \xi (D^a_\xi b))) \approx M^{m - |a|, 0}(\nabla^r \partial^\beta \xi a) M^{m', 0}(\nabla^r b) \approx M^{m, 0}(\nabla^r a) M^{m', r}(b) + M^{m, 0}(a) M^{m', 0}(\nabla^r b). \]

We easily verify that,
\[ M^{-N, 0}(iv) \lesssim M^{m, r}(a) M^{m', r}(b), \quad \forall N > 0. \]

These estimates imply that,
\[ (II) = \mathcal{O}(M^{m, r}(a) M^{m', 0}(\nabla^r b) + M^{m, 0}(\nabla^r a) M^{m', r}(b))_{\partial^\beta \xi} \approx M^{m, r}(a) M^{m', r}(b) \]
\[ + \mathcal{O}(M^{m, 0}(a) M^{m', r}(b))_{\partial^\beta \xi}, \]

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 4.10.** Let $a \in \Gamma^{m, r}$ with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$, then
\[ T^* - T^* = \mathcal{O}(M^{m, 0}(\nabla^r a))_{\partial^\beta \xi}, \]
where $a^* = \sum_{|a| < r} \frac{1}{|a|} \partial^\beta \xi D^a_\xi \bar{a}$.

**Proof.** Let $(\theta, \pi)$ be admissible such that $\theta \chi = \chi$, then $T^* = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^*)$ with
\[ \sigma^*_a(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot y} \sigma_a(x + y, \xi + y) \sigma_v \sigma_a d\eta \sigma_y = a^*(x, \xi) + \sum_{|a|=r} r_a(x, \xi), \]
where by [42],
\[ r_a(x, \xi) = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma t!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d} \times [0, 1]} r(1 - t)^{|a|-1} e^{-iy \cdot \sigma_a(x, \xi + t\eta)} \theta(\eta, \xi) d\eta d\sigma_y. \]

Similarly, observing the term $D^a_\xi \partial^\beta \xi \sigma_a(x, \xi + t\eta)$ in the integral, the analysis in [42] implies that
\[ M^{m - r, 0}(\sigma^*_a - \sigma_a) \leq \sum_{|a|=r} M^{m - r, 0}(r_a) + M^{m - r, 0}(a^* - \sigma_a) \lesssim M^{m, 0}(\nabla^r a), \]

\[ \square \]
Proposition 4.11. Let \( a \in H^\alpha \) and \( b \in H^\beta \) with \( \alpha > d/2 \), and \( \beta > d/2 \), then
\[
\|ab - T_{\alpha}b - T_{\beta}a\|_{H^{\alpha+\beta-d/2}} \lesssim \|a\|_{H^\alpha} \|b\|_{H^\beta}.
\]

Proposition 4.12. Let \( F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) such that \( F(0) = 0 \), then \( \forall \mu > d/2 \), there exists a monotonically increasing function \( C : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), such that \( \forall u \in H^\mu \),
\[
\|F(u)\|_{H^\mu} + \|F(u) - T_{F'(u)}u\|_{H^{2\mu-d/2}} \leq C(\|u\|_{H^\mu}) \|u\|_{H^\mu}.
\]

4.2. Dyadic paradifferential calculus. We develop the theory of paradifferential calculus on weighted Sobolev spaces.

Definition 4.13. Let \( r \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \). Given \( u \in \mathcal{S}' \), we say that \( u \in W^{r,\infty}_{k,\delta} \) if for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( |\alpha| \leq r \), \( \langle x \rangle^{k+\delta|\alpha|} \partial_x^\alpha u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \). We denote \( W^{r,\infty}_{\infty,\delta} = W^{r,\infty}_{k,0} \).

Definition 4.14. Let \( m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{R}, r \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \), \( \Gamma_{k,\delta} \) is the space of locally bounded functions \( a(x,\xi) \) on \( \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0) \) which are \( C^\infty \) respect to \( \xi \), and \( \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, \exists C_\alpha > 0 \), such that
\[
\|\partial^\alpha_x a(\cdot,\xi)\|_{W^{r,\infty}_{-k,\delta}} \leq C_\alpha \langle \xi \rangle^{|m|-|\alpha|}, \forall |\xi| \geq 1/2.
\]
Moreover, we denote
\[
M^{m,r}_{k,\delta}(a) = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq 2(d+2)+r} \sup_{|\xi| \geq 1/2} \langle \xi \rangle^{k+\delta|\alpha|} \|\partial^\alpha_x a(\cdot,\xi)\|_{W^{r,\infty}_{-k,\delta}}.
\]

Let \( \Gamma_{k,\delta}^{r,\infty} = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \Gamma_{k,\delta}^{m,r} \cap \Gamma_{-k,\delta}^{r,\infty} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{R}} \Gamma_{k,\delta}^{m,r} \). Then for \( (m, k) \in (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\})^2 \), define
\[
\Sigma^{m,r}_{k,\delta} = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq r} M^{m-r,\infty}_{k-\delta,\delta}(a^j_k) < \infty.
\]
We shall denote \( \Sigma^{m,r} = \Sigma^{m,r}_{0,0} \), \( h \Sigma^{m,r} = h \Sigma^{m,r}_{0,0} \).

By the dyadic characterization of weighted Sobolev spaces, we obtain

Lemma 4.15. Let \( \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}' \), \( \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{P} \), \( (m, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). If \( \forall (\mu, \ell) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \exists C > 0 \), such that \( \forall u \in \mathcal{S} \),
\[
\|\psi_j \mathcal{A} u\|_{H^{\mu-m}} \leq C 2^{jk} \|\phi_j u\|_{H^\mu}, \text{ then } \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{O}^m_{k}.
\]
Particularly, let \( \{A_j\} \in \ell^\infty(\mathcal{O}^m_{0}) \), then
\[
\mathcal{A} := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{jk} \psi_j A_j \phi_j \in \mathcal{O}^m_{k}.
\]
By this lemma, the following definition is natural.

Definition 4.16. Fix \( \psi \in \mathcal{P}^* \), and define \( \psi \in \mathcal{P} \) by setting \( \psi = \sum_{|j-k| \leq 10} \psi_k \).

Let \( a \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}^{m,r} \), the dyadic paradifferential operator \( \mathcal{P}_a \) is defined by
\[
\mathcal{P}_a = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j T_{\psi_j a} \psi_j.
\]

Proposition 4.17. Let \( a \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}^{m,r} \), then \( \mathcal{P}_a = \mathcal{O}(M^{m,0}_{k,0}(a))\).

Proof. Observe that \( \|T_{\psi_j a}\|_{H^{\mu-m}} \lesssim M^{m,0}_{k,0}(\psi_j a) \lesssim 2^{jk} M^{m,0}_{k,0}(a) \).

\( \Box \)

Proposition 4.18. Let \( a \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}^{m,r}, b \in \Gamma_{k',\delta}^{m',r} \), \( r \in \mathbb{N}, (m, k), (m', k') \in \mathbb{R}^2, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 \), then
\[
\mathcal{P}_a \mathcal{P}_b - \mathcal{P}_{ab} = \mathcal{O}(M^{m,r}_{k,\delta}(a)M^{m',r}_{k',\delta}(b))_{\epsilon^{m+m'-r}_{k+k'+\delta}} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_{k+k'}).\]
where \( ab = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha_x a \cdot D^\alpha_x b \in \Sigma^{m+m',r}_{k+k',\delta} \).
Proof. Let $\tilde{\psi}_j : N \to C^\infty_c$, $\tilde{\psi}_j = \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 50} \psi_{j'}$, so $\tilde{\psi}_j \tilde{\psi}_j = \tilde{\psi}_j$ if $|j-j'| \leq 20$. Then write,

$$P_a P_b = \sum_{(j,j') \in \mathbb{N}^2} \tilde{\psi}_j T_{\psi_j a} \tilde{\psi}_j T_{\psi_{j'} b} + \sum_{(j,j') \in \mathbb{N}^2} \tilde{\psi}_j T_{\psi_j a} T_{\psi_{j'} b} \tilde{\psi}_j + \tilde{\psi}_j R_{j,j'} \tilde{\psi}_j,$$

the remainder being

$$R_{j,j'} = \tilde{\psi}_j T_{\psi_j a} \tilde{\psi}_j T_{\psi_{j'} b} - T_{\psi_j a} T_{\psi_{j'} b} = \mathcal{O}(2^{(k+k' - \delta r)} M_{k,\delta}(a) M_{k',\delta}(b)) \phi_{m+m'-\delta r} + O(2^{j(k+k')} M_{k,\delta}(a) M_{k',\delta}(b)) \phi_{0}^{-\infty}$$

by Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 4.7. More precisely, when composing $T_{\psi_j a}$ and $T_{\psi_{j'}}$, we use $\psi_j \psi_j = \psi_j$ and have

$$T_{\psi_j a} T_{\psi_{j'}} = T_{\psi_j a} + O(M^{m,r}(\psi_j a) M^{0,0}(\nabla^r \psi_j)) \phi_{0}^{-r} + O(M^{m,0}(\nabla^r (\psi_j a)) M^{0,r}(\psi_j)) \phi_{0}^{-r} + O(M^{m,r}(\psi_j a) M^{0,r}(\psi_j)) \phi_{0}^{-\infty},$$

where $M^{m,0}(\nabla^r \psi_j) = \mathcal{O}(2^{-j r})$, $M^{m,r}(\psi_j a) = \mathcal{O}(2^k)$, and we use $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ to induce that

$$M^{m,0}(\nabla^r (\psi_j a)) = \mathcal{O}(\max_{0 \leq n \leq r} \{2^{-j(r-n)} + j(k-\delta n)\}) = \mathcal{O}(2^{j(k-k')}).$$

Similar arguments work for the composition $T_{\psi_j a} T_{\psi_{j'}}$.

Observe that $\sum_{j' : |j-j'| \leq 20} (\psi_j a) \tilde{\psi} j' b = (\psi_j a) \tilde{\psi} j b$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\sum_{j' : |j-j'| \leq 20} T_{\psi_j a} T_{\psi_{j'} b} = \tilde{\psi}_j T_{\psi_j a} \tilde{\psi}_j T_{\psi_{j'} b} + R_j,$$

where the remainder can be estimated similarly as above,

$$R_j = \mathcal{O}(2^{j(k-k' - \delta r)} M_{k,\delta}(a) M_{k',\delta}(b)) \phi_{m+m'-\delta r} + O(2^{j(k+k')} M_{k,\delta}(a) M_{k',\delta}(b)) \phi_{0}^{-\infty}.$$

We conclude by Lemma 4.15. \hfill \Box

Proposition 4.19. Let $a \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}^m$, $(m, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$, then

$$\mathcal{P}_a^* - \mathcal{P}_a = O(M_{k,\delta}(a)) \phi_{k-\delta r} + \phi_{k}^{-\infty},$$

where $a^* = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq r} \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \frac{\partial^2 D_{\alpha}}{D_2 \alpha} \tilde{a} \in \Sigma_{m,r}^e$.

Proof. Observe that for any real valued $\psi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\langle \psi a \rangle^* = a^* \tilde{\psi}.$$

More precisely, this means that

$$\langle \psi a \rangle^* = \sum_{|\gamma| < r} \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \frac{\partial^2 D_{\gamma} \psi \tilde{a}}{|\gamma| < r} + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq r} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^2 D_{\alpha} \psi \tilde{a} \nabla \tilde{a}}{D_2 \alpha} a,$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| < r} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^2 D_{\alpha} \tilde{a} \nabla \tilde{a}}{D_2 \alpha} a + \sum_{|\beta| < r} \frac{1}{\beta!} \frac{\partial^2 D_{\beta} \tilde{a} \nabla \tilde{a}}{D_2 \alpha} a + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq r} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^2 D_{\alpha} \psi \tilde{a} \nabla \tilde{a}}{D_2 \alpha} a,$$

$$= \sum_{|\alpha| \geq r} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^2 D_{\alpha} \psi \tilde{a}}{D_2 \alpha} a = a^* \tilde{\psi}.$$

Then write $\mathcal{P}_a^* - \mathcal{P}_a = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{\psi}_j (R^1_j + R^2_j) \tilde{\psi}_j$, where by (4.5),

$$R^1_j = T_{\psi_j a}^* - T_{\psi_j a}, \quad R^2_j = T_{\psi_j a}^* - T_{\psi_j a}^* = T_{\psi_j a}^* \tilde{\psi}_j - \tilde{\psi}_j a^*.$$

