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Abstract—Person re-identification involves the recognition over time of individuals captured using multiple distributed sensors. With the advent of powerful deep learning methods able to learn discriminant representations for visual recognition, cross-modal person re-identification based on different sensor modalities has become viable in many challenging applications in, e.g., autonomous driving, robotics and video surveillance. Although some methods have been proposed for re-identification between infrared and RGB images, few address depth and RGB images. In addition to the challenges for each modality associated with occlusion, clutter, misalignment, and variations in pose and illumination, there is a considerable shift across modalities since data from RGB and depth images are heterogeneous. In this paper, a new cross-modal distillation network is proposed for robust person re-identification between RGB and depth sensors. Using a two-step optimization process, the proposed method transfers supervision between modalities such that similar structural features are extracted from both RGB and depth modalities, yielding a discriminative mapping to a common feature space. Our experiments investigate the influence of the dimensionality of the embedding space, compares transfer learning from depth to RGB and vice versa, and compares against other state-of-the-art cross-modal re-identification methods. Results obtained with BIWI and RobotPKU datasets indicate that the proposed method can successfully transfer descriptive structural features from the depth modality to the RGB modality. It can significantly outperform state-of-the-art conventional methods and deep neural networks for cross-modal sensing between RGB and depth, with no impact on computational complexity.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Person re-identification is an important function in monitoring and surveillance applications, such as multi-camera target tracking, pedestrian detection in autonomous driving, access control in biometrics, search and retrieval in video surveillance, and forensics [1], [2], [9], and, as such, has gained much attention in recent years. Given the query image of an individual captured over a network of distributed cameras, person re-identification seeks to recognize that individual based on a gallery of previously-captured images [3].

Traditionally, person re-identification involves recognizing individuals over a network of non-overlapping cameras that sense in the same RGB modality. State-of-the-art single modal methods based on RGB images can be categorized as either feature learning based methods, that seek to learn robust and discriminant feature representations from person samples [4], [20], [21], [25], [26], [27], or distance learning based methods, that seek to learn an effective distance metric that can minimize the difference between persons from different cameras [5], [24], [28], [29], [30]. Single-modal re-identification remains a very challenging problem due to low resolution images, occlusions, misalignments, background clutter, motion blur, and variations in pose and illumination. Moreover, most of the state-of-the-art methods [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [56] rely on the assumption that people do not usually change their clothing, i.e., their appearance across views remains same, which is unsuitable for long-term monitoring and surveillance.

New sensors to capture high-definition signals, like lidars and radars which sense in the depth modality, allow to expand on sensing capabilities, and are paving the way for innovative, next-generation monitoring and surveillance technologies. This paper focuses on deep neural networks for cross-modal person re-identification that allow sensing between RGB and depth modalities. Deep neural networks are highly successful at performing high-level visual recognition tasks due to their capacity to learn important low- and intermediate-level features from the raw image data. These networks are trained with labeled image data from both modalities, and then allow to recognize a person captured using either the RGB or depth sensor. Note that these networks differ from methods in literature for multi-modal person re-identification, where RGB and depth representations are combined (often normalized and concatenated) to improve performance [15], [74], [75], [76], [77].

Although some methods have been proposed for cross-modal re-identification between RGB and infrared images [10], [11], [12], [13], few address RGB and depth images [17]. However, sensing across RGB and depth modalities is important in many real-world scenarios. This is the case, for example, with video surveillance systems that must recognize individuals in poorly illuminated environments. Recent progress in lidar technology makes the usage of depth information more and more viable in these situations as a replacement for infrared cameras [14], [15]. Another example is the case of autonomous self-driving vehicles, which require tracking pedestrians around their vicinity,
where some regions are covered by lidars sensors, and others by RGB cameras.

In this case, figure 1 illustrates an example of a cross-modal system. Query images captured for a person sensed in the RGB modality (captures color intensities), are matched against a set of reference gallery images from a depth modality (captures 3D geometry). There is a considerable shift across modalities since data from RGB and depth images are heterogeneous. State-of-the-art methods proposed for cross-modal re-identification are typically optimized co-jointly using image data from both source and target modalities which leads to complex re-identification models.

Cross-modal re-identification can be seen as a transfer learning task, commonly employed to adapt visual recognition models to operate across domains in, e.g., image classification, human activity classification, and objection recognition. In particular, labeled data is available in both source and target domains. A same task (re-identification) is associated with two different domains, where source and target distributions differ. The source domain corresponds to either the depth or RGB modality, while the target domain corresponds to the other modality. The main objective is to transfer the knowledge learned from source to target domain, even though the data distribution between the domains can incorporate a significant shift. Then, the cross-modal re-identification can recognize across two domains and, therefore, solve the transfer learning task (re-identification based on either RGB or depth) in a common representation space.

A key challenge for designing cross-modal networks is training when image data distribution incorporate a significant shift. State-of-the-art deep learning methods will typically optimize using image data from both source and target modalities jointly. Low accuracies in comparison to single-modal re-identification within infrared-RGB cross-modal re-identification suggest that co-joint optimization might not be ideal with respect to the significant distribution shift between the modalities. Hence, the technique proposed in this paper seeks to exploit the asymmetrical relationship between depth and RGB images by training the re-identification system in a sequential manner.

In this paper, a new cross-modal distillation network is proposed for robust person re-identification across RGB and depth sensors. Inspired by the unsupervised distillation method of this paper adopts a deep neural network able to transfer learned representations from one sensor modality to another. The proposed approach relies on paired labeled images from both modalities for training, but is independent of paired images during testing or inference. Using a two-step optimization process, the proposed method transfers supervision between modalities such that similar structural features are extracted from both RGB and depth modalities. Extracting these features yields a discriminative mapping to a common feature space. A research goal of this work is to justify the ideal order of transfer, i.e., which modality is source and which one is target. In the first step a network is optimized based on data from the first (source) modality, and then, in the second step, the embeddings and weights of this first neural network provide guidance to optimize a second network for the other (target) modality. Following, this cross-modal distillation network is initialized with the weights of the network trained in the first step, to facilitate the transfer of the knowledge to the other network. All mid-level to high-level layers of the second network are frozen during training. In contrast to the optimization is based on the final embedding layer of the networks to guarantee an embedding in a common feature space for both modalities. Note that the proposed distillation network is a general model for cross-modal re-identification that may be extended to other combinations of modalities and to recognize other visual objects in image retrieval (e.g., vehicles) where appearance changes. However, in order to better understand the asymmetrical relationship between depth and RGB modalities, this paper focuses on recognizing persons across depth and RGB.

