Reducing Autocorrelation Times in Lattice Simulations with Generative Adversarial Networks
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Short autocorrelation times are essential for a reliable error assessment in Monte Carlo simulations of lattice systems. A generative adversarial network (GAN) can provide independent samples, thereby eliminating autocorrelations in the Markov chain. We address the question of statistical accuracy by implementing GANs as an overrelaxation step, incorporated into a traditional hybrid Monte Carlo algorithm. This allows for a sensible numerical assessment of ergodicity and consistency. Results for scalar $\phi^4$-theory in two dimensions are presented. We achieve a significant reduction of autocorrelations while accurately reproducing the correct statistics. We discuss possible improvements as well as solutions to persisting issues and outline strategies towards the application to gauge theory and critical slowing down.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Minimizing the statistical error is essential for applications of lattice simulations. A reliable assessment of this error necessitates that all autocorrelations in the Markov chain are much shorter than the total run. This is especially relevant for models affected by critical slowing down, which is a severe hindrance for the extrapolation of lattice calculations to the continuum. This issue has inspired a year-long search for ever more efficient sampling techniques.

A promising new approach is based on generative machine learning methods. Among them, the generative adversarial network (GAN) [1] has lately received much attention in the machine learning community. Its potential for lattice simulations has been demonstrated e.g. in [2] for the two-dimensional Ising model and in [3] for a complex scalar field in two dimensions with a finite chemical potential. The generated samples are not connected through a Markov chain. Hence, in principle there are no autocorrelations by definition. This makes GANs highly attractive in the quest for efficient simulation algorithms. They may also be especially useful for sampling from topologically different sectors in configuration space. Another generative method that has been studied in this context is the restricted Boltzmann machine, see [4, 5]. A related approach based on learning effective models for the application of cluster updating algorithms is the self-learning Monte Carlo method, see [6–10]. Machine learning methods in general are also increasingly used for a variety of other tasks in high energy physics and condensed matter theory [11–29].

However, simply replacing a Monte Carlo algorithm with a GAN is highly problematic. The learned distribution typically shows non-negligible deviations from the desired target, in particular if high precision is required. This casts some doubt on the reliability of such an approach. Moreover, even if the approximation by the network exhibits high precision, one cannot assume that sampling from it is sufficiently ergodic. Implementing these key properties in a conclusive manner is essential for accurate lattice calculations.

In this paper, we propose a novel approach addressing this question based on [30]: We utilize the GAN as an overrelaxation step as part of a traditional hybrid Monte Carlo (HMC) algorithm. This enables us to satisfy the statistical requirements of a Monte Carlo method by using the Metropolis accept/reject rule. Importantly, the Markov chain is effectively broken every few steps, thereby reducing the autocorrelation function to zero. We put forward self-consistency checks for assessing whether the sampling is sufficiently ergodic and thus captures the dynamics of the theory.

The present approach is put to work in a scalar $\phi^4$-theory in two dimensions. First we show that a simple ‘vanilla’ GAN already approximates the magnetization distribution of the theory to a very high precision (fig.3a) from a comparatively small number of training samples. Furthermore the network also successfully reproduces some non-trivial observables with a better accuracy than could be inferred from the training data alone (tab. VI). Then we demonstrate that within our approach the autocorrelation function of the magnetization effectively drops to zero after each GAN overrelaxation step (fig.7), while accurately matching with results obtained from a standard Monte Carlo simulation (fig.6). This shows that the present approach features a potentially significant computational advantage compared to traditional sampling techniques.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we briefly introduce $\phi^4$-theory on the lattice. In section III we recall relevant aspects of the Metropolis algorithm as well as the overrelaxation method and discuss critical slowing down. Section IV serves to explain GANs in general and also illustrates why they are of interest in the design of improved sampling algorithms. We develop our method in section V and subsequently present numerical results in section VI. We summarize our findings and sketch strategies for future research as well as approaches to deal with remaining issues in section VII. Additionally we discuss potential applications beyond the scope of this work and the extension of our method to gauge theory.
II. SCALAR $\phi^4$-THEORY ON THE LATTICE

