QUANTUM AUTOMORPHISMS OF FOLDED CUBE GRAPHS

SIMON SCHMIDT

Abstract. We show that the quantum automorphism group of the Clebsch graph is $SO_5^{-1}$. This answers a question by Banica, Bichon and Collins from 2007. More general for odd $n$, the quantum automorphism group of the folded $n$-cube graph is $SO_n^{-1}$. Furthermore, we show that if the automorphism group of a graph contains a pair of disjoint automorphisms this graph has quantum symmetry.

Introduction

The concept of quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs was introduced by Banica and Bichon in [10]. It generalizes the classical automorphism groups of graphs within the framework of compact matrix quantum groups. We say that a graph has no quantum symmetry if the quantum automorphism group coincides with its usual automorphism group. A natural question is: When does a graph have no quantum symmetry? This has been studied in [5] for some graphs on $p$ vertices, $p$ prime, and more recently the author showed in [16] that the Petersen graph does not have quantum symmetry. Also Lupini, Mančinska and Roberson proved that almost all graphs have trivial quantum automorphism group in [12], which implies that almost all graphs do not have quantum symmetry.

In this article we develop a tool for detecting quantum symmetries namely we show that a graph has quantum symmetry if its automorphism group contains a pair of disjoint automorphisms (Theorem 2.2). As an example, we apply it to the Clebsch graph and obtain that it does have quantum symmetry (Corollary 3.2).

We even go further and prove that the quantum automorphism group of the Clebsch graph is $SO_5^{-1}$, the $q$-deformation at $q = -1$ of $SO_5$, answering a question from [7]. For this we use the fact that the Clebsch graph is the folded 5-cube graph. This can be pushed further to more general folded $n$-cube graphs: In [6], two generalizations of the hyperoctahedral group $H_n$ are given, one of them being
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$O_n^{-1}$ as quantum symmetry group of the hypercube graph. To prove that $O_n^{-1}$ is the quantum symmetry group of the hypercube graph, Banica, Bichon and Collins used the fact that the hypercube graph is a Cayley graph. It is also well known that the folded cube graph is a Cayley graph. We use similar techniques as in [6] to show that for odd $n$, the quantum symmetry group of the folded $n$-cube graph is $SO_n^{-1}$ which is the quotient of $O_n^{-1}$ by some quantum determinant condition (Theorem 5.9). This constitutes our main result.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Compact matrix quantum groups. We start with the definition of compact matrix quantum groups which were defined by Woronowicz [21, 22] in 1987. See [13, 18] for recent books on compact quantum groups.

**Definition 1.1.** A compact matrix quantum group $G$ is a pair $(C(G), u)$, where $C(G)$ is a unital (not necessarily commutative) $C^*$-algebra which is generated by $u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, the entries of a matrix $u \in M_n(C(G))$. Moreover, the $*$-homomorphism $\Delta : C(G) \to C(G) \otimes C(G), u_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$ must exist, and $u$ and its transpose $u^t$ must be invertible.

An important example of a compact matrix quantum group is the quantum symmetric group $S_n^+$ due to Wang [20]. It is the compact matrix quantum group, where

$$C(S_n^+) := C^*(u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n | u_{ij} = u_{ij}^*, u_{ij}^2 = u_{ij}, \sum_{l} u_{il} = \sum_{l} u_{li} = 1).$$

An action of a compact matrix quantum group on a $C^*$-algebra is defined as follows ([14, 20]).

**Definition 1.2.** Let $G = (C(G), u)$ be a compact matrix quantum group and let $B$ be a $C^*$-algebra. A (left) action of $G$ on $B$ is a unital $*$-homomorphism $\alpha : B \to B \otimes C(G)$ such that

(i) $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \alpha = (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) \circ \alpha$

(ii) $\alpha(B)(1 \otimes C(G))$ is linearly dense in $B \otimes C(G)$.

In [20], Wang showed that $S_n^+$ is the universal compact matrix quantum group acting on $X_n = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. This action is of the form $\alpha : C(X_n) \to C(X_n) \otimes C(S_n^+)$,

$$\alpha(e_i) = \sum_{j} e_j \otimes u_{ji}.$$ 


**Definition 1.3.** Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a finite graph with $n$ vertices and adjacency matrix $\varepsilon \in M_n(\{0, 1\})$. The quantum automorphism group $G^+_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma)$ is the compact matrix quantum group $(C(G^+_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma)), u)$, where $C(G^+_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma))$ is the universal $C^*$-algebra
with generators \( u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \) and relations

\[
(1.1) \quad u_{ij} = u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}^2 \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,
\]

\[
(1.2) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{n} u_{il} = 1 = \sum_{l=1}^{n} u_{li}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,
\]

\[
(1.3) \quad u \varepsilon = \varepsilon u,
\]

where (1.3) is nothing but \( \sum k u_{ik} \varepsilon_{kj} = \sum k \varepsilon_{ik} u_{kj} \).

**Remark 1.4.** There is another definition of a quantum automorphism group of a finite graph by Bichon in [9], which is a quantum subgroup of \( G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma) \). But this article concerns \( G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma) \). See [17] for more on quantum automorphism groups of graphs.

The next definition is due to Banica and Bichon [3]. We denote by \( G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma) \) the usual automorphism group of a graph \( \Gamma \).