This paper presents the following contributions: (i) A deep cross-modal network is adopted to transfer an embedding representation from one modality to the other by exploiting the intrinsic relation between depth and RGB. (ii) In contrast to the majority of literature in person re-identification we investigate the choice for a certain embedding size and embedding layer with experiments. We are able to show, that an embedding extracted from the softmax classification layer can be competitive to the commonly used preliminary layer embedding. (iii) Extensive experimental validation is conducted to show the advantages of the proposed method over state-of-the-art networks on multiple RGB-D based benchmark re-identification datasets. To our knowledge, this is the first deep cross-modal distillation network for re-identification between RGB and depth.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides an overview of conventional, deep learning and cross-modal techniques related to person re-identification. Section 3 describes deep cross-modal neural network techniques as well as the proposed cross-modal distillation network. Section 4 describes the experimental methodology (dataset, protocol and performance metrics) used for validation of the proposed and baseline systems, and section 5 presents the experimental results. Finally, Section 6 describes our main findings, and highlight directions for future research.
2 Related Work

The area of person re-identification has received much attention in recent years [9]. This section provides a summary of the state-of-the-art conventional, deep learning and cross-modal techniques as they relate to our research.

Conventional Methods. Conventional approaches for person re-identification from a single modality can be categorized into two main groups – direct methods (with hand-crafted descriptors or learned features) and metric learning based approach. Direct methods for re-identification are mainly devoted to the search of the most discriminant features, or combinations thereof, to design a powerful descriptor (or signature) for each individual regardless of the scene. In contrast, in metric learning methods, a dataset of different labeled individuals is used to jointly learn the features and the metric space to compare them, in order to guarantee a high re-identification rate.

Due to the non-rigid structure of the human body, it is difficult to model the appearance of the whole body for re-identification. Instead it is more robust to model the appearance focusing on salient parts or meaningful parts of the body. Most of the direct method based re-identification approaches rely on the local meaningful parts, e.g. horizontal stripes [24], [42], triangular graphs, concentric rings [43], symmetry-driven structures [20], pictorial structure [22], meaningful body-parts [21] and horizontal patches [44]. Different features (such as: Color based features [20], [21], [43], textures [45], [46], [47], edges [47], Haar-like features [48], interest points [49] and Biologically Inspired Features (BIF) [49]) and different combination of those features (such as: Bag-of-Words (BoW) [78], Weighted Histogram of Overlapping Strips (WHOS) [73], & Local Maximal Occurrence (LOMO) [24]) from those local regions have proven to be useful to achieve better re-identification accuracy. Given the handcrafted features, another stream of direct method based re-identification approaches learns the feature importance based on the salient feature analysis of each individual [4], [21], [27], or by exploiting the coherence among different features on manifold space [72].

Metric learning based approaches usually find a mapping from feature space to a new space in which feature vectors from image pairs of the same individual are closer than feature vectors from different image pairs. Commonly used metric learning techniques that are adopted for re-identification include Mahalanobis metric learning [53], Large Margin Nearest Neighbor Learning (LMNN) [52], Logistic Discriminant Metric Learning (LDML) [52], Kernel Canonical Correlation Analysis (KCCA) [54], keep it simple and straight forward metric learning (KISSME) [53] and Cross-view Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (XQDA) [24].

Deep Learning Methods. Similar to other vision applications, there has also been a growing number of deep learning based re-identification approaches [53], [54], [57], [58], [59], [60], [61], [62], [63]. The idea of using a deep learning architecture for person re-identification stems from Siamese CNN with either two or three branches for pairwise verification loss [53], [56], [57], [59], [62] or triplet loss [60], [61], [63] respectively, or combination of both [64]. Some of those approaches use their own network architectures, by proposing new layers [55] or by fusing features from different body parts with a multi-scale CNN structure [57], [65]. Some other [60], [63], [68] use the pre-trained or different variants of pre-trained models (e.g. Resnet [41], GoogleNet [66]) which often obtain great re-identification accuracy. Another trend of using deep learning architecture is transfer learning [59], [70], [71], for when the distribution of the training data from the source domain is different from that of the target domain. The most common deep transfer learning strategy for re-identification [70] is to pre-train a base network on a large scale source dataset, and transfer learned representation to the target dataset. Variant of transfer learning approaches for re-identification [59], [71] leverages the idea of joint or multi-task learning considering combination of different re-identification datasets, or auxiliary datasets to minimize the cross-domain discrepancy. However, these transfer learning methods depend on the assumption that the tasks are the same and in a single modality. Thus all the above mentioned single modality based approaches are unsuitable when the source and target domains are heterogeneous.

Cross-Modal Methods. While the progress in re-identifying persons in single modalities was significant, only few works [10], [11], [12], [13], [16], [17] investigated the task of cross-modal person re-identification.

Recently, several works were published concerning cross-modal person re-identification between RGB and infrared images [10], [11], [12], [13]. In [10], the authors analyze several standard neural network structures to embed the RGB vs. IR modalities in a common feature space on their proposed SYSU-IR dataset. The key architectural contribution is the ‘One stream structure with zero-padding augmentation’ network. The zero-padding network as well as the simple one-stream network from [10] will be analyzed in more detail in section 3.2. In [11], the authors presented a two-stream neural network which combined a contrastive and a softmax loss together. To enhance the results they attached a subsequent metric learning step. A similar scenario was also used in [12] where the authors adopt the same methodology as [11] and combine two losses. The first loss has the goal to minimize the cross-modal intra-distance and at the same time maximize the inter-modal distances. Hence, the authors compare the distance of a positive visible-thermal image pair and the minimum distance of all negative visible-thermal pairs. This loss, is accompanied by an identity loss to guarantee the robustness. The cross-modal re-identification problem on RGB-IR scenario has been addressed in adversarial way in [13]. The idea of the authors is to combine three losses. The first two losses are a identity loss and a triplet loss. Additionally, they introduce a GAN based structure on their network architecture. The discriminator differentiates from which modality the input sample came and, hence the generator enforces a mutual embedding.

There are a few works in the literature that consider multi-modal person re-identification scenario [16], [74], [75], [76], [77] by fusing the RGB and the depth information in order to extract robust discriminative features. In [74], the authors fused clothing appearance features with anthropometric measures extracted from depth data. In [75], a tri-modal based person re-identification method has been proposed by combining the RGB, depth and
thermal data. In [76], the authors proposed a height-based gait feature that integrated RGB based height histogram and gait feature from depth data. In [77], a depth based segmentation technique is used to extract the features from the foreground body parts. In [16], a depth-shape descriptor called eigen-depth is proposed to extract describing features from the depth domain. The distance between eigen-depth features are proven to lie in Euclidean space and are rotation invariant. The authors were able to show that those orientation-invariant descriptors of body regions are less prone to errors from position and lighting changes. Additionally, the authors defined a common latent subspace for the eigen-depth features and features extracted in the RGB modality. Although, the methodology is in principle applicable in cross-modal re-identification, the authors did not perform any evaluations in this domain [16]. Finally [17] used the same features to perform cross-modal re-identification between depth and RGB.

