Terahertz shifted optical sideband generation in graphene
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Exploration of optical non-linear response of graphene predominantly relies on ultra-short time domain measurements. Here we propose an alternate technique that uses frequency shifted continuous wavefront optical fields, thereby probing graphene’s steady state non-linear response. We predict frequency sideband generation in the reflected field that originates from coherent electron dynamics of the photo-excited carriers. The corresponding threshold in input intensity for optimal sideband generation provides a direct measure of the third order optical non-linearity in graphene. Our formulation yields analytic forms for the generated sideband intensity, is applicable to generic two-band systems and suggests a range of applications that include switching of frequency sidebands using non-linear phase shifts and generation of frequency combs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Owing to its gate tunable electronic, optical and opto-electronic properties, the exploration of non-linear optical effects in graphene has attracted significant interest in experiments1–10 as well as in theory11–20. Experimentally, several non-linear optical effects such as higher harmonic generation1,2, third order non-linearity3,4,6–10 and four wave mixing5 have been demonstrated in graphene. There are also predictions of ultra broadband wave mixing at low powers, with generation of several side-bands at terahertz (THz) frequencies in bilayer graphene21. Such measurements and estimates offer fundamental insights in optical nonlinear interactions and relaxation mechanisms in lower dimensional systems2,3,15,21,22 along with a promise of applications including compact and useful THz sources and gate tunable opto-electronic devices23–25. However, experiments till date have been primarily limited to ultra-short time-domain spectroscopy26,27 which are technologically involved and intricate, and physical interpretations generally rely on large scale computation.

Here we propose an alternate technique that uses frequency shifted continuous wavefront (CW) optical fields, probing optical non-linearity in the ‘steady state’. In particular we focus on the non-linear optical sideband generation in graphene due to inter-band polarization combined with optical Bloch oscillations28,29. In presence of a CW pump (frequency ωp) and a frequency shifted probe beam (ωp + ωs) the optically pumped population inversion and the inter-band coherence oscillate at the modulation frequency. Such coherent ‘slushing’ of the inter-band quasiparticles excitations leads to a new sideband generation at frequencies ωp − ωs = 2ωp − (ωp + ωs), as shown in Fig. 1. This results in distinct signatures in reflectivity along with non-linear polarization rotation at the new sideband frequency. Our formulation based on the dynamics of density matrix for a generic two band systems, can be easily applied to other materials as well.

The predicted sideband generation is a direct consequence of non-degenerate four-wave mixing due to third-order non-linearity in graphene28,30. Estimation of the corresponding intensity threshold and polarization rotation offers an alternative technique for probing non-linear optical effects and relaxation rates with CW fields in graphene30. Furthermore, the formulation is applicable from THz to optical domain with applications including switching with controlled non-linear phase shifts approaching π/2 with reasonable incident CW power and cascaded generation of frequency combs31.
II. TWO BAND MODEL

Our formulation starts with Hamiltonian of an electronic system interacting with an electro-magnetic field. It can be described using the dipole approximation\(^{32}\), i.e., \( H = H_0 + \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{r} \). Here \( H_0 \) is the bare Hamiltonian, \( \varepsilon \) is the electronic charge, and \( \mathbf{E} \) is the electric field. For simplicity we focus on a generic two band system\(^ {33-35}\), with its quasiparticle dispersion described by the Hamiltonian, \( H_0 = \sum_k h_k \cdot \sigma \), where \( h_k = (h_{1k}, h_{2k}, h_{3k}) \) is a vector composed of real scalar elements and \( \sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z) \) is a vector composed of the 2 x 2 identity and the three Pauli matrices. The eigenvalues for conduction/valence band are, \( \epsilon_k = h_{0k} \pm g_k \), where \( g_k \equiv \sqrt{h_{1k}^2 + h_{2k}^2 + h_{3k}^2} \). Accordingly, the state vectors are given by, \( H_0 \vert \psi_k^{c/v} \rangle = \epsilon_k^{c/v} \vert \psi_k^{c/v} \rangle \).

The frequency shifted electromagnetic field is, \( \mathbf{E}(t) = \text{Re} \left[ \mathbf{E}_p e^{-i\omega_p t} + \mathbf{E}_e e^{-i(\omega_p + \omega_s) t} \right] \), composed of a primary pump beam (of amplitude \( \mathbf{E}_p \) and frequency \( \omega_p \)) and a probe beam (of amplitude \( \mathbf{E}_e \) \( \ll \mathbf{E}_p \) and frequency \( \omega_p + \omega_s \), where \( \omega_s \ll \omega_p \)). In general the pump and the probe fields can have different polarization angles, \( \Theta_p \) and \( \Theta_s \), respectively, so that \( \mathbf{E}_p = \mathbf{E}_p (\cos \Theta_p, \sin \Theta_p) \) and \( \mathbf{E}_s = \mathbf{E}_s (\cos \Theta_s, \sin \Theta_s) \) for vertical incidence.