We work with a $\phi^4$-theory with a real field and symmetry group $Z_2$ in the Ising universality class. It is defined on a $d$-dimensional Euclidean square lattice $\Lambda$ with spacing $a$ and periodic boundary conditions. We consider only isotropic, symmetric lattices with equal finite length $L = aN$ in all dimensions. Here, $N$ simply counts the number of lattice points in every direction. The total volume is hence $V = L^d$. The associated lattice action is given by

$$S = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} a^d \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \left( \frac{\phi_0(x + a\hat{\mu}) - \phi_0(x)}{a^2} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{m_0^2}{2} \phi_0^2 + \frac{g_0}{4!} \phi_0^4 \right].$$

(1)

Here, $\phi_0$ denotes the bare field, along with its bare mass $m_0$ and coupling $g_0$. $\hat{\mu}$ labels the unit vector in direction $\mu$. For the implementation in a numerical simulation, it is necessary to rewrite $S$ in dimensionless form,

$$S = \sum_{x \in \Lambda} a^{d-2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d} \phi(x) \phi(x + \hat{\mu}) + (1 - 2\lambda) \phi(x)^2 + \lambda \phi(x)^4 \right],$$

(2)

using the reparametrizations

$$a^{d-2} \phi_0 = (2\kappa)^{1/2} \phi,$$

$$(am_0)^2 = \frac{2\lambda}{2\kappa} - 2d,$$

$$a^{-d+4} g_0 = \frac{6\lambda}{\kappa^2}.$$  

(3)

Here, $\kappa$ is the hopping parameter and the coupling is now represented in terms of $\lambda$.

Throughout this work we only consider $d = 2$ dimensions. The order parameter is the magnetization, defined as the vacuum expectation value of the field,

$$\langle M \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{V} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \phi(x) \right\rangle.$$  

(4)

The theory exhibits a phase transition due to the spontaneous breaking of $Z_2$ symmetry, upon which $M$ assumes a non-zero expectation value. The phase transition is indicated by a divergence in the connected two-point susceptibility,

$$\chi_2 = V \left( \langle M^2 \rangle - \langle M \rangle^2 \right).$$  

(5)

We also consider the Binder cumulant

$$U_L = 1 - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{\langle M^4 \rangle}{\langle M^2 \rangle^2} \right),$$  

(6)

which quantifies the curtosis of the fluctuations.

III. METROPOLIS, OVERRELAXATION AND CRITICAL SLOWING DOWN

One of the standard Monte Carlo sampling methods is the Metropolis algorithm [31]. It explores the configuration space by successive aperiodic updates ensuring ergodicity, combined with an accept/reject rule making it statistically exact in the limit of large numbers of samples. Suppose we wish to sample field configurations from the Boltzmann weight $P(\phi) \propto \exp(-S[\phi])$. A candidate $\phi'$ is generated from the current configuration $\phi$ with some a priori selection probability $T_0(\phi'|\phi)$. It is then accepted or rejected according to the acceptance probability

$$T_A(\phi'|\phi) = \min\left(1, \frac{T_0(\phi'|\phi) \exp(-S[\phi'])}{T_0(\phi'|\phi) \exp(-S[\phi])} \right).$$  

(7)

Usually one considers a symmetric selection probability $T_0(\phi'|\phi') = T_0(\phi'|\phi)$. Eq. 7 then simplifies to

$$T_A(\phi'|\phi) = \min(1, \exp(-\Delta S)),$$  

(8)

where $\Delta S = S[\phi'] - S[\phi]$. Local updating methods based on the Metropolis algorithm usually exhibit long autocorrelation times. Significant improvements can be achieved with the HMC algorithm. It is based on a molecular dynamics evolution using classical Hamiltonian equations of motion, combined with a Metropolis accept/reject step. This allows for larger steps in configuration space with reasonable acceptance rates.

