TOPOLOGICAL COMPUTATION OF THE STOKES MATRICES
OF THE WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE LINE \( P(1,3) \)
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ABSTRACT. The localized Fourier–Laplace transform of the Gauß–Manin system of \( f: \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{A}^1, x \mapsto x + x^{-3} \), is a \( \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{G}_m} \)-module, having a regular singularity at 0 and an irregular one at \( \infty \). By mirror symmetry, it is closely related to the quantum connection of the weighted projective line \( P(1,3) \). Following [7], we compute its Stokes multipliers at \( \infty \) by purely topological methods. We compare it to the Gram matrix of the Euler–Poincaré pairing on \( \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh}(P(1,3))) \).
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INTRODUCTION

In [7], A. D’Agnolo, M. Hien, G. Morando, and C. Sabbah describe how to compute the Stokes multipliers of the enhanced Fourier–Sato transform of a perverse sheaf on the affine line by purely topological methods. To a regular singular holonomic \( \mathcal{D} \)-module \( \mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\text{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}) \) on the affine line one associates a perverse sheaf via the regular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence

\[
\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}}(\bullet^{an}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^1}^{an})[1]: \text{Mod}_{\text{rh}}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1}) \longrightarrow \text{Perv}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{A}^1}).
\]

Let \( \Sigma \subset \mathbb{A}^1 \) denote the set of singularities of \( \mathcal{M} \). Following [7, Section 4.2], after suitably choosing a total order on \( \Sigma \), the resulting perverse sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \in \text{Perv}_\Sigma(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{A}^1}) \) can be described by linear algebra data, namely the quiver

\[
(\Psi(\mathcal{F}), \Phi_\sigma(\mathcal{F}), u_\sigma, v_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma},
\]

where \( \Psi(\mathcal{F}) \) and \( \Phi_\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \) are finite dimensional \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector spaces and \( u_\sigma: \Psi(\mathcal{F}) \to \Phi_\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \) and \( v_\sigma: \Phi_\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \to \Psi(\mathcal{F}) \) are linear maps such that \( 1 - u_\sigma v_\sigma \) is invertible for any \( \sigma \).

The main result in [7] is a determination of the Stokes multipliers of the enhanced Fourier–Sato transform of \( \mathcal{F} \) and therefore of the Fourier–Laplace transform of \( \mathcal{M} \) in terms of the quiver of \( \mathcal{F} \).

Mirror symmetry connects the weighted projective line \( \mathbb{P}(1,3) \) with the Landau–Ginzburg model

\[
(\mathbb{G}_m, f = x + \frac{1}{x^3}).
\]
The quantum connection of \( \mathbb{P}(1, 3) \) is closely related to the Fourier–Laplace transform of the Gauß–Manin system \( H^0 \left( \mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{O} \right) \) of \( f \). We compute that
\[
F := Rf_* \mathbb{C}[1] \in \text{Perv}_\Sigma \left( \mathbb{C}_\lambda \right),
\]
where \( \Sigma \) denotes the set of singular values of \( f \), is the perverse sheaf associated to \( H^0 \left( \mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{O} \right) \) by the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence. In Section 1, we compute the localized Fourier–Laplace transform of \( f \). In Section 2, analogous to the examples in [7, Section 7], we carry out the topological computation of the Stokes multipliers of the Fourier–Laplace transform of \( H^0 \left( \mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{O} \right) \). In Section 3, we compare the Stokes matrix \( S_\beta \) that we obtained from our topological computations to the Gram matrix of the Euler–Poincaré pairing on \( D^\infty(\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}(1, 3))) \) with respect to a suitable full exceptional collection. Following Dubrovin’s conjecture about the Stokes matrix of the quantum connection, proven for the weighted projective space \( \mathbb{P}(\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_n) \) in [15] by S. Tanabé and K. Ueda and in [6] by J. A. Cruz Morales and M. van der Put, they are known to be equivalent after appropriate modifications. We give the explicit braid of the braid group \( B_4 \) that deforms the Gram matrix into the Stokes matrix \( S_\beta \).

