Real-time Automatic Word Segmentation for User-generated Text
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Abstract

For readability and possibly for disambiguation, appropriate word segmentation is recommended for written text. In this paper, we propose a real-time assistive technology that utilizes an automatic segmentation. The language primarily investigated is Korean, a head-final language with the various morpho-syllabic blocks as a character set. The training scheme is fully neural network-based and extensible to other languages, as is implemented in this study for English. Besides, we show how the proposed system can be utilized in a web-based fine-tuning for a user-generated text. With a qualitative and quantitative comparison with widely used text processing toolkits, we show the reliability of the proposed system and how it fits with conversation-style and non-canonical texts. Demonstration for both languages is freely available online¹.

1 Introduction

The study on word segmentation has been done for many languages including Hindi (Garg et al., 2010), Arabic (Lee et al., 2003), Chinese (Chen et al., 2015a), Japanese (Sassano, 2002), and Korean (Lee et al., 2002). The requirement on segmentation depends on language; e.g., in Chinese and Japanese, it is not obligatory. However, in Korean, the spaces between words are indispensable for the readability of the text (Lee and Kim, 2013) and possibly for the naturalness of the speech generated from the text.

Nonetheless, for the real-world language life where input through a device is common, giving a space with an additional entry can be an annoying or time-wasting process. For example, in a real-time chatting via desktop or mobile keyboards, some users tend to enter non-segmented texts which lack in readability and even sometimes cause ambiguity; this originates mainly in the annoyance, but sometimes it is a challenging issue for the digitally marginalized people such as the elderly or handicapped (Figure 1). We were inspired by the users’ needs that the readability issue is expected to be resolved with a web-based real-time automatic segmentation.

In this paper, we propose an assistive technology that aids the device users to assign proper spaces for non-segmented documents. The system is different from the correction tools which evaluate the suitability of the text concerning the standard language rules and suggest a grammatically appropriate one. In a slightly different perspec-

---
¹https://github.com/warnikchow/raws
²Although spacing is more appropriate for Korean, we use segmentation instead for consistency.
³The unit for such spacing in Korean is called eojeol (어절). It corresponds with word in English but differs in that it is a composition of morphemes rather than an inflection.
tive, the proposed system assigns proper spaces between the characters of the non-segmented input utterance, in a non-invasive way that avoids distortion in the user’s intention. Although the resulting segmentation is not strictly correct\footnote{In Korean, not strictly obeying the segmentation (spacing) rules is tolerated in the informal conversation-style sentences.}, it gives sufficient readability and can provide a naturalness in the perspective of speech production.

Not limited to Korean, the proposed approach is expected to be extended to various other languages such as Japanese and Chinese, considering the volume of the character set. Moreover, to see if the approach can be applied to the language with a much smaller character set, we show how the system is implemented for English, in terms of hashtag segmentation.

In the following section, we take a look at the literature of the word and hashtag segmentation. In Section 3 and 4, the architecture of the proposed system is described with a detailed implementation scheme, for each language. Afterward, the system is evaluated quantitatively and qualitatively with manually created datasets.

2 Related Works

2.1 Automatic word segmentation

Many studies on the automatic segmentation of Korean text have dealt with the sequential information on the history of syllables, using statistics-based approaches on the previous words, correctly predicting the space that follows for each syllabic block (Lee et al., 2002, 2014). This issue is different from the studies on morphological analysis (Lee, 2011), though some approaches utilized the decomposition into morphemes (Lee et al., 2002). In a recent implementation of KoSpacing (Jeon, 2018), convolutional neural network (CNN) (Kim, 2014) and bidirectional gated recurrent unit (Bi-GRU) (Chen et al., 2015b) were employed, utilizing various news articles for the training.

For Japanese, which has a similar syntax (head-final) with Korean, traditional approaches to the segmentation of text and Kanji sequence adopted statistical models (Sassano, 2002; Ando and Lee, 2003). However, the context information does not seem to be fully utilized so far.

For the Chinese word segmentation, many contextual approaches have been suggested, although the embedding methodology and syntax are different from Korean. In Chen et al. (2015a), context information was aggregated via a gated recursive neural network to predict the space between characters. In Cai and Zhao (2016), a gated combination neural network was used over characters to produce distributed representations of word candidates.

2.2 Hashtag segmentation

Before extending the implementation on Korean to the other language, we considered what the English counterpart is. Taking into account a difference coming from the character system, we concluded that it approximately corresponds with the hashtag segmentation that is widely used for various analysis tasks targeting social media text, including Facebook comments, Tweets, and Instagram (Bansal et al., 2015).