**Definition 1.5.** Let \( \Gamma = (V,E) \) be a finite graph. We say that \( \Gamma \) has no quantum symmetry if \( C(G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma)) \) is commutative, or equivalently

\[
C(G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma)) = C(G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma)).
\]

If \( C(G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma)) \) is non-commutative, we say that \( \Gamma \) has quantum symmetry.

Note that \( G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma) \subseteq G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma) \), so in general a graph \( \Gamma \) has more quantum symmetries than symmetries.

1.3. **Compact matrix quantum groups acting on graphs.** An action of a compact matrix quantum group on a graph is an action on the functions on the vertices, but with additional structure. This concept was introduced by Banica and Bichon [1, 9].

**Definition 1.6.** Let \( \Gamma = (V,E) \) be a finite graph and \( G \) be a compact matrix quantum group. An action of \( G \) on \( \Gamma \) is an action of \( G \) on \( C(V) \) such that the magic unitary matrix \( (v_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq |V|} \) associated to the formular

\[
\alpha(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{|V|} e_j \otimes v_{ji}
\]

commutes with the adjacency matrix, i.e \( v \varepsilon = \varepsilon v \).

**Remark 1.7.** If \( G \) acts on a graph \( \Gamma \), then we have a surjective \( * \)-homomorphism \( \varphi : C(G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma)) \to C(G), u \mapsto v \).

The following theorem shows that commutation with the magic unitary \( u \) yields invariant subspaces.
This isomorphism is given by the Fourier transform and its inverse. We write considering the continuous functions $C$ where $i$ and $\psi$ and the Fourier transform.

Theorem 1.8 (Theorem 2.3 of [1]). Let $\alpha : C(X_n) \to C(X_n) \otimes C(G)$, $\alpha(e_i) = \sum_j e_j \otimes v_{ji}$ be an action, where $G$ is a compact matrix quantum group and let $K$ be a linear subspace of $C(X_n)$. The matrix $(v_{ij})$ commutes with the projection onto $K$ if and only if $\alpha(K) \subseteq K \otimes C(G)$.

Looking at the spectral decomposition of the adjacency matrix, we see that this action preserves the eigenspaces of the adjacency matrix.

Corollary 1.9. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be an undirected finite graph with adjacency matrix $\varepsilon$. The action $\alpha : C(V) \to C(V) \otimes C(G_{aut}(\Gamma))$, $\alpha(e_i) = \sum_j e_j \otimes u_{ji}$, preserves the eigenspaces of $\varepsilon$, i.e. $\alpha(E_\lambda) \subseteq E_\lambda \otimes C(G_{aut}(\Gamma))$ for all eigenspaces $E_\lambda$.

Proof. It follows from the spectral decomposition that every projection $P_{E_\lambda}$ onto $E_\lambda$ is a polynomial in $\varepsilon$. Thus it commutes with the fundamental corepresentation $u$ and Theorem 1.8 yields the assertion. \□

1.4. Fourier transform. One can obtain a $C^*$-algebra from the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ by either considering the continuous functions $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ over the group or the group $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is abelian, we know that $C(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^n)$ by Pontryagin duality. This isomorphism is given by the Fourier transform and its inverse. We write

$$
\mathbb{Z}_2^n = \{t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_n^{i_n} | i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{0, 1\}\},
$$

$$
C(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) = \text{span}(e_{t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_n^{i_n}} | t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_n^{i_n} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n),
$$

$$
C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) = C^*(t_1, \ldots, t_n | t_i = t_i^*, t_i^2 = 1, t_it_j = t_jt_i),
$$

where

$$
e_{t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_n^{i_n}} : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{C},
$$

$$
e_{t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_n^{i_n}}(t_1^{j_1} \ldots t_n^{j_n}) = \delta_{i_1j_1} \ldots \delta_{i_nj_n}.
$$

The proof of the following proposition can be found in [2] for example.

Proposition 1.10. The $^*$-homomorphisms

$$
\varphi : C(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \to C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^n),
$$

$$
e_{t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_n^{i_n}} \to \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_n=0}^1 (-1)^{t_1^{i_1}j_1 + \ldots + t_n^{i_n}j_n} t_1^{i_1j_1} \ldots t_n^{i_nj_n}
$$

and

$$
\psi : C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \to C(\mathbb{Z}_2^n),
$$

$$
t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_n^{i_n} \to \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_n=0}^1 (-1)^{i_1j_1 + \ldots + i_nj_n} e_{t_1^{j_1} \ldots t_n^{j_n}},
$$

where $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{0, 1\}$, are inverse to each other. The map $\varphi$ is called Fourier transform, the map $\psi$ is called inverse Fourier transform.
2. A CRITERION FOR A GRAPH TO HAVE QUANTUM SYMMETRY

In this section, we show that a graph has quantum symmetry if the automorphism group of the graph contains a certain pair of permutations. For this we need the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( V = \{1, \ldots, r\} \). We say that two permutations \( \sigma : V \to V \) and \( \tau : V \to V \) are **disjoint**, if \( \sigma(i) \neq i \) implies \( \tau(i) = i \) and vice versa, for all \( i \in V \).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( \Gamma = (V, E) \) be a finite graph without multiple edges. If there exist two non-trivial, disjoint automorphisms \( \sigma, \tau \in G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma) \), \( \text{ord}(\sigma) = n, \text{ord}(\tau) = m \), then we get a surjective \(*\)-homomorphism \( \varphi : C(G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma)) \to C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n \ast \mathbb{Z}_m) \). In particular, \( \Gamma \) has quantum symmetry if \( n, m \geq 2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma, \tau \in G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma) \) be non-trivial disjoint automorphisms with \( \text{ord}(\sigma) = n, \text{ord}(\tau) = m \). Define

\[
A := C^*(p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_1, \ldots, q_m) \ni p_k = p_k^*, q_l = q_l^* \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \sum_{k=1}^n p_k = 1 = \sum_{l=1}^m q_l \ni \cong C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n \ast \mathbb{Z}_m).
\]