For $R^1_j$ we use Proposition 4.10,

$$R^1_j = \mathcal{O}(M^{m,0}(\nabla^r \psi_j a)) \phi_{m-r} = \mathcal{O}(2^{j(k-k')} M_{k,\delta}(a)) \phi_{m-r}.$$
By Lemma 4.15,  
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j R_{j}^1 \psi_j = O(M_{k,\delta}^{m,r}(a)) \epsilon_{k-\delta}^{m-r} + \epsilon_{k}^{-\infty}.
\]
Using \( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j = 1 \), we induce that
\[
(4.6) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \partial_{x}^2 \psi_j \equiv 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \{0\}; \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a^* \partial_{x} \psi_j - \psi_j a^* = 0.
\]
Then we write
\[
a^* \partial_{x} \psi_j - \psi_j a^* = \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} D_{\alpha}^2 \psi_j \cdot w_{\alpha \beta}, \quad w_{\alpha \beta} \in \Gamma_{k-|\beta|\gamma,\delta}^{m-|\alpha|,r-|\beta|},
\]
where the symbols \( w_{\alpha \beta} \) are independent of \( j \). Write
\[
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j R_{j}^2 \psi_j = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} R_{\alpha \beta} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j T D_{\alpha}^2 \psi_j w_{\alpha \beta} \psi_j.
\]
By (4.6), we prove similarly as in Proposition 4.18 that
\[
\psi_j R_{\alpha \beta} = \psi_j \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 20} \psi_{j'} T D_{\alpha}^2 \psi_{j'} w_{\alpha \beta} \psi_{j'}
\]
\[
= O(2j(-|\alpha|+k-|\beta|)\delta M_{k-|\beta|\gamma,\delta}^{m-|\alpha|-|\beta|,r-|\beta|}(w_{\alpha \beta})) \epsilon_{k-\delta}^{m-r-|\alpha|}
\]
\[
+ O(2j(-|\alpha|+k-|\beta|)M_{k-|\beta|\gamma,\delta}^{m-|\alpha|-|\beta|,r-|\beta|}(w_{\alpha \beta})) \epsilon_{k}^{-\infty}
\]
\[
= O(2j(k-\delta \epsilon)M_{k,\delta}^{m,r}(a)) \epsilon_{k-\delta}^{m-r} + O(2j^k M_{k,\delta}^{m,r}(a)) \epsilon_{k}^{-\infty}.
\]
Setting \( \psi'_j = \sum_{|j'| \leq 100} \psi_{j'} \). We again conclude by Lemma 4.15, and the identity
\[
R_{\alpha \beta} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j R_{\alpha \beta} \psi'_j,
\]
that \( R_{\alpha \beta} = O(M_{k,\delta}^{m,r}(a)) \epsilon_{k-\delta}^{m-r} + \epsilon_{k}^{-\infty} \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.20.** Let \( a \in H_k^\alpha, b \in H_k^\beta \), with \( \alpha > d/2, \beta > d/2, k \in \mathbb{R}, \ell \in \mathbb{R} \), then \( \forall \epsilon > 0 \),
\[
\|ab - P_a b - P_b a\|_{H_k^{\alpha+\beta-d/2-\epsilon}} \lesssim \|a\|_{H_k^\alpha} \|b\|_{H_k^\beta}.
\]
**Proof.** Decompose the product \( ab \) as follows,
\[
ab = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j(\psi_j a)(\psi_j b) = P_a b + P_b a + R_1^j + R_2^j,
\]
where
\[
R_1^j = \psi_j(\psi_j a \psi_j b - T_{\psi_j a}(\psi_j b) - T_{\psi_j b}(\psi_j a)),
R_2^j = \psi_j(\psi_j T_{\psi_j a} - T_{\psi_j a}) \psi_j b + \psi_j(\psi_j T_{\psi_j b} - T_{\psi_j b}) \psi_j a.
\]
By Proposition 4.11,
\[
\|R_2^j\|_{H^{\alpha+\beta-d/2}} \lesssim \|\psi_j a\|_{H^\alpha} \|\psi_j b\|_{H^\beta} \lesssim 2^{-j(k+\ell)} \|a\|_{H_k^\alpha} \|b\|_{H_k^\beta}.
\]
By Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 4.7 and Sobolev injection,
\[
\psi_j T_{\psi_j a} \psi_j - T_{\psi_j a} = 2^{-j} O(\|a\|_{H_k^\alpha}) \epsilon_0^{\alpha-d-\epsilon},
\psi_j T_{\psi_j b} \psi_j - T_{\psi_j b} = 2^{-j} O(\|b\|_{H_k^\beta}) \epsilon_0^{\beta-d-\epsilon}, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.
\]
We conclude by Proposition 2.12. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.21.** Suppose \( F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( F(0) = 0 \), then \( \forall \mu > d/2 \), there exists some monotonically increasing function \( C : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \), such that \( \forall u \in H_k^\mu \) with \( k \geq 0 \),
\[
\|F(u)\|_{H_k^\mu} + \|F(u) - P F(u) u\|_{H_k^{2\mu-d/2}} \leq C(\|u\|_{H_\alpha}) \|u\|_{H_k^\mu}.
\]
Proof. Decompose $F(u) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_j F(\psi_j u)$. By Proposition 4.12,
$$
\|F(\psi_j u)\|_{H^\mu} \leq C(\|\psi_j u\|_{H^\mu}) \|\psi_j u\|_{H^\mu} \leq C(\|u\|_{H^\mu}) \|\psi_j u\|_{H^\mu}.
$$
Then write
$$
\psi_j F(\psi_j u) = \psi_j T\psi_j F(\psi_j u) + \psi_j R_j,
$$
with
$$
R_j = \psi_j (F(\psi_j u) - T\psi_j F(\psi_j u)) + \psi_j (T\psi_j F(\psi_j u) - T\psi_j F(\psi_j u)) \psi_j u.
$$
By Proposition 4.12, Proposition 4.11 and Corollary 4.7,
$$
\|R_j\|_{H^{2\mu-\alpha/2}} \leq C(\|u\|_{H^\mu}) \|\psi_j u\|_{H^\mu}.
$$
We conclude with Proposition 2.12. □

4.3. Semiclassical paradifferential calculus. We develop a semiclassical dyadic paradifferential calculus, and a quasi-homogeneous semiclassical paradifferential calculus, using scaling arguments inspired by Métrivier–Zumbrun [43].

Definition 4.22. Define the scaling operator $\tau_h : u(\cdot) \mapsto h^d u(h \cdot)$. For $b \in \Gamma^{m,r}, h > 0$, we define the semiclassical paradifferential operator
$$
T^h_b = \tau_h^{-1}T_{\theta^{h,0}_b} \tau_h.
$$
For $a \in \Gamma^{m,r}_{k,\delta}, h > 0$, we define the semiclassical dyadic paradifferential operator
$$
\mathcal{P}^h_a = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \psi_j T_{\psi_j a} \psi_j.
$$
For $\epsilon \geq 0$, we define the quasi-homogeneous semiclassical paradifferential operator
$$
\mathcal{P}^{h,\epsilon}_a = \mathcal{P}^h_{\theta^{h,\epsilon}_a}.
$$

Proposition 4.23. If $m \leq 0$, and $k \leq 0$, then $\sup_{0 < h < 1} \|\mathcal{P}^{h,\epsilon}_a\|_{L^2 \to L^2} < \infty$.

Proof. Observe that $\theta^{1+,0}_a = O(1)_{\Gamma^{0,0}}$. We conclude with Lemma 4.15. □

Definition 4.24. For $\epsilon \geq 0, a_h \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we say $a_h \in \sigma_\epsilon$ if $\bigcup_{0 < h < 1} \supp a_h \cap N_\epsilon = \emptyset$.

Proposition 4.25. Let $(m, k), (m', k') \in (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\})^2, r \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r \geq m + m', \delta r \geq k + k'$. Let $a_h \in \Gamma^{m,r}_{k,\delta} \cap \sigma_0, b_h \in \Gamma^{m',r}_{k,\delta} \cap \sigma_0$, such that for some $R_h \geq 0$ depending on $h$,
$$
(4.7) \quad \supp a_h \cap \supp b_h \subset \{x| \geq R_h\} \times \mathbb{R}^d,
$$
then for $h > 0$ sufficiently small,
$$
\mathcal{P}^{h}_a \mathcal{P}^{h}_b - \mathcal{P}^{h}_{a_h b_h} = O(h^r (1 + R_h)^{k+k'-\delta r})_{L^2 \to L^2},
$$
where $a_h b_h = \sum_{|a| \leq |b|} a \partial_x^\alpha a_h \partial_x^\beta b_h \in \Gamma^{m+m',r}_{k+k',\delta}$.

Proof. By (4.7), $\psi_j a_h \neq 0$ and $\psi_j b_h \neq 0$ implies that $j \geq \log_2 (1 + R_h)$. We claim that
$$
\mathcal{P}^{h}_a \mathcal{P}^{h}_b = \sum_{j \geq \log_2 (1 + R_h)} \psi_j T_{\psi_j a_h} \psi_j T_{\psi_j b_h} \psi_j + O(h^r (1 + R_h)^{k+k'-\delta r})_{L^2 \to L^2},
$$
where $a_h b_h = \sum_{|a| \leq |b|} a \partial_x^\alpha a_h \partial_x^\beta b_h \in \Gamma^{m+m',r}_{k+k',\delta}$.

Then we conclude by $\sum_{j' : |j' - j| \leq 20} (\psi_j a_h) \psi_j b_h = \psi_j (a_h b_h)$. 
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Indeed, we use (4.1) to indicate that $F(T_{h,\ast}^h(\psi_j^{\ast} b_h))$ vanishes in a neighborhood of $\xi = 0$. By (4.3), for some $\pi' \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which vanishes near $\xi = 0$ and equals to 1 outside a neighborhood of $\xi = 0$, and for all $m+m' \leq N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$t_h^{-1}T_h(T_{h,\ast}^h(\psi_j^{\ast} b_h)T_{h,\ast}^h(\psi_j^{\ast} b_h)) = T_{h,\ast}^h(\psi_j^{\ast} b_h) + \mathcal{O}(M^m(\psi_j^{\ast} b_h)) + \mathcal{O}(M^m(\psi_j^{\ast} b_h))$$

Then we use Proposition 4.8, Remark 4.9, and the fact that $a_h, b_h \in \sigma_0$ to deduce

$$T_{h,\ast}^h(\psi_j^{\ast} b_h) = \mathcal{O}(h^n(1 + R_h)^{k+k'-\delta r})_{L^2_\ast \rightarrow L^2}$$

To estimate the remainders, we see that for each $x \in \mathbb{N}^d$ with $|a| = r$,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} D_x^{\alpha_1} \theta_{h,\ast}^{\alpha} \psi_j^{\alpha} D_x^{\alpha_2} \theta_{h,\ast}^{\alpha} a_h$$

where we use $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$. Therefore, the first term in the remainder is

$$\mathcal{O}(h^{n}2^{r(k+k'-\delta r)})_{L^2_\ast \rightarrow L^2} \mathcal{O}(h^n(1 + R_h)^{k+k'-\delta r})_{L^2_\ast \rightarrow L^2}$$

Similar methods apply to the other two terms.

Combining the analysis of Proposition 4.25, Proposition 4.19, using Proposition 4.8, we obtain a similar result for the adjoint, whose proof we shall omit, as it is similar as above.

**Proposition 4.26.** Let $(m, k) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\})^2$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r \geq m$, $\delta r \geq k$. Let $a_h \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}^m \cap \sigma_0$, such that for some $R_h \geq 0$ depending on $h$,

$$\supp a_h \subset \{|x| \geq R_h\} \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

then for $h > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$(P_{a_h}^h)^* - P_{a_h}^h = \mathcal{O}(h^{n}(1 + R_h)^{k-\delta r})_{L^2_\ast \rightarrow L^2}$$

where $a_h$ is $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\alpha!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2!} D_x^{\alpha_1} \theta_{h,\ast}^{\alpha} a_h \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}^m$.

**Corollary 4.27.** Let $\epsilon \geq 0$, $(m, k), (m', k') \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\})^2$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \geq \max\{m+m', k', k\} \leq 0$. Let $a_h \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}^m \cap \sigma_\epsilon$, $b_h \in \Gamma_{k,\delta}^{m', r} \cap \sigma_0$. Then

$$P_{a_h}^h P_{b_h}^h - P_{a_h}^h \mathcal{O}(h^{(1+\epsilon)r-\epsilon(k+k')})_{L^2_\ast \rightarrow L^2}$$

$$P_{b_h}^h P_{a_h}^h - P_{b_h}^h \mathcal{O}(h^{(1+\epsilon)r-\epsilon(k+k')})_{L^2_\ast \rightarrow L^2}$$

**Proof.** It suffices to observe that, if $\epsilon > 0$ then $\supp \theta_{h,\ast}^{\alpha} a_h \subset \{|x| \geq h^{-\epsilon}\}$, $\theta_{h,\ast}^{\alpha} a_h = \mathcal{O}(h^{-\epsilon})_{\Gamma_{0,\epsilon}^m}$. We conclude by Proposition 4.25.
Corollary 4.28. Let $\epsilon \geq 0$, $(m,k),(m',k') \in (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\})^2$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, with $r \geq m + m'$, $k \leq 0$, $k' \leq 0$. Let $a_h \in \Gamma^{m,r}_{k,1} \cap \sigma_\epsilon$, $b_h \in \Gamma^{m',r}_{k',1} \cap \sigma_\epsilon$. Then for $h > 0$ sufficiently small,
\[
P_{a_h}^h P_{b_h}^h - P_{a_h}^h P_{b_h}^h = O(h^{1+\epsilon})_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2},
\]
where $a_h b_h = \sum_{|\alpha| < r, |\beta| < r} \partial_x^{\alpha} a_h \partial_x^{\beta} b_h \in h^{1+\epsilon} \mathbb{N}^{m+m'}_{k,k'}$.

Proof. It suffices to use the identity $(\theta_{\ast, a_h}^0 \theta_{\ast, b_h}^0) (\theta_{\ast, a_h}^0 \theta_{\ast, b_h}^0) = \theta_{\ast, a_h}^0 (a_h b_h)$.

The results above only concerned about the high frequency regime as we require the $\sigma_\epsilon$ condition. The next lemma studies the interaction of high frequencies and low frequencies.

Lemma 4.29. Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_h \in \Gamma^{0,0}$, $b_h \in \Gamma^{0,0}$, such that for some $R > 0$,
\[
supp a_h \in \{ |\xi| \geq R \}, \quad supp b_h \in \{ |\xi| \leq h^{-1} R/4 \}.
\]

Then $P_{a_h}^h P_{b_h} = O(h^\infty)_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$.

Proof. By definition
\[
T_{\hat{\psi}, a_h} u(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int \chi(\xi - \eta, \eta) \hat{\psi} \hat{b}_h(\xi - \eta, \eta) \hat{u}(\eta) \, d\eta.
\]
The admissibility of $\chi$ implies $\supp T_{\hat{\psi}, a_h} u \subset \{ |\xi| \leq h^{-1} R/3 \}$. Therefore, for any $|j' - j| \leq 20$,
\[
T_{\hat{\psi}, a_h} T_{\hat{\psi}, b_h} T_{\hat{\psi}, a_{j'}} T_{\hat{\psi}, b_{j'}} = \psi \chi T_{\hat{\psi}, a_h} \pi(hD_x/R) \psi \chi (1 - \pi(2hD_x/R)) T_{\hat{\psi}, b_h} \psi \chi = \psi = O(h^\infty)_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}.
\]
We conclude by Lemma 4.15.

Corollary 4.30. Let $a \in \Gamma^{m,0}$ be homogeneous of degree $m$ with respect to $\xi$, then
\[
h^m P_a = P_a^h + R_h,
\]
where for $b \in \Gamma^{0,0} \cap \sigma_0$ and $h > 0$ sufficiently small, $P_b R_h = O(h^\infty)_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$.

Proof. By a direct verification using (4.2), the homogeneity of $a$ and the admissible function $\chi$, we see that $h^m P_a - P_a^h = P_a^h$ where $\tilde{a}_h \in \Gamma^{0,0}$ satisfies
\[
supp \tilde{a}_h \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times supp (1 - \pi(h^{-1} \cdot)) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \{ |\xi| \leq 2h^{-1} \}.
\]
We conclude by Lemma 4.29.

