The orbital angular momentum (OAM) of light has been used for numerous applications, including optical tweezing and communication protocols. The ability to generate and detect OAM beams has become imperative for such applications. The demonstration of OAM entanglement in photon pairs generated by spontaneous parametric down conversion has brought OAM into the experimental toolbox of quantum information. Nowadays, several methods for generating, transforming and detecting OAM beams can be found in the literature. The astigmatic mode conversion is a powerful tool and has been widely used for implementing unitary transformations in the OAM degree of freedom. The astigmatic effect can be introduced either by cylindrical lenses or by tilted spherical lenses.

The formal equivalence between first order paraxial modes and light polarization naturally leads to a Poincaré sphere representation of these modes and their use as an additional qubit for quantum information encoding. In this way, two qubits can be encoded in a single photon, one on its polarization state and the other on its OAM structure. One central issue in quantum information processing is the characterization of a qubit source, determining the quantum state it produces. The most common approach allowing the tomographic reconstruction of the transverse spatial state of paraxial light fields employs projective measurements based on the phase-flattening of the field wavefront. More recently, wavefront reconstruction methods exploring digital holography have also been used to characterize the transverse spatial state in terms of its OAM constituents.

In this work we exploit the astigmatic effect to measure arbitrary superpositions of OAM superpositions. We provide two distinct experimental demonstrations of the use of astigmatic optical elements to obtain the spherical coordinates of first order OAM modes in the Poincaré sphere. Our methods can be geometrically interpreted in terms of rotations in the sphere and require at most three images of the astigmatically-transformed beam under investigation. We demonstrate these methods with beams prepared in two-dimensional superpositions of OAM states with topological charges $\pm 1$, but their extension to two-dimensional superpositions of higher topological charges $\pm l$ ($l > 1$) is straightforward.

The paper is structured as follows. In section II we briefly review the mathematical representation of astigmatic transformations. In section III we describe a simple tomographic method based on the visibility maximization of the astigmatically transformed beam. This visibility is maximized over a continuous parameter (an angle) of the astigmatic transformations. Our experimental scheme and supporting experimental results are presented in section III A. In section IV we describe a second tomographic method utilizing a fixed number ($\leq 2$) of astigmatic transformations and test it with our experimental data. Conclusions are presented in section V.
superpositions is a much more difficult task. Resolution of the superposition constituents, their weights and relative phases cannot be easily measured at once.

However, if we restrict the OAM degree of freedom to a two-dimensional subspace, it is possible to use astigmatic transformations to resolve arbitrary superpositions with intensity only measurements. In this work we manipulate arbitrary superpositions of two OAM beams with opposite topological charges ±1,

\[ \psi_{\theta, \phi}(r) = \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \text{LG}_+ (r) + e^{i\phi} \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \text{LG}_- (r), \]

where \( \text{LG}_\pm \) is the Laguerre-Gaussian mode function with topological charge ±1, \( r \) is the transverse position and \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are, respectively, the sagittal and azimuthal angles in the Poincaré sphere representation. This general superposition can be formally represented as the column vector

\[ |\theta, \phi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \\ e^{i\phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \]

This two-dimensional complex vector space is analogous to the Hilbert space of a two-level system, a qubit. Accordingly, there is a one-to-one correspondence between each state \( |\theta, \phi\rangle \) and points on the surface of the Poincaré sphere (see Fig. 1(a)).

The action of an astigmatic mode converter is conveniently represented using this matrix formulation. An LG-HG mode converter rotated by \( \beta/2 \) with respect to the horizontal introduces a \( \pi/2 \) retardation between HG modes oriented at \( \beta/2 \) and \( (\beta + \pi)/2 \). This is naturally represented by

\[ \text{MC}(\beta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\pi}{2} & \beta \\ \frac{\pi}{2} & \beta + \pi \end{bmatrix} = e^{i\pi/4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i e^{-i\beta} \\ i e^{i\beta} & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \]

This transformation is a good approximation to the one implemented either by a LG-HG mode converter, or by a tilted spherical lens, as proposed in [18]. Geometrically, this is a rotation by an angle \(-\pi/2\) around an axis on the equator of the Poincaré sphere, situated at angle \( \beta \) with the \( x \) axis (see Fig. 1(b)).

In the next section we describe our first method to characterize arbitrary superpositions of first order OAM modes on the Poincaré sphere.