Another technique used to speed up the motion through configuration space is overrelaxation. It was originally designed for simulations of $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills theory by exploiting symmetries of the action [32]. It is based on the fact that a candidate configuration is automatically accepted in the Metropolis step if $\Delta S = 0$, under the condition that $T_0(\phi'|\phi)$ is symmetric. This is achieved by performing rotations in group space that leave $S$ unchanged. Hence by itself, overrelaxation is not ergodic since it moves on the subspace of constant action, which corresponds to the microcanonical ensemble of statistical mechanics. By combining it with a Monte Carlo algorithm, ergodicity is obtained, corresponding to the canonical ensemble. We refrain from discussing the specific procedure associated with Yang-Mills theory, since it is irrelevant for this work.

However, neither the HMC algorithm nor the overrelaxation method solve critical slowing down. Simply put, the problem occurs whenever the correlation length $\xi$ of
a system diverges. Define the autocorrelation function of an observable $X$ as

$$C_X(t) = \langle (X_i - \langle X_i \rangle) (X_{i+t} - \langle X_{i+t} \rangle) \rangle$$
$$= \langle X_i X_{i+t} \rangle - \langle X_i \rangle \langle X_{i+t} \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $i$ is the position and $t$ the distance in the Markov chain. Typically, $C_X(t)$ decays exponentially as

$$C_X(t) \sim \exp \left( - \frac{t}{\tau_X} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where we have introduced the exponential autocorrelation time $\tau_X$, which in turn scales as

$$\tau_X \sim \xi^z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Here, $z \geq 0$ is the dynamical critical exponent, which depends on the type of algorithm used. Close to a critical point, the correlation length diverges. On a finite lattice with linear size $L$ however, we have $\xi \lesssim L$. Hence, the computational demand grows like $\sim L^z$, which hampers the investigation of critical phenomena and extrapolations to the continuum limit. A promising approach to significantly reduce the autocorrelation time is the application of GANs, which we discuss in the next section.

IV. GENERATIVE ADVERSAIRIAL NETWORKS

GANs belong to a class of unsupervised machine learning methods based on neural networks that can be used for sampling. The characteristic feature that distinguishes them from other algorithms is the utilization of game theory principles for their training. They consist of two feedforward neural networks stacked on top of each other (see fig. 1 for a schematic): the generator $G$ and discriminator $D$. They are non-linear, differentiable functions whose learnable parameters are commonly called weights. The $d_z$-dimensional input vector $z$ of the generator is drawn from a multi-variate prior distribution $P(z)$. The discriminator receives the generator outputs $\hat{\phi} = G(z)$ as well as samples $\phi$ from the training dataset.

$D$ is a classification network that is trained to distinguish between 'real' and 'fake' samples. Its last layer consists of a single neuron with a sigmoid activation, which allows to define a loss function in terms of the binary cross-entropy. Training corresponds to minimizing the loss for each network by evolving the weights according to a gradient descent updating equation. The gradient of the loss with respect to the weights can be propagated through the networks’ layers using the chain rule. The optimization objective for the discriminator is to maximize its accuracy for the correct classification of $\phi$ and $\hat{\phi}$ as real or fake. The generator is trained to produce samples that cause false positive predictions of the discriminator, thereby producing an estimate $P(\hat{\phi})$ of the true target distribution $P(\phi)$. Sampling latent variables $z$ then corresponds to sampling from this approximated distribution. The two networks play a zero-sum non-cooperative game, and the model is said to converge when they reach a so-called Nash equilibrium.

