Materiatronics: Modular analysis of arbitrary meta-atoms
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Within the paradigm of metamaterials and metasurfaces, electromagnetic properties of composite materials can be engineered by shaping or modulating their constituents, so-called meta-atoms. Synthesis and analysis of complex-shape meta-atoms with general polarization properties is a challenging task. In this paper, we demonstrate that the most general response can be conceptually decomposed into a set of basic, fundamental polarization phenomena, which enables immediate all-direction characterization of electromagnetic properties of arbitrary linear materials and metamaterials. The proposed platform of modular characterization (called “materiatronics”) is tested on several examples of bianisotropic and nonreciprocal meta-atoms. As a demonstration of the potential of the modular analysis, we use it to design a single-layer metasurface of vanishing thickness with record-breaking unitary circular dichroism. The analysis approach developed in this paper is supported by a ready-to-use computational code and can be further extended to meta-atoms engineered for other types of wave interactions, such as acoustics and mechanics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Metamaterials are engineered composites consisting of tailored subwavelength meta-atoms (inclusions) for controlling wave phenomena at will. During last two decades, metamaterials have attracted great interest of researchers working in different fields of physics, which resulted in important impact on fundamental science and emergence of numerous fascinating concepts [1–5]. Nevertheless, there is no universal approach for synthesis of arbitrary complex metamaterials, mainly due to the two following reasons. First, determining the required properties of single meta-atoms in the composite is a complicated inverse problem due to their mutual interactions. Second, even if such properties are known, practical realization of meta-atoms can be still very challenging because it implies rigorous design and optimization of their anisotropic properties, i.e. their entire polarizability tensors. For this reason, the vast majority of works in the literature are devoted to metamaterials whose functionality is engineered only for a specific and small number (usually single) of illumination directions. Meanwhile, properties of metamaterials excited by fields of other configurations remain unknown and unprescribed. However, this knowledge is often very important and can lead to unexpected and unique phenomena, as it was demonstrated by the example of planar chirality [6–10] which occurs only at specific oblique-angle illuminations in contrast to true three-dimensional chirality. Furthermore, in many situations, one needs to know under what excitation a meta-atom will respond in some particular way, for example, exhibiting highest possible Willis coupling [11], strongest mechanical twist [12], desired asymmetry [8, 13], or pronounced nonreciprocal properties [14]. Such arbitrary-illumination analysis, once developed, would be very beneficial for understanding and even design of bulk metamaterials and their two-dimensional counterparts (metasurfaces [15, 16]) intended to interact with waves of arbitrary nature: Acoustic, electromagnetic, mechanical, etc.

In this paper, a universal platform for analysing properties of arbitrarily complex linear meta-atoms is proposed. Although we present the results for meta-atoms designed for interactions with electromagnetic waves, the same approach can be used for the analysis of other types of wave interactions. We show that the response of a general linear meta-atom can be thought as a combination of responses of several basic modules with known, fundamental electromagnetic properties, immediately revealing the meta-atom properties for all possible excitations. Such a platform of transition from complex material units to basic elements (“materiatronics”) is in a sense analogous to electronics, where an arbitrarily complex linear circuit is represented as a network of basic elements: capacitors, inductors, resistors, and gyrators. There is also some analogy with recently introduced metatronics [17] that relies on decomposition of complex electromagnetic systems into elementary scatterers with simple dispersion properties.

The proposed modular analysis is successfully tested on several examples of dipolar meta-atoms with general electromagnetic properties, including bianisotropy and nonreciprocity. It is further demonstrated on the example of a split-ring resonator how the platform of materiatronics can be used for designing metasurfaces with maximized (or minimized) desired effects. For the convenience of the reader, the analysis approach developed in this paper is supported by a ready-to-use code and program files [18]. They provide automation in obtaining the results for a meta-atom with arbitrary customer-defined geometry.