The dynamics of the two band system described above is obtained by analytically solving the equation of motion (EOM) for the density matrix \( \rho_k^{c/v} \). The diagonal elements of \( \rho_k^{c/v} \) comprise of the carrier distribution in the conduction \( (\rho_k^{c}) \) and valence \( (\rho_k^{v}) \) bands, while the off-diagonal elements \( \rho_k^{cv} = (\rho_k^{c})^* \equiv p_k \) capture the inter-band coherence. The incident optical field ‘pumps’ the carriers from the valence band to the conduction band via vertical transitions. This optical pumping of carriers is countered by damping terms originating from the vacuum fluctuations, electron-electron interactions, electron-phonon interactions, and disorder, leading to a finite population inversion \( (n_k = \rho_k^{c} - \rho_k^{v}) \) - shown in Fig. 1. Including the damping terms phenomenologically in the EOM of the density matrix leads to the following set of coupled optical Bloch equation (OBE)\(^ {33-36}\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t n_k &= 4 \text{Im} \left( \Omega_k^{c} p_k - \gamma_1 (n_k - n_k^{eq}) \right), \\
\partial_t p_k &= i \omega_k p_k - i \Omega_k^{c} n_k - \gamma_2 p_k.
\end{align*}
\]

The inter-band Rabi frequency can be expressed in terms of the inter-band optical matrix element \( M_k^{cv} \equiv \langle \psi_k^{c} | \nabla_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{H}_0 | \psi_k^{v} \rangle \) as: \( \Omega_k^{c} = i \mathbf{E}(t) \cdot M_k^{cv} / \omega_k \), where \( \omega_k = (\epsilon_k^{c} - \epsilon_k^{v}) / \hbar \) is the vertical transition frequency\(^ {37}\). In Eqs. (1)-(A2), \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) are the phenomenological damping rates of the inter-band population inversion and coherence, respectively. For simplicity, we assume these rates to be constants. Note that this ‘constant damping rate’ approximation allows us to proceed analytically, and it still captures all the relevant physics qualitatively. A more involved modelling of the damping constants, as done in Ref. [38], also yields a very similar results for the population inversion and the interband coherence.
FIG. 2. (a) Real part of the momentum resolved conductivity kernel corresponding to the pump ($\sigma_{xx}^{(0)}$ - in red), probe ($\sigma_{xx}^{(1)}$ - in green) and the newly generated sideband ($\sigma_{xx}^{(-1)}$ - in blue) as a function of $\log_{10} \zeta$ for $\Theta_p = 0$ (solid curve) and $\Theta_p = \pi/4$ (dotted curve). Here $\omega_k = 0.8 \omega_p$ and $k = (0, 1)$. (b) The corresponding integrated optical conductivities (in units of $\sigma_0 = e^2/4\hbar$) as a function of $\log_{10} \zeta$. As expected, both $\sigma_{xx}^{(0)}$ and $\sigma_{xx}^{(1)}$ display linear response behaviour ($\rightarrow \sigma_0$) as $\zeta \rightarrow 0$. However, the newly generated $\sigma_{xx}^{(-1)}$ is finite only after the onset of the nonlinear response regime ($\zeta \approx 1$). (c) The polarization angle dependence of longitudinal conductivities for $\zeta = 1$. Other parameters are: $\omega_p = 5 \times 10^{14}$ s$^{-1}$, $\gamma_1 = 1 \times 10^{12}$ s$^{-1}$, $\gamma_2 = 5 \times 10^{13}$ s$^{-1}$ and $\mu = 0$. It can easily be checked that $|n_k^{(-1)}| \rightarrow 0$ in both the limiting cases of vanishing intensity of the pump beam ($\zeta \rightarrow 0$) as well as in the saturation limit ($\zeta \rightarrow \infty$), as expected. Recall that $n_k^{(-1)} = [n_k^{(1)}]^*$ and the analytical expressions for the components of the inter-band coherence are presented in Appendix A. These $\omega_{-1}$ sideband components of the density matrix generate a new optical sideband whose amplitude and polarization depend on the amplitude and polarization of the incident pump beam.

