CR-HARMONIC MAPS

GAUTIER DIETRICH

Abstract. We develop the notion of renormalized energy in CR geometry, for maps from a strictly pseudoconvex pseudohermitian manifold to a Riemannian manifold. This energy is a CR invariant functional, whose critical points, which we call CR-harmonic maps, satisfy a CR covariant partial differential equation. The corresponding operator coincides on functions with the CR Paneitz operator.
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1. Introduction

Let \((M, g)\) and \((N, h)\) be two Riemannian manifolds. The Dirichlet energy of a map \(\varphi : (M, g) \to (N, h)\) is defined as

\[
E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \|T\varphi\|^2_{g,h} d\text{vol}_g.
\]

When \(\dim M = 2\), the energy is conformally invariant with respect to \(g\). This is of considerable usefulness, e.g. to construct conformal minimal immersions of Riemann surfaces [Mil79]. However, in higher dimension, the energy is no longer conformally invariant.

Critical points of a functional are solutions to a partial differential equation called the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional; in other words, they form the kernel of a certain differential operator. In our case, the critical points of the Dirichlet energy are called harmonic maps, and harmonic functions \(\varphi : (M, g) \to (\mathbb{R}, \text{eucl})\) coincide with the kernel of the Laplacian.

In a recent work, V. Bérard has shown the existence, given two Riemannian manifolds \((M, g)\) and \((N, h)\), with \(M\) of even dimension \(n\), of a functional \(E^g_n\) on \(C^\infty(M, N)\), conformally invariant with respect to \(g\), and equal to the usual energy when \(n = 2\) [Ber13]. This functional is called renormalized energy, and its critical points are called conformal-harmonic maps. Conformal-harmonic maps generalize
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harmonic maps; moreover, when \( n = 4 \) and \( N = \mathbb{R} \), the induced operator coincides with the Paneitz operator.

We develop here the notions of CR-harmonicity and renormalized energy in CR geometry. CR-harmonic maps also generalize CR-holomorphic maps, which are notoriously hard to come by. When \( \dim M = 3 \) and \( N = \mathbb{R} \), the induced operator coincides with the CR Paneitz operator. This generalizes the recent work of T. Marugame [Mar18]. Another extension of the CR Paneitz operator to maps has been proposed by T. Chong, Y. Dong, Y. Ren, and G. Yang [CDRY17]. The main result is the following, which summarizes Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 3.3:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \((M^{2n+1}, H, J, \theta)\) be a compact strictly pseudoconvex pseudohermitian manifold and \((N, h)\) be a Riemannian manifold. There exists a functional \( F_n \) on \( C^\infty(M,N) \) which is a CR invariant, i.e. conformally invariant with respect to \( \theta \). For \( \varphi \in C^\infty(M,N) \), it reads

\[
F_n(\varphi) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2n!^2} \int_M \langle \left( \delta^\theta_h b b^* \nabla \varphi^h \right)^{n-1} \delta^\theta_h b T\varphi, \delta^\theta_h b T\varphi \rangle_h \theta \wedge d\theta^n + \text{lower order terms (in derivatives of } \varphi),
\]

where \( \delta^\theta_h b \) is the Webster divergence on \( \Omega^1(M) \otimes \varphi^* TN \).

The Euler-Lagrange equation of \( F_n \) is a partial differential equation of order \( 2n+2 \), itself CR covariant. For \( \varphi \in C^\infty(M,N) \), it reads

\[
0 = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left( \delta^\theta_h b b^* \nabla \varphi^h \right)^n \delta^\theta_h b T\varphi + \text{lower order terms (in derivatives of } \varphi).
\]

Moreover, we provide explicit computations of \( P_1 \) and \( F_1 \) in Theorems 3.11 and 4.4 respectively.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall notions of asymptotically complex hyperbolic geometry. In Section 3 we adapt the classical construction by C. R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. J. Mason, and G. A. J. Sparling to obtain a CR Paneitz operator acting on maps, and we define CR-harmonicity [GJMS92]. We also provide an explicit computation of the operator in dimension 3. In Section 4 we develop the corresponding notion of renormalized energy. Section 5 presents computations in higher dimension, which do not allow for an explicit expression of the operator. Finally, Section 6 gives a correspondence between CR-harmonic maps on a pseudohermitian manifold and conformal-harmonic maps on its Fefferman bundle.

We adopt the following convention: small Greek letters will denote indices in \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \); capital Greek letters, in \( \{1, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{n}\} \); small Latin letters, in \( \{0, 1, \ldots, n\} \); capital Latin letters, in \( \{0, 1, \ldots, n, \overline{0}, \overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{n}\} \). Moreover, we use the Einstein summation convention everywhere.
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2. ACHE manifolds

Asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds (AH for short) are manifolds which admit a conformal infinity, that is to say a boundary equipped with a conformal structure
which is, roughly speaking, a generalization of the standard conformal sphere seen as the boundary of the Poincaré disk. Reciprocally, every compact conformal manifold can be filled with an AH manifold $X^{n+1}$ whose metric is Einstein, thus called AH-Einstein or AHE, when $n$ is odd. When $n$ is even, a conformally invariant obstruction to the existence of a smooth up to the boundary AHE metric appears \cite{FG85, GH05}. Recently, M. J. Gursky and G. Székelyhidi have announced that an AHE metric exists locally for all $n \geq 3$ \cite{GS17}. This approach provides a correspondence between a Riemannian structure on a manifold and a conformal structure on its boundary. Information on the conformal infinity can thus be read on the AHE metric.

The complex counterparts of AH manifolds, asymptotically complex hyperbolic manifolds (ACH for short), have been introduced by C. Epstein, R. Melrose, and G. Mendoza \cite{EMM91}. They generalize the construction by C. Fefferman, S.-Y. Cheng, and S.-T. Yau, of asymptotically Bergman metrics, which are Kähler-Einstein metrics on bounded strictly pseudoconvex domains of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, which are asymptotic to the CR structure of the boundary \cite{Fe76, CY80}. The regularity of these metrics near the boundary has been studied by J. Lee and R. Melrose \cite{LM82}. To an ACH manifold thus corresponds a CR infinity. For example, the CR infinity of the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{CH}^{n+1}$ is $S^{2n+1}$ endowed with its standard CR structure.

Because of the anisotropy of their structure, pseudohermitian manifolds of odd dimension $N$ often behave, mutatis mutandis, like Riemannian manifolds of dimension $N + 1$. They are sometimes said to have homogeneous dimension $N + 1$ \cite{JL89}. In particular, ACH manifolds have been known to share similarities with the "$n$ even" real case. The asymptotic development of ACH-Einstein and -Kähler-Einstein metrics has been extensively studied by O. Biquard, M. Herzlich, and Y. Matsumoto, and obstructions to smoothness have been identified \cite{Bi00, BH05, Mat14}.

Let us consider the sphere $S^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ endowed with its standard contact form

$$\theta_0 = \frac{i}{4} \left( z_j d\bar{z}^j - \bar{z}_j dz^j \right) \big|_{S^{2n+1}}.$$  

Let $\gamma_0 = d\theta_0(\cdot, i\cdot)$ be the induced metric on the contact distribution $\ker \theta_0$. The Bergman metric on the ball $\mathbb{B}^{2n+2}$ is given in polar coordinates by

$$g_0 = dt^2 + 4 \sinh^2(t) \theta_0^2 + 4 \sinh^2 \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \gamma_0.$$

This metric is Kähler and has constant holomorphic sectional curvature $-1$. The space $(\mathbb{B}^{2n+2}, g_0)$ is known as the complex hyperbolic space and is denoted by $\mathbb{CH}^{n+1}$.

More generally, let $(M, H, J)$ be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional orientable compact strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold. Namely, $H$ is an orientable hyperplane distribution in $TM$ and $J$ is a complex structure on $H$. Let $\theta$ be a compatible positive contact form and $\gamma = d\theta(\cdot, J\cdot)$ be the induced metric. Let $R$ be the Reeb field. Let $\nabla^{\theta}$ be the Tanaka-Webster connection of $(M, H, J, \theta)$ and $\tau$ be the pseudohermitian torsion.

Let $\overline{X} = [0, \varepsilon) \times M$, let $\pi : \overline{X} \rightarrow M$ be the natural projection, and let $r$ be the coordinate on $[0, \varepsilon)$. Let $X$ be the interior of $\overline{X}$. Let $g_0$ be the metric on $X$

$$g_0 = \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + \frac{\theta^2}{r^2} + \frac{\gamma}{r}.$$  

A function $s \in C^\infty(\overline{X}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ is called boundary defining if $s > 0$ on $X$, $s = 0$ and $ds \neq 0$ on $\{0\} \times M$. Equivalently, $s = e^f r$ for some $f \in C^\infty(\overline{X}, \mathbb{R})$. A conformal
Consequently, the boundary defining function corresponds to a conformal change of the contact form. Indeed, let us consider \( g_0 \) as \( g_0(r, \theta) \), then, for \( f \) in \( C^\infty(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{R}) \),
\[
    g_0(e^f r, \theta) = g_0(r, e^{-f} \mu \theta).
\]

We define an order \( O_e \) adapted to \( g_0 \). A normal basis with respect to \( g_0 \) is \( e = (r \partial_r, r R, r^2 T_A) \), where \( (T_A) \) is an orthonormal basis for \( \gamma \), considered as a Hermitian metric. Its dual basis is \( e^* = (r^{-1} dr, r^{-1} \theta, r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^\alpha, r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^\pi) \). The order \( O_e \) takes \( e \) and \( e^* \) for reference. Thus, we have for example
\[
    \gamma = \theta^\alpha \circ \theta^\pi = r \left( r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^\alpha \right) \circ \left( r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^\pi \right) = O_e(r),
\]
where \( \lambda \circ \mu := \lambda \otimes \mu + \mu \otimes \lambda \).

**Definition 2.1** [Biq00]. A metric \( g \) on \( X \) is called *asymptotically complex hyperbolic*, or *ACH*, if \( g - g_0 = o_e(1) \). The CR manifold \((M, H, J)\) is then called the *CR infinity* of \((X, g)\).

**Example 2.2.** For \( \lambda > 0 \),
\[
    g = \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + \frac{(1 - \lambda^2 r^2)^2}{r^2} \theta^2 + \frac{1 - \lambda r}{r} \gamma
\]
is an ACH metric on \( X \). Moreover, if \((M, H, J, \theta)\) is Einstein, i.e. pseudo-Einstein with vanishing pseudohermitian torsion, with \( \text{Ric}_W(J, \theta) = 2(n + 1) \lambda \gamma \), then \( g \) is an Einstein metric, satisfying
\[
    \text{Ric}(g) = -\frac{n + 2}{2} g.
\]
Indeed, a complex structure \( \tilde{J} \) compatible with \( g \) on \( X \) is given by \( \tilde{J}|_{H \times \{ r \}} = J \) and \( \tilde{J} \partial_r = -\frac{R}{1 - \lambda^2 r^2} \), i.e. \( dr \circ \tilde{J} = (1 - \lambda^2 r^2) \theta \). Let \( \theta^0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^2 r^2} dr - i \theta \right) \) and let \( \sigma := \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \theta^n \) be a section of the canonical bundle. Then
\[
    d\sigma = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} d\theta \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \theta^n - \theta^0 \wedge d\theta^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \theta^n + \ldots + (-1)^n \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\theta^n,
\]
where the first term vanishes and, since \( \tau = 0 \), \( d\theta^0 = \theta^\beta \wedge \omega^\alpha_\beta \), hence
\[
    d\sigma = -\omega^\alpha_\beta \wedge \sigma.
\]
The curvature form of \( \sigma \), in the sense of [BH05], is hence given by \(-d\omega^\alpha_\beta = -\hat{R}^\beta_\alpha \rho \sigma^\rho \wedge \sigma^\rho = 2i(n + 1) \lambda d\theta \). Moreover,
\[
    \sigma \wedge \sigma = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} i r^{n+2}}{(1 - \lambda^2 r^2)^2(1 - \lambda r)^{2n}} \left( r^{-1} dr \wedge (1 - \lambda^2 r^2) r^{-1} \theta \right) \wedge (1 - \lambda r) r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^\pi \right) \wedge \ldots \wedge (1 - \lambda r) r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta^\pi.
\]
Consequently,
\[
    |\sigma|^2_g = \frac{r^{n+2}}{(1 - \lambda^2 r^2)^2(1 - \lambda r)^{2n}},
\]
hence \( \ln |\sigma|^2_g = (n + 2) \ln r - 2 \ln (1 + \lambda r) - (2n + 2) \ln (1 - \lambda r) \). We have
\[
    \partial r = \frac{1}{2} \left( dr - i(1 - \lambda^2 r^2) \theta \right),
\]
hence
\[
    i \partial_r = -\lambda^2 r dr \wedge \theta + \frac{1 - \lambda^2 r^2}{2} d\theta \quad \text{and} \quad i \partial_r \wedge \partial r = \frac{1 - \lambda^2 r^2}{2} dr \wedge \theta.
\]
The Ricci form of \( g \) is then given by
\[
\rho_g = -i\partial\bar{\partial} \ln |\sigma_g|^2 + id\omega^a_a
\]
\[
= -i\partial\bar{\partial} \ln |\sigma_g|^2 + 2(n+1)\lambda d\theta
\]
\[
= \frac{n+2}{2} \left( \frac{1-\lambda^2r^2}{r^2} dr \wedge \theta - \frac{(1-\lambda r)^2}{r} d\theta \right).
\]

With this example in mind, one may ask if there is in general an ACH Einstein (ACHE for short) metric on \( X \). Contrarily to the theorem of Cheng-Yau for domains of \( \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \), such a metric may not exist in general [CY80]. Nevertheless, there are formally determined almost ACHE metrics, in the following sense:

**Definition 2.3.** In any asymptotic development \( \sum a_k(p)r^k \), the term \( a_k \), seen as a function on \( M \), is called formally determined if it is a universal polynomial on a finite jet of the CR structure at \( p \in M \) only.

**Theorem 2.4** [Mat14]. There is an ACH metric \( g_E \) on \( X \), which is Einstein up to order \( n+1 \), i.e.
\[
\text{Ric}(g_E) = -\frac{n+2}{2} g_E + O_e(r^{n+1}),
\]
where \( O_e \) denotes the order with respect to any basis orthonormal for \( g_0 \). The metric \( g_E \) is formally determined modulo \( O_e(r^{n+1}) \). Moreover, we have the asymptotic development
\[
g_E = g_0 + \Phi + O_e(r^{\frac{3}{2}}),
\]
where
\[
\Phi = -2\text{Sch}_W(J,\theta) + 2\gamma(J\tau,\cdot),
\]
where
\[
\text{Sch}_W(J,\theta) = \frac{1}{n+2} \left( \text{Ric}_W(J,\theta) - \frac{\text{Scal}_W(J,\theta)}{2(n+1)} \gamma \right)
\]
is the CR Schouten tensor.

**Remark 2.5.** Note that \( \Phi = O_e(r) \).

We thus have a formally determined almost ACHE metric on \( X \). A more convenient metric for our study would be an almost ACH-Kähler-Einstein metric on \( X \). We have at hand the following results:

**Proposition 2.6** [BH05]. One can construct on \( X \) a formal complex structure \( J_X \), entirely formally determined by the CR infinity, starting from the almost complex structure \( \tilde{J} \), which is the extension of \( J \) to \( X \) with \( \tilde{J}\partial_r = R \). Moreover, an extension \( \tilde{\nabla}^\theta \) of \( \nabla^\theta \) to \( X \) is given by
\[
\tilde{\nabla}^\theta r\partial_r = \tilde{\nabla}^\theta R = \tilde{\nabla}^\theta_{r\partial_r} r^\frac{1}{2} T_A = 0.
\]
Let \( \tilde{T}^\theta \) be the torsion of \( \tilde{\nabla}^\theta \) and \( \tilde{\tau} := \iota_R \tilde{T}^\theta \). An asymptotic development of \( J_X \) is then given by
\[
J_X = \tilde{J} - 2r\tilde{\tau} + O_e(r^{\frac{5}{2}}).\]
Theorem 2.7 [Pel76, BH05, Her07]. There is a formally determined ACH Kähler metric $g_{KE}$ on $(X, J_X)$, which is Einstein up to order $n + \frac{3}{2}$, i.e.

$$\text{Ric}(g_{KE}) = -\frac{n + 2}{2} g_{KE} + O_e(r^{n + \frac{3}{2}}).$$

Moreover, $g_{E}$ and $g_{KE}$ coincide up to order $n + \frac{1}{2}$.

In dimension $2n + 1 = 3$, the asymptotic development of $g_{KE}$, and therefore of $g_{E}$, is known at order $\frac{3}{2}$, which will be essential in Sections 3.4 and 4.2.

Theorem 2.8 [BH05, Her07]. When $n = 1$, we have the asymptotic development

$$g_{KE} = g_0 + \Phi_{AB} \theta^A \circ \theta^B + \Psi_{\omega} \theta^0 \circ \theta^T + \Psi_{\Psi} \theta^\Psi \circ \theta^1 + O_e(r^2),$$

where

$$\Psi_{\omega} = -\sqrt{2} \left( \frac{1}{6} \text{Scal}_W, T - \frac{2i}{3} \tau_{T,1} \right),$$

and $\Phi$ is given by Theorem 2.4:

$$\Phi_{1T} = -\frac{\text{Scal}_W}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{11} = -i\tau_{T_1}.$$

3. CR-HARMONIC MAPS

3.1. Definitions. Let $(M, H, J)$ be a $(2n + 1)$-dimensional orientable, compact, strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold and $(X, g)$ be an ACH manifold with CR infinity $(M, H, J)$, where $g$ is the approximately ACH-Kähler-Einstein metric given by Theorem 2.7. Let $\pi : X \to M$ be the standard projection. Let $(N, h)$ be a Riemannian manifold. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, N)$, and let $\hat{\varphi} \in C^\infty(X, N)$ be any extension of $\varphi$, i.e. $\hat{\varphi}|_M = \varphi$.

Let $T\hat{\varphi}$ be the tangent map of $\hat{\varphi}$. It is a section of the bundle $\Omega^1(X) \otimes \hat{\varphi}^*TN$, and its norm is defined by

$$\|T\hat{\varphi}\|_{g,h}^2 := \text{tr}_g(\hat{\varphi}^*h).$$

The bundle $\Omega^1(X) \otimes \hat{\varphi}^*TN$ is canonically equipped with the connection

$$\nabla^{g,h} := \nabla^g \otimes 1_{\hat{\varphi}^*TN} + 1_{\Omega^1(X)} \otimes \nabla^{\hat{\varphi}^*h},$$

where $\nabla^g$ and $\nabla^h$ are the respective Levi-Civita connections of $g$ and $h$, and $\nabla^{\hat{\varphi}^*h} := \hat{\varphi}^*\nabla^h$.

The divergence $\delta^{g,h}$ is then defined for $\omega \in \Omega^1(X) \otimes \hat{\varphi}^*TN$ by

$$\delta^{g,h}\omega := -\left( \nabla_{e_i}^{g,h} \omega \right)(e_T),$$

where $(e_i)$ is an orthonormal basis of $T^{1,0}X$ for $g$, considered as a Hermitian metric.

We thus have

$$\delta^{g,h}\omega := -\nabla_{e_i}^{\hat{\varphi}^*h}(\omega(e_T)) + \omega(\nabla_{e_i}^g e_T).$$

For $\rho \in (0, \varepsilon)$, the energy of $\hat{\varphi}$ in $(\rho, \varepsilon) \times M$ is the functional

$$E(\hat{\varphi}, \rho) = \int_{(\rho, \varepsilon) \times M} \|T\hat{\varphi}\|_{g,h}^2 \text{dvol}_g.$$

An extension $\hat{\varphi}$ is said to be harmonic if it is a critical point of the energy for all $\rho$. Equivalently, $\hat{\varphi}$ is harmonic if and only if $\delta^{g,h}T\hat{\varphi} = 0$.
Following the ideas of C. R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. J. Mason, and G. A. J. Sparling, we want to find the obstructions to the existence of a smooth harmonic extension \cite{GJMS92}. More precisely, assuming that \( \tilde{\varphi} \) is smooth, we want to know if the first terms of the asymptotic development of \( \tilde{\varphi} \) are determined by the data at infinity. By similarity with the real case and based on the known asymptotic developments of the approximately ACH-Einstein metrics, we expect to find an obstruction at order \( n + 1 \), taking the form of a CR covariant differential operator of order \( 2n + 2 \).

Here, the asymptotic development of \( \tilde{\varphi} \) will denote, by identification, the asymptotic development in \( r \) of \( U := \exp_{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\overline{X}, (\varphi \circ \pi)^*TN) \), i.e.
\[
\forall p \in M, \forall r \in (0, \varepsilon), \quad \tilde{\varphi}(p, r) := \exp_{\varphi(p)}(U(p, r)),
\]
where, for \( p \in M \), the exponential map \( \exp_{\varphi(p)} \) is a diffeomorphism between a small ball \( B(0, \varepsilon) \subset T_{\varphi(p)}N \) and its image, which is a neighbourhood in \( N \) of \( \varphi(p) \). Note that \( U(\cdot, 0) = 0 \). We denote \( v \tilde{\varphi} := T\tilde{\varphi}(v) \) for \( v \in TX \), and similarly for \( \varphi \) on \( TM \), and
\[
\forall k \geq 1, \quad \varphi_k := (\nabla_{\partial_r}^{\varphi})^{k-1} \partial_r \tilde{\varphi}|_{r=0}.
\]
Note that \( \varphi_k \) is a section of \( \varphi^*TN \), hence \( \nabla^{\varphi^*h}\varphi_k \) is a section of \( \Omega^1(M) \otimes \varphi^*TN \).

### 3.2. Computation of the divergence.

We use the notations of section \( \[ \) Let \( (T_\alpha) \) be a local basis of \( T^{1,0}M \) and \( T_\pi := \overline{T_\alpha} \), such that \( (T_\alpha) \) is orthonormal for \( \gamma \), considered as a Hermitian metric. Let \( (\theta^A) \) be the basis dual to \( (T_\alpha) \). Let \( T_0 := \frac{\partial_r - iR}{\sqrt{2}} \) and \( \theta^0 := \frac{dr + i\theta}{\sqrt{2}} \) its dual.

**Lemma 3.1.** For \( \omega \in \Omega^1(\overline{X}) \otimes \tilde{\varphi}^*TN \), we have
\[
\delta^{g_0, \omega} = nr \omega(\partial_r) - r^2 \left( \nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}}_T \omega(T_0) + \nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}}_T \omega(T_\pi) \right) - r \nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}}_T \omega(T_\pi)
\]
\[
= nr(\partial_r) - r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}}_T \omega(\partial_r) - r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}}_R \omega(R) - r \nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}}_T \omega(T_\pi).
\]

**Proof.** We have
\[
g_0 = r^{-2} \theta^0 \circ \theta^0 + r^{-1} \theta^0 \circ \theta^0.
\]
An orthonormal basis of \( T^{1,0}X \) with respect to \( g_0 \) is hence given by
\[
(e^{(0)}_0, e^{(0)}_\alpha) := (rT_0, r^{\frac{1}{2}}T_\alpha).
\]
The trace of the Levi-Civita connection of \( g_0 \) is given in this basis by the Koszul formula:
\[
\nabla_{e^{(0)}_j} g_0(e^{(0)}_i, e^{(0)}_l) = g_0 \left( [e^{(0)}_j, e^{(0)}_l], e^{(0)}_i \right)
\]
Let \( \tilde{\nabla}^\theta \) be the extension of \( \nabla^\theta \) given by Proposition \( \[ \). We have
\[
[e^{(0)}_0, e^{(0)}_\alpha] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e^{(0)}_\alpha - e^{(0)}_0 \right),
\]
\[
[e^{(0)}_0, e^{(0)}_A] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{(0)}_A - i \left( \tilde{\nabla}^\theta e^{(0)}_0 e^{(0)}_A - \tau(e^{(0)}_A) \right) \right),
\]
\[
[e^{(0)}_A, e^{(0)}_B] = r d\theta(T_\alpha, T_\beta)R.
\]
Then, since \( \text{tr}(\tau) = 0 \),
\[
\nabla_{e^{(0)}_j} g_0(e^{(0)}_i, e^{(0)}_l) = (n + 1) r \partial_r,
\]
and also,
\[
\nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(0)}_0) + \nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(0)}_\alpha) = r \omega(\partial_r) + r^2 \nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (\partial_r) + r^2 \nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (R),
\]
\[
\nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(0)}_\alpha) = r \nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (T_\alpha).
\]

Hence the announced expression for \( \delta^{g_0,h} \omega \). \( \square \)

Let us denote by \( (\delta^{g,h} \omega)^{(1)} \) the remainder of \( \delta^{g,h} \omega \), i.e.
\[
(\delta^{g,h} \omega)^{(1)} := \delta^{g,h} \omega - \delta^{g_0,h} \omega.
\]

We prove the following technical lemma, which is crucial for the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Lemma 3.2.** For \( \omega \in \Omega^1(\tilde{X}) \otimes \tilde{\omega}^* TN \), denoting by \( O_T \) the order with respect to the basis \((\tilde{\omega}, T_i)\) in powers of \( r \), we have
\[
(\delta^{g,h} \omega)^{(1)} = O_T(r^2),
\]
and there is no term of order 2 in the remainder of the form \( r^2 \nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h \omega(\partial_r) \).

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.4, we have
\[
g - g_0 = \Phi + O_e(r^{\frac{3}{2}}) = \Phi_{AB} \theta^A \circ \theta^B + O_e(r^{\frac{3}{2}}),
\]
where we recall that \( \Phi = -2 \text{Sch}_W(J, \theta) + 2 \omega(J \tau, \cdot) \), and that \( O_e \) denotes the order with respect to \( (e^{(0)}_i) \). Note that \( \Phi_{AB} = \Phi_{BA} \). Since \( \Phi \) is real, we have also \( \Phi_{\alpha \beta} = \Phi_{\alpha \beta} \) and \( \Phi_{\alpha \beta} = \Phi_{\alpha \beta} \).