Lemma 4.31. Let $a_h \in \Gamma^{m,r} \cap \sigma_0$ with $r \geq m + d/2 + 1$, then for $h > 0$ sufficiently small,
\[
T_{a_h}^h - Op(h)(a_h) = O(h^r)_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}.
\]

Proof. By Calderón–Vaillancourt theorem, we have
\[
T_{a_h}^h - Op(h)(a_h) = r_h^{-1}(T_{\theta_{\ast, a_h}^0} - Op(\theta_{\ast, a_h}^{1,0})) r_h
\]
\[
= O \left( \sum_{\max(|\alpha|,|\beta|) \leq 1 + d/2} \| \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} (\sigma_{\ast, a_h}^{0,0} - \theta_{\ast, a_h}^{1,0}) \|_{L^\infty} \right)_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}.
\]
By hypothesis $0 \geq m - r + |\beta|$. We use (4.3) to deduce that
\[
\| \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} (\sigma_{\ast, a_h}^{0,0} - \theta_{\ast, a_h}^{1,0}) \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim M^{0,0}(\sigma_{\ast, a_h}^{0,0} - \theta_{\ast, a_h}^{1,0}) \lesssim M^{m-\epsilon,0}(\sigma_{\ast, a_h}^{0,0} - \theta_{\ast, a_h}^{1,0})
\]
\[
\lesssim M^{m,0}(\sigma_{\ast, a_h}^{0,0} - \theta_{\ast, a_h}^{1,0}) \lesssim h^r M^{m,0}(a_h).
\]

Lemma 4.32. Let $a_h \in \Gamma^{m,\infty} \cap \sigma_0$ with $m \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, then for $h > 0$ sufficiently small,
\[
P_{a_h}^h - Op(h)(a_h) = O(h^\infty)_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}.
\]
Lemma 4.33 (Paradifferential Gårding Inequality). Let \( a_h \in M_{n \times n}(\Gamma^{0,r}) \cap \sigma_0 \) with \( n \geq 1 \) and \( r \geq 1 + d/2 \). Suppose that \( \text{Re} \, a_h \geq 0 \), then \( \exists C > 0 \) such that \( \forall u \in L^2 \),

\[
\text{Re}(T_{a_h}^h u, u)_{L^2} \geq -Ch\|u\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{Re}(\mathcal{P}_{a_h}^h u, u)_{L^2} \geq -Ch\|u\|_{L^2}^2.
\]

Proof. The first inequality results from Lemma 4.31 and Proposition 2.4. Therefore,

\[
\text{Re}(\mathcal{P}_{a_h}^h u, u)_{L^2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Re}(\varphi_j T_{\psi_j a_h}^h \varphi_j u, \varphi_j u)_{L^2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Re}(T_{\varphi_j a_h}^h \varphi_j u, \varphi_j u)_{L^2}
\]

\[
\geq -Ch \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|\varphi_j u\|_{L^2}^2 \geq -C'h\|u\|_{L^2}^2.
\]

4.4. Relation with quasi-homogeneous wavefront sets.

Lemma 4.34. Let \( a_h \in h\Sigma^{-\infty,r} \cap \sigma_0 \), \( r \geq 1 + d/2 \), be elliptic at \((x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0)\), in the sense that, for some neighborhood \( \Omega \) of \((x_0, \xi_0)\),

\[
\inf_{0 < h < 1} \inf_{(x, \xi) \in \Omega} |a_h(x, \xi)| > 0.
\]

Let \( u \in L^2 \), and suppose that \( T_{a_h}^h u = O(h^\sigma)_{L^2} \) with \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq r \), then \((x_0, \xi_0) \notin \text{WF}_{0,1}^h(u)\).

Proof. Assume that \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0) \). Let \( b_h \in S_{-\infty} \) with \( \text{supp} \, b_h \subset \Omega \). Then for some \( c_h \in h\Sigma^{-\infty,r} \), \( T_{b_h}^h = T_{c_h}^h T_{a_h}^h + O(h^\sigma)_{L^2} \). Therefore, \( T_{b_h}^h u = O(h^\sigma)_{L^2} \), and by Lemma 4.31, \( \text{Op}_h(b_h) u = O(h^\sigma)_{L^2} \).

Lemma 4.35. Let \( \epsilon \geq 0 \), \( \epsilon \in \Gamma_{0,0}^{m,r} \) (if \( \epsilon = 0 \)) resp. \( \Gamma_{0,1}^{m,r} \) (if \( \epsilon > 0 \)), and suppose that \( \epsilon \) is homogeneous of degree \( m \) with respect to \( \xi \). Then for \( f \in H^s \) and \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq (1 + \epsilon)r \),

\[
\text{WF}^{s+\sigma-m}_{\epsilon,1}(\mathcal{P}_\epsilon f)^\circ \subset \text{WF}^{s+\sigma}_{\epsilon,1}(f)^\circ.
\]

If in addition \( \epsilon \) is elliptic, i.e., for some \( C > 0 \) and \( |\xi| \) sufficiently large, \( |\epsilon(x, \xi)| \geq C|\xi|^m \), then

\[
\text{WF}^{s+\sigma-m}_{\epsilon,1}(\mathcal{P}_\epsilon f)^\circ = \text{WF}^{s+\sigma}_{\epsilon,1}(f)^\circ.
\]

Proof. For \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \), denote \( Z^\mu = \mathcal{P}_{\lfloor \xi \rfloor^\mu} \). Then \( Z^{-\mu} Z^\mu - \text{Id} \in \mathcal{O}_{-\infty} \). Therefore,

\[
f - Z^{-s} Z^s f \in H^\infty, \quad \mathcal{P}_\epsilon f - \mathcal{P}_{\lfloor \xi \rfloor} f \in Z^{s+\epsilon-r-m}_{\delta},
\]

where \( \delta = 0 \) if \( \epsilon = 0 \), while \( \delta = 1 \) if \( \epsilon > 0 \). By Lemma 2.15 and the fact that \( Z^{s+e} \) are pseudodifferential operators with elliptic symbols in \( S^s_{0,0} \), we readily have

\[
\text{WF}^{s+\epsilon}_{\epsilon,1}(f)^\circ = \text{WF}^{s}_{\epsilon,1}(Z^s f)^\circ, \quad \text{WF}^{s+\epsilon-m}_{\epsilon,1}(\mathcal{P}_\epsilon f)^\circ = \text{WF}^{\epsilon-\sigma}(\mathcal{P}_{\lfloor \xi \rfloor} Z^s f)^\circ.
\]

So we may assume that \( s = 0 \). Let \( a, b \in S_{-\infty} \cap \sigma_1 \), such that

\[
\text{supp} \, b \subset \{a \neq 0\} \subset \text{supp} \, a \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \text{WF}^{\sigma}_{\epsilon,1}(f).
\]
then by Lemma 2.14, \( \text{Op}_h^{\epsilon,1}(a)f = \mathcal{O}(h^\sigma)_{L^2} \). By Corollary 4.30, Lemma 4.32, Proposition 4.25, and Corollary 4.27,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Op}_h^{\epsilon,1}(b)\mathcal{P}_e f &= \text{Op}_h^{\epsilon,1}(b)\mathcal{P}_e f + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)_{L^2} \\
&= \text{Op}_h^{\epsilon,1}(b)\mathcal{P}_e \text{Op}_h^{\epsilon,1}(a) f + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)_{L^2} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(1)_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \text{Op}_h^{\epsilon,1}(a) f + \mathcal{O}(h^{\sigma(1+\epsilon)})_{L^2} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(h^\sigma)_{L^2},
\end{align*}
\]

proving the first statement. The second statement follows by a construction of parametrix. \( \square \)

5. Asymptotically flat water waves

In this section we prove Theorem 1.8. The idea is to combine the analysis in [1] with the dyadic paradifferential calculus in weighted Sobolev spaces. We shall use the following notations for simplicity. Let \( w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \) which is nowhere vanishing, then for \( A : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}' \) and \( f \in \mathcal{S}' \), we denote \( A^{(w)} = wA w^{-1} \), \( f^{(w)} = w f \). Particularly, \( (Af)^{(w)} = A^{(w)} f^{(w)} \). For \( k \in \mathbb{R} \), we also denote, by an abuse of notation, \( A^{(x,k)} = A^{(x^k)} \), \( f^{(k)} = f^{(x^k)} \), when there is no ambiguity. Observe that \( L^2_k = H_k^0 \) is an Hilbert space with the inner product

\[
(f, g)_{L^2_k} = (f^{(k)}, g^{(k)})_{L^2}.
\]

5.1. Dirichlet–Neumann operator. We study the Dirichlet–Neumann operator on weighted Sobolev spaces and its paralinearization. The time variable will be temporarily omitted for simplicity.

5.1.1. Boundary flattening. Let \( \eta \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), such that

\[
\delta := b + \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \eta(x) > 0,
\]

and set \( \tau(x, z) = (x, z + \eta(x)) \), \( \tilde{\Omega} = \tau^{-1}(\Omega) = \{-b - \eta(x) < z < 0\} \), \( \tilde{\Sigma} = \tau^{-1}(\Sigma) = \{z = 0\} \), \( \tilde{\Gamma} = \tau^{-1}(\Gamma) = \{z = -b - \eta(x)\} \). Let \( \tau_* \) be the pullback induced by \( \tau \), then

\[
\tau_*(dx^2 + dy^2) = (dx \; dz) \varrho \left( \frac{dx}{dz} \right)
\]

with

\[
\varrho = \begin{pmatrix}
\text{Id} + t \nabla \eta \nabla \eta & t \nabla \eta \\
\nabla \eta & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad \varrho^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
\text{Id} & -t \nabla \eta \\
-t \nabla \eta & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let \( \nabla_{xz} = (\nabla_x, \partial_z) \), then the divergence, gradient and Laplacian with respect to the metric \( \varrho \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{div}_\varrho u &= \nabla_{xz} \cdot u, \\
\nabla_\varrho u &= (\nabla u - \nabla \eta \partial_z u, -\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla u + (1 + |\nabla \eta|^2) \partial_z u), \\
\Delta_\varrho u &= \partial_z^2 u + (\nabla - \nabla \eta \partial_z)^2 u.
\end{align*}
\]

The exterior unit normal to \( \partial \tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Sigma} \cup \tilde{\Gamma} \) is

\[
\mathbf{n}_\varrho = ((D\tau)^{-1})_{|\partial \tilde{\Omega}}, \mathbf{n} = \begin{cases}
\frac{(t(-\nabla \eta, 1 + |\nabla \eta|^2))}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2}}, & \tilde{\Sigma}; \\
(t(0, 1)), & \tilde{\Gamma}.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( \psi \in H^{1/2} \), and suppose that \( \phi \) satisfies the equation

\[
\Delta_{xz} \phi = 0, \quad \phi|_{\Sigma} = \psi, \quad \partial_n \phi|_{\Gamma} = 0,
\]

then \( v = (\tau|_{\tilde{\Omega}})_* \phi \) satisfies

\[
\Delta_\varrho v = 0, \quad v|_{\tilde{\Sigma}} = \psi, \quad \partial_n v|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = 0.
\]

The Dirichlet–Neumann operator now writes as

\[
\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2}^{-1} \mathcal{G}(\eta) \psi = \partial_n v|_{\tilde{\Sigma}} = \mathbf{n}_\varrho \cdot \nabla_{xz} v|_{z=0}.
\]
5.1.2. Elliptic estimate. Let \( \chi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( \chi_0(z) = 0 \) for \( z \leq -\delta/2 \) and \( \chi_0(z) = 1 \) for \( z \geq 0 \). Decompose \( v = \tilde{v} + \psi \), where
\[
\psi(x, z) = \chi_0(z)e^{z(D_x)}\psi(x).
\]

Lemma 5.1. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{R}, a \in S_0^m \), then
\[
\|\partial_x^n \text{Op}(a)\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \|\psi\|_{H^m_k}.
\]

Proof. We only prove the case where \( n = 0 \). The general case follows with a similar argument and the identity
\[
\partial^2_{xz}\psi(x, z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \chi_0^{n-j}(z)\langle D_x^j \rangle e^{z(D_x)}\psi(x).
\]

Let \( b(x, \xi) = a(x, \xi)|\xi|^{\mu-m} \in S_0^\mu \), \( \lambda(z, \xi) = \chi_0(z)e^{z(\xi)}|\xi|^{1/2} \in L^{\infty}_{z \leq 0}S_0^{1/2} \), then \( \forall \eta \geq 0 \),
\[
\|\text{Op}(a)\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \|\text{Op}(\lambda)\text{Op}(b)\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} + \|\psi\|_{H^m_k}.
\]

Observe that,
\[
\text{Op}(\lambda)^{(k)} - (\text{Op}(\lambda)^{(k)})^* \in L^{\infty}_{z \leq 0}\mathcal{O}_{\theta_0}^{-1/2}, \quad (\text{Op}(\lambda)^{(k)})^2 - \text{Op}(\lambda^2)^{(k)} \in L^{\infty}_{z \leq 0}\mathcal{O}_{\theta_0}^0.
\]

Let \( \sigma(\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{0} \lambda^2(z, \xi) dz = \langle \xi \rangle \int_{-\infty}^{0} \chi_0^2(z) e^{2(\xi)z} dz \in S_0^0 \),
\[
\|\text{Op}(\lambda)\text{Op}(b)\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} = \|\text{Op}(\lambda^2)\text{Op}(b)\tilde{\psi}, \text{Op}(b)\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} + \mathcal{O}(|\psi|_{H^m_k}^2)
\]
\[
= \|\text{Op}(\sigma)\text{Op}(b)\tilde{\psi}, \text{Op}(b)\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^2_{\mu}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+)} + \mathcal{O}(|\psi|_{H^m_k}^2) = \mathcal{O}(|\psi|_{H^m_k}^2).
\]

Lemma 5.2. \( \forall k \in \mathbb{R}, \|\tilde{v}\|_{H^k_0} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{W^{1,\infty}})\|\psi\|_{H^k_{1/2}} \).

Proof. Let \( H^{1,0}_0 \) be the completion of the space
\[
\{f \in C^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}) : f \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood of } \tilde{\Sigma} \}
\]
with respect to the norm
\[
\|u\|_{H^{1,0}_0} := \|\nabla_\theta u\|_{L^2_0} = (\nabla_\theta u, \nabla_\theta u)^{1/2}_{L^2_0},
\]
where \( (X, Y)_{L^2_0} := \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \theta(X, Y) dx dz \). As \( b < \infty \), by Poincaré inequality,
\[
\|u\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{L^\infty}) \|\partial_d u\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{W^{1,\infty}}) \|u\|_{H^{1,0}_0}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1,0}_0.
\]

Let \( 0 < \zeta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \) be such that \( \zeta(z) = 1 \) for \( |z| \leq 1 \), and \( \zeta(z) = z \) for \( |z| \geq 2 \). For some \( R > 0 \) sufficiently large to be determined later, set \( w(x) = R \times \zeta((x)/R) \). Then \( (x/k) \leq w(x) \leq R(x/k) \), \( \|w(x)\|_{L^\infty} \leq R^{(k-1)/k} \).

As \( \tilde{v} \) satisfies the equation \( \Delta_\theta \tilde{v} = -\Delta_\theta \psi \), we consider \( \tilde{v}^{(w)} \) as the variational solution to the equation
\[
B(\tilde{v}^{(w)}, \cdot) = -L(\cdot), \text{ where for } u, \varphi \in H^1_{\theta} \begin{array}{c}
B(u, \varphi) = (\nabla_\theta^{(w)} u, \nabla_\theta^{(1/w)} \varphi)_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})}, \quad L(\varphi) = (\nabla_\theta^{(w)} \tilde{v}^{(w)}, \nabla_\theta^{(1/w)} \varphi)_{L^2(\tilde{\Omega})}.
\end{array}
\]

Observe that \( \nabla_\theta^{(w+1)} = \nabla_\theta \mp b_w \), where \( b_w = (w^{-1} \nabla w, -\nabla \eta \cdot w^{-1} \nabla w) \in L^{\infty} \) satisfies \( \|b_w\| \leq C(\|\eta\|_{W^{1,\infty}}) R^{-2/k} \). We verify that \( L \) and \( B \) are continuous linear and bilinear forms on \( H^{1,0}_0 \). Moreover \( B \) is coercive when \( R \) is sufficiently large, indeed,
\[
B(\varphi, \varphi) = \|\nabla_\theta \varphi\|_{L^2_{w}}^2 - \|b_w \varphi\|_{L^2_{w}}^2 \geq (1 - C(\|\eta\|_{W^{1,\infty}}) R^{-2/k}) \|\nabla_\theta \varphi\|_{L^2_{w}}^2.
\]

Therefore, by Lax-Milgram’s Theorem and Lemma 5.1,
\[
\|\tilde{v}\|_{H^k_0} \leq \|\tilde{v}^{(w)}\|_{H^{1,0}_0} \leq \|L\|_{(H^{1,0}_0)'} \|\psi\|_{H^k_{1/2}} \leq \|\psi\|_{H^k_{1/2}}.
\]

□
Proposition 5.3. Let \( (\eta, \psi) \in W^{1,\infty} \times H^{1/2}_k \), \( k \in \mathbb{R} \), then \( \|G(\eta)\psi\|_{H^{-1/2}_k} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{W^{1,\infty}})\|\psi\|_{H^{1/2}_k} \).