### III. METHOD I: HERMITE-GAUSSIAN MODE VISIBILITY

As we have seen in the previous section, an astigmatic LG-HG mode converter rotates states by \(-\pi/2\) radians around an axis in the equator of the Poincaré sphere. The orientation of the rotation axis with respect to the \( x \) axis is given by \( \beta \), where \( \beta/2 \) is the physical angle of the LG-HG mode converter with respect to the horizontal.

It is a geometrical fact that any point (A) on a sphere is mapped to some point (C) on the equator by a \( \pi/2 \) rotation around a suitable axis on the equator (see the blue arc in Fig. 1(b)). Thus, for any state on the Poincaré sphere, there must be a LG-HG mode converter orientation that takes the state onto the equator. Points on the equator correspond to rotated HG modes which can be easily identified from intensity measurements (images) only.

After passing through the mode converter, the general superposition \( |2\rangle \) is transformed into

\[ \text{MC}(\beta)|\theta, \phi\rangle = \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i e^{i(\phi - \beta)} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ i e^{i\beta} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{i\phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \]

Our first method to determine the transverse mode state involves rotating the mode converter until we find the appropriate angle \( \beta \) for which the general mode \( |2\rangle \) can be recognised by imaging as a rotated HG mode. In this case, the two column entries must have the same absolute values

\[ \left| \frac{\cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i e^{i(\phi - \beta)} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right| = \left| i e^{i\beta} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + e^{i\phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right|. \]

Of course, this condition is naturally fulfilled for \( \theta = 0 \) or \( \pi \), regardless to the value of \( \beta \) (pure LG modes are transformed into HG modes for any orientation of the mode converter). For arbitrary \( \theta \), condition (5) brings us to

\[ \beta_{MC} = \phi. \]

The orientation of the resulting HG mode with respect
to the horizontal is given by

\[ \alpha_{HG} = \frac{1}{2} \arg \left( \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i e^{i(\phi-\beta)} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{\phi - \theta}{2} + 45^\circ. \]

(7)

Therefore, an arbitrary superposition can be characterized by two angles. The first is the mode converter orientation \( \beta_{MC}/2 \) with respect to the horizontal, which takes the superposition into a rotated HG mode. The second angle is the resulting orientation \( \alpha_{HG} \) of the HG mode found in this way.

### A. Experimental implementation

In order to apply the result of the previous section, we start by preparing various superpositions of LG modes, sending them through an astigmatic analyzer. The superposition parameters \((\theta, \phi)\) are then inferred from the measured values of \( \beta_{MC} \) and \( \alpha_{HG} \) according to Eqs. (6) and (7).

The experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 2. The Gaussian beam from a He-Ne laser \( (\lambda = 633nm) \) is sent to one half of a reflective spatial light modulator (SLM) panel, programmed to create a controllable OAM state \((\theta, \phi)\). Then, the astigmatic analyzer is implemented in the other half of the SLM, programmed with a phase modulation that emulates the transmission function of a tilted lens [18]. A charge coupled device (CCD) camera positioned close to the focal plane of this tilted lens is used to acquire the image of the transformed beam. Two parameters are set by the analyzer: the tilt angle \( \xi \), which remains fixed once calibrated, and the angle \( \beta/2 \), as shown in Fig. 3. The astigmatic phase profile written on the SLM is provided in appendix A.

First, the tilt angle is calibrated by sending a pure LG beam to the SLM analyzer and verifying the correct formation of a pure HG profile close to the focal plane, as described in Ref. [18]. This calibration is independent of the lens axis orientation, which is initially placed at the horizontal plane. Once calibration is achieved, the analyzer is ready to receive the sample OAM states. The analysis is performed by keeping fixed the tilt angle and turning the lens axis \( \hat{n} \) around the beam propagation direction until a pure HG profile is found in the observation plane. At this moment, we take note of two angles: the orientation \( \beta_{MC}/2 \) of the transverse projection of the lens axis \( \hat{n} \) with respect to the \( x' \) axis (see Fig. 5), and the orientation \( \alpha_{HG} \) of the line connecting the maxima of the resulting HG mode, with respect to the horizontal (see appendix B). According to Eqs. (6) and (7), they determine the Poincaré sphere coordinates \((\theta, \phi)\) of the sample state.

The astigmatic mode analysis has been tested with two sequences of sample states, as represented in Fig. 4. The first sequence (A-E) covers the path along the meridian \( \phi = 0 \) and illustrates the relationship between \( \beta_{MC} \) and \( \phi \). In Fig. 4a we show the input modes produced by the preparation screen and the resulting HG profiles at the output of the analyzer. The graphical representation of \( \alpha_{HG} \) versus \( \phi \) is presented in Fig. 4b.

The second sequence (D1-D8) covers the path along the polar circle \( \theta = 135^\circ \) and illustrates the relationship between \( \alpha_{HG} \) and \( \phi \). In Fig. 6a we show the input modes produced by the preparation screen and the resulting HG profiles at the output of the analyzer. The graphical representation of \( \alpha_{HG} \) versus \( \phi \) is presented in Fig. 6b.

The graphs presented in Figs. 5 and 6 show a good agreement between the experimental results and the theoretical expression (7). Errors due to the experimental determination of \( \beta_{MC} \) and \( \alpha_{HG} \) are propagated and expressed as error bars. The average errors achieved with this method are \( \Delta \theta = 12^\circ \) and \( \Delta \phi = 10^\circ \). These errors are relatively large. In the next section we describe a second method that also uses astigmatic transformations and imaging to obtain a more precise determination of the transverse mode state.

![FIG. 3: Tilted lens orientation. A spherical lens (axis \( \hat{n} \)) implements the astigmatic mode conversion given by Eq. (3). The tilt angle \( \xi \) is determined experimentally, so that a rotation of \(-\pi/2\) radians on the Poincaré sphere is achieved. The axis of the rotation on the Poincaré sphere is on its equator, at an angle \( \beta \) with the \( x \) axis, determined by the angle of the projection of \( \hat{n} \) with the transverse plane, as in the figure.](image)
IV. METHOD II: POINCARÉ SPHERE POSITIONING

Of course, pure intensity measurements alone cannot provide full information about arbitrary OAM superpositions. However, partial information can be obtained by measuring the intensity distribution and the orientation $\alpha$ of the line connecting the two intensity maxima (with respect to the horizontal). This gives the azimuthal coordinate $\phi = 2\alpha$ of the mode superposition in question. Therefore, from pure intensity measurements we determine the meridian line, in the Poincaré sphere, passing through the point representing the state. Note, however, that the image of states too close to the poles on the $z$ axis will present low visibility of the maxima, as the states are close to LG states, for which the intensity distribution is symmetrical around the center. We should treat these polar regions as blind spots for our longitude determination; they will be discriminated by other measurements, as we now describe.

As we have seen, the astigmatic mode conversion can be geometrically interpreted as a $-\pi/2$ rotation of the Poincaré sphere around the axis connecting the mode conversion eigenstates. For example, a horizontal mode converter (eigenstates $|\pi/2,0\rangle$ and $|\pi/2,\pi\rangle$) rotates states by $-\pi/2$ radians around the $x$ axis, mapping all states on the meridians $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi$ onto the equator.

Our strategy for finding the position of states on the Poincaré sphere requires determining the orientation $\alpha$ with respect to the horizontal of the two maxima in three images for each state we would like to characterise. The first is the direct image without mode conversion ($\alpha_{NL}$); the second ($\alpha_{0}$), after a transformation corresponding to a horizontal LG-HG mode converter ($\beta/2 = 0$); an the third ($\alpha_{45}$), after a mode converter oriented at $\beta/2 = 45^\circ$. As can be seen in Fig. 7-a, each measurement will have low visibility for states in one of the six polar blind spots.

Ideally, this gives the input state as the intersection between three halves of great circles intersecting the $x$, $y$ and $z$ axes, as depicted in Fig. 8. Note that states on the $xy$, $xz$, $yz$ planes, i.e. on precisely the three great circles in Fig. 7-b, are particular with respect to this characterization. This is because they sit on an overlap between two halves of great circles, and this overlap has a length of a quarter of a great circle. This means states will fail...
FIG. 7: a) The spherical caps in blue, around the $x$, $y$ and $z$ axes, represent states for which one of the three images will have a mode with low visibility. Due to this, we will call these caps polar blind-spots. States in each cap will be determined from the two images which have modes with good visibility. b) States near the three pictured great circles will result in an intersection between halves of great circles which corresponds to a very narrow triangle (see Fig. 9-a). These will be obtained as the mid-point of the shortest triangle side (see Fig. 9-a).