In 2016, Gupta et al. [8] presented a transfer learning network for cross-modal distillation. Their goal is to use learned representations from large datasets in a certain modality for classification in a paired modality with limited labeled data. An example usage of this network is the transfer of the capabilities of a CNN object classifier in RGB to the corresponding depth images. Therefore, the network trained in the RGB modality is copied to the depth modality. Afterwards a mid-level layer in the network is frozen and optimized by means of unlabeled coupled images. Hence, a common mid-level layer is enforced, while the low-level features can be learned in the new modality.

In contrast to the above works on cross-modal re-identification, we propose to employ the cross-modal distillation idea by means of a deep transfer learning technique. The idea of the method is inspired by the recent work on supervision transfer of Gupta et al. [8]. However, supervision transfer [8] and our approach aim at different problems with different focuses of method design: supervision transfer solves the problem of limited data availability for object detection problems with a transfer scheme from RGB to depth. Our method is using the distillation paradigm to transfer knowledge from one modality to a second modality to solve the re-identification task across the two modalities. Therefore, contrary to Gupta et al. [8], the task has to be solved across modalities in the same feature space and is not considered a pre-training procedure as in [8]. In Gupta et al. the direction of transfer is defined as from RGB to depth. In contrast, in this work the ideal direction of transfer is one of the research questions which is answered.

3 DEEP CROSS-MODAL NEURAL NETWORKS

In this section deep neural networks are presented for cross-modal person re-identification based on RGB and depth modalities. These networks are trained with labeled image data from both modalities. During inference, the trained network then allows to recognize the same person captured using either the RGB or depth sensor. To date, no deep neural networks architectures have been applied to solve the cross-modal person re-identification between RGB and depth.

Consider a query image \( \hat{x} \), and a set of gallery images \( x_1, \ldots, x_M \) with associated labels \( y_1, \ldots, y_M \), such that \( y_i \) indicates the individual present in image \( x_i \). In single-modal re-identification, both query \( \hat{x} \in \chi \) and gallery images \( x_i \in \chi \) are from the same input space \( \chi \). The general approach to person re-identification is to apply a mapping from the input images to an embedded space, where input samples of the same individual are mapped close together, and of different individuals are further apart. Figure 2 shows how this embedding is used during test time for the standard single-modal case with RGB colour images. The query image \( \hat{x} \) is mapped to the embedded space \( F(\hat{x}) \), where the distances to the gallery images \( F(x_i) \) are compared. The identified person \( \hat{y} \) for query \( \hat{x} \) is then the individual corresponding to the closest embedded gallery image \( i \), i.e.

\[
\hat{y} = y_i \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{i} = \arg\min_i d(F(\hat{x}), F(x_i)).
\]

where \( d \) is the distance metric for the embedding, typically the Euclidean distance \( d(a, b) = ||a - b|| \). During training, the learning objective is therefore to estimate a suitable mapping \( F(x) \) from available training data.

For cross-modal re-identification an additional challenge is added, as query and gallery images can now use different input spaces. Figure 2b shows an example with a depth image as query, using RGB gallery images. Since both input spaces now have to be mapped to the same latent space, hence training involves the additional challenge of learning...
a mapping \( G(x) \) for depth images to the shared feature space with \( F(x) \).

In our work, the cross-modal re-identification task is formulated as a transfer learning problem, where labeled data is available in both source and target domains. \( D^s \) is defined as the source domain, while \( D^t \) is the target domain. In the case of cross-modal sensing between RGB and depth, \( D^s \) corresponds to either the depth or RGB modality, and \( D^t \) corresponds to the other modality. A domain \( D \) consists of an input space \( \chi \) with a marginal probability distribution \( P(\chi) \). In our case, there is a considerable shift across domain distributions, since RGB and depth images are heterogeneous, and thus \( \chi^s \neq \chi^t \). A task \( T \) is defined by a label space, and in our case, both modalities are related to the same person re-identification task. The task in the source domain is denoted as \( T^s \), while the task in the target domain by \( T^t \). Hence, cross-modal person re-identification can be seen as a case of transfer learning where a shared re-identification task \( T^s = T^t \) is associated with two different domains \( D^s \neq D^t \), where either the source and target data representations or the source and target distributions differ \([7]\). Additionally, the cross-modal re-identification seeks to recognize across two domains and, therefore, solve the tasks \( T^s \) and \( T^t \) in a common feature space, instead of each task separately.

To formalize our approach, section 3.1 will first present common deep neural network architectures and loss functions which were successful applied for single-modal re-identification. Then, using these components, section 3.2 first presents two cross-modal baseline approaches taken from existing work on person re-identification between RGB and infrared. Section 3.3 will then introduce our main contribution, the cross-modal distillation network for RGB and depth.

### 3.1 Methods for Single-Modal Re-Identification

In most research on person re-identification, both modalities are the same, \( D^s = D^t \). Therefore, the task is defined as a single-modal re-identification problem. For this task, several successful feature extraction networks and loss functions have been employed to train deep learning architectures for person re-identification. Although, we cannot cover all feature extractors and losses in this paper, this section presents common ones which were successful applied for single-modal re-identification.

For feature extraction, our work uses Residual neural networks (Resnet) \([41]\) which are pre-trained on ImageNet. The Resnet architectures were shown to be effective for several person re-identification applications \([67], [69]\). The general Resnet architecture consists of convolutional blocks with residual connections to enable learning in deep networks. To assess the influence of a shallow Resnet network versus a deeper one, both Resnet18 and Resnet50 are explored. Furthermore, we consider two possible loss functions, triplet loss and softmax loss, which both have been successfully applied in single-modal person re-identification \([9], [60], [68]\). These losses are used to learn embeddings for the input images, such that images of the same individual have a small Euclidean distance in the embedded space, while distinct individuals are far apart. We will now shortly discuss both losses in more detail.

#### 3.1.1 Triplet Loss

Using the triplet loss results in a metric learning approach which directly optimizes an embedding layer in a certain distance metric. During training, this loss compares the relative distances of three training samples, namely a so-called anchor image \( x_a \), a positive image sample \( x_p \) from the same individual as \( x_a \), and a negative sample \( x_n \) from a different individual. Given an anchor image \( x_a \), this loss assures that the embedding of an image taken from the same class \( x_p \) is closer to the anchor’s embedding than that of a negative image belonging to another class \( y_n \), by at least a margin \( m \) in distance metric \( d \). In the following, \( F \) denotes the deep neural network structure to optimize, correspondingly \( F(x) \) is the result of a forward pass with image \( x \) through the network to the final embedding layer. Anchor image \( x_a \) and positive image \( x_p \) are extracted from an instance with the same label \( y_a = y_p \). The negative image is defined as \( x_n \) and is taken from another instance, hence \( y_a \neq y_n \). The triplet loss is therefore defined as

\[
L_{tri} = \sum_{i=1}^{T} \left[ d(F(x_{a(i)}), F(x_{p(i)})) - d(F(x_{a(i)}), F(x_{n(i)})) + m \right].
\]  

(2)

Here, indices \( a(i) \), \( p(i) \) and \( n(i) \) stand for anchor, positive and negative, of the \( i \)-th triplet, and \( T \) for the number of triplets used per batch.

