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Abstract: The Shannon entropy rate can be useful in identifying anomalies in high-resolution paleoclimate data records, including noise, outliers, and post-processing issues. We demonstrate this using calculations of weighted permutation entropy (WPE) on water-isotope records in a deep polar ice core. In one region of the isotope records, WPE calculations revealed a significant jump in the amount of new information present in the time series at each point. We conjectured that this effect was due to noise introduced by an older laboratory instrument. In this paper, we validate that conjecture by re-analyzing a section of the ice core using a more-advanced version of the laboratory instrument. The anomalous noise levels are absent from the WPE trace of the new data. In other sections of the core, we show that WPE can be used to identify anomalies in the raw data that are not associated with climatic or glaciological processes, but rather effects occurring during ice sampling, analysis, or data post-processing. These examples make it clear that WPE is a useful forensic tool for identifying sections of data that requires targeted re-analysis, or even a wholly new data set.
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1. Introduction

Paleoclimate records like ice and sediment cores provide us with long and detailed accounts of Earth’s ancient climate system, but collection of these data sets can be very expensive and the extraction of proxy data from them is often time consuming, as well as susceptible to both human and machine error. Ensuring the accuracy of these data is as challenging as it is important. Most of these cores are only fully sampled one time and most are unique in the time period and region that they “observe,” making comparisons and statistical tests impossible. Moreover, these records may be subject to many different effects—known, unknown, and conjectured—between deposition and collection. These challenges make it very difficult to understand how much information is actually present in these records, how to extract it in a meaningful way, and how best to use it, and not overuse it.

An important first step in that direction would be to identify where the information in a proxy record appears to be missing, disturbed, or otherwise unusual. This knowledge could be used to flag segments of these data sets that warrant further study: either to isolate and repair any problems or, more excitingly, to identify hidden climate signals. Anomaly detection is a particularly thorny problem in paleorecords, though. Collecting an ice core from polar regions can cost tens of millions of $US. Given the need for broad geographic sampling in a resource-constrained environment, replicate cores from nearby areas have been rare. This restricts anomaly detection methods in paleoscience to the most simple approaches: e.g., discarding observations that lie beyond five standard deviations from the mean. Laboratory technology is another issue. Until recently, for instance, water isotopes in ice cores could only be measured at multi-centimeter resolution, a spacing that would lump years or even decades worth of climate information into each data point. The absence of ground truth is a
final challenge, particularly since the paleoclimate evidence in the core can be obfuscated by natural processes. Material in a sediment core may be swept away by an ocean current, for instance, and ice can be deformed by the flow of the ice sheet. These kinds of effects can not only destroy data, but also deform it in ways that can create spurious climate-meaningful signals.

Thanks to new projects and advances in laboratory techniques, the resolution challenge may soon be a thing of the past. Many high-resolution records are becoming available, such as the SPICE core [1] from Antarctica and the EGRIP ice core [2] from Greenland. Replicate data is on the horizon as well, which may solve some of the statistical challenges. The SPICE project, for instance, will involve dual analysis (i.e. two replicate sticks of ice) from three separate subsections of the ice core. However, replicate analyses beyond a few sticks of ice will not occur any time soon, let alone multiple cores from a single location. Thus, a rigorous statistics-based treatment of this problem is still a distant prospect. In the meantime, information-theoretic methods—which can work effectively with a single time-series data set—can be very useful. In previous work, we showed that information-theoretic methods can extract new scientific knowledge from individual ice-core records[3,4]. There were hints in those results that information theory could be more generally useful in anomaly detection. This paper is a deeper exploration of that matter.

