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Abstract

Micro-expression, for its high objectivity in emotion detection, has emerged to be a promising modality in affective computing. Recently, deep learning methods have been successfully introduced into micro-expression recognition areas. Whilst the higher recognition accuracy achieved with deep learning methods, substantial challenges in micro-expression recognition remain. Issues with the existence of micro expression in small-local areas on face and limited size of databases still constrain the recognition accuracy of such facial behavior. In this work, to tackle such challenges, we propose novel attention mechanism called micro-attention cooperating with residual network. Micro-attention enables the network to learn to focus on facial area of interest (action units). Moreover, coping with small datasets, a simple yet efficient transfer learning approach is utilized to alleviate the overfitting risk. With an extensive experimental evaluation on two benchmarks (CASMEII, SAMM) and post-hoc feature visualizations, we demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed micro-attention and push the boundary of automatic recognition of micro-expression.

1 Introduction

Micro-expression is a rapid and subtle facial movement, which can reveal underlying genuine emotions. Typically, people express their emotions consciously by macro-expressions that last from 1/2 to 4 seconds [1] and are easily perceived by humans. Meanwhile, many researches have been focused on enabling computer to learn human emotion by recognizing macro expressions (for a survey, see [2] [3]). However, psychological studies [4] [5] suggest that macro expression may be misleading on human emotion recognition. Unlike macro expression, micro-expression is mostly expressed unconsciously where the genuine emotion can be revealed. For such objectivity, the recognition of micro-expression powered many applications in diverse areas like affect monitoring [4], criminal detection [6], and homeland security [7].

Previous research on automatic recognition of micro-expression was benefited from successful feature-engineering works on macro expression. First, Zhao et al. [8] applied Local Binary Pattern on Three Orthogonal Planes (LBP-TOP) to extract facial features from image sequences containing
micro-expression and used Support Vector Machine (SVM) to classify the features. Later, Wang et al. [9] further designed LBP-Six-Intersection-Points (LBP-SIP) for the feature extraction. After that, Li et al. [10] compared LBP-TOP, Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) and Histogram of Image Gradient Orientation (HIGO) for the feature extraction of micro-expression videos. Except for those evolved from facial macro expression analysis on static images, other features proposed in video analysis have also inspired the micro-expression research. Recently, Optical Flow [11] has been used to develop the feature designing for micro-expression. Liu et al. [12] proposed a method called Main Directional Mean Optical-flow (MDMO) to capture the subtle facial movement for micro-expression recognition. Later, Xu et al. [13], based on Optical Flow, designed a fine-grained sequence alignment method and an optical flow direction optimization strategy for the recognition of micro-expression. Methods based on feature engineering that require dependent data pre-processing are suitable for off-line analysis, while for faster and even real-time analysis of micro-expression, a better end-to-end method is needed.

On the other hand, as micro-expression is demonstrated through facial movement, most existing works have focused on the recognition from videos. However, a large percentage of frames within a video sequence could be redundant for the recognition as the existence of micro-expression is transient so only takes up for few frames. A recent research [14] proposed a comprehensive pipeline for automatic apex spotting as well as proved the merit of using apex frame within a video sequence to do micro-expression recognition, while the accuracy achieved was higher than traditional methods that used full video sequences as input.

In this paper, we follow the idea of deep learning in designing end-to-end network for micro-expression recognition. To lessen over-fitting, we perform transfer learning to aid the training on micro-expression databases, which has proved to be efficient in applying deep neural network on small databases in a recent work [17]. Furthermore, the recognition is performed on apex frames within each video sequence, which aimed to get rid of redundant information as well as directly take the advantage of larger macro expression datasets that mostly comprising images. In different with the study [17], a novel attention mechanism called micro-attention is designed for the network to help focusing on the region of interest, considering that the micro-expression is expressed by a fleeting movement of local areas on face. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time when attention mechanism is applied to micro-expression recognition. Through extensive experiments, we demonstrate the obvious benefit gained with our method.

The main contributions are summarized as follows:

- A novel micro-attention design for micro-expression recognition is specially proposed without introducing notable extra parameter. Cooperating with residual network, such attention design also takes the advantage of multi-scale spatial features and enables the network to focus on the area where micro-expression exactly happens thus improve the recognition accuracy. Our method could be useful for other computer vision tasks, especially when the region-of-interest only exists within local areas and the size of database is small.