FIG. 8: Poincaré representation of the three-measurement scheme. Characterisation of the angle that the line connecting the maxima makes with the horizontal gives information about the $z$-axis longitude (green). By previously rotating the Poincaré sphere via two astigmatic transformations, the same imaging technique gives us the $x$-axis longitude (blue) and $y$-axis longitude (red). In an idealized measurement, the three longitudes would intersect at the point representing the state.

to be characterised as the intersection of two halves of great circles, hence the need for three images. Using the three images also guarantees that the polar, low-visibility “blind spots” can always be avoided, as each of the six polar spots in Fig. 7-a is only blind to a single measurement; in these cases, the two remaining measurements provide an intersection of two halves of a great circle, which determine the state’s position.

A. Experimental implementation

The experimental scheme is as described in section III A, please see Fig. 2. We experimentally tested the method with the twenty six sample states represented by the red dots in Fig. 10. Three orientations $(\alpha_{NL}, \alpha_0, \alpha_{45})$ of the maxima with respect to the horizontal were measured for each sample state and the state’s parameters were estimated from the intersection between the three half-great-circles inferred from each measurement. Due to experimental imperfections, we did not obtain a single intersection, but three corners of a triangular region on the Poincaré sphere.

For each point, we first test whether we are in one of the six polar blind spots of Fig. 7-a. This was done by checking the visibility of each of the three images (see appendix B). A straightforward theoretical calculation determines that a minimum threshold of $v \leq 0.34$ determines a polar cap of 0.38 steradians, as in Fig. 7-a. In the cases when a point has a visibility below the threshold (i.e. is within a blind polar cap), we ignore the corresponding measurement/image, and the point’s coordinates are determined by the intersection of the two halves of great circles corresponding to the other two measurements.

Next, we experimentally evaluate whether the state is on, or near, the problematic great circles whose axes are the $x$, $y$ and $z$ axes (see Fig. 7-b). This was done by comparing the sides of the triangular region determined by the three halves of great circles (see Fig. 9): if the shortest triangle side was shorter than half of the next shortest side, we considered the state was too close to one of the problematic great circles. In these cases, we estimated the state as lying on the mid-point of the shortest triangle side (see Fig. 9-a). The distance between two vertices of the triangle can be obtained from their scalar product. Given that the vertices are on the surface of the Poincaré sphere with unit radius, the distance is simply given by

$$\text{Dist}_{ab} = \arccos(a \cdot b), \quad (8)$$

where $a$ and $b$ are vectors that point to the vertices of the triangle.

Let us assume now that the state is generic, i.e. it is both far from the blind polar regions of Fig. 7-a, and from the problematic great circles of Fig. 7-b. Then we estimate the state’s parameters to be at the center of the triangular region determined by the three intersections of halves of great circles (see Fig. 9-b), with error bars given by the largest variations of the state parameters within the triangular region.

In table 1 we show our experimental results. We also calculated the fidelity between the measured and the target states, which evaluates the overall efficiency of the preparation and analysis process. The average error in determination of the angles was $\Delta \theta = 5^\circ$ and $\Delta \phi = 2^\circ$, while the average fidelity was $0.992 \pm 0.003$. 