An orthonormal basis of \( T^{1,0} \tilde{X} \) with respect to \( g \) induced from \( e^{(0)} \) is formally given by
\[
(e_0, e_\alpha) := (e^{(0)}_0 + e^{(0)}_\alpha, e^{(0)}_\alpha + e^{(1)}_\alpha),
\]
where, by the Gram-Schmidt process, and since \( \Phi \) is horizontal,
\[
e^{(1)}_0 = O_e(r^{\frac{3}{2}}) \quad \text{and} \quad e^{(1)}_\alpha = O_e(r).
\]

This leads to
\[
(\delta^{g,h} \omega)^{(1)} = -\nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(1)}_0) - \nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(0)}_\alpha) - \nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(1)}_\alpha) + \omega(\nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(0)}_\alpha)) + \omega(\nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(1)}_\alpha)) + \omega(\nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h (e^{(0)}_\alpha)),
\]
all terms of which are in \( O_T(r^2) \) and are not of the form \( r^2 \nabla^2 g_{\omega}^h \omega(\partial_r) \). \( \square \)

### 3.3. An obstruction to regularity.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( (M, H, J) \) be a \((2n+1)\)-dimensional orientable, compact, strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold and \((X,g)\) be an ACH manifold with CR infinity \((M, H, J)\), where \( g \) is the approximately ACH-Kähler-Einstein metric given by Theorem 2.7. Let \( \pi : \tilde{X} \to M \) be the standard projection. Let \((N,h)\) be a Riemannian manifold, and let \( \varphi \in C^\infty(M, N) \).
There exists a section $U$ of $(\varphi \circ \pi)^*TN$, unique modulo $O_T(r^{n+1})$, such that $\tilde{\varphi} = \exp_\varphi \circ U$ satisfies
\[
\begin{cases}
\tilde{\varphi}|_M = \varphi, \\
\delta^{g,h}T\tilde{\varphi} = O_T(r^{n+2}).
\end{cases}
\]

The asymptotic development in $r$ of $U$ is
\[
U = U_1 r + \ldots + U_n \frac{r^n}{n!} + P_n(\varphi) \frac{r^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \log r + O_T(r^{n+1}),
\]
where $U_1, \ldots, U_n, P_n$ are formally determined by $\varphi, g$ and $h$.

$P_n(\varphi)$ is an obstruction to the regularity of $U$, and is given by
\[
P_n(\varphi) = (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^n \delta^{g,h}_{\partial_r} T\tilde{\varphi} |_{r=0} - n (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^{n-1} \nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{R} T\tilde{\varphi} |_{r=0} + \frac{1}{n+1} (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^{n+1} (\delta^{g,h} T\tilde{\varphi})^{(1)} |_{r=0}
\]
\[
= \left(\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\right) \delta^{g,h} \nabla^{\varphi^*h} \delta^{g,h} T\varphi + \text{lower order terms (in derivatives of } \varphi).\]

Proof. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
\[
\delta^{g,h} T\tilde{\varphi} = O_T(r^{m+1}) \iff \forall k \leq m, \quad (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^k \delta^{g,h} T\tilde{\varphi} |_{r=0} = 0.
\]

We recall the notation
\[
\varphi_k := (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^{k-1} \partial_r \tilde{\varphi} |_{r=0}.
\]

Now, by Lemma 3.1, we have, for $\omega \in \Omega^1(X) \otimes \varphi^* TN$,
\[
\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r} \delta^{g,h} \omega |_{r=0} = n \omega(\partial_r) |_{r=0} + \delta^{\theta,h}_b (\omega |_{r=0}),
\]
and, for all $2 \leq k \leq n$,
\[
\frac{1}{k} (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^k \delta^{g,h} \omega |_{r=0} = (n-k+1) (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^{k-1} \omega(\partial_r) |_{r=0} + (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^{k-1} \delta^{g,h}_b \omega |_{r=0}
\]
\[
- (k-1) (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^{k-2} \nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{R} \omega(R) |_{r=0} + \frac{1}{k} (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^k (\delta^{g,h} \omega)^{(1)} |_{r=0},
\]
where
\[
\forall \omega_0 \in \Omega^1(M) \otimes \varphi^* TN, \quad \delta^{\theta,h}_b \omega_0 := -\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{T\lambda} \omega_0(T\chi),
\]
and
\[
\forall \omega \in \Omega^1(X) \otimes \varphi^* TN, \quad \delta^{\theta,h}_b \omega := -\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{T\lambda} \omega(T\chi).
\]

Consequently, $\delta^{g,h} T\tilde{\varphi} = O_T(r^{n+1})$ is equivalent to
\[
\begin{cases}
n \varphi_1 = -\delta^{\theta,h}_b T\varphi, \\
(n-k+1) \varphi_k = - (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^{k-1} \delta^{g,h}_b T\varphi - D_{k-1}(\varphi) \quad \forall 2 \leq k \leq n,
\end{cases}
\]
where
\[
D_{k-1}(\varphi) := -(k-1) (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^{k-2} \nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{R} \varphi |_{r=0} + \frac{1}{k} (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^k (\delta^{g,h} T\tilde{\varphi})^{(1)} |_{r=0}.
\]

By Lemma 3.2, $D_{k-1}(\varphi)$ only depends on $\varphi, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{k-1}$. This observation comes from the fact that, although
\[
(\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r})^k (\nabla^{\varphi^*h}_{\partial_r} \varphi) |_{r=0} = 2\varphi_k,
\]
\( \forall X, Y \in \{ \partial_r, R, T_A \}, (X, Y) \neq (\partial_r, \partial_r), \quad \left( \nabla_{\partial_r}^{\varphi^h} \right)^k \left( r^2 \nabla_X^{\varphi^h} Y \hat{\varphi} \right)_{r=0} \) does not depend on \( \varphi_k \).

By induction, \( D_{k-1}(\varphi) \) is thus well-defined.

In conclusion, requiring \( \delta^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} = O_T(r^{n+1}) \) gives an asymptotic development for \( \hat{\varphi} \) in powers of \( r \), and this development is unique up to order \( n \) with respect to \( T \).

Assume now that \( \delta^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} = O_T(r^{n+1}) \) and that \( \hat{\varphi} \) admits a Taylor development up to order \( n + 1 \). Then

\[
\delta^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} = O_T(r^{n+2}) \iff \left( \nabla_{\partial_r}^{\varphi^h} \right)^n \tilde{\delta}_b^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} \bigg|_{r=0} + D_n(\varphi) = 0.
\]

This equality cannot be true in general. Consequently, we introduce a term in \( r^{n+1} \log r \) in the development of \( \hat{\varphi} \):

\[
U = U_1 r + \ldots + U_n \frac{r^n}{n!} + P_n(\varphi) \left( \frac{r^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right) \log r + O_T(r^{n+1}).
\]

The coefficient \( P_n(\varphi) \) verifies

\[
\frac{1}{n+1} \left( \nabla_{\partial_r}^{\varphi^h} \right)^{n+1} \delta^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} \bigg|_{r=0} = -P_n(\varphi) + \left( \nabla_{\partial_r}^{\varphi^h} \right)^n \tilde{\delta}_b^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} \bigg|_{r=0} + D_n(\varphi),
\]

hence

\[
\delta^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} = O_T(r^{n+2}) \iff P_n(\varphi) = \left( \nabla_{\partial_r}^{\varphi^h} \right)^n \tilde{\delta}_b^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} \bigg|_{r=0} + D_n(\varphi).
\]

This yields the announced obstruction, which only depends on \( \varphi \). Since

\[
\varphi_k = -\frac{1}{n-k+1} \delta^{g, h} \nabla \varphi \varphi_k + \text{lower order terms (in derivatives of } \varphi),
\]

we have the announced leading term. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.4.** \( P_n \) does not depend on whether we take \( g = g_E \) or \( g_{KE} \) on \( X \).

**Proof.** To compute \( P_n \), it is sufficient to be able to compute

\[
\left( \nabla_{\partial_r}^{\varphi^h} \right)^{n+1} \left( \delta^{g, h} T \hat{\varphi} \right)^{(1)} \bigg|_{r=0};
\]

i.e., by the proof of Lemma 3.2, we know the \( e_i^{(1)} \) at order \( n + 1/2 \) with respect to \( e^{(0)} \). By the Gram-Schmidt process, it is thus sufficient to know \( g \) at order \( n + 1/2 \) with respect to \( e^{(0)} \). Hence, by Theorems 2.4 and 2.7, we can equivalently consider \( g_E \) or \( g_{KE} \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( f_0 := f|_M \), and let \( \hat{f} = e^\ell r \) be a conformal change of boundary defining function. Then

\[
\hat{P}_n(\varphi) = e^{-(n+1)f_0} P_n(\varphi).
\]

The obstruction \( P_n(\varphi) \) to the regularity of \( \hat{\varphi} \) is therefore CR covariant.