IV. OPTICAL CONDUCTIVITY

The optical conductivity at different frequencies can now be obtained via the calculation of the charge current response in the frequency domain: $\mathbf{J} = \sum_k \text{Trace}(\rho_k \mathbf{M}_k) = \sigma^{(0)} \mathbf{E}_p + \sigma^{(1)} \mathbf{E}_s + \sigma^{(-1)} \mathbf{E}_s$, where $\mathbf{M}_k/e$ is the effective velocity operator. Here $\sigma^{(0)}$ and $\sigma^{(\pm 1)}$ are non-linear functions of $\mathbf{E}_p$, capturing the response at frequencies $\omega_p$ and $\omega_p \pm \omega_s$, respectively. The corresponding optical conductivity matrix can be expressed as a Brillouin zone sum of the momentum resolved conductivity matrix: $\sigma^{(1)}(k) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{BZ} d\mathbf{k} \sigma^{(1)}(k)$, with $i = 0, \pm 1$ and $d$ denoting the dimensionality of the system. The momentum resolved optical conductivity matrix corresponding to the pump frequency $\omega_p$ is given by

$$\sigma^{(0)}_k = \frac{in_k^{(0)} \mathbf{M}_k^{cv} \otimes \mathbf{M}_k^{cv}}{\hbar \omega_k - \omega_p}.$$

Here, $\omega'_p \equiv \omega_p + i\gamma_2$ and $\otimes$ denotes the outer product of the optical matrix element vectors. The momentum resolved optical conductivity corresponding to the probe frequency ($\omega_p + \omega_s$) sideband is

$$\sigma^{(1)}_k = \sigma^{(0)}_k \frac{1 + |\mathbf{E}_p \cdot \mathbf{M}_k^{cv}|^2 \zeta_k}{[\omega_k - (\omega_p + \omega_s)][\omega_k - \omega'_p]^{-1}}.$$

Equation (11) clearly emphasizes the gain in the optical response at the probe frequency $\omega_p + \omega_s$. As stated earlier, the response at frequencies $\omega_p$ and $(\omega_p + \omega_s)$ interfere leading to a sideband generation at the frequency $\omega_{-1} = \omega_p - \omega_s$. The details of the calculations are presented in Appendix A and B.

The momentum resolved optical conductivity due to the newly generated sideband is given by

$$\sigma^{(-1)}_k = \sigma^{(0)}_k \frac{(\mathbf{M}_k^{cv} \otimes \mathbf{M}_k^{cv})^{-1} \mathbf{M}_k^{cv} \otimes \mathbf{M}_k^{cv} (\mathbf{E}_p \cdot \mathbf{M}_k^{cv})^2 \zeta_k}{[\omega_k - (\omega_p + \omega_s)][\omega_k - \omega'_p]^{-1}}.$$

Equation (12) highlights the optical response generated at the new sideband frequency $\omega_p - \omega_s$, and is one of the significant findings of this work. This new sideband response originates from the third order non-linearity in graphene. The dependence of the longitudinal optical conductivities on the non-linearity parameter $\zeta \propto |\mathbf{E}_p|$ and the pump polarization angle $\Theta_p$ is shown in Fig. 2. The transverse component of the optical conductivity are presented in Fig. 7. As expected, both $\sigma^{(0)}_k$ and $\sigma^{(1)}_k$ reduce to the universal optical conductivity of graphene, $\sigma_0 = e^2/(4\hbar)$, in the linear response regime of $\zeta \rightarrow 0$. However, the new sideband contribution $\sigma^{(-1)}_k$ is finite only in the non-linear regime of $\zeta \approx 1$, and vanishes in the linear response as well as in the saturation regime ($\zeta \gg 1$).

V. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE NEW SIDEBAND

The generated sideband would leave its signature in a range of optical and photo-conductivity measurements. Here, we focus on its impact in optical reflectivity. In particular, we explore the pump power and polarization angle dependence of the reflectivity (amplitude and
TABLE I. The reflection coefficients in two dimensional materials in terms of optical conductivities \(^{35,39}\). Here we have defined, \(\bar{\sigma}_d = \sigma_{ij}/\sigma_0\), \(\sigma_0 = e^2/(4\hbar)\), and \(\bar{Z} = 2/(\pi\alpha_F\bar{\sigma}_d)\) where \(\alpha_F \approx 1/137\) is the fine structure constant, and \(\bar{\sigma}_d = (2/\pi \alpha_F + \bar{\sigma}_{xx}) (2/\pi \alpha_F + \bar{\sigma}_{yy}) - \bar{\sigma}_{xy}^2\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Exact expression</th>
<th>(O(\alpha_F))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(r_{ss})</td>
<td>(Z\left(\bar{Z}\bar{\sigma}<em>d + \bar{\sigma}</em>{yy}\right) - 1 - \bar{\sigma}_{xx}/(\bar{Z}\bar{\sigma}_d))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r_{pp})</td>
<td>(1 - \bar{Z}\left(\bar{Z}\bar{\sigma}<em>d + \bar{\sigma}</em>{xx}\right))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r_{sp})</td>
<td>(-\bar{Z}\bar{\sigma}_{xy})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi_{sp})</td>
<td>(-r_{ps}/r_{ss})</td>
<td>(\sigma_{xy}/\sigma_{xx})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\chi_{pp})</td>
<td>(r_{sp}/r_{pp})</td>
<td>(-\sigma_{xy}/\sigma_{yy})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![FIG. 3](image_url). Variation of (a) reflection probability (b) and its phase as a function of the pump field strength \((\log_{10}\zeta)\), for the probe, and \(\omega_{-1}\) frequencies. The nonlinear \(\omega_{-1}\) sideband can also be probed via the interference experiments sensitive to the phase of the reflection coefficient. We have chosen \(\Theta_p = \pi/4\) for both panels, and other parameters are identical to those of Fig. 2.