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, \( v \in L^2([\delta_0, 0], H^{1/2}_k) \cap H^1([\delta_0, 0], L^2_k) \). By a classical interpolation result (see, e.g., [2, Lemma 2.19]) and the equation satisfied by \( v \), we induce that
\[
v \in C^0_\omega([-\delta, 0], H^{1/2}_k) \cap C_\omega^1([-\delta, 0], H^{-1/2}_k).
\]
\( \Box \)

5.1.3. Higher regularity.

Proposition 5.4. Let \( (\eta, \psi) \in H^{\mu+1/2} \times H^{\sigma}_k \) with \( \mu > 1/2 + d/2 \), \( 1/2 \leq \sigma \leq \mu \) and \( k \in \mathbb{R} \), then
\[
\|G(\eta)\psi\|_{H^{\mu-1}_k} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{H^{\mu+1/2}})\|\psi\|_{H^\sigma_k}.
\]

Consequently, let \( (\eta, \psi) \in H^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathbb{H}_m^\sigma \) with \( \sigma - m/2 \geq 1/2 \), then
\[
\|G(\eta)\psi\|_{H^{\mu-1}_m} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{H^{\mu+1/2}})\|\psi\|_{H^\sigma_m}.
\]

Proof. Let \( \Lambda = \langle D_x \rangle \sigma^{-1/2} \), and let \( \chi, \bar{\chi} \in C_\omega^\infty([-\delta, \delta]) \), where \( \delta \) is defined by (5.1), such that \( \chi(0) \neq 0 \) and \( \bar{\chi}(z) = 1 \) for \( z \in \text{supp} \chi \). Then we have the following equation for \( \Lambda \tilde{v} \),
\[
-\Delta_v(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}) + K(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}) = \Delta_v(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}) - K(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}),
\]
where \( K = [\Delta_v, \chi \Lambda] \Lambda^{-1} \). Observe that \( \Delta_v = P \cdot P \) with \( P = (\nabla - \nabla \eta \partial_z, \partial_z) \), and
\[
K = P \cdot Q + Q \cdot P - Q \cdot \tilde{Q}, \quad Q = [P, \chi \Lambda] \Lambda^{-1}, \quad \tilde{Q} = [P, \chi \Lambda] \Lambda^{-1}.
\]
We also denote \( P^*, Q^* \) and \( \tilde{Q}^* \) the \( L^2 \)-formal adjoints of \( P, Q \) and \( \tilde{Q} \) respectively. Then we expand \( Q \) (similarly for \( \tilde{Q} \))
\[
Q = \left( (\nabla - \nabla \eta \partial_z, \chi \Lambda), [\partial_z, \chi \Lambda] \right) \Lambda^{-1} = -\left( \nabla \eta \partial_z, \chi \right) + \left( [\nabla \eta, \Lambda] \Lambda^{-1} \chi \partial_z, [\partial_z, \chi] \right).
\]
By the Kato–Ponce commutator estimate (see [33]), we verify that
\[
\|Q^{(k)}\|_{H^1 L^2 \to L^2 L^2} + \|Q^{*(k)}\|_{H^1 L^2 \to L^2 L^2} \leq C(\|\eta\|_{H^{\mu+1/2}}).
\]
For \( f, g \in H^1(\Omega) \) with \( g|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \),
\[
(Kf, g)_{L^2 L^2_k} = (Q^{(k)}f^{(k)})(P^*(k))^{(k)}g^{(k)}_{L^2 L^2} + (P^{(k)}f^{(k)})(Q^*(k))^{(k)}g^{(k)}_{L^2 L^2} - (\tilde{Q}^{(k)}f^{(k)})(Q^*(k))^{(k)}g^{(k)}_{L^2 L^2}.
\]
Observe that \( P = P^{(k)} + \alpha \cdot \nabla = (P^*)^{(k)} + \beta \cdot \nabla \) with \( \alpha, \beta \in L^\infty \), we obtain the estimate
\[
\|Kf, g\|_{L^2 L^2_k} \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2 L^2_k} \|g\|_{H^1 L^2_k} + \|f\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2 L^2_k}.
\]
Suppose that we have already proven that
\[
\tilde{v} \in L^2([-\delta, 0], H^{-3/2}_k) \cap H^1([\delta_0, 0], H^{3/2}_k),
\]
with the norm denoted by \( N_\sigma \). Then, for \( \sigma \),
\[
|\langle (\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}, \chi \Lambda \tilde{v})\rangle_{L^2 L^2_k} | \lesssim \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} + \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \lesssim N_\sigma \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k}.
\]
\[
|\langle (\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}, \chi \Lambda \tilde{v})\rangle_{L^2 L^2_k} | \lesssim \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} + \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \lesssim \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k}.
\]
\[
(- \Delta_v(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}), \chi \Lambda \tilde{v})_{L^2 L^2_k} \lesssim \|P(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v})\|_{L^2 L^2_k} \|P(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v})\|_{L^2 L^2_k} + \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{L^2 L^2_k} \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{L^2 L^2_k} \lesssim \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k}.
\]
\[
(- \Delta_v(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}), \chi \Lambda \tilde{v})_{L^2 L^2_k} \lesssim \|P(\chi \Lambda \tilde{v})\|_{L^2 L^2_k}^2 - \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{L^2 L^2_k}^2 \lesssim \|\chi \Lambda \tilde{v}\|_{H^1 L^2_k} - N_\sigma \|^2.
\]
Combing the estimates above, by Lemma 5.1, we have \( \forall \epsilon > 0 \)
\[
\|\tilde{\chi} \Lambda \tilde{v}\|^2_{H^{1+1}_k} \leq \epsilon \|\tilde{\chi} \Lambda \tilde{v}\|^2_{H^{1}_k} + \epsilon^{-1} N^2_k + \epsilon^{-1} \|\psi\|^2_{H^k}.
\]
By choosing \( \epsilon \) sufficiently small, we obtain
\[
\tilde{v} \in L^2([-\delta, 0], H^{\sigma+1/2}_k) \cap H^1([-\delta, 0], H^{\sigma-1/2}_k).
\]
Therefore
\[
v = \tilde{v} + \psi \in L^2([-\delta, 0], H^{\sigma+1/2}_k) \cap H^1([-\delta, 0], H^{\sigma-1/2}_k).
\]
By interpolation as in Proposition 5.3,
\[
v \in C^0([-\delta, 0], H^\sigma_k) \cap C^1([-\delta, 0], H^{\sigma-1}_k).
\]

5.2. Paralinearization. Now we paralinearize the system of water waves. The following results can be proven directly by combining the analysis in [1] and our dyadic paradifferential calculus, mainly Proposition 4.18, Proposition 4.20, and Proposition 4.21. We shall work in the Sobolev spaces \( \mathcal{H}^\mu_m \), recalling Definition 1.7.

Proposition 5.5. Let \( (\eta, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m \) with \( \mu > 3 + d/2 \), \( m < 2\mu - 6 - d \), then
\[
G(\eta)\psi = \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\psi - \mathcal{P}_B \eta) - \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \eta + R(\eta, \psi),
\]
where \( B = \frac{\nabla \psi \nabla \psi + G(\eta)\psi}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2} \), \( V = \nabla \psi - B \nabla \eta \), \( \lambda = \lambda^{(1)} + \lambda^{(0)} \),
\[
\lambda^{(1)}(x, \xi) = \sqrt{(1 + |\nabla \eta|^2)}|\xi|^2 - (\nabla \eta \cdot \xi)^2,
\]
\[
\lambda^{(0)}(x, \xi) = \frac{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2}{2\lambda^{(1)}} \left\{ \nabla \cdot (\alpha^{(1)} \nabla \eta) + i \partial_t \lambda^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \alpha^{(1)} \right\}, \quad \alpha^{(1)}(x, \xi) = \frac{\lambda^{(1)} + i \nabla \eta \cdot \xi}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2},
\]
and \( R(\eta, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \).

We shall denote \( \omega = \psi - \mathcal{P}_B \eta \), which is called the good unknown of Alinhac.

Proof. We only sketch the proof, for the key ingredients are already given in [1]. Let \( v \) be defined as in §5.1, and set \( u = v - \mathcal{P}_0 \eta \). By (5.2), Proposition 4.20, and [1],
\[
\mathcal{P}_0 \partial_t^2 u + \Delta u + \mathcal{P}_\beta \cdot \nabla \partial_t u - \mathcal{P}_\gamma \partial_2 u \in \mathcal{H}^\mu_m,
\]
where \( \alpha = 1 + |\nabla \eta|^2 \), \( \beta = -2\nabla \eta \), \( \gamma = \Delta \eta \). Then we find symbols \( a_\pm = a^{(1)}_\pm + a^{(0)}_\pm \), whose explicit expressions are given later in Proposition 6.2, such that
\[
(\partial_2 - \mathcal{P}_{a_-})(\partial_2 - \mathcal{P}_{a_+})u \in \mathcal{H}^\mu_m.
\]
Because \( \text{Re} a^{(1)}_\pm \leq 0 \), the same parabolic estimate as [1, Proposition 3.19] implies that
\[
(\partial_2 u - \mathcal{P}_{a_+} u)|_{z=0} \in \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m.
\]
We conclude by setting \( \lambda = (1 + |\nabla \eta|^2) a_+ - i \nabla \eta \cdot \xi \) as in [1].

The proofs of following results are in the same spirit and simpler, and we shall omit them.

Proposition 5.6. Let \( \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \) with \( \mu > 3 + d/2 \) and \( m < 2\mu - 6 - d/2 \), then
\[
H(\eta) = -\mathcal{P} \eta + f(\eta),
\]
where \( \ell = \ell^{(2)} + \ell^{(1)} \) is defined by
\[
\ell^{(2)} = \frac{(1 + |\nabla \eta|^2)|\xi|^2 - (\nabla \eta \cdot \xi)^2}{(1 + |\nabla \eta|^2)^{3/2}}, \quad \ell^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \xi \cdot D_x \ell^{(2)},
\]
and \( f(\eta) \in \mathcal{H}^{2\mu-2-d/2}_m \).
Lemma 5.7. Let \((\eta, \psi) \in H^m \times H^m\), with \(\mu > 3 + d/2\) and \(m < 2\mu - 6 - d/2\), then

\[
\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi| - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2} = \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \psi - \mathcal{P}_B \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \eta - \mathcal{P}_B (\nabla \eta) + f(\eta, \psi),
\]

where \(f(\eta, \psi) \in H^{2m - 2 - d/2}_m\).

Proposition 5.8. Let \((\eta, \psi) \in H^m \times H^m\), with \(\mu > 3 + d/2\) and \(m < 2\mu - 6 - d/2\), then \((\eta, \psi)\) solves the water wave equation if and only if

\[
(\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \psi ) \frac{\nabla \psi}{\psi} = f(\eta, \psi)
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{L} = Q^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \mathcal{P}_\lambda \\ \mathcal{P}_\ell & 0 \end{array} \right) Q, \quad \text{with} \quad Q = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \text{Id} & 0 \\ -\mathcal{P}_B & \text{Id} \end{array} \right),
\]

and \(f(\eta, \psi) = Q^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{array} \right) \in H^m \times H^m\) is defined by

\[
f_1 = G(\eta) \psi - \{\mathcal{P}_\lambda (\psi - \mathcal{P}_B \eta) - \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \eta\},
\]

\[
f_2 = -\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2} + H(\eta)
\]

\[
+ \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \psi - \mathcal{P}_B \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \eta - \mathcal{P}_B (\nabla \eta) + \mathcal{P}_\eta - \eta \eta.
\]

5.3. Symmetrization.

Definition 5.9. For \(T > 0\), \(\gamma \in \mathbb{R}\) and two operators \(A, B \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{O}_\gamma^2)\), we say that \(A \sim_\gamma B\), or simply \(A \sim B\) when there is no ambiguity of the choice of \(\gamma\), if

\[
A - B \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{O}_\gamma^{\gamma - 3/2}).
\]

According to [1], there exists symbols which depend solely on \(\eta\),

\[
\gamma = \gamma^{(3/2)} + \gamma^{(1/2)}, \quad p = p^{(1/2)} + p^{(-1/2)}, \quad q = q^{(0)},
\]

whose principal symbols being explicitly

\[
\gamma^{(3/2)} = \sqrt{\ell^{(2)} \lambda^{(1)}}, \quad p^{(1/2)} = (1 + |\nabla \eta|^2)^{-1/2} \sqrt{\lambda^{(1)}}, \quad q^{(0)} = (1 + |\nabla \eta|^2)^{1/4},
\]

such that

\[
\mathcal{P}_p \mathcal{P}_\lambda \sim_\gamma \mathcal{P}_q \mathcal{P}_\eta, \quad \mathcal{P}_q \mathcal{P}_\ell \sim_\gamma \mathcal{P}_p \mathcal{P}_\eta, \quad \mathcal{P}_\gamma \sim_\gamma (\mathcal{P}_\gamma)^*.
\]

Define the symmetrizer

\[
S = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{P}_p & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}_q \end{array} \right),
\]

then

\[
S \mathcal{L} \sim_\gamma \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\mathcal{P}_\gamma \\ \mathcal{P}_\gamma & 0 \end{array} \right) S,
\]

where the equivalence relation is applied separately to each component of the matrices.

5.4. Approximate system. Set the mollifier \(J_\varepsilon = \mathcal{P}_j\), where \(j_\varepsilon = j_\varepsilon^{(0)} + j_\varepsilon^{(-1)}\),

\[
j_\varepsilon^{(0)} = \exp(-\varepsilon \gamma^{(3/2)}), \quad j_\varepsilon^{(-1)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\varepsilon \cdot D_\varepsilon j_\varepsilon^{(0)}.
\]

Then uniformly for \(\varepsilon > 0\), \(J_\varepsilon \mathcal{P}_j \sim_\gamma \mathcal{P}_j J_\varepsilon, \quad J_\varepsilon \sim_\gamma J_\varepsilon\).

Let \(\tilde{p} = p^{(-1/2)} + p^{(-3/2)}\) with

\[
\tilde{p}^{(-1/2)} = 1/p^{(1/2)}, \quad \tilde{p}^{(-3/2)} = -(p^{(-1/2)} p^{(-1/2)} + \frac{1}{i} \partial_\varepsilon \partial \varepsilon p^{(-1/2)} \partial_\varepsilon p^{(1/2)})/p^{(1/2)}.
\]

then \(\mathcal{P}_p \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{p}} \sim_\gamma \text{Id}, \quad \mathcal{P}_q \mathcal{P}_{1/q} \sim_\gamma \text{Id}\).

Let

\[
\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{L} Q^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{P}_{1/q} \mathcal{P}_p & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{P}_{1/q} \mathcal{P}_q \end{array} \right) Q,
\]
then

\[ SL_\epsilon \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -P_\gamma \\ P_\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} J_\epsilon S. \]

We define the approximate system

\[ (\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla J_\epsilon + L_\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = f(J_\epsilon \eta, J_\epsilon \psi). \]

### 5.5. A priori estimate.

**Proposition 5.10.** Let \((\eta, \psi) \in C^1([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu})\) with \(\mu > 3 + d/2\) and \(m < 2\mu - 6 - d/2\) solve the approximate system (5.5). Define

\[ M_T = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \| (\eta(t), \psi(t)) \|_{\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu}}, \quad M_0 = \| (\eta(0), \psi(0)) \|_{\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu}}. \]

Then \(M_T \leq C(M_0) + TC(M_T)\) for some non-decaying function \(C : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\).

**Proof.** For \(0 \leq k \leq m\), set

\[ M_k^T = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \| (\eta(t), \psi(t)) \|_{\mathcal{H}_{k}^{\mu+1/2-k/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{k}^{\mu-k/2}}, \quad M_k^0 = \| (\eta(0), \psi(0)) \|_{\mathcal{H}_{k}^{\mu+1/2-k/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{k}^{\mu-k/2}}. \]

By [1], \(M_k^T \leq C(M_k^0) + TC(M_k^0)\). It remains to prove that for \(1 \leq k \leq m\), \(M_k^T \leq C(M_k^0) + TC(M_T)\).

To do this, let \(\Lambda_k^\mu = \mathcal{P}_{m_k-k/2}\), and set \(\Phi = \Lambda_k^\mu S \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}\). Then

\[ (\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla J_\epsilon) \Phi + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -P_\gamma \\ P_\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} J_\epsilon \Phi = F_\epsilon \]

where \(F_\epsilon = F_\epsilon^1 + F_\epsilon^2 + F_\epsilon^3\), with

\[ F_\epsilon^1 = \Lambda_k^\mu S f(J_\epsilon \eta, J_\epsilon \psi), \]

\[ F_\epsilon^2 = [\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla J_\epsilon, \Lambda_k^\mu S] \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ F_\epsilon^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -P_\gamma \\ P_\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} J_\epsilon \Lambda_k^\mu S \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} - \Lambda_k^\mu S L_\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}. \]

By Proposition 5.8, Proposition 5.5, Proposition 5.6, and Lemma 5.7,

\[ \| f(J_\epsilon \eta, J_\epsilon \psi) \|_{\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu}} \leq C(\| (J_\epsilon \eta, J_\epsilon \psi) \|_{\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu}}) \leq C(\| (\eta, \psi) \|_{\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu}}). \]

Therefore, \(\| F_\epsilon^1 \|_{L^\infty ([0, T], L^2)} \leq C(M_T)\).

As \(\mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla J_\epsilon\) is a scalar operator, Proposition 4.18 gives

\[ \| [\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla J_\epsilon, \Lambda_k^\mu S] \|_{L^\infty ([0, T], \mathcal{H}_{k}^{\mu+1/2-k/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{k}^{\mu-k/2} \to L^2 \times L^2)} \leq C(M_T), \]

which implies \(\| F_\epsilon^2 \|_{L^\infty ([0, T], L^2)} \leq C(M_T)\).

By (5.4), \(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -P_\gamma \\ P_\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} J_\epsilon S - SL_\epsilon\) send \(\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu}\) to \(\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu} \times \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\mu}\). This is due to our specific choice of the symbols \(p, q, \gamma, j_\epsilon\) that makes both the principal symbol and sub-principal symbol in the symbolic calculus cancel out. Unfortunately, the operator

\[ R := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -P_\gamma \\ P_\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} J_\epsilon [\Lambda_k^\mu S] + [SL_\epsilon, \Lambda_k^\mu] + \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -P_\gamma \\ P_\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} J_\epsilon S - SL_\epsilon \right) \Lambda_k^\mu =: (I) + (II) + (III) \]
does not send $H^{\mu+1/2-k/2}_k \times H^{\mu-k/2}_k$ to $L^2 \times L^2$ because the sub-principal symbol will not be canceled out in the symbolic calculus, due to the existence of $\Lambda^\mu_k$. Particularly, we need to use Proposition 4.18 to estimate the commutators $[\Lambda^\mu_k, S]$ and $[\mathcal{S}L, \Lambda^\mu_k]$, and obtain

$$\|R(\frac{\eta}{\psi})\|_{L^2 \times L^2} \lesssim \|(\eta, \psi)\|_{H^{\mu+1-k/2}_k \times H^{\mu+1/2-k/2}_k} + \|(\eta, \psi)\|_{H^{\mu+1/2-k/2}_k \times H^{\mu-k/2}_k}.$$  

More precisely, the first term on the right hand side comes from (I) and (II) while the second term comes from (III). When $k \geq 1$,

$$\|H^{\mu+1-k/2}_k \times H^{\mu+1/2-k/2}_k\|_{L^2} = \|H^{\mu+1/2-(k-1)/2}_k \times H^{\mu-(k-1)/2}_k\|_{L^2} \supset H^{\mu+1/2}_m \times H^{\mu}_m,$$

so $\|F^3\|_{L^\infty([0,T], L^2)} \leq C(M_T)$.

Finally by an exact same energy estimate as in [1], we deduce that

$$M_T^k \lesssim \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty([0,T], L^2)} \leq C(M^k_0) + TC(M_T).$$

□

5.6. Existence.

Lemma 5.11. For all $(\eta_0, \psi_0) \in \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$, the Cauchy problem of the approximate system (5.5) has a unique maximal solution

$$(\eta_{\varepsilon}, \psi_{\varepsilon}) \in C([0, T_\varepsilon], \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m).$$

Moreover, $\exists T_0 > 0$ such that $\inf_{\varepsilon \in [0,1]} T_\varepsilon \geq T_0$.

Proof. Following [1], the existence follows from the existence theory of ODEs by writing (5.5) in the compact form $\partial_t X = F_\varepsilon(X)$, where $F_\varepsilon$ is a Lipschitz map on $\mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m$. Indeed, $J_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_0^{-\infty}$ is a smoothing operator.\(^{(i)}\) The estimates to proving the Lipschitz regularity can be carried out similarly as in the proof of Proposition 5.10. The only nontrivial term that remains is the Dirichlet–Neumann system smoothing operator. whose regularity follows by combining Proposition 5.4 and the shape derivative formula (which goes back to Zakharov [61],

$$\langle dG(\eta)\psi, \varphi \rangle := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} (G(\eta + h\varphi) - G(\eta)) \psi = -G(\eta)(B\varphi) - \nabla \cdot (V\varphi).$$

A standard abstract argument then shows that $T_\varepsilon$ has a strictly positive lower bound, we refer to [1] for more details. □

Proof of Theorem 1.8. By Lemma 5.11, we obtain a sequence $\{(\eta_{\varepsilon}, \psi_{\varepsilon})\}_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ which satisfies (5.5) and is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m)$ for some $T > 0$. By (5.5), $\{(\partial_t \eta_{\varepsilon}, \partial_t \psi_{\varepsilon})\}_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^{\mu-3/2}_m)$. By [1], there exists

$$\Phi(\eta, \psi) \in C([0, T], H^{\mu+1/2} \times H^\mu)$$

which solves (1.2), such that as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $(\eta_{\varepsilon}, \psi_{\varepsilon}) \to (\eta, \psi)$ weakly in $L^2([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m)$, and strongly in $C([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\mu-1}_m \times \mathcal{H}^{\mu-3/2}_m)$. We then prove that for $1 \leq k \leq m$,

$$\Phi = \Phi(\eta, \psi) := \Lambda^\mu_k S(\eta, \psi) \left(\frac{\eta}{\psi}\right)$$

lies in $C([0, T], L^2)$, where $\Lambda^\mu_k$ is defined in Proposition 5.10, and $S = S(\eta, \psi)$ is the symmetrizer. Up to an extraction of a subsequence, we may assume by weak convergence that

$$(\eta, \psi) \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m),$$

$$(\partial_t \eta, \partial_t \psi) \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{H}^{\mu-1}_m \times \mathcal{H}^{\mu-3/2}_m),$$

with

$$\|\eta(\tau)\|_{L^\infty([0,T], \mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0,T, \mathcal{H}^{\mu-1}_m \times \mathcal{H}^{\mu-3/2}_m)} \leq C \|\eta(0,\psi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu+1/2}_m \times \mathcal{H}^\mu_m}.$$

\(^{(i)}\)We do not need $J_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_s^{-\infty}$ because the operators such as $\mathcal{P}_T \cdot \nabla, \mathcal{L}$, etc., are all of non-positive orders with respect to the spatial decay.
This already implies that $(\eta, \psi)$ is weakly continuous in $\mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^\mu$. By the analysis in the previous section,

$$(\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla) \Phi + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathcal{P}_\gamma \\ \mathcal{P}_\gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi = F,$$

with

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty([0,T],L^2)} \leq C((\|\eta_0, \psi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^\mu})).$$

Let $J_h = \text{Op}_h(e^{-|x|^2 - |\xi|^2})$. Now that $e^{-h^2|x|^2 - h^2|\xi|^2} \in \mathcal{S}_0^0$, we have the commutator estimate

$$[J_h, \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla] = O(1)_{\mathcal{E}^{-1}_0}, \quad [J_h, \mathcal{P}_\gamma] = O(1)_{\mathcal{E}^{1/2}_0}.$$

Because $k \geq 1$, by the same spirit of estimating $R$ in Proposition 5.10, we obtain the following energy estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|J_h \Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C((\|\eta_0, \psi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^\mu})).$$

Therefore, $t \mapsto \|J_h \Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ are uniformly Lipschitzian. Consequently, by Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, $t \mapsto \|\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ is continuous, because $J_h \Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ as $h \rightarrow 0$. Combining the weak continuity, we deduce by functional analysis that $\Phi \in C([0,T], L^2)$. By (5.6), the paradifferential calculus, and the definition of $\Phi$, we induce that

$$(\eta, \psi) \in C([0,T], \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^\mu).$$

Thus we finish the proof of Theorem 1.8. \hfill \Box

6. Propagation of Singularities for Water Waves

6.1. Finer paralinearization and symmetrization. To study the propagation of singularities, we need much finer results of paralinearization and symmetrization than Proposition 5.5 and Proposition 5.8 so as to gain regularities in the remainder terms.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $(\eta, \psi) \in H^{\mu+1/2} \times H^\mu$, with $\mu > 3 + d/2$. Then $\exists \lambda \in \Sigma^{1,\mu-1-d/2}$, such that

$$G(\eta)\psi = \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\psi - \mathcal{P}_B \eta) - \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \eta + R(\eta, \psi),$$

where $R(\eta, \psi) \in H^{2\mu - 3 - d/2}$.

**Proof.** This theorem follows by replacing the usual paradifferential calculus with the dyadic paradifferential calculus in the analysis of [6]. In [6], the explicit expression for $\lambda$ is given. We write it down for the sake of later applications:

$$\lambda = (1 + |\nabla \eta|^2)a_+ - i \nabla \eta \cdot \xi,$$

where $a_\pm = \sum_{j \leq 1} a_\pm^{(j)}$ is defined as follows. Setting $c = \frac{1}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2}$, then

$$a_\pm^{(1)} = \alpha \nabla \eta \cdot \xi - \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2 - (c \nabla \eta \cdot \xi)^2}, \quad \quad a_+^{(1)} = i \alpha \nabla \eta \cdot \xi + \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2 - (c \nabla \eta \cdot \xi)^2},$$

$$a_0^{(1)} = \frac{i \partial_x a_0^{(1)} \cdot \partial_x a_0^{(1)} - c \Delta \eta a_0^{(1)}}{a_+^{(1)} - a_-^{(1)}}, \quad \quad a_+^{(1)} = \frac{i \partial_x a_0^{(1)} \cdot \partial_x a_0^{(1)} - c \Delta \eta a_0^{(1)}}{a_+^{(1)} - a_-^{(1)}}.$$

Suppose that $a_\pm^{(j)}$ are defined for $m \leq j \leq 1$, then we define

$$a_\pm^{(m-1)} = \frac{1}{a_0^{(1)} - a_0^{(1)}} \sum_{m \leq k \leq 1} \sum_{m \leq \ell \leq 1} \sum_{|a|=k+\ell-m} \frac{1}{a_0^{(1)}} \partial^2_x a_0^{(1)} D^a_x a^{(t)}.$$

The principal and sub-principal symbols of $\lambda$ clearly coincide with the ones given by Proposition 5.5. \hfill \Box
Proposition 6.2. Set \( w = \Lambda^\mu U S \left( \eta \atop \psi \right), \) where \( \Lambda^\mu = \mathcal{P}_{(\gamma^{(3/2)})^{2\mu/3}} \) and \( U = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -i & 1 \\ i & 1 \end{array} \right). \) Then, for some \( Q \in M_{2 \times 2}(\Sigma^{0,\mu - 5/2}), \) \( \zeta \in \Sigma^{-1/2,\mu - 5/2}, \)
\[
(6.1) \quad (\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{P}_Q)w + i\mathcal{P}_\gamma \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) w + \frac{iq}{2} \mathcal{P}_\zeta \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right) \in H^{\mu - 4 - d/2}.
\]

Remark 6.3. Because \( \chi \) in the definition of paradifferential operators is an even function, we verify that \( \Lambda^\mu, \mathcal{P}_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\eta, \mathcal{P}_B \) all map real-valued functions to real-valued functions. Therefore, \( w = \left( \begin{array}{c} u \\ \bar{u} \end{array} \right) \) with
\[
(6.2) \quad u = \Lambda^\mu (-i, 1) S \left( \eta \atop \psi \right) = \Lambda^\mu \mathcal{P}_\mu \eta - i\Lambda^\mu \mathcal{P}_\eta \omega,
\]
recalling that \( \omega = \psi - \mathcal{P}_B \eta \) is the good unknown of Alinhac.

Proof. Combining Proposition 6.1 and Proposition 5.8, moving the term \( g\eta \) to the left hand side, \[
(\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{L}) \left( \eta \atop \psi \right) + g \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \eta \end{array} \right) = f(\eta, \psi),
\]
where \( f(\eta, \psi) = Q^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{array} \right) \in H^{2\mu - 7/2 - d/2} \times H^{2\mu - 4 - d/2} \) is defined by
\[
f_1 = G(\eta)\psi - \left\{ \mathcal{P}_\lambda (\psi - \mathcal{P}_B \eta) - \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \eta \right\},
\]
\[
f_2 = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \psi + G(\eta)\psi)^2}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2} + H(\eta)
\]
\[
+ \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \psi - \mathcal{P}_B \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla \eta - \mathcal{P}_B G(\eta)\psi + \mathcal{P}_\ell \eta.
\]

Given two time-dependent operators \( A, B : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}, \) we say that \( A \sim B \) if \( A - B \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{C}_0^{-\mu + d/2 + 4}). \)

By the ellipticity of \( \gamma^{(3/2)} \), \( p^{(1/2)} \) and \( q^{(0)} \), we can find paradifferential operators \( \tilde{\Lambda}^\mu \) and \( \tilde{S} \) by a routine construction of parametrix such that \( \Lambda^\mu \tilde{\Lambda}^\mu \sim \text{Id}, \tilde{S} \mathcal{S} \sim \text{Id}. \) We can find \( \xi \in \Sigma^{-1/2,\mu - 5/2} \) with principal symbol \( \xi^{(1/2)} = q^{(0)}/p^{(1/2)} \) such that
\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_\xi \end{array} \right) \Lambda^\mu S - \Lambda^\mu S \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \sim 0.
\]

Then by (5.3) and the fact that the Poisson bracket between the symbol of \( \Lambda^\mu \) and \( \gamma \) vanishes, we find by the symbolic calculus two symbols \( A, B \in M_{2 \times 2}(\Sigma^{0,\mu - 5/2}) \) such that
\[
A := [\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla, \Lambda^\mu S] \sim [\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla, \Lambda^\mu S] \tilde{S} \tilde{\Lambda}^\mu \Lambda^\mu S \sim \mathcal{P}_A \Lambda^\mu S,
\]
\[
B := \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_\gamma \end{array} \right) \Lambda^\mu S - \Lambda^\mu S \mathcal{L} \sim \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ -\mathcal{P}_\gamma \end{array} \right) - \Lambda^\mu S \mathcal{L} \tilde{\Lambda}^\mu \Lambda^\mu S \sim \mathcal{P}_B \Lambda^\mu S.
\]

Let \( \Phi = \Lambda^\mu S \left( \eta \atop \psi \right), \) and write \( g \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \eta \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ g \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \eta \end{array} \right), \) we obtain by the analysis above that
\[
(\partial_t + \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla) \Phi + \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_\gamma \end{array} \right) \Phi + \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ g \mathcal{P}_\xi \end{array} \right) \Phi = \mathcal{P}_A \Phi + \mathcal{P}_B \Phi + F,
\]
where
\[
F = (A + B) \left( \eta \atop \psi \right) - \mathcal{P}_A + B \Phi + \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ g \mathcal{P}_\xi \end{array} \right) \Phi - g \Lambda^\mu S \left( \eta \atop \psi \right) + \Lambda^\mu S f(\eta, \psi) \in H^{\mu - 4 - d/2}.
\]

Finally, observe that
\[
U \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_\gamma \end{array} \right) U^{-1} = i \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{P}_\gamma \\ 0 \end{array} \right), \quad U \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_\xi \end{array} \right) U^{-1} = \frac{i}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{P}_\xi \\ -\mathcal{P}_\xi \end{array} \right),
\]
We conclude by setting \( Q = -\frac{1}{2} U (A + B) U^{-1}. \)
Remark 6.4. If $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2}$ with $m < 2\mu - 6 - d/2$, then for $0 \leq j < \mu + 1/2 - d/2$,
\[ \nabla^j \eta \in \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2-j} \subset W_{\rho_j}^{0,\infty}, \quad \rho_j = \min\{2(\mu + 1/2 - j - d/2), m\}. \]
By the construction of $\lambda$ in the proof of Proposition 6.1, we can prove by induction that
\[ \lambda^{(1-j)}(t, x, \xi) = f_j(\nabla \eta(t, x), \ldots, \nabla^{j+1} \eta(t, x), \xi), \]
where $f_j(u_0, \ldots, u_j, \xi)$ is smooth with respect to $u_0, \ldots, u_j$, homogeneous of degree $1-j$ with respect to $\xi$, and when $j \geq 1$, $f_j$ is linear with respect to $u_j$. Therefore,
\[ |f_j(u_0, \ldots, u_j, \xi)| \leq C(|u_0, \ldots, u_j|) |u_j||\xi|^{1-j}. \]
We induce that
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{(1)} - |\xi| &\in \Gamma_{m,0}^{1,0}, & \lambda^{(1-j)} &\in \Gamma_{\rho_j+1,0}^{1-j,0}, & \forall j &\geq 1, \\
\gamma^{(3/2)} - |\xi|^{3/2} &\in \Gamma_{m,0}^{3/2,0}, & \gamma^{(3/2-j)} &\in \Gamma_{\rho_j+1,0}^{3/2-j,0}, & \forall j &\geq 1, \\
\zeta^{(-1/2)} - |\xi|^{-1/2} &\in \Gamma_{m,0}^{-1/2,0}, & \zeta^{(-1/2-j)} &\in \Gamma_{\rho_j+1,0}^{-1/2-j,0}, & \forall j &\geq 1, \\
Q^{(-j)} &\in \Gamma_{\rho_j+2,0}^{-j,0}, & \forall j &\geq 0.
\end{align*}

Lemma 6.5. Let $u$ be defined as (6.2). If $(\eta, \psi) \in H^{\mu+1/2} \times H^\mu$, then for $0 \leq \sigma \leq r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r < \mu - 1 - d/2$,
\[ WF_{0,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(u)^0 = WF_{0,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup WF_{0,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi)^0. \]
If $(\eta, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu}$, with $m < \frac{2}{3}(\mu - 1 - d/2)$, then for $0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{2}{3}m$,
\[ WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(u)^0 = WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup WF_{1/2,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi)^0. \]

Proof. If $\eta \in H_{m}^{\mu+1/2}$, then $(\gamma^{(3/2)})^{2}\mu^{3} \in \Gamma_{0,1}^{\mu, r}, p^{1/2} \in \Gamma_{0,1}^{1/2, r}, q^{0} \in \Gamma_{0,1}^{0, r}, B \in \Gamma_{0,1}^{0, r}$. If $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2}$, then for $0 \leq j \leq m$,
\[ \nabla^j \eta \in \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2-j} \subset H_j^{\mu+1/2-3j/2} \subset W_{j}^{0,\infty}. \]
Therefore, $(\gamma^{(3/2)})^{2}\mu^{3} \in \Gamma_{0,1}^{\mu, m}, p^{1/2} \in \Gamma_{0,1}^{1/2, m}, q^{0} \in \Gamma_{0,1}^{0, m}, B \in \Gamma_{0,1}^{0, m}$. By Lemma 4.35 and (6.2), for either $\epsilon = 0$ or $\epsilon = 1/2$,
\[ WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(u)^0 = WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\Lambda^{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\theta} \eta)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\Lambda^{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{\psi} (\psi - \mathcal{P}_{B} \eta))^0 \]
\[ = WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi - \mathcal{P}_{B} \eta)^0 \]
\[ \subset WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup (WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\mathcal{P}_{B} \eta)^0) \]
\[ \subset WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup (WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\eta)^0) \]
\[ = WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi)^0. \]

Conversely, as $WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\mathcal{P}_{B} \eta)^0 \subset WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0$, we have
\[ WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi)^0 \]
\[ = WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup (WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0) \]
\[ = WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup (WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi - \mathcal{P}_{B} \eta)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0) \]
\[ = WF_{e,1}^{\mu+1/2+\sigma}(\eta)^0 \cup WF_{e,1}^{\mu+\sigma}(\psi - \mathcal{P}_{B} \eta)^0 \]
\[ = WF_{e,1}^{\sigma}(u)^0. \]
□
6.2. Proof of Theorem 1.9. By Lemma 6.5, it is equivalent to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.6.** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.9, let $u$ be defined by (6.2), and let

$$(x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}^{\sigma}_{1/2,1}(u_0)^\circ$$

with $0 \leq \sigma \leq \max\{m/2 - 3/2, 0\}$. Let $t_0 \in [0, T]$, and suppose that

$$x_0 + \frac{3}{2}t_0|\xi_0|^{-1/2}\xi_0 \neq 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0],$$

then

$$(x_0 + \frac{3}{2}t_0|\xi_0|^{-1/2}\xi_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}^{\sigma}_{1/2,1}(u(t_0))^\circ.$$  

**Proof.** For $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $X' = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{k}^{\nu-k/2}$. By Lemma 2.15, if $f \in X'$, then $\text{WF}^{\nu}_{1/2,1}(f)^\circ = \emptyset$. By Remark 6.4,

$$P_{\nu} \cdot \nabla w \in H_{m}^{-1} \subset X^{m/2-1},$$

$$P_{\nu}Q(-j)w \in H_{\rho+j}^{j-3/2} \subset X^{\min\{j+m/2,3/2-d/2\}}, \quad j \geq 0,$$

$$P_{\nu}C_{\nu}w - P_{\nu}D_{\nu}w \in H_{m}^{-3/2} \subset X^{m/2-3/2},$$

$$P_{\nu}C_{\nu}w - P_{\nu}D_{\nu}w \in H_{\rho_j+1}^{j+1/2} \subset X^{\min\{j+m/2+1/2,2-d/2\}}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

By the hypothesis on $m$, we thus obtain

$$(6.3) \quad \partial_{t}w' + i|D_x|^{3/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} w' + \frac{ig}{2} |D_x|^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} w' \in X^{m/2-3/2},$$

where $w' = \pi(D_x)w$, and $\pi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which vanishes near the origin, and equals to 1 out side a neighborhood of the origin. Moreover, we require that $\text{supp } \pi \subset \{ \pi = 1 \}$ such that $1 - \pi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\bar{\pi}(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi|^2 \leq |g|$. Observe that the matrix

$$M = |\xi|^{3/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{g}{2} |\xi|^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is symmetrizable when restricted to supp $\pi$. Indeed, let

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \theta & 1 - \theta \\ -1(1 - \theta) & (1 + \theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta = \sqrt{\bar{\pi}(\xi) \cdot (g|\xi|^{-2} + 1)}$$

then $P \in \mathcal{O}^0_0$, and for $\xi \in \text{supp } \pi$,

$$PMP^{-1} = |\xi|^{3/2} \theta(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Set

$$\tilde{w} = P(D_x)w' = P(D_x)\left(\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ v' \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Re } u' + i\theta(D_x)\text{Im } u' \\ -\text{Re } u' + i\theta(D_x)\text{Im } u' \end{pmatrix},$$

where $u' = \pi(D_x)u$, then

$$\partial_{t}\tilde{w} + |D_x|^{3/2} \theta(D_x) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{w} \in X^{m/2-3/2}.$$  

Finally, let $v = \text{Re } u' + i\theta(D_x)\text{Im } u'$, then $\text{WF}^{\sigma}_{1/2,1}(u)^\circ = \text{WF}^{\sigma}_{1/2,1}(v)^\circ$, and

$$\partial_{t}v + |D_x|^{3/2} \theta(D_x) v \in X^{m/2-3/2}.$$

We are left to prove that if $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}^{\sigma}_{1/2,1}(v(0))^\circ$, then

$$\left(x_0 + \frac{3}{2}t_0|\xi_0|^{-1/2}\xi_0, \xi_0\right) \in \text{WF}^{\sigma}_{1/2,1}(v(t_0))^\circ.$$
Because \( \theta(\xi) \sim 1 \) in the high frequency regime, similar proof as (M.1) of Theorem 1.6 yields the conclusion.

\[ \square \]

### 6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.10.

#### 6.3.1. Hamiltonian flow.

Let \( \Phi = \Phi_s : \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0) \) be the Hamiltonian flow of

\[
H(x, \xi) = \gamma(3/2)(0, x, \xi) = \left( |\xi|^2 - \frac{(\nabla \eta \cdot \xi)^2}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2} \right)^{3/4} + 3.
\]

That is

\[
\partial_t \Phi_s(x, \xi) = X_H(\Phi_s(x, \xi)), \quad \Phi|_{s=0} = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0)},
\]

where \( X_H = (\partial_x H, -\partial_x H) \). We use \( s \) to denote the time variable in accordance to the semiclassical time variable in the following section. Observe that

**Lemma 6.7.** For \( (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0) \), we have

\[
\Phi_s(x, \xi) = \mathcal{G}_{\varphi_s(x, \xi)}(x, \xi),
\]

where \( \mathcal{G} \) is the geodesic flow defined in §1.3.6, and

\[
\varphi_s(x, \xi) = \frac{3}{4} \int_0^s G(\Phi_\sigma(x, \xi))^{-1/4} \, d\sigma.
\]

**Proof.** We have \( \mathcal{G}_{\varphi_0(x, \xi)}(x, \xi) = \mathcal{G}_0(x, \xi) = (x, \xi) = \Phi_0(x, \xi) \). Then observe that

\[
H(x, \xi) = G(x, \xi)^{3/4} = g_x^{-1}(\xi, \xi)^{3/4}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{G}_{\varphi_s(x, \xi)}(x, \xi) = \frac{d}{ds} \varphi_s(x, \xi)(\frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{G}) \mathcal{G}_{\varphi_s(x, \xi)}(x, \xi)
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{4} G(\mathcal{G}_{\varphi_s(x, \xi)}(x, \xi))^{-1/4} X_G(\mathcal{G}_{\varphi_s(x, \xi)}(x, \xi)) = X_H(\mathcal{G}_{\varphi_s(x, \xi)}(x, \xi)).
\]

We conclude by the uniqueness of solutions to Hamiltonian ODEs.

**Lemma 6.8.** Suppose that for some \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( \nabla \eta_0 \in W^{0, \infty}_{1/2 + \epsilon}, \nabla^2 \eta_0 \in W^{0, \infty}_{1 + \epsilon} \). Let \( (x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0) \) such that the co-geodesic \( \{(x_s, \xi_s) = \Phi_s(x_0, \xi_0)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \) is forwardly non-trapping. Set

\[
z_s = x_s - x_0 - \frac{3}{2} \int_0^s |\xi_\sigma|^{-1/2} \xi_\sigma \, d\sigma,
\]

then \( \exists (z_{+\infty}, \xi_{+\infty}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0) \) such that

\[
\lim_{s \to +\infty} (z_s, \xi_s) = (z_{+\infty}, \xi_{+\infty}).
\]

Consequently, by Lemma 6.7, let \( (x'_s, \xi'_s) = \mathcal{G}_s(x_0, \xi_0) \), then \( \lim_{s \to +\infty} \xi'_s = \xi_{+\infty} \).

**Proof.** Because \( \{(x_s, \xi_s)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \) is forwardly non-trapping, and we only consider the limiting behavior when \( s \to +\infty \), we may assume that \( \varepsilon_0 := \|x \nabla^2 \eta_0\|_{L^\infty} \) is sufficiently small. As \( \nabla \eta_0 \in L^\infty \), we have

\[
H(\cdot, \cdot) \simeq |\cdot|^{3/2}.
\]

Then

\[
\frac{d}{ds}(x_s \cdot \xi_s) = \partial_\xi H(x_s, \xi_s) \cdot \xi_s - x_s \cdot \partial_x H(x_s, \xi_s),
\]

where \( \partial_\xi H(x_s, \xi_s) \cdot \xi_s = \frac{3}{2} H(x_s, \xi_s) \simeq |\xi_0|^{3/2} \), and

\[
\partial_x H(x_s, \xi_s) = \frac{3}{4} H(x_s, \xi_s)^{-1/3} \partial_x G(x_s, \xi_s)
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{4} H(x_s, \xi_s)^{-1/3} \left( \frac{2 \nabla \eta_0 \cdot \xi_s}{1 + |\nabla \eta_0|^2} \nabla^2 \eta_0 \xi_s - \frac{2(\nabla \eta_0 \cdot \xi_s)^2}{1 + |\nabla \eta_0|^2} \nabla^2 \eta_0 \nabla \eta_0 \right)_{x=x_s}.
\]

Therefore \( x_s \cdot \partial_x H(x_s, \xi_s) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|x_s|^{3/2}) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon|x_0|^2) \), and consequently,

\[
\frac{d}{ds}(x_s \cdot \xi_s) \gtrsim |\xi_0|^{3/2}.
\]
So for any bounded set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\lambda(s \geq 0 : x_s \in B) \lesssim \frac{\sup\{|x \cdot \xi| : (x, \xi) \in B \times \mathbb{R}^d, H(x, \xi) = H(x_0, \xi_0)\}}{|\xi_0|^{3/2}}$$

$$\lesssim \sup_{x \in B} |x| |\xi_0|^{-1/2},$$

where $\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Let $E(x, \xi) = H(x, \xi) - |\xi|^{3/2}$, then by the hypothesis of the decay of $\eta_0$, $E \in \Gamma_{1+\epsilon,0}^{3/2,1}$. By the definition of $z_s$, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}(z_s, \xi_s) = (\partial_t E, -\partial_x E)(x_s, \xi_s) = O((x_s)^{-1-\epsilon}).$$

By (6.5),

$$\int_0^\infty (x_s)^{-1-\epsilon} ds = (1+\epsilon) \int_0^\infty t^\epsilon \lambda(s \geq 0 : (x_s)^{-1} > t) \, dt \lesssim \int_0^1 t^\epsilon \sqrt{t-1} \, dt < \infty.$$  

Therefore, for any $0 < s^- < s^+$ with $s^- \to \infty$,

$$|(z_s^+, \xi_s^+) - (z_s^-, \xi_s^-)| \lesssim \int_{s^-}^{s^+} (x_\sigma)^{-1-\epsilon} \, d\sigma \to 0,$$

implying that $(x_s, \xi_s)$ is a Cauchy sequence as $s \to \infty$.

6.3.2. Construction of symbol. For $h \geq 0$, and $h^{1/2} s \leq T$, set

$$H_h(s, x, \xi) = \gamma^{(3/2)}(h^{1/2} s, x, \xi),$$

so in particular $H_h(x, \xi) \equiv H_0(s, x, \xi)$. For $h > 0$, the semiclassical time variable $s = h^{-1/2} t$ was inspired by Lebeau [39], see also [63] for an application in theory of control for water waves.

For $a \in C^\infty([0, h^{-1/2} T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, set

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,s}^\pm a = \partial_s a \pm \{H_h, a\}.$$

Lemma 6.9. Suppose that for some $\epsilon > 0$, $\nabla \eta_0 \in W_{1/2+\epsilon}^{0,\infty}$, $\nabla^2 \eta_0 \in W_{1+\epsilon}^{0,\infty}$, $\nabla^3 \eta_0 \in W_{3/2+\epsilon}^{0,\infty}$. Let $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus 0)$ such that the co-geodesic $\{(x_s, \xi_s) = \Phi_s(x_0, \xi_0)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ is forwardly non-trapping, then for some $s_0 > 0$, there exists

$$\chi^\pm \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \Gamma^{-\infty, \mu-3-d/2}) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq s_0}, S^{-\infty}_0)$$

such that

1. $\chi^\pm(0, x, \xi) \in S^{-\infty}_{-\infty}$ is elliptic at $(x_0, \pm \xi_0)$;
2. $\forall \tau > 0$, $\chi^\pm(s, \frac{\mu}{\tau} x, \xi) \in S^{-\infty}_{-\tau}$ is elliptic at $(\frac{\mu}{\tau} |\xi_0|^{-1/2} \xi_\infty, \pm \xi_\infty)$ for sufficiently large $s$.

Moreover, if $(\eta, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}_m^{\mu+1/2} \times \mathcal{H}_m^\mu$ with $\mu > 3 + d/2$ and $m \geq 2$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,s}^\pm \chi^\pm \in L^\infty([0, h^{-1/2} T], \Gamma^{-\infty, \mu-4-d/2}_0),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{h,s}^\pm \chi^\pm \geq \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}) L^\infty([0, h^{-1/2} T], \Gamma^{-\infty, \mu-4-d/2}_{-1,0}).$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

1. $\phi \geq 0$, $\phi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1/2$, $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1$, supp $\phi = \{|x| \leq 1\}$;
2. $x \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$;
3. $y \cdot \nabla \phi(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $x \cdot y = 0$.

Such $\phi$ can be constructed by setting $\phi(x) = \varphi(|x|)$ where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi(z) = 1$ if $z \leq 1/2$, $\varphi(z) = 0$ if $z \geq 1$. For $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $\nu > 0$ and sufficiently large $s > 0$, set

$$\tilde{\chi}^\pm(s, x, \xi) = \phi\left(\frac{x - x_s}{\lambda ds}\right) \phi\left(\frac{\xi - \xi_s}{\delta - s^{-\nu}}\right).$$
We verify that $\mathcal{L}_{0,s}^{\pm, \chi}(s, x, \xi) \geq 0$ for $s > 0$ sufficiently large. Indeed,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{0,s}^{\pm, \chi}(s, x, \xi) &= \left( \pm \frac{\partial_{s} H(x, \xi) - \partial_{x} H(x_{s}, \mp \xi_{s})}{\lambda \delta s} - \frac{x - x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s^{2}} \right) \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s} \right) \phi \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right) \\
&\quad \quad + \left( \pm \frac{\partial_{x} H(x_{s}, \mp \xi_{s}) - \partial_{x} H(x, \xi)}{\delta - s^{-\nu} - \nu} \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right) \phi \left( \frac{x - x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s} \right) \nabla \phi \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right).
\end{align*}
$$

By (i),

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{supp} \phi \left( \frac{-x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s} \right) &\subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : |x - x_{s}| \leq \lambda \delta s \}, \\
\text{supp} \phi \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right) &\subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : |\xi \mp \xi_{s}| \leq \delta - s^{-\nu} \}, \\
\text{supp} \nabla \phi \left( \frac{-x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s} \right) &\subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : \frac{1}{2} \lambda \delta s \leq |x - x_{s}| \leq \lambda \delta s \}, \\
\text{supp} \nabla \phi \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right) &\subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : \frac{1}{2} (\delta - s^{-\nu}) \leq |\xi \mp \xi_{s}| \leq \delta - s^{-\nu} \}.
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 6.8,

$$
x_{s} = x_{0} + \frac{3}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} |\chi_{\sigma}|^{-1/2} \xi_{\sigma} d\sigma + z_{s} = \frac{3}{2} s |\xi_{\infty}|^{-1/2} \xi_{\infty} + o(s).
$$

There, by writing

$$
\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}(s, x, \xi) = \phi(\frac{x - x_{0} + o(1)}{\lambda \delta t_{0}}) \phi(\frac{\xi \mp \xi_{\infty} + o(1)}{\delta - s^{-\nu}}),
$$

we see that $\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}(s, \frac{x}{\lambda \delta s}, \xi)$ is elliptic at $(\frac{x_{0}}{\lambda \delta t_{0}}, \xi_{\infty})$ for sufficiently large $s$. Moreover, if $\lambda \delta$ is sufficiently small and $s$ is sufficiently large, then

$$
\text{supp} \phi \left( \frac{-x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s} \right) \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} : |x| \gtrsim s \}.
$$

Therefore, by the hypothesis on $\eta_{0}$, we have for $(x, \xi) \in \text{supp} \tilde{\chi}^{\pm}(s, \cdot)$,

$$
\nabla^{2}_{x} H(x, \xi) = \left( \nabla^{2}_{x} H \quad \nabla_{x} \nabla_{\xi} H \quad \nabla^{2}_{\xi} H \right)(x, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} O(s^{-2-\epsilon}) & O(s^{-3/2-\epsilon}) \\ O(s^{-3/2-\epsilon}) & O(1) \end{pmatrix},
$$

and consequently, by the finite increment formula,

$$
\begin{align*}
|\partial_{x} H(x_{s}, \mp \xi_{s}) - \partial_{x} H(x, \xi)| &\lesssim s^{-3/2-\epsilon} |x - x_{s}| + |\xi \mp \xi_{s}| \lesssim s^{-1/2-\epsilon} \lambda \delta + \delta; \\
|\partial_{x} H(x_{s}, \mp \xi_{s}) - \partial_{x} H(x, \xi)| &\lesssim s^{-2-\epsilon} |x - x_{s}| + s^{-3/2-\epsilon} |\xi \mp \xi_{s}| \lesssim \lambda \delta s^{-1-\epsilon} + \delta s^{-3/2-\epsilon}.
\end{align*}
$$

By (iii),

$$
\begin{align*}
(\partial_{x} H(x, \xi) - \partial_{x} H(x_{s}, \mp \xi_{s})) \cdot \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s} \right) &= \left( \partial_{x} H(x, \xi) - \partial_{x} H(x_{s}, \mp \xi_{s}) \right) \cdot \frac{x - x_{s}}{|x - x_{s}|^{2}} (x - x_{s}) \cdot \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s} \right) \\
&= O(s^{-3/2-\epsilon} + \lambda^{-1} s^{-1})(x - x_{s}) \cdot \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - x_{s}}{\lambda \delta s} \right); \\
(\partial_{x} H(x_{s}, \mp \xi_{s}) - \partial_{x} H(x, \xi)) \cdot \nabla \phi \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right) &= \left( \partial_{x} H(x_{s}, \mp \xi_{s}) - \partial_{x} H(x, \xi) \right) \cdot \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{|\xi \mp \xi_{s}|^{2}} (\xi \mp \xi_{s}) \cdot \nabla \phi \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right) \\
&= O(\lambda s^{-1-\epsilon} + s^{-3/2-\epsilon}) (\xi \mp \xi_{s}) \cdot \nabla \phi \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_{s}}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right).
\end{align*}
$$
Finally, we fix $0 < \nu < \epsilon, \delta > 0.$ Then, when $\lambda$ is sufficiently large, and $s \geq s_0 - 1 > 0$ with $s_0$ being sufficiently large, by (ii),

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm \tilde{\chi}^\pm = \frac{-1 + \mathcal{O}(s^{-1/2-\epsilon} + \lambda^{-1})}{\lambda \delta s^2} (x - x_s) \cdot \nabla \phi \left( \frac{x - x_s}{\lambda \delta s} \right) \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_s}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right) - \nu \left( \delta - s^{-\nu} \right) \mathcal{O}(\lambda) s^{\nu - \epsilon} \cdot \phi \left( \frac{x - x_s}{\lambda \delta s} \right) \nabla \phi \left( \frac{\xi \mp \xi_s}{\delta - s^{-\nu}} \right) \geq 0.
$$

We verify as in Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\tilde{\chi}^\pm \in W^{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_{\geq s_0}, s_0^{-\infty}), \quad \mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm \tilde{\chi}^\pm \in W^{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}_{s_0}, \Gamma_{-1,0}^{-\infty, -(\mu-4-d/2)}).
$$

Next, we set for $s \geq s_0$,

$$
\chi^\pm(s, x, \xi) = \tilde{\chi}^\pm(s, x, \xi).
$$

To define $\chi^\pm$ for $s \leq s_0$, we choose $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\rho(s) = 1$ for $s \geq s_0$, and $\rho(s) = 0$ for $s \leq s_0 - \alpha$ for some small $\alpha > 0$ later, and solve the transport equation on $[0, s_0],

\mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm \chi^\pm(s, x, \xi) = \rho(s) \mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm \tilde{\chi}^\pm(s, x, \xi), \quad \chi^\pm(s_0, x, \xi) = \tilde{\chi}^\pm(s_0, x, \xi).

Clearly $\chi^\pm$ satisfies (6.6), and

$$
\mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm \chi^\pm \geq 0.
$$

Moreover, because

$$
\chi^\pm(s, x, \xi) = \chi^\pm(s_0, \Phi_{\pm(s_0-s)}(x, \xi)) - \int_{s_0}^{s} \rho(\sigma) \mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm(\sigma, \Phi_{\pm(s_0-s)}(x, \xi)) d\sigma,
$$

if we choose $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small, then

$$
\chi^\pm(0, x_0, \pm \xi_0) = \chi^\pm(s_0, x_{s_0}, \pm \xi_{s_0}) - \int_{s_0}^{s_0-\alpha} \rho(\sigma) \mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm(\sigma, x_\sigma, \pm \xi_\sigma) d\sigma \\
\geq 1 - \| \mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm(\sigma, x_\sigma, \pm \xi_\sigma) \|_{L^1([s_0-\alpha, s_0])} > 0.
$$

Therefore, $\chi^\pm(0, \cdot)$ is elliptic at $(x_0, \pm \xi_0)$.

To estimate $\mathcal{L}_{h,s}^\pm \chi^\pm$, we use

$$
H_h(s, x, \xi) - H_0(s, x, \xi) = H_h(s, x, \xi) - H_0(0, x, \xi) \equiv \int_{0}^{s} (\partial_s H_h)(\sigma, x, \xi) d\sigma = h^{1/2} \int_{0}^{s} (\partial_s \gamma^{(3/2)})(h^{1/2} \sigma, x, \xi) d\sigma,
$$

and write

$$
\mathcal{L}_{h,s}^\pm \chi^\pm(s, \cdot) - \mathcal{L}_{0,s}^\pm \chi^\pm(s, \cdot) = \pm \{ H_h - H_0, \chi^\pm \}(s, \cdot)
\quad = \pm h^{1/2} \int_{0}^{s} \{ \partial_s \gamma^{(3/2)}(h^{1/2} \sigma, \cdot), \chi^\pm(s, \cdot) \} d\sigma.
$$

Observe that

$$
\partial_s \gamma^{(3/2)} = -\frac{3}{2} \left( (|\xi|^2 - (\nabla \eta \cdot \xi)^2 \right)^{-1/4} \left( \frac{\nabla \eta \cdot \xi}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2} \nabla G(\eta) \psi \cdot \xi - \frac{(\nabla \eta \cdot \xi)^2}{1 + |\nabla \eta|^2} \nabla G(\eta) \psi \cdot \nabla \eta \right).
$$

By hypothesis and Proposition 5.4, $\nabla G(\eta) \psi \in H_m^{\mu-2} \subset H_2^{\mu-3}$ as $m \geq 2.$ Therefore,

$$
\partial_s \gamma^{(3/2)}(h^{1/2} \cdot, \cdot) \in L^{\infty}([0, h^{-1/2} T], \Gamma_{-1,0}^{3/2, \mu-7/2-d/2}).
$$

Using $|x| \sim s$ on supp $\chi^\pm(s, \cdot)$, we have, uniformly for all $s \in [0, h^{-1/2} T],

$$
\langle s \rangle \{ \partial_s \gamma^{(3/2)}(h^{1/2} \sigma, \cdot), \chi^\pm(s, \cdot) \} \in L^{\infty}_s([0, h^{-1/2} T], \Gamma_{-1,0}^{\infty, \mu-4-d/2}).
$$
Therefore,
\[ \mathcal{L}_{h,s}^\pm \chi^\pm(s,\cdot) - L_{0,s}^\pm \chi^\pm(s,\cdot) = \pm h^{1/2} \chi^1 s^{-1} \int_0^s \mathcal{O}(1) L_\infty([0,h^{-1/2}T],\Gamma_{t_0,0}^{-\infty,\mu-\delta/2}) \, d\sigma \]
\[ = \pm h^{1/2} \chi^1 s^{-1} \mathcal{O}(s) \Gamma_{t_0,0}^{-\infty,\mu-\delta/2} \]
\[ = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}) \Gamma_{t_0,0}^{-\infty,\mu-\delta/2}, \]
which, together with (6.9), proves (6.7). \qed

6.3.3. Propagation. Now we prove Theorem 1.10. By Lemma 6.5 and Lemma 6.7, it suffices to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.10.** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.10, let \( u \) be defined as (6.2). Let
\[ (x_0, \xi_0) \in \text{WF}_0^\sigma(u_0)^\sigma, \]
with \( 0 \leq \sigma < \min\{\mu/2 - 3 - d/4, 3m/2\} \), such that the co-geodesic \( \{(x_s, \xi_s) = \Phi_s(x_0, \xi_0)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \) is forwardly non-trapping. Set
\[ \xi_\infty = \lim_{s \to +\infty} \xi_s, \]
then \( \forall t_0 \in [0, T] \),
\[ \left( \frac{3}{2} t_0 |\xi_\infty|^{-1/2} \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty \right) \in \text{WF}^\sigma_{1/2,1}(u(t_0)). \]

Under the semiclassical time variable \( s = h^{-1/2} t \), (6.1) becomes
\[ (\partial_s + h^{1/2} \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla + h^{1/2} \mathcal{P}_Q)w + ih^{1/2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{P}_\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{P}_\gamma \end{array} \right) w + \frac{i h^{1/2} q}{2} \mathcal{P}_\zeta \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right) w = F_h = \mathcal{O}(h^{1/2}) \Gamma_{t_0,0}^{-\infty,\mu-\delta/2}, \]
We define \( \mathcal{L}_s^h \) which applies to time dependent operators \( \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}' \),
\[ \mathcal{L}_s^h \mathcal{A} = \partial_s \mathcal{A} + h^{1/2} \left[ \mathcal{P}_V \cdot \nabla + \mathcal{P}_Q + i \mathcal{P}_\gamma \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) + \frac{i q}{2} \mathcal{P}_\zeta \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right) \right] \mathcal{A}. \]
We also define \( \mathcal{L}_s^h \) which applies to symbols of the diagonal form \( \mathcal{A} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A^+ & 0 \\ 0 & A^- \end{array} \right) \),
\[ \mathcal{L}_s^h \mathcal{A} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{L}_{h,s}^+ A^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_{h,s}^- A^- \end{array} \right). \]

**Proof of Theorem 6.10.** We shall from now on denote \( \rho = [\mu - 4 - d/2], I_h = [0, h^{-1/2} T] \) and
\[ Y_h^\rho = L^\infty(I_h, M_{2 \times 2} (h \Sigma_{t,0}^{-\infty,\rho})), \]
for simplicity. Choose a strictly increasing sequence \( \{\lambda_j\}_{j \geq 0} \subset [1, 1 + \epsilon] \) with \( \epsilon > 0 \) being sufficiently small. Define \( \chi_j^\pm \) as in Lemma 6.9 where we replace \( \phi \) with \( \phi(\cdot/\lambda_j) \). Then
\[ \text{supp} \chi_j^\pm \subset \{ \chi_{j+1}^\pm > 0 \}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \]
Set \( \chi_j = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \chi_j^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \chi_j^- \end{array} \right) \). We shall construct an operator \( \mathcal{A}_h \in L^\infty(I_h, L^2 \to L^2) \) such that:

(i) \( \mathcal{A}_h \) is a paradifferential operator, more precisely, there exists
\[ A_h^\pm \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \Gamma_{t_0,0}^{-\infty,\rho+1}) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{>s_0}, S_0^{-\infty}) \]
for some \( s_0 > 0 \), such that
\[ \mathcal{A}_h - \mathcal{P}^h_{A_h} = \mathcal{O}(h^\rho) L^\infty(I_h, L^2 \to L^2), \quad A_h = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A_h^+ & 0 \\ 0 & A_h^- \end{array} \right). \]
Moreover, \( \text{supp} A_h^\pm \subset \bigcup_{j \geq 0} \text{supp} \chi_j^\pm \).

(ii) \( A_h^\pm (0, x, \xi) \) is elliptic at \( (x_0, \pm \xi_0) \);
(iii) $A^h_\pm(s, \frac{\xi_0}{h} x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}_{-\infty}^\infty$ is elliptic at $(\frac{3}{2} t_0 |\xi_\infty|^{-1/2} \xi_\infty, \xi_\infty)$ for $s > 0$ sufficiently large;
(iv) $\mathcal{L}^h_\pm A \geq \mathcal{O}(h^\rho \mathcal{L}^\infty(I_h, L^2 \rightarrow L^2)).$

We shall construct $A_h$ of the form

$$A_h = \sum_{j\geq 0} h^{j/2} \varphi^j A^j_h,$$

where $\varphi \in P_j$, recalling the definition (3.4), and $A^j_h \in L^\infty(I_h, L^2 \rightarrow L^2)$. We begin by setting

$$A^0_h = (\mathcal{P}_h^{0,0})^* \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0}, \quad \varphi^0 \equiv 1.$$  

Therefore, by the symbolic calculus, Lemma 6.9 and Corollary 4.30 (observe that the symbol of $A^0_h$ belongs to $\sigma_0$, and that $\gamma$ is a sum of homogeneous symbols),

$$\partial_\sigma A^0_h + h^{j/2} \left[i \mathcal{P}_\gamma \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right), A^0_h \right] = 2 \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0,0,0} \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0} + \mathcal{O}(h^\rho \mathcal{L}^\infty(I_h, L^2 \rightarrow L^2)),$$

for some $b^0_h \in L^\infty(I_h, h^{-\infty} \rho \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0})$, with $\sup b^0_h \subset \sup \chi_0$. Therefore $\langle s \rangle b^0_h \in Y^\rho_h$. Similarly,

$$h^{j/2} \left[ \mathcal{P}_\gamma \cdot \nabla, A^0_h \right] = h^{j/2} \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0} + \mathcal{O}(h^\rho \mathcal{L}^\infty(I_h, L^2 \rightarrow L^2)),$$

where $\langle s \rangle b^2_h, \langle s \rangle b^3_h \in Y^\rho_h$, with $\sup b^2_h \cup \sup b^3_h \subset \sup \chi_0$. By Lemma 6.9,

$$\chi_0 \mathcal{L}_s^h \chi_0 \geq h^{j/2} b^4_h,$$

where $\langle s \rangle b^4_h \in L^\infty(I_h, \Gamma^{-\infty} \rho ) \subset Y^\rho_h$, with $\sup b^4_h \subset \sup \chi_0$. Therefore, by the parodifferential Garding inequality, i.e., Lemma 4.33,

$$\mathcal{P}_h^{0,0} \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0} \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0} \geq h^{j/2} \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0} + \mathcal{O}(h^\rho \mathcal{L}^\infty(I_h, L^2 \rightarrow L^2)),$$

for some $b^5_h \in Y^\rho_h$ with $\sup b^5_h \subset \{ \chi_1 > 0 \}$. Set

$$\alpha^0_h = \langle s \rangle (b^0_h + b^2_h + 2 b^3_h) \in Y^\rho_h, \quad \beta^0_h = \langle s \rangle (b^2_h + b^3_h + 2 b^5_h) \in Y^\rho_h.$$  

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_s^h A^0_h \geq h^{j/2} \langle s \rangle^{-1} \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0} \alpha^0_h + h^{j/2} \beta^0_h + \mathcal{O}(h^\rho \mathcal{L}^\infty(I_h, L^2 \rightarrow L^2)).$$

Suppose that we have found $A^j_h \in L^\infty(I_h, L^2 \rightarrow L^2), \varphi^j \in P_j$ for $j = 0, \ldots, \ell - 1$, and $\psi^{\ell - 1} \in P_{\ell - 1}$, $\alpha^\ell_h, \beta^\ell_h \in Y^\rho_h$ with $\sup \alpha^\ell_h \cap \sup \beta^\ell_h \subset \{ \chi_\ell > 0 \}$, such that

$$h^{j/2} \varphi^j A^j_h \geq h^{j/2} \langle s \rangle^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} \mathcal{P}_h^{0,0} \alpha^\ell_h + h^{j/2} \beta^\ell_h + \mathcal{O}(h^\rho \mathcal{L}^\infty(I_h, L^2 \rightarrow L^2)).$$

Then as in the proof of (M.2) of Theorem 1.6, we set

$$\varphi^{\ell}(s) = \int_0^s (1 + \sigma)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} \sigma \, d\sigma, \quad A^\ell_h = C^{\ell} \varphi^{\ell} \mathcal{P}_\chi^{\ell},$$

where the constant $C^{\ell}$ is sufficiently large, such that by Lemma 6.9, in the sense of positivity of matrices,

$$C^{\ell} \mathcal{L}_s^h (\varphi^{\ell} \chi_\ell) = C^{\ell} (1 + s)^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} \chi_\ell + C^{\ell} \varphi^{\ell} \mathcal{L}_s^h \chi_\ell \geq \langle s \rangle^{-1} \psi^{\ell - 1} \alpha^\ell_h + \varphi^{\ell} h^{j/2} \langle s \rangle^{-1} \beta^\ell_h.$$
for some $\tilde{\beta}^\ell_h \in Y^\rho_h$. By the paradifferential Gårding inequality, and a routine construction of parametrix, we find $\tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h \in Y^\rho_h$, with $\text{supp} \tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h \subset \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \xi_h \geq 1 \}$, such that

$$
P^{\rho}_{\tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h}(\varphi \chi)L^2_s(\varphi \chi) - \langle s \rangle^{-1}p^{\rho}_{\varphi^{-1}\alpha^\ell_h+1/2\varphi^\ell_h} \geq h \langle s \rangle^{-1}p^{\rho}_{\varphi^{-1}+\varphi^\ell_h} + O(h^\rho) \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0. \tag{6.11}$$

Similarly as in the estimate of $A^0_h$, by a symbolic calculus, we find $\tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h, \tilde{\beta}^\ell_h \in Y^\rho_h$, with $\text{supp} \tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h \cup \text{supp} \tilde{\beta}^\ell_h \subset \text{supp} \chi^\ell_0$, such that

$$
L^h_s \tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h = p^{\rho}_{\tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h} + h^{1/2} \langle s \rangle^{-1}\varphi^\ell_h L^h_s \tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h \geq h^{1/2} \langle s \rangle^{-1}p^{\rho}_{\varphi^\ell_h + 1/2\varphi^\ell_h} + O(h^\rho) \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0.
$$

Therefore, combining (6.10) and (6.11),

$$
L^h_s \left( \sum_{j=0}^\ell h^{1/2} \varphi^\ell_h \tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h \right) \geq h^{(\ell+1)/2} \langle s \rangle^{-1}p^{\rho}_{\varphi^\ell_h + 1/2\varphi^\ell_h} + O(h^\rho) \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0 \chi^\ell_0,
$$

with $\psi^\ell = 1 + \psi^{-1} + \varphi^\ell$, $\tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h = \psi^\ell \tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h + \tilde{\psi}^\ell (\tilde{\alpha}^\ell_h \tilde{\psi}^\ell + \tilde{\beta}^\ell_h)$, $\tilde{\beta}^\ell_h = \psi^{-1} \tilde{\beta}^\ell_h$. Thus we close the induction procedure.

To finish the proof, suppose that

$$
\left( \frac{3}{2} t_0 | \xi \rangle \langle \xi | \xi \xi \rangle \right) \not\in \mathbf{WF}_{\chi^\ell_0}^{\rho}(u(t_0)),
$$

and

$$
\left( \frac{3}{2} t_0 | \xi \rangle \langle \xi | \xi \xi \rangle \right) \not\in \mathbf{WF}_{\chi^\ell_0}^{\rho}(u(t_0)).
$$

By (i) and (iii), if we replace $\phi$ with $\phi(\lambda \cdot)$ for some sufficiently large $\lambda > 0$, then for sufficiently small $h > 0$,

$$
\text{supp} \theta^{1/2,0}_{h} \chi_j^+ \mid_{s=h^{-1/2}t_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \mathbf{WF}_{\chi^\ell_0}^{\rho}(u(t_0)),
$$

and

$$
\text{supp} \theta^{1/2,0}_{h} \chi_j^- \mid_{s=h^{-1/2}t_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d} \setminus \mathbf{WF}_{\chi^\ell_0}^{\rho}(u(t_0)).
$$

So by Proposition 4.32 and Lemma 2.14,

$$
(A_h w, w)_{L^2} \mid_{s=h^{-1/2}t_0} = O(h^{2\rho}).
$$

By our construction, $\varphi^\ell(0) = 0$, $\forall \ell \geq 1$, so $A^0_h \mid_{s=0} = A^0_h \mid_{s=0} = (P^h_{\chi^\ell_0})^* P^h_{\chi^\ell_0} \mid_{s=0}$. Because $F_h = O(h^{1/2})$, we have, by Lemma 2.15, $A_h F_h = O(h^{1/2})_{L^2}$. Therefore,

$$
\| P^h_{\chi^\ell_0} w \mid_{s=0} \|_{L^2} = \text{Re}(A_h w, w)_{L^2} \mid_{s=h^{-1/2}t_0} - \int_0^{h^{-1/2}t_0} \text{Re}(L^h_s A_h w, w)_{L^2} \text{Re}(A_h F_h, w)_{L^2} \text{d} s
$$

$$
\leq O(h^{2\rho}) + O(h^{\rho-1/2}) = O(h^{2\rho}).
$$

Observe that $\chi_0 \mid_{s=0}$ is of compact support with respect to $x$, we have

$$
P^h_{\chi_0} = T^h_{\beta_h} + O(h^\rho)_{L^2} \to L^2,
$$

where $\beta_h = \sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_j \chi_0 \mid_{s=0} \tilde{\psi}_j \chi_0 \mid_{s=0} \Sigma \psi_j \in h \Sigma^{-\infty, \rho}$ is a finite summation. We conclude by Lemma 4.34 that $(x_0, \xi_0) \not\in WF_0(u_0)$.
6.4. **Proof of Corollary 1.11.** The case when \( d = 1 \) is trivial. For the second case, we shall prove that on any co-geodesic \( \{(x_t, \xi_t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \),

\begin{equation}
\lim_{t \to +\infty} x_t \cdot \xi_t = \infty,
\end{equation}

so no geodesics can be trapped. The proof of (6.12) is almost finished by the proof of Lemma 6.8. Indeed, similar calculations imply that \( \frac{d}{dt}(x_t \cdot \xi_t) \gtrsim |\xi_t|^2 \).

\[\square\]
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