V. CONCLUSION

We developed two tomographic methods for the characterization of binary OAM superpositions based on astigmatic unitary transformations. The Poincaré sphere coordinates of arbitrary OAM superpositions could be inferred from intensity measurements only. The methods were implemented to characterize sets of sample states, showing high fidelities between the measured and generated states. We demonstrated these measurements with arbitrary superpositions of topological charges ±1, but their extension to two-dimensional superpositions of higher topological charges is straightforward. These methods can be useful for characterizing OAM sources in communication protocols.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>$\theta_1$</th>
<th>$\phi_1$</th>
<th>$\theta_E \pm \Delta \theta_E$</th>
<th>$\phi_E \pm \Delta \phi_E$</th>
<th>Fidelity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>4° ± 2°</td>
<td>121° ± 0°</td>
<td>0.999 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>41° ± 2°</td>
<td>350° ± 5°</td>
<td>0.999 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>88° ± 2°</td>
<td>354° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.997 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>140° ± 2°</td>
<td>346° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.991 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>180°</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>166° ± 2°</td>
<td>270° ± 0°</td>
<td>0.986 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>47° ± 1°</td>
<td>40° ± 6°</td>
<td>0.999 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>94° ± 1°</td>
<td>36° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.993 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>148° ± 2°</td>
<td>28° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.983 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>52° ± 2°</td>
<td>83° ± 4°</td>
<td>0.994 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>102°</td>
<td>102° ± 2°</td>
<td>83° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.985 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>152° ± 2°</td>
<td>91° ± 4°</td>
<td>0.979 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>44° ± 10°</td>
<td>125° ± 5°</td>
<td>0.997 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>89° ± 7°</td>
<td>137° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.999 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>145° ± 2°</td>
<td>158° ± 4°</td>
<td>0.976 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>180°</td>
<td>49° ± 2°</td>
<td>171° ± 8°</td>
<td>0.996 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>180°</td>
<td>96° ± 2°</td>
<td>186° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.995 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>180°</td>
<td>137° ± 3°</td>
<td>210° ± 3°</td>
<td>0.967 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>225°</td>
<td>48° ± 3°</td>
<td>213° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.993 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>225°</td>
<td>89° ± 12°</td>
<td>230° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.998 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>225°</td>
<td>125° ± 2°</td>
<td>244° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.977 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>270°</td>
<td>42° ± 2°</td>
<td>250° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.995 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>270°</td>
<td>89° ± 2°</td>
<td>272° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.999 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>270°</td>
<td>129° ± 2°</td>
<td>273° ± 7°</td>
<td>0.989 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td>315°</td>
<td>42° ± 6°</td>
<td>306° ± 3°</td>
<td>0.996 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>315°</td>
<td>87° ± 16°</td>
<td>312° ± 1°</td>
<td>0.999 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>135°</td>
<td>315°</td>
<td>138° ± 8°</td>
<td>309° ± 4°</td>
<td>0.998 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix A: Astigmatic phase profile written on the SLM

The astigmatic phase profile written on the SLM panel and used in our experiment is that of a tilted, thin spherical lens. We set this phase profile to introduce astigmatism between rotated transverse coordinates defined as $x_\beta = \cos(\beta/2) x + \sin(\beta/2) y$ and $y_\beta = -\sin(\beta/2) x + \cos(\beta/2) y$, where $\beta/2$ is the angle of $x_\beta$ with respect to the horizontal axis $x = x_0$. Upon propagation through this astigmatic phase mask, the input field $\psi_{\theta,\phi}$ given in Eq. (1) is transformed as

$$\psi_{\theta,\phi} \rightarrow \exp \left[ -i \frac{k}{2f} \Phi(x_\beta, y_\beta) \right] \psi_{\theta,\phi}.$$  (A1)
FIG. 11: The red x is the image center of mass, the green line is the horizontal and the blue line is the one that we sum the intensity under it.

where \( f = 336 \text{mm} \) is the focal length of the programmed tilted-lens and \( \Phi(x_\beta, y_\beta) \) its phase profile, given as

\[
\Phi(x_\beta, y_\beta) = \sec(\xi) x_\beta^2 + \cos(\xi) y_\beta^2. \tag{A2}
\]

The tilting angle \( \xi \) used in our experiment is \( 27^\circ \).

Appendix B: Algorithm to calculate mode inclination and visibility

We developed an algorithm to calculate the mode inclination and visibility. First, we find the center of mass of the image and sum the intensity under a line passing through the center of mass which makes an angle \( \eta \) with the horizontal, as shown in Fig. 11. The next step is to vary the \( \eta \) angle between 0 and \( \pi \), so we can obtain a graph of the summed intensity versus \( \eta \), an example of which is shown in Fig. 12. The orientation \( \eta_{\text{min}} \) giving the minimum intensity defines the nodal line. Finally, two centers of mass are calculated, one on each side of the nodal line, and a line connecting them is traced. The mode inclination is determined by the orientation \( \alpha \) of this connecting line as described in Fig. 13.

The image visibility is defined as the ratio

\[
v = \frac{I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}}}{I_{\text{max}} + I_{\text{min}}} \tag{B1}\]

where \( I_{\text{max}} \) and \( I_{\text{min}} \) are, respectively, the maximum and minimum intensities obtained from the curve displayed in Fig. 12.

FIG. 13: The red line is the nodal line, the green line is the horizontal, the center of mass of each region is demarcated by the red x and the green dashed line corresponds to the inclination of mode.