The dependence of the phase in \(r = \sqrt{R}e^{i\phi}\), and the Kerr angle \(\Theta_{Kerr}\) \(^{35,39}\). For graphene, though small, the reflectivity is routinely measured\(^{33,35,41,42}\), while the phase of the reflection coefficient can be measured using a generic interference setup. Thus \(R\), \(\Phi\) and \(\Theta_{Kerr}\) can be probed as a function of the probe laser power and polarization angle (see Fig. 1).

The dependence of the \(s\) and \(p\) components of the reflection coefficients on the respective optical conductivities in graphene are tabulated in Table I\(^{40}\).

To compare the reflection amplitude and phase of the sideband\(^{43}\) with that of the pump beam, we define the following:

\[
\frac{R_{ss}^{(\lambda)}}{R_{ss}^{(0)}} = \left|\frac{r_{ss}^{(\lambda)}}{r_{ss}^{(0)}}\right|^2, \quad \tan \Phi^{(\lambda)} = \frac{\text{Im}[r_{ss}^{(\lambda)}]}{\text{Re}[r_{ss}^{(\lambda)}]},
\]

where \(\lambda = \pm 1\) for reflectance measured at the sideband frequencies \(\omega_p \pm \omega_s\). The dependence of the ratios of the reflectance and \(\Phi\) defined in Eq. 13, is shown in Fig. 3 as a function of the pump beam intensity \((\propto \zeta^2)\). Clearly the \(\omega_{-1}\) sideband response at manifests only in the non-linear regime of \(\zeta \approx 1\). In the optical regime (say \(\omega_p = 5 \times 10^{14}\text{s}^{-1}\)), the estimated damping constants in graphene\(^{30}\) are \(\gamma_1 \approx 10^{12}\text{s}^{-1}, \gamma_2 \approx 5 \times 10^{13}\text{s}^{-1}\). Using these values, the \(\zeta \approx 1\) condition in graphene corresponds to a CW laser intensity \(\propto 10^5\text{ Wcm}^{-2}\), which is reasonable\(^3\). Furthermore, at reasonable CW powers we also observe non-linear phase shifts in excess of \(\pi/2\) for the new sideband. Such large non-linear phase shifts is of great interest in a range of switching applications in THz and optical domains. The polarization angle \(\Theta_p\) dependence of the reflection probability and its phase is shown in Fig. 4(a)-(b).

Non-linear optical response in graphene also generates a finite \(\sigma_{xy}\), which in turn leads to Kerr rotation (polarization rotation of the reflected beam)\(^{35,39}\). The Kerr rotation angle for \(s\) and \(p\) polarized beams is given by\(^{45,39}\),

\[
\Theta_{s/p}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2}\tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2\text{Re}[\chi_{s/p}\kappa^{(\lambda)}]}{1 - |\chi_{s/p}\kappa^{(\lambda)}|^2} \right),
\]

where \(\chi_{s/p}\kappa\) can be expressed in terms of the reflection coefficients (see Table I). The variation of the Kerr angle for the \(s\) and \(p\) components for pump, probe and the new sideband beam as a function of \(\Theta_p\) is shown in Fig. 4 (c)-(d). The polarization rotation of the \(\omega_{-1}\) sideband seems to be significantly large and different from that corresponding to the pump and probe frequencies.

**VI. SUMMARY**

In summary, we predict generation of a new modulated optical sideband in graphene in presence of a CW frequency shifted pump-probe setup. Physically, the ‘slushing’ of the inter-band coherence due to interference of the pump and the probe results in the generated sideband...
Appendix A: Steady state density matrix in presence of pump and probe fields

In this section we obtain analytical results for the steady state density matrix as a solution of the optical Bloch equations (OBEs) in the presence of a pump as well as a probe field. The OBEs, including phenomenological damping terms are given by

\[ \partial_t n_k = 4 \Im [\Omega^\nu_k p_k] - \gamma_1 (n_k - n_k^{eq}), \]  
\[ \partial_t p_k = i\omega_k p_k - i\Omega^\nu_k n_k - \gamma p_k. \]  