To this end, we estimated the Shannon entropy rate [5] of the water-isotope records in the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) Divide Core. The resolution of these data, which were measured using state-of-the-art laboratory technology [6,7], is an order of magnitude higher than any other paleoclimate record available to date, but also representative of new datasets that are becoming available. We calculated the weighted permutation entropy (WPE) [8,9] in sliding windows across each of these isotope records in order to identify abrupt changes in the rate of new information per observation. Via close examination of both the data and the laboratory records, we mapped those changes to regions of missing data and instrument error. Guided by that mapping, we resampled and re-analyzed one of these segments of the core, where the WPE results suggested an increased noise level and the laboratory records indicated that the processing had been performed by an older version of the analysis pipeline. The WPE of this new trace, produced using more-modern equipment, was much lower—and consistent with the WPE in neighboring regions of the core. This not only validates our conjecture that WPE can be used to identify anomalies, but also suggests a general approach for improving paleoclimate data sets in a targeted, cost-effective way.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data

As a proof of concept for the claim that information theory can be useful in detecting anomalies in paleorecords, we use water-isotope data from the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) Divide core[7]. These data, which consist of laser absorption spectroscopy measurements of isotopes captured in the ice, are considered to be proxies for local temperature and regional atmospheric circulation during the past 67,000 years. The specific variables that we consider are the ratios of the heavy and light isotopes of hydrogen (\(^{2}H/^{1}H\)) and oxygen (\(^{18}O/^{16}O\)). Time-series traces of these ratios, which we will identify as \(\delta D\) and \(\delta^{18}O\) in the rest of this paper, are shown in Figure 1.

The details of the experiment and data processing are as follows. The water-isotope data were recorded at a rate of 1.18 Hz (0.85 s intervals). Ice samples were moved through a cavity ring-down spectroscopy continuous flow analysis (CFA-LAS) system [6] at a rate of 2.5 cm/min, yielding millimeter resolution. Registration of the laser that tracks the depth along the core can be a challenge, particularly in the brittle zone, a section of ice (from \(\approx 577 - 1300\) m in the WAIS Divide core) that tends to break apart when the overburden pressure of the ice sheet is removed, allowing air bubbles to expand and shatter the core. The data were then averaged over non-overlapping 0.5 cm bins, calibrated relative to the accepted standard in the field (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water or VSMOW)[10,11] and finally normalized to Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation (SLAP):
Weighted permutation entropy calculations can flag anomalies that are not easily visible in these traces, and also bring out hidden scientific information.

\[ \delta = 1000 \left( \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{VSMOW}}} - 1 \right) \]

where \( R \) is the isotopic ratio. The \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \delta D \) symbols refer to fractional deviations from VSMOW, normally expressed in parts per thousand (per mille or \(^o\text{/oo}\)).

Converting the \( \delta D \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) data from the depth scale to an age scale is a major undertaking, as well as a significant source of uncertainty. Constructing the age-depth model for the WAIS Divide core required dozens of person-months of effort. In the upper \( \approx 1500 \) m of the core—the past 31,000 years—the age-depth relationship was derived from annual variations in the different signals in the record [12]. Below that, the age-depth curve relies on tie points\(^1\) to the Hulu Cave timescale [13], with modeling and smoothing used to fill in the gaps between those points. This is common practice in age models for deep ice cores, where compression and diffusion eradicate the annual variations below a certain depth. Similar issues arise in age models for sediment cores, where marine organisms mix the material from different time periods. These, and other effects that deform the timelines—e.g., jitter introduced into the depth scale during the registration process—are critically important considerations when one is doing any kind of time-series analysis on paleoclimate data sets.

The final stage in the data-processing pipeline addresses the uneven temporal spacing of the samples. Because of compression, 0.5 cm of ice represents roughly 1/40th of a year of accumulation near the top of the WAIS Divide core and roughly 1.4 years near the bottom\(^2\). Entropy rate calculations require data that are evenly spaced in time, so the final step is to interpolate the \( \delta D \) and \( \delta^{18}O \) data to an even 1/20th year spacing using a combination of downsampling and linear splines. While this is standard practice in the paleoclimate field, it is not without problems if one plans to use information-theoretic methods on the results, as described in more detail in Section 2.2. Indeed, nonlinear temporal spacing is a fundamental challenge for any kind of time-series analysis method, and

---

\(^1\) Tie points are known events, like volcanic eruptions, that leave synchronizable signals in different cores.