We want to use the universal property to get a surjective \(*\)-homomorphism \( \varphi : C(G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma)) \to A \). This yields the non-commutativity of \( G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma) \), since \( p_k, q_l \) do not have to commute. In order to do so, define

\[
u' := \sum_{l=1}^m \tau^l \otimes q_l + \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^k \otimes p_k - \text{id}_{M_r(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A} \in M_r(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A \cong M_r(A),
\]

where \( \tau^l, \sigma^k \) denote the permutation matrices corresponding to \( \tau^l, \sigma^k \in G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma) \). This yields

\[
u'_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^m \delta_{j\tau^l(i)} \otimes q_l + \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{j\sigma^k(i)} \otimes p_k - \delta_{ij} \in \mathbb{C} \otimes A \cong A.
\]

Now, we show that \( u' \) does fulfill the relations of \( u \in M_r(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A \), the fundamental representation of \( G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma) \). Since we have \( \tau^l, \sigma^k \in G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma) \), it holds \( \tau^l \varepsilon = \varepsilon \tau^l \) and \( \sigma^k \varepsilon = \varepsilon \sigma^k \) for all \( 1 \leq l \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq n \), where \( \varepsilon \) denotes the adjacency matrix of \( \Gamma \). Therefore, we have

\[
u'(\varepsilon \otimes 1) = \left( \sum_{l=1}^m \tau^l \otimes q_l + \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^k \otimes p_k - \text{id}_{M_r(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A} \right)(\varepsilon \otimes 1)
= \sum_{l=1}^m \tau^l \varepsilon \otimes q_l + \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma^k \varepsilon \otimes p_k - (\varepsilon \otimes 1)
= \sum_{l=1}^m \varepsilon \tau^l \otimes q_l + \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon \sigma^k \otimes p_k - (\varepsilon \otimes 1).
\]
= (\varepsilon \otimes 1) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{m} \tau^l \otimes q_l + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma^k \otimes p_k - \text{id}_{M_r(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A} \right) \\
= (\varepsilon \otimes 1)u'.

Furthermore, it holds
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} u'_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{m} \delta_{\tau^l(i)} \otimes q_l + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{\sigma^k(i)} \otimes p_k \right) - 1 \otimes 1 \]
\[ = 1 \otimes \left( \sum_{l=1}^{m} q_l \right) + 1 \otimes \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \right) - 1 \otimes 1 \]
\[ = 1 \otimes 1. \]

A similar computation shows \( \sum_{i=1}^{r} u'_{ij} = 1 \otimes 1 \). Since \( \tau \) and \( \sigma \) are disjoint, we have
\[ u_{ij}' = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \delta_{\tau^l(i)} \otimes q_l + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{\sigma^k(i)} \otimes p_k - \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in N_{ij}} p_k, & \text{if } \sigma(i) \neq i \\ \sum_{l \in M_{ij}} q_l, & \text{if } \tau(i) \neq i \\ \delta_{ij}, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \]
where \( N_{ij} = \{ k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}; \sigma^k(i) = j \} \), \( M_{ij} = \{ l \in \{1, \ldots, m\}; \tau^l(i) = j \} \). Thus, all entries of \( u' \) are projections. By the universal property, we get a *-homomorphism \( \varphi : C(G^+_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma)) \to A, u \mapsto u' \).

It remains to show that \( \varphi \) is surjective. We know \( \text{ord}(\sigma) = n \). By decomposing \( \sigma \) into a product of disjoint cycles, we see that there exist \( s_1, \ldots, s_a \in V \) such that for all \( k_1 \neq k_2, k_1, k_2 \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), we have
\[(\sigma^{k_1}(s_1), \ldots, \sigma^{k_1}(s_a)) \neq (\sigma^{k_2}(s_1), \ldots, \sigma^{k_2}(s_a)).\]
By similar considerations, there exist \( t_1, \ldots, t_b \in V \) such that
\[(\tau^{l_1}(t_1), \ldots, \tau^{l_1}(t_b)) \neq (\tau^{l_2}(t_1), \ldots, \tau^{l_2}(t_b))\]
for \( l_1 \neq l_2, l_1, l_2 \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \). Therefore, we have
\[ \varphi(u_{s_11}s_1 \ldots u_{s_a1}s_a) = u'_{s_11} \ldots u'_{s_a1}s_a = p_k, \]
\[ \varphi(u_{t_11}t_1 \ldots u_{t_b1}t_b) = u'_{t_11} \ldots u'_{t_b1}t_b = q_l \]
for all \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, l \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) and since \( A \) is generated by \( p_k \) and \( q_l \), \( \varphi \) is surjective.