1. GAUß–MANIN SYSTEM AND ITS FOURIER–LAPLACE TRANSFORM

Let \( X \) be affine and \( f \) a regular function \( f: X \to \mathbb{A}^1 \) on \( X \). Denote by \( \mathcal{I}_f(\bullet) \) the direct image in the category of \( \mathcal{D} \)-modules and by \( M := H^0 \left( \mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{O}_X \right) \in \text{Mod}_\text{rh} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \right) \) the zeroth cohomology of the Gauß–Manin system of \( f \). Following [8, Section 2.c], it is given by
\[
M = \Omega^n(X) [\partial_t] / (d - \partial_t df \wedge) \Omega^{n-1}(X) [\partial_t].
\]
Denote by \( G := \widehat{M} \left[ \tau^{-1} \right] \) the Fourier–Laplace transform of \( M \), localized at \( \tau = 0 \). It is given by
\[
G = \Omega^n(X) \left[ \tau, \tau^{-1} \right] / (d - \tau df \wedge) \Omega^{n-1}(X) \left[ \tau, \tau^{-1} \right].
\]
\( G \) is a free \( \mathbb{C}[\tau, \tau^{-1}] \)-module of finite rank. Rewriting in the variable \( \theta = \tau^{-1} \) gives the \( \mathbb{C}[\theta, \theta^{-1}] \)-module
\[
G = \Omega^n(X) \left[ \theta, \theta^{-1} \right] / (\theta d - df \wedge) \Omega^{n-1}(X) \left[ \theta, \theta^{-1} \right].
\]
\( G \) is endowed with a flat connection given as follows. For \( \gamma = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \omega_k \theta^k \in G \), where \( \Omega^n(X) \ni \omega_k = 0 \) for almost all \( k \), the connection is given by (cf. [11, Definition 2.3.1]):
\[
\theta^2 \nabla_{\partial \theta} (\gamma) = \left[ \sum_k f \omega_k \theta^k + \sum_k k \omega_k \theta^{k+1} \right].
\]
It is known that \( (G, \nabla) \) has a regular singularity at \( \theta = \infty \) and possibly an irregular singularity at \( \theta = 0 \). Rewriting in \( \tau = \theta^{-1} \) yields the irregular singularity at \( \tau = \infty \).

We now consider the Laurent polynomial \( f = x + x^{-3} \in \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}] \), being a regular function on the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{G}_m \). For our computations we pass to the variable \( \theta = \tau^{-1} \). We compute that for the given \( f \), \( G \) is given by the free \( \mathbb{C}[\theta, \theta^{-1}] \)-module
\[
G = \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}] dx \left[ \theta, \theta^{-1} \right] / (\theta d - (dx - 3x^{-4}dx) \wedge) \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}] \left[ \theta, \theta^{-1} \right].
\]
with basis over $\mathbb{C}[\theta, \theta^{-1}]$ given by $[\frac{d\theta}{\theta^2}], [\frac{d\theta}{\theta}], [\frac{d\theta}{\theta^3}], [\frac{d\theta}{\theta^4}]$. In this basis, the connection is given by

$$\theta \nabla_{\partial_{\theta}} = \theta \partial_{\theta} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{4}{\theta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{4}{\theta} & \frac{4}{\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{\theta} & \frac{4}{\theta} \\ \frac{4}{\theta} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Via the cyclic vector $m = (1, 0, 0, 0)^t$, we compute the relation

$$\nabla_{\partial_{\theta}}^4 m + 4 \nabla_{\partial_{\theta}}^3 m + \frac{32}{9} \nabla_{\partial_{\theta}}^2 m - \frac{256}{27} \theta^4 m = 0$$

and therefore associate the differential operator

$$P = (\theta \partial_{\theta})^4 + 4 (\theta \partial_{\theta})^3 + \frac{32}{9} (\theta \partial_{\theta})^2 - \frac{256}{27} \theta^4 \in \mathbb{C}[\theta, \theta^{-1}] \langle \partial_{\theta} \rangle = D_{G_m}.$$

The Newton polygon in Figure 1 confirms that $P$—and therefore system (1)—has the nonzero slope 1 and therefore is irregular singular at $\theta = 0$ and regular singular at $\theta = \infty$.