\(^2\) This spacing is also affected by changes in yearly snow accumulation, obviously. That effect actually turns out to be a major advantage, as we describe in [4]; together with the actions of diffusion, it creates a link between the accumulation rate and the information content that can be used to back the accumulation record out of the WPE calculations.
the effects of interpolation methods used to “evenize” these data sets must be considered very carefully if one is doing sophisticated nonlinear statistics on the results. The following section describes how this plays out in the context of WPE calculations on ice cores; several recent papers offer more-general treatments of this matter for other kinds of paleoclimate data [14–18].

2.2. Entropy Rate Estimation

Permutation entropy[8] allows one to approximate the Shannon entropy rate [5] of a real-valued time series. It uses ordinal analysis to sidestep well-known bias- and noise-related issues that arise in traditional binning approaches [19,20]. The first step in the computation is to map sets of successive points to ordinal patterns. If the first, second, and third points in a time series were \([x_1, x_2, x_3] = [17, 1, 4]\), for instance, the corresponding ordinal pattern of this three-letter “word,” or permutation, would be 231 since \(x_2 \leq x_3 \leq x_1\). In order to adjust the temporal scale of the analysis, one can introduce a delay into this procedure—i.e., consider words made up of samples that are separated by \(\tau: [x_t, x_{t-\tau}, \ldots, x_{t-(m-1)\tau}]\).

After converting the time-series data into a series of permutations, one computes its permutation entropy using the following formula:

\[
h(m, \tau) = -\sum_{\pi \in S_m} p(\pi) \log_2(p(\pi)) \tag{1}\]

where \(m\) is the word length, \(\tau\) is the time delay, \(S_m\) is the set of permutations of length \(m\), and \(p(\pi)\) is the estimated relative frequency of each permutation \(\pi = \phi([x_{t}, x_{t-\tau}, \ldots, x_{t-(m-1)\tau}])\) in the time series. Notice that this calculation does not distinguish between \([17, 1, 4]\) and \([17000, 1, 4]\); both will be mapped to the same 231 permutation. This can obfuscate the results if the observational noise is larger than the trends in the data, but smaller than its large-scale features. One can address this issue by weighting each permutation by its variance and then normalizing each \(p(\pi)\) by the total variance of that permutation. This variant of the technique—known as weighted permutation entropy (WPE) [9]—has been used to detect epileptic seizures in EEG signals [21], bifurcations in the transient logistic map [21], voiced sounds in a noisy speech signal [8], market inefficiencies in financial records [22–24], assessing predictability of time series in a variety of fields [25,26] and various interesting effects in paleoclimate data [3,4,27,28].

All of the calculations in this paper employ equation (1) in a sliding window across the 1/20th-year spaced \(\delta D\) and \(\delta^{18}O\) traces described at the end of Section 2.1. For comparison purposes, we further normalize the calculation by \(\log_2(m!)\), which causes the resulting WPE values to run from zero (no new information per observation) to 1 (all new information per observation). These computations involve three free parameters: the word length \(m\), the delay \(\tau\) between samples, and the size \(W\) of the sliding window over which the statistics are calculated for each WPE value. In the literature, it is customary to fix \(\tau = 1\) and this is precisely what we do in the anomaly-detection study reported in this paper—although there is also serious traction to be gained by varying the value of this parameter, including exploration of the time scales on which different events occur; see [4] for more details.

