Regime Transition in the Energy Cascade of Rotating Turbulence
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Transition from a split to a forward kinetic energy cascade system is explored in the context of rotating turbulence using direct numerical simulations with a three-dimensional isotropic random force uncorrelated with the velocity field. Our parametric study covers confinement effects in large aspect ratio domains and a broad range of rotation rates. Results indicate that for fixed geometrical dimensions the Rossby number acts as a control parameter, whereas for a fixed Rossby number the product of the domain size along the rotation axis and forcing wavenumber governs the amount of energy that cascades inversely. The regime transition criterion hence depends on both control parameters.

The energy cascade is the fundamental mechanism in turbulent flows that describes the energy exchange among the various scales of motion [1]. A forward cascade from large to small scales is commonly observed in three-dimensional (3D) flows, whereas an inverse energy cascade from small towards large scales is the hallmark of two-dimensional (2D) flows [2, 3]. Predicting the energy cascade direction, therefore, requires anticipating if, for a given set of control parameters, the resulting flow field resembles best 3D or 2D flow dynamics. In lack of analytical predictions, a typical approach consists of carefully designing numerical experiments, where the system’s parameters are individually varied to produce a phase transition diagram. In this study, we consider a large number of forced direct numerical simulations (DNS) and report the influence of geometric confinement and system rotation on the cascade direction in homogeneous rotating turbulence.

Inertial waves, i.e., plane wave solutions to the linearized Navier-Stokes equations, can modulate the energy transfer in rotating turbulence [4, 5]. By considering high rotation rates and exploiting the fact that rotating turbulence is a multi-timescale problem, Waleffe [6] suggested that the nonlinear dynamics are modified by wave interactions. Resonant wave interactions can explain the favored energy transfer towards horizontal modes, whereas non-resonant wave interactions are considered to damp and inhibit the triadic interactions typical of homogeneous turbulence [7, 8]. This mechanism also persists at lower rotation rates due to homochiral interactions that transfer energy into the plane orthogonal to the rotation axis [9]. As a consequence, when rotating homogeneous flows are forced at wavenumber $\kappa_j$, the injected energy cascades both to larger ($\kappa < \kappa_j$) and smaller scales ($\kappa > \kappa_j$); this is hereafter referred to as split energy cascade. These findings explain the preferential upscale of energy typically found in numerical and experimental investigations of rotating turbulent flows [8, 10–14].

In the absence of rotation, however, the geometrical dimensions of the system itself influences the energy cascade direction. Using a two-dimensional two-component (2D2C) horizontal force, Smith et al. [15] and Celani et al. [16] found that the ratio $L_3/\ell_f$, where $L_3$ is the vertical domain extension and $\ell_f$ is the forcing lengthscale, is a governing control parameter. They showed that large $L_3/\ell_f$ results in a forward energy cascade, whereas inverse energy transfer was triggered and split the energy cascade for $L_3/\ell_f \leq 1/2$. More recently, numerical simulations by Benavides and Alexakis [17] explored transitions in a thin layer of fluid subjected to free-slip boundary conditions. Transition from a forward to a split energy cascade was shown to be critical and depend on the ratio of forcing lengthscale to wall separation.

Regime transitions in rotating homogeneous turbulence are therefore affected by geometrical dimensions and rotation rate. Deusebio et al. [18] studied hyperviscous fluids in rotating small aspect ratio domains subjected to 2D2C forcing and found that large rotation rates as well as small $L_3/\ell_f$ suppress enstrophy production and induce an inverse energy cascade. Furthermore, their data proves, at least for weak rotation rates, that transition from a split to a forward cascade is possible by controlling either rotation rate or domain size. For strong rotation, however, almost the entire injected energy cascaded inversely. Although transition was not observed, they hypothesize that it could still take place for sufficiently large $L_3/\ell_f$. This conjecture, however, remains to be verified by either forcing smaller scales or by increasing the domain size [19].