II. MODULAR DECOMPOSITION OF POLARIZATION EFFECTS

Let us first consider an arbitrary single meta-atom located in free space so that its interaction with other meta-atoms can be neglected. Its electromagnetic response is
assumed to be linear and dipolar, which is the case for most inclusions of engineered metamaterials and metasurfaces. No assumptions on its reciprocity or anisotropy properties are made, meaning that in the general case it can be bianisotropic and nonreciprocal. Thus, electromagnetic properties of the meta-atom are characterized by four polarizability dyadics (tensors): electric $\bar{\alpha}_{ee}$, electromagnetic $\bar{\alpha}_{em}$, magnetoelectric $\bar{\alpha}_{me}$, and magnetic $\bar{\alpha}_{mm}$, including in total 36 complex polarizability components. To apply the modular analysis of the meta-atom, one should first determine all these components. Several techniques for polarizability extraction have been proposed during the last decade [19–23] but none of them allows calculation of all the polarizability components simultaneously. In this paper, the approach based on the scattered far field probing [20] is extended [18] and utilized. It should be noted that even in the cases when the unknown meta-atom cannot be described solely by dipolar moments, the modular analysis still can be introduced using alternative T-matrix formulation which takes into account higher-order multipoles [24–26].

When the four polarizability dyadics of the meta-atom are determined, it is important to differentiate between the reciprocal and possible nonreciprocal polarization properties. Using the Onsager-Casimir symmetry relations for bianisotropic meta-atoms [27] $\bar{\alpha}_{ee}(H_0) = \bar{\alpha}_{ee}^T(-H_0)$, $\bar{\alpha}_{mm}(H_0) = \bar{\alpha}_{mm}^T(-H_0)$, $\bar{\alpha}_{em}(H_0) = -\bar{\alpha}_{em}^T(-H_0)$, one can represent each of $\bar{\alpha}_{ee}$, $\bar{\alpha}_{em}$, $\bar{\alpha}_{me}$, and $\bar{\alpha}_{mm}$ dyadics as a sum of the reciprocal and nonreciprocal parts (here, $T$ is the transpose operation, $H_0$ denotes all external nonreciprocal parameters such as bias magnetic field or angular velocity, and $-H_0$ corresponds to the case when all these parameters switch signs). These reciprocal (subscript “r”) and nonreciprocal (subscript “n”) parts read

$$\bar{\alpha}_{ee,r} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{ee} + \bar{\alpha}_{ee}^T}{2}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{ee,n} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{ee} - \bar{\alpha}_{ee}^T}{2}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{mm,r} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{mm} + \bar{\alpha}_{mm}^T}{2}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{mm,n} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{mm} - \bar{\alpha}_{mm}^T}{2}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{em,r} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{em} + \bar{\alpha}_{me}^T}{2}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{em,n} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{em} - \bar{\alpha}_{me}^T}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The magnetoelectric dyadic $\bar{\alpha}_{me}$ is excluded from Eq. (1) since it is fully determined by $\bar{\alpha}_{em}$. It should be noticed that $\bar{\alpha}_{ee,r}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{mm,r}$ dyadics are symmetric, while $\bar{\alpha}_{ee,n}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{mm,n}$ are antisymmetric. However, both reciprocal and nonreciprocal electromagnetic dyadics have symmetric and antisymmetric parts.

Any symmetric dyadic (e.g., $\bar{\alpha}_{ee,r}$) can be always represented as a linear combination of a diagonal dyadic in the initial $xyz$-basis and another diagonal dyadic in another basis given by complex basis vectors $u_1$, $u_2$, and $u_3$ [28]:

$$\bar{\alpha}_{ee,r} = \tilde{I}(\alpha_{ee}^{xx} + \alpha_{ee}^{yy} + \alpha_{ee}^{zz})/3 + \sum_i \lambda_i u_i u_i,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\tilde{I} = xx + yy + zz$ is the unit dyadic, $i = 1, 2, 3$; $\lambda_i$ are complex coefficients such that $\sum_i \lambda_i = 0$, and notation $u_i u_i$ denotes a dyadic product of two vectors (the same as a dyad). The first diagonal dyadic in (3) describes isotropic electric response. The second dyadic is diagonal with zero trace in the basis of complex unit vectors. For a clear geometrical description, it is convenient to rewrite it as a linear combination of six dyadic products of real vectors in the initial basis with complex magnitudes $S_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$):

$$\sum_i \lambda_i u_i u_i = S_1 xx + S_2 yy + S_3 zz + \frac{S_4}{2}(x + y)(x + y) + \frac{S_5}{2}(x + z)(x + z) + \frac{S_6}{2}(y + z)(y + z).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The complex amplitude coefficients $S_j$ can be determined based on the extracted polarizability dyadics (see derivation in [18]).