There are mainly four types of hashtags easily observed: (1) a single word, (2) an acronym, (3) a sequence of the first letter-capitalized words, (4) a sequence of non-capitalized (or all-capitalized) words. The tweets below are described in Cho et al. (2018), originally from Van Hee et al. (2018).

(1) It will be impossible for me to be late if I start to dress up right now. #studing #university #lazy
(2) Another great day on the #TTC.
(3) I picked a great week to start a new show on Netflix. #HellOnWheels
(4) Casper the friendly ghost on 2 levels! #thingsmichelleobamathinksaracist

For (1) and (2), hashtags can be identified given a substantial dictionary. For (3), the hashtag can be easily separated into a sequence of words with tools such as RegEx. The most complicated case is (4), where there is no absolute rule for the segmentation. Even ambiguity can be induced in hashtags such as #nothere or #alwaysahead\footnote{Suggested in http://slides.com/piyushbansal/twitter-hashtag-segmentation#5}, which can be disambiguated only by introducing the context it is contained.

The importance of using hashtag information in social media text analysis was emphasized in (Chang, 2010) but the study on its segmentation boosted since (Berardi et al., 2011). Early approaches utilized lexicon-based identification and statistical models such as the Viterbi algorithm (Bansal et al., 2015; Baziotis et al., 2017), and are still used widely due to their speed and accuracy.
3 Proposed System

In this section, referring to the previous studies, we investigate the adequate architecture that generates proper segmentation for non-canonical sentences. The proposed system incorporates mainly three features as the contribution: (1) a conversation-style and non-normalized/non-standard corpus used for training, (2) a deep learning-based automatic segmentation module, and (3) the potential to be utilized in a web interface that is applicable for various social media and microblogs.

3.1 Corpus

3.1.1 Korean

The corpus consists of the dialogs in Korean drama scripts which are semi-automatically collected, with punctuations and narration removed. It contains 2M utterances of various length and involves a wide variety of topics. The number of appearing characters were found out to be around 2,500, which incorporates almost all the Korean characters that are used in real life. Several characteristics distinguish our dataset from the corpora conventionally used:

(i) Non-standardness: The script is highly conversation-style, and it contains a large portion of dialogs that do not fit with standard (Contemporary Seoul) Korean. It also includes dialects, middle age Korean, and transliteration of foreign languages (e.g., Japanese and Chinese).

(ii) Non-normalizedness: A significant portion of the sentences contain non-normalized expressions; it contains murmur, new words, slangs, incomplete sentences, etc.

(iii) Weak segmentation rules: Word (eojeol) segmentation does not strictly follow the standard Korean as in literary language, but the corpus was checked enough with the spaces those are inappropriate. The omission of the spaces was tolerated in a manner that does not induce awkwardness in the prosody of the spoken sentence.

(iv) Allows errata: Errata were not corrected if the pronunciation does not affect the semantics of the sentence; this can be a strong point for the word segmentation of the user-generated text.

3.1.2 English

For training and test, we employed the Twitter data of size 3M that was collected based on geo-location (Cheng et al., 2010). With an observation that the hashtags are usually made out of the words that are frequently used for the microblogs, the raw tweet texts were utilized. Note that the tweets are not only the texts that are easily accessible but also quite conversation-style, incorporating many expressions used in real-time chats or web posts.

Before training, we had a refinement process on the raw tweets which contain various non-alphabetical nor non-digit symbols. For the refinement, the word tokenization was done with a split by space (RegEx).

(i) Removing ID tags: Since ID tags (@) are usually not related to the semantics of the tweets, and sometimes even contain lexicons those are not split (e.g., @happilyeverafter), we simply removed the tokens that start with @.

(ii) Removing URLs: We noticed that the tweets with photos accompany URLs that redirect the users to the images. We also removed the tokens that start with ‘http’, as the same reason with ID tags.

(iii) Removing OOV hashtags: Since our task aims the segmentation of hashtags, many tags were just removed. However, we observed some cases where the hashtag symbol (#) is used to emphasize a specific word; for these cases, the word was preserved if the word was not out-of-vocabulary (OOV). If OOV, the token was simply removed since it can interrupt the accurate prediction of the space information in the training session.

(iv) Numbers and special symbols: In hashtags, only the alphabets (upper and lower), numbers (digits 0-9), and underscores are allowed. Other special symbols that are used frequently but cannot be included in the hashtags ($ % & + - * / = ¡ ¿ \_ ) were simply replaced with a simple placeholder such as ‘#’. The case for utilizing underscore are handled separately.