- Extensive evaluations on two benchmark databases demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed attention unit comparing with other state-of-the-art methods. Particularly, through post-hoc feature visualizations, the power of micro-attention in learning spatial attentions that accord with respective facial action units (AUs) is illustrated. Meanwhile, the potential for other facial expression tasks reveals.
2 Related Research Works

The deep learning approach, as a group of machine learning especially neural network methods, has produced many successful case studies in image processing, video analysis and speech recognition. Without hand-crafted feature engineering, an end-to-end neural network model is able to do classification and prediction by learning from large sets of high dimensional (and low-level representation of) data [15]. Convolutional neural network (CNN), as one of the most widely applied deep learning approach, is currently the leading method in many image-related areas, like large-scale object recognition [18] and face recognition [19]. First introduced in study [20], the CNN has been modified a lot within the past years in a layers-increasing and block-designing manner, popular successors of which are AlexNet [21], VGG-Net [22] and GoogLeNet [23]. Despite the differences in network architecture, deep learning models all benefited from the ability of learning high dimensional representation from large datasets.

Recently, we have seen several studies that applied deep learning for the micro-expression recognition. At first, Peng et al. [16] designed an end-to-end middle-size neural network called Dual Temporal Scale Convolutional Neural Network (DTSCNN) for micro-expression recognition. The DTSCNN has two temporal channels designed for data that share different temporal nature, e.g. cameras used for data collection has different framerates. To partially avoid over-fitting, each channel only has 4 convolutional layers and 4 pooling layers. The recognition rate achieved is around 10% higher than some previous state-of-the-art methods (e.g. MDMO, FDM). More recently, Huai-Qian et al. [32] proposed to train a network with convolutional layers and recurrent layers for micro-expression recognition. Instead of using data augmentation for the dataset, they extracted optical flow features to enrich the input at each timestep or with a given temporal length. However, the results achieved are only around chance level which could be due to the practice of using deep network on small datasets. Additionally, for the nature of micro-expression that the duration is less than 1/2 second, it may be inappropriate to train the network on full video sequences. A more recent study [17] proposed to use a pre-trained residual network for micro-expression recognition with apex frames [13] within each video sequences at the Facial Micro-Expression Grand Challenge (MEGC 2018) in the 13th IEEE Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition and won first place in all tasks. That work also proved the advantage of using apex frames instead of full video sequences for micro-expression recognition with deep learning method.

So far, none of works have considered that micro-expression is only expressed within small-local facial areas. Additionally, the size of available micro-expression datasets is much smaller than that of traditional database fed into CNN, which could cause serious over-fitting problem.

In computer vision domain, the attention mechanism [25] is proposed to find the region of interest (ROI) within an image for respective tasks and highlight the representation of the location. While for micro-expression recognition, such mechanism may help the network to focus on the ROI on the face and reduce the negative influence from irrelevant facial areas and background. A successful application of attention mechanism for micro gesture recognition is seen in [29], which designed an attention block and added it to the end of last convolutional layer within each residual block. The spatial attention of micro gesture was learned and lead to an improvement in recognition accuracy. However, the attention block proposed in that study create notable extra parameters for training, which further increased the training time and complexity.

In this work, we designed a simplified yet efficient attention mechanism to learn the spatial focus of micro-expression within each image. Specially, the proposed trainable micro-attention unit is
designed without increasing notable parameters for training and would be discussed in detail in next section. On the other hand, the transfer learning (for a survey, see studies in [30] [31]) has proved to be very useful for using the knowledge in source domain to help the learning in target domain, especially when the size of target dataset is too small to train a network. When the source and target domain share a similar data structure, a simple yet efficient implementation of transfer learning is to initialize the network on larger-relevant databases and then fine tune it with the target database. We would follow this idea to take the advantage of larger macro expression databases to improve the learning on micro-expression databases in this paper.

3 Methodology

In this section, we discuss the proposed methods for micro-expression recognition. First, a residual network is used as a basic architecture. Second, within each residual block, a novel micro-attention unit is integrated to help the network focusing on micro-expression. Finally, to train the network, a transfer learning approach is utilized to lessen the over-fitting risk.