2. **Topological computation of the Stokes matrices**

We consider the Laurent polynomial $f = x + x^{-3}: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$. Its critical points are given by $\{\pm \sqrt[4]{3}, \pm i\sqrt[4]{3}\}$. The critical values of $f$ are given by

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \pm \frac{4}{\sqrt{27}}, \pm \frac{4i}{\sqrt{27}} \right\} \subset \mathbb{A}^1.$$

The preimages of

- $\frac{4}{\sqrt{27}}$ are $\sqrt[4]{3}$ (double), $-\frac{1-\sqrt[4]{3}}{\sqrt{27}}$, and $-\frac{1+\sqrt[4]{3}}{\sqrt{27}}$,
- $-\frac{4}{\sqrt{27}}$ are $-\sqrt[4]{3}$ (double), $\frac{1-\sqrt[4]{3}}{\sqrt{27}}$ and $\frac{1+\sqrt[4]{3}}{\sqrt{27}}$,
- $i\frac{4}{\sqrt{27}}$ are $i\sqrt[4]{3}$ (double), $\frac{-i-\sqrt[4]{3}}{\sqrt{27}}$ and $\frac{-i+\sqrt[4]{3}}{\sqrt{27}}$,
- $-i\frac{4}{\sqrt{27}}$ are $-i\sqrt[4]{3}$ (double), $\frac{i-\sqrt[4]{3}}{\sqrt{27}}$ and $\frac{i+\sqrt[4]{3}}{\sqrt{27}}$.

Since $f$ is proper, we compute by the adjunction formula that

$$R\mathsf{Hom}_{D^{an}} \left( \left( \int_f \mathcal{O} \right)^{an}, \mathcal{O}^{an} \right) \simeq Rf_{\ast}^{an} R\mathsf{Hom}_{D^{an}}(\mathcal{O}^{an}, f^! \mathcal{O}^{an}) \simeq Rf_{\ast}^{an} \mathcal{C}. $$
Since \( f \) is semismall, \( Rf_* \mathbb{C}[1] \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{A}_1) \) is a perverse sheaf (cf. [4]). Outside of \( \Sigma \), \( f \) is a covering of degree 4, therefore \( Rf_* \mathbb{C}[1] \in \text{Perv}_\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^\mathbb{A}_1) \). By the regular Riemann–Hilbert correspondence
\[
\text{Sol}(\bullet)[\dim X] := \text{RHom}_{D^b_X}(\bullet^{an}, \mathcal{O}^{an}_X)[\dim X] : \text{Mod}_{rh}(D_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}^{an}_X),
\]
we associate to \( H^0 \left( f_\ast \mathcal{O} \right) \) the perverse sheaf \( F := Rf_* \mathbb{C}[1] \).

We fix \( \alpha = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8}} \in \mathbb{A}_1 \), \( \beta = e^{\frac{3\pi i}{8}} \in (\mathbb{A}_1)^\vee \), such that \( \Re(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) = 0 \), \( \Im(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) = 1 \).
This induces the following order on \( \Sigma \) (cf. [7, Section 4]):
\[
\sigma_1 := \frac{4i}{\sqrt{27}} <_g \sigma_2 := -\frac{4}{\sqrt{27}} <_g \sigma_3 := \frac{4}{\sqrt{27}} <_g \sigma_4 := -\frac{4i}{\sqrt{27}}.
\]

In Figure 5, the \( \sigma_i \) are depicted in the following colors:
- \( \sigma_1 \): green,
- \( \sigma_2 \): red,
- \( \sigma_3 \): purple,
- \( \sigma_4 \): orange.

**Figure 2.** LHS: \( \{ x \mid \Re(f(x)) \geq 0 \} \), RHS: \( \{ x \mid \Im(f(x)) \geq 0 \} \)

**Figure 3.** Preimage of the imaginary (resp. real) axis in blue (resp. red) color.
Figure 4. Preimages under $f$  

Figure 5. Lines passing through $\sigma_i$ with phase $\frac{\pi}{8}$  

The blue area in Figure 2 shows where $f$ has real (resp. imaginary) part greater than or equal to 0. In Figure 3, the preimage of the imaginary (resp. real) axis under $f$ is plotted in blue (resp. red) color. We consider lines passing through the singular values with phase $\frac{\pi}{8}$, as depicted in Figure 5. The preimages of these lines are plotted in Figure 4. We fix a base point $e$ with $\Re(e) > \Re(\sigma_i)$ for all $i$ and denote its preimages by $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4$, as depicted in Figure 6. In the following, we adopt the notation of [7, Section 4]. The nearby and global nearby cycles of $F$ are given by