The present work sheds light on the question whether a transition from a split to a forward cascade system always exists in forced homogeneous rotating turbulence. We conduct a systematic parametric study that covers several rotation rates and an unprecedented range of geometrical confinements by considering strongly elongated domains and large forcing wavenumbers $\kappa_j$. This new database is complementary to previous studies, which focused on the confinement induced transition in smaller and shallower domains. Through large-scale forcing, we construct isotropic flow fields that are posteriorly subjected to rotation. Differently from previous studies,
we employ a three-dimensional three-component (3D3C) forcing scheme that by design provides a constant energy input independent of the velocity field. We believe this results in a neater and more general framework where anisotropy originates solely from rotation.

Methodology and Governing Parameters. — We solve the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a frame rotating at rate \( \Omega \):

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (2 \Omega + \omega) \times \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}. \tag{2}
\]

Here, \( \mathbf{u} \), \( \omega \) and \( \mathbf{f} \) are velocity, vorticity and an external force, respectively. The reduced pressure into which the centrifugal force is incorporated is given by \( q \), and \( \nu \) denotes the kinematic viscosity.

Equations (1) and (2) are discretized in space by a dealised Fourier pseudo-spectral method (2/3-rule) in a triply-periodic domain of size \( 2\pi L_1 \times 2\pi L_2 \times 2\pi L_3 \) [20, 21]. The rotation axis is assumed aligned with the vertical direction, i.e., \( \Omega = \Omega \hat{e}_3 \), and we restrict ourselves to cases where the domain size in the direction perpendicular to the axis of rotation are equal: \( L_1 = L_2 = L_\perp \). Accordingly, \( L_\perp \) replaces \( L_3 \) to denote the domain size in the direction parallel to the rotation axis. We use Rogallo's integrating factor technique for exact time integration of the viscous and Coriolis terms and a third-order Runge-Kutta scheme for the nonlinear terms [22, 23].

The external force \( \mathbf{f} \) injects energy to the system at rate \( \varepsilon_f \), see Ref. [24]. The force's spectrum \( F(k) \), from which \( \mathbf{f} \) in Eq. (2) is assembled, is Gaussian distributed, centered around a wavenumber \( \kappa_f \) and has standard deviation \( c = 0.5 \): \( F(k) = A \exp(- (k - \kappa_f)^2/c) \). For given \( \kappa_f \) and \( c \), the prefactor \( A \) is uniquely determined from the desired energy input rate \( \varepsilon_f \). In the absence of rotation, we obtain isotropic velocity fields and a balance between energy input rate and viscous dissipation, i.e., \( \varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_f \). This forcing scheme ensures through projection that the force and velocity field are uncorrelated at every instant of time [24]. As a consequence, \( \varepsilon_f \) is solely determined by the force-force correlation and independent of the velocity field. Thus, we can define a-priori true control parameters from which the governing non-dimensional numbers are derived.

The domain size, \( L_\parallel \) and \( L_\perp \), the forcing wavenumber \( \kappa_f \), the viscosity \( \nu \), the rotation rate \( \Omega \) and the energy input rate \( \varepsilon_f \) can all be freely chosen. Regarding \( \varepsilon_f \), it could be additionally decomposed in three contributions stemming from the power injected in each direction. However, because the forcing is isotropic, it is sufficient to consider the total power input \( \varepsilon_f \) only. These six parameters \((\kappa_f, \nu, \varepsilon_f, \Omega, L_\perp, L_\parallel)\) form the set of true control parameters and are the basis for the non-dimensional similarity numbers. The characteristic length, velocity and timescale follow naturally as \( \ell_f = \kappa_f^{-1/3} \), \( u_f = \varepsilon_f^{1/3} \kappa_f^{-1/3} \), and \( \tau_f = \kappa_f^{-2/3} \varepsilon_f^{-1/3} \), respectively. In addition, a timescale based on the rotation rate is taken as \( \tau_{\Omega} = 1/(2\Omega) \).