3.1 Residual Network

The residual network [24] previously achieved a state-of-the-art performance on image-base recognition tasks without increasing network complexity. The residual network usually uses a stack of residual blocks to build the network, while a classic residual block is shown in Figure 1. Within a residual block, a shortcut connection would be added to perform identity mapping (achieved by element-wise summarization), which helps to reduce the degradation problem. The shortcut connection also accelerates the training process and nearly introduces no extra parameter and computation. In this paper, we designed our network with a stack of 10 residual blocks, within each a micro-attention unit is added to learn the spatial attention map. Specially, we call such block as concise and trainable residual attention block. Through training, such network is able to focus on micro-expression on the face.

![Figure 1. A classic residual block with a shortcut connection that designed for the input and output of the block sharing different dimensions.](image)

3.2 Micro-Attention Unit

Three aspects have been considered for designing the residual attention block: 1) the attention unit should be trainable, 2) the attention unit should be compact without increasing notable parameter, 3) the residual learning scheme could be combined to learn the attention feature in a multi-scale manner. The proposed residual attention block is shown in Figure 2. Specifically, the proposed trainable micro-attention unit is shown in the dashed-line area, where the spatial attention map \( M(x) \) is computed.

Unlike the state-of-the-art attention units that would bring extra computation load [26] [29] that
would bring extra computation load, we compute the attention map using the multi-scale features that self-learned with residual architecture, which is more straightforward and time-saving. Specifically, the three convolutional layers in the original residual block, namely the Conv $1 \times 1$, first Conv $3 \times 3$ and second Conv $3 \times 3$ would produce features of the input in three different convolutional scales of $1 \times 1$, $3 \times 3$ and $5 \times 5$ respectively. Based on the features from multiple channels, in the micro-attention unit, an embedding implemented with a $1 \times 1$ convolutional layer is used to further compute the spatial attention map for each channel, which can be totally called the multi-scale spatial attention map. The attention map $M(x)$ we finally used is the average of such multi-scale spatial attention map, which is more robust. Here need to mention that the size of the attentional feature map computed at each residual block is equal with the output of it. After a dot-product with the output $T(x)$ from the residual block, the attention representation $A(x)$ can be computed as

$$A(x) = T(x) \ast M(x)$$

Finally, after an extra element-wise summarization, the output $O(x)$ of the whole residual attention block is computed as follows

$$O(x) = T(x) + A(x)$$

which is

$$O(x) = T(x) \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{M(x)} \right]$$

Comparing with the output $T(x)$ from the original residual block, the proposed residual attention block only brings in the $T(x) \ast M(x)$ section which denotes the attention computation. The attention map $M(x)$ would approximately approach zero when attention is not needed for original output $T(x)$.

### 3.3 Transfer Learning

To lessen over-fitting when training network on micro-expression datasets, a transfer learning approach is employed. The proposed steps are shown in Figure 3. First, the original residual network (without micro-attention units) is initialized with ImageNet database [38]. Then, for the differences between object recognition (ImageNet) and facial expression recognition, the same network is further pre-trained on several popular macro expression databases. The selected macro-expression databases...
are Cohn-kanade dataset (CK+) [33], Oulu-CASIA NIR&VIS facial expression [34], Jaffe [35], and MUGFE [36]. Details about those databases would be provided in next section. Finally, the residual network with micro-attention units is fine-tuned with micro expression databases, namely the CASMEII [27] and SAMM [28].

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 3.** The applied transfer learning process.

### 4 Experiment and Discussion

In this section, we evaluate the proposed method with several popular macro expression databases (for transfer learning) and two benchmark micro-expression databases. The databases and pre-processing details are first provided. Then, the implementation details are presented. Finally, the analysis on experimental results in comparison with several related works is given.

#### 4.1 Data Preparation

Two micro expression databases are used in this work, namely the CASMEII [27] and SAMM [28]. A summary of those two micro-expression databases is given in Table 1. To avoid the bias between categories when using those two databases, video clips in each database are regrouped into 5 emotion types based on the movements of AUs [38] according to the study [39]. Finally, the 5 emotions to classify are happiness, surprise, anger, disgust and sadness. The two databases also provided the labelling for the onset frame, apex frame and offset frame of each video clip. As discussed in previous sections, we use the apex frame within each video clips to perform the recognition instead of full video sequences. Given an apex frame, AAM [40] is used to automatically locate and segment the facial area, which would be further normalized into \(224 \times 224\) pixels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>CASMEII</th>
<th>SAMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Samples</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicities</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Chinese and 12 more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial Resolution</td>
<td>(280 \times 340)</td>
<td>(400 \times 400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Categories</td>
<td>Happiness (25), Surprise (15), Anger (99), Disgust (26), Sadness (20), Fear (1) Others (69)</td>
<td>Happiness (24), Surprise (13), Anger (20), Disgust (8), Sadness (3), Fear (7) Others (84)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To help the training on the two micro-expression databases, a transfer learning approach is employed. During the pre-training step, 4 popular macro expression databases are used, namely the CK+ [33], Oulu-CASIA NIR&VIS facial expression [34], Jaffe [35], and MUGFE [36].

CK+ [33] contains 593 video clips collected from 123 subjects, among which 327 clips were labelled with AUs on the last frame of each. 7 emotion types are included: anger, contempt, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness and surprise. Each clip starts from normal facial expression frame and ends at apex frame. For our experiment, the last three frames from each corresponding video clip (belonging to the 5 emotion types we used) are selected while 852 images are employed.

Oulu-CASIA NIR&VIS facial expression database [34] contains the video from 80 subjects. Six typical expressions are collected, i.e. happiness, sadness, surprise, anger, fear and disgust. Two image systems, NIR (Near Infrared) and VIS (Visible Light) systems, were equipped for the data capturing. Furthermore, under each image system, three different illumination conditions were applied, i.e. normal indoor illumination, weak illumination (with a computer display on) and dark illumination (no visible lights). For our experiment, the last three frames of each video captured by VIS system under normal indoor illumination is extracted which provide 1200 images.

Jaffe [35] contains 219 images of 7 emotions displayed by 10 Japanese females. Each subject acted 7 types of expression, namely sadness, happiness, anger, disgust, surprise, fear and neutral. For our experiment, we selected images correspond to 5 emotion types to classify in micro expression tasks, and finally extracted 151 images.

The MUGFE [36] includes 1032 video clips from 86 subjects. The database has two parts, one with six acted expressions and another with stimuli induced expressions. Each clip has 50 to 160 frames starting and ending at neutral expression with apex frames corresponding to respective emotion types within. Around the apex frames, we select 6 to 10 frames and finally have 8228 images for our experiment.

In total, 10431 images from four macro expression databases are selected to pretrain the model. For each image, the facial area is segmented with AAM [40] and normalized to 224 × 224 pixels. During the pretraining, 1/10 of the images is used for validation while the rest for training. Additionally, three data augmentation methods are used with a selecting probability of 0.5, namely the color shift with maximum value of 20, rotation with maximum degree of 10 and smoothing with maximum window size of 6.

4.2 Model Implementation and Metrics

After initialization with ImageNet [38], at the stage of pretraining, we used batch gradient descent with a momentum of 0.9, the batch size is set to 50 and learning rate is initialized with 0.01 which would decrease 10 times smaller after every 20 epochs. The proposed model is implemented with Caffe [42]. In pre-training, the average recognition rate on 5 macro expression types of the residual network (without attention units) is 99.33%. The same network with micro-attention would be applied for the two micro expression tasks.

At the stage of fine-tuning, same with the Micro Expression Grand Challenge 2018 [41], two recognition tasks are created: Holdout-database Evaluation (HDE) and Composite database evaluation (CDE). HDE would use one database for training and another for testing, while CDE is a Leave-One-Subject-Out validation (LOSO) together with the two databases. For the first fold in HDE where CASME II is used as training set and SAMM is used as testing set, images in each micro
expression type is resampled according to the one having largest size w.r.t. the imbalanced dataset problem. Color shift (maximum value of 20), rotation (maximum degree of 8) are applied to augment the data with selecting probability of 0.5. The batch size is set to 100 with learning rate set as 0.0001. For the second fold in HDE where SAMM is used as training set and CASME II is used as testing set, the resampling method, data augmentation and hyperparameter are the same. Metrics utilized here are Weighted Average Recall (WAR) and Unweighted Average Recall (UAR).

For CDE, 20 training-testing processes are prepared for SAMM and 26 for CASME II. For images in different expression types in the training set, the resampling method is also used to balance the classes. Data augmentation is also considered by cropping the four corners of the original image with size of $240 \times 240$ into a ‘new’ one with size of $224 \times 224$ and resizing it to the previous size. The batch size is set to 10 with learning rate of 0.001 which decrease 10 times smaller after every 20 epochs. Metric utilized here is average recognition rate and F1-score.

### 4.3 Results and Discussion

For comparison, we used the baseline results achieved with LBP-TOP, HOOF and HOG3D [39], the results from study [32] that also participated in the challenge as well as the state-of-the-art result that won the challenge reported in [17]. To further demonstrate the advantage of parameter-saving of micro-attention, results achieved by another attention-based method [29] that designed for micro-gesture recognition with a more complex attention mechanism are reported. For the comparison with the other two residual network based methods [17] [39], the same transfer learning procedure is applied.

#### A. Holdout-database Evaluation (HDE)

With CASME II as training set and SAMM as test set. The residual network with proposed micro-attention achieved WAR of 0.559 and UAR of 0.427. With SAMM as test set and CASME II as training set. The proposed residual network with micro-attention achieved WAR of 0.584 and 0.341. The average WAR and UAR achieved by baseline methods [39], previous studies [17] [29] [32] and the our method after two evaluation folds are summarized in Table 2. The dataset listed in each column is used as test set while another is used for training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>WAR SAMM</th>
<th>CASMEII</th>
<th>UAR SAMM</th>
<th>CASMEII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LBP-TOP</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOF</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D-HOG</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELRCN [32]</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>0.382</td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Network [17]</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td><strong>0.440</strong></td>
<td>0.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Network with complex attention [29]</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residual Network with Micro-Attention</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.559</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.584</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.427</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.341</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We can see from Table 2 the proposed method yielded better WAR in inter-database validations and better UAR when tested on CASMEII. Specially, the proposed attention units rendered the residual
network better results on micro expression recognition comparing with the previous study [17] that did not use attention mechanism. However, the UAR achieved with our method on SAMM is slightly lower than [17], while the WAR achieved is better. Although the resampling method was conducted, such results are probably due to the imbalanced distribution of categories in the training set (CASMEII), that categories with larger size would be easier to catch the attention of the model.

On the other hand, the parameter size and training time per iteration of the three residual network based methods is reported in Table 3. We can see that, without introducing notable parameters, the proposed method still achieves better results than previous attention-based method [29]. As the attention mechanism introduced in [29] would bring in notable parameters while the training data is comparatively small, the WAR and UAR achieved by which are even lower than normal residual network without attention [17] due to the overfitting problems.

Table 3 | Parameter size and training time per iteration of three residual network methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Parameter size (million)</th>
<th>Time per iteration (second)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residual Network [17]</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Network with complex attention [29]</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Network with Micro-Attention</td>
<td><strong>5.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Composite Database Evaluation (CDE)**

For the LOSO on SAMM and CASME II databases, the average accuracy and F1-score of each method is summarized in Table 4. The parameter size of each network-based method remain unchanged.

Table 4 | Average recognition accuracy and F1-score of different methods on SAMM and CASME II in CDE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>F1-Score</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LBP-TOP</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOF</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D-HOG</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELRCN [32]</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merghani et al [39]</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Network [17]</td>
<td>0.640</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Network with complex attention [29]</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Network with Micro-Attention</td>
<td><strong>0.668</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.763</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where we can see, the proposed model has better ability to generalize to unseen subjects, which we also owe to the design of micro-attention units as the subject identity, which is noisy to the system, is out of attention. Finally, the confusion matrix for the classification result in CDE of our proposed method can be seen in Table 5. Aside from better results the model achieved in general, the classification on Happiness and Anger is much better than other categories, which may due to different biomechanical natures of those two emotions that both have strong facial movement intensity. From the confusion matrix, the classification of Disgust and Sadness is under chance level and most of them are classified as Anger, which may because Disgust and Sadness share many
similarity with Anger w.r.t. AUs representing them. On the other hand, another significant reason lays in the unbalanced distribution of samples, the number of samples labelled with Disgust or Sadness is much smaller than other types. Generally, we can see that a better future of micro-expression relies on the development of modeling as well as bigger micro-expression database with better category distribution.