#### 3.1.2 Softmax Loss

For the second considered loss, the softmax loss, the embedding is learned indirectly by first treating re-identification on the training set as a classification problem, where all \( C \) individuals in the training set are considered a different class. During training, the softmax loss thus optimizes the class probabilities for the instances in the training set. Afterwards, a layer of the neural network prior to the softmax loss is used as the embedding. This enables that the network can be applied on test data, which can contain new individuals not present in the training data, by only keeping the network output \( F(x_i) \) at a layer before the softmax function, which is considered the \( M \)-dimensional embedding for test images \( x_i \). In literature for re-identification the embedding layer is usually chosen as the penultimate layer before the softmax loss \([9]\). Therefore, the softmax loss to optimize the embedding can be expressed as

\[
L_{soft1} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left( \frac{e^{W_{a(i)}F(x_i)+b}}{\sum_{j=1}^{C} e^{W_{j}F(x_i)+b}} \right),
\]  

(3)

where \( N \) is the batch size, \( W_{a(i)} \) are the weights leading to the \( j \)-th node of the ultimate softmax layer of the network, \( b \) is a bias and \( M \) is the variable amount of nodes in the penultimate layer. The amount of classes is defined as \( C \).

Apart from the common embedding \( F(x) \), our work also investigates including the final transformation \( F'(x) = WF(x) + b \) as an alternative \( C \)-dimensional softmax embedding. Note that the embedding size is now fixed to the amount of classes \( C \) in the training set.
3.3 A Cross-Modal Distillation Network

This subsection introduces our novel cross-modal approach. The major difference to the approaches presented in the previous subsection is that the tasks $T^s$ and $T^t$ are approached in a sequential manner, rather than in parallel. Therefore, the training of the task in the source modality is separated from the training of the task in the target modality. The conceptual cross-modal distillation scheme to transfer the supervision from one modality to the other modality is adapted from the work by Gupta et al. [6], see section 2. Nevertheless, several crucial differences to the cross-modal distillation of Gupta et al. are existent which were elaborated in section 2. The main objective of the sequential cross-modal distillation is to exploit the intrinsic relation of the two modalities to be able to extract similar features from both. The training of the network is divided into two steps, as visualized in figure 5, which will be explained in detail next.

3.3.1 Step I – Training of the Baseline Network

In step I of the training of the cross-modal distillation network, a neural network $F$ is trained for sensing in a first modality $D^s$, as presented in section 3.1. The feature extractors ResNet18 and ResNet50 as well as softmax loss and triplet loss will be used to optimize networks for the baseline of the cross-modal distillation network (for more details see chapter 3.1). The network is optimized by means of an early-stopping criteria based on the mAP in the validation set. Afterwards, the network is frozen as $F_{fr}$, with corresponding weights $W_{F,fr}$.

3.3.2 Step II – Cross-Modal Distillation

The obtained neural network feature extractor for the first modality is deployed as the baseline network for the training of a feature extractor for the second modality. For the second training step, a network with the same architecture as the corresponding network in step I is initialized. Similarly to [6], the weights of the converged model from step I, $W_{F,fr}$, are copied to network $G$ which is dedicated to the second modality. Additionally, the weights of the network are frozen from a mid-level convolutional layer up to the final feature embedding. This retains the high-level mapping from the first network, which was successfully trained in the source modality, to the target modality. At the same time, the target embedding can still learn meaningful low-level features for the task in the target modality.

For the actual transfer of knowledge we make use of paired images $X_{m1}$ from modality 1 and $X_{m2}$ from modality 2. The aim is to optimize $G$ in such a way that the embeddings of images from the second modality $X_{m2}$ with label $y$ are close to the embeddings of images from the first modality $X_{m1}$ with label $y$. This is realized by exploiting image pairs $x_{m1,i}$ and $x_{m2,i}$ from the two modalities, which are considered coupled as they are taken at the exactly same time step. Hence, the embedding of $x_{m1,i}$ is obtained with a forward propagation through the frozen network $F_{fr}$, and is taken as the groundtruth for the embedding of $x_{m2,i}$ with the, at this stage, trainable network $G$. Since during inference mode the embeddings will be compared based on Euclidean distance, we aim to minimize this metric between
Fig. 5. Two step training scheme and inference for the proposed cross-modal distillation network. Step I involves training of a CNN for single-modal re-identification. In step II, the knowledge from the first modality is transferred to the second one. During inference, query and gallery images different modalities produce feature embeddings and matching scores for cross-modal re-identification. As an example, this figure is exemplary of a transfer from depth to RGB, and a inference with RGB as query and depth as gallery. The modalities can be interchanged in both cases.

Algorithm 1 Cross-Modal Distillation Network

1: Input: Input Train Data with paired images, $X_{m1}, X_{m2}$

**STEP I: Training baseline network**

2: $j = 0$
3: $mAP_{val,best} = 0$
4: Initialize network $F$ with parameters $W_F$ using a pre-trained CNN
5: while ($j < MAXEPOCH$) do
6: Perform training of $F$, train ($X_{m1}, W_F$) using loss function 2 or 3.
7: if $mAP_{val,j} > mAP_{val,best}$ then
8: save $W_F$ as $W_{F,best}$
9: end if
10: $j = j + 1$
11: end while

**STEP II: Cross-modal distillation**

12: $j = 0$
13: $L_{val,best} = \infty$
14: Load $W_{F,best}$ into $F$ and freeze to $F_{fr}$.
15: Initialize weights $W_G$ of network $G$ with weights $W_{F,best}$
16: Freeze mid- to high-level weights of $W_G$
17: while ($j < MAXEPOCH$) do
18: Perform training of $G$, train ($X_{m2}, W_G$) using loss function 4 and $F_{fr}(X_{m1})$ as groundtruth
19: if $L_{val,j} < L_{val,best}$ then
20: save $W_G$ as $W_{G,best}$
21: end if
22: $j = j + 1$
23: end while
24: Load $W_{G,best}$ into $G$ and freeze to $G_{fr}$.

25: Output: Models $F_{fr}$ and $G_{fr}$.

the two embeddings. Hence, we make use of the mean squared error (MSE) loss between the embeddings of paired images $F_{fr}(x_{m1,i})$ and $G(x_i)$ which is defined as

$$L_{MSE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|F_{fr}(x_{m1,i}) - G(x_i)\|^2$$  

(5)

where $N$ is the batch size in training stage. The weights $W_G$ of network $G$ are optimized based on this loss function and trained until convergence. Early-stopping criteria for the training of this network is the loss in the validation set. The whole training procedure is formalized algorithm 1.

3.3.3 Inference

In inference mode, the two resulting neural networks $F_{fr}$ and $G_{fr}$ are evaluated in the corresponding modalities to obtain feature embeddings for input images. Similarity between the feature representations is measured using Euclidean distance. For each query image, each gallery image is therefore ranked according to the similarity between embeddings in Euclidean space, and the label of the most similar gallery image is returned, see equation (1).