The Reynolds and Rossby numbers are now unambiguously defined as

\[
Re = \frac{\varepsilon_f^{1/3} \kappa_f^{-4/3}}{\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad Ro = \frac{\kappa_f^{2/3} \varepsilon_f^{1/3}}{2\Omega}. \tag{3}
\]

From the problem's geometry and the forcing wavenumber, we define two other non-dimensional numbers, i.e. \( \kappa_f L_\perp \) and \( \kappa_f L_\parallel \). Hence, we obtain a set of four independent governing non-dimensional numbers that fully describes our numerical experiments: \( Re, Ro, \kappa_f L_\perp \) and \( \kappa_f L_\parallel \). As the final goal is to investigate dimensional and rotational effects on forced homogeneous rotating turbulence, we fix \( Re \) and allow \( Ro, \kappa_f L_\perp \) and \( \kappa_f L_\parallel \) to vary. We remark that this set is not unique and other non-dimensional groups exist. For instance, \( Re \) and \( Ro \) could be combined to form the micro-scale Rossby number \( Ro_\lambda = Re \varepsilon_f^{1/2} Ro_\perp \) (ratio of rotation and Kolmogorov timescale [7]) or \( \kappa_f L_\perp \) and \( \kappa_f L_\parallel \) could be related to obtain the domain's aspect ratio \( \Lambda_\perp = L_\parallel / L_\perp \).

Initial Conditions. — Initial conditions were generated by performing DNS of non-rotating forced isotropic turbulence. We started from a zero-velocity field and marched in time until a fully developed steady-state was reached.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>( \kappa_f L_\perp )</th>
<th>( \kappa_f L_\parallel )</th>
<th>( N_\rho )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kf02-a01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>192(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf04-a01</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>384(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf04-a02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>384(^3) 768 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf04-a04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>384(^3) 1536 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf04-a08</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>384(^3) 3072 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf04-e16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>384(^3) 6144 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf04-e32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>384(^3) 12288 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf08-a01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>768(^3) 768 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf08-a02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>768(^3) 1536 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf08-a04</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>768(^3) 3072 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf08-a08</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>768(^3) 6144 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf08-e16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>768(^3) 12288 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf16-a01</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1536(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf16-a02</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1536(^3) 3072 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf16-a04</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1536(^3) 6144 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kf32-a01</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3072(^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) \( Ro_\perp \approx 0.31, 0.06 \)
\(^b\) \( Ro_\perp \approx 0.06 \)
\(^c\) \( Ro_\perp \approx 1.25, 0.63, 0.31, 0.27, 0.24, 0.22, 0.19, 0.16, 0.14, 0.11, 0.09, 0.08, 0.06 \)
achieved. After the initial transient statistics, were sampled over at least $24 \tau_f$, corresponding to approximately ten large-eddy turnover times. Following this procedure, a reference isotropic solution was computed for every entry in Tab. 1.

The initially imposed $Re_c \approx 55$ ultimately led to homogeneous non-rotating turbulent fields with a characteristic Taylor micro-scale Reynolds number $Re_\lambda \approx 68$. The spatial resolution in terms of the Kolmogorov length-scale $\eta$ was kept constant throughout this study, i.e., $\kappa_{max} \eta \approx 1.5$, where $\kappa_{max}$ is the largest represented wavenumber. For the case with largest $\kappa_f L_f$, the integral lengthscale in the direction of rotation is about 600 times smaller than the respective domain size.

