Invariant Generalized Complex Structures on Flag Manifolds

Carlos A. B. Varea∗  Luiz A. B. San Martin

Abstract

Let \( G \) be a complex semi-simple Lie group and form its maximal flag manifold \( \mathcal{F} = G/P = U/T \) where \( P \) is a minimal parabolic subgroup, \( U \) a compact real form and \( T = U \cap P \) a maximal torus of \( U \). The aim of this paper is to study invariant generalized complex structures on \( \mathcal{F} \). We describe the invariant generalized almost complex structures on \( \mathcal{F} \) and classify which one is integrable. The problem reduces to the study of invariant 4-dimensional generalized almost complex structures restricted to each root space, and for integrability we analyse the Nijenhuis operator for a triple of roots such that its sum is zero. We also conducted a study about twisted generalized complex structures. We define a new bracket ‘twisted’ by a closed 3-form \( \Omega \) and also define the Nijenhuis operator twisted by \( \Omega \). We classify the \( \Omega \)-integrable generalized complex structure.
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1 Introduction

The subject matter of this paper are invariant generalized complex structures on flag manifolds of semi-simple Lie groups. A generalized complex structure is a differential geometric structure introduced by Hitchin [5] and further developed by Gualtieri [3], with the purpose of studying complex and symplectic structures in a unique framework. We refer to Gualtieri [3], [4] and Cavalcanti [2] for the foundations of the theory of generalized complex structures.

In this paper we consider the maximal flag manifolds of the complex Lie groups. Let \( g \) be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra, \( G \) a connected Lie group with Lie algebra \( g \). Then its maximal flag manifold is the homogeneous space \( F = G/P \) where \( P \) is a Borel subgroup of \( G \) (minimal parabolic subgroup). If \( U \) is a compact real form of \( G \) then \( U \) acts transitively on \( F \) so that we have also the homogeneous space \( F = U/T \) where \( T = P \cap U \) is a maximal torus of \( U \).

We are concerned with \( U \)-invariant structures on \( F \) (we do not expect to have \( G \)-invariant structures, although there are some cases we do not analyze them carefully).

Our approach to study invariant structures is to reduce the problem at the origin \( x_0 \) of \( F = U/T = G/P \). A \( U \)-invariant almost generalized complex structure on \( F \) is completely determined by a complex structure \( J \) on the vector space \( T_{x_0}F \oplus T^*_{x_0}F \) which commutes with the isotropy representation of \( T \).

In this approach the first step is to determine the \( T \)-invariant complex structures \( J \). We do this by decomposing the Lie algebra \( u \) of \( U \) as \( u = t \oplus m \) where \( t \) is the Lie algebra of \( T \) (which is a Cartan subalgebra) and \( m = \sum \alpha u_\alpha \) is the sum of the root spaces in \( u \), that is, \( u_\alpha = (g_\alpha + g_{-\alpha}) \cap u \) and \( g_\alpha \) is the root space in the complex Lie algebra \( g \). This way \( T_{x_0}F \oplus T^*_{x_0}F \) becomes identified with the sum of two copies of \( m \), namely \( T_{x_0}F \oplus T^*_{x_0}F \approx m \oplus m^* \) with \( m^* = \sum \alpha u^*_\alpha \) (see below for the precise realization of the duals \( u^*_\alpha \)). This way we write an invariant complex structure \( J \) on \( m \oplus m^* \) as a direct sum \( J = \oplus \alpha J_\alpha \) where each \( J_\alpha \) is an invariant complex structure in \( u_\alpha \oplus u^*_\alpha \). The set of invariant complex structures in \( u_\alpha \oplus u^*_\alpha \) is parametrized by \( S^1 \). The \( S^1 \)-parametrization of the complex structures in \( u_\alpha \oplus u^*_\alpha \) distinguishes the cases where \( J_\alpha \) comes from a complex or a symplectic structure in \( m \).

Related to the generalized complex structures are the Dirac structures. An invariant Dirac structure in the homogeneous space \( U/T \) is determined by an isotropic subspace \( L \subset m_c \oplus m^*_c \) where the complexified spaces are given by \( m_c = \sum \alpha g_\alpha \) and \( m^*_c = \sum \alpha g^*_\alpha \). Again we can write \( L = \oplus \alpha L_\alpha \) with \( L_\alpha \subset g_\alpha \oplus g^*_\alpha \) so that the set of invariant Dirac structures become parametrized by \( (S^1)^{\dim m} \) as well.
Once we have the algebraic description of the invariant structures we proceed to analyze their integrability both in the twisted and nontwisted cases. We write algebraic equations for the integrability conditions. In solving them we get a classification of the generalized complex structures in the maximal flag manifolds. This is done by writing down the subset $I$ of the parameter space $(S^1)^{\dim m}$ such that the generalized almost complex structure determined by $\mathcal{J}$ is integrable if and only if $\mathcal{J} \in I$. The same method works to get twisted integrability with respect to an invariant closed 3-form $H$.

A previous classification of (nontwisted) integrable structures were provided by Milburn [6] relying on the differential 2-form that defines the a generalized almost complex structure. Our approach on the other hand looks at the root spaces components of the structures in the same spirit as in the classical papers by Borel [1] and Wolf-Gray [11] and [12] (see also [7]) for the description of the invariant complex structures.

As to the contents of the paper in Section 2 we present a brief introduction to generalized complex geometry and flag manifolds, which are the basic concepts used throughout all paper. After this, we obtain a result which allow us to reduce the study of an invariant generalized almost complex structure on a flag manifold $\mathcal{F}$ to the study of 4-dimensional invariant generalized almost complex structures. And, in the end of the section we describe such structures.

Section 3 is dedicated to do a classification of all invariant generalized almost complex structure on a flag manifold, using the results obtained in the previous section. More than that, we classify which one of such structure is integrable or not, by means of the Nijenhuis operator.

In Section 4, we do a study about generalized complex structures twisted by a closed 3-form. We classify the invariant generalized almost complex structures which are $\Omega$-integrable, where $\Omega$ is an invariant closed 3-form.

## 2 Generalized Complex Geometry

In this section we introduce the basic definitions of generalized complex geometry. For more details see Gualtieri [8]. Let $M$ be a smooth $n$-dimensional manifold, then the sum of the tangent and cotangent bundle $TM \oplus T^*M$ is endowed with a natural symmetric bilinear form with signature $(n, n)$ defined by

$$\langle X + \xi, Y + \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\xi(Y) + \eta(X)).$$

Furthermore, the Courant bracket is a skew-symmetric bracket defined on smooth sections of $TM \oplus T^*M$ by

$$[X + \xi, Y + \eta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \mathcal{L}_Y \xi - \frac{1}{2}d(i_X \eta - i_Y \xi).$$

**Definition.** A generalized almost complex structure on $M$ is a map $\mathcal{J} : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$ such that $\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is an isometry of the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The generalized almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ is said to be integrable
to a generalized complex structure when its $i$-eigenbundle $L \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is Courant involutive.

Note that, given $L$ a maximal isotropic sub-bundle of $TM \oplus T^*M$ (or its complexification) then $L$ is Courant involutive if and only if $\text{Nij} |_L = 0$, where $\text{Nij}$ is the Nijenhuis operator:

$$\text{Nij}(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \langle [A, B], C \rangle + \langle [B, C], A \rangle + \langle [C, A], B \rangle \right).$$

The basic examples of generalized complex structures come from the complex and symplectic structures. If $J$ and $\omega$ are complex and symplectic structures respectively on $M$, then

$$J^J = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -J & 0 \\ 0 & J^* \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad J^\omega = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\omega^{-1} \\ \omega & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

are generalized complex structures on $M$.

**Twisted generalized complex structures**

As was developed by Ševera and Weinstein, in [10], the Courant bracket can be ‘twisted’ by a closed 3-form $H$. Given a 3-form $H$, define another bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_H$ on $T \oplus T^*$, by

$$[X + \xi, Y + \eta]_H = [X + \xi, Y + \eta] + i_Y i_X H.$$

Then, defining $\text{Nij}_H$ using the usual formula but replacing $[\cdot, \cdot]$ by $[\cdot, \cdot]_H$, one gets

$$\text{Nij}_H(A, B, C) = \text{Nij}(A, B, C) + H(X, Y, Z),$$

where $A = X + \xi$, $B = Y + \eta$ and $C = Z + \zeta$.

**Definition.** A generalized almost complex structure $J$ is said to be a twisted generalized complex structure with respect to the closed 3-form $H$ (or just $H$-integrable) when its $i$-eigenbundle $L$ is involutive with respect to the $H$-twisted Courant bracket.

Analogously to the untwisted case, one can prove that $L$ is involutive with respect to the $H$-twisted Courant bracket if and only if $\text{Nij}_H |_L = 0$.