This entails the fundamental difference and possible advantage of this method compared to traditional Monte Carlo sampling: since $P(z)$ is commonly chosen to be a simple multi-variate uniform or Gaussian distribution, candidate configurations $\hat{\phi}$ can be generated directly by drawing random numbers from the prior distribution. In the ideal case where the GAN equilibrates non-trivially and generalizes properly, successively drawn samples are by construction statistically independent, as opposed to consecutive elements of a Markov chain. In practice, however, one always encounters deviations of varying severity from the true target distribution. This calls into question the ability of GANs to serve as a suitable replacement of traditional sampling techniques. Additionally, they may not be sufficiently ergodic in order to perform rigorous calculations. This becomes apparent when one considers the extreme case of so-called mode collapse, where the generator learns to produce only one or a very small number of samples largely independent of its prior distribution. Insufficient variation among the GAN’s output is not punished by the discriminator and can only be checked a posteriori. Possible solutions to convergence issues are discussed in section VII.

Figure 1. Simple schematic of a GAN’s structure.
V. ALGORITHMIC FRAMEWORK

Using GANs to accelerate lattice computations in a rigorous manner requires implementing a statistical selection procedure as well as defining sensible criteria to assess the validity of such an approach. Naively one could just try to equip the GAN with a Metropolis accept/reject step, thereby simply hoping that it is sufficiently ergodic. However, this is not feasible, as candidate configurations are accepted either automatically if $\Delta S \leq 0$ or with probability $\exp(-\Delta S)$ if $\Delta S > 0$. Accordingly, this only works if positive changes in the action are not too large. This is the reason why Monte Carlo methods tuned for reasonable acceptance rates properly reproduce partition functions in finite time. For the GAN, however, large positive and negative values for $\Delta S$ are common since subsequent samples are completely uncorrelated. Hence, the algorithm effectively freezes at the lower end of the available action distribution after a short time. Jumping to larger values of $S$ is in principle possible, but exponentially suppressed, leading to a vanishing acceptance rate. Tracing out the configuration space in this way would hence require almost infinite time, which completely defeats the original purpose.

In order to benefit from its advantages without running into the aforementioned issues, we propose to employ the GAN as an overrelaxation step in conjunction with a traditional HMC algorithm. In this manner we are able to substantially reduce autocorrelations while still approaching the correct distribution of the theory. Accelerating HMC simulations with machine learning was explored for restricted Boltzmann machines in [5]. Our method of selecting suitable candidate configurations is based on [33], where GANs are proposed as an ansatz to the more general task of solving inverse problems.

Practically, our approach is implemented with the following procedure:

- Take a number of HMC steps $n_H$ to obtain a configuration $\phi$.
- Sample from the GAN until a configuration $\hat{\phi} = G(z)$ is found that fulfills $|\Delta S| = |S[G(z)] - S[\phi]| \leq \Delta S_{\text{thresh}}$. $\Delta S_{\text{thresh}}$ is tuned such that $S[\phi]$ and $S[G(z)]$ are close, but also that a suitable $G(z)$ can be generated in reasonable time.
- Perform a gradient descent optimization on the associated latent variable $z$ of the generator using $\Delta S^2$ as loss function,

$$z' = \arg\min_z (S[G(z)] - S[\phi])^2.$$  

In this way, $S[\phi]$ and $S[G(z')]$ can be matched arbitrarily well, down to the available floating point precision. The action values can then be considered effectively equal for all intents and purposes. In principle, this evolution can be performed for any randomly drawn $z$ without the need for repeated sampling until a configuration with $|\Delta S| < \Delta S_{\text{thresh}}$ is found. This step simply ensures that the distance in the latent space between the initial value for $z$ and the target $z'$ is already small a priori, which speeds up the optimization and avoids the risk of getting stuck in a local minimum of the loss landscape. Several possibilities to significantly accelerate this process are discussed in section VII.

After the optimization is complete, $G(z')$ is proposed as new candidate configuration $\phi'$. The symmetry of the GAN’s selection probability $T_\phi(\phi'|\phi)$ can be verified numerically. Since the action remains unchanged, the total acceptance probability is 1—the sample is accepted automatically and then handed back to the HMC algorithm. Hence we can conclude that our proposed method exhibits the same statistical properties as traditional over-relaxation.