**Remark 2.3.** Let \( K_4 \) be the full graph on 4 points. We know that \( G_{\text{aut}}(K_4) = S_4 \) and \( G^+_{\text{aut}}(K_4) = S_4^+ \). We have disjoint automorphisms in \( S_4 \), where for example
σ = (12), τ = (34) ∈ S_4 give us the well known surjective *-homomorphism

\[ \varphi : C(S_4^+) \to C^*(p, q \mid p = p^* = p^2, q = q^* = q^2), \]

\[ u \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} p & 1-p & 0 & 0 \\ 1-p & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & 1-q \\ 0 & 0 & 1-q & q \end{pmatrix}, \]

yielding the non-commutativity of S_4^+.

**Remark 2.4.** Let Γ = (V, E) be a finite graph without multiple edges, where there exist two non-trivial, disjoint automorphisms σ, τ ∈ G_{aut}(Γ). To show that Γ has quantum symmetry it is enough to see that we have the surjective *-homomorphism

\[ \varphi' : C(G_{aut}(Γ)) \to C^*(p, q \mid p = p^* = p^2, q = q^* = q^2), \]

\[ u \mapsto \sigma \otimes p + \tau \otimes q + \text{id}_{M_r(C)} \otimes (1 - q - p). \]

**Remark 2.5.** At the moment, we do not have an example of a graph Γ, where G_{aut}(Γ) does not contain two disjoint automorphisms but the graph has quantum symmetry.

### 3. The Clebsch graph has quantum symmetry

As an application of Theorem 2.2, we show that the Clebsch graph does have quantum symmetry. In Section 5, we will study the quantum automorphism group of this graph.

![Figure 1. The Clebsch graph](image)

**Proposition 3.1.** The Clebsch graph has disjoint automorphisms.

**Proof.** We label the graph as follows
Then we get two non-trivial disjoint automorphisms of this graph

\[ \sigma = (2\,3)(6\,7)(10\,11)(14\,15), \]
\[ \tau = (1\,4)(5\,8)(9\,12)(13\,16). \]

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.2.** The Clebsch graph does have quantum symmetries, i.e. \( C(G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma_{\text{Clebsch}})) \) is non-commutative.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.2 we get that \( C(G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma_{\text{Clebsch}})) \) is non-commutative. Looking at the proof of Theorem 2.2 we get the surjective \(*\)-homomorphism \( \varphi : C(G_{\text{aut}}(\Gamma_{\text{Clebsch}})) \rightarrow C^*(p, q \mid p = p^* = p^2, q = q^* = q^2), \)

\[ u \mapsto u' = \begin{pmatrix}
    u'' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & u'' & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & u'' & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & u''
  \end{pmatrix}, \]

where

\[ u'' = \begin{pmatrix}
    q & 0 & 0 & 1 - q \\
    0 & p & 1 - p & 0 \\
    0 & 1 - p & p & 0 \\
    1 - q & 0 & 0 & q
  \end{pmatrix}. \]

\[ \square \]

**Remark 3.3.**

(i) The Clebsch graph is the folded 5-cube graph, which will be introduced in Section 5. There we will study the quantum automorphism group for (2m + 1)-folded cube graphs going far beyond Corollary 3.2.

(ii) Using Theorem 2.2 it is also easy to see that the folded cube graphs have quantum symmetry, but this will also follow from our main result (Theorem 5.9).
4. The quantum group $SO_n^{-1}$

Now, we will have a closer look at the quantum group $SO_n^{-1}$, but first we define $O_n^{-1}$, which appeared in [6] as the quantum automorphism group of the hypercube graph. For both it is immediate to check that the comultiplication $\Delta$ is a $\ast$-homomorphism.

**Definition 4.1.** We define $O_n^{-1}$ to be the compact matrix quantum group $(C(O_n^{-1}), u)$, where $C(O_n^{-1})$ is the universal $C^\ast$-algebra with generators $u_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and relations

\begin{align}
(4.1) & \quad u_{ij} = u_{ij}^*, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\
(4.2) & \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{ik} u_{jk} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{ki} u_{kj} = \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\
(4.3) & \quad u_{ij} u_{ik} = -u_{ik} u_{ij}, u_{ji} u_{ki} = -u_{ki} u_{ji}, \quad k \neq j, \\
(4.4) & \quad u_{ij} u_{kl} = u_{kl} u_{ij}, \quad i \neq k, j \neq l.
\end{align}

For $n = 3$, $SO_3^{-1}$ appeared in [4], where Banica and Bichon showed $SO_3^{-1} = S^+_3$. Our main result in this paper is that for $n$ odd, $SO_n^{-1}$ is the quantum automorphism group of the folded $n$-cube graph.

**Definition 4.2.** We define $SO_n^{-1}$ to be the compact matrix quantum group $(C(SO_n^{-1}), u)$, where $C(SO_n^{-1})$ is the universal $C^\ast$-algebra with generators $u_{ij}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, Relations (4.1) – (4.4) and

\begin{equation}
(4.5) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \cdots u_{\sigma(n)} = 1.
\end{equation}

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the generators of $C(SO_n^{-1})$. Then

\[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \cdots u_{\sigma(n-1)} u_{\sigma(n)k} = 0 \]

for $k \neq n$.

**Proof.** Let $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Using Relations (4.3) and (4.4) we get

\[ u_{\sigma(1)} \cdots u_{\sigma(k)} \cdots u_{\sigma(n-1)} u_{\sigma(n)k} = -u_{\sigma(1)} \cdots u_{\sigma(n)k} \cdots u_{\sigma(n-1)} u_{\sigma(k)k} = -u_{\tau(1)} \cdots u_{\tau(k)k} \cdots u_{\tau(n-1)} u_{\tau(n)k} \]

for $\tau = \sigma \circ (kn) \in S_n$. Therefore the summands corresponding to $\sigma$ and $\tau$ sum up to zero. The result is then clear. \hfill $\square$

The next lemma gives an equivalent formulation of Relation (4.5). One direction is a special case of [19, Lemma 4.6].