Good choices for the other two parameters are more challenging. In fact, here \(m\) and \(W\) are in contention. Technically, WPE only converges to the true Shannon entropy rate in the limit of infinite word length, which argues for choosing \(m\) as large as possible. Of course, one needs more data to get good statistics for longer word lengths, which requires longer windows. If, for example, 100 occurrences of each ordinal produce consistent results, for instance, then \(W > 100m!\) [25]. But since the temporal resolution of the analysis is dictated by the window size, there is a serious downside to increasing \(W\). The best practice in balancing this tradeoff is to increase \(m\) until the WPE calculations converge, which for the data in Figure 1 took place at \(m = 4\). That dictated a minimum window size of 2400 points in the \(\delta D\) and \(\delta^{18}O\) traces, which in turn defined a lower bound of 120 years in the resolution of the analyses reported here.
Figure 2. WPE of $\delta^{18}$O (top panel) and $\delta D$ (bottom panel) calculated with $m = 4$, $\tau = 1$ and $W = 2400$.

Recall that the original $\delta D$ and $\delta^{18}$O data points are spaced unevenly in time. One cannot calculate the WPE of such a sequence directly; rather, one must transform the measured data to an even timeline\(^3\). Linear interpolation, the standard practice in paleoclimate data analysis, can be problematic in this context, as the repeating, predictable patterns introduced by interpolation can skew the distribution of the permutations and thereby lower the WPE values. This effect generally worsens with depth because more interpolation is required lower in the core, where the temporal spacing between the actual samples is larger. As mentioned in Section 2.1, the uncertainty of the age scale also comes into play here—another effect that worsens with depth\(^{16}\). For all of these reasons, one cannot compare WPE values across wide ranges of the timeline of an ice core.

3. Results

Figure 2 shows the weighted permutation entropy of the $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta D$ data from Figure 1, calculated in rolling 2400-point windows (i.e., $W = 2400$) with a word length $m = 4$. There are a number of interesting features in these two curves, many of which have scientific implications, as discussed in [3,4]. Here, we will focus on apparent anomalies in the information production of the climate signals: i.e., discontinuities or abrupt changes in either WPE curve. The most obvious such feature is the large jump in WPE between $\approx 4.5$-$6.5$ kybp, shown shaded in grey in Figure 2. This sharp increase in the Shannon entropy rate indicates that something is fundamentally different about this segment of the record, compared to the surrounding regions: in particular, that the values of both $\delta D$ and $\delta^{18}$O depend only weakly on their previous values during this period—i.e., that a large amount of new information is produced by the system at each time step. There are two potential culprits for such an increase: data issues or a pair of radical, rapid climate shifts. Since no such shifts are known or hypothesized by the climate-science community during this time period, we conjectured

---

\(^3\) One could also downsample the original data to a fixed temporal spacing, but would involve discarding large amounts of the data in the top layers of the core, and thus greatly reduces the resolution of the resulting WPE analysis.
that this jump was due to noise in the data. Going back to the laboratory records, we found that an older instrument—one with higher noise levels—was used to analyze this section of ice. This further strengthened our confidence that the rise in WPE was due to noise in the data.

To test this conjecture, we resampled the 331 m segment of the WAIS Divide ice core that corresponds to this time period, analyzed it with state-of-the-art equipment, and repeated the depth-to-age conversion and data evenization processes described in Section 2. Plots of these new traces appear in Figure 3, with the old values shown in black. Visual examination of these traces makes two things apparent: lower noise levels in the new signals and small phase offsets between the old and new ones. The former was, of course, the point of the resampling. The minor phase disparities are a consequence of updates in the laboratory pipeline and the difficulties posed by this particular section of the core. In the years since the creation of the first generation of this system—which was used to measure the original data—there have been a number of updates and improvements. As a result, there are subtle differences (on the order of a few centimeters) in the depth registration between the resampled and the original data. This is a particular challenge in this “brittle zone” of the core, since the broken or shattered ice pieces is difficult to piece back together and can settle along fractures, reducing the length of the ice stick by a few centimeters. This can even cause complete data loss in short segments, such as the range from ≈ 5877 – 5886 kybp that is clearly visible in the black traces in the bottom panels of Figure 3. Re-sampling this ice using state-of-the-art technology has allowed us to improve the data in several important ways, then: not only reducing the overall noise levels and improving the depth registration, but also filling in missing parts of the data.