In summary, we obtained the dataset of size 2M and 3M for Korean and English respectively. Both corpora are depunctuated; the former incorporates over 2,500 characters and the latter consists of the lowered alphabets (26), digits (10), and a symbol

---

6 The original dataset contained 7M instances in total but about a half of those were used, for fast training and due to a hardware limitation.

7 The dictionary that is utilized is suggested afterward.

8 https://www.hashtags.org/featured/what-characters-can-a-hashtag-include/
Figure 2: The architecture of the automatic segmentation module. The input sentence means “Mother put soup into the bottle”, but if it were not for the space flag in the third place of the inference module, the sentence could have meant “I put the skin of mother into the bottle”, which is a syntactically possible output for an ambiguous sentence but awkward. $L_{max}$ denotes the maximum character length of the input.

3.2 Automatic segmentation module

The automatic segmentation module which is inspired by the encoder-decoder network (Cho et al., 2014) consists of two submodules, respectively managing sentence encoding and the space inference.

3.2.1 Character-based sentence encoding

**Korean:** To clarify the symbol system of the Korean language, we introduce the Korean character Hangul and its components (alphabet) Jamo. Roughly, Ja denotes the consonants and mo denotes the vowels, making up a morpho-syllabic block of Hangul by \{Syllable: CV(C)\}. Note that the blocks are different from morphemes, which can be whatever form of Jamo.

For the character vector embedding of Korean, we only consider non-decomposed syllabic blocks because of the two reasons. First, the decomposition can cause ambiguity in the reconstructing process. Secondly, sufficiently many types of syllabic blocks are observed in the data-driven approach, to cover the character that appears in the real data.

The vector embedding of the characters was done using skip-gram (Mikolov et al., 2013) and subword embedding of fastText (Bojanowski et al., 2016), not one-hot encoding. It bases on the conclusion that the sparsely embedded vectors seemed inefficient for either CNN and Bidirectional long short-term memory (BiLSTM), in the sense that they lack distributional information and even require high computation. Also, the property of distributional semantics was expected to compensate for the noise of user-generated text such as errata.

In the form of a non-segmented sequence of character vectors, the sentence is fed as an input to the CNN and BiLSTM. It finally yields two flattened vectors as output layer of each network, which are concatenated to make up an encoding of the whole input sentence (Figure 2). The specification is stated in Table 1.

**English:** Since the character set size of English corpus we exploit here differs from Korean (>2,500 vs. 37), we utilized one-hot encoding for the BiLSTM summarization. However, for the nature of CNN, the dense representation (GloVe\(^9\)) was used for each alphabet (and possibly the numbers or the symbol #).

In brief, we have adopted the similar architecture as in Korean for the context encoding. Roughly, this conveys the concept of our study as a language-independent contextual segmentation that can help disambiguate challenging composites. That is, the system segments the sentence into the words considering the whole composition, not

---

\(^9\)https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/glove/
Table 1: System specification. $L_{max}$ was set to 100, considering the usual length of the utterances and hashtags. The input is the feature extracted from a non-segmented utterance, and the output is a binary vector that indicates appropriate segmentation. Since the architecture for Korean and English differs due to the linguistic nature, the utilization of CNN and BiLSTM was done differently for both systems. Note that the activation and loss function were chosen experimentally; for Korean, using sigmoid resulted in overfitting that caused an awkward segmentation for even a simple composite. We assume this phenomenon comes from the volume of the character set. For Korean (ReLU), the threshold was set to 0.5, and for English (Sigmoid), to 0.35.

3.2.2 Decoder with a binary output

The backend of the segmentation module includes a decoder network that assigns proper segmentation for the given sequence of character vectors. With the vectorized encoding of the sentence as an input, the decoder network infers a binary sequence that indicates if there should be a space just after each character. Note that the length of the output sequence equals to $L - 1$ where $L$ denotes the number of the characters in the input sentence. Note that the activation and loss function differ system by system (Table 1).

3.3 Application to web interface

The last feature of the proposed system is the applicability as an assistive interface for various web-based services such as Instagram and Telegram. Mainly two issues are considered.

3.3.1 Long sentences

Since the architecture deals with the sentences of fixed length $L_{max}$, which is set 100, the inference of spaces for the long sentences requires an additional procedure. To cover such cases, we applied an overlap-hopping for the sentences whose length exceeds $L_{max}$. The overlap length is 30 (characters), and the decision of the segmentation follows the inference which is done later. The demonstration is done in the experiment section.
3.3.2 Immediate segmentation and recommendation

The interface should be user-friendly considering the class of people the assistive technology targets. Also, since the system incorporates a deep structure, the issue of computation should not be overlooked.