We now investigate the question of ergodicity. To this end, we first note the following: for a real scalar field $\phi$ on a $d$-dimensional lattice, the available configuration space is in principle $\mathbb{R}^{N^d}$. If we use a multi-variate Gaussian as the prior distribution $P(z)$, then correspondingly the available latent space is $\mathbb{R}^{d_z}$. If $d_z < N^d$, this latent space has measure zero in the target space and it is by definition impossible to satisfy strong ergodicity. However, simply choosing a large enough $d_z$ is by no means a
solution, especially considering issues like mode collapse. Then again, no currently conceivable algorithm is ergodic in a strict sense due to the eventual periodicity of the underlying pseudo-random number generators, regardless of how astronomically long their periods may be. It is clear that in order to conduct rigorous calculations, the question one needs to consider is not whether an algorithm is truly ergodic, but sufficiently ergodic.

Here, this question is assessed numerically by checking the intrinsic ability of the GAN to reach the whole range of potential action states from its prior distribution. To this end, it has to be verified that it is possible to generate matching samples \( \phi' \) for every field configuration \( \phi \) provided by a Monte Carlo method such that \( \Delta S = 0 \). This is a necessary criterion as well as a strong indicator that the algorithm can be sufficiently ergodic: it shows that all potential values of the action are in principle accessible through the GAN’s latent space. A first test can simply be performed on a dataset of independent configurations that have not been used for training. If the GAN has experienced mode collapse or has not captured the dynamics of the theory well enough, the overrelaxation step will not work for the majority of samples. Since in our algorithm the space of action values is traced out by the ergodic HMC updates, successfully running the simulation with this method is by itself a strong argument for sufficient ergodicity.

Additionally, one can perform a self-consistency check regarding the latent space dimension \( d_z \). Based on the discussion above one can expect that GANs with very small \( d_z \) are insufficient to properly approximate the target distribution and fail either in the training or test phase. Above a certain threshold value one should observe a plateau where the performance stabilizes and becomes independent of \( d_z \). This indicates that the size of the latent space has become sufficient for the GAN to capture the theory’s dynamics to a satisfying degree. It is therefore sensible to train and compare several GANs with different input dimensions.

Finally, one needs to ascertain that the results obtained with this algorithm are statistically accurate and correctly reproduce the desired partition function. A non-trivial consistency check concerns the number of HMC steps \( n_H \) that are taken in between GAN overrelaxation steps. Since the Monte Carlo method is statistically exact, in the limit of large \( n_H \) we expect our algorithm to be as well. If any deviations are introduced by interrupting the Markov chain with the GAN, this effect should be most prominent at small \( n_H \) and attenuate if its value is increased. Above a certain threshold, one should again observe a plateau where the values of observables are independent of \( n_H \), indicating that one has reached the desired level of statistical accuracy. The question that remains is then simply whether \( n_H \) can be chosen small enough in order to be able to benefit significantly from the GANs advantages.

This concludes the discussion of our proposed algorithmic framework. The key improvement of our method over traditional Monte Carlo methods is that we can effectively break the Markov chain while preserving essential statistical properties. This results in fully uncorrelated samples after every GAN overrelaxation step. By introducing our statistical selection procedure, it is also not necessary for the network to approximate the target distribution to an exceedingly high precision. Another important advantage is the applicability to a much wider variety of models as compared to conventional overrelaxation, since our algorithm merely mimics its statistical properties and does not depend on specific symmetries of the action—e.g. for scalar \( \phi^4 \)-theory no such method exists, since we only have \( Z_2 \) reflection symmetry.
VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To put our algorithm to the test, we first trained a GAN for 1000 epochs on data from scalar $\phi^4$-theory on a $32 \times 32$ lattice as defined in section II, using 1000 samples generated in the symmetric phase at $\kappa = 0.21$ and $\lambda = 0.022$. We employed fully-connected neural networks with one hidden layer of size 512 for both the generator and the discriminator (see fig. 2 for a schematic). The generator’s last layer has no activation function, thereby allowing values $\hat{\phi}_i \in \mathbb{R}$. The discriminator’s output neuron features a sigmoid activation, allowing to train for binary classification using the cross-entropy loss. For all other layers we used the rectified linear unit activation function. Optimization was done using the Adam algorithm, an extension of stochastic gradient descent.