The last step in the validation was to replace the data points between 4.5-6.5 kybp in the δD and δ18O records of Figure 1 with the re-sampled values from Figure 3 and re-calculate the WPE. The results are quite striking, as shown in Figure 4: the large square wave is completely absent from the WPE of the repaired data set. This experiment—the longest high-resolution resampling of an ice core that has
Figure 4. WPE of $\delta^{18}O$ (top panel) and $\delta D$ (bottom panel) calculated with $m = 4$, $\tau = 1$ and $W = 2400$ using resampled data from 1037-1368 m ($\approx 4.5 - 6.5$ kybp).

been performed to date—not only confirms our conjecture that this anomaly was due to instrument error. It also allowed us to improve an important section of the data using a targeted, highly focused analysis. This segment of the ice captures climate signal from the Holocene era, and thus may contain useful information about the onset of climactic shifts during the beginnings of human civilization. In view of this, recognizing and repairing issues with these data is particularly meaningful. Note that WPE, alone, cannot tell us the underlying mechanism for abrupt changes. However, its ability to identify a region of the core that should be revisited—because of issues that would only have been apparent with a laborious, fine-grained traditional analysis of the data—is a major advantage. This result highlights the main point that we wish to make in this paper: WPE is a useful way to identify anomalies in time-series data from paleoclimate records. This is particularly useful in contexts where the data are difficult and/or expensive to collect and analyze. In these situations, a tightly focused new study, specifically targeted on the offending area using WPE, can minimize effort and maximize benefit.

Readers will certainly have noticed other abrupt changes in the WPE traces, such as the spikes in $\delta D$ near 17, 26, 30, and 48 kybp. Manual re-examination of the data revealed apparent outliers in each of these regions—points whose $\delta D$ values fell far outside the distribution of the surrounding values. While these anomalies could, in theory, be identified using simple statistics, doing so would require a laborious fine-grained effort, since the anomalies are short enough to get washed out in any kind of aggregated statistics. (See the later discussion of Figure 6 for an illustration of this.) A single simple WPE calculation, on the other hand, brings out these anomalies quite clearly. Identifying their causes, of course, is still a challenge: it may be the case that they reflect extreme events—e.g., large volcanic
eruptions—or that the ice itself has been corrupted, or that there were issues in the lab or in the data processing. As in the example above, we could narrow down these possibilities by re-sampling these regions of the core. If the outliers disappeared as a result, that would resolve some significant data issues with only a minimal, targeted amount of effort and expense. If they did not disappear, then WPE would have identified regions where the record warrants further investigation by paleoclimate experts.

We have requested ice from NICL in the areas of these anomalies, but access to this limited and irreplaceable resource is highly guarded—particularly in regard to the deeper ice. In lieu of that experiment, we carried out a deeper investigation of the data from the region near ≈ 48 kybp in the δD record, where there is a small spike in Figure 4. Figure 5 shows an expanded view of this region of the WPE trace, together with the raw δD data in that region. In the tan-shaded band in the top-left image, the δD signal is a combination of rapid jumps and smooth lines—very different from the comparatively smooth signal in the regions before and after this segment. Returning to the laboratory records, we discovered that the CFA-LAS system had malfunctioned while analyzing this region. Without being able to re-sample this ice, we cannot be sure that this is the true cause of the anomaly, of course. Even so, the WPE results are useful in that they allow us to do some targeted reprocessing of the data in order to mitigate the effects—e.g., removing the offending data points and interpolating across the interval. As shown in the bottom-right panel of Figure 5, this removes the small square wave from the WPE trace. Armed with these results, we hope to re-apply to NICL for access to these very small regions of the core.
WPE also flags other kinds of problems in the data. The downward spike around 58 kybp in Figs 4 offers one compelling example. Alerted by this anomaly in the WPE, we returned to the laboratory records and found that 1.107 m (110.1 yr) of ice was missing from the record in this region and a span of $\approx 2387$ points in the $\delta D$ and $\delta^{18}O$ traces were filled in by interpolation. This series of points—a linear ramp with positive slope—translated to a long series of “1234” permutations. This causes a drop in WPE as the calculation window passes across this expanse of completely predictable values. Indeed, for calculations with $\tau = 1$ and $W = 2400$, there is a brief period where 99.45% of the “data” in that window has the same permutation, which causes WPE to fall precipitously, then spike back up as the window starts to move back onto non-interpolated data. Repairing this data is of course impossible without re-drilling a new core at the WAIS Divide to replace the missing ice, but it is still worthwhile to know of the issue.

Information theory is powerful, but its methodology is complex and often involves careful choices for a number of free parameters in the algorithms. In view of this, it is worth considering whether simpler approaches would be equally effective in identifying the anomalies discussed here. Because of the inherent data limitations that are involved (unknown processes at work on the data, lack of replicates, laboratory challenges, etc.), this community has been traditionally limited to fairly rudimentary approaches to anomaly detection: e.g., discarding observations that lie beyond five standard deviations from the mean. As a comparison to WPE-based methodology, we performed a rolling variance calculation on each original isotope record using a 2400 point window. These results, shown in Figure 6, do not bring out any of the anomalies described in this paper.

Figure 6. An example of a simple anomaly detection algorithm. Here $\sigma^2$ is estimated on a rolling 2400 point overlapping window for $\delta D$ (top) and $\delta^{18}O$ (bottom). Notice that none of the anomalies that are detected by WPE are brought out by this calculation.

4. Discussion

This manuscript illustrates the potential of information theory as a forensic tool for paleoclimate data, identifying regions with anomalous amounts of new information so that they can be investigated.
further. As a proof-of-concept, we used weighted permutation entropy to isolate issues in water-isotope data from the WAIS Divide core, then re-sampled and re-analyzed one of the associated regions using state-of-the-art laboratory equipment. The results—the longest segment of replicate ice-core analysis in history—verified that the issue flagged by WPE in that region was due to noise in an older laboratory instrument, as we had conjectured.

This re-analysis approach is already providing valuable insights that reach well beyond the scope of this work. Compared to the prohibitive cost and time commitment of collecting a new core, re-sampling ice from the original core can be comparatively easy and inexpensive if the region requiring re-analysis can be clearly defined. This forms the basis for what we believe will be an effective general targeted re-investigation methodology that can be applied not only to the water-isotope record from the WAIS Divide ice core, but to other high-resolution paleoclimate records. Indeed, several such records are being finalized at the time of this publication, which makes this a perfect time to start developing, applying, and evaluating sophisticated anomaly detection methods for these important data sets.

The information in paleoclimate records contains valuable clues and insights about the Earth’s past climate, and perhaps about its future. While these records have a lot of promise, it is crucial—especially in an era of rampant climate-change denial—to be careful in extracting the useful and meaningful information from these records while simultaneously identifying regions that are problematic. For a multitude of reasons, distinguishing useful information from a lack thereof can be a particularly challenging task in paleodata. This is especially true in parts of these records that are hard to analyze, such as the brittle zone of the WAIS Divide core. Our work has identified several intervals in this part of the core where the data require a closer look. This is particularly exciting as this is the region of the core that corresponds to the dawn of human civilization. Getting the data right in this time period is critical for understanding mankind’s impact on the climate in the past and may very well include hints about the future.
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- CFA: Continuous Flow Analysis
- CFA-LAS: Continuous Flow Analysis-Laser Absorption Spectroscopy
- NICL: National Ice Core Lab
- PE: Permutation Entropy
- WPE: Weighted Permutation Entropy
- WAIS: West Antarctic Ice Sheet
- WDC: WAIS Divide Core
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