Thus, we suggest a system which acts as either an immediate segmenter or a segmentation recommender, depending on the option user selects (possibly automatically set considering the user status). Since a large portion of web-based services accompany a procedure of restoring query on the server, a non-segmented text the user generates can be primarily fed as an input to the automatic segmentation module which is running online. If the user wants an immediate segmentation, the module immediately uploads a segmented version of the sentence. If the user wants a recommendation, the segmented version is returned so that the user can make a modification and confirmation on it (Figure 4). The latter can be utilized as user feedback. In real-world usage, the spaces given by the user (not a non-segmented, but with a few spaces) are also expected to be adopted as ground truth.

This user-friendly function has a lot to do with the motivation of this study. It is not concretely implemented here but is expected to be easily materialized in the user interface.

4 Experiment

4.1 Implementation

Implementation for the system was done with fastText\(^{10}\) (for Korean) and Keras (Chollet et al., 2015), which were used for character vector embedding and making neural network models respectively. With GTX Tesla M40 24GB, the training for both systems took about 30 hours; about two hours per epoch.

4.2 Evaluation

For the evaluation of Korean, two widely-used text processing tools, namely the correction module by Naver\(^{11}\) and KoSpacing\(^{12}\) (Jeon, 2018) were adopted. Naver does not disclose the architecture that is utilized, but seems to base on the hybrid structure of rules and statistic models using Korean word taxonomy, considering the system malfunction given the input with long and non-standard expressions (e.g., dialect and slangs). KoSpacing bases on a deep hierarchical structure of CNN and BiGRU but adopts character n-gram and various news articles. We treat both modules as a representative of word-based and character-based toolkit respectively.

For English, a widely used segmentation toolkit, DataStories\(^{13}\) (Baziotis et al., 2017) was introduced. It is based on Viterbi algorithm (Forney, 1973; Segaran and Hammerbacher, 2009), and is known to adopt the Twitter dataset of size 3.3M for training.

4.2.1 Quantitative evaluation

Korean - A subjective measure: Since the proposed system does not aim strictly correct segmentation, we modified the concept of mean opinion score (MOS) to fit with the data which can have multiple answers. Provided with three sentences as the output of each system, namely Naver, KoSpacing and Proposed, 14 Korean subjects were required to assign rank considering the naturalness of the segmentation, in the viewpoint of the native speaker. Assigning the same rank was allowed\(^{14}\).

\(^{10}\)https://pypi.org/project/fasttext/  
\(^{11}\)goo.gl/mTMLmr  
\(^{12}\)Demo and model architecture are available in: https://github.com/haven-jeon/PyKoSpacing  
\(^{13}\)https://github.com/cbaziotis/ekphrasis  
\(^{14}\)For example, (1,1,3) denotes two 1st-places and one 3rd-place. Similarly, (1,2,2) denotes two 2nd-places.
For the survey, 30 posts were chosen from the Instagram posts of Makrae Park. The test posts are not necessarily single utterances; they contain compound sentences which incorporate scrambling, dialects, non-normalized expressions, and many errata. Since the word-based module did not respond with many of such samples, we chose the cases with which the module generated a segmented output. For the cases where the characters of the output are corrected due to the nature of the module, the result was modified so that only the spaces are assigned to the original text. Also, taking into account the output from KoSpacing and Proposed, we chose the cases where the output for all the three systems differ with each other.

The ranking $R$ concerning each system equals the average of the ranks for the whole utterances. System rank was normalized by:

$$S = \frac{N + 1 - R}{N}$$

for the number of the systems $N = 3$, which was designed to yield 1 for all-first place model and $\frac{1}{N}$ for the opposite case. 0.6809, 0.7142, and 0.7444 were obtained for Naver, KoSpacing, and Proposed respectively. The result does not imply the superiority regarding the accuracy of the proposed system towards the conventional toolkits; rather, it is conveyed that the proposed system predicts quite tolerable segmentation for the utterances that are non-normalized and non-standard, mainly due to the characteristics of the corpus.

**English - An objective measure:** Different from Korean, which is agglutinative and syllable-timed, English is isolating and thus incorporates a strict boundary regarding word segmentation. This means there exists relatively apparent answer for the non-segmented utterances. Thus, for a test set, we manually excerpted 302 hashtags from Van Hee et al. (2018) which contains about 4,500 tweets. To check the segmentation performance targeting challenging hashtags, we chose only the chunks that consist of more than two words, all small (except the capital in the first letter) or all capital letters, possibly containing numerics.