4 EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

In this section we present the experimental methodology used to validate the proposed approach. Therefore, two RGB-D person re-identification datasets will be presented. As these datasets were originally not designed for cross-modal person re-identification it is important to discuss their intrinsic properties and the adjustments in detail. Additionally, a complete description of the evaluation protocol used in this work will be given to enable repetition of the experiments.
4.1 Datasets

Two publicly-available dataset for person re-identification were considered for the experiments, namely BIWI RGBD-ID [18] and RobotPKU [19] datasets. These datasets were selected because they provide high-resolution depth and RGB images, a decent amount of instances and a large amount of images per instance in different poses. These are prerequisites to successfully train neural networks for re-identification. No other public datasets which were found were satisfying these requirements.

The BIWI RGBD-ID dataset targets long-term people re-identification from RGB-D cameras [18]. The dataset is recorded with a Microsoft Kinect, which provides depth, RGB images and a skeleton. The skeleton is neglected for this work. As in [17] same person with different clothing is considered as a separate instance. Overall, it is comprised of 78 individuals with 22,038 images in depth and RGB. The BIWI dataset consists of RGB images with a resolution of 1280 × 960 and depth images with a resolution of 640 × 480. In all images the individuals were cropped out in RGB and depth with a margin in all directions and resized to 256 × 128 for training. RGB and depth images are provided coupled with no visible difference in capturing time.

As with the BIWI dataset, the RobotPKU dataset was captured with a Microsoft Kinect camera [19]. The dataset consists of 90 persons with 16,512 images in total. The depth and RGB images in the RobotPKU dataset are provided cropped, and hence, the images have varying resolutions corresponding of the distance of the individual to the camera. For training, all images are resized to 256 × 128. The images are provided in a coupled manner. Nevertheless, by visual inspection it is apparent, that there is a slight time difference, in the order of a fraction of a second, between the images captured in depth and RGB. Compared to the BIWI dataset, the depth images in the RobotPKU dataset are more noisy and often body parts, like heads and arms, are absent in the images.

Although RGB-infrared re-identification within the SYSU-IR dataset [10] is considered a parallel stream to RGB-depth re-identification no evaluations on this dataset will be made. This is due to the fact, that the cross-modal distillation network is primarily designed for the properties of RGB and depth [6]. Additionally, in this dataset no paired images of the modalities are available.

4.2 Evaluation Protocol

For the performance evaluation with the BIWI dataset, the same partitions into training, validation and testing subsets were adopted as in [17]. Accordingly, the dataset is divided into videos from 32 individuals for training, 8 instances for validation and 38 individuals for testing. For the RobotPKU dataset, the division will be videos from 40 individuals for training, 10 for validation, and 40 for testing. This follows the division of [19]. The exact split (label of individuals used to form subsets) is provided in appendix A.

For quantitative evaluation, the average rank 1, 5 and 10 accuracy performance measure is reported along with the mean average precision (mAP). For the reporting of the rank accuracy, a single-gallery shot setting is used, where a random selection of the gallery (G) images is repeated 10 times. For the query (Q) a maximum of 50 images per person are randomly selected. For the evaluation of cross-modal performance images of all cameras are compared. The only exception to this is the removal of the exactly same corresponding image in the parallel modality.

To obtain statistically reliable results for the proposed and baseline methods based on deep neural networks, average results are obtained through a 3-fold cross-validation process. The methods are trained and evaluated 3 times, and for each replication, a different validation subset is randomly extracted from within the design subset. Hence, the average values for performance measure are reported with standard deviation.

5 Experimental Results

An extensive series of experiments has been considered to validate the proposed cross-modal distillation network. In this section, the results for optimization with the single modalities (i.e., step I. in Fig. 5) are first shown to establish a baseline for the individual modalities. Hence, we first investigate how different choices for deep networks and losses affect the performance on single-modal re-identification, and compare the relative difficulty of the modalities and dataset. Then, the distillation step (step II.) of the proposed method is performed and evaluated (section 5.2). Here, insights in how the distillation network is ideally trained are given. This involves the choice of the correct baseline network as well as the direction of transfer in the distillation step. Additionally, a sensitivity analysis of the results for the cross-modal distillation is performed (section 5.3). Finally, the presented method (section 5.4) is compared to other baselines and the state-of-the-art of the cross-modal person re-identification task between RGB and depth are defined. The findings of this section are underlined with an analysis of the activations of the neural networks (section 5.5).

5.1 Single-Modal Re-identification Performance

For performance evaluation with individual modalities (RGB and depth separately), several neural network optimizations have been investigated. Results have been obtained on BIWI and RobotPKU datasets using two architectures for feature extraction. The shallower network, Resnet18, and a deeper network, Resnet50. Both architectures have been optimized with triplet loss, equation (2), and softmax loss, equation (3).

For triplet loss an embedding size of 128 and a training batch of 64 with 16 instances a 4 images was used. As triplets the most difficult combinations within the batches were chosen. These parameters were proposed by [60].

For the following sections the standard softmax loss definition, equation (3), will be used with an embedding size of 128. This embedding size corresponds to the embedding size of triplet loss to enable a fair comparison of the optimizations. A more detailed analysis of the influence of the embedding size will be discussed in section 5.3 where a comparison of the best performing methods with different embeddings will be made. For this also the novel softmax loss definition in equation (4) will be evaluated. Corresponding to triplet loss a batch size of 64 will be used.
Table 1 shows the average accuracy of the proposed method (Step I) for different modalities on BIWI dataset.
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Table 2 shows the average accuracy of the networks for single-modal re-identification for individual (RGB and depth) modalities on RobotPKU dataset.
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Table 1 shows the average accuracy of the networks for single-modal re-identification for individual (RGB and depth) modalities on BIWI dataset. Results show that the networks optimized using RGB modality alone, can reach a high level of accuracy. The best model, (Resnet50 optimized with softmax loss) provides an average mAP of 95.68%. The performance of networks optimized with triplet loss and softmax loss lead to comparable performance. As expected, the overall accuracy for the networks optimized using depth modality alone is much lower compared to the accuracy achieved for the same task with RGB. The highest accuracy (mAP = 62.71%) is achieved using the Resnet18 network optimized with triplet loss.

Table 2 shows the average accuracy for single-modal re-identification for individual (RGB and depth) modalities on RobotPKU data. Again, the RGB modality allows to achieve high level of accuracy. For instance, using Resnet18 trained with triplet loss yields the highest level of accuracy (mAP of 91.91%). Models trained with softmax loss generally obtain a slightly lower accuracy. In the depth modality, the networks using Resnet50 with softmax loss achieve an average mAP of 44.50%. Networks trained with triplet loss did not converge to produce meaningful embedding layers. This is caused by the inherent complexity of the re-identification task in the depth images of the RobotPKU dataset. This complexity is also reported in the performance indicators for the networks optimized with softmax loss. Overall results indicate that, compared to the BIWI data, the re-identification task is more challenging with the RobotPKU data, especially in the depth modality. This is explained by the higher level of noise in RobotPKU images, as well higher variability in the objects orientations.