4.4 Impact analysis through feature visualization

Results in HDE and CDE demonstrated the advantage of our approach comparing with state-of-the-art methods [17] [29] [32] [39]. In this subsection, we explain such advantage through feature visualization. From the residual network [17] without attention units, we first extract the feature map from the last residual block with forward propagation, while using inputs that labelled with disgust, sadness and anger respectively. Similarly, the feature map is also extracted from the last micro-attention unit in our network and the last attention unit in the network proposed in [39] with a same method. The feature visualization results are given in Figure 4.

![Feature map visualizations](image_url)

Figure 4. Feature map visualizations of network without attention, with more complex attention proposed in [29] and with micro-attention on the recognition of: (a) disgust; (b) sadness; (c) anger.
At lower-level, the edge information is mainly learned while the information contributing to the classification result is learned at higher-level. We can see from the figure that the different focused areas of three networks lead to different classification results, where our method with micro-attention units produced the correct labels and the other two failed for the recognition of one or all emotion types. To better illustrate the impact of the proposed micro-attention units, the distribution of facial AUs \[38\] related to disgust, sadness and anger is demonstrated in Figure 5. Typical AU combinations for those emotions are summarized in Table 6.

![Image](image.png)

**Figure 5.** Distributions of AUs related to happiness, disgust, sadness and anger.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emotion type</th>
<th>Action unit combinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disgust</td>
<td>AU9 (nose wrinkler), AU15 (lip corner depressor), AU16 (lower lip depressor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadness</td>
<td>AU1 (Inner brow raiser), AU4 (brow lower), AU15 (lip corner depressor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>AU4 (brow lower), AU5 (upper lid raiser), AU7 (lid tightener), AU23 (lip tightener)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the recognition of disgust, after adding attention unit proposed in \[39\] and micro-attention units, the model started to pay attention to the nasal and lip areas that are correlated with genuine expression. Without attention mechanism, the original residual network \[17\] produced the wrong decision with the focus on less relevant areas (canthus) through the same training process. The same situation also happened for the recognition of sadness. Another obvious pattern is seen in the recognition of anger, where the distribution of attention-paid areas of our method better covered the relevant AUs and yielded correct result. Although the previous method \[39\] also produced right classification result, which is more likely due to a computation at chance-level because the focused area (platysma) are much less relevant with anger. For the slightly overlapped AU distributions around nasal and mouth areas of anger and disgust, the residual network without attention mechanism \[17\] misclassified anger as disgust because of its focused areas that mostly covered the AUs representing the latter one.

Generally speaking, the important role of attention mechanism in (micro) expression recognition is demonstrated. However, it is also learned from the comparison results that for the design of specific attention unit, one thing should be noticed is the impact of extra parameters. Especially for the recognition task on small dataset, such factor would particularly hinder the performance of attention mechanism.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we proposed a novel attention mechanism to help the network to focus on facial area of interest for the recognition of micro-expression. The mechanism is implemented as micro-attention units to be used with the block-wise design of residual network, so as to take the advantage of self-
learned multi-scale spatial feature within each residual block. To alleviate the overfitting risk of training deep network on small dataset, such attention unit is further designed to reduce the use of extra computational layers so to avoid increasing notable parameters, while a transfer learning process is also employed. During transfer learning process, the network is first initialized on ImageNet and then pre-trained on four popular macro expression databases. After fine-tuned on benchmark databases (CASMEII and SAMM), the proposed method achieved better recognition accuracy than several state-of-the-art methods. Specially, comparing with two residual network based methods, the visualized higher-level feature map demonstrates the effectiveness of the proposed attention units to learn to focus on the facial areas that better correlated to the underlying emotion.

6 Conflict of Interest

The authors share no conflicts toward this work.

7 Author Contributions

Chongyang Wang and Min Peng designed the theory and experiment. Tao Bi and Tong Chen provided suggestions during experiments. All authors contributed to the writing.

8 Funding

Chongyang Wang is funded by UCL Overseas Research Scholarship and UCL Graduate Research Scholarship.

9 Acknowledgement

Thanks to Nadia Berthouze at University College London who provided abundant suggestions during paper writing and submitting.

10 Reference