The ansatz for the solution of population inversion, \( n_k \) and the inter-band coherence \( p_k \) is motivated by the fact that the relatively weak probe field has only perturbative impact on the steady state population inversion achieved under the action of the pump field alone. Following Boyd\(^{25}\), we can express \( n_k \) and \( p_k \) as,

\[ n_k = n_k^{(0)} + n_k^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_st} + n_k^{(-1)} e^{i\omega_st}, \]
\[ p_k = \left(p_k^{(0)} + p_k^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_st} + p_k^{(-1)} e^{i\omega_st}\right) e^{i\omega_pt}, \]

where \( n_k^{(0)}, n_k^{(1)} \) and \( n_k^{(-1)} \) are time independent in the steady state. Here we assume that \( \omega_s \ll \omega_p \) and we ignore the second order terms like \( n_k^{(1)} p_k^{(1)}, n_k^{(1)} p_k^{(-1)} \) and so on. Since the total population inversion \( n_k \) has to be a real physical quantity, we have \( (n_k^{(1)})^* = n_k^{(-1)} \).

The time derivative of the population inversion and the inter-band polarization are given by,

\[ \dot{n}_k = -i\omega_s n_k^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_st} + i\omega_s n_k^{(-1)} e^{i\omega_st}, \]
\[ \dot{p}_k = i\omega_p p_k^{(0)} e^{i\omega_pt} + i(\omega_p - \omega_s) p_k^{(1)} e^{i(\omega_p - \omega_s)t} + i(\omega_p + \omega_s) p_k^{(-1)} e^{i(\omega_p + \omega_s)t}. \]

Using the expression for \( \Omega^\nu_k \) and the full form of the applied pump and probe electric field, a straightforward calculation yields,

\[ -i\Omega^\nu_k n_k = \left[ \frac{E_p \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} n_k^{(0)} e^{-i\omega_p t} + \frac{E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} n_k^{(0)} e^{i\omega_p t} \right. \]
\[ + \left. \frac{E_p \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} n_k^{(1)} e^{-i(\omega_p + \omega_s)t} + \frac{E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} n_k^{(0)} e^{i(\omega_p + \omega_s)t} \right. \]
\[ + \left. \frac{E_p \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} n_k^{(-1)} e^{-i(\omega_p - \omega_s)t} + \frac{E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} n_k^{(-1)} e^{i(\omega_p - \omega_s)t} \right]. \]  

Ignoring the counter rotating terms in Eq. (A6) and using Eq. (A5), we obtain

\[ p_k^{(0)} = \frac{i}{2\hbar\omega_k} \left[ \frac{n_k^{(0)} (E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k)}{\omega_k - \omega_p + i\gamma} \right], \]
\[ p_k^{(1)} = \frac{i}{2\hbar\omega_k} \left[ \frac{n_k^{(1)} (E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k)}{\omega_k - (\omega_p + \omega_s) + i\gamma} \right]. \]

and,

\[ p_k^{(-1)} = \frac{i}{2\hbar\omega_k} \left[ \frac{(E_p \cdot M^\nu_k) n_k^{(-1)} + (E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k) n_k^{(0)}}{\omega_k - (\omega_p + \omega_s) + i\gamma} \right]. \]

Note that we have done the calculations keeping the counter-rotating terms as well, and explicitly checked that the results are qualitatively in very good agreement to the ones reproduced here.

Similar to Eq. (A6), we have,

\[ \Omega^\nu_k p_k = -\frac{E_p \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} p_k^{(0)} - \frac{E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} p_k^{(-1)} e^{i\omega_p t} \]
\[ - i \left( \frac{E_p \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} p_k^{(1)} + \frac{E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k}{2\hbar\omega_k} p_k^{(0)} \right) e^{-i\omega_s t}. \]

Substituting Eq. (A10) in Eq. (A1) we obtain,

\[ \gamma_1 (n_k^{(0)} - n_k^{eq}) = -\frac{E_p \cdot M^\nu_k}{\hbar\omega_k} p_k^{(0)} - \frac{E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k}{\hbar\omega_k} p_k^{(0)^*}. \]

Combining this with Eq. (A7), we obtain

\[ \frac{n_k^{(0)}}{n_k^{eq}} = \left[ 1 + \frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} \frac{1}{\hbar^2\omega_k^2 (\omega_k - \omega_p)^2 + \gamma_2^2} \right]^{-1}. \]

Similarly, the population inversion corresponding to the probe frequency can be obtained to be

\[ (\gamma_1 - i\omega_s) n_k^{(1)} = -\frac{E_p \cdot M^\nu_k}{\hbar\omega_k} p_k^{(1)} - \frac{E_p^* \cdot M^\nu_k}{\hbar\omega_k} p_k^{(-1)^*}. \]
the chemical potential leads to Combining this with Eq. (A7), Eq. (A8) and Eq. (A9), here we have defined modes over the Brillouin zone (BZ),

\[ n^{(1)}_k = n^{(0)}_k (\mathbf{E}_s \cdot \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k) (\mathbf{E}_p \cdot \mathbf{M}^{cc}_k) \xi_k. \]  