**Proof.** We have

\[
U = U_1 r + \ldots + U_n \frac{r^n}{n!} + P_n(\varphi) \left( \frac{r^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right) \log r + O_T(r^{n+1}).
\]
Now, since \( \exp_\varphi : (\varphi \circ \pi)^*TN \to N \) does not depend on \( r \), neither does \( U \). Moreover, since \( M \) is compact, \( \forall k, \; O_T(\tilde{r}^k) = O_T(r^k) \). We thus have
\[
U = \hat{U}_1 r + \ldots + \hat{U}_n \bar{n}^{\frac{r^n}{n!}} + \hat{P}_n(\varphi) \frac{r^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \log \hat{r} + O_T(r^{n+1})
\]
\[
= \hat{U}_1 e^r r + \ldots + \hat{U}_n e^{\frac{r^n}{n!}} + \hat{P}_n(\varphi)e^{(n+1)r} \frac{r^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \log r + O_T(r^{n+1}).
\]
Since the function \( f \) itself has a Taylor expansion in \( r \), all polynomial terms are mixed. However, there is only one term with order \( r^{n+1} \log r \). By identification, this yields the result. \( \square \)

We then introduce \textit{CR-harmonic maps} as maps for which the obstruction vanishes:

**Definition 3.6.** If \( P_n(\varphi) = 0, \; \varphi \) is said to be \textit{CR-harmonic}.

**Example 3.7.** Let us assume that \((M,H,J,\theta)\) is Einstein with \( \text{Ric}_W = 2\lambda(n+1)\gamma \). We know from Example 2.2 that
\[
g = \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + \frac{(1 - \lambda^2 r^2)^2}{2} \theta^2 + \frac{(1 - \lambda r)^2}{r} \gamma
\]
satisfies \( \text{Ric}(g) = -\frac{n+2}{n} g \). In this case, we can explicitly compute the divergence \( \delta^{g,h} \omega \), for \( \omega \in \Omega^1(X) \otimes \varphi^*TN \).

Indeed, an orthonormal basis of \( T^{1,0} X \) with respect to \( g \) induced from \( e^{(0)} \) is given by
\[
(e_0,e_\alpha) := \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( r \partial_r - \frac{r}{1 - \lambda^2 r^2} R ; \frac{r^2}{1 - \lambda r} T_\alpha \right) \right),
\]
hence
\[
[e_0, e_\alpha] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + \lambda^2 r^2}{1 - \lambda^2 r^2} (e_\alpha - e_0),
\]
\[
[e_0, e_A] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + \lambda r}{1 - \lambda^2 r^2} e_A,
\]
\[
[e_A, e_B] = \frac{r}{(1 - \lambda r)^2} d\theta(T_A, T_B) R.
\]
Then
\[
\nabla^{\varphi^* h}_{e_\alpha} \omega(e_\alpha) + \nabla^{\varphi^* h}_{e_\alpha} \omega(e_0) = r \omega(\partial_r) + r^2 \nabla^{\varphi^* h}_{\partial_r} \omega(\partial_r) + \frac{r^2}{(1 - \lambda^2 r^2)^2} \nabla^{\varphi^* h}_R \omega(R),
\]
\[
\nabla^{\varphi^* h}_{e_\alpha} \omega(e_\alpha) = \frac{r}{(1 - \lambda r)^2} \nabla^{\varphi^* h}_{T^{1,0} X} \omega(T_\alpha).
\]
The divergence is hence given by
\[
\delta^{g,h} \omega = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + \lambda^2 r^2}{1 - \lambda^2 r^2} + \frac{1 + \lambda r}{1 - \lambda r} - 1 \right) r \omega(\partial_r) - r^2 \nabla^{\varphi^* h}_{\partial_r} \omega(\partial_r)
\]
\[
- \frac{r^2}{(1 - \lambda^2 r^2)^2} \nabla^{\varphi^* h}_R \omega(R) + \frac{r}{(1 - \lambda r)^2} \delta^{g,h} \omega.
\]
From Example 3.7 we get the following results:
Corollary 3.8. If \((M, H, J, \theta)\) is Einstein, then subharmonic maps which verify \(\nabla^{\varphi^h}_R R \varphi = 0\) are CR-harmonic.

Proof. Indeed, let \(\varphi\) be subharmonic, i.e. \(\delta^\theta_{\varphi} T \varphi = 0\), and such that \(\nabla^{\varphi^h}_R R \varphi = 0\). Let \(\tilde{\varphi}\) be the extension of \(\varphi\) given by Theorem 3.3. We thus have \(\varphi_1 = 0\). Moreover, by Example 3.7, we have
\[
\left(\delta^\theta_{\varphi} T \tilde{\varphi}\right) = \alpha(r) \partial_r \tilde{\varphi} + \beta(r) \nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}^h}_R \tilde{\varphi} + \gamma(r) \delta_{\tilde{\varphi}}^\theta T \tilde{\varphi},
\]
where \(\alpha(r) = O(r^2), \beta(r) = O(r^4),\) and \(\gamma(r) = O(r^2)\). Since \(\varphi_1 = \nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}^h}_R R \varphi = 0\), we get that
\[
(n-1) \varphi_2 = -\nabla_{\partial_r} \delta^\theta_{\varphi} T \tilde{\varphi} \bigg|_{r=0} - \nabla^{\varphi^h}_R R \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^{\tilde{\varphi}^h}_R \right)^2 \left(\delta^\theta_{\varphi} T \tilde{\varphi}\right) \bigg|_{r=0} = 0.
\]
By induction, we similarly have \(\forall k \leq n, \varphi_k = 0\), which implies that \(P_n(\varphi) = 0\). \(\square\)

Corollary 3.9. If \((M, H, J, \theta)\) is Einstein and \((N, h)\) is a Kähler manifold, then CR-holomorphic maps which verify \(R \varphi = 0\) are CR-harmonic.

Proof. Indeed, assuming that \(T \varphi \circ J = J_0 T \varphi\), and extending \(J\) by taking \(J(R) = 0\), we have
\[
\nabla^{\varphi^h}_R T \varphi = \nabla^{\varphi^h}_R T \pi \varphi = \nabla^{\varphi^h}_R T \pi \varphi = \nabla^{\varphi^h}_R T \pi \varphi
\]

Consequently, \(\varphi\) is CR-harmonic by Corollary 3.8. \(\square\)

Example 3.10. Let \((M, H, J)\) be a circle bundle over a Riemann surface \(\Sigma\) admitting an Einstein contact form. Then the projection \(\pi : M \to \Sigma\) is CR-harmonic.

3.4. Explicit obstruction in dimension 3. When \(n = 1\), i.e. \(\dim(M) = 3\), the asymptotic development of \(g\) is given at order \(3/2\) in \(e^{(0)}\) by Theorem 2.8. Hence, by Proposition 3.4, we can explicitly compute the obstruction.

Theorem 3.11. Still denoting \(\psi \varphi := T \varphi(v)\) for \(v \in TM\), and also \((\nabla^{\varphi^h} v) \varphi := \nabla^{\varphi^h} (v \varphi)\), we have
\[
P_1(\varphi) = -\delta^\theta_{\varphi} T \varphi - \nabla^{\varphi^h}_R R \varphi + 4 \text{Im} \left(\nabla^{\varphi^h}_R \left(\tau_1^T T_1\right)\right) \varphi - S_b (\delta^\theta_{\varphi} T \varphi),
\]
where
\[
S_b(X) := \mathcal{A}^\theta_{X, T, \varphi} T_1 \varphi + \mathcal{A}^\theta_{X, \varphi, T} T_1 \varphi.
\]

Proof. An orthonormal basis of \(T^{1,0} X\) with respect to \(g\) is given by
\[
(e_0, e_1) := \left( e^{(0)}_0 - r^2 \Psi_0 e^{(0)}_1, (1 - r \Phi_1) e^{(0)}_1 - r \Phi_{11} e^{(0)}_1 \right) + O(r^2).
\]
We have

\[
\begin{align*}
[e_0, e_0] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_0 - e_0 - r^2 \Psi_{01} e_1 + r^2 \Psi_{01} e_1) + O(e(r^2)), \\
[e_0, e_1] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} - r \Phi_{11} \right) e_1 - r \Phi_{11} e_1 - \iota \left( \nabla_{e_0} e_1 - \tau (e_1) \right) \right) + O(e(r^2)), \\
[e_0, e_T] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} - r \Phi_{11} \right) e_T - r \Phi_{11} e_T - \iota \left( \nabla_{e_0} e_T - \tau (e_T) \right) \right) + O(e(r^2)), \\
[e_1, e_T] &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Phi_{11,1} - \Phi_{11,1}) e_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Phi_{11,1} - \Phi_{11,1}) e_T + O(e(r^2)).
\end{align*}
\]

Hence,

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{e_T} e_T &= 2r \left( 1 - r \Phi_{11} \right) \partial_r \\
&= -r^2 \left( \sqrt{2} \Psi_{01} + \Phi_{11,1} - \Phi_{11,1} \right) T_1 \\
&= -r^2 \left( \sqrt{2} \Psi_{01} + \Phi_{11,1} - \Phi_{11,1} \right) T_1 + O_T(r^\frac{2}{3}).
\end{align*}
\]

We also have, for \( \omega \in \Omega^1(X) \otimes \tilde{\varphi}^*TN \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{e_0} \omega(e_0) + \nabla_{e_T} \omega(e_0) &= r \omega(\partial_r) + r^2 \nabla_{\partial_r} \omega(\partial_r) + r^2 \nabla_{1} \omega(R) \\
&- \sqrt{2} r^2 \Psi_{01} \omega(T_1) - \sqrt{2} r^2 \Psi_{01} \omega(T_1) + O_T(r^\frac{2}{3}), \\
\nabla_{e_1} \omega(e_T) &= r \nabla_{T_1} \omega(T_1) - r^2 \nabla_{T_1} \omega(T_1) - r^2 \nabla_{T_1} \omega(T_1) - r^2 \Phi_{11} \nabla_{T_1} \omega(T_1) + O_T(r^3).
\end{align*}
\]

The divergence is hence given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^{g,h} \omega &= r (1 - 2r \Phi_{11}) \left( \omega(\partial_r) - \nabla_{T_1} \omega(T_1) - \nabla_{T_1} \omega(T_1) \right) + r^2 \nabla_{\partial_r} \omega(\partial_r) + r^2 \nabla_{1} \omega(R) \\
&+ 4 r^2 \operatorname{Im} \left( \nabla_{T_1} \left( \tau_1 \omega(T_1) \right) \right) + O_T(r^{\frac{5}{2}}) \\
&= \delta^{g,h} \omega - 2r^2 \Phi_{11} \nabla_{\partial_r} \delta^{g,h} \omega + 4 r^2 \operatorname{Im} \left( \nabla_{T_1} \left( \tau_1 \omega(T_1) \right) \right) + O_T(r^{\frac{5}{2}}).
\end{align*}
\]

Then, by Theorem 3.3, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
P_1(\varphi) &= \nabla_{\partial_r} \delta^{g,h} T_1 \varphi|_{r=0} - \nabla_{1} \varphi + 4 \operatorname{Im} \left( \nabla_{T_1} \left( \tau_1 T_1 \right) \right) \varphi \\
&= \delta^{g,h} \nabla^{g,h} \varphi_1 + \varphi_{1,T_1} T_1 \varphi + \varphi_{1,T_1} T_1 \varphi - \nabla_{1} \varphi + 4 \operatorname{Im} \left( \nabla_{T_1} \left( \tau_1 T_1 \right) \right) \varphi,
\end{align*}
\]

hence, since \( \varphi_1 = -\delta^{g,h} T_1 \varphi \), the announced obstruction. \( \square \)

Note that on functions, meaning that \( N = \mathbb{R} \), \( P_1 \) reduces to a multiple of the CR Paneitz operator. Since the construction follows the ideas of Graham et al., this was expected. A similar phenomenon appears in the real case [Bér13].
Proposition 4.1. Let us consider \( \rho : (M, H, J, \theta) \rightarrow (M, g := g_{J, \theta}) \).

Since \( \nabla_R^g R = 0 \) by Lemma 1.3. in [DT06], we have, using the Koszul formula:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta^g_b T \text{id} &= -\nabla^g_T T_1 - \nabla^g_T T_1 \\
&= -g \left( [T_1, T_1], T_1 \right) T_1 - g \left( [T_1, T_1], T_1 \right) T_1 \\
&= -g \left( [R, T_1], T_1 \right) R - g \left( [R, T_1], T_1 \right) R \\
&= -g \left( [T_1, R], R \right) T_1 - g \left( [T_1, R], R \right) T_1 \\
&= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

hence

\[
P_1(\text{id}) = 4 \text{Im} \nabla^g_\tau \left( \tau^T_1 T_1 \right).
\]

Consequently, the identity is CR-harmonic if and only if \( \text{Im} \nabla^g_\tau \left( \tau^T_1 T_1 \right) = 0 \). This is in particular verified when \( \theta \) is normal, i.e. when \( \tau = 0 \).

4. Renormalized energy

4.1. Definition. Let \( \varphi \in C^\infty(M, N) \) and \( \tilde{\varphi} \) be the extension of \( \varphi \) constructed in Theorem 3.3. For \( \rho \) in \( (0, \varepsilon) \), let

\[
E(\tilde{\varphi}, \rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\rho, \varepsilon) \times M} \|T\tilde{\varphi}\|_{g,h}^2 d\text{vol}_g
\]

be the energy of \( \tilde{\varphi} \) in \( (\rho, \varepsilon) \times M \). We have

\[
\|T\tilde{\varphi}\|_{g,h}^2 = f_0 r + f_1 r^2 + \ldots + f_n r^{n+1} + O(\log r),
\]

where \( \forall k \leq n, f_k \) depends only on \( U_j \) for \( j \leq k \) and on \( g \) at order \( k \) in \( e^{(0)} \), and

\[
d\text{vol}_g = r^{-n-2} \sqrt{\det g} dr \wedge \theta \wedge d\theta^n.
\]

Consequently,

\[
\|T\tilde{\varphi}\|_{g,h}^2 d\text{vol}_g \left( a_0 r^{-n-1} + a_1 r^{-n} + \ldots + a_n r^{-1} + O(\log r) \right) dr \wedge \theta \wedge d\theta^n,
\]

where \( \forall k \leq n, a_k \) depends only on \( U_j \) for \( j \leq k \) and on \( g \) at order \( k \). Hence \( E \) admits the development, when \( \rho \to 0 \),

\[
E(\tilde{\varphi}, \rho) = E_0(\varphi) \rho^{-n} + E_1(\varphi) \rho^{1-n} + \ldots + E_{n-1}(\varphi) \rho^{-n} + F_n(\varphi) \log \rho + E_n(\varphi) + o(1),
\]

where \( \forall k \leq n - 1, E_k \) depends only on \( U_j \) for \( j \leq k \) and on \( g \) at order \( k \), and \( F_n \) depends only on \( U_j \) for \( j \leq n \) and on \( g \) at order \( n \). The coefficient \( F_n(\varphi) \) can be written as

\[
F_n(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M a_n \theta \wedge d\theta^n = -\frac{1}{2n!} \int_M \partial_r^n \left( r^{n+1} \|T\tilde{\varphi}\|_{g,h}^2 d\text{vol}_g \right) \big|_{r=0}.
\]

By construction, \( F_n \) is formally determined by \( \varphi, g \) and \( h \). Moreover, we have:

**Proposition 4.1.** \( F_n(\varphi) \) is a CR invariant:

\[
\hat{F}_n(\varphi) = F_n(\varphi).
\]
Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.5. Indeed, if $\hat{r} = e^f r$, then

$$\|T\hat{\varphi}\|_{g,h}^2 d\text{vol}_g = \left( a_0 r^{-n-1} + a_1 r^{-n} + \ldots + a_n r^{-1} + a_{n+1} + O(r) \right) dr \wedge \theta \wedge d\theta^n$$

$$= \left( \hat{a}_0 \hat{r}^{-n-1} + \hat{a}_1 \hat{r}^{-n} + \ldots + \hat{a}_n \hat{r}^{-1} + \hat{a}_{n+1} + O(\hat{r}) \right) d\hat{r} \wedge \theta \wedge d\theta^n,$$

hence, when integrating over $(r = \rho, r = \varepsilon) \times M$,

$$E(\bar{\varphi}, \rho) = E_0(\varphi) \rho^{-n} + E_1(\varphi) \rho^{1-n} + \ldots + E_{n-1}(\varphi) \rho^{-1} + F_n(\varphi) \log \rho + E_n(\varphi) + o(1)$$

$$= \hat{E}_0(\varphi) \rho^{-n} + \hat{E}_1(\varphi) \rho^{1-n} + \ldots + \hat{E}_{n-1}(\varphi) \rho^{-1} + \hat{F}_n(\varphi) \log \rho + \hat{E}_n(\varphi) + o(1).$$

Again, since the function $f$ itself has a Taylor expansion in $r$, all polynomial terms are mixed. However, the only $\log \rho$ term which appears when integrating with respect to $\hat{r}$ comes from the $\hat{r}^{-1}$ term. Hence the result. □

The principal term of $F_n(\varphi)$ is the following: since

$$r^{n+1} \|T\hat{\varphi}\|_{g,h}^2 d\text{vol}_g = \left( \langle T_A \hat{\varphi}, T_X \hat{\varphi} \rangle + r \|\partial_r \hat{\varphi}\|_{h}^2 \right) dr \wedge \theta \wedge d\theta^n + \text{lower order (in derivations of } \varphi) \text{ terms,}$$

we have

$$F_n(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{2n!} \int_M \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \langle \delta^g_{\theta^h} \nabla^{g^h} \varphi_k, \varphi_{n-k} \rangle_h + n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \langle \varphi_{k+1}, \varphi_{n-k} \rangle_h \right) \theta \wedge d\theta^n + \text{l.o.t.}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{2n!} \int_M \langle \delta^g_{\theta^h} \nabla^{g^h} \varphi^{n-1} \delta^h_{\theta^h} T\varphi, \delta^h_{\theta^h} T\varphi \rangle_h \theta \wedge d\theta^n + \text{lower order terms.}$$

**Definition 4.2.** $F_n(\varphi)$ is called the renormalized energy of $\varphi$.

**Proposition 4.3.** The gradient of $F_n(\varphi)$ is $\frac{1}{2n!} P_n(\varphi)$, that is to say, for all $\varphi \in \Gamma(\varphi^*TN)$,

$$d_{\varphi} F_n(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2n!} \int_M \langle \varphi, P_n(\varphi) \rangle_h \theta \wedge d\theta^n.$$

**Proof.** Let $\varphi \in \Gamma(\varphi^*TN)$. Let $(\varphi_t)_{t \in [-1,1]}$ be a one-parameter family in $C^\infty(M,N)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 
\varphi_0 = \varphi, \\
\partial_t \varphi_t|_{t=0} = \dot{\varphi}.
\end{cases}$$

Let us equip $X \times [-1,1]$ with the metric $\bar{g} = g + dt^2$ and let $\xi \in C^\infty(X \times [-1,1], N)$ be the map

$$\forall p \in X, \forall t \in [-1,1], \quad \xi(p,t) = \hat{\varphi}_t(p).$$
We then have
\[
\partial_t \|T\tilde{\phi}_t\|_{g,h}^2 = \partial_t \left( \|T\xi\|_{g,h}^2 - \|\partial_t \xi\|_{h}^2 \right) \\
= \left\langle \nabla_{\partial_t h} T\xi, T\xi \right\rangle_{g,h} - \left\langle \nabla_{\partial_t h} \partial_t \xi, \partial_t \xi \right\rangle_{h} \\
= \left\langle \nabla_{\partial_t h} e_I \xi, e_I \xi \right\rangle_{h} - \left\langle \nabla_{e_I h} \partial_t \xi, e_I \xi \right\rangle_{h} \\
= \left\langle \nabla_{e_I h} \partial_t \xi, e_I \xi \right\rangle_{h} \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \partial_t \tilde{\phi}_t, e_I \tilde{\phi}_t \right\rangle_{h} - \left\langle \partial_t \tilde{\phi}_t, \nabla_{e_I h} e_I \tilde{\phi}_t \right\rangle_{h},
\]
\[
\partial_t E(\tilde{\phi}_t, \rho)|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(\rho, \varepsilon) \times M} \left( e_I \left\langle \partial_t \tilde{\phi}_t |_{t=0}, e_I \tilde{\phi}_t \right\rangle_{h} - \left\langle \partial_t \tilde{\phi}_t |_{t=0}, \nabla_{e_I h} e_I \tilde{\phi}_t \right\rangle_{h} \right) d\text{vol}_g.
\]
There is no log \( \rho \) term in the second part, and
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_{(\rho, \varepsilon) \times M} e_I \left\langle \partial_t \tilde{\phi}_t |_{t=0}, e_I \tilde{\phi}_t \right\rangle_{h} d\text{vol}_g = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \rho^{-n} \left\langle \partial_t \tilde{\phi}_t |_{t=0}, \partial^* \tilde{\phi}_t \right\rangle_{h} \theta \wedge d\theta^n + \text{lower order terms},
\]
whose log \( \rho \) term is
\[
\frac{1}{2n!} \int_M \langle \tilde{\phi}, P_n(\varphi) \rangle_{h} \theta \wedge d\theta^n,
\]
hence the result. \( \square \)

4.2. Explicit energy in dimension 3. Here again, when \( n = 1 \), i.e. \( \dim(M) = 3 \), knowing the asymptotic development of \( g \) at order \( \frac{3}{2} \) in \( e^{(0)} \) allows for an explicit computation of the renormalized energy.

**Theorem 4.4.** We have
\[
F_1(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( \|\phi_T^h\|^2_{h} + \|R\phi\|^2_{h} - 4\text{Im} \left( \tau_1^T \|T\phi\|_{h}^2 \right) \right) \theta \wedge d\theta.
\]

**Proof.** We have
\[
\|T\tilde{\phi}\|_{g,h}^2 = 2 \left( e_0 \tilde{\phi}, e_0 \tilde{\phi} \right)_{h} + 2 \left( e_1 \tilde{\phi}, e_1 \tilde{\phi} \right)_{h} \\
= 2r \left( T_1 \phi, T_1 \phi \right)_{h} \\
+ r^2 \left( \|\phi_1\|_{h}^2 + \|R\phi\|_{h}^2 - 4\Phi_{11} \left( T_1 \phi, T_1 \phi \right)_{h} - 2\Phi_{11} \|T_1 \phi\|_{h}^2 - 2\Phi_{TT} \|T_1 \phi\|_{h}^2 \right) \\
+ O(r^2),
\]
and
\[
d\text{vol}_g = \left( 1 + 2r\Phi_{1T} + O(r^2) \right) r^{-3} dr \wedge \theta \wedge d\theta.
\]
Consequently,
\[ r^2 \| T\tilde{\varphi} \|^2 d\text{vol}_g = (2 \langle T_1 \varphi, T_T \varphi \rangle)_h \]
\[ + r \left( \| \varphi_1 \|^2_h + \| R\varphi \|^2_h - 2 \Phi_{11} \| T_T \varphi \|^2_h - 2 \Phi_T \| T_1 \varphi \|^2_h \right) \]
\[ + O(r^{\frac{3}{2}}) \] \(dr \wedge \theta \wedge d\theta,\)
and finally
\[ F_1(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( \| \varphi_1 \|^2_h + \| R\varphi \|^2_h - 4\text{Im} \left( r^T_1 \| T_T \varphi \|^2_h \right) \right) \theta \wedge d\theta. \]

As an example, for \( \text{id} : (M, H, J, \theta) \to (M, g_{J,\theta}), \) we have
\[ F_1(\text{id}) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(M, \theta). \]

5. **Further Computations in the General Case**

We give here a more precise computation for \( \delta^{g_h} \omega \) and \( r^{n+1} \| T\tilde{\varphi} \|^2 d\text{vol}_g \) in the general case, using Theorem 2.4. We show that this computation does not allow for an explicit expression of the obstruction and of the renormalized energy respectively.

5.1. **Computation of the divergence.** By Theorem 2.4, we have
\[ g = g_0 + \Phi_{AB} \theta^A \circ \theta^B + O_\varepsilon(r^{\frac{3}{2}}), \]
where, denoting by \( R_{\alpha\beta} \) the components of the Webster Ricci tensor,
\[ \Phi_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{-1}{n+2} \left( R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\text{Scal}_W(J, \theta)}{2(n+1)} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right), \] and \( \Phi_{\alpha\beta} = -i \tau_{\alpha\beta}. \)

By Proposition 2.6, we can equip \( \{ r \} \times H \) with a complex structure \( J_r = J_0 + rJ_1 + O_T(r^2), \) with
\[ J_1T_\alpha = -2\Phi_{\alpha\beta}T_{\beta}. \]

An orthonormal basis of \( T^{1,0}X \) with respect to \( g \) is given by
\[ (e_0, e_\alpha) := (r\partial_r - i rR, \left( \delta_{\alpha\beta} - r\Phi_{\alpha\beta} \right) r^{\frac{1}{2}}T_\beta - r\Phi_{\alpha\beta} r^{\frac{1}{2}}T_{\beta}) + O_\varepsilon(r^{\frac{3}{2}}). \]

Now, \( g \) can be rewritten as
\[ g = (r^{-1}\theta^0) \circ (r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta^\tau) + (r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta^\alpha) \circ (r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta^\tau) + r\Phi_{AB}(r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta^A) \circ (r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta^B) + O_\varepsilon(r^{\frac{3}{2}}). \]

We have, modulo \( O_\varepsilon(r^{\frac{3}{2}}), \)
\[ [e_0, e_\gamma] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_\gamma - e_0, \]
\[ [e_0, e_\alpha] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} e_\alpha - r\Phi_{\alpha\beta} e_\beta - r\Phi_{\alpha\beta} e_\gamma - i \left( \nabla_{e_0} e_\alpha - r(e_\alpha) \right) \right), \]
\[ [e_0, e_\gamma] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} e_\gamma - r\Phi_{\alpha\beta} e_\alpha - r\Phi_{\alpha\beta} e_\beta - i \left( \nabla_{e_0} e_\gamma - r(e_\gamma) \right) \right), \]
\[ [e_\alpha, e_\beta] = r^{\frac{3}{2}} (\Phi_{\alpha\beta} - \Phi_{\beta\alpha}) e_\delta + r^{\frac{3}{2}} (\Phi_{\alpha\beta} - \Phi_{\beta\alpha}) e_\gamma, \]
\[ [e_\alpha, e_\gamma] = r^{\frac{3}{2}} (\Phi_{\alpha\beta} - \Phi_{\beta\alpha}) e_\delta - r^{\frac{3}{2}} (\Phi_{\alpha\beta} - \Phi_{\beta\alpha}) e_\gamma. \]
Hence,

\[ \nabla_{e_i}^g e_i + \nabla_{e_i}^g e_i = r (n + 1 - 2r \Phi_{\alpha \pi}) \partial_r - r^2 \left( 2\Phi_{\beta \pi, \alpha} - \Phi_{\alpha \beta, \pi} - \Phi_{\alpha \pi, \beta} \right) T_\alpha - r^2 \left( 2\Phi_{\beta \pi, \alpha} - \Phi_{\alpha \beta, \pi} - \Phi_{\alpha \pi, \beta} \right) T_\pi + O_T(r^{2}). \]

with \( \Phi_{\alpha \pi} = -\text{tr}(S_\theta) = -\frac{\text{Scal}_V}{2(n + 1)} \).

Also,

\[ \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{T_\pi} \omega(e_\alpha) + \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{T_\pi} \omega(e_\pi) = r \omega(\partial_r) + r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}_h} \omega(\partial_r) + r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{R}} \omega(R) + O_T(r^2), \]

\[ \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{T_\alpha} \omega(e_\alpha) = r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{T_\alpha} \omega(T_\pi) - r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{T_\alpha} \left( \Phi_{\pi \beta} \omega(T_\pi) - r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{T_\pi} \left( \Phi_{\pi \beta} \omega(T_\beta) \right) - r^2 \Phi_{\alpha \beta} \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{T_\pi} \omega(T_\beta) + O_T(r^{2}). \]

Coming back to the divergence, we have

\[ \delta^{\tilde{x}}_{T_\pi} \omega = r(n - 2r \Phi_{\alpha \pi}) \omega(\partial_r) - r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}_h} \omega(\partial_r) - r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{R}} \omega(R) - r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}} \omega(T_\pi) - 2r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}} \omega(T_\alpha) + 2r^2 \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}} \Phi_{\pi \beta} \omega(T_\beta) - 2r^2 \Phi_{\alpha \beta} \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}} \omega(T_\alpha) + O_T(r^2). \]

The term of order 2 is consequently not known, which does not allow for an explicit computation of \( P_n \). Note that

\[ \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}} \left( \Phi_{\alpha \beta} \omega(T_\pi) \right) + \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}} \left( \Phi_{\pi \beta} \omega(T_\alpha) \right) = 2 \text{Im} \left( \nabla^{\tilde{x}}_{\tilde{T}} \left( \tau_{\pi} \omega(T_\pi) \right) \right), \]

and that the potentially hidden \( r^2 \) terms are necessarily of the form \( C^\alpha r^2 \omega(T_\alpha) + D^\pi r^2 \omega(T_\pi) \).

5.2. Computation of the integrand of the energy. We have

\[ \| T_\tilde{\varphi} \|_{g,h}^2 = 2 \langle e_0 \tilde{\varphi}, e_0 \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_h + 2 \langle e_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}, e_\pi \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_h \]

\[ = 2r \langle T_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}, T_\pi \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_h + r^2 \| T_\alpha \tilde{\varphi} \|_{h}^2 + | R \tilde{\varphi} |_{h}^2 - 2 \Phi_{\alpha \beta} \langle T_\pi \tilde{\varphi}, T_\beta \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_h - 2 \Phi_{\pi \beta} \langle T_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}, T_\beta \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_h - 2 \Phi_{\alpha \beta} \langle T_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}, T_\beta \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_h \]

\[ + O(r^2), \]

and

\[ d\text{vol}_g = \left( 1 + 2r \Phi_{\alpha \pi} + O(r^{2}) \right) r^{-n-2} dr \wedge \theta \wedge d\theta^n. \]

Consequently,
\[ r^{n+1} \|T_\varphi\|_h^2 d\text{vol}_g = (2 \langle T_\alpha \varphi, T_\pi \varphi \rangle_h \]
\[ + r \left( \|\varphi_1\|_h^2 + \|R \varphi\|_h^2 - 2\Phi_{\alpha\beta} \langle T_\pi \varphi, T_\pi \varphi \rangle_h - 2\Phi_{\pi\pi} \langle T_\alpha \varphi, T_\beta \varphi \rangle_h \right. \]
\[ - 2\Phi_{\pi\beta} \langle T_\varphi, T_\beta \varphi \rangle_h - 2\Phi_{\pi\beta} \langle T_\alpha \varphi, T_\pi \varphi \rangle_h + 4\Phi_{\alpha\pi} \langle T_\alpha \varphi, T_\pi \varphi \rangle_h \]
\[ + O(r)) \, dr \land \theta \land d\theta^n. \]

The term of order 1 is consequently not known, which does not allow for an explicit computation of \( F_n \).

6. Relation with the Fefferman bundle in dimension 3

We describe here the correspondence between the obstruction to CR-harmonicity on a given CR 3-manifold and the obstruction to conformal-harmonicity on its Fefferman bundle. It generalizes the Appendix B. of [CY13].

Let \((M, H, J)\) be a compact strictly pseudoconvex CR 3-manifold and let \((N, h)\) be a Riemannian manifold. Let \((F, g_F)\) be the Fefferman bundle of \((M, H, J)\). For a detailed construction of the Fefferman bundle, see [Far86, Lee86, Her09]. Let \(\pi : F \to M\) be the natural bundle projection. Let \(\theta\) be a positive contact form on \(M\) and let \(\varpi\) be the \(S^1\)-invariant connection 1-form induced by the Weyl structure attached to \(\theta\) on \(F\). The Fefferman metric attached to \(\theta\) on \(F\) is the Lorentzian metric

\[ g_F = i\varpi \circ \pi^* \theta + \frac{1}{2} \pi^* \gamma. \]

By analogy with the Riemannian case [Ber13], given \(\varphi \in C^\infty(F, N)\), the obstruction to the existence of a smooth harmonic extension of \(\varphi\) on the interior of \((F, g_F)\) is given by

\[ P_F(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{16} \left( \delta g_{F, h} \nabla \pi^* h \delta g_{F, h} T \varphi - \delta g_{F, h} \left( 2\text{Ric}_{g_F} - \frac{2}{3} \text{Scal}_{g_F} \right) T \varphi + S(\delta g_{F, h} T \varphi) \right), \]

where \(\text{Ric}_{g_F}\) is understood as an endomorphism of \(TF\), and \(\text{Ric}_{g_F} T \varphi := T \varphi (\text{Ric}_{g_F}(\cdot))\), and

\[ S(X) := \sum_{i=1}^{4} \delta h_{X, T\varphi(e_i)} T \varphi(e_i). \]

Proposition 6.1. For all \(\varphi \in C^\infty(M^3, N)\),

\[ \pi_* \left( \delta g_{F, h} \nabla \pi^* h \delta g_{F, h} T(\pi^* \varphi) \right) = 4 \delta b^h_{\varphi} \nabla \pi^* h \delta b^h_{\varphi} T \varphi, \]

\[ \pi_* \left( \delta g_{F, h} \left( 2\text{Ric}_{g_F} - \frac{2}{3} \text{Scal}_{g_F} \right) T(\pi^* \varphi) \right) = -4 \nabla \pi^* h R \varphi + 16 \text{Im} \left( \nabla \pi^* h \left( T^1 T^1 \right) \varphi \right), \]

and for \(X\) in \(TN\),

\[ \pi_* \left( S((\pi^* \varphi)^* X) \right) = 4 S_b(\varphi^* X). \]

Proof. The first and third equalities are straightforward from the expression of \(g_F\). The second equality comes from the fact that, see [Lee86],

\[ \text{Sch}_{g_F} = -\varpi^2 - S \theta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Sch}_W - \frac{1}{2} \gamma(J \pi^* \cdot, \cdot) + \frac{1}{2} T J \circ \theta, \]
where
\[ T = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{4} d_\omega \text{Scal}_W + i \delta \tau \right) \] and \( S = \delta T - |\text{Sch}_W|^2 + |\tau|^2 \).

Indeed, since \( \text{Scal}_{g_F} = 3 \text{Scal}_W \) and \( \text{Sch}_W = \frac{1}{4} \text{Scal}_W \gamma \), we have then
\[
2 \text{Ric}_{g_F} - \frac{2}{3} \text{Scal}_{g_F} g_F = 4 \text{Sch}_{g_F} - \frac{1}{3} \text{Scal}_{g_F} g_F
\]
\[
= -4 \varpi^2 - 4 S \theta^2 - 2 \gamma (J \tau \cdot \cdot) + 2 T J \circ \theta - \text{Scal}_W i \varpi \circ \theta,
\]
which gives the second equality. \(\square\)

From the latter comes directly the

**Theorem 6.2.** For all \( \varphi \in C^\infty(M^3, N) \),
\[
\pi_* (P_F(\pi^* \varphi)) = \frac{1}{4} P_1(\varphi).
\]

In particular, a map \( \varphi : M \to N \) is CR-harmonic if and only if \( \pi^* \varphi \) is conformal-harmonic.
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