**2.1 Flag manifolds**

Let $G$ be a complex semi-simple Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Given a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ denote by $\Pi$ the set of roots of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, so that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha},$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \forall H \in \mathfrak{h}, [H, X] = \alpha(H)X\}$ denotes the corresponding one-dimensional root space. The Cartan–Killing form $(X, Y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}(X) \text{ad}(Y))$ of
\(g\) is nondegenerate on \(\mathfrak{h}\). Given \(\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*\) we let \(H_\alpha\) be defined by \(\alpha(\cdot) = \langle H_\alpha, \cdot \rangle\), and denote by \(\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{g}\) the subspace spanned over \(\mathbb{R}\) by \(H_\alpha, \alpha \in \Pi\).

We fix a Weyl basis of \(g\) which amounts to giving \(X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha\) such that \(\langle X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha} \rangle = 1, \) and \([X_\alpha, X_\beta] = m_{\alpha, \beta} X_{\alpha+\beta}\) with \(m_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{R}, m_{-\alpha, -\beta} = -m_{\alpha, \beta}\) and \(m_{\alpha, \alpha} = 0\) if \(\alpha + \beta\) is not a root.

Let \(\Pi^+ \subset \Pi\) be a choice of positive roots, denote by \(\Sigma\) the corresponding simple system of roots and put \(\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha\) for the Borel subalgebra generated by \(\Pi^+\). Denote by \(n^- = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}\) and \(n^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha\). Thus a maximal (complex) flag manifold is \(F = G/P\) where \(G\) is a complex semi-simple Lie group with Lie algebra \(g\) and \(P\) is the normalizer of \(\mathfrak{p}\) in \(G\). Then \(n^-\) identifies to the tangent space \(T_{b_0}F\) at the origin \(b_0\) of \(F\) and \(n^+\) to the cotangent space \(T^*_{b_0}F\) by means of the Cartan–Killing form.

Let \(u\) be a compact real form of \(g\), to know, the real subalgebra

\[
u = \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{i\mathfrak{h}, A_\alpha, S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Pi^+\}
\]

where \(A_\alpha = X_\alpha - X_{-\alpha}\) and \(S_\alpha = i(X_\alpha + X_{-\alpha})\). Denote by \(U = \exp \nu\) the correspondent compact real form of \(G\). Then we can write \(F = U/T\) where \(T = P \cap U\) is a maximal torus of \(U\).

We can also identify \(F = \text{Ad}(U)H\) where \(H\) is a regular element of \(t = \mathcal{L}(T)\). In this case, we are identifying the origin \(b_0\) of \(F\) with \(H\). The tangent space of \(F\) at the origin is \(\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi^+} \mathfrak{u}_\alpha\), where \(\mathfrak{u}_\alpha = (g_{-\alpha} \oplus g_\alpha) \cap \nu = \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{A_\alpha, S_\alpha\}\).

We have several ways to relate a Lie algebra to its dual. Here, we are going to use the symplectic form of Kostant–Kirillov–Souriau (KKS), which is defined on a coadjoint orbit \(G / \alpha\), with \(\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*\), by

\[
\Omega_\alpha \left(\tilde{X}(\alpha), \tilde{Y}(\alpha)\right) = \alpha[X, Y]
\]

with \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}\). In our case, we can identify the adjoint and coadjoint orbits. In this case, we have that

\[
\Omega_{b_0}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \langle H, [X, Y] \rangle
\]

with \(X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}\).

We have \(\mathfrak{u} \approx \mathfrak{u}^*\), more than that we have \(\mathfrak{u}_\alpha \approx \mathfrak{u}^*_\alpha\). The elements of \(\mathfrak{u}^*_\alpha\) will be denoted by \(A^*_\alpha \in S^*_\alpha\). Such isomorphism is given from the KKS symplectic form, where we denote

\[
X^* = \Theta_X(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\langle H, H_\alpha \rangle} \Omega_{b_0}(\tilde{X}, \cdot)
\]

for \(X^* \in \mathfrak{u}^*_\alpha\). For convenience, we will write \(k_\alpha = \frac{1}{\langle H, H_\alpha \rangle}\).

### 2.2 Invariant generalized almost complex structures

When \(M\) is a flag manifold one can consider invariant generalized almost complex structures. A \(U\)-invariant generalized almost complex structure \(J_\alpha\) is completely determined by its value \(J : n^- \oplus (n^-)^* \rightarrow n^- \oplus (n^-)^*\) in the sum of the
tangent and cotangent space at the origin. The map $\mathcal{J}$ satisfies $\mathcal{J}^2 = -1$, $\mathcal{J}$ is orthogonal to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and commute with the adjoint action of $T$ on $n^- \oplus (n^-)^*$.

We know that a generalized almost complex structure is related to an isotropic subspace. So we are interested in invariant isotropic subspaces on $n^- \oplus (n^-)^* \approx n^- \oplus n^+$. In this case, the natural bilinear form is just the Cartan–Killing form.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $L$ be an invariant subspace of $n^- \oplus n^+$. Then $$L = \sum_{\alpha > 0} L \cap (\mathfrak{g}_-^\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_\alpha).$$

**Proof.** A maximal flag manifold $\mathbb{F} = U/T$ is a reductive space, then we can look the isotropy representation as being the adjoint representation restrict to $T$, that is, given by $\text{Ad} \mid_T : T \to \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{u})$.

Let $L \subset n^- \oplus n^+$ be an invariant subspace for the isotropy representation, which is identified to $\text{Ad} \oplus \text{Ad}^*$ in $n^- \oplus n^+$. Since $T$ is a torus, every $g \in T$ is written as $g = e^{iH}$ where $H \in \mathfrak{t} = \mathcal{L}(T)$. Let $\alpha$ be a positive root and let $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, then we have $$\text{Ad}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^\alpha)(X_\alpha + X_\alpha) = \text{Ad}(e^{iH})X_\alpha + \text{Ad}(e^{-iH})X_\alpha$$

because $\text{Ad}^*(g) = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})$. Now using the fact that $\text{Ad}(e^Y) = e^{\text{ad}(Y)}$ follows

$$(\text{Ad} \oplus \text{Ad}^*) (g)(X_\alpha + X_\alpha) = e^{i\text{ad}(H)}X_\alpha + e^{-i\text{ad}(H)}X_\alpha$$

$$= e^{-i\alpha(H)}X_\alpha + e^{-i\alpha(H)}X_\alpha$$

$$= e^{-i\alpha(H)}(X_\alpha + X_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$ Since $L$ is invariant, follows that $(\text{Ad} \oplus \text{Ad}^*)(g)(X_\alpha + X_\alpha) \in L$. Therefore $(\text{Ad} \oplus \text{Ad}^*)(g)(X_\alpha + X_\alpha) \in L \cap (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$. Thus, given $X = \sum_{\alpha > 0} (X_\alpha + X_\alpha) \in L$ by linearity we have that $X \in L \cap (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$. \hfill $\Box$

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $L = \sum_{\alpha > 0} L \cap (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$. Then $L$ is isotropic if and only if, for each $\alpha$, $$L_\alpha = L \cap (\mathfrak{g}_-^\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$$

is an isotropic subspace.

**Proof.** If $L$ is isotropic, then we have $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$ for all $X, Y \in L$. In particular, if $X, Y \in L_\alpha$ then $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$. Therefore $L_\alpha$ is isotropic (for each $\alpha$).

On the other hand, suppose that $L_\alpha$ is isotropic for each $\alpha$, then $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$ for all $X, Y \in L_\alpha$. Now, when $\alpha \neq \beta$ we have $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$ for all $X \in L_\alpha$ and $Y \in L_\beta$, because $\langle \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta \rangle = 0$ unless $\beta = -\alpha$. Therefore $L$ is isotropic, as required. \hfill $\Box$

Observe that $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is a 2-dimensional complex subspace or a 4-dimensional real subspace. The Cartan–Killing form restricted to $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is a symmetric
bilinear form with signature 2 (over $\mathbb{R}$) with matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
for some basis. Moreover, note that if $L$ is maximal isotropic on $n^- \oplus n^+$, then each subspace $L_\alpha$ is maximal isotropic on $g_{-\alpha} \oplus g_\alpha$, which means $\dim \mathbb{R} L_\alpha = 2$.

In this way, we are motivated to do a detailed description of the 2-dimensional isotropic subspaces on $g_{-\alpha} \oplus g_\alpha$. Actually, we are going to describe all invariant generalized almost complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^4$. 

**Invariant 4-dimensional generalized almost complex structure**

Let $Q$ be a bilinear form with matrix
\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
0_{2 \times 2} & 1_{2 \times 2} \\
1_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We are interested in describing the structures $J$ such that

1. $J$ is a complex structure: $J^2 = -1$;
2. $J$ is an isometry of $Q$, that is, $Q(Jx, Jy) = Q(x, y)$, that is, $J^TBJ = B$, which is equivalent to $J^T B = -BJ$.

If $J$ satisfies $J^T B = -BJ$, we have
\[
J = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha & \beta \\
\gamma & -\alpha^T
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where $\beta + \beta^T = \gamma + \gamma^T = 0$. Thus
\[
J^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha^2 + \beta \gamma & \alpha \beta - \beta \alpha^T \\
\gamma \alpha - \alpha^T \gamma & \gamma \beta + (\alpha^2)^T
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and if we ask that $J^2 = -1$ then $0 = \alpha \beta - \beta \alpha^T = \alpha \beta + (\alpha \beta)^T$, that is, $\alpha \beta$ is a skew-symmetric matrix. Writing
\[
\alpha = \begin{pmatrix}
a \\
c
\end{pmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix}
b & -x \\
x & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]
we have
\[
\alpha \beta = \begin{pmatrix}
b \alpha \\
d \alpha \\
d \alpha
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Therefore, if $\alpha \beta$ is skew-symmetric and $\beta \neq 0$, then $\alpha$ a scalar matrix, i.e., $\alpha$ is a diagonal matrix with $a = d \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly using the expression $\gamma \alpha - \alpha^T \gamma = 0$ we have the same conclusion with $\gamma$ instead $\beta$. Reciprocally, if $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are
skew-symmetric matrices and \( \alpha \) is a scalar matrix, then \( \alpha \beta \) and \( \gamma \alpha \) are skew-symmetric matrices.

The last statement ensures that \( \beta = 0 \) if and only if \( \gamma = 0 \). In fact, if \( \beta = 0 \) and \( \gamma \neq 0 \), then in the diagonal of \( J^2 \) appears that \( \alpha^2 \) with \( \alpha \) a real scalar matrix and it becomes impossible to have \( J^2 = -1 \).

Now, if \( \beta = \gamma = 0 \) the only possibility is \( \alpha^2 = -1 \). Thereby, the complex structures that are isometries of \( Q \) are given by:

1. Diagonal:
   \[
   J = \begin{pmatrix}
   \alpha & 0 \\
   0 & -\alpha^T
   \end{pmatrix}
   \]
   where \( \alpha^2 = -1 \);

2. Non-diagonal:
   \[
   J = \begin{pmatrix}
   a \cdot \text{id} & \beta \\
   \gamma & -a \cdot \text{id}
   \end{pmatrix}
   \]
   with
   \[
   \beta = \begin{pmatrix}
   0 & -x \\
   x & 0
   \end{pmatrix}
   \]
   and
   \[
   \gamma = \begin{pmatrix}
   0 & -y \\
   y & 0
   \end{pmatrix}
   \]
   where \( \alpha^2 + \beta \gamma = -1 \), that is, \( a^2 - xy = -1 \).

However, we are looking for the complex structures which are invariant. In our case, we need \( J \) to be invariant by the torus action. Let \( T \) be the group of diagonal matrices in blocks

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix}
rt & 0 \\
0 & rt
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with

\[
rt = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos t & -\sin t \\
\sin t & \cos t
\end{pmatrix}
\]

the rotation matrix. A complex structure is \( T \)-invariant if \( kJk^{-1} = J \) for all \( k \in T \). Let \( J \) be an isometry of \( Q \) like before. Then

\[
kJk^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
rt \alpha r_t^{-1} & rt \beta r_t^{-1} \\
rt \gamma r_t^{-1} & -rt \alpha^T r_t^{-1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha r_t^{-1} & \beta \\
\gamma & -r_t \alpha^T r_t^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

because \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are skew-symmetric and therefore commutes with the rotations.

Now, \( \alpha \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix that commutes with the rotations if

\[
\alpha = \begin{pmatrix}
a & -b \\
b & a
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

If furthermore \( \alpha^2 = -1 \) then \( a = 0 \) and \( b = \pm 1 \), so

\[
\alpha = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence, the complex structures that are isometries of \( Q \) and invariants by \( T \) are given by
1. Diagonal: $J = \pm J_0$ where

$$J_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. Non-diagonal:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} a \cdot \text{id} & \beta \\ \gamma & -a \cdot \text{id} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -y \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $xy \neq 0$ and $a^2 - xy = -1$ (all structures of this type are $T$-invariant, because $\beta$ and $\gamma$ commute with the rotations and $\alpha$ is a scalar matrix).

3 Generalized Complex Structures on $\mathbb{F}$

In the previous section we have seen that a generalized almost complex structure on a flag manifold $\mathbb{F}$ can be described by a Dirac structure, more specifically, by its $i$-eigenspace $L$ at the origin. More than that, we can reduce the study of these structures to the restriction to $u_\alpha \oplus u_\alpha^*$, for each root $\alpha$, which reduces the study to 4-dimensional generalized almost complex structures. In this section, we will see which of these structures are integrable.

3.1 The Courant bracket and Nijenhuis operator

The Courant bracket is defined by

$$[X + \xi, Y + \eta] = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \mathcal{L}_Y \xi - \frac{1}{2} d(i_X \eta - i_Y \xi).$$

Remembering our notation which was fixed in the section 2.1, the isomorphism between $u_\alpha$ and its dual $u_\alpha^*$ was given by

$$X^* = k_\alpha \Omega_{\nu_0}(\tilde{X}, \cdot),$$

for each $X^* \in u_\alpha^*$, where $\Omega$ is the KKS symplectic form and $k_\alpha = \frac{1}{\langle H : H_\alpha \rangle}$. In order to describe the Courant bracket, observe that for $X \in u$ and $Y \in u_\alpha$,

$$\mathcal{L}_X Y^* = di_X Y^* + i_X dY^*$$

$$= di_X \Theta_Y + i_X d\Theta_Y$$

$$= di_X \Theta_Y$$
because $\Omega_{b_0}$ is the height function. Thus, given $Z \in u$ we have

$$d(i_X \Theta_Y)Z = Z \left( k_\alpha \Omega_{b_0}(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}) \right)$$

$$= k_\alpha \Omega_{b_0}(\bar{[Z, Y]}, \bar{X}) + k_\alpha \Omega_{b_0}(\bar{Y}, [\bar{Z}, \bar{X}])$$

$$= k_\alpha \left( \langle H, [[Z, Y], X] \rangle + \langle H, [Y, [Z, X]] \rangle \right)$$

$$= k_\alpha \langle H, [Z, [Y, X]] \rangle.$$  

Thereby, given $Y \in u_\alpha$ and $W \in u_\beta$, the Courant bracket in $u \oplus u^*$ is

$$[X + Y^*, Z + W^*] = [X, Z] + \mathcal{L}_X W^* - \mathcal{L}_Z Y^* - \frac{1}{2} d(i_X W^* - i_Z Y^*)$$

$$= [X, Z] + d(i_X \Theta_W) - d(i_Z \Theta_Y) - \frac{1}{2} d(i_X \Theta_W - i_Z \Theta_Y)$$

$$= [X, Z] + \frac{1}{2} d(i_X \Theta_W) - \frac{1}{2} d(i_Z \Theta_Y)$$

$$= [X, Z] + \frac{1}{2} k_\beta \langle H, [, [W, X]] \rangle - \frac{1}{2} k_\alpha \langle H, [, [Y, Z]] \rangle.$$

One natural question is when a generalized almost complex structure is a generalized complex structure. One way to check this is verifying when the Nijenhuis operator is zero, where the Nijenhuis operator is defined by

$$\text{Nij}(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \langle [A, B], C \rangle + \langle [B, C], A \rangle + \langle [C, A], B \rangle \right).$$

Using the expression for the Courant bracket obtained above, for $A = A_1 + A_2^*$, $B = B_1 + B_2^*$ and $C = C_1 + C_2^*$, where $A_2^* \in u_\alpha^*$, $B_2^* \in u_\beta^*$ and $C_2^* \in u_\gamma^*$, we calculate each part of the expression of Nij:

$$\langle [A, B], C \rangle = \langle [A_1, B_1] + \frac{1}{2} k_\beta \langle H, [, [B_2, A_1]] \rangle - \frac{1}{2} k_\alpha \langle H, [, [A_2, B_1]] \rangle, C_1 + C_2^* \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} k_\gamma \langle H, [C_2, [A_1, B_1]] \rangle + \frac{1}{4} k_\beta \langle H, [C_1, [B_2, A_1]] \rangle - \frac{1}{4} k_\alpha \langle H, [C_1, [A_2, B_1]] \rangle.$$

Analogously,

$$\langle [B, C], A \rangle = \frac{1}{2} k_\alpha \langle H, [A_2, [B_1, C_1]] \rangle + \frac{1}{4} k_\gamma \langle H, [A_1, [C_2, B_1]] \rangle$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} k_\beta \langle H, [A_1, [B_2, C_1]] \rangle$$

and

$$\langle [C, A], B \rangle = \frac{1}{2} k_\beta \langle H, [B_2, [C_1, A_1]] \rangle + \frac{1}{4} k_\alpha \langle H, [B_1, [A_2, C_1]] \rangle$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} k_\gamma \langle H, [B_1, [C_2, A_1]] \rangle.$$
Then, putting everything together:

\[
Nij(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{6} (k_\gamma \langle H, [C_2, [A_1, B_1]] \rangle + k_\alpha \langle H, [A_2, [B_1, C_1]] \rangle \\
+ k_\beta \langle H, [B_2, [C_1, A_1]] \rangle) + \frac{1}{12} (k_\beta \langle H, [C_1, [B_2, A_1]] \rangle \\
+ k_\gamma \langle H, [A_1, [C_2, B_1]] \rangle + k_\alpha \langle H, [B_1, [A_2, C_1]] \rangle) \\
- \frac{1}{12} (k_\alpha \langle H, [C_1, [A_2, B_1]] \rangle + k_\beta \langle H, [A_1, [B_2, C_1]] \rangle \\
+ k_\gamma \langle H, [B_1, [C_2, A_1]] \rangle).
\]

Reorganizing the terms and using the Jacobi identity, we have that

\[
Nij(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{12} (k_\gamma \langle H, [C_2, [A_1, B_1]] \rangle + k_\alpha \langle H, [A_2, [B_1, C_1]] \rangle \\
+ k_\beta \langle H, [B_2, [C_1, A_1]] \rangle).
\] (1)

**Remark.** Note that if \(A_1 = A_2, B_1 = B_2\) and \(C_1 = C_2\), by the Jacobi identity we have \(Nij(A, B, C) = 0\) whenever \(k_\alpha = k_\beta = k_\gamma\).

Moreover, by the expression (1) we have some immediate results.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let \(A, B, C \in u\). Then

\[Nij(A, B, C) = 0.\]

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \(A, B \in u^*\). Then

\[Nij(A, B, C) = 0.\]

for all \(C \in u \oplus u^*\).

### 3.2 Integrability

We have already described all invariant generalized almost complex structures in dimension 4. In this way, for each \(\alpha \in \Pi\), consider \(B = \{A_\alpha, S_\alpha, -S_\alpha^*, A_\alpha^*\}\) basis of \(u_\alpha \oplus u_\alpha^*\). Note that, with this basis the matrix of the bilinear form \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) is

\[
Q = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then the only invariant generalized almost complex structures on \(u_\alpha \oplus u_\alpha^*\) are

\[
J = \pm J_0 = \pm \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

or

\[
J = \begin{pmatrix}
a_\alpha & 0 & 0 & -x_\alpha \\
0 & a_\alpha & x_\alpha & 0 \\
0 & -y_\alpha & -a_\alpha & 0 \\
y_\alpha & 0 & 0 & -a_\alpha
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \(x_\alpha y_\alpha \neq 0\) and \(a_\alpha^2 - x_\alpha y_\alpha = -1\), with \(a_\alpha, x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\).

Thus, we fix the following notation:
a) \( J = \pm J_0 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). In this case, we will say that \( J \) is of complex type.

b) \( J = \begin{pmatrix} a_\alpha & 0 & 0 & -x_\alpha \\ 0 & a_\alpha & x_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -y_\alpha & -a_\alpha & 0 \\ y_\alpha & 0 & 0 & -a_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \), where \( x_\alpha y_\alpha \neq 0 \) and \( a_\alpha^2 - x_\alpha y_\alpha = -1 \), with \( a_\alpha, x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). In this case, we will say that \( J \) is of non-complex type.

**Remark.** The nomenclature used above is due to the fact that if \( J \) is of complex type, then \( J \) is a generalized almost complex structure coming from an almost complex structure, that is,

\[ J = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -J_0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_0^* \end{array} \right) \]

where \( J_0 \) is an almost complex structure. To know, the almost complex structure is \( J_0 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

In this moment we are interested to study when they are integrable, that is, when a generalized almost complex structure is, in fact, a generalized complex structure. To do this, given \( J \) a generalized almost complex structure, we are going to analyze the Nijenhuis operator restricted to the \( i \)-eigenspace of \( J \).

With some simple calculations we can see the following facts.

a) If \( J \) is of complex type its \( i \)-eigenspace is

\[ L = \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ A_\alpha - iS_\alpha, A_\alpha^* - iS_\alpha^* \} \]

or

\[ L = \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ A_\alpha + iS_\alpha, A_\alpha^* + iS_\alpha^* \} \]

depending on whether we have \( J = J_0 \) or \( J = -J_0 \), respectively.

b) If \( J \) is of non-complex type its \( i \)-eigenspace is

\[ L = \text{span}_\mathbb{C} \{ x_\alpha A_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)A_\alpha^*, x_\alpha S_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)S_\alpha^* \} \].

As we will do calculations involving elements \( A_\alpha \) and \( S_\alpha \), with \( \alpha \) being a root, worth remembering the following:

**Lemma 3.3.** The Lie bracket between the basic elements of \( u \) are given by:
\[ [iH, A_\beta] = \beta(H) S_\beta \]
\[ [iH, S_\beta] = -\beta(H) A_\beta \]
\[ [A_\alpha, S_\alpha] = 2iH_\alpha \]
\[ [A_\alpha, A_\beta] = m_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha + \beta} + m_{-\alpha, -\beta} A_{\alpha - \beta} \]
\[ [S_\alpha, S_\beta] = -m_{\alpha, \beta} A_{\alpha + \beta} - m_{-\alpha, -\beta} A_{\alpha - \beta} \]
\[ [A_\alpha, S_\beta] = m_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha + \beta} + m_{-\alpha, -\beta} S_{\alpha - \beta} \]

Now, in search of integrable structures we will consider the restriction of the Nijenhuis operator to the subspace spanned by \( \{ A_\alpha, S_\alpha, A^*_\alpha, S^*_\alpha \} \).

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( \alpha \) be a root. The Nijenhuis operator restricted to \( u_\alpha \oplus u^*_\alpha \) is identically zero, where \( u_\alpha = \text{span}_C \{ A_\alpha, S_\alpha \} \) and \( u^*_\alpha = \text{span}_C \{ A^*_\alpha, S^*_\alpha \} \).

**Proof.** By corollary 3.2 we just need to analyse two cases:

1. \( \text{Nij}(A_\alpha, S_\alpha, A^*_\beta) \)

\[
\text{Nij}(A_\alpha, S_\alpha, A^*_\alpha) = \frac{1}{12} k_\alpha (H, [A_\alpha, [A_\alpha, S_\alpha]])
= \frac{1}{12} k_\alpha \langle H, [A_\alpha, 2iH_\alpha] \rangle
= -\frac{i}{6} k_\alpha \langle H, \alpha(H)S_\alpha \rangle
= 0, \text{ because } H \text{ is orthogonal to } S_\alpha.
\]

2. \( \text{Nij}(A_\alpha, S_\alpha, S^*_\alpha) \)

\[
\text{Nij}(A_\alpha, S_\alpha, S^*_\alpha) = \frac{1}{12} k_\alpha (H, [S_\alpha, [A_\alpha, S_\alpha]])
= \frac{1}{12} k_\alpha \langle H, [A_\alpha, 2iH_\alpha] \rangle
= -\frac{i}{6} k_\alpha \langle H, \alpha(H)A_\alpha \rangle
= 0, \text{ because } H \text{ is orthogonal to } A_\alpha.
\]

Proving that \( \text{Nij}_{|u_\alpha \oplus u^*_\alpha} = 0 \).

This way, we are going to restrict the Nijenhuis operator to the subspace spanned by \( A_\alpha, S_\alpha, A^*_\alpha, A_\beta, S_\beta, A^*_\beta \in S^*_\beta \), where \( \alpha, \beta \in \Pi \). Due to the propositions 3.1 and 3.2 we just have 10 cases to check. Doing the calculations exactly equal we have done in the last proposition, we have that:

\[
\text{Nij}(A_\alpha, S_\alpha, A^*_\beta) = \text{Nij}(A_\alpha, S_\alpha, S^*_\beta) = \text{Nij}(A_\alpha, A^*_\alpha, A_\beta) = \text{Nij}(A_\alpha, A^*_\alpha, S_\beta) = \text{Nij}(A_\alpha, A^*_\beta, A_\alpha) = \text{Nij}(A_\alpha, A^*_\beta, S_\alpha) = \text{Nij}(S_\alpha, S^*_\alpha, A_\beta) = \text{Nij}(S_\alpha, S^*_\alpha, S_\beta) = 0.
\]

From these calculations we can conclude that the Nijenhuis operator restricted to the subspace spanned by two roots is zero.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be roots. The Nijenhuis operator restricted to \( u_\alpha \oplus u_\beta \oplus u^*_\alpha \oplus u^*_\beta \) is zero.
It remains to see the case in which we have three roots $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$. We can split this in two cases: $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \neq 0$. But, with similar calculations, we have that if $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ then $Nij$ is zero, because the only case in which $\langle H, X \rangle \neq 0$ is that $X \in i\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ and this happens just when we have the bracket between $A_\lambda$ and $S_\lambda$, for some root $\lambda$.

Therefore we need to do the calculations with three roots $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be roots such that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root as well. After some calculations, we have

$$Nij(A_\alpha, S_\beta, A_\alpha^* + \alpha) = -Nij(A_\alpha, A_\beta, S_\alpha + \beta) = Nij(S_\alpha, A_\beta, S_\alpha^* + \beta)$$

$$= Nij(S_\alpha, A_\beta, A_\alpha^* + \beta) = \frac{i}{6} m_{\alpha, \beta}$$

$$Nij(A_\alpha, S_\beta^*, A_{\alpha+\beta}) = -Nij(A_\alpha, A_\beta^*, S_\alpha + \beta) = Nij(S_\alpha, S_\beta^*, S_\alpha + \beta)$$

$$= Nij(S_\alpha, A_\beta^*, A_{\alpha+\beta}) = -\frac{i}{6} m_{\beta-(\alpha+\beta), \alpha}$$

$$Nij(A_\alpha^*, S_\beta, A_{\alpha+\beta}) = -Nij(A_\alpha^*, A_\beta, S_{\alpha+\beta}) = Nij(S_\alpha^*, S_\beta, S_{\alpha+\beta})$$

$$= Nij(S_\alpha^*, A_\beta, A_{\alpha+\beta}) = -\frac{i}{6} m_{\beta-(\alpha+\beta)}$$

and the other cases are all zero. For these calculations we recall that $m_{\alpha, \beta} = m_{\beta, \gamma} = m_{\gamma, \alpha}$ when $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$.

Now we will consider an invariant generalized almost complex structure $J$, and we know that for each root $\alpha$ the restriction of $J$ to $u_\alpha \oplus u_\alpha^*$ is of complex or non-complex type, this implies that the $i$-eigenspace of $J$ restrict to this subspace is $L_\alpha = \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{A_\alpha \pm iS_\alpha, A_\alpha^* \pm iS_\alpha^*\}$ or $L_\alpha = \text{span}_\mathbb{C}\{x_\alpha A_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)A_\alpha^*, x_\alpha S_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)S_\alpha^*\}$. So we need to analyze the Nijenhuis operator restricted to the $i$-eigenspace of $J$ for each triple of roots $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\alpha + \beta$.

First of all let us fix some notation. Let $J$ be an invariant generalized almost complex structure and let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be roots such that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root too. We will denote by $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ the restriction of $J$ to the roots $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\alpha + \beta$ respectively. And we will denote by $L_\alpha$, $L_\beta$ and $L_{\alpha+\beta}$ the $i$-eigenspace of $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ respectively.

**Proposition 3.6.** Given $J$ a generalized almost complex structure. Suppose that $J_\alpha$ and $J_\beta$ are of complex type both with the same sign, that is, both equal to $J_0$ or $-J_0$, and suppose $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ of non-complex type. Then the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta}$ is nonzero.

**Proof.** It follows from a direct calculation:

a) If $J_\alpha$ and $J_\beta$ are equal $J_0$:

$$Nij(A_\alpha - iS_\alpha, A_\beta^* - iS_\beta^*, x_{\alpha+\beta}A_{\alpha+\beta} + (a_{\alpha+\beta} - i)A_{\alpha+\beta}^*)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3} x_{\alpha+\beta} m_{-(\alpha+\beta), \alpha}.$$
b) If $J_\alpha$ and $J_\beta$ are equal $-J_0$:

$$\text{Nij}(A_\alpha + iS_\alpha, A^*_\beta + iS^*_\beta, x_{\alpha+\beta}A_{\alpha+\beta} + (a_{\alpha+\beta} - i)A^*_{\alpha+\beta})$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}x_{\alpha+\beta}m_{-(\alpha+\beta),\alpha}.$$

We can prove a similar result as follows.

**Proposition 3.7.** Given $\mathcal{J}$ a generalized almost complex structure. Suppose that two of $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ are of non-complex type and the other one of complex type, then the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta}$ is nonzero.

**Proof.** Again, we will do the calculations case by case:

a) If $J_\alpha$ and $J_\beta$ are of non-complex type, then $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ is of complex type. For $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ we can have $J_0$ and $-J_0$. Then, we have

$$\text{Nij}(x_\alpha A_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)A^*_\alpha, x_\beta A_\beta + (a_\beta - i)A^*_\beta, A^*_{\alpha+\beta} - iS^*_\alpha, A^*_{\alpha+\beta} - iS^*_\alpha)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{6}x_\alpha x_\beta m_{\alpha,\beta}$$

when $J_{\alpha+\beta} = J_0$ and

$$\text{Nij}(x_\alpha A_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)A^*_\alpha, x_\beta A_\beta + (a_\beta - i)A^*_\beta, A_{\alpha+\beta}, A^*_{\alpha+\beta} + iS^*_\alpha)$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}x_\alpha x_\beta m_{\alpha,\beta}$$

when $J_{\alpha+\beta} = -J_0$.

b) If $J_\alpha$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ is of non-complex type and $J_\beta$ is of complex type. Over again, we can have $J_\beta$ equals to $J_0$ or $-J_0$. This way, we have

$$\text{Nij}(x_\alpha A_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)A^*_\alpha, A^*_\beta, x_{\alpha+\beta}A_{\alpha+\beta} + (a_{\alpha+\beta} - i)A^*_{\alpha+\beta})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{6}x_\alpha x_{\alpha+\beta}m_{-(\alpha+\beta),\alpha}$$

when $J_\beta = J_0$ and

$$\text{Nij}(x_\alpha A_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)A^*_\alpha, A^*_\beta, x_{\alpha+\beta}A_{\alpha+\beta} + (a_{\alpha+\beta} - i)A^*_{\alpha+\beta})$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}x_\alpha x_{\alpha+\beta}m_{-(\alpha+\beta),\alpha}$$

when $J_\beta = -J_0$. 

\qed
Remark. Observe that the case where $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ is of non-complex type and $J_\alpha$ is of complex one, is exactly the same case of the item (b), because we can see $\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$.

**Proposition 3.8.** Given $\mathcal{J}$ a generalized almost complex structure. Suppose that $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ are of complex type with different signs, that is, if $J_\beta = J_0$ then $J_{\alpha+\beta} = -J_0$ or vice versa. Then the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta}$ is nonzero.

**Proof.** We have two cases to analyze. First one, suppose $J_\beta = J_0$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta} = -J_0$. In this case, we have

$$\text{Nij}(x_\alpha A_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)A^*_\alpha, A_\beta - iS_\beta, A^*_\alpha + iS^*_\alpha + iS^*_\alpha) = \frac{1}{3} x_\alpha m_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

For the second case, suppose $J_\beta = -J_0$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta} = J_0$. Then

$$\text{Nij}(x_\alpha A_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)A^*_\alpha, A_\beta + iS_\beta, A^*_\alpha + iS^*_\alpha - iS^*_\alpha) = -\frac{1}{3} x_\alpha m_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Note that, this proposition shows that when $J_\alpha$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ are of complex type with different signs and $J_\beta$ is of non-complex type, then the Nijenhuis operator is nonzero, for the same argument used in the last remark.

Observe that, if $J_\alpha$ is of complex type with $J_\alpha = J_0$, then we have $J_\alpha(A_\alpha) = -S_\alpha$ and $J_\alpha(S_\alpha) = A_\alpha$. It follows that $J_\alpha(X_\alpha) = -iX_\alpha$ and $J_\alpha = iX_{-\alpha}$, which means that $\varepsilon_\alpha = -1$. Analogously, if $J_\alpha = -J_0$ then $\varepsilon_\alpha = 1$.

In Gualtieri [3] it is proved that given a generalized almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ which comes from an almost complex structure $\mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{J}$ is integrable if and only if $J$ is integrable.

**Theorem 3.9.** With the above hypotheses, in the cases which

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
J_\alpha & J_\beta & J_{\alpha+\beta} \\
J_0 & J_0 & J_0 \\
J_0 & -J_0 & J_0 \\
-J_0 & J_0 & -J_0 \\
-J_0 & -J_0 & -J_0
\end{array}
\]

the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta}$ is zero.

**Remark.** A consequence of this is that in case $J_\alpha = J_\beta = \pm J_0$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta} = \mp J_0$ then the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L$ is nonzero.

**Theorem 3.10.** Given $\mathcal{J}$ a generalized almost complex structure. Suppose that $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type and $J_\beta$, $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ are of complex type both with the same sign. Then the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta}$ is zero. The same is true if $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ are of complex type with different signs and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ is of non-complex type.
Note that, the expression (2) is equivalent to restricted to $L_J$ that allows us to state the following:

$$\gamma$$

where, for each $x$ with the basis $L$, the base change matrix from $B$ to $J$ is of non-complex type. Calculating the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta}$, we obtain that $\text{Nij} |_L = 0$ if and only if

$$x_\alpha x_\beta (a_{\alpha+\beta} - i) - x_\alpha x_\alpha (a_\beta - i) - x_\beta x_\alpha (a_\alpha - i) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Note that, the expression (2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} a_{\alpha+\beta} x_\alpha x_\beta - a_\beta x_\alpha x_\alpha - a_\alpha x_\beta x_\alpha &= 0 \\ x_\alpha x_\beta - x_\alpha x_\alpha - x_\beta x_\alpha &= 0 \end{cases}$$

which allows us to state the following:

**Theorem 3.11.** Given $\mathcal{J}$ a generalized almost complex structure. Suppose that $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ are of non-complex type. Then the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta}$ is zero if and only if

$$\begin{cases} a_{\alpha+\beta} x_\alpha x_\beta - a_\beta x_\alpha x_\alpha - a_\alpha x_\beta x_\alpha &= 0 \\ x_\alpha x_\beta - x_\alpha x_\alpha - x_\beta x_\alpha &= 0 \end{cases}$$

where, for each $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$, we have $a_\gamma^2 = x_\gamma y_\gamma - 1$.