(A14)

Here we have defined

\[ \xi_k = \frac{P_k}{2 \hbar^2 \omega_k^2 (\omega_s + i \gamma_1) + |\mathbf{E}_p \cdot \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k|^2 Q_k}, \]  

(A15)

\[ P_k = \left( \frac{1}{\omega_k - \omega_p - i \gamma_2} - \frac{1}{\omega_k - (\omega_p + \omega_s) + i \gamma_2} \right), \]  

(A16)

\[ Q_k = \left( \frac{1}{\omega_k - (\omega_p + \omega_s) - i \gamma_2} - \frac{1}{\omega_k - (\omega_p - \omega_s) + i \gamma_2} \right). \]  

(A17)

The real part of the obtained \( n^{(1)}_k \) is shown in Fig. 5, for different orientation of the polarization angles of the pump beam.

Appendix B: Pump, probe and the sideband current density

In this section we calculate the inter-band current density corresponding to the pump, probe and the newly generated sideband frequencies. In presence of a frequency modulated CW light beam, a steady state situation is achieved where a quasi stationary population inversion is obtained as shown explicitly in the previous section. During this period, a non-vanishing steady state inter-band current is maintained because of the finite inter-band coherence or polarization. The momentum resolved current density, at any time \( t \) can be expressed in terms of microscopic polarization \( p_k \) and the optical matrix element \( \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k \) as,

\[ \mathbf{J}_k(t) = -2 \text{Re}[p_k(t) \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k]. \]  

(B1)

The total current is given as the sum of all the momentum modes over the Brillouin zone (BZ),

\[ \mathbf{J}(t) = \frac{g_s g_e}{4 \pi^2} \int \mathbf{J}_k(t) dk, \]  

(B2)

where \( g_s \) and \( g_e \) represents the spin and valley degeneracy respectively. While using a tight-binding model, this summation is restricted to the first Brillouin zone. On using an effective low energy model, the integral limit is fixed to some cut-off value where the integral kernel is almost zero. In the presence of the probe sideband at \( \omega_p + \omega_s \) frequency, we need to make a similar ansatz for the total current as we did for the components of the density matrix. Thus the total current can be expressed as,

\[ \mathbf{J}_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{J}_k e^{-i \omega_p t} + c.c], \]  

(B3)

where \( \mathbf{J}_k = \mathbf{J}^{(0)}_k + \mathbf{J}^{(1)}_k e^{-i \omega_s t} + \mathbf{J}^{(-1)}_k e^{i \omega_s t} \). Note that the different time dependence of these currents will lead to the generation of electromagnetic fields at different optical frequencies: \( \omega_p \) and \( \omega_p \pm \omega_s \). Once again, matching the coefficient of \( e^{i \omega_s t} \) and \( e^{-i \omega_s t} \) terms, we obtain \( J^{(0)}_k = -2 p_k^0 \mathbf{M}^{cc}_k \), \( J^{(1)}_k = -2 p_k^1 \mathbf{M}^{cc}_k \) and \( J^{(-1)}_k = -2 p_k^{(-1)} \mathbf{M}^{cc}_k \). This implies that,

\[ J^{(0)}_k = \frac{i n^{(0)}_k (\mathbf{E}_s \cdot \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k) \mathbf{M}^{cc}_k}{\hbar \omega_k - \omega_p - i \gamma_2}, \]  

(B4)

\[ J^{(1)}_k = \frac{(\mathbf{E}_s \cdot \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k) \mathbf{M}^{cc}_k}{\hbar \omega_k} \left[ \frac{i n^{(0)}_k (1 + \xi_k |\mathbf{E}_p \cdot \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k|^2)}{\omega_k - (\omega_p + \omega_s) - i \gamma_2} \right], \]  

(B5)

and,

\[ J^{(-1)}_k = \frac{i n^{(0)}_k (\mathbf{E}_s^* \cdot \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k) \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k (\mathbf{E}_p \cdot \mathbf{M}^{cv}_k)^2 \xi_k^*}{\hbar \omega_k - (\omega_p - \omega_s) - i \gamma_2}. \]  

(B6)

We consider the optical electric field associated with the pump and probe beams to be real i.e., \( \mathbf{E}_p = |\mathbf{E}_p| (\cos \Theta_p, \sin \Theta_p) \) and \( \mathbf{E}_s = |\mathbf{E}_s| (\cos \Theta_s, \sin \Theta_s) \), where \( |\mathbf{E}_p| \) and \( |\mathbf{E}_s| \) are the magnitudes and, \( \Theta_p \) and \( \Theta_s \) are the polarization direction of the respective beams.