\[
\Psi_{\sigma_i}(F) := R\Gamma_\sigma \left( \mathbb{A}^1; \mathbb{C}_{\ell_{\sigma_i}} \otimes F \right) \simeq H^0 R\Gamma_\sigma \left( \ell_{\sigma_i}^\times; F \right) \cong \bigoplus_{e_j \in f^{-1}(e)} \mathbb{C}_{e_j} \cong \mathbb{C}^4,
\]

\[
\Psi(F) := R\Gamma_\sigma \left( \mathbb{A}^1; \mathbb{C}_{\ell \setminus \ell_{\sigma_i}} \otimes F \right) \cong \mathbb{C}^4.
\]

Furthermore, we fix isomorphisms $i_{\sigma_i}^{-1} F[-1] \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma_j \in f^{-1}(\sigma_i)} \mathbb{C}_{\sigma_j} \cong \mathbb{C}^3$.

The exponential components at $\infty$ of the Fourier–Laplace transform of $H^0 \left( f_\sigma O \right)$ are known to be of linear type with coefficients given by the $\sigma_i \in \Sigma$. The Stokes rays
are therefore given by
\[
\left\{ 0, \pm \frac{\pi}{4}, \pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \pm \frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi \right\}.
\]

We consider loops \( \gamma_{\sigma_i} \), starting at \( e \) and running around the singular value \( \sigma_i \) in counterclockwise orientation\(^1\), as depicted in Figure 6. We denote by \( \gamma_{\sigma_i}^j \) the preimage of \( \gamma_{\sigma_i} \) starting at \( e_j \), \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \).

From figure 6 we read, in the basis \( e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \), the monodromies
\[
T_{\sigma_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{\sigma_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
T_{\sigma_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_{\sigma_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

In order to obtain the maps \( b_{\sigma_i} \), we consider the half-lines \( \ell_{\sigma_i} := \sigma_i + \alpha R_{\geq 0} \). We denote their preimages under \( f \) by \( \{ \ell_{\sigma_i}^j \}_{j=1,2,3,4} \), depending on which \( \gamma_{\sigma_i}^j \) they intersect. We label the preimages of \( \sigma_i \) by \( \sigma_i^1, \sigma_i^2, \sigma_i^3, \sigma_i^4 \), as in Figure 7. The maps \( b_{\sigma_i} \) encode which lift of \( \ell_{\sigma_i} \) starts at which preimage of \( \sigma_i \), induced from the corresponding boundary map in homology. More explicitly, from Figure 7 we read the following:

\( \sigma_1 \): \( \ell_{\sigma_1}^1 \mapsto \sigma_1^1, \ell_{\sigma_1}^2 \mapsto \sigma_1^1, \ell_{\sigma_1}^3 \mapsto \sigma_1^2, \ell_{\sigma_1}^4 \mapsto \sigma_1^3 \).

Therefore, \( b_{\sigma_1} \) is the transpose of \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \).

\( \sigma_2 \): \( \ell_{\sigma_2}^1 \mapsto \sigma_2^3, \ell_{\sigma_2}^2 \mapsto \sigma_2^1, \ell_{\sigma_2}^3 \mapsto \sigma_2^1, \ell_{\sigma_2}^4 \mapsto \sigma_2^2 \).

Therefore, \( b_{\sigma_2} \) is the transpose of \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

\( \sigma_3 \): \( \ell_{\sigma_3}^1 \mapsto \sigma_3^1, \ell_{\sigma_3}^2 \mapsto \sigma_3^2, \ell_{\sigma_3}^3 \mapsto \sigma_3^3, \ell_{\sigma_3}^4 \mapsto \sigma_3^3 \).

Therefore, \( b_{\sigma_3} \) is the transpose of \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

\( \sigma_4 \): \( \ell_{\sigma_4}^1 \mapsto \sigma_4^1, \ell_{\sigma_4}^2 \mapsto \sigma_4^3, \ell_{\sigma_4}^3 \mapsto \sigma_4^1, \ell_{\sigma_4}^4 \mapsto \sigma_4^2 \).

Therefore, \( b_{\sigma_4} \) is the transpose of \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \).