Before continuing, for any root $\alpha$ we are considering the basis $B = \{A_\alpha, S_\alpha, -S^*_\alpha, A^*_\alpha\}$ for $u_\alpha \oplus u^*_\alpha$. Observe that $B' = \{A_{-\alpha}, S_{-\alpha}, -S^*_{-\alpha}, A^*_{-\alpha}\}$ is also a basis for $u_\alpha \oplus u^*_\alpha$. The base change matrix from $B$ to $B'$ is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1}.$$

Thereby, if $J_\alpha$ is of complex type, with $J_\alpha = J_0$ in the basis $B$, changing for the basis $B'$ we obtain $-J_0$. And, if $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type in the basis $B$, with $x_\alpha$, $y_\alpha$ and $a_\alpha$ satisfying $a_\alpha^2 = x_\alpha y_\alpha - 1$, then changing for the basis $B'$ we obtain another matrix of non-complex type

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{-\alpha} & 0 & 0 & -x_{-\alpha} \\ 0 & a_{-\alpha} & x_{-\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & -y_{-\alpha} & -a_{-\alpha} & 0 \\ y_{-\alpha} & 0 & 0 & -a_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x_{-\alpha} = -x_\alpha$, $y_{-\alpha} = -y_\alpha$ and $a_{-\alpha} = a_\alpha$.

Summarizing, from what was done above, the change of basis from $B$ to $B'$ doesn’t change the type of the structure. But, change some signs, more specifically, if is of complex type then change from $J_0$ to $-J_0$ and if is of non-complex type change the signs of $x_\alpha$ and $y_\alpha$. 
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Proposition 3.12. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized complex structure. Then the set

$$P = \{ \alpha \in \Pi \mid J_\alpha \text{ is of complex type with } J_\alpha = J_0 \} \cup \{ \alpha \in \Pi \mid J_\alpha \text{ is of non-complex type with } x_\alpha > 0 \}$$

is a choice of positive roots with respect to some lexicographic order in $\mathfrak{h}_R^*$. 

Proof. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is integrable, let’s prove that $P$ is a closed set. For this, we need to prove that given $\alpha, \beta \in P$ such that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, then $\alpha + \beta \in P$. Thus, let $\alpha, \beta \in P$ such that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root. We need to analyze the possibilities:

- If $J_\alpha$ and $J_\beta$ are of complex type with $J_\alpha = J_\beta = J_0$, then the only possibility is that $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ is of complex type with $J_{\alpha+\beta} = J_0$. Therefore $\alpha + \beta \in P$;

- If $J_\alpha$ is of complex type with $J_\alpha = J_0$ and $J_\beta$ is of non-complex type with $x_\beta > 0$, then the only possibility is that $J_\alpha$ is of complex type with $J_{\alpha+\beta} = J_0$. Therefore $\alpha + \beta \in P$;

- If $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type with $x_\alpha > 0$ and $J_\beta$ is of complex type with $J_\beta = J_0$, is analogous to the previous case;

- If $J_\alpha$ and $J_\beta$ are of non-complex type with $x_\alpha, x_\beta > 0$, then the only possibility is that $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ is of non-complex type too. Now, as $\mathcal{J}$ is integrable, we have

$$x_\alpha x_\beta - x_\alpha x_{\alpha+\beta} - x_\beta x_{\alpha+\beta} = 0$$

from where we get

$$x_{\alpha+\beta} = \frac{x_\alpha x_\beta}{x_\alpha + x_\beta} > 0$$

because $x_\alpha, x_\beta > 0$. Therefore $\alpha + \beta \in P$.

Proving that $P$ is closed. Furthermore, note that $\Pi = P \cup (-P)$. Then, we know that these properties imply that $P$ is a choice of positive roots.

In particular, we have:

**Corollary 3.13.** Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized complex structure. If $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type for all $\alpha$, then $P = \{ \alpha \in \Pi \mid x_\alpha > 0 \}$ is a choice of positive roots with respect to some lexicographic order in $\mathfrak{h}_R^*$.

Given $\mathcal{J}$ a generalized complex structure, note that the set of roots $\alpha$ such that $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type is a closed set. Indeed, the integrability ensures that for all $\alpha, \beta$ roots, such that $\alpha + \beta$ is a root, with $J_\alpha$ and $J_\beta$ of non-complex type, then $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ must be of non-complex type.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized complex structure. Then there is a subset $\Theta \subset \Sigma$, where $\Sigma$ is a simple root system, such that $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type for each $\alpha \in (\Theta)^+$ and of complex type for $\alpha \in \Pi^+ \setminus (\Theta)^+$.

Proof. It follows from the previous comment and proposition 3.12.
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The following statement is a converse to the above theorem.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let $\Sigma$ be a simple root system and consider $\Theta \subset \Sigma$ a subset. Then there is a generalized complex structure, $\mathcal{J}$, such that $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type for $\alpha \in (\Theta)^+$ and complex type for $\alpha \in \Pi^+ \setminus (\Theta)^+$.

**Proof.** Since $(\Theta)$ is a closed set, then $(\Theta)^+$ is closed too. Thus, given $\alpha, \beta \in \langle \Theta \rangle^+$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \Pi$, we have $\alpha + \beta \in (\Theta)^+$. Therefore, we can put $J_\alpha, J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha + \beta}$ of non-complex type satisfying

\[
\begin{aligned}
\alpha + \beta x_\alpha x_\beta - a_\beta x_\alpha x_{\alpha + \beta} - a_\alpha x_\beta x_{\alpha + \beta} &= 0 \\
x_\alpha x_\beta - x_\alpha x_{\alpha + \beta} - x_\beta x_{\alpha + \beta} &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

This way the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha + \beta}$ is zero.

In the cases which $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi^+ \setminus (\Theta)^+$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \Pi$, we have $\alpha + \beta \in \Pi^+ \setminus (\Theta)^+$. Then, for these roots, we put $J_\alpha, J_\beta, J_{\alpha + \beta}$ of complex type with $J_\alpha = J_\beta = J_{\alpha + \beta} = J_0$, which defines a structure satisfying $N_{ij}|_L = 0$, where $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha + \beta}$.

Now, in the case which $\alpha \in \Pi^+ \setminus (\Theta)^+$ and $\beta \in (\Theta)^+$, with $\alpha + \beta \in \Pi$, we must have $\alpha + \beta \in \Pi^+ \setminus (\Theta)^+$. In fact, suppose $\alpha$ and $\beta$ as before, such that $\alpha + \beta \in (\Theta)^+$. Since $\beta \in (\Theta)$, we have $-\beta \in \langle \Theta \rangle$, and then $\alpha = (\alpha + \beta) + (-\beta) \in \langle \Theta \rangle$ which contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore, in this case, we have $\alpha + \beta \in \Pi^+ \setminus (\Theta)^+$. This way, we put $J_\alpha$ and $J_{\alpha + \beta}$ of complex type with $J_\alpha = J_{\alpha + \beta} = J_0$ and $J_\beta$ of non-complex type. Thus defining a structure whose Nijenhuis operator is zero when restricted to the $i$-eigenspace.

Therefore, $\mathcal{J}$ defined in this way is an integrable generalized complex structure.

### 3.3 Solutions for the non-complex type

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized almost complex structure such that there exist $\alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta$ roots that $J_\alpha, J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha + \beta}$ are of non-complex type. We saw that the Nijenhuis operator restricted to $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha + \beta}$ is zero if and only if the equations

\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\alpha + \beta x_\alpha x_\beta - a_\beta x_\alpha x_{\alpha + \beta} - a_\alpha x_\beta x_{\alpha + \beta} = 0 \\
x_\alpha x_\beta - x_\alpha x_{\alpha + \beta} - x_\beta x_{\alpha + \beta} = 0
\end{array} \right. \tag{3}
\end{aligned}
\]

are satisfied.

We are interested to know when there are $x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_{\alpha + \beta}, a_\alpha, a_\beta \in a_{\alpha + \beta}$ which satisfy the system (3), where $x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_{\alpha + \beta} \neq 0$. Manipulating the system we get that the system is satisfied when

\[
x_{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{x_\alpha x_\beta}{x_\alpha + x_\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{a_{\alpha + \beta}}{x_\alpha + x_\beta} = \frac{a_\beta x_\alpha + a_\alpha x_\beta}{x_\alpha + x_\beta}.
\]

Thus, given $a_\alpha, a_\beta, x_\alpha, x_\beta$ the only solution for (3) is $x_{\alpha + \beta}$ and $x_{\alpha + \beta}$ as above.