The difference in performance for sensing in RGB and depth in both datasets gives insights in the complexity of the individual tasks. Following the results for both datasets, it is comparably easy to solely sense in RGB as visual cues like color features can be exploited very effectively for the re-identification task. In depth, color features are not present and the features based on a persons shape are less descriptive and lead to a lower accuracy. Nevertheless, it was shown that also in depth descriptive features can be extracted. The performance of the models in depth in BIWI is significantly higher than in the RobotPKU dataset. The lower accuracy for RobotPKU suggest that it is much more challenging to sense in the depth modality in this dataset. Therefore, it is expected that the transfer of features in RobotPKU is more difficult than in the BIWI dataset.

5.2 Performance for Cross-Modal Distillation

The cross-modal distillation network introduced in section 3 involves two optimization steps. In the previous section 5.1 networks for single-modal re-identification were analyzed. These networks correspond to the training in Step I of the cross-modal distillation. In this section experiments are presented to gain insight on the step II (distillation), and, in particular, on the advantages of transferring knowledge based on the depth or RGB modality.

Figure 6 presents the average mAP accuracy of the cross-modal distillation networks trained on the BIWI dataset in the cross-modal tasks with varying population of query and gallery between RGB and depth. The top four networks train the baseline network in depth (step I.), and then transfer to RGB (step II.). The bottom four networks train the baseline network in RGB (step I.), and then transfer to depth (step II.). Results are shown for the two feature extractor architectures Resnet18 and Resnet50. Additionally,
Fig. 6. Average mAP accuracy of various cross-modal distillation networks on the BIWI dataset. For all combinations we report varying query (Q) and gallery (G) modalities. The first column indicates the direction of the transfer for the cross-modal distillation.

The different colors indicate results with triplet (blue) and softmax (green) loss functions.

Results indicate that the accuracy obtained for when transferring from RGB to depth are significantly lower than from depth to RGB. Using depth images to populate a reference gallery, and RGB images as query achieves an mAP accuracy of about 31% using Resnet50 optimized with softmax loss. The best mAP accuracy for the same task and transferring from RGB to depth is about 13%. An explanation for this behavior is that the general shape information of a person that is captured in depth can, to a certain degree, be recovered in the RGB images. In contrast, the additional descriptive information which is inherent in RGB, like color information cannot be found in depth images. This will be further analyzed in section 5.5.

The performance obtained for models trained with the two losses is only slightly differing (see Table 1). Cross-modal distillation networks with a baseline trained with softmax loss profit from the deeper neural network architecture Resnet50, while networks with a baseline trained with triplet loss obtain a better result with the shallower Resnet18 architecture. The overall best performance is obtained with a baseline in Resnet50 and softmax loss with an average mAP of 30.1% with RGB as gallery (G) and depth (D) as query and 27.1% for depth as gallery and RGB as query. The corresponding average mAPs for the network with single-modal re-identification, the RGB modality provides better results than with depth. Therefore, if RGB images are in the gallery the probability of meaningful embeddings for the images is higher than for depth in gallery. As the performance indicators are more influenced by meaningful embeddings in the gallery, we see this effect. Hence, a recommendation for future work on cross-modal re-identification is to report for both gallery and query definitions.

Figure 7 shows an example of qualitative results for the best performing cross-modal distillation network (Resnet50 with softmax loss) on BIWI dataset, where the query image is RGB and the gallery image is depth. Query images are selected randomly in test set. This figure highlights the complexity of the task, which is very difficult to solve for humans.

Fig. 7. Example of qualitative results for the proposed architecture on BIWI dataset. The green box denotes the correct match. Gallery (G) and Query (Q) varied for the modalities.

Figure 8 presents the average mAP accuracy of the cross-modal distillation networks trained on the RobotPKU dataset in the cross-modal tasks. We present the same results as with the BIWI dataset. Since it is not possible to train a network with triplet loss in depth (see section 5.1), these results are not reported in the table. The results on RobotPKU data mirror the findings from the BIWI dataset. Again, the transfer from depth to RGB significantly outperforms
the transfer from RGB to depth. The difference of the best networks in mAP is 11% / 7.5% for varying query and gallery population. The best overall network is Resnet50 trained with softmax and a transfer from depth to RGB. Similarly to observations on BIWI data, the accuracy for RGB as gallery (G) and depth as query (Q) is higher compared to depth as Gallery (G) and RGB as Query (Q).

For the BIWI dataset the best performing cross-modal distillation methods were obtained with a transfer from depth to RGB with a baseline in Resnet50 trained with softmax loss and with a baseline in Resnet18 trained with triplet loss. Hence, for these two methods the influence of differently sized embeddings are analyzed in figure 9 and 10. For the cross-modal distillation network trained with a baseline in softmax loss (figure 9) the two variants of the softmax loss as defined in formulas 3 and 4 are evaluated. The difference between the two definitions is the layer which is defined as the embedding layer. In the variably sized embedding as in formula 3 the features are extracted from the preliminary layer before the softmax loss. For this embedding sizes of 32, 128, 256, 512, 1024 and 2048 are investigated. Embeddings from the novel softmax loss definition as in formula 4 are denoted as classification layer embedding and have a defined size according to the number of training classes, which is 32 for the BIWI dataset and 40 for the RobotPKU dataset. For better visual comparison of the two embedding definitions the obtained performance for the latter are shown as a horizontal line independently of the x-axis. For triplet loss embedding sizes of 32, 128, 256, 512, 1024 and 2048 are evaluated.

It becomes clear that for the BIWI dataset in the single-modal task in pure depth (right graph in figure 9) the networks profit from a bigger size within the preliminary layer embedding up to a convergence. In contrast to that the best performance in the cross-modal tasks after step II of the cross-modal distillation is obtained when using the classification layer embedding with 37.73% and 39.81% for varying query and gallery definition. For the cross-modal tasks, an optimum for the preliminary layer embedding can be found at a 512 dimensional feature size. However, the results are inferior to the classification layer embedding. The results for a varying embedding size for triplet loss are shown in figure 10. Here, only slight performance variations can be observed for a differing embedding size. The overall best result for the cross-modal task for the BIWI dataset is obtained with the classification layer embedding of the size of training classes, 32. To the best of our knowledge this is the first work identifying the classification layer as a better performing embedding layer than the preliminary layer for a re-identification task. Hence, the suggestion for future work in re-identification is to consider the classification layer embedding as a potential alternative to the preliminary layer embedding.

The results for a varying embedding size for a cross-modal distillation network with a baseline in Resnet50 and softmax loss for the RobotPKU dataset can be seen in figure 11. It gets visible that for all evaluations a clear optimum is reached with an embedding size of 256 with the preliminary layer embedding. In this case, the preliminary layer embedding outperforms the classification layer embedding slightly for all tasks. The best obtained average mAPs for the cross-modal tasks with changing query and gallery are obtained with Resnet50 trained with softmax loss with an embedding size of 256 and with an preliminary layer embedding of size 256 are 18.13% and 20.52%.