Combining (3) and (4), any symmetric dyadic in the general case is decomposed into six symmetric dyads (dyadic products of real vectors), all with different complex amplitudes. Thus, the response described by any reciprocal electric dyadic $\bar{\alpha}_{ee,r}$ is equivalent to the response of a set of six basic modules which possess only one simple polarization response: They develop electric dipole moment along a given direction when excited by electric fields along the same direction. For visualization purposes, we show these modules as straight needles, as shown in Fig. 1(a), spatially separating them for clarity. These needles are oriented along the initial basis vectors and the other three along the bisectors of the angles between these axes. It should be noted that such decomposition is not unique and in most cases, as will be seen below, several of the modules might have negligible weights, which reduces their total number. All the needles have different complex polarization amplitudes. Hereafter, the amplitudes of the polarizability components are graphically shown as proportional to the linear dimension of the module and not to its volume. Now, the polarization properties of a meta-atom with $\bar{\alpha}_{ee,r}$ given by (3) can be readily determined from Fig. 1(a). We see that, for example, an incident $x$-polarized plane wave will induce polarization currents and dipole moments simultaneously in three modules, resulting in polarization of the meta-atom along the $x$, $y$, and $z$ axes (phases of polarization currents can be arbitrary). In this way, we completely describe electromagnetic properties of any meta-atom only by the types of their modules, their orientations and response strength of individual modules.

Similarly, symmetric dyadic $\bar{\alpha}_{mm,r}$ is decomposed into six dyads according to (3) and (4) (naturally, in general, with different complex amplitudes). Each decomposition term physically corresponds to a module which is reciprocal and polarizable only magnetically, only along one direction, and only by magnetic fields. For visualization purposes, we show the orientations and amplitudes of these modules by double split-ring resonators [29, 30].
FIG. 1. Conceptual illustration of modular decomposition of different polarizability dyadics for an arbitrary dipolar meta-atom. Incident electric and magnetic fields are denoted as $E_{inc}$ and $H_{inc}$, while $p$ and $m$ are the induced electric and magnetic dipole moments. Additional planes drawn at the antisymmetric modules are orthogonal to their symmetry axes.

because this type of polarization response is dominant for this shape (obviously, the response of such resonators is more complicated, and the modular decomposition of an actual double split-ring resonator can be found in [18]). Thus, dyadic $\bar{\alpha}_{mm,r}$ can be modelled as the combination of six different idealistic double split-ring resonators, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b).

Any antisymmetric dyadics, such as $\bar{\alpha}_{ee,n}$, can be always represented as a cross product of a complex vector and the unit dyadic (complex vector is decomposed into two real vectors with real amplitude $A_1$ and with imaginary amplitude $jA_2$) [28]:

$$\bar{\alpha}_{ee,n} = \frac{1}{2}[(\alpha_{xx} - \alpha_{yy})(x\,y - y\,x) + (\alpha_{xx} - \alpha_{zz})(x\,z - z\,x) + (\alpha_{yy} - \alpha_{zz})(y\,z - z\,y)] = A_1 \mathbf{w}_1 \times \mathbf{f} + jA_2 \mathbf{w}_2 \times \mathbf{f}. \tag{5}$$

The unknown coefficients $A_1$ and $A_2$ and vectors $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$ are calculated in [18]. The two dyadics in (5) describe two uniaxial (with one symmetry axis) modules in which polarization occurs in the direction orthogonal to the incident field and to the symmetry axis of the module. Physical interpretation of each such module in the case of nonreciprocal $\bar{\alpha}_{ee,n}$ dyadic is an electric dipole precessing in a static external electric field $E_0$ (see Fig. 1(c)). The induced electric dipole is always perpendicular to the electric field of the incident wave. Nonreciprocal magnetic dyadic $\bar{\alpha}_{em,n}$, likewise, is modelled by two magnetic dipoles precessing in a static magnetic field $B_0$ (see Fig. 1(d)).

