Mixing as a quantitative measure of entanglement
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Adding the maximally mixed state with some weight to the entanglement system leads to disentanglement of the latter. For each predefined entangled state there exists a minimal value of this weight for which the system loses its entanglement properties. We propose to use this value as a quantitative measure of entanglement. For a two-qubit pure state an exact expression of this measure is obtained. Finally, in the same way, the entanglement of special cases of mixed two-qubit states is calculated.
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1 Introduction

Entanglement is a property which appears only for quantum-mechanical system [1]. It plays a crucial role in quantum-mechanical processes and is important for implementation of quantum-information algorithms. Testing Bell’s inequality [2] for entangled states of photons, Aspect et al. experimentally solved the EPR paradox [3]. The simplest scheme of quantum teleportation of the qubit state [4], which was experimentally realized by Zeilinger’s group [5], requires the preparation of a two-qubit entangled state as a quantum channel. The efficiency of quantum computers [6, 7] is due to algorithms based on the preparation of quantum entangled states (see, for instance, [8, 9, 10, 11]). In [12, 13] it was shown that presence of entanglement in a quantum system enhances the speed of evolution of this system. Thus, the evolution of the system through entangled states occurs more rapidly than through disentangled ones. This property is important for optimization of quantum calculations. The connection between the degree of entanglement and time of evolution was also studied in papers [14, 15, 16, 17].

So, the efficiency of the implementation of the above-mentioned processes depends on the degree of entanglement in a system. Therefore, it is necessary to quantify the value of the entanglement of a particular quantum state. For this purpose, different measures of entanglement were proposed. The most basic measure for the bipartite system is called the entanglement of
formation, which is defined as follows \[18\]

\[
E(\rho) = \min \sum_i p_i E(|\psi_i\rangle).
\] (1)

This is the average entanglement of the pure states \(|\psi_i\rangle\) of the decomposition minimized over all decompositions of mixed states \(\rho\) with probabilities \(p_i\), which satisfies condition \(\sum_i p_i = 1\). Here the entanglement of the pure state is defined as an entropy of either of the two subsystems \(A\) and \(B\) \[19, 20\]

\[
E(|\psi\rangle) = -\text{Tr} (\rho_A \log_2 \rho_A) = -\text{Tr} (\rho_B \log_2 \rho_B),
\] (2)

where \(\rho_A(B)\) is the partial trace of \(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\) over the subsystem \(B(A)\). In \[21\], Wootters presented an explicit formula for finding the value of entanglement (concurrence \(C\)) of a two-qubit state. He obtained that the value of entanglement of a particular two-qubit state defined by density matrix \(\rho\) can be calculated as follows

\[
C(\rho) = \max\{0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4\}.
\] (3)

Here, \(\lambda_i\) are the eigenvalues, in decreasing order, of the Hermitian matrix

\[
R = \sqrt{\sqrt{\rho} \rho^* \sqrt{\rho}},
\]

where \(\rho = (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho^* (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)\). Note that \(\lambda_i\) are real and positive numbers. For calculations it is convenient to use the eigenvalues of the non-Hermitian matrix \(\tilde{\rho} \rho \tilde{\rho}\) which have the form \(\lambda_i^2\). Another interesting definition of the measure of entanglement is the geometric measure of entanglement proposed by Shimony \[22\]. Its properties and different definitions were considered in papers \[23, 24\] and \[25\], respectively. In paper \[26\], the geometrical measure was quantified in terms of mean values of observables of the entangled system. An algorithm to explore entanglement of a bipartite system based on maximization of the Schmidt norms was discussed in paper \[27\].

We propose to quantify the measure of entanglement in terms of mixing. Adding the maximally mixed state with a certain weight to the entangled state leads to its factorization. So, the minimal value of weight that transforms the system into a disentangled state can be used as a degree of entanglement of this system. In the present paper, we apply this consideration to a two-qubit system (section \[2\]). As a result, in section \[3\] we obtain an explicit expression for the entanglement measure in the case of pure states. Also, in section \[4\] we consider some special cases of mixed states. Finally, the discussion is presented in section \[5\].
2 Space of a two-qubit quantum state

An arbitrary two-qubit quantum state $\rho_0$ can be expressed as the decomposition of the pure states

$$\rho_0 = \sum_i p_i \rho_i, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\rho_i = |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| \quad (5)$$

is the density matrix of the pure state $|\psi_i\rangle$ with probability $p_i$. State (4) is defined by fifteen real parameters. Therefore, the space which contains all two-qubit quantum states is 15-dimensional. This space is bounded by 14-dimensional manifold which contains all pure states and mixed states of rank-2 and 3. Inside the space there are the mixed states with rank-4 containing the maximally mixed state

$$\rho_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{4} I, \quad (6)$$

where $I$ is the unit matrix. This space is divided into domain that contains entangled states and convex domain that contains disentangled states. The transition from any entangled state to disentangled state can be accomplished by adding the maximally mixed state (6) with the weight coefficient $\omega \in [0, 1]$ as follows

$$\rho = (1 - \omega)\rho_0 + \omega \rho_{\text{max}}. \quad (7)$$

For each predefined entangled state $\rho_0$ there exists the minimal weight $\omega_c$ for which state (7) becomes disentangled. The value of $\omega_c$ depends on the degree of the entanglement of state $\rho_0$. The lower degree of the entanglement of $\rho_0$, the smaller value takes the $\omega_c$, and vice versa. So, this parameter can be used as a quantitative measure of entanglement of quantum states. It is worth noting that such considerations are valid for any many-body quantum system. In the following section we obtain the exact expression for $\omega_c$ in the case of a pure quantum state of two qubits. For a mixed state it is difficult to obtain a general expression for $\omega_c$. Therefore, we consider some special cases of mixed states.

3 Entanglement of a pure quantum state

In general, an arbitrary quantum state of two qubits can be represented by the Schmidt decomposition

$$|\psi\rangle = c_1 |\alpha_1\rangle |\beta_1\rangle + c_2 e^{i\chi} |\alpha_2\rangle |\beta_2\rangle, \quad (8)$$
where \( |\alpha_1\rangle, |\alpha_2\rangle \) are the orthogonal states which belong to the first qubit

\[
|\alpha_1\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow\rangle + \alpha|\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{1 + |\alpha|^2}}, \quad |\alpha_2\rangle = \frac{\alpha^*|\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{1 + |\alpha|^2}},
\]

and \( |\beta_1\rangle, |\beta_2\rangle \) are the orthogonal states which belong to the second qubit

\[
|\beta_1\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow\rangle + \beta|\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{1 + |\beta|^2}}, \quad |\beta_2\rangle = \frac{\beta^*|\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{1 + |\beta|^2}}.
\]

Here, \( \alpha, \beta \) are some complex parameters and \( \chi \) is some real parameter. The Schmidt coefficients \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are real and positive satisfying the normalization condition \( c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1 \). Representation (8) is useful for calculating measure of entanglement between qubits. Indeed, using concurrence (3) with state (8) we obtain that its value of entanglement is defined only by the Schmidt coefficients as follows

\[
C(|\psi\rangle) = 2c_1c_2. \quad (9)
\]

It achieves the maximal value \( (C = 1) \) when \( c_1 = c_2 = 1/\sqrt{2} \). Note that making the local unitary transformations with the first and second qubits in state (8) we can reach state (10)

\[
|\psi\rangle = c_1|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + c_2|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle. \quad (10)
\]

These transformations do not change the entanglement of the system. Therefore, for further calculations of entanglement we use state (10).

Let us study the influence of the unit matrix on the value of entanglement of state (10). For this purpose we construct the density matrix of this state and add maximally mixed state (6) to it. As a result, the state of the system becomes mixed. In the basis spanned by the states \( |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \) and \( |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \) the density matrix of this state takes the form

\[
\rho = \begin{pmatrix}
(1 - \omega)c_1^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega)c_1c_2 \\
0 & \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 \\
(1 - \omega)c_1c_2 & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega)c_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{4}
\end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)
\]

Here \( \omega \) defines the degree of mixing of quantum state. The value of \( \omega = 1 \) corresponds to the maximally mixed state. Using definition of concurrence (3) let us calculate the degree of entanglement for state (11) (see appendix A)

\[
C(\rho) = \max\{0, (1 - \omega)2c_1c_2 - \omega/2\}. \quad (12)
\]
As we can see that concurrence of mixed state (11) contains concurrence (9) of pure state (8). We obtain the critical (minimal) value of $\omega$, for which pure state (10) becomes disentangled, when we equate to zero expression $(1 - \omega)2c_1c_2 - \omega/2$ and then solve it with respect to $\omega$. As a result we obtain

$$\omega_c = \frac{C(|\psi\rangle)}{C(|\psi\rangle) + 1/2},$$

(13)

where $C(|\psi\rangle)$ is defined by equation (9). This expression takes the maximal value $2/3$ for $c_1 = c_2 = 1/\sqrt{2}$, which corresponds to the maximally entangled pure state (8), and minimal value 0 for $c_1 = 0$ or $c_2 = 0$, which corresponds to the disentangled pure state. The value of $\omega_c$ indicates the "amount" of unit matrix that must be added to pure state (8) with predefined $c_1$ and $c_2$ in order to disentangle it. So, the value of $\omega_c$ can be used as a measure of entanglement of pure quantum state. Then value $\omega_c = 0$ corresponds to the disentangled state and $\omega_c = 2/3$ corresponds to maximally entangled state.

Note that if the initial state is spanned by the basis vectors $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$, and $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ as follows $a|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + b|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + c|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + d|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ then $c_1c_2$ in formula (13) should be changed into $|ad - bc|$. Here $a$, $b$, $c$ and $d$ are complex parameters which satisfy the normalization condition $|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2 = 1$.

4 Entanglement of a mixed quantum state

For an arbitrary two-qubit mixed state it is difficult to obtain a general expression of $\omega_c$. Therefore, in this section we consider special cases of mixed states.

First of all, let us study the entanglement of rank-2 mixed state $\rho_0$ with density matrix (4), where state $|\psi_i\rangle$ is given on subspace spanned by vectors $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ as follows

$$|\psi_i\rangle = c_{1i}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + c_{2i}e^{i\chi_i}|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle.$$  

(14)

Here $c_{ij}$, $\chi_i$ are real coefficients which satisfy the normalization condition $c_{1i}^2 + c_{2i}^2 = 1$, and the number of states in ensemble can be arbitrary. The mixture of this state with maximally mixed one (6) takes the form

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix}
(1 - \omega) \sum_i p_i c_{11i}^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega) \sum_i p_i c_{11i} c_{21i} e^{-i\chi_i} \\
0 & \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 \\
(1 - \omega) \sum_i p_i c_{12i} c_{22i} e^{i\chi_i} & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega) \sum_i p_i c_{22i}^2 + \frac{\omega}{4}
\end{pmatrix}.  

(15)

By making the same calculations as in the previous case (see appendix A) we obtain that the minimal value of weigh $\omega_c$ which transforms state (15) into
disentangled one has the same form as in case of pure state (13), however, one should replace \( C(|\psi\rangle) \) with the following expression

\[
C(\rho_0) = 2 \left[ \left( \sum_i p_i c_{1i} c_{2i} \cos \chi_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_i p_i c_{1i} c_{2i} \sin \chi_i \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.
\]

This expression defines the concurrence of state (15) with \( \omega = 0 \). As we can see, this state takes the maximal value of entanglement if \( c_{1i} = c_{2i} = 1/\sqrt{2} \) and if all the \( \chi_i \) are equal to each other. Note that this result is valid in the case of mixed states which are defined on the subspace spanned by vectors \(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\).

Now let us consider a more general case, namely, a mixed state of rank-4. For this purpose we prepare the mixture of the above discussed rank-2 mixed states spanned by \(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\) and \(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\) vectors, respectively. The density matrix of this mixture with maximally mixed state (6) takes the form

\[
\rho = \begin{pmatrix}
(1 - \omega) \sum_i p_i c_{1i}^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega) \sum_i p_i c_{1i} c_{2i} e^{-i\chi_i} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
(1 - \omega) \sum_i p_i c_{1i} c_{2i} e^{i\chi_i} & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega) \sum_i p_i c_{2i}^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
(1 - \omega) \sum_i q_i d_{1i}^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 & (1 - \omega) \sum_i q_i d_{1i} d_{2i} e^{-i\phi_i} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( q_i \) and \( d_{ij} \), \( \phi_i \) are the probabilities and parameters which define the part of state spanned by vectors \(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\). Here, parameters \( d_{ij} \) satisfy the normalization condition \( d_{1i}^2 + d_{2i}^2 = 1 \), and for probabilities we have the following condition \( \sum_i p_i + \sum_i q_i = 1 \). It is worth noting that this case includes a rank-3 state if we leave only one component of the density matrix that corresponds to the first or second subspace, respectively.

Constructing for state (17) the \( R \) matrix defined after expression (3) we obtain its eigenvalues

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = \left[ (1 - \omega)^2 A^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} (1 - \omega) P + \left( \frac{\omega}{4} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \pm (1 - \omega) F,
\]

\[
\lambda_{3,4} = \left[ (1 - \omega)^2 B^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} (1 - \omega) Q + \left( \frac{\omega}{4} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \pm (1 - \omega) G,
\]
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where

\[ A = \left[ \sum_{i,j} p_i p_j c_{1i} c_{2j} \right]^{1/2}, \quad B = \left[ \sum_{i,j} q_i q_j d_{1i} d_{2j} \right]^{1/2}, \]

\[ F = \left( \sum_i p_i c_{1i} c_{2i} \cos \chi_i + \left( \sum_i p_i c_{1i} c_{2i} \sin \chi_i \right) \right)^2, \]

\[ G = \left( \sum_i q_i d_{1i} d_{2i} \cos \phi_i + \left( \sum_i q_i d_{1i} d_{2i} \sin \phi_i \right) \right)^2, \]

\[ P = \sum_i p_i, \quad Q = \sum_i q_i. \]

Since \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \geq \lambda_4 \), and eigenvalues \( \lambda_{1,2} \) and \( \lambda_{3,4} \) are symmetric between themselves, it is enough to obtain the expression for \( \omega_c \) in the case of \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_3 \). Then the concurrence has the form

\[ C(\rho) = \max \left\{ 0, 2(1 - \omega)F - 2 \left[ (1 - \omega)^2B^2 + \frac{\omega}{4}(1 - \omega)Q + \left( \frac{\omega}{4} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (19) \]

For an entangled state the value in curly brackets is positive, and \( \omega_c \) is the following

\[ \omega_c = \frac{8(F^2 - B^2) + Q - \sqrt{Q^2 + 4(F^2 - B^2)^2}}{8(F^2 - B^2) + 2Q - 1/2}. \quad (20) \]

Note that in the opposite case of \( \lambda_3 \geq \lambda_1 \) one should make the following replacements in this expression: \( F \rightarrow G \), \( B \rightarrow A \) and \( Q \rightarrow P \). Value \( (20) \) vanishes when \( F = B \), and increases when \( B \) tends to zero. For the case of \( Q = 0 \) expression \( (20) \) turns into expression for rank-2 state \( (13) \) with \( C(\rho_0) \) defined by formula \( (16) \). It is worth noting that the entanglement of mixture of mixed states with the same entanglement and which belong to different subspaces always equals 0. This fact is easy to check if we put \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_3 \) and \( \lambda_2 = \lambda_4 \) in Wooters equation \( (3) \).

So, the entanglement of the mixture of states from subspaces defined by vectors \( |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \) and \( |\uparrow\down\rangle, |\down\up\rangle \) is always less than the more entangled component of this mixture. Moreover, if we mix the states with the same entanglement from these subspaces then we obtain the disentangled state.
5 Discussion

Adding the maximally mixed state with some weight to the density matrix of entangled system leads to its disentanglement. For each entangled state there exists a critical (minimal) value of this weight for which the system ceases to be entangled. Moreover, for a more entangled state this value is greater and vice versa. We proposed to use this weight as a quantitative measure of entanglement. We apply these considerations on a two-qubit system. Namely, explicit expression (13) of this measure for any predefined two-qubit pure state was obtained. It was shown that if the state is maximally entangled then the two-thirds of the maximally mixed state should be added to the pure state to transform it into a disentangled state. Therefore, this measure takes the values between 2/3 for maximally entangled states and 0 for factorized ones. In the case of mixed states, we were not able to obtain a general expression for this weight. However, we considered the special cases of mixed states. For a rank-2 mixed state spanned by vectors |↑↑⟩, |↓↓⟩ or |↑↓⟩, |↓↑⟩ it was shown that the measure of entanglement is determined by expression (13) with (16). Finally, we mixed the states from these two subspaces and obtained expression for the measure of entanglement (20). As a result we showed that the entanglement of such a mixture is always less than the more entangled component of it.
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Appendices

Appendix A  Derivation of $\omega_c$ for pure two-qubit quantum state

In this appendix using Wootters definition of concurrence \[\text{(3)}\] we obtain the degree of entanglement of state \[\text{(11)}\]. In this case matrix $\tilde{\rho}$ has the form

$$\tilde{\rho} = (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho^* (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \omega) c_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega) c_1 c_2 \\ 0 & \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\omega}{4} & 0 \\ (1 - \omega) c_1 c_2 & 0 & 0 & (1 - \omega) c_1^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A1)$$

The matrix $\rho \tilde{\rho}$ can be expressed as follows

$$\rho \tilde{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(1 - \omega)^2 c_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} (1 - \omega) + (\frac{\omega}{4})^2 & 0 & 0 & 2((1 - \omega) c_1^2 + \frac{\omega}{4})(1 - \omega) c_1 c_2 \\ 0 & (\frac{\omega}{4})^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\frac{\omega}{4})^2 & 0 \\ 2((1 - \omega) c_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{4})(1 - \omega) c_1 c_2 & 0 & 0 & 2(1 - \omega)^2 c_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} (1 - \omega) + (\frac{\omega}{4})^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A2)$$

Then the eigenvalues $\lambda_i^2$ of this matrix satisfy the following equations

$$\lambda^4 - 2\lambda^2 \left[ 2(1 - \omega)^2 c_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} - 3 \left( \frac{\omega}{4} \right)^2 \right] + \left( \frac{\omega}{4} - 3 \left( \frac{\omega}{4} \right)^2 \right)^2 = 0, \quad \left( \lambda^2 - \left( \frac{\omega}{4} \right)^2 \right)^2 = 0. \quad (A3)$$

Solving these equations we take into account only the positive solutions and write them in decreasing order

$$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{(1 - \omega)^2 c_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} - 3 \left( \frac{\omega}{4} \right)^2} + (1 - \omega) c_1 c_2$$

$$\lambda_2 = \sqrt{(1 - \omega)^2 c_2^2 + \frac{\omega}{4} - 3 \left( \frac{\omega}{4} \right)^2} - (1 - \omega) c_1 c_2$$

$$\lambda_{3,4} = \frac{\omega}{4}. \quad (A4)$$

Now, substituting these eigenvalues in formula \[\text{(3)}\] we obtain expression \[\text{(12)}\]. Then equating to zero expression $(1 - \omega) 2 c_1 c_2 - \omega/2$ and solving it with respect to $\omega$ we obtain formula \[\text{(13)}\].
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