The cross-modal distillation method is highly dependent on a successful knowledge transfer from depth to RGB. To get more insights into this transfer we evaluated the influence on network accuracy in the cross-modal tasks with

### 5.3 Sensitivity Analysis for Cross-Modal Distillation

To get a better understanding of the cross-modal distillation network we will present a sensitivity analysis in this chapter. First, the ideal embedding size and layer for the architectures which were identified as best suited for the task in section 5.2 will be analyzed. Second, the influence of the different components of the distillation process will be evaluated.

In summary, to obtain the better results with the cross-modal distillation network, the transfer of knowledge should occur from depth to RGB. As shown in section 5.1 (tables 1 and 2) in the single-modal task a much higher performance was obtained in the RGB modality. Hence, the performance in the single-modal task of the baseline network is not critical to performance for cross-modal distillation. Results suggest that the success of the distillation step is more dependent on the features learned from the modalities. Hence, the features learned in the depth modality were transferable to the RGB modality, while features learned in the RGB modality where not transferable to the depth modality. This gives an indication on the relation between the depth and RGB modality where depth can, to a certain degree, be considered a subset of RGB. The results indicate that networks with a baseline trained with softmax loss and networks with a baseline network in triplet loss obtain similar results. In section 5.3 a more detailed analysis on the influence of the embedding size will be evaluated.
Fig. 9. Analysis of influence of embedding layer and embedding size on the performance of the cross-modal distillation network with Resnet50 and softmax loss on the BIWI dataset. Transfer from depth to RGB. Reported are RGB as query and depth as gallery (left), depth as query and RGB as gallery (middle) and single-modal performance in depth (right).

Fig. 10. Analysis of influence of embedding size on the performance of the cross-modal distillation network with Resnet18 and triplet loss on the BIWI dataset. Transfer from depth to RGB. Reported are RGB as query and depth as gallery, depth as query and RGB as gallery, and single-modal performance in depth in the same chart.

Fig. 11. Analysis of influence of embedding layer and embedding size on the performance of the cross-modal distillation network with Resnet50 and softmax loss on the RobotPKU dataset. Transfer from depth to RGB. Reported are RGB as query and depth as gallery (left), depth as query and RGB as gallery (middle) and single-modal performance in depth (right).

varying components for knowledge transfer. Table 3 shows the impact of copying of weights, and freezing of mid to high-level layers on the accuracy. Results are shown for the BIWI dataset with a cross-modal distillation network with a baseline in Resnet18 trained with classification layer embedding. If the freezing of mid- to high-level layers in the copied network is omitted, performance decreases by 6.8%/3.5%. Another reduction can be seen when the second network is not initialized with the weights of the first network. In this case the cross-modal performance in average mAP decreases by 6.4%/5.8%. These results underline the importance of each component for the cross-modal distillation network in performing knowledge transfer across the modalities.
TABLE 3
Analysis of influence of various training scenarios for knowledge transfer. Results are average accuracy of the BIWI dataset for a cross-modal distillation network using Resnet18 and softmax loss as introduced in formula [4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>rank-1 (%)</th>
<th>rank-5 (%)</th>
<th>mAP (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No copying of weights, No freezing of layers</td>
<td>Q: RGB, C: D</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No freezing of layers</td>
<td>Q: RGB, C: D</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>58.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copying of weights, No freezing of layers</td>
<td>Q: RGB, C: D</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>63.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copying of weights, Freezing of layers</td>
<td>Q: RGB, C: D</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>71.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4
Average accuracy of state-of-the-art and proposed networks for different scenarios on the BIWI dataset. For results from [17] no detailed information on the evaluation procedure was given. As the single-gallery shot is used, this paper reports conservative accuracy indicators a comparison is still possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Query-RGB, Gallery-Depth</th>
<th></th>
<th>Query-Depth, Gallery-RGB</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rank-1 (%)</td>
<td>rank-5 (%)</td>
<td>mAP (%)</td>
<td>rank-1 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHOS, Euclidean [7]</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHOS, XQDA [17]</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOMO, Euclidean [24]</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOMO, XQDA [73]</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>10.34 ± 2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eigen-depth HOG/SLTP, CCA [17]</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eigen-depth HOG/SLTP, LSSCDE [17]</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero-padding network, Resnet50 [10]</td>
<td>19.50 ± 0.49</td>
<td>62.84 ± 11.48</td>
<td>9.77 ± 3.80</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-stream network, Resnet50 [10]</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-modal distillation network, Resnet50, Embedding size 32 (C), (ours)</td>
<td>34.87 ± 2.48</td>
<td>75.22 ± 2.42</td>
<td>93.93 ± 1.21</td>
<td>35.90 ± 2.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 5
Average accuracy of state-of-the-art and proposed architecture for different scenarios on the RobotPKU dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Query-RGB, Gallery-Depth</th>
<th></th>
<th>Query-Depth, Gallery-RGB</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rank-1 (%)</td>
<td>rank-5 (%)</td>
<td>mAP (%)</td>
<td>rank-1 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHOS, Euclidean [7]</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHOS, XQDA [17]</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOMO, Euclidean [24]</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOMO, XQDA [73]</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero-padding network, Resnet50 [10]</td>
<td>7.76 ± 0.85</td>
<td>29.04 ± 2.57</td>
<td>47.79 ± 3.34</td>
<td>7.67 ± 0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-stream network, Resnet50 [10]</td>
<td>11.92 ± 0.63</td>
<td>38.13 ± 1.01</td>
<td>57.34 ± 2.14</td>
<td>11.42 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-modal distillation network, Resnet50, Embedding size 256, (ours)</td>
<td>19.50 ± 0.99</td>
<td>50.11 ± 0.53</td>
<td>67.93 ± 0.69</td>
<td>18.13 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4 Comparison with State-of-the-Art Methods
In this section the results from section 5.2 are taken into a broader scope. Therefore, a comparison to existing methods for cross-modal person re-identification will be taken. Additionally to the presented deep neural network structures for cross-modal person re-identification several methods based on hand-crafted features will be evaluated for the task. Hence, in the following the WHOS feature extractor [73] and the LOMO feature extractor [24] will be investigated. The same features will be extracted for both modalities. The features are compared on basis of Euclidean distance and the additional metric learning step Cross-view Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (XQDA). Additionally, the matching of Eigen-depth and HOG/SLTP features as reported by [17] is included in table 4 for the BIWI dataset.

Table 4 presents the average accuracy of state-of-the-art and proposed features for different scenarios on the BIWI dataset. First, it is apparent that the hand-crafted feature extractors lead to very low accuracy when matched in the Euclidean space. This is expected, as the modalities depth and RGB are heterogeneous and, hence, no direct compari-son of hand-crafted features is possible. When applying the Cross-view Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (XQDA) the performance of the models based on hand-crafted features are significantly enhanced, while the LOMO features lead to the best results. These results also outperform the results from [17] for the Eigen-depth features combined with HOG/SLTP.

