Quantum computation of molecular vibrations
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Molecular vibrations underpin important phenomena such as spectral properties, energy transfers, and molecular bonding. However, understanding the vibrational structure in details of even small molecules can be computationally expensive. While numerous algorithms exist for solving the electronic structure problem on a quantum computer, there has been comparatively little attention devoted to solving the vibrational structure problem with quantum hardware. In this work, we propose quantum algorithms for solving both the static and dynamic vibrational properties of molecules. We introduce a physically motivated unitary vibrational coupled cluster ansatz, which also makes our method accessible to near-term, noisy, intermediate-scale quantum hardware. We numerically test our algorithm for the water and sulfur dioxide molecules.

I. INTRODUCTION

Simulating many-body physical systems enables us to study chemicals and materials without fabricating them, saving both time and resources. The most accurate simulations require a full quantum mechanical treatment — which is inefficient for classical computers. While many approximations have been developed to solve this problem, in many cases, these are not sufficiently accurate [1]. One possible route to more accurate simulations is to use quantum computers. Quantum computation can enable us to solve certain problems asymptotically more quickly than with a ‘classical’ computer [2,4]. While the quantum computers that we currently possess are small and error prone, it is hoped that we will one day be able to construct a universal, fault-tolerant quantum computer — capable of outperforming its classical counterparts on certain tasks. Consequently, there has been significant interest into designing algorithms to simulate quantum systems on quantum computers [5–7]. In particular, simulating chemical systems, such as molecules [3], has received significant attention. This may stem from the commercial benefits of being able to investigate and design such systems in silico [9]. The development of quantum computational chemistry has arguably echoed its classical counterpart. In both fields, the majority of investigations have focused on calculating the electronic structure of molecules [10]. This has resulted in a wealth of well established methods for solving problems of electrons. However, methods concerned with the nuclear degrees of freedom are comparatively less well established. Understanding vibrations is critical for obtaining the most accurate models of real physical systems [10]. The highest precision classical simulations of vibrations are limited to small molecules, consisting of a few atoms [11]. While approximations can be used to treat larger systems, these tend to be less accurate than experiments [12]. Although recently proposed analog quantum algorithms [13,16] are capable of simulating vibrations using resources which scale polynomially with the size of the molecule, the scalability of these approaches has yet to be established.

In this work we propose a general method for efficiently simulating molecular vibrations on a universal quantum computer. Our method targets the exact vibrational eigenfunctions of a vibrational Hamiltonian with higher order potential terms. These wavefunctions can then be used to efficiently calculate properties of interest, such as absorption spectra at finite temperatures. We can also use our method to perform simulations of vibrational dynamics, enabling the investigation of properties such as vibrational relaxation.

II. VIBRATIONS

A consequence of quantum mechanics is that molecules are never at rest, possessing at least the vibrational zero-point energy correction to the ground-state energy [17–19]. As a result, vibrations affect all chemical calculations, to a greater or lesser extent. They are important in both time dependent and independent contexts. From a dynamics perspective, vibrational structure affects high frequency time-resolved laser experiments [20], reaction dynamics [21,23], and transport [24,25]. In a static context, vibrations underpin spectral calculations, such as: infrared and Raman spectroscopy [26] and fluorescence [27]. These calculations determine the performance of solar cells [28,29] and industrial dyes [30,31], as well as the susceptibility of molecules to photodamage [13,22].

Despite their importance for accurate results, studying vibrations has proven difficult. There are several possible routes to obtaining an accurate description of vibrational behaviour. Grid based methods, which treat the
electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom on an equal footing, are limited to systems of a few particles. While an algorithm to efficiently solve this problem on a universal quantum computer exists [34], it will take many years to develop a quantum computer with the required number of qubits [34]. Alternatively, one may separate the electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom. We can solve for the electronic energy levels of the system as a function of the nuclear positions, which enables us to map out potential energy surfaces for the system. A number of approximate classical methods have been developed to solve this problem [1, 55], as well as several quantum algorithms [8, 36–38]. These electronic potential surfaces can then be viewed as the nuclear potential, determining the vibrational energy levels. This is known as the vibrational structure problem. The accuracy of the nuclear potential is determined by the accuracy of the electronic structure calculation, as well as the number of points obtained for the potential energy surface. Once this potential has been obtained, a number of classical methods can be used for solving both the time dependent and independent Schrödinger equations.

The most simple methods use the ‘harmonic approximation’. This treats the nuclear potential in the vicinity of the equilibrium geometry as a harmonic oscillator potential, resulting in energy eigenstates which are harmonic oscillator eigenfunctions. Alternatively, one may consider higher order expansions of the nuclear potential, resulting in more accurate calculations [39]. We note that there is currently no polynomially scaling method to accurately obtain the nuclear potential [40]. These higher order approaches make calculating spectra expensive [11], even if only mean-field calculations are performed, due to the number of terms in the wavefunction. If the correlation between different vibrational modes is included in the calculation, then the simulation becomes even more expensive. A similar hierarchy of accuracy also exists for dynamics simulations.

The computational difficulties described above make accurate vibrational calculations very challenging for classical computers. To overcome these challenges, quantum solutions have been suggested for the vibrational structure problem [19, 10]. To date, the majority of suggestions have focused upon analog quantum simulation of vibrations. In analog simulations, the simulator emulates a specific system of interest, but cannot in general be programmed to perform simulations of other, different systems. Huh et al. proposed using boson sampling circuits to determine the absorption spectra of molecules [13]. These boson sampling circuits consist of photons passing through an optical network. This initial proposal relied on the harmonic oscillator approximation at zero temperature, but does take into account bosonic mode mixing due to nuclear structural changes that result from excitation. There have been several experimental demonstrations of this proposal [15]. This method has since been extended to finite temperature spectra [13]. The main limitation of these simulations is the use of the harmonic oscillator approximation for the vibrational wavefunction. It is in general difficult to engineer ground states of anharmonic Hamiltonians using an optical network, as non-linear operations, such as squeezing, are required. Optical networks have also been used for simulating vibrational dynamics [10]. These simulations investigated vibrational transport, adaptive feedback control, and anharmonic effects.

The aforementioned schemes make use of the analogy between the vibrational energy levels in molecules in the Harmonic oscillator approximation, and the bosonic energy levels accessible to photons and ions. One advantage of this is that the bosonic modes are in principle able to store an arbitrary number of excitations. As these analog simulators are relatively simple to construct (when compared to a universal, fault-tolerant quantum computer), they will likely prove useful for small calculations in the near-term. However, it is not yet known how to suppress errors to an arbitrarily low rate in analog simulators. As a result, if we are to simulate the vibrational behaviour of larger quantum systems, we will likely require error corrected universal quantum computers. This motivates our proposal of methods for vibrational simulation on universal quantum computers.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Sec. [III] we introduce the vibrational stucture problem for molecules and show how this problem can be mapped onto a quantum computer. In Sec. [IV] we show how to solve both static and dynamic problems of molecular vibrations. In Sec. [V] we show the results of numerical simulations of the H2O and SO2 molecules.

III. ENCODING

a. Vibrational Hamiltonian. Under the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, one can regard the nuclei as classical particles, and separate the nuclear and electronic degrees of freedom. In the following, we neglect the rotational degrees of freedom. This is possible because the energy differences between different rotational levels are typically much smaller than those between vibrational levels. After diagonalising the electronic Hamiltonian, the molecular Hamiltonian becomes

\[ H_{\text{mole}} = \sum_s |\psi_s\rangle\langle \psi_s|_e \otimes H_s, \]  

where \(|\psi_s\rangle_e\) are the electronic energy eigenstates. The effective nuclear Hamiltonian \(H_N\) is

\[ H_s = \frac{p^2}{2} + V_s(q), \]  

where \(q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots)\), \(p = -i\hbar \partial/\partial q\), and \(V_s(q)\) is the effective nuclear potential. This potential is determined by the corresponding electronic potential energy surface of \(|\psi_s\rangle_e\). As described in Appendix A we work in mass-weighted normal coordinates and decouple the rotational
and vibrational modes, the potential $V_s(q)$ can be approximated as

$$V_s(q) \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \omega_i^2 q_i^2,$$

with the frequency $\omega_i$ of the $i$th vibrational normal mode. Thus, the nuclear Hamiltonian $H_s$ can be approximated by a sum of independent harmonic oscillators,

$$H_s \approx \sum_i \omega_i a_i^\dagger a_i,$$

with $a_i^\dagger$ and $a_i$ being the creation and annihilation operator of the $i$th harmonic oscillator. This is the commonly used ‘harmonic approximation’. Even for accurate potentials and rigid molecules, the harmonic approximation is less accurate than modern spectroscopic techniques [12]. This approximation becomes inadequate for large and ‘floppy’ molecules [12]. Improved results can be obtained by including anharmonic effects which requires information of higher order potential terms in the Hamiltonian [10]. For example, we can expand the potential as

$$V_s(q) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i_j} k_{i_1,i_2,...,i_j} q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \cdots q_{i_j},$$

where $k_{i_1,i_2,...,i_j}$ are the coefficients of the expansion $q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \cdots q_{i_j}$, and the harmonic frequencies are $\omega_j = \sqrt{2k_{i_1,i_j}}$. In general, the eigenstates of these Hamiltonians are entangled states, when working in a basis of harmonic oscillator eigenstates. Consequently, solving the anharmonic vibrational Hamiltonian is a hard problem for classical computers.

In contrast, we show below that it is possible to efficiently encode the $k$th order nuclear Hamiltonian into a Hamiltonian acting on qubits. We can then use quantum algorithms to efficiently calculate the static and dynamic properties of the nuclear Hamiltonian.

b. Mapping to qubits We first discuss mapping the molecular Hamiltonian into qubits. We work in the basis of harmonic oscillator eigenstates, as these can be easily mapped to qubits.

Focusing on one harmonic oscillator, $\hat{h} = \omega a^\dagger a$, we consider the truncated eigenstates of the lowest $d$ energies, $|s\rangle$ with $s = 0, 1, \ldots, d - 1$. A direct mapping of the space $\{|s\rangle\}$ is to encode it with $d$ qubits as

$$|s\rangle = \otimes_{j=0}^{s-1} |0\rangle_j |1\rangle_s \otimes |0\rangle_j,$$

with creation operator

$$a^\dagger = \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} \sqrt{s + 1} |0\rangle_s \otimes |1\rangle_0 \otimes |s+1\rangle.$$  

The annihilation operator can be obtained by taking the Hermitian conjugate of $a^\dagger$. As an alternative to the direct mapping, we can introduce a compact mapping, using $K = \lfloor \log d \rfloor$ qubits,

$$|s\rangle = |b_{d-1}\rangle |b_{d-2}\rangle \cdots |b_0\rangle,$$

with binary representation $s = b_{d-1} 2^{d-1} + b_{d-2} 2^{d-2} + \cdots + b_0 2^0$. The representation of the creation operator is

$$a^\dagger = \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} \sqrt{s + 1} |s\rangle \otimes |s-1\rangle.$$  

When decomposing $a^\dagger$ and $a$ into local Pauli matrices, there are $O(d)$ and $O(d^2)$ terms for the direct and compact mapping, respectively. In Fig. 1 we show the number of qubits required to describe the vibrational Hamiltonians of several molecules, for both mappings.

As $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ can both be represented by a linear combination of creation and annihilation operators, we can thus map the nuclear vibrational Hamiltonian to a qubit Hamiltonian. If the molecule has $n$ atoms, it has $M = 3n - 6$ vibrational modes for a nonlinear molecule, and $M = 3n - 5$ for a linear molecule. The vibrational wavefunction can then be represented with $dM$ (direct mapping) or $M \log(d)$ (compact mapping) qubits. This can be contrasted with the exponentially scaling classical memory required to store the wavefunction. If the potential is expanded to $k$th order (with $M \gg k$), the Hamiltonian contains $O(M^k d^K)$ (direct) or $O(M^k d^{2K})$ (compact) terms. These terms are strings of local Pauli matrices. In this work, we take $d$ to be a small constant, and set $k = 4$. The resulting Hamiltonian has $O(M^4)$ terms.

IV. SIMULATING MOLECULAR VIBRATIONS

Once the vibrational modes have been mapped to qubits, we can use quantum algorithms to obtain the
static and dynamic properties of the system. We can write the qubit Hamiltonian as $H_s = \sum \lambda_i h_i$, where $h_i$ are coefficients determining the strength of each term in the Hamiltonian.

A. Vibrational energy levels

An important, but classically intractable problem, is to obtain accurate energy levels for the vibrational Hamiltonian. The spectrum of the Hamiltonian provides corrections to the electronic eigenstates used to predict reaction rates [19]. Moreover, we will show how these energy levels can be used to calculate the absorption spectrum of molecules in Sec. [41]. Of particular interest are the lowest lying energy levels at low temperature. Using a universal quantum computer, we can first prepare an initial state that has a large overlap with the ground state of the vibrational Hamiltonian. We can then use the phase estimation algorithm [27] to probabilistically obtain the ground state and ground state energy. A possible initial ground state is the lowest energy product state of the harmonic oscillator basis states, $|\psi_0\rangle = \otimes_m |s_m\rangle_m$. However, we note that the overlap between this state and the true ground state may decrease exponentially with the size of the molecule. This so-called ‘orthogonality catastrophe’ has been discussed previously in the context of electronic structure calculations on a quantum computer [43, 44]. As a result, for large systems it may be more efficient to use an initial state obtained from a classical vibrational self-consistent field (VSCF) calculation. VSCF is the vibrational analogue of the Hartree-Fock method in electronic structure theory. VSCF optimises the basis functions to minimise the energy of the Hamiltonian with a product state

$$|\Psi_{\text{VSCF}}\rangle = \arg \min_{|\psi\rangle = \otimes_i |\psi_i\rangle} \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle.$$  \tag{10}

Another route to a state with a large overlap with the ground state, is to prepare the VSCF state, and then adiabatically evolve under a Hamiltonian that changes slowly from the VSCF Hamiltonian, to the full vibrational Hamiltonian $H$. This approach has received significant attention within quantum computing approaches to the electronic structure problem, since it was first proposed in the context of quantum computational chemistry in Ref. [8]. However, both adiabatic state preparation and phase estimation typically require long circuits, with a large number of gates. As a result, quantum error correction is required to suppress the effect of device imperfections. It is therefore helpful to introduce variational methods, which may make these calculations feasible for near-term, non-error corrected quantum computers. Variational methods replace the long gate sequences required by phase estimation for a polynomial number of shorter circuits [33, 45]. This dramatically reduces the coherence time required. As a result, quantum error correction may not be required, if the error rate is suitably low, compared to the number of gates required.

The circuits used consist of a number of parametrised gates which seek to create an accurate approximation of the desired state. The parameters are updated using a classical feedback loop, in order to produce better approximations of the desired state. The circuit used is known as the ‘ansatz’.

Influenced by classical methods for the vibrational structure problem, we introduce the unitary vibrational coupled cluster (UVCC) ansatz. This is a unitary analogue of the VCC ansatz introduced in Refs. [12, 46]. We note that a similar pairing exists for the electronic structure problem, where the unitary coupled cluster (UCC) [47] has been suggested as a quantum version of the coupled cluster method. The UVCC ansatz is given by

$$\hat{\Psi}(\vec{\theta}) = \exp \left( \hat{T} - \hat{T}^\dagger \right) |\Psi_0\rangle,$$  \tag{11}

where the initial state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ can be either the ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ of the harmonic oscillators or the VSCF state $|\Psi_{\text{VSCF}}\rangle$, $\hat{T}$ is the sum of molecular excitation operators truncated at a specified excitation rank, and $\vec{\theta}$ are the parameters defined below. Similar to the unitary coupled cluster [48] in electronic structure problems, the single and double excitation operators are

$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \ldots.$$  \tag{12}

with

$$\hat{T}_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{s_m,t_m=0}^{d-1} \theta_{s_m,t_m} |s_m\rangle \langle t_m|,$$

$$\hat{T}_2 = \sum_{m<n}^{M} \sum_{s_m,t_m,p_m,q_n=0}^{d-1} \theta_{s_m,t_m,p_m,q_n} |s_m p_m\rangle \langle t_m q_n|.$$  \tag{13}

Here, we omit the subscript of modes for simplicity. $\theta_{s_m,t_m}$ and $\theta_{s_m,t_m,p_m,q_n}$ are real parameters, and $\vec{\theta} = \{ \theta_{s_m,t_m}, \theta_{s_m,t_m,p_m,q_n} \}$. The $\hat{T}$ operators can be mapped to qubit operators via either the direct or compact mapping with at most $O(M^2)$ local Pauli terms.

The UVCC ansatz seeks to create a good approximation to the true ground state by considering excitations above a reference state. We note that the classical VCC ansatz is not a unitary operator. Correspondingly, the method is not variational, meaning that energies are not bounded from below. Moreover, we expect that the UVCC ansatz will deal better with problems of strong static correlation than the VCC ansatz, as the former can be used with multi-reference states. This echoes the way in which the UCC ansatz can be used with multi-reference states [47], while the canonical CC method cannot [11].

Suppose the electronic state is in an eigenstate $|\psi_s\rangle_\nu$, the molecular Hamiltonian is determined by $H_s$. Once we have obtained the energy levels of the vibrational Hamiltonian, we can calculate the infrared and Raman frequencies, using the difference between the excited and ground-state energies [49].
B. Franck-Condon factors

In addition to focusing on the eigenstates of a single vibrational Hamiltonian, we can also consider vibronic (vibrational and electronic) transitions between the vibrational levels resulting from different electronic potential energy surfaces. Consider two electronic states, \(|i\rangle_e\) and \(|f\rangle_e\). The molecular Hamiltonian is

\[
H_{\text{mode}} = |i\rangle_e \otimes H_i + |f\rangle_e \otimes H_f,
\]

where \(H_i\) and \(H_f\) are vibrational Hamiltonians, with energy eigenstates \(|\psi_{i,\text{vib}}\rangle\) and \(|\psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle\), respectively. Using Fermi’s Golden Rule, the probability of a photon-induced transition between two wavefunctions \(|\psi_i\rangle = |i\rangle \otimes |\psi_{i,\text{vib}}\rangle\) and \(|\psi_f\rangle = |f\rangle \otimes |\psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle\) is proportional to the square of the transition dipole moment \(P^2 = |\langle \psi_f | \hat{\mu} | \psi_i \rangle|^2\), to first order of the time dependent perturbation theory. Within the Condon approximation, \(\hat{\mu} = \mu_e + \mu_r\), the transition probability becomes proportional to \(P^2 = \left| \langle \psi_f^{\text{vib}} | \hat{\mu} | \psi_i^{\text{vib}} \rangle \right|^2 \cdot |\langle f | \hat{\mu}_c | i \rangle|^2\). Here \(\left| \langle \psi_f^{\text{vib}} | \psi_i^{\text{vib}} \rangle \right|^2\) are referred to as Franck-Condon integrals. Without the Condon approximation, the Franck-Condon integrals become

\[
\left| \langle \psi_f^{\text{vib}} | \hat{\mu}(q) | \psi_i^{\text{vib}} \rangle \right|^2,
\]

with \(\hat{\mu}(q) = |f\rangle \langle \mu | i \rangle^2\).

In practice, \(|\psi_{i,\text{vib}}\rangle\) and \(|\psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle\) are eigenstates of Hamiltonians with different harmonic oscillator normal modes \(q_i\) and \(q_f\). These modes are related by the Duschinsky transform, \(q' = Uq + d\) \([11, 60]\). According to the Doktorov unitary representation of the Duschinsky transform, the harmonic oscillator eigenstates are related by \([13, 14, 51]\)

\[
|s_f\rangle = \hat{U}_{\text{Dok}} |s_i\rangle
\]

where \(|s_f\rangle\) and \(|s_i\rangle\) are harmonic oscillator eigenstates in the initial and final coordinates \(q_i\) and \(q_f\), respectively. The Doktorov unitary can be decomposed into a product of unitary operators \(\hat{U}_{\text{Dok}} = \hat{U}_i \hat{U}_j \hat{U}_L \hat{U}_R\), which depend on the displacement vector \(d\), the rotation matrix \(U\), and matrices of the frequencies of the harmonic oscillators \(\Omega' = 1/\hbar \text{diag}(\sqrt{\omega'})\) and \(\Omega_f = 1/\hbar \text{diag}(\sqrt{\omega_f})\). The definitions of the unitary operators are shown in Appendix \([\text{C}]\).

If \(|\Psi_{i,\text{vib}}\rangle\) and \(|\Psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle\) are the qubit wavefunctions resulting from diagonalisation of \(H_i\) and \(H_f\) using a quantum computer, they will be obtained in different normal mode bases \(|s_i\rangle\) and \(|s_f\rangle\), respectively. We cannot directly calculate the Franck-Condon integrals using \(\left| \langle \Psi_{f,\text{vib}} | \hat{\mu}(q) | \Psi_{i,\text{vib}} \rangle \right|^2\), as this does not take into account the different bases. Instead, we must implement the Doktorov unitary to get the Franck-Condon integrals

\[
\left| \langle \psi_f^{\text{vib}} | \hat{\mu}(q) | \psi_i^{\text{vib}} \rangle \right|^2 = \left| \langle \Psi_{f,\text{vib}} | \hat{U}_{\text{Dok}} | \Psi_{i,\text{vib}} \rangle \right|^2.
\]

The Franck-Condon integrals without the Condon approximation can be efficiently calculated via

\[
\left| \langle \psi_f^{\text{vib}} | \hat{\mu}(q) | \psi_i^{\text{vib}} \rangle \right|^2 = \left| \langle \Psi_{f,\text{vib}} | \hat{U}_{\text{Dok}} | \Psi_{i,\text{vib}} \rangle \right|^2.
\]

They can be both efficiently calculated with the generalised SWAP-test circuit, which is shown in Appendix \([\text{D}]\). Alternatively, we can obtain the Franck-Condon integrals without realising the Doktorov transform. The qubit states \(|\Psi_{i,\text{vib}}\rangle\) and \(|\Psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle\) are obtained from \(H_i(q^i)\) and \(H_f(q^f)\) with normal mode coordinates \(q^i\) and \(q^f\), respectively. Instead, we can focus on one normal mode coordinates \(q^i\) and represent the Hamiltonian \(H_f\) in \(q^f, H_f'(q^i)\). By solving the energy eigenstates of \(H_f'(q^i)\), we can directly get \(|\Psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle = \hat{U}_{\text{Dok}} |\Psi_{i,\text{vib}}\rangle\) and calculate the Franck-Condon integrals without realising the Doktorov transform. However, as the Hamiltonian \(H_f'(q^i)\) is not encoded in the correct normal mode basis, the ground state of the harmonic oscillators or the VSCF state \(|\Psi_{\text{VSCF}}\rangle\) may not be an ideal initial state to start with. However, this effect may be negligible if the overlap between \(|\Psi_{i,\text{vib}}\rangle\) and \(|\Psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle\) is suitably large. In this case, the initial state \(|\Psi_{q}\rangle\) for \(|\Psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle\) should also be an ideal initial state for \(|\Psi_{f,\text{vib}}\rangle\).

C. Vibrational dynamics

In this section, we consider methods to investigate the dynamic properties of vibrational Hamiltonians. Vibrational dynamics underpin phenomena including energy and electron transport \([24, 25]\), and chemical reactions \([21, 23]\). Dynamical behaviour can be studies by transforming to a single-mode basis of spatially localised vibrational modes, as described in Ref. \([10]\). The spatially localised vibrational modes \(a_{i,j}\), are related to the normal modes \(\alpha_i\) via a basis transformation

\[
a_i = \sum_{i,j} U_{i,j} a_{j,i},
\]

with real unitary matrix \(U_{i,j}\). We can obtain the corresponding localised Hamiltonian \(H'^\prime\), using the transformation of the normal coordinates and momenta

\[
p_i = \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_j}{\omega_i}} U_{i,j} p_{j,i}, \quad q_i = \sum_{i,j} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_i}{\omega_j}} U_{i,j} q_{j,i}.
\]

Given an initial state of the localised vibrations, the dynamics can be simulated by applying the time evolution operator \(e^{-iH'^\prime t}\). This can be achieved in a number of ways, using the different Hamiltonian simulation algorithms, including: Trotterization (also referred to as product formulae) \([8, 52]\), the Taylor series method \([53–55]\), and the qubitization technique \([56, 57]\) in conjunction
with quantum signal processing \cite{58, 59}. The product formula method is the most simple to realise. If $H^L$ can be decomposed as $H^L = \sum_i \lambda_i^n h_i^n$, the time evolution operator $e^{-iH^L_t}$ can be realised using a product formula,

$$
e^{-iH^L_t} = \left(\prod_i e^{-i\lambda_i^n h_i^n t/N} \right)^N + O(t^2/N) \quad (20)$$

when $N$ is chosen to be sufficiently large to suppress the error in the approximation.

Alternatively, the vibrational dynamics can be realised using a recently proposed variational algorithm \cite{60}. One could use either a UVCC ansatz, or a Trotterized ansatz \cite{61, 62}.

V. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

In this section, we demonstrate how the techniques described above can be used to calculate the vibrational energy levels of small molecules. We focus on the polyatomic molecules H$_2$O and SO$_2$, which both have three vibrational modes. We considered both nuclear potentials expanded to fourth order, the coefficients of which are shown in Table [1] in Appendix [2]. We consider the cases with two and four energy levels for each of the harmonic oscillator modes, yielding Hamiltonians acting on 6 and 12 qubits for the direct mapping, and 3 and 6 qubits compact mapping. As the compact mapping requires less qubits, we focus on the compact mapping in the numerical simulation. There are 216 and 165 terms in the Hamiltonian for H$_2$O and SO$_2$, respectively.

We first calculate the energy levels under the harmonic approximation. We compare this to the energy levels obtained with a fourth order expansion of the potential. The simulation results for H$_2$O are shown in Fig. 2. We can see that although the ground state can be well approximated by the harmonic oscillators, the excited states deviate from the harmonic oscillators at higher energy levels. The simulation results for SO$_2$ can be found in appendix.

Next, we implement the UVCC ansatz and show how to obtain the vibrational energy levels of H$_2$O with variational methods. For simplicity, we consider two energy levels for each mode and leave the calculation with four energy levels in Appendix. To implement the UVCC ansatz, we first calculate the imaginary part of $\hat{T}$ and encode it into a linear combination of local Pauli terms, i.e.,

$$\hat{T} - \hat{T}^\dagger = i \sum \alpha_i(\theta) \sigma_i. \quad (21)$$

Then, similar to the UCC ansatz, we realise $\exp(\hat{T} - \hat{T}^\dagger)$ by a first order Trotterisation via $\prod_i \exp(i\alpha_i(\theta) \sigma_i)$. For example, the UVCC ansatz of H$_2$O with two energy levels can be prepared by the circuit in Fig. 3.

With the UVCC ansatz, we can obtain the vibrational ground state with the variational method. As the ground state is close to the initial state $|0\rangle^\otimes 3$, we start with parameters that is slightly perturbed from zero. Then, we run a gradient descent algorithm and the simulation result is shown in Fig. 4.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this work, we have extended many of the techniques developed for quantum simulation to the problem of simulating vibrations. Understanding vibrations is an important problem for accurately modelling chemical systems, yet one that is difficult to solve classically. One of our main contributions is to propose mappings between the bosonic modes and qubit states. This is possible because we only consider a restricted number of harmonic oscillator energy levels. This approximation is appropriate when investigating the low energy properties of the Hamiltonian.
Once the vibrational Hamiltonian has been mapped to a qubit Hamiltonian, much of the existing machinery for quantum simulation can be applied. Static properties, such as energy levels, can be calculated using phase estimation or variational approaches. To aid variational state preparation, we propose a unitary version of the UVCC ansatz. Here, we consider two energy levels for each mode.

FIG. 4. Solving the vibrational ground state of H$_2$O with the UVCC ansatz. Here, we consider two energy levels for each mode.

Once the vibrational Hamiltonian has been mapped to a qubit Hamiltonian, much of the existing machinery for quantum simulation can be applied. Static properties, such as energy levels, can be calculated using phase estimation or variational approaches. To aid variational state preparation, we propose a unitary version of the powerful VCC method used in classical vibrational simