Localization in spin chains with facilitation constraints and disordered interactions
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Quantum many-body systems with kinetic constraints exhibit intriguing relaxation dynamics. Recent experimental progress in the field of cold atomic gases offers a handle for probing collective behavior of such systems, in particular for understanding the interplay between constraints and disorder. Here we explore a spin chain with facilitation constraints — a feature which is often used to model classical glass formers — together with disorder that originates from spin-spin interactions. The specific model we study, which is realized in a natural fashion in Rydberg quantum simulators, maps onto an XX-chain with non-local disorder. Our study shows that the combination of constraints and seemingly unconventional disorder may lead to interesting non-equilibrium behavior in experimentally relevant setups.

Introduction — Localization phenomena in many-body quantum systems are currently under extensive investigation. Initially, localization was discussed by Anderson [1] for non-interacting quantum particles in disordered potential landscapes. Since then the focus has increasingly shifted to the many-body domain, partially fueled by the development of refined techniques to experimentally engineer and probe many-body systems with cold atoms [2]. By now, evidence has been found that in isolated, one-dimensional, interacting systems the presence of disorder induces a phase transition from a thermal to a many-body localized one where ergodicity breaks down [3][20]; for reviews see [21][22]. Experiments [20][24][26] have confirmed theoretical predictions, and signatures of MBL have also been identified in two-dimensional systems [27]. Aspects of MBL are also present in systems with weak periodic driving [28], in systems with disordered interactions [29][30] as well as in systems coupled to an environment [31][36].

A second mechanism for interesting quantum relaxation is via constraints in the dynamics. In analogy with what occurs in models of classical glasses [37], quantum systems with kinetic constraints can display very slow and complex relaxation [38][40] and can be used to probe the possibility of MBL-like physics in the absence of disorder [41][51]. Hamiltonians with kinetic constraints can display particular many-body eigenstates that generalize the concept of quantum scars to interacting systems [52][55]. Constraints can further impose restrictions on the quantum dynamics either by removing states from the Hilbert and/or by cutting off transition pathways between states. Supplemented by the presence of disorder, it is expected that constrained systems become very prone to localization [50].

Here we are interested in understanding localization in disordered spin chains in the presence of facilitation kinetic constraints. Such a scenario was recently realized experimentally [57] within an optical lattice quantum simulator consisting of individually trapped Rydberg atoms [58][61]. Here atoms are excited in a way that the energy cost of creating a Rydberg atom is compensated by the interatomic interactions. This leads to a facilitation mechanism [62][65] by which an initial excitation can “seed” the nucleation of an excitation cluster [66][68] (for the classical origin of ideas about facilitation dynamics see [37][60][71]). Disorder enters in this scenario due to the fact that the position of each atom is thermally distributed within its lattice site. We show that in this situation the system maps onto a disordered and interacting XX-spin chain, which is the typical starting point for many MBL studies. However, in our case disorder and interactions are non-local and intertwined, which makes the analysis of localization effects rather involved. We characterize the localization properties via the imbalance, the half-chain entanglement entropy and the energy level statistics and find signatures of a crossover between a delocalized and a localized phase. Our study demonstrates a need to consider situations that differ from the
where the projector $\hat{P}_j = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \hat{\sigma}_j^z \hat{\sigma}_{j+1}^z)$ implements the constraint. To get rid of boundary terms we assume that there are two fictitious down-spins at the ends of the chain, so that $\hat{n}_0 = \hat{n}_{N+1} = 0$.

Formally, Eq. (2) is derived by adopting an interaction picture via the unitary $\hat{U} = \exp\left[-i\Delta \sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{n}_j (1 - \hat{n}_{j+1})\right]$ and subsequently dropping all terms oscillating with frequency $\omega_0$ (RWA). By construction, this renders the operator $\hat{N}_{cl} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \hat{n}_j (1 - \hat{n}_{j+1})$ a conserved quantity, $[\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}, \hat{N}_{cl}] = 0$. $\hat{N}_{cl}$ can be interpreted as the number of clusters of uninterrupted domains of excitations terminated by down spins, and its conservation makes it possible to adopt a dual description in terms of domain walls separating the clusters.

The derivation will be given in detail elsewhere. Here we limit ourselves to the basic ingredients: through a Kramers-Wannier transformation $\hat{\sigma}_j^x = \hat{\mu}_j^1 \hat{\mu}_{j+1}^1$, $\hat{\sigma}_j^y = (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{j} \hat{\mu}_i^1 \hat{\mu}_{j+1}^6$ and $\hat{\sigma}_j^z = (-1)^{j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{j} \hat{\mu}_i^6$. The Hamiltonian (2) is then mapped to that of an XX-model (equivalent to free fermions):
In the domain wall representation it reads  \( \hat{I} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (-1)^j \hat{n}_j \hat{n}_{j+1} \).

On the state (6) (with \( N \) even), it evaluates to \( (N - 2)/(2N - 2) \) and tends to 1/2 for \( N \ll 1 \). In the domain wall representation it reads \( \hat{I} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (-1)^j \hat{n}_j \hat{n}_{j+1} \), with \( \hat{n}_j \) being the domain wall density operator.