The problem is when we have more than one such triple of roots. To explain this, let’s do an example:
Example 3.16. Consider the Lie algebra \( A_3 \) with simple roots \( \Sigma = \{ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \} \) and positive roots \( \alpha + \beta, \beta + \gamma \) and \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma \). Let \( \mathcal{J} \) be a generalized almost complex structure such that \( J_\delta \) is of non-complex type for all root \( \delta \). We want that \( \mathcal{J} \) be integrable, that is, for all triple of roots \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) and \( \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \), we need that the system be satisfied. Our idea is to take values for \( J_\delta \) where \( \delta \) is a simple root, and find for which values of \( J_\eta \) the system is satisfied, where \( \eta \) is a positive root of height greater than one. In this example, we have that the roots of height two can be written uniquely as sum of two simple roots, but the root \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma \) can be written of two ways as sum of two roots, \( (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma \) and \( \alpha + (\beta + \gamma) \). Then we have to be careful, because all possible system must be satisfied.

Fix \( a_\delta, x_\delta \) for all root \( \delta \in \Sigma \). We will analyse all possible system: First, consider the triple \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( \alpha + \beta \). We have the system

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{\alpha+\beta} x_\alpha x_\beta - a_\beta x_\alpha x_{\alpha+\beta} - a_\alpha x_\beta x_{\alpha+\beta} &= 0 \\
    x_\alpha x_\beta - x_\alpha x_{\alpha+\beta} - x_\beta x_{\alpha+\beta} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

which has solution

\[
x_{\alpha+\beta} = \frac{x_\alpha x_\beta}{x_\alpha + x_\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\alpha+\beta} = \frac{a_\beta x_\alpha + a_\alpha x_\beta}{x_\alpha + x_\beta}.
\]

Now, consider the triple \( \beta, \gamma \) and \( \beta + \gamma \). We have the same system as above changing \( \alpha \) by \( \gamma \). Then, we have

\[
x_{\beta+\gamma} = \frac{x_\beta x_\gamma}{x_\beta + x_\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\beta+\gamma} = \frac{a_\beta x_\gamma + a_\gamma x_\beta}{x_\beta + x_\gamma}.
\]

For the triple \( \alpha, \beta + \gamma \) and \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma \), we have a similar system which has solution

\[
x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \frac{x_\alpha x_{\beta+\gamma}}{x_\alpha + x_{\beta+\gamma}} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \frac{a_{\beta+\gamma} x_\alpha + a_\alpha x_{\beta+\gamma}}{x_\alpha + x_{\beta+\gamma}}.
\]

On the other hand, we have the triple \( \alpha + \beta, \gamma \) and \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma \), where we get another expression for \( a_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \) and \( x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \):

\[
x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \frac{x_\alpha x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}}{x_\alpha + x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \frac{a_{\alpha+\beta} x_\alpha + a_{\alpha+\beta} x_\gamma}{x_\alpha + x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}}.
\]

We have to verify that both expressions are equal. We already have expressions for \( x_{\beta+\gamma}, a_{\beta+\gamma}, x_{\alpha+\beta} \) and \( a_{\alpha+\beta} \). Replacing in the expressions above, we have for both cases:

\[
x_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \frac{x_\alpha x_{\beta} x_\gamma}{x_\alpha x_\beta + x_\alpha x_\gamma + x_\beta x_\gamma}
\]

and

\[
a_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} = \frac{a_\gamma x_\alpha x_\beta + a_\beta x_\alpha x_\gamma + a_\alpha x_\beta x_\gamma}{x_\alpha x_\beta + x_\alpha x_\gamma + x_\beta x_\gamma},
\]

proving that the expression is well defined. Therefore, setting the values of \( x_\delta \) and \( a_\delta \), for the simple roots \( \delta \), we can obtain values for the other values of \( x \) and \( a \) associated to the positive roots of height greater than one such that \( \mathcal{J} \) is integrable.
In the general case, let $J$ be a generalized almost complex structure. If $J$ is integrable, by Theorem 3.14 there is a $\Theta \subset \Sigma$ such that $J\alpha$ is of non-complex type for all $\alpha \in (\Theta)$. Furthermore, by Proposition 3.12 we can suppose that $x_\alpha > 0$ for all $\alpha \in (\Theta)^+$. Again, we fix the values for $x_\alpha$ and $a_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Theta$. We proceed solving the system for the roots of height two, three, four and so on. Then, denote $\Theta = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l\}$ and fix $a_{\alpha_i}, x_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, l$. Given a root $\alpha \in (\Theta)$, then $\alpha$ can be written as $\alpha = n_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + n_l\alpha_l$. Proceeding as above, we obtain that

$$x_\alpha = \frac{x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_l^{n_l}}{\sum_{i=1}^l n_ix_{\alpha_i}^{n_i} x_{\alpha_i-1}^{n_i-1} x_{\alpha_i+1}^{n_i+1} \cdots x_{\alpha_i}^{n_i}}$$

and

$$a_\alpha = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^l a_{\alpha_i} n_ix_{\alpha_i}^{n_i} x_{\alpha_i-1}^{n_i-1} x_{\alpha_i+1}^{n_i+1} \cdots x_{\alpha_i}^{n_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^l n_ix_{\alpha_i}^{n_i} x_{\alpha_i-1}^{n_i-1} x_{\alpha_i+1}^{n_i+1} \cdots x_{\alpha_i}^{n_i}}$$

is solution for the system for every $n_1, \cdots, n_l$ such that $n_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + n_l\alpha_l$ is a root in $(\Theta)$.

### 4 Twisted Generalized Complex Structures on $\mathbb{F}$

As were mentioned before, Ševera and Weinstein noticed a twisted Courant bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_\Omega$ for each closed 3-form $\Omega$ which is defined by

$$[X + \xi, Y + \eta]_\Omega = [X + \xi, Y + \eta] + iYiX\Omega.$$

Since $H^3(\mathbb{F}) = \{0\}$ we have that every closed 3-form is exact. Because of this, let $\omega = \sum \omega_{\alpha, \beta} X_\alpha \wedge X_\beta$ be an invariant 2-form. Relying on the invariance of $\omega$ its exterior differential is easily computed from a standard formula, then we have:

$$d\omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma) = -\omega([X_\alpha, X_\beta], X_\gamma) + \omega([X_\alpha, X_\gamma], X_\beta) - \omega([X_\beta, X_\gamma], X_\alpha).$$

If we denote $\Omega = d\omega$, thus we have that $\Omega$ is an invariant 3-form. Moreover, since $d^2 = 0$ we have that $\Omega$ is closed. From this we have that $\Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma)$ is zero unless $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$. In this case

$$\Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma) = m_{\alpha, \beta} (\omega(X_\alpha, X_\gamma) + \omega(X_\beta, X_\gamma) + \omega(X_\gamma, X_\gamma)).$$

With this, from a simple verification we have

$$\Omega(X_{-\alpha}, X_{-\beta}, X_{-\gamma}) = \Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma)$$
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since that \( m_{\alpha,\beta} = -m_{-\alpha,-\beta} \) and \( m_{\alpha,\beta} = m_{\beta,\gamma} = m_{\gamma,\alpha} \), where the last one is true when \( \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0 \).

Now consider \( A_\alpha = X_\alpha - X_{-\alpha} \) and \( S_\alpha = i(X_{\alpha} + X_{-\alpha}) \). When we compute the value of \( \Omega \) for this elements, we obtain:

\[
\Omega(A_\alpha, A_\beta, S_{\alpha+\beta}) = -\Omega(A_\alpha, S_\beta, A_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
= -\Omega(S_\alpha, A_\beta, A_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
= -\Omega(S_\alpha, S_\beta, S_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
= 2i\Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha+\beta)})
\]

and the other cases are zero.

We are interested in verifying when \( \text{Nij}_{|L} \Omega \) is zero, where \( L \) is the \( i \)-eigenspace of a generalized almost complex structure. By definition, we have

\[
\text{Nij}_{|L}(X + \xi, Y + \eta, Z + \zeta) = \text{Nij}(X + \xi, Y + \eta, Z + \zeta) + \Omega(X, Y, Z).
\]

Then we can prove:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( J \) be a generalized almost complex structure and \( \Omega \) an invariant closed 3-form. Suppose there is a triple of roots \( \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \) such that \( J_\alpha, J_\beta \) and \( J_{\alpha+\beta} \) are not of non-complex type simultaneously. Then \( \text{Nij}_{|L} = 0 \) if and only if \( \text{Nij}_{|L} \Omega = 0 \), where \( L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta} \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \text{Nij}_{|L} = 0 \). To prove that \( \text{Nij}_{|L} \Omega = 0 \) we need to prove that the restriction of \( \Omega \) to the vector part of \( L \) is zero. However, this is a consequence of

\[
\Omega(A_\alpha, A_\beta, S_{\alpha+\beta}) = -\Omega(A_\alpha, S_\beta, A_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
= -\Omega(S_\alpha, A_\beta, A_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
= -\Omega(S_\alpha, S_\beta, S_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
= 2i\Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha+\beta)})
\]

and the other cases are zero.