Results for the magnetization and action distributions generated by sampling from just the GAN on its own are compared to those of a standard Monte Carlo simulation in fig. 3. The magnetization distributions match remarkably well and several observables of the theory are reproduced by the GAN to a higher precision than could be inferred from just the training data. Tab. VI illustrates this for the mean magnetization $\bar{M}$, the two-point susceptibility $\chi_2$ and the Binder cumulant $U_L$. This indicates that the network has indeed constructed a high-level internal representation of the theory’s properties. Its distribution of action values is however considerably more broad, indicating that the GAN has not managed to fully capture the dynamics. This is however ironed out by our statistical selection procedure, as we will see.

Following our arguments of the previous section, we first verified the GAN’s ability to reproduce every desired action value on a dataset of 1000 field configurations that were not used for training. Optimization of the latent variables was done using the Adam algorithm as well. In the subsequent simulation runs, the GAN also never failed to produce samples with matching actions, suggesting that the algorithm is indeed sufficiently ergodic. We also tested and compared a variety of different latent space dimensions $d_z$, starting at $N^2$ and successively going to smaller values: $d_z \in \{1024, 512, 256, 128, 64, 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1\}$. The performances were consistent until significant deviations were observed starting at $d_z = 32$, and the GAN failed to converge entirely for $d_z = 8$ and below. This behavior corresponds to the aforementioned plateau above some threshold value.

Fig. 4 shows a field configuration from a HMC simulation and three corresponding example samples generated from our overrelaxation method. In fig. 5 we plot a distribution of the differences between individual field variables $\phi'(x) - \phi(x)$.
before and after the overrelaxation for a total of 500 independent steps. The distribution closely resembles a Gaussian with a mean value of zero, as indicated by the fit. This shape is also found in collections of several candidate configurations for one individual sample as well as for differences in the magnetization. This suggests that the a priori selection probability $T_0(\phi|\phi')$ is indeed symmetric.

The last test concerns the number of intermediate HMC steps $n_H$. Predicted values of observables from our algorithm are self-consistent already for $n_H \geq 2$ and also match precisely with results from the pure HMC simulation, see fig. 6. The difference between the action distributions that was seen in fig. 3b has disappeared completely. This implies that using our method, it is possible to achieve remarkably high accuracy already at small $n_H$.

We conclude that at least in this simple $\phi^4$-theory example, our proposed ansatz of implementing GANs as an overrelaxation step satisfies all stated criteria for rigorous lattice calculations. All numerical tests have been successful. In summary, this confirms that in our approach autocorrelations of the magnetization are eliminated after a small number of updates.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In this work we have established GANs as a viable means to significantly reduce autocorrelations in lattice simulations. A novel algorithmic framework has been designed, and quantitative numerical criteria have been provided for checking its validity as an alternative to standard importance sampling.

The key ingredient is the implementation of GANs as an overrelaxation step, which can be achieved through an optimization of the latent variables. Putting our reasoning to the test, we first have confirmed that GANs can successfully capture the dynamics of two-dimensional scalar $\phi^4$-theory in the symmetric phase. Subsequently a significant reduction of autocorrelations using our method has been demonstrated (fig. 7). We note here that even though we tested our ansatz using only a simple ‘vanilla’ GAN, some properties of the theory were reproduced by it to a remarkably high precision already before our statistical selection procedure was employed (fig. 3a, tab. VI). Visible deviations from the true action distribution were eliminated completely by our method (fig. 6b).

Visible deviations from the true action distribution were eliminated completely by our method (fig. 6b).

In our specific example, the whole overrelaxation step generally takes roughly 50ms on average using a standard laptop CPU. In principle, once the GAN has been trained, it can easily generate thousands of samples per second, most of which are however immediately discarded until a configuration with $|\Delta S| < \Delta S_{\text{thresh}}$ is found. The simplest way to accelerate this process is of course more powerful hardware. In particular, using GPUs would substantially speed up the latent variable optimization.

Apart from that there are several conceptual improvements by which the algorithm’s efficiency could be significantly upgraded, potentially by several orders of magnitude.

Figure 6. Comparison of (a) magnetization and (b) action distributions from $10^5$ samples generated with the HMC algorithm and our method using $n_H = 2$. 
As a straightforward extension of the described method, instead of discarding all unused samples at each step, one could add them to a reservoir from which suitable configurations are pulled in subsequent steps. If the reservoir does not contain an appropriate sample, one simply adds more. Of course this requires more memory, however that can be also diminished by only saving the values of the action and the associated random number generator seeds, reproducing samples on-the-fly when they are needed. After an initial sample population has been created, the algorithm can proceed very efficiently, periodically refilling the reservoir.

With a conditional GAN [34] it may also be possible to drastically reduce $\Delta S$ a priori by using the target value of the action as an additional optimization objective in the training of the generator. This would remove the need for repeated sampling and hence significantly accelerate the search for a suitable candidate configuration. Training a conditional GAN with samples generated at various different couplings may also allow extrapolation to other regions of the phase diagram, potentially aiding the investigation of physics close to criticality. We also note here that the optimization of latent variables can in principle be used to match any desired values of observables if appropriate field states are available from the prior distribution, since this information can always be backpropagated through the network. This may prove especially useful for the extrapolation to regions of phase diagrams where no training data is available.

A remaining issue of standard GANs is that they are often notoriously difficult to train. The problem of mode collapse has already been mentioned. Implementing a healthy competition where both networks are similarly strong can require significant fine-tuning of hyperparameters, in principle based on intuition or trial-and-error. The fact that none of these issues were encountered in the work presented here is likely owed to the simplicity of the studied model, which may change once we turn to more complicated theories. Since its conception in 2014, a plethora of techniques to improve the training of the original ‘vanilla’ GAN and to quantify the quality and variation of generated samples has been proposed. Among these, a promising approach is the so-called boundary equilibrium GAN [35], where the discriminator is replaced by an autoencoder. It has been shown to greatly stabilize training and also provides a new approximate convergence measure. Additionally, it utilizes convolutional layers, potentially improving performance by intrinsically respecting the underlying lattice topology, which in our setup the generator learns from the data.

For large lattice sizes, a sufficient number of uncorrelated training samples may be difficult to acquire. A potential solution is progressive growing of GANs [36], starting with data from small lattices and successively adding larger layers. This method is of particular interest for taking the continuum limit: as mentioned in the introduction, the systems approach criticality, and the application of GANs may prove to be especially useful.

The application of our method to $SU(N)$ gauge theories requires generating link variables as members of the associated gauge group. Implementing an explicit parametrization into the generator might however prove difficult, especially for $N > 2$. A potential solution would be to ease restrictions on the generator output to allow for arbitrary complex matrices, which is comparably straightforward. One then formulates the group properties as part of the optimization objective, which can be achieved by adding appropriate terms such as the unitarity norm to the generator loss. This is similar to the method of gauge cooling in complex Langevin simulations [37]. In this way, the network learns to produce samples on the $SU(N)$ submanifold by minimizing its loss function.

In conclusion, the findings presented here constitute a starting point for future studies into GANs as a means to accelerate lattice simulations, particularly regarding critical slowing down. Our algorithmic development provides a basis for statistically accurate calculations and first numerical tests have shown promising results, encouraging further research into this matter.
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Figure 7. Comparison of the associated autocorrelation functions of the magnetization for local updating, the HMC algorithm and our method using $n_H \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.