Figure 1 compares the 3D spherically averaged energy spectrum $E(\kappa)$ for cases with aspect ratio $A_r = 1$, which contain ‘a01’ in its name description, and in addition two simulations with $A_r = 16$ and $A_r = 32$ (cases kf04-\textit{a}32 and kf08-\textit{a}16 in Tab. 1). This data proves the equivalence between initial conditions for DNS forced at different wavenumbers and those computed with distinct $\kappa_f L_f$ and $\kappa_f L_\perp$. We find that the energy spectra perfectly coincide and that $E(\kappa)$ scales best with $\kappa^2$ at wavenumbers $\kappa < \kappa_f$, in agreement with Ref. [25]. The obtained isotropic velocity fields were used as initial condition for the simulations with different rotation rates.

Results.— First, we assess the effects of geometrical dimension and rotation on the time evolution of box-averaged kinetic energy $k$ and viscous dissipation $\varepsilon_v$. The non-dimensional geometric parameters $\kappa_f L_\perp$ and $\kappa_f L_f$ are varied for two fixed rotation rates: weak ($Ro_c = 0.31$; Fig. 2) and strong ($Ro_c = 0.06$; Fig. 3). Additionally, for a fixed and large domain, $\kappa_f L_\perp = 8$ and $\kappa_f L_f = 64$ (case kf08-\textit{a}08; Fig. 4), we investigate the Rossby number range $0.06 < Ro_c < 1.25$. For more details about the simulation parameters, please refer to Tab. 1.

All cases undergo a transient of roughly $10 \tau_f$ from the onset of rotation (Figs. 2 to 4), which converges towards a unique solution for sufficiently large $\kappa_f L_f$. We find that the results are independent of the transversal domain size for $\kappa_f L_\perp \geq 4$; see Fig. 3, where the lines for different $\kappa_f L_\perp$ and identical $\kappa_f L_f$ coincide.

Departing from an isotropic state, where the energy cascade is strictly forward ($\varepsilon_v/\varepsilon_i = 1$), $\varepsilon_v$ decreases monotonically until it is lowest at approximately $3 \tau_f$ (Figs. 2b, 3b and 4b). For fixed $Ro_c$, Figs. 2b and 3b show that both $\kappa_f L_\perp$ and $\kappa_f L_f$ have no influence on the minimum of $\varepsilon_v$. On the other hand, Fig. 4b suggests a direct proportionality between the minimum value of $\varepsilon_v$ and $Ro_c$.

After $t \approx 3 \tau_f$, $\varepsilon_v$ increases towards $\varepsilon_i$. Nevertheless, the strong and weak rotation cases lead to a different final state for $\varepsilon_v$. While increasing $\kappa_f L_f$ restores $\varepsilon_v = \varepsilon_i$ for the weak rotating case (Fig. 2b), the imbalance

![FIG. 1: Three-dimensional spherically averaged energy spectrum of the initial condition: kf02-a01 ($\square$), kf04-a01 (---), kf08-a01 (+), kf16-a01 (--), kf32-a01 ( ), kf04-a32 ( ) kf08-a16 ( )](image1)

![FIG. 2: Time evolution of box-averaged kinetic energy (a) and energy dissipation rate (b) for $Ro_c \approx 0.31$ (weak rotation): kf02-a01 ( ), kf04-a01 ( ), kf08-a01 ( ), kf08-a08 ( )](image2)
$\varepsilon_\nu < \varepsilon_I$, although lower than $0.075 \, \varepsilon_I$ for $\kappa_f L_\perp = 128$, persists up to the final time for the strong rotating case (Fig. 3b). Similarly to the weak rotating case, increasing $R_0\varepsilon$ reestablishes a forward energy cascade for a fixed domain size (Fig. 4b).