We obtain, in the ordered bases \( \sigma_1^1, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_1^3 \) and \( \ell_{\sigma_1}^1, \ell_{\sigma_1}^2, \ell_{\sigma_1}^3, \ell_{\sigma_1}^4 \), each:

\[
b_{\sigma_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{\sigma_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
b_{\sigma_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{\sigma_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

\(^1\)counterclockwise orientation since the imaginary part of \( \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \) is positive
Denote by \( u_i := u_{\sigma_i}, v_i := v_{\sigma_i}, T_i := T_{\sigma_i} \) and \( \Phi_i := \Phi_{\sigma_i} \). We obtain \( \Phi_i(F) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \Psi(F) \) as the cokernels of the diagrams

\[
i_{\sigma_i}^{-1} F[-1] \xrightarrow{b_{\sigma_i}} \Psi(F) \]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{1-T_i} & 1
\end{array}
\]

We identify the cokernels of \( b_{\sigma_i} \) in the following way:

- \( \text{coker } b_{\sigma_1} \cong \mathbb{C} \) via

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 - v_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

- \( \text{coker } b_{\sigma_2} \cong \mathbb{C} \) via

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
v_2 - v_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

- \( \text{coker } b_{\sigma_3} \cong \mathbb{C} \) via

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 - v_4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

- \( \text{coker } b_{\sigma_4} \cong \mathbb{C} \) via

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 - v_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

We obtain that \( (\Phi_i(F) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \Psi(F)) \cong (\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{v_i} \mathbb{C}^4) \), where

\[
\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= (1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0), & u_2 &= (0 \ 1 \ -1 \ 0), \\
u_3 &= (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ -1), & u_4 &= (1 \ 0 \ -1 \ 0),
\end{aligned}
\]

and \( v_i = u_i^t \). Remembering carefully all the choices, by [7, Theorem 5.2.2], we obtain the following Stokes multipliers of the Fourier–Laplace transform of \( H^0 \left( \int_T \mathcal{O} \right) \) at \( \infty \):

\[
S_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & u_1 v_2 & u_1 v_3 & u_1 v_4 \\
0 & 1 & u_2 v_3 & u_2 v_4 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & u_3 v_4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
S_{-\beta} = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbb{T}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-u_2 v_1 & \mathbb{T}_2 & 0 & 0 \\
-u_3 v_1 & -u_3 v_2 & \mathbb{T}_3 & 0 \\
-u_4 v_1 & -u_4 v_2 & -u_4 v_3 & \mathbb{T}_4
\end{pmatrix} \cong \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1
\end{pmatrix} = -S^t_{\beta},
\]

where \( \mathbb{T}_i := 1 - u_i v_i \). \( S_{\pm \beta} \) describes crossing \( h_{\pm \beta} \) from \( H_\alpha \) to \( H_{-\alpha} \), where

\[
H_\alpha = \left\{ w \in (\mathbb{A}^1)^\vee \ | \ \text{arg}(w) \in \left[ \frac{5\pi}{8}, \frac{3\pi}{8} \right] \right\},
\]

\[
H_{-\alpha} = \left\{ w \in (\mathbb{A}^1)^\vee \ | \ \text{arg}(w) \in \left[ \frac{3\pi}{8}, \frac{11\pi}{8} \right] \right\},
\]

denote the closed sectors at \( \infty \) and \( h_{\pm \beta} = \pm \mathbb{R}_{>0} \beta \subset (\mathbb{A}^1)^\vee \), such that \( H_\alpha \cap H_{-\alpha} = h_{\beta} \cup h_{-\beta} \).
Figure 6. $\gamma_{\sigma_i}$ and their preimages under $f$
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Figure 7. $\ell_{\sigma_i}$ and their preimages under $f$
3. Quantum connection and Dubrovin’s conjecture

3.1. Quantum connection. The quantum connection of a Fano variety (resp. an orbifold) $X$ is a connection on the trivial vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ with fiber $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ (resp. $H^\bullet_{\text{orb}}(X, \mathbb{C})$), the standard inhomogeneous coordinate on $\mathbb{P}^1$ being denoted by $z$.