Interestingly, also the zero-padding network is outperformed by the conventional approaches. This suggests that the zero-padding with the tested architecture is not suitable for the cross-modal person re-identification task between depth and RGB. For BIWI, the one-stream architecture is outperforming all methods based on hand-crafted features by at least 3%/7% for varying query and gallery in Rank 1 accuracy with a Resnet50 structure. Finally, the cross-modal distillation network enables an additional improvement compared to the one-stream network by 19%/16% for Resnet50.

In table 5 the results for the RobotPKU dataset are shown. Again, the LOMO features with the subsequent metric learning step XQDA obtains the best mAP for the hand-
crafted methods. The one-stream network with Resnet50 structure outperforms LOMO, XQDA in average mAP. The performance increase of the cross-modal distillation network above that of the one-stream network is at 6.7%/6.3%.

Overall results show that the cross-modal distillation network can significantly improve accuracy compared to state-of-the art methods for both BIWI and RobotPKU datasets. This improvement was bigger with BIWI dataset than with the RobotPKU dataset. This is most probably due to the fact, that the BIWI dataset consists of high quality depth images, which are very well synchronized. The depth data in the RobotPKU dataset contains many more flaws like missing limbs and, additionally, the coupled images between depth and RGB are far less synchronized. As the cross-modal distillation network relies on coupled images, poor synchronization of RGB-D images can have have a non-negligible influence on performance. The difficulties in the RobotPKU dataset also explain the lower overall accuracies in RobotPKU in comparison to the BIWI dataset. As all methods based on deep neural networks compared in this section have the same meta-architecture during inference, and were built upon the same feature extractors, the time and memory complexity is the same for all methods. This underlines the superiority of the cross-modal distillation network over the competing methods.

5.5 Analysis of Neural Network Activations

The cross-modal distillation network is state-of-the-art for cross-modal person re-identification. The analysis in section 5.2 showed that the high performance is feasible when transferring knowledge from depth to RGB. To insight into why a baseline trained in the depth modality is that superior, a analysis of deconvolutional images will be made for certain deep neural network architectures. Figure 12 shows deconvolution images for different networks on two images from RGB (a. and c.) and depth (b. and d.) from the BIWI RGBD-ID dataset. The guided backpropagation algorithm was used for visualization of the activations for the networks [40]. The architectures which are shown are separate training for the single-modality task (as in section 5.1), the one-stream network (presented in section 3.2), and our cross-modal distillation method.

The images show that the activations for the different networks are varying considerably. When optimized for the single modalities, the networks in the RGB modality are activated by features inside the torso region of a person, like the color of the same. The network sensing in the depth modality is activated by the outer structure of the torso. For the one-stream network the activation structures are not that clear. For the RGB modality the network is mostly activated by colors of torso and upper legs, while in the depth modality a cluttered outer structure of the torso is captured.

For the RGB modality in the cross-modal distillation network a very different activation map can be observed (images (a) and (c)). Instead of being activated by color features, we see that the network is mostly activated the structure of the torso for those images. Therefore, the knowledge from depth, which is a descriptiveness of the problem with structural details, was transferred to the RGB modality. This finding underlines that the transfer of knowledge between the modalities was successful. As the describing features for the images are similar, the task of embedding to a common feature space is facilitated. This explains the better performance in cross-modal person re-identification as found in section 5.4.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work a new technique for cross-modal person re-identification between RGB and depth was presented. The cross-modal distillation network is trained in two steps. Firstly, a deep neural network is optimized in a single-modality with architectures and losses which are proven to be efficient for single-modal person re-identification. In the second step, a distillation of the learned features to the second modality takes place and an embedding of images from both modalities in a common feature space is enforced.

The key difference of our method to the state-of-the-art methods for cross-modal person re-identification with
deep neural networks is its two-step approach. This enables the method to exploit the relation between the two relevant modalities. We find that our transfer-learning approach outperforms state-of-the-art the current state-of-the-art for cross-modal person re-identification between RGB and depth.

Our experiments showed that features which are descriptive in the depth modality can successfully be transferred to the RGB modality for the task of person re-identification. An implication of this is that information captured in depth is to a certain level retrievable in the RGB modality. Following this, we were able to show that for the specific application the depth modality can, up to a certain degree, be considered a subset of the RGB modality. This finding helps to explain the dependence of the RGB modality and the depth modality.

The analysis in this paper also showed that cross-modal person re-identification is a complex task, and the results in absolute numbers suggest that there is still room for improvement. In fact, the accuracies obtained in cross-modal re-identification (tables 4 and 5) are still significantly lower than the accuracies for single-modal re-identification in the more difficult modality (tables 1 and 2). As this is one of the first works concerning the task we want to highlight some potential future directions and current problems in the domain.

First, it will be necessary to obtain bigger datasets to make research more attractive and give data-hungry methods based on deep neural networks the possibility to obtain higher accuracies. The publication of the SYSU-IR dataset in 2017 [10] pushed the interest in cross-modal person re-identification in RGB vs. infrared immensely [11], [12], [13]. A similar effect could be expected for cross-modal re-identification between RGB and depth. Therefore, the amount of persons contained in the datasets would have to rise from less than a hundred for the current datasets to at least the magnitude of several hundreds. Additionally, high-quality depth and RGB images will be necessary. Second, for future research it will be important to expand the considered mode of depth. Especially for the need in intelligent vehicles it will be necessary to evaluate the methods on sparse depth maps, as captured by LiDARs or radars. Therefore, completely new datasets with a high amount of tracked pedestrians and other street objects, will be needed.

Overall, we were able to approach the relevant problem of cross-modal re-identification in RGB and depth for surveillance applications as well as intelligent vehicles in a very effective way. Our method brings the community closer to solve this difficult challenge and our results help to understand the relation between RGB and depth better.
APPENDIX A

SPLIT OF EVALUATION DATASETS

This appendix provides the label of individuals used to form the design (training set plus validation) and test subsets.

A.1 BIWI RGBD-ID dataset:

Design set (Train + Validation set):

0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 25, 26, 34, 35, 38, 39, 40, 43, 50, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70, 73, 74, 76, 77.

Test set:

2, 3, 8, 10, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 36, 37, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 60, 63, 64, 68, 71, 72, 75.

A.2 RobotPKU dataset:

Design set (Train + Validation set):

0, 2, 3, 5, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 41, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 52, 54, 55, 58, 59, 60, 63, 66, 67, 68, 72, 73, 74, 77, 78, 80, 82, 83, 84, 87, 88.

Test set:

1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 24, 26, 38, 39, 40, 42, 48, 49, 50, 51, 53, 56, 57, 61, 62, 64, 65, 69, 70, 71, 75, 76, 79, 81, 85, 86, 89.
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