After the initial transient ($t > 10 \, \tau_f$), $\varepsilon_\nu$ follows mostly a slow linear decay (Fig. 3b) or remains nearly constant (Figs. 2b and 4b). Consequently, $k$, which evolves in time as $\frac{dk}{dt} = \varepsilon_I - \varepsilon_\nu$, grows quasi-linearly (Figs. 2a, 3a and 4a) as conservation of energy requires the portion of the injected energy that is not dissipated to be accumulated. Analysis for the spectral energy flux, albeit omitted, revealed that energy accumulation occurs for $\kappa < \kappa_f$ and is gradually damped for increasing $\kappa_f L_\perp$. Based on this idea, we define the inverse energy flux $\varepsilon_{\text{inv}} = \varepsilon_I - \varepsilon_\nu$ from the imbalance between energy injection rate and viscous dissipation. To estimate $\varepsilon_{\text{inv}}$, which is equal to the local slope of $k$, a linear fit is applied to $15 \, \tau_f < t < 30 \, \tau_f$ in the time evolution of $k$ (Figs. 2a, 3a and 4a). Results for $\varepsilon_{\text{inv}}/\varepsilon_I$ are presented in Fig. 5 in form of a phase transition diagram.

From Fig. 5a, we see that the inverse energy flux $\varepsilon_{\text{inv}}$ decreases monotonically with $\kappa_f L_\perp$ for both $R_0\varepsilon \approx 0.31$ and $R_0\varepsilon \approx 0.06$. Furthermore, results for the strong rotating case suggest that increasing $\kappa_f L_\perp$ while retaining $\kappa_f L_\perp$ leads to negligible differences in $\varepsilon_{\text{inv}}$ (see the overlapping circles with different colors for $R_0\varepsilon \approx 0.06$).

Transition from a split to a forward cascade system occurs gradually. For $R_0\varepsilon \approx 0.31$ and $\kappa_f L_\perp = 64$ less than $0.004 \, \varepsilon_I$ is transferred in the inverse direction, whereas for $R_0\varepsilon \approx 0.06$ a split cascade is still present at $\kappa_f L_\perp = 128$. For a fixed domain size with $\kappa_f L_\perp = 8$ and $\kappa_f L_\perp = 64$ (case kf08-0a08: Fig. 5b), $\varepsilon_{\text{inv}}$ is continuously suppressed for increasing $R_0\varepsilon$ and transition to a forward cascade system occurs in the vicinity of $R_0\varepsilon = 1$.

Discussion.—Our results support the idea that $\kappa_f L_\perp$ is the primary control parameter provided that $R_0\varepsilon$ is constant and $\kappa_f L_\perp > 4$. In this scenario, transversal finite-size effects of $\kappa_f L_\perp$ on the inverse energy transfer $\varepsilon_{\text{inv}}$ are negligible for our cases with aspect ratio
\( A_{\tau} \geq 1 \). For weak rotation with \( Ro_{\varepsilon} \approx 0.31 \), transition from a split to a forward cascade was observed at \( \kappa_{f} \mathcal{L}_{\parallel} \approx 64 \). For the strong rotating case, however, although strongly suppressed, a portion of the injected energy \( (\varepsilon_{inj} \approx 0.075 \varepsilon_{I}) \) still cascaded inversely and accumulated at the large scales for \( \kappa_{f} \mathcal{L}_{\parallel} = 128 \). We agree with Deusebio et al. [18] and believe that a continuous increase of \( \kappa_{f} \mathcal{L}_{\parallel} \) would result in transition to a forward energy cascade. Nevertheless, results for the weak case suggest a slow-paced transition and significantly larger values for \( \kappa_{f} \mathcal{L}_{\parallel} \) might be required. Interestingly, the transition of \( \varepsilon_{inj} \) in terms of \( \kappa_{f} \mathcal{L}_{\parallel} \) resembles a logistic function, whose shape is similar to what has been found for the regime transitions in thin layer turbulence [17]. Complementing previous studies, which investigated smaller and shallower domains (\( \kappa_{f} \mathcal{L}_{\parallel} \) and \( \kappa_{f} \mathcal{L}_{\perp} < 8 \) [15, 18]), our data provides evidence that the critical \( Ro_{\varepsilon} \) at which regime transition occurs depends on \( \kappa_{f} \mathcal{L}_{\parallel} \).
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