The Hamiltonian of Graphene in the Fourier space is given as\(^{44}\)

\[ H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & tf(k) \\ tf^*(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]  

(B7)
where the hopping parameter $\sigma$ that the former increases while the latter decreases with increase in $\omega$. The energy eigenvalues of Eq. (B7) are given by, where the azimuthal angle is given by $\phi = \cos(k_x a) + 2 \cos(k_x a/2) \cos(\sqrt{3} k_y a/2) \] - i \left( \sin(k_x a) - 2 \sin(k_x a/2) \cos(\sqrt{3} k_y a/2) \right). \quad \text{(B8)}$

The optical matrix element responsible for the interband transition in the generic two band model is\(^{33}\),

$$M_i^{\text{vc}} = -\frac{e}{\hbar g_k} \left( -\hbar^2 k h_{3k} + (h_1 k h_{3k} - i h_{2k} g_k) \nabla_k h_{1k} + (h_2 k h_{3k} + i h_{1k} g_k) \nabla_k h_{2k} \right). \quad \text{(B11)}$$

Specifically for graphene, we have $h_1 k = h v_F k_x$, $h_2 k = h v_F k_y$, and $h 3k = 0$. Therefore, the optical matrix element for the low energy Hamiltonian of graphene in Eq. (B10) is,

$$M_i^{\text{vc}} = i e v_F (\sin \phi_k, - \cos \phi_k, 0), \quad \text{(B12)}$$

where the azimuthal angle is given by $\phi_k = \tan^{-1}(k_y/k_x)$. Therefore, we have, $E_p \cdot M_i^{\text{vc}} = -E_p \cdot M_k^{\text{vc}} = i e v_F |E_p| \sin(\phi_k - \Theta_p)$. This leads to

$$\frac{n_i^{(0)}}{n_k^{(0)}} = \left[ 1 + e^2 \frac{\gamma_2}{\omega_k^2} \sin^2(\phi_k - \Theta_p) \right]. \quad \text{(B13)}$$

where $\gamma = e v_F |E_p|/(\hbar \omega_p \sqrt{1-\gamma_2^2})$, $\omega_k = \omega_k/\omega_p$ and $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2/\omega_p$. Accordingly we obtain,

$$\sigma_{xx}^{(0)} = \frac{\sigma_0}{\pi^2} \frac{i n_k^{(0)}}{\omega_k} \left[ \frac{\sin^2 \phi_k}{\omega_k - 1 - i \gamma_2} \right]. \quad \text{(B14)}$$

The total conductivity is obtained by summing the momentum resolved conductivity over the BZ,

$$\sigma_{xx}^{(0)} = \int \omega d\omega k d\phi_k \sigma_{xx}^{(0)} \quad \text{(B15)}$$

FIG. 6. Longitudinal optical conductivities (scaled with $\sigma_0 = e^2/(4\hbar)$) as a function of electric field dependend dimensionless parameter $\zeta$ and polarization. (a) Real (shown in solid) and imaginary part (shown in dashed) corresponding to the pump ($\sigma^{(0)}_{xx}$), probe ($\sigma^{(1)}_{xx}$) and the newly generated sideband ($\sigma^{(-1)}_{xx}$) as a function of $\log_{10} \zeta$ for $\Theta_p = 0$. (b) and (c) demonstrate the response of $\sigma^{(0)}_{xx}$ and $\sigma^{(-1)}_{xx}$ for three different values of the sideband frequencies, $10^1 \text{s}^{-1}$, $5 \times 10^{12} \text{s}^{-1}$, and $5 \times 10^{13} \text{s}^{-1}$, showing that the former increases while the latter decreases with increase in $\omega$. In (d), (e), and (f) we have shown the dependence of $\sigma^{(0)}_{xx}$, $\sigma^{(1)}_{xx}$ and $\sigma^{(-1)}_{xx}$ w.r.t. $\Theta_p$ for $\zeta = 1$. Please note that we have shown $\text{Re}(\sigma^{(-1)}_{xx})$ in dashed in Fig. 6(f), because of -ve sign, as can also be seen from Fig. 6(c). Other parameters are same as those of Fig. 5.
optical beams. In particular, for the reflected beam, the corresponding longitudinal counterparts. Note that these are in general smaller than the transverse optical conductivity for graphene is shown in Fig. 6. We have shown (a) Re[$\sigma_{xy}^{(0)}$] and Im[$\sigma_{xy}^{(0)}$], (b) Re[$\sigma_{xy}^{(1)}$] and Im[$\sigma_{xy}^{(1)}$] and (c) Re[$\sigma_{xy}^{(-1)}$] and Im[$\sigma_{xy}^{(-1)}$] as a function of log$_{10} \zeta$ for $\Theta_p = \pi/4$ whereas in (d), (e), and (f) we have shown the same set of variables w.r.t. $\Theta_p$ for $\zeta = 1$. Please note that the dashed curves represent the negative values of the variables. Other parameters are same as those of Fig. 5.