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $A$ be a $C^\ast$-algebra and let $u_{ij} \in A$ be elements that fulfill Relations (4.1) – (4.4). Let $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and define

\[ I_j = \{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^{n-1} | i_a \neq i_b \text{ for } a \neq b, i_s \neq j \text{ for all } s\}. \]
The following are equivalent

(i) We have
\[ 1 = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \ldots u_{\sigma(n)}. \]

(ii) It holds
\[ u_{jn} = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} u_{i_1} \ldots u_{i_{n-1}n-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n. \]

**Proof.** We first show that (ii) implies (i). It holds
\[ 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{jn}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} u_{i_1} \ldots u_{i_{n-1}n-1} u_{jn}, \]
where we used Relation (4.2) and (ii). Furthermore, we have
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} u_{i_1} \ldots u_{i_{n-1}n-1} u_{jn} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \ldots u_{\sigma(n)}, \]
and thus (ii) implies (i).

Now we show that (i) implies (ii). We have
\[ u_{jn} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \ldots u_{\sigma(n)} u_{jn} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \ldots u_{\sigma(n-1)n-1} u_{\sigma(n)} k u_{jk}, \]
since \( \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \ldots u_{\sigma(n-1)n-1} u_{\sigma(n)} k u_{jk} = 0 \) for \( k \neq n \) by Lemma 4.3. We get
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \ldots u_{\sigma(n-1)n-1} u_{\sigma(n)} k u_{jk} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \ldots u_{\sigma(n-1)n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{\sigma(n)} k u_{jk} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} u_{\sigma(1)} \ldots u_{\sigma(n-1)n-1} \delta_{\sigma(n)j}, \]
where we used Relation (4.2) and we obtain \( u_{jn} = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} u_{i_1} \ldots u_{i_{n-1}n-1}. \)

We now discuss representations of \( SO_{2m+1}^{-1} \). For definitions and background for this proposition, we refer to [8, 10, 15].

**Proposition 4.5.** The category of corepresentations of \( SO_{2m+1}^{-1} \) is tensor equivalent to the category of representations of \( SO_{2m+1} \).
Remark 5.2. To justify the name, one can obtain the folded $x_n$-cube graph by identifying every opposite pair of vertices from the $n$-hypercube graph.

5. Quantum Automorphism Groups of Folded Cube Graphs

In what follows, we will introduce folded cube graphs $FQ_n$ and show that for odd $n$, the quantum automorphism group of $FQ_n$ is $SO_n^{-1}$.

5.1. The folded $n$-cube graph $FQ_n$.

Definition 5.1. The folded $n$-cube graph $FQ_n$ is the graph with vertex set $V = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \mid x_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$, where two vertices $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ and $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1})$ are connected if they differ at exactly one position or if $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) = (1 - x_1, \ldots, 1 - x_{n-1})$.

Remark 5.2. To justify the name, one can obtain the folded $n$-cube graph by identifying every opposite pair of vertices from the $n$-hypercube graph.

5.2. The folded cube graph as Cayley graph. It is known that the folded cube graphs are Cayley graphs, we recall this fact in the next lemma.

Lemma 5.3. The folded $n$-cube graph $FQ_n$ is the Cayley graph of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$, where the generators $t_i$ fulfill the relations $t_i^2 = 1, t_it_j = t_jt_i, t_n = t_1 \ldots t_{n-1}$.
Proof. Consider the Cayley graph of $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_2 = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$. The vertices are elements of $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_2$, which are products of the form $g = t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n-1}$. The exponents are in one to one correspondence to $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$, $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$, thus the vertices of the Cayley graph are the vertices of the folded $n$-cube graph. The edges of the Cayley graph are drawn between vertices $g, h$, where $g = ht_i$ for some $i$. For $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the operation $h \rightarrow ht_k$ changes the $k$-th exponent to $1 - i_k$, so we get edges between vertices that differ at exactly one exponent. The operation $h \rightarrow ht_n$ takes $t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n-1}$ to $t_1^{i_1-j_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n-j_n-1}$, thus we get the remaining edges of $FQ_n$. \hfill $\square$

5.3. Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of $FQ_n$. We will now discuss the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the adjacency matrix of $FQ_n$.

**Lemma 5.4.** The eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of $FQ_n$ are given by

$$w_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}} = \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-1}=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{i_1 j_1 + \cdots + i_{n-1} j_{n-1}} e_{t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}-1}}$$

$$\lambda_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}} = (-1)^{i_1} + \ldots + (-1)^{i_{n-1}} + (-1)^{i_1 + \cdots + i_{n-1}},$$

when the vector space spanned by the vertices of $FQ_n$ is identified with $C(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}_2)$.