Next, one should decompose the electromagnetic dyadics $\bar{\alpha}_{em,r}$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{em,n}$ which have symmetric and antisymmetric parts and describe the bianisotropic response of the meta-atom. The symmetric part of the former dyadic is equal to $(\bar{\alpha}_{em} + \bar{\alpha}_{me} + \bar{\alpha}_{ee} - \bar{\alpha}_{mm})/4$ and according to (3) and (4) is modelled by six modules with uniaxial chiral bianisotropic response: For visual representation, thin multi-turn metal helices (see Fig. 1(e)) are appropriate shapes to denote this fundamental polarizability effect. In general, we need two such chiral modules: Right-handed and left-handed. Incident magnetic field tangential to the helix axis generates electric polarization according to Faraday’s law [31]. Likewise, the symmetric part of dyadic $\bar{\alpha}_{em,n}$, equal to $(\bar{\alpha}_{em} + \bar{\alpha}_{me} + \bar{\alpha}_{ee} - \bar{\alpha}_{mm})/4$, is represented by six uniaxial nonreciprocal modules (see Fig. 1(f)). The effect of bianisotropic nonreciprocal coupling is strong in a meta-atom formed by a ferrite sphere covered by a metal wire (so-called Tellegen meta-atom) [32–34], and we use an image of this meta-atom as a notation for the presence of this fundamental block in the decomposition. An incident alternating magnetic field creates cross-polarized magnetization in the sphere (due to the off-diagonal permeability components of ferrite) which in turn results in induced electric polarization of the wire (parallel to the alternating magnetic field).

The antisymmetric part of $\bar{\alpha}_{em,r}$, expressed as $(\bar{\alpha}_{em} - \bar{\alpha}_{me} - \bar{\alpha}_{ee} + \bar{\alpha}_{mm})/4$, according to (5) is modelled by two uniaxial bianisotropic asymmetric modules (one with real polarizability and another with imaginary polarizability), which we show as crossed omega-shaped wires [35], see in Fig. 1(g). Incident magnetic field orthogonal to the module axis excites mutually orthogonal electric polarization (due to Faraday’s law and specific wire shape). The last part in the modular decomposition is the antisymmetric part of $\bar{\alpha}_{em,n}$ that can be calculated as
The strong electric polarization response along the can be immediately inspected for arbitrary illuminations. where the electromagnetic response of the meta-atom power of the modular analysis is evident from Fig. 2(a) main resonance frequency of the SRR at 7.9 GHz. The gap is 0.4 mm. The decomposition was performed at the 2.7 mm, the radius of the copper wire is 0.2 mm, and the sis can be seen in Fig. 2(a). The radius of the ring is 0.03 mm, as an example. The geometry and or-ceptible omega bianisotropic response when illuminated different orientations of the incident electric field. Indeed, the chiral polarizability of the split-ring resonator for differ-ent orientations of the incident electric field. As it is theoretically shown in [18], the maximum chirality is achieved when the electric field is along unit vector \( \mathbf{g} = \sin \theta \cos \phi \mathbf{x} + \sin \theta \sin \phi \mathbf{y} + \cos \theta \mathbf{z} \), where \( \theta = 90^\circ \) and \( \phi = 45^\circ \) (the double sign is due to the mirror symmetry of the SRR with respect to the \( yz \)-plane). Figure 2(b) depicts theoretically calculated axial chiral polarizability of the split-ring resonator for different orientations of the incident electric field. Indeed, the maximum of chirality is observed at \( \theta = 90^\circ \) and \( \phi = 45^\circ \). To verify this theoretical result, polarizability \( \mathbf{em}_{\text{em},r} \) was calculated using the above mentioned extraction technique, described separately for each orientation of the incident electric field in the range of \( \theta = [0^\circ; 90^\circ] \) and \( \phi = [0^\circ; 90^\circ] \) with the step of 7.5\(^\circ\). The results are de-picted in Fig. 2(c) and are in close agreement with the theoretical ones in Fig. 2(b). The deviation in the peak amplitude value between these two figures (around 26\%) can be explained by errors (which were of the order of 30\%) can be explained by errors (which were of the order of 26\% for this meta-atom) in the polarizability extraction.

As can be seen from Fig. 2(a), the SRR behaves dif-ferently for opposite illumination directions: As a left-handed helix when illuminated along the \( (x - y) \) direction (the right-handed helix in the decomposition in Fig. 2(a) is not excited) and as a right-handed helix when illumi-nated along the \( (-x - y) \) direction.
IV. DESIGN OF NEGLIGIBLY THIN METASURFACE WITH EXTREME CIRCULAR DICHROISM

The applicability of the modular analysis can be further demonstrated by designing a periodic metasurface of SRRs with the dimensions listed in the previous Section. The metasurface has a subwavelength square unit cell with the 10 mm side. The SRRs are parallel to the metasurface plane. In contrast to conventional chiral metasurfaces relying on finite thickness and three-dimensional topology with broken space-reversion symmetry, the designed metasurface exhibits strong circular dichroism (and other chiral effects) with pure two-dimensional geometry and negligible thickness. The metasurface was illuminated by circularly polarized plane waves at an angle \( \phi = 45^\circ \) from the normal \([k_{\text{inc}} \uparrow \uparrow (x - y)]\) in the initial basis, as shown in the inset of Fig. 3(c). For this illumination, the right-handed helix shown in Fig. 2(a) is not excited, while both left-handed helical modules are activated. Thus, the SRR behaves for this illumination as a left-handed chiral meta-atom. The plot in the figure shows frequency dispersions of the transmittance for right (“++”) and left (“−−”) circularly polarized incident waves. The inset depicts the geometry of the metasurface and wave vector \( k_{\text{inc}} \) of the incidence.

Such high CD significantly exceeds the values reported in the literature for planar structures to date [10, 40]. Furthermore, the effect of planar chirality achieved with the designed metasurface should be distinguished from the anisotropy effect observed in planar dielectric metasurfaces [41]. In the latter case, a metasurface exhibits symmetric response in terms of co-polarized transmission \( |T_{++}| = |T_{--}| \) and asymmetry for cross-polarized transmission \( |T_{+-}| \neq |T_{-+}| \).

V. MODULAR DECOMPOSITION FOR A NONRECIPROCAL VIRTUALLY MOVING META-ATOM

In this section, modular decomposition is applied to a nonreciprocal meta-atom which can be used as a constituent of metasurface-based isolators and phase shifters. The geometry of the meta-atom was adopted from [14] and is shown in Fig. 5(a). The length of the copper wire is 30 mm, and the wire radius is 0.05 mm. The ferrite sphere of 1.65 mm radius is made of yttrium iron garnet with the relative permittivity of 15, the dielectric loss tangent 10\(^{-4}\), the saturation magnetization 1780 G, and the full resonance linewidth 0.2 Oe. The meta-atom is biased by an external +z-oriented permanent magnetic field of 9626 A/m. The magnetic resonance frequency at which the modular analysis was performed is 1.975 GHz. The orientation of the magnetic bias field is along the +x-direction.

As it was theoretically demonstrated in [42, Eqs. (11–12)], [43], passive metasurfaces operating as highly efficient nonreciprocal phase shifters or isolators at normal incidence must consist of meta-atoms which possess predominantly artificial “moving” bianisotropic coupling (i.e., the entire dyadic \( \bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{perm}} \) must be represented solely by the nonreciprocal antisymmetric part \( \bar{\varepsilon}_{\text{perm,n}} \)). Such result has a simple conceptual explanation. A perfect nonreciprocal isolator (lossy device) or phase shifter (lossless device) must be fully transparent from one side, meaning that they do not modify neither the amplitude nor the phase of incident waves. Such functionality may seem impossible because it implies the absence of any wave scat-
FIG. 5. (a) Modular decomposition of a nonreciprocal meta-atom (shown in grey) at its magnetic resonance frequency. Surface plots depicting axial (b) chiral and (c) Tellegen polarizabilities for different orientations of the incident electric field in the spherical coordinate system. The maximum chirality is observed when the electric field is oriented at $\theta = 90^\circ$ and $\phi = (45^\circ + 90^\circ N)$ (N is an integer), while the maximum of Tellegen properties is achieved for $\theta = 45^\circ$ and $\phi = 180^\circ N$.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The above examples clearly demonstrate the usefulness and potential of the materiatronics platform. Using relatively simple vector algebra manipulations, one can analyze the polarization properties of an arbitrary meta-atom for all possible configurations of incident plane waves, identify all possible (under the assumption of linear dipolar response) scattering effects in this meta-atom, and find optimal excitations for maximizing or minimizing particular effects. The proposed analysis can be exploited not only for analysis of existing meta-atoms and artificial composites based on them, but also as a starting point for meta-atom synthesis. Indeed, by tuning geometrical parameters of a meta-atom and analysing the resulting modifications of the conceptual modules in its decomposition, one can gain an insight about how to engineer necessary polarization effects. Although the modular analysis reported in this paper was introduced based on the polarizability description, it is possible to extend it analogously to susceptibility characterization, which is beneficial for metasurfaces incorporating metal-backed dielectric layers.
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