Similarly we obtain,

$$\sigma_{xxk}^{(1)} = \frac{\sigma_0 \sin^2 k}{\pi^2 \omega_k} \left[ \frac{\sin^2 \phi_k \left(1 + \tilde{\gamma}_k \sin^2 (\phi_k - \Theta_p))\right)}{\omega_k - (1 + \omega_1) - i \tilde{\gamma}_2} \right]. \tag{B16}$$

Here, we have defined

$$\tilde{\chi}_k = \frac{\zeta^2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \tilde{\gamma}_2 \tilde{P}_k}{2\omega_k^2(\omega_1 + i \tilde{\gamma}_1) + \zeta^2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \tilde{\gamma}_2 \sin^2 (\phi_k - \Theta_p)} \tag{B17} \tilde{P}_k = \mathcal{P}_k/\omega_p \text{ and } \tilde{Q}_k = \mathcal{Q}_k/\omega_p. \text{ Finally we have}$$

$$\sigma_{xxk}^{(-1)} = \frac{\sigma_0 \sin^2 k}{\pi^2 \omega_k} \left[ \frac{\tilde{\chi}_k \sin^2 \phi_k \sin^2 (\phi_k - \Theta_p)}{\omega_k - (1 - \omega_1) - i \tilde{\gamma}_2} \right]. \tag{B18}$$

The dependence of the longitudinal conductivities defined above, on the optical field strength of the pump and its polarization dependence is shown in Fig. 6.

In the non-linear response regime of $\zeta \geq 1$, we also find the transverse optical conductivity ($\sigma_{xy}$) to be finite depending on the value of $\Theta_p$. The expressions for $\sigma_{xyk}$ for pump, probe and the new sideband can be obtained directly from Eq. (B14), Eq. (B16) and Eq. (B18), respectively, by replacing $\sin^2 \phi_k \rightarrow - \sin \phi_k \cos \phi_k$. The pump field intensity and polarization dependence of the transverse optical conductivity for graphene is shown in Fig. 7. Note that these are in general smaller than the corresponding longitudinal counterparts.

The presence of a finite optical conductivity also leads to polarization rotation in the reflected and transmitted optical beams. In particular, for the reflected beam, the Kerr angle is given by $^{35,39}$,

$$\Theta_{s/pKerr}^{(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2\text{Re}[\chi_{s/pKerr}^{(\lambda)}]}{1 - |\chi_{s/pKerr}^{(\lambda)}|^2} \right). \tag{B19}$$

Here, $\lambda$ takes value 0, 1 and $-1$ for pump, probe and the new sideband frequencies, respectively. The explicit expressions for the $\chi_{s/pKerr}$ are given in Table I of the main manuscript. The dependence of the polarization rotation angle on the intensity of the pump beam is shown in Fig. 8. Evidently, the polarization angle of the newly generated optical sideband is significantly larger than the polarization rotation of the pump and probe fields.

The corresponding ellipticity of the reflected beam is expressed as,

$$\varepsilon_{s/pKerr}^{(\lambda)} = \tan \left[ \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2\text{Im}[\chi_{s/pKerr}^{(\lambda)}]}{1 + |\chi_{s/pKerr}^{(\lambda)}|^2} \right) \right]. \tag{B20}$$
The pump field and polarization angle dependence of the ellipticity of the reflected optical fields at pump, probe and sideband frequencies is shown in Fig. 9.

FIG. 9. Ellipticity for \( s \) and \( p \) component as a function of \( \log_{10}\zeta \) for (a) the pump and probe frequencies, and (b) the new sideband. Dependence of ellipticity for \( s \) and \( p \) component as a function of \( \Theta_p \) for (c) the pump and probe frequencies, and (d) the new sideband. Here \( \Theta_p = \pi/4 \) for (a) and (b) and \( \zeta = 1 \) for (c) and (d). Other parameters are same as those of Fig. 5.
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Strictly speaking, there is an additional term of the form $\mathcal{H}_2 = \frac{2}{\omega_p} \eta_2 - \frac{2}{\omega_p^2} \eta_4$ on the right hand side of Eq. (A2). However this term can be safely neglected as it 1) oscillates with a frequency $2\omega_p$ and 2) its impact on the final steady state dynamics turns out to be very small.


The simplified expressions in the last column are obtained using $\sigma_F \ll 1$, along with $\sigma_2 \ll \sigma_0$, which works in the case of graphene.


Reflectivity and polarization rotation for the newly generated sideband are defined in reference to the amplitude of backward propagating field $r^{(-1)}$, and its polarization relative to the polarization of the incident pump beam.