**Proof.** Let $\varepsilon$ be the adjacency matrix of $FQ_n$. Then we know for a vertex $p$ and a function $f$ on the vertices that

$$\varepsilon f(p) = \sum_{q \in \langle q, p \rangle \in E} f(q).$$

This yields

$$\varepsilon e_{t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}-1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e_{t_k t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}-1}} = e_{t_1^{j_1+1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}-1}} + \cdots + e_{t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}+1}} + e_{t_1^{j_1+1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}+1}}.$$

For the vectors in the statement we get

$$\varepsilon w_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}} = \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-1}} (-1)^{i_1 j_1 + \cdots + i_{n-1} j_{n-1}} e_{t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-1}} (-1)^{i_1 j_1 + \cdots + i_{n-1} j_{n-1}} e_{t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_s^{j_s+1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_{n-1}} (-1)^{i_1 j_1 + \cdots + i_{n-1} j_{n-1}} e_{t_1^{j_1+1} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}+1}}.$$

Using the index shift $j'_s = j_s + 1 \mod 2$, for $s \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we get

$$\varepsilon w_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}} = \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j'_s, \ldots, j_{n-1}} (-1)^{i_1 j_1 + \cdots + i_s (j'_s+1) + \cdots + i_{n-1} j_{n-1}} e_{t_1^{j_1} \cdots t_s^{j_s'} \cdots t_n^{j_{n-1}}}.$$
The eigenvectors of $FQ^\lambda$ corresponding to the eigenvalues

Proof. We obtain $\hat{\text{Lemma 5.5}}$. In those are $2^{n-1}$ vectors that are linearly independent, the assertion follows. □

The following lemma shows what the eigenvectors look like if we identify the vector space spanned by the vertices of $FQ$.

Lemma 5.5. In $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}) = C^*\left(t_1, \ldots, t_n \mid t_i^2 = 1, t_i t_j = t_j t_i, t_n = t_1 \ldots t_{n-1}\right)$ the eigenvectors of $FQ_n$ are

$$\hat{w}_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}} = t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}$$

corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}}$ from Lemma 5.4.

Proof. We obtain $\hat{w}_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}}$ by using the Fourier transform (see Section 1.4) on $w_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}}$ from Lemma 5.4. □

Note that certain eigenvalues in Lemma 5.4 coincide. We get a better description of the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of $FQ_n$ in the next lemma.

Lemma 5.6. The eigenvalues of $FQ_n$ are given by $\lambda_k = n - 2k$ for $k \in 2\mathbb{Z} \cap \{0, \ldots, n\}$. The eigenvectors $t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}$ corresponding to $\lambda_k$ have word lengths $k$ or $k - 1$ and form a basis of $E_{\lambda_k}$. Here $E_{\lambda_k}$ denotes the eigenspace to the eigenvalue $\lambda_k$.

Proof. Let $k \in 2\mathbb{Z} \cap \{0, \ldots, n\}$. By Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5, we get that an eigenvector $t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}$ of word length $k$ (here $k \neq n$, if $n$ is even) with respect to $t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ corresponds to the eigenvalue

$$(−1)^{i_1} + \ldots + (−1)^{i_{n-1}} + (−1)^{i_1 + \ldots + i_{n-1}} = −k + (n − 1 − k) + 1 = n − 2k.$$ 

Now consider an eigenvector $t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}$ of word length $k - 1$. Then we get the eigenvalue

$$(−1)^{i_1} + \ldots + (−1)^{i_{n-1}} + (−1)^{i_1 + \ldots + i_{n-1}} = −(k − 1) + (n − k) − 1 = n − 2k.$$ 

We go through all the eigenvectors of Lemma 5.5 in this way and we obtain exactly the eigenvalues $\lambda_k = n - 2k$. Since the eigenvectors of word lengths $k$ or $k - 1$ are exactly those corresponding to $\lambda_k$, they form a basis of $E_{\lambda_k}$. □
5.4. The quantum automorphism group of $FQ_{2m+1}$. For the rest of this section, we restrict to the folded $n$-cube graphs, where $n = 2m + 1$ is odd. We show that in this case, the quantum automorphism group is $SO_n^{-1}$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.7. Let $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n$ be generators of $C^*({\mathbb{Z}}_{2}^{n-1})$ with $\tau_i^2 = 1$, $\tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i$, $\tau_n = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{n-1}$ and let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra with elements $u_{ij} \in A$ fulfilling Relations (4.1) – (4.4). Let $(i_1, \ldots, i_l) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^l$ with $i_a \neq i_b$ for $a \neq b$, where $1 \leq l \leq n$. Then

$$\sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1}^{n} \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_l} = \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1, j_a \neq j_b \text{ for } a \neq b}^{n} \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_l}. $$

Proof. Let $j_s = j_{s+1} = k$ and let the remaining $j_l$ be arbitrary. Summing over $k$, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_{s-1}} \tau_k^2 \tau_{j_{s+2}} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{k_{i_s}} u_{k_{i_{s+1}}} \cdots u_{j_l}$$

$$= \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_{s-1}} \tau_{j_{s+2}} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k_{i_s}} u_{k_{i_{s+1}}} \right) \cdots u_{j_l}$$

$$= 0$$

by Relation (4.2) since $i_s \neq i_{s+1}$. Doing this for all $s \in \{1, \ldots, l-1\}$ we get

$$\sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1}^{n} \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_l} = \sum_{j_1 \neq \ldots \neq j_l}^{n} \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_l}. $$