The evaluation metric is F1-score, which is a harmonic mean of precision and recall. For input with $L$ characters, the systems predict $L - 1$ instances regarding the appropriateness of the segmentation. With the proposed system, we obtained 0.9336 and 0.9446 for F1-score and recall respectively. This score is a little bit unsatisfactory to the score we obtained with our test set using DataStories (F1-score: 0.9642, recall: 0.9528).

However, our model differs in that no word statistics is used; only utilized are the dense character vectors that are just real number counterpart of the one-hot encoded vectors. Also, our structure is more robust to the unseen data and typing errors, since omission of character does not seriously affect the overall prediction. For example, with an out-of-data hashtag such as ‘#mamorizatcambrige’, the baseline yields no segmentation for unseen data while the proposed model yields ‘mamoriz at cambrige’ which seems proper. Although the accuracy of segmentation does not outperform the conventional module, the proposed system has potential to be applied to segmenting real-time chats or analyzing noisy user-generated text, boosted by the flexibility of input length (Section 3.3.1) which covers the instances that cause malfunction for the early statistic-based models.

### 4.2.2 Qualitative analysis

**Korean:** We introduce two real-life utterances that display the advantages of using the proposed system. For the sentences, input was given without segmentation.

1. 나너본지한세달다줘감
   na ne pon ci han sey tal ta tway kam (informal)
   It’s been almost three months since we last met.
   - Naver: 나 / 너 / 분 / 지 / 한 / 세 / 달 / 다 / 돼 / 감
   - Proposed: 나 / 너 / 분지 / 한 / 세달 / 다 / 돼 / 감
   For (1), Naver showed the result that strictly obeys the segmentation rules, which is theoretically correct but provides little guideline on the naturalness of pronunciation. In contrast, Proposed yields an output in which the characters comprising the phrases are agglutinated, making the inter-syllable durations more natural.

2. 아버지친구분당선되셨어요
   a pe ci chin kwu pwun tang sen toy syess e yoo
   Father’s friend was elected. (honorific)
   - KoSpacing: 아버지 / 친구 / 분당선 / 되셨어요
   - Proposed: 아버지 / 친구분 / 당선 / 되셨어요
Sentence (2) incorporates an ambiguity within; if the space goes in between ‘구’ (kwu) and ‘분’ (pwun) instead of ‘분’ and ‘당’ (tang), the sentence meaning changes to “Father’s friend became Bundang line” which is syntactically acceptable but semantically awkward. This happens since the honorific pwun is omissible and partially because KoSpacing is trained with the news articles which are more familiar with the topics regarding subway lines than colloquial expressions.

English: Qualitative analysis for English is more straightforward since the boundary between correct and wrong is clear, thus is done with some sample hashtags in the test set. The system generally performed properly with simple colloquial tags such as #imscared (i’m scared), #ilookreallygood (i look really good), and #ithinkhatesmesomedays (i think he hates me some days). Also, the proposed system works well with the ones containing numbers #throwdown1035 (throw down 1035) or the abbreviated terms #elenawearsyoun (elena wears w you; w denotes with).

However, we observed wrong results with confusing hashtags such as #senioritisissreal (?senior it is is real; originally senioritis is real). This kind of malfunction is assumed to originate in the lack of contextual information and can be enhanced by an advanced network that takes the whole tweet as an input.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we suggested a cross-lingually applicable word segmentation system that can be utilized both as web-based assistive technology and an analyzing toolkit for noisy user-generated text. As a first step, we refined Korean drama scripts and an English tweet dataset, making up 2M and 3M lines respectively, in the way that the segmentation results fit with the context and prosody (for Korean). Based on that, deep training architectures were constructed to yield the automatic segmenters of which the performance was verified by quantitative and qualitative comparison with widely used text processing modules. Especially for Korean, where the strict rule is not necessarily required to obey in real-time written conversation, we proposed a subjective measure that can verify the relative naturalness of the segmentation that the system assigns to user-generated texts.

The application of this system is not limited to assistive technology for the digitally marginalized and hashtag segmentation for the analysis of noisy texts, as suggested in the paper. For instance, for Korean, since the system receives a non-segmented input and generates the segmented one which fits with prosody, automatic speech recognition (ASR) or text-to-speech (TTS) modules of the smart devices may utilize the proposed function as a post- or pre-processing respectively, to create more a natural output. Since the reliability of the system concept was verified by adopting the languages with both large (Korean) and small (English) character set, it is expected to be applied smoothly to other languages that incorporate an adequate number of characters. The pretrained systems for Korean and English are available online.
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