In Fig. 2(a) we show the average expectation value of \( \hat{I} \) at long times (\( \Omega t = 10^5 \)) and for different system sizes as a function of the trap width \( \sigma \). The latter parameterizes the disorder strength, with \( \sigma = 0 \) being the disorder-free limit. For small disorder, the excitations are able to move and spread over the whole chain, as can be gleaned from panel (b): at the smallest values of \( \sigma \), a homogeneous distribution of domain walls is reached. Correspondingly, the imbalance approximately vanishes. With increasing disorder the imbalance grows, and the domain wall density at long times (\( t = 10^5 \)) looks closer and closer to the initial one (\( t = 0 \)), implying that the system localizes — at least for these times — close to the initial configuration.

It may be challenging to probe the very long times investigated here in an experimental setting. In the inset we show a few instances of the average imbalance as a function of time, highlighting that at shorter times (\( \Omega t \approx 10^3 \)) \( \langle \hat{I} \rangle \) still displays oscillations for small disorder, and only becomes stationary from beyond a certain disorder threshold to avoid the strong oscillations in the early dynamics.

(ii) Half-chain entanglement entropy (HCEE): A prototypical measure for detecting the spreading of quantum correlations throughout the system is the entanglement entropy of a subsystem \( \hat{S}(t) \), which tracks how much information about the chosen subsystem is lost when the complement is traced away. For an initial pure state \( |\Psi(t = 0)\rangle \) evolving under \( \hat{H} \) it is defined as \( \hat{S}(t) = -\text{tr}(\hat{\rho}_{1/2}(t) \ln \hat{\rho}_{1/2}(t)) \), where \( \hat{\rho}_{1/2}(t) = \text{tr}_{N/2, \ldots, N} \{ |\Psi(t)\rangle \langle \Psi(t)| \} \) denotes the trace over the Hilbert subspace corresponding to the right half of the chain (in the spin picture).
The evolution of the HCEE as a function of time in a chain for various system sizes $N$ and trap widths $\sigma$. The color code and the symbols correspond to the ones in Fig. 2, i.e., $\sigma = 0.0006$ (purple) [only $N = 10, 12$ shown], 0.0071 (light blue), 0.0306 (green) and 0.135 (red) $[N = 10$ and $N = 12$ overlap]. Inset: $\sigma = 0.08$ (orange) case, displayed on its own to highlight the progressive emergence of a logarithmic growth of the HCEE as the system size is increased. (b) Level statistic ratio (LSR) of the combined Hamiltonian $H$ in the restricted Hilbert space containing $N_{cl} = 2$ clusters. The LSR is given as a function of the trap width $\sigma$ for different system sizes $N$. Symbols correspond to the $\sigma$-values of the curves displayed in panel (a). The LSR is compatible with a Poissonian distribution of level spacings at very low and large disorder; in the former case, the system is close to being integrable, whereas in the latter this is due to the effects of the disorder and the phase is MBL-like. In between there is a crossover regime in which the LSR shows GOE statistics, suggesting the presence of an ergodic, thermalizing window at intermediate values of $\sigma \approx 10^{-2}$.

In this regime the growth of the entropy is visibly slower and, within the addressed range of timescales, appears to be logarithmic in nature. To highlight this, we show in the inset three curves (for $N = 8, 10, 12$) at $\sigma = 0.08$ which display how, increasing the system size, the HCEE grows to acquire an apparently linear behavior in log-linear scale. A logarithmic growth of the HCEE towards its stationary value is a characteristic feature of MBL systems [9], suggesting the presence, for $\sigma \gtrapprox 0.01$, of an MBL phase, although it is not straightforward in our case to disentangle the effects of interactions and disorder, and we are restricted to rather small system sizes.

(iii) Level statistic ratio: A further measure often used in the context of both MBL and integrable systems is the level statistic ratio (LSR) \[ r_n = \frac{\min(\Delta_n, \Delta_{n+1})}{\max(\Delta_n, \Delta_{n+1})}, \] where $\Delta_n = |E_n - E_{n+1}|$ is the spacing between adjacent eigenenergies of the Hamiltonian, listed in ascending order ($E_n \geq E_{n-1}$). To get the LSR $\langle r \rangle$, one then takes the arithmetic mean of the $r_n$'s ($n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$) and then averages over the disorder distribution. The predictions for GOE and Poissonian ensembles are $\langle r \rangle_{\text{GOE}} \approx 0.5307$ and $\langle r \rangle_{\text{Poisson}} \approx 2 \ln(2) - 1 \approx 0.386$, respectively.

Fig. 3(b) shows the LSR of the model discussed here \[ \text{(2)} \] with $N_{cl} = 2$ as a function of the trap width $\sigma$. For very small disorder $\sigma \lesssim 10^{-3}$, the system is in the regime dominated by the hopping term \[ \text{(2)}, \] is still close to its integrable regime (free fermions), and the LSR approaches a Poissonian value. In the opposite regime, $\langle r \rangle$ also approaches a Poissonian value, presumably entering an MBL phase. Between these two regimes, $\langle r \rangle$ rises to “GOE-like” values, suggesting that in this crossover window — for the system sizes studied here — ergodic behavior and (effective) thermalization are present.

Conclusion — We analyzed the effects of disorder on an interacting Rydberg chain under the facilitation condition. Within a dual domain wall picture the systems is described by an XX-spin model and randomness in the atomic positions translates into a non-local disordered interaction potential. This unconventional disordered many-body system shows signatures of a crossover between an ergodic, thermalizing phase and what appears to be a many-body localized one. The model studied here differs from a more standard MBL one in that non-local
interactions and disorder are naturally interconnected, a feature that nevertheless appears rather relevant for experimental realizations.
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