By [10, (2.2.1)] the quantum connection is the connection given by

$$\nabla z \partial_z = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{z} (-K_{X, orb}) + \mu,$$

where the first term on the right hand side is ordinary differentiation, the second one is pointwise quantum multiplication by $(-K_X)$, and the third one is the grading operator

$$\mu(a) := \left( \frac{i}{2} - \frac{\dim X}{2} \right) a \text{ for } a \in H^i(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

The quantum connection is regular singular at $z = \infty$ and irregular singular at $z = 0$.

For the weighted projective line $\mathbb{P}(a, b)$, the orbifold cohomology ring is given by (cf. [13, Example 3.20])

$$H^\bullet_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{P}(a, b), \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[x, y, \xi]/\langle xy, ax^2 - by^2, \xi, \xi^d - 1 \rangle,$$

where $d = \gcd(a, b)$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $am + bn = d$. The grading is given as follows (cf. [2, Section 9]): $\deg x = \frac{a}{d}$, $\deg y = \frac{b}{d}$, $\deg \xi = 0$, where $A = \frac{a}{d}$, $B = \frac{b}{d}$.

Quantum multiplication is computed in

$$QH^\bullet_{\text{orb}} = \mathbb{C}[x, y, \xi]/\langle xy - 1, ax^2 - by^2, \xi, \xi^d - 1 \rangle.$$

For $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, $-K_{\mathbb{P}(a, b)}$ is given by the element $[x^a + y^b] \in H^1_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{P}(a, b), \mathbb{C})$. Taking into account that the grading is scaled by 2, the grading operator is defined by $\mu(a) = (i - \frac{\dim X}{2}) a$ for $a \in H^1_{\text{orb}}(X, \mathbb{C})$.

We obtain the quantum connection of $\mathbb{P}(1, 3)$ as follows.

$$H^\bullet_{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{P}(1, 3), \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/\langle xy, x - 3y^3 \rangle$$

with grading given by $\deg x = 1$, $\deg y = \frac{1}{3}$. A basis over $\mathbb{C}$ is given by $1, y, y^2, y^3$. Quantum multiplication by $-K_{\mathbb{P}(1, 3)} = [x + y^3] = [4y^3]$ in this basis is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{4}{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$

The grading $\mu$ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the quantum connection of $\mathbb{P}(1, 3)$ is given by

$$\nabla z \partial_z = z \partial_z - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{4}{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{3} \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}.$$

It is irregular singular at $z = 0$ and regular singular at $z = \infty$. Rewriting in $z^{-1}$ yields the irregular singularity at $\infty$.

\footnote{We always consider the case $q = 1$.}
Observation. By the gauge transformation \( h = \text{diag} \left( \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \theta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \), which subtracts \( \frac{1}{2} \) on the diagonal entries, and passing to \(-\theta\), connection (1) arising from the Landau–Ginzburg model is exactly the quantum connection (2) of \( \mathbb{P}(1,3) \), as predicted by mirror symmetry.

3.2. Dubrovin’s conjecture. Let \( X \) be a Fano variety (or an orbifold), such that the bounded derived category \( \mathbb{D}^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \) of coherent sheaves on \( X \) admits a full exceptional collection \( \langle E_1, \ldots, E_n \rangle \), where the collection \( \langle E_1, \ldots, E_n \rangle \) is called
- \textit{exceptional} if \( \text{RHom}(E_i, E_j) = \mathbb{C} \) for all \( i \) and \( \text{RHom}(E_i, E_j) = 0 \) for \( i > j \),
- \textit{full} if \( \mathbb{D}^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \) is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of \( \mathbb{D}^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \) containing \( E_1, \ldots, E_n \).

In [9], B. Dubrovin conjectured that, under appropriate choices, the Stokes matrix of the quantum connection of \( X \) equals the Gram matrix of the Euler–Poincaré pairing with respect to some full exceptional collection—modulo some action of the braid group, sign changes and permutations (cf. [5, Section 2.3]). Then the second Stokes matrix is the transpose of the first one. The Euler–Poincaré pairing is given by the bilinear form

\[
\chi(E, F) := \sum_k (-1)^k \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Ext}^k(E, F), \quad E, F \in \mathbb{D}^b(\text{Coh}(X)).
\]

The Gram matrix of \( \chi \) with respect to a full exceptional collection is upper triangular with ones on the diagonal.