Now, let $j_s = j_{s+2} = k$ and let $j_1 \neq \cdots \neq j_l$. Since $k = j_s \neq j_{s+1}$ and $i_a \neq i_b$ for $a \neq b$, we have $u_{k_{i_s}} u_{j_{s+1} i_{s+1}} = u_{j_{s+1} i_{s+1}} u_{k_{i_s}}$. We also know that $\tau_k \tau_{j_{s+1}} = \tau_{j_{s+1}} \tau_k$ and thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_{s-1}} \tau_k \tau_{j_{s+1}} \tau_k \tau_{j_{s+3}} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{k_{i_s}} u_{j_{s+1} i_{s+1}} u_{k_{i_{s+2}}} \cdots u_{j_l}$$

$$= \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_{s-1}} \tau_{j_{s+1}} \tau_{j_{s+3}} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_{s+1} i_{s+1}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k_{i_s}} u_{k_{i_{s+2}}} \right) \cdots u_{j_l}$$

$$= 0$$

by Relation (4.2) since $i_s \neq i_{s+2}$. This yields

$$\sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1}^{n} \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_l} = \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_l=1, j_a \neq j_b \text{ for } 0 < |a-b| \leq 2}^{n} \tau_{j_1} \cdots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_l}$$

The assertion follows after iterating this argument $l$ times. \[\square\]

We first show that $SO_n^{-1}$ acts on the folded $n$-cube graph.
Lemma 5.8. For $n$ odd, the quantum group $SO_n^{-1}$ acts on $FQ_n$.

Proof. We need to show that there exists an action

$$\alpha : C(V_{FQ_n}) \to C(V_{FQ_n}) \otimes C(SO_n^{-1}), \quad \alpha(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{|V_{FQ_n}|} e_j \otimes v_{ji},$$

such that $(v_{ij})$ commutes with the adjacency matrix of $FQ_n$. By Fourier transform, this is the same as getting an action

$$\alpha : C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}) \to C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}) \otimes C(SO_n^{-1}),$$

where we identify the functions on the vertex set of $FQ_n$ with $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1})$. We claim that

$$\alpha(\tau_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_j \otimes u_{ji}$$

gives the answer, where

$$\tau_i = t_1 \ldots \hat{t}_i \ldots t_n - 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \quad \tau_n = t_n$$

for $t_i$ as in Lemma 5.5 and $(u_{ij})$ is the fundamental corepresentation of $SO_n^{-1}$. Here $\hat{t}_i$ means that $t_i$ is not part of the product. These $\tau_i$ generate $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1})$, with relations $\tau_i^* = \tau_i^2 = 1, \tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i$ and $\tau_n = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_{n-1}$. Define

$$\tau'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_j \otimes u_{ji}.$$

To show that $\alpha$ defines a $^*$-homomorphism, we have to show that the relations of the generators $\tau_i$ also hold for $\tau'_i$. It is obvious that $(\tau'_i)^* = \tau'_i$. Using Relations (4.2)–(4.4) it is straightforward to check that $(\tau'_i)^2 = 1$ and $\tau'_i \tau'_j = \tau'_j \tau'_i$. Now, we show $\tau'_n = \tau'_1 \ldots \tau'_{n-1}$. By Lemma 5.7, it holds

$$\tau'_1 \ldots \tau'_{n-1} = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1} \in I_j \mid i_a \neq i_b \text{ for } a \neq b} \tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_{n-1}} \otimes u_{i_1} \ldots u_{i_{n-1} n-1},$$

where $I_j = \{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in \{1, \ldots, n\}^{n-1} \mid i_a \neq i_b \text{ for } a \neq b, i_s \neq j \text{ for all } s \}$ like in Lemma 4.4. For all $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j$, we know that $\tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_{n-1}} = \tau_1 \ldots \hat{\tau}_j \ldots \tau_n$. 
Using $\tau_n = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_{n-1}$ and $\tau_i^2 = 1$, we get $\tau_1 \ldots \tau_j \ldots \tau_n = \tau_j$ and thus
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} \tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_{n-1}} \otimes u_{i_11} \ldots u_{i_{n-1}n-1}
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \tau_j \otimes \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} u_{i_11} \ldots u_{i_{n-1}n-1} \right).
\]
The equivalent formulation of Relation (4.3) in Lemma 4.4 yields
\[
\tau_1' \ldots \tau_{n-1}' = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \tau_j \otimes \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} u_{i_11} \ldots u_{i_{n-1}n-1} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_j \otimes u_{jn} = \tau_n'.
\]
Summarising, the map $\alpha$ exists and is a *-homomorphism. It is straightforward to check that $\alpha$ is unital and since $u$ is a corepresentation, $\alpha$ is coassociative.

Now, we show that $\alpha(C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}))(1 \otimes C(SO_n^{-1}))$ is linearly dense in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}) \otimes C(SO_n^{-1})$. It holds
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha(\tau_i)(1 \otimes u_{ki}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \tau_j \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ji} u_{ki} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_j \otimes \delta_{jk} = \tau_k \otimes 1,
\]
thus $(\tau_k \otimes 1) \in \alpha(C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}))(1 \otimes C(SO_n^{-1}))$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since $\alpha$ is unital, we also get $1 \otimes C(SO_n^{-1}) \subseteq \alpha(C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}))(1 \otimes C(SO_n^{-1}))$. By a standard argument, see for example [17 Section 4.2], we get that $\alpha(C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}))(1 \otimes C(SO_n^{-1}))$ is linearly dense in $C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}) \otimes C(SO_n^{-1})$.