On the other hand, suppose \( \text{Nij}_{|L} \Omega = 0 \). Since there is a triple of roots such that are not all of non-complex type, then there is \( \delta \in \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\} \) such that \( J_\delta \) is of complex type and, in this case, we have \( A_\delta^* - iS_\delta^* \) or \( A_\delta^* + iS_\delta^* \) belongs to \( L \). Therefore, we will have

\[
0 = \text{Nij}_{|L}(X + \xi, Y + \eta, A_\delta^* \pm iS_\delta^*) = \text{Nij}(X + \xi, Y + \eta, A_\delta^* \pm iS_\delta^*)
\]

and, from the computations already done for the standard Nijenhuis operator, we have that if this occurs for all \( X + \xi, Y + \eta \in L \), where \( L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha+\beta} \), then \( \text{Nij}_{|L} = 0 \).

Now, when there exists a triple of roots \( \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \) such that \( J_\alpha, J_\beta \) and \( J_{\alpha+\beta} \) are all of non-complex type, then we have:
Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a generalized almost complex structure and $\Omega$ an invariant closed 3-form. Suppose there is a triple of roots $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta$ such that $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha + \beta}$ are of non-complex type simultaneously. If $N_{ij}L|L = 0$, then $N_{ij}L|L = 0$ if and only if $\Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha + \beta)}) = 0$, where $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha + \beta}$.

Proof. Suppose $N_{ij}L|L = 0$. Since $N_{ij}L|L = 0$, in particular we have

$$
0 = N_{ij}L(x_\alpha S_\alpha + (a_\alpha - i)S_\alpha^* x_\beta S_\beta + (a_\beta - i)S_\beta^* x_\alpha + (a_\alpha + \beta - i)S_{\alpha + \beta})
= \Omega(x_\alpha S_\alpha, x_\beta S_\beta, x_{\alpha + \beta} S_{\alpha + \beta})
= -2i x_\alpha x_\beta x_{\alpha + \beta} \Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha + \beta)}).
$$

Reciprocally, suppose that $\Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha + \beta)}) = 0$, thus we obtain

$$
\Omega(A_\alpha, A_\beta, S_{\alpha + \beta}) = \Omega(A_\alpha, S_\beta, A_{\alpha + \beta})
= \Omega(S_\alpha, A_\beta, A_{\alpha + \beta})
= \Omega(S_\alpha, S_\beta, S_{\alpha + \beta})
= 0
$$

and the other cases were already zero, ensuring that $N_{ij}L|L = 0$. 

Corollary 4.3. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be an integrable generalized complex structure such that $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type for all root $\alpha$ and consider $\Omega$ an invariant closed 3-form. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is $\Omega$-integrable if and only if $\Omega$ is zero.

With the results proved until here we have a classification of the integrable generalized complex structures $\mathcal{J}$ which are also $\Omega$-integrable. A natural question to be analyzed is the existence of generalized almost complex structures which are non-integrable but which are $\Omega$-integrable.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be a non-integrable generalized complex structure, then there is a triple of roots $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta$ such that $N_{ij}L \neq 0$, where $L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha + \beta}$. If $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha + \beta}$ are of non-complex type simultaneously, is immediate to verify that if $N_{ij}L \neq 0$, then $N_{ij}L \neq 0$ for any invariant closed 3-form $\Omega$. This way, suppose $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha + \beta}$ are of non-complex type and suppose $N_{ij}L \neq 0$. With some computations, we get that $N_{ij}L|L = 0$ if and only if

$$
\frac{i}{6}m_{\alpha, \beta}(x_\alpha x_\beta (a_{\alpha + \beta} - i) - x_\alpha x_{\alpha + \beta} (a_\beta - i) - x_\beta x_{\alpha + \beta} (a_\alpha - i))
- 2ix_\alpha x_\beta x_{\alpha + \beta} \Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha + \beta)}) = 0
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha + \beta)}) = \frac{m_{\alpha, \beta}}{12} \left( \frac{a_{\alpha + \beta} - i}{x_{\alpha + \beta}} - \frac{a_\beta - i}{x_\beta} - \frac{a_\alpha - i}{x_\alpha} \right). \quad (6)
$$

Remark. The expression (6) also cover the case in which $N_{ij}L|L = 0$. In fact, since $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha + \beta}$ are of non-complex type, then $N_{ij}L|L = 0$ implies that

$$
x_{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{x_\alpha x_\beta}{x_\alpha + x_\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad a_{\alpha + \beta} = \frac{a_\beta x_\alpha + a_\alpha x_\beta}{x_\alpha + x_\beta}.
$$
Replacing these expressions of \( x_\alpha + \beta \) and \( a_\alpha + \beta \) in (6), we obtain
\[
\Omega \left( X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha + \beta)} \right) = 0.
\]

Therefore, in general we have:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( J \) be a generalized almost complex structure and \( \Omega \) an invariant closed 3-form. Suppose there is a triple of roots \( \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \) such that \( J_\alpha, J_\beta \) and \( J_{\alpha + \beta} \) are of non-complex type and denote \( L = L_\alpha \cup L_\beta \cup L_{\alpha + \beta} \). Then \( \text{Nij}_\Omega \mid L = 0 \) if and only if
\[
\Omega(X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_{-(\alpha + \beta)}) = \frac{m_{\alpha, \beta}}{12} \left( \frac{a_{\alpha + \beta} - i}{x_{\alpha + \beta}} \frac{a_\beta - i}{x_\beta} \frac{a_\alpha - i}{x_\alpha} \right).
\]

To make it clearer that there are generalized almost complex structures which are not integrable (in the usual sense), but are \( \Omega \)-integrable, where \( \Omega \) is an invariant closed 3-form, let’s do an example:

**Example 4.5.** Consider the Lie algebra \( A_3 \), where we have just three positive roots. To know, we are denote the simple roots by \( \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{23} \) and the other positive root is \( \alpha_{13} = \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{23} \). Consider a generalized almost complex structure such that
\[
J_{\alpha_{12}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_{\alpha_{23}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_{\alpha_{13}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

that is, \( x_{\alpha_{12}} = 1, \ a_{\alpha_{12}} = 1 \), \( x_{\alpha_{23}} = 2, \ a_{\alpha_{23}} = 1 \), \( x_{\alpha_{13}} = 1 \) and \( a_{\alpha_{13}} = 1 \).

Observe that \( J \) is non-integrable. In fact, the \( i \)-eigenspace of \( J \) is \( L = L_{\alpha_{12}} \cup L_{\alpha_{23}} \cup L_{\alpha_{13}} \), and \( \text{Nij}_\Omega \mid L = 0 \) when the system of the Theorem 3.11 is satisfied. But, note that
\[
x_{\alpha_{12}}x_{\alpha_{23}} - x_{\alpha_{12}}x_{\alpha_{13}} - x_{\alpha_{23}}x_{\alpha_{13}} = -1 \neq 0.
\]

Now, consider the invariant 2-form \( \omega \) defined by
\[
\omega(X_{\alpha_{jk}}, X_{-\alpha_{jk}}) = \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{i - a_{\alpha_{jk}}}{x_{\alpha_{jk}}} \right)
\]
for \( 1 \leq j < k \leq 3 \) and 0 otherwise. Then \( \Omega := d\omega \) is an invariant closed 3-form such that
\[
\Omega(X_{\alpha_{12}}, X_{\alpha_{23}}, X_{-\alpha_{13}}) = \frac{1}{12} \left( \omega(X_{\alpha_{12}}, X_{-\alpha_{12}}) + \omega(X_{\alpha_{23}}, X_{-\alpha_{23}}) + \omega(X_{-\alpha_{13}}, X_{\alpha_{13}}) \right).
\]
Now, replacing the expression of $\omega$ we have
\[
\Omega(X_{\alpha_{12}}, X_{\alpha_{23}}, X_{-\alpha_{13}}) = \frac{i-1}{24}.
\]
Defining $\Omega$ like this, by Theorem 4.4 we have that $J$ is $\Omega$-integrable.

Let $J$ be a non-integrable generalized complex structure and $\Omega$ an invariant closed 3-form. With what was seen above, we have that if a triple of roots $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta$ such that $N_{ij} |_L \neq 0$ but $N_{ij} |_{\Omega L} = 0$, then we must necessarily have $J_\alpha$, $J_\beta$ and $J_{\alpha+\beta}$ of non-complex type. Therefore, analogous to Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.15 we have:

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $\Omega$ be an invariant closed 3-form and $J$ be a $\Omega$-integrable generalized complex structure. Then there is a set $\Theta \subset \Sigma$, where $\Sigma$ is a simple root system, such that $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type for all $\alpha \in (\Theta)$.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let $\Sigma$ be a simple root system and $\Theta \subset \Sigma$. Then there exists a generalized almost complex structure $J$ which is $\Omega$-integrable, for some invariant closed 3-form $\Omega$, where $J_\alpha$ is of non-complex type for all $\alpha \in (\Theta)$.
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