For \( \mathbb{P}(a, b) \), \( \langle \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \ldots, \mathcal{O}(a + b - 1) \rangle \) is a full exceptional collection of \( \mathbb{D}^b(\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}(a, b))) \) (cf. [1, Theorem 2.12]). Following [3, Theorem 4.1], the cohomology of the twisting sheaves for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) is given by
- \( H^0(\mathbb{P}(a, b), \mathcal{O}(k)) = \bigoplus_{(m,n) \in I_0} \mathbb{C} x^m y^n \), where
  \[ I_0 = \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid am + bn = k \right\} \]
- \( H^1(\mathbb{P}(a, b), \mathcal{O}(k)) = \bigoplus_{(m,n) \in I_1} \mathbb{C} x^m y^n \), where
  \[ I_1 = \left\{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{< 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{< 0} \mid am + bn = k \right\} \]
- \( H^i(\mathbb{P}(a, b), \mathcal{O}(k)) = 0 \) for all \( i \geq 2 \).

We only need to compute \( \text{Ext}^k(\mathcal{O}(i), \mathcal{O}(j)) \) for \( i < j \) which is given by \( H^k(\mathcal{O}(j - i)) \) (cf. [14, Lemma 4.5]). Therefore, the zeroth cohomologies of the twisting sheaves \( \mathcal{O}(j - i) \) are the only ones that contribute to the Gram matrix of \( \chi \). For \( \mathbb{P}(1,3) \) we obtain the cohomology groups

\[
H^0(\mathcal{O}(1)) \cong \mathbb{C}, \quad H^0(\mathcal{O}(2)) \cong \mathbb{C}, \quad H^0(\mathcal{O}(3)) \cong \mathbb{C}^2
\]

and therefore the Gram matrix of the Euler–Poincaré pairing with respect to the full exceptional collection

\[
\mathcal{E} := \langle \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2), \mathcal{O}(3) \rangle
\]

is given by

\[
S_{\text{Gram}} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
3.3. Comparison of the Gram and Stokes matrix. Mirror symmetry relates the Laurent polynomial \( f = x + x^{-3} \) to the weighted projective line \( \mathbb{P}(1, 3) \). The pair \((\mathcal{G}_m, f = x + x^{-3})\) is a Landau–Ginzburg model of the weighted projective line \( \mathbb{P}(1, 3) \). According to Dubrovin’s conjecture, the Stokes matrix of the quantum connection of \( \mathbb{P}(1, 3) \) is given by the Gram matrix of the Euler–Poincaré pairing with respect to some full exceptional collection of \( D^b(\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}(1, 3))) \). Note that there is a natural action of the braid group on the Stokes matrix reflecting variations in the choices involved to determine the Stokes matrix (cf. [12]). In our case we have to consider the braid group

\[ B_4 = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \mid \beta_1 \beta_3 \beta_1 = \beta_3 \beta_1 \beta_3, \beta_2 \beta_1 \beta_2 = \beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_1, \beta_2 \beta_3 \beta_2 = \beta_3 \beta_2 \beta_3 \rangle. \]

We computed that the Gram matrix of \( \chi \) with respect to the full exceptional collection \( \mathcal{E} \) is given by (3). Via the action of the braid \( \beta_1 \in B_4 \), we find that it is equivalent to \( S_\beta \). Following [12, Section 6], the braid \( \beta_1 \) acts on the Gram matrix as

\[ S_{\text{Gram}} \mapsto S_{\text{Gram}}^{\beta_1} := A_{\beta_1}(S_{\text{Gram}}) \cdot S_{\text{Gram}} \cdot (A_{\beta_1}(S_{\text{Gram}}))^t, \]

where \( A_{\beta_1}(S_{\text{Gram}}) \) is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

We obtain that

\[ S_{\text{Gram}}^{\beta_1} = S_{\beta}. \]

**Remark.** \( S_{\text{Gram}}^{\beta_1} = S_{\beta} \) is the Gram matrix of the Euler–Poincaré pairing with respect to the right mutation \( R_1 \mathcal{E} \) of the full exceptional collection \( \mathcal{E} \) (cf. [5, Proposition 13.1]). The action of the braid \( \beta_1 \in B_4 \) should correspond to a counterclockwise rotation of \( \beta \). Therefore, we could expect to have the braid \( \beta_1 \) acting on our Stokes matrix.
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