It remains to show that the magic unitary matrix associated to $\alpha$ commutes with the adjacency matrix of $FQ_n$. We want to show that $\alpha$ preserves the eigenspaces of the adjacency matrix, i.e. $\alpha(E_{\lambda}) \subseteq E_{\lambda} \otimes C(SO_n^{-1})$ for all eigenspaces $E_{\lambda}$, then Theorem 1.8 yields the assertion. Since it holds $t_j = \tau_j \tau_n$, by Lemma 4.5 we have eigenvectors
\[
\hat{w}_{i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}} = i_{1}^{i_1} \ldots i_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} = \begin{cases} \tau_{1}^{i_1} \ldots \tau_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}} & \text{for } \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i_k \text{ even} \\ \tau_{1}^{i_1} \ldots \tau_{n-1}^{1-i_{n-1}} & \text{for } \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} i_k \text{ odd} \end{cases}
\]

for all $i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}$ as in Lemma 4.4. Using Lemma 4.6, we see that the eigenspaces $E_{\lambda_k}$ are spanned by eigenvectors $\tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_{n-1}}$ of word lengths $k$ or $n-k$, where we consider the word length with respect to $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{n-1}$.

Let $1 \leq l \leq n - 1$. By Lemma 5.1 we have for $i_1, \ldots, i_l, i_a \neq i_b$ for $a \neq b$:
\[
\alpha(\tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_l}) = \sum_{\substack{j_1, \ldots, j_l; \\
j_a \neq j_b \text{ for } a \neq b}} \tau_{j_1} \ldots \tau_{j_l} \otimes u_{j_1i_1} \ldots u_{j_li_l}.
\]
For $\tau_{j_1} \ldots \tau_{j_l}$, where $j_s \neq n$ for all $s$, we immediately get that this is in the same eigenspace as $\tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_l}$ since $\tau_{j_1} \ldots \tau_{j_l}$ has the same word length as $\tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_l}$. Take
now \( \tau_{j_1} \ldots \tau_{j_l} \), where we have \( j_s = n \) for some \( s \). We get
\[
\tau_{j_1} \ldots \tau_{j_l} = \tau_{j_1} \ldots \tau_{j_s} \ldots \tau_{j_l} \tau_n = \tau_{j_1} \ldots \tau_{j_s} \ldots \tau_{j_l} \tau_1 \ldots \tau_{n-1},
\]
which has word length \( n - 1 - (l - 1) = n - l \), thus it is in the same eigenspace as \( \tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_s} \). This yields
\[
\alpha(E_\lambda) \subseteq E_\lambda \otimes C(SO_n^{-1}),
\]
for all eigenspaces \( E_\lambda \) and thus \( SO_n^{-1} \) acts on \( FQ_n \) by Theorem 1.8.

Now, we can prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 5.9.** For \( n \) odd, the quantum automorphism group of the folded \( n \)-cube graph \( FQ_n \) is \( SO_n^{-1} \).

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.8 we get a surjective map \( C(G_{\text{aut}}(FQ_n)) \rightarrow C(SO_n^{-1}) \). We have to show that this is an isomorphism between \( C(SO_n^{-1}) \) and \( C(G_{\text{aut}}(FQ_n)) \). Consider the universal action on \( FQ_n \)
\[
\beta : C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \rightarrow C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2^n) \otimes C(G_{\text{aut}}(FQ_n)).
\]
Consider \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \) like in Lemma 5.8. They have word length \( n - 2 \) or \( n - 1 \) with respect to \( t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1} \) and they form a basis of \( E_{-n+2} \) by Lemma 5.6. Therefore, we get elements \( x_{ij} \) such that
\[
\beta(\tau_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_j \otimes x_{ji}
\]
by Corollary 1.9. Similar to [6] one shows that \( x_{ij} \) fulfill Relations (4.1)–(4.4). It remains to show that Relation (4.5) holds. Applying \( \beta \) to \( \tau_n = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_{n-1} \) and using Lemma 5.7 yields
\[
\sum_j \tau_j \otimes x_{jn} = \beta(\tau_n) = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}, \ i_a \neq i_b \ for \ a \neq b} \tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_{n-1}} \otimes x_{i_11} \ldots x_{i_{n-1}n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} \tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_{n-1}} \otimes x_{i_11} \ldots x_{i_{n-1}n-1}.
\]
As in the proof of Lemma 5.8, we have \( \tau_{i_1} \ldots \tau_{i_{n-1}} = \tau_j \) for \( (i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j \) and we get
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_j \otimes x_{jn} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \tau_j \otimes \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} x_{i_11} \ldots x_{i_{n-1}n-1} \right).
\]
We deduce
\[
x_{jn} = \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \in I_j} x_{i_11} \ldots x_{i_{n-1}n-1}.
\]
which is equivalent to Relation (4.5) by Lemma 4.4. Thus, we also get a surjective map $C(SO_n^{-1}) \to C(G_{\text{aut}}^+(FQ_n))$ which is inverse to the map $C(G_{\text{aut}}^+(FQ_n)) \to C(SO_n^{-1})$. □

Remark 5.10.

(i) It was asked in [7] by Banica, Bichon and Collins to investigate the quantum automorphism group of the Clebsch graph. Since the 5-folded cube graph is the Clebsch graph we get $G_{\text{aut}}^+(\Gamma_{\text{Clebsch}}) = SO_5^{-1}$.

(ii) The 3-folded cube graph is the full graph on four points, thus our theorem yields $S_4^+ = SO_3^{-1}$, as already shown in [4].

Remark 5.11. We do not have a similar theorem for folded cube graphs $FQ_n$ with $n$ even, since the eigenspace $E_{-n+2}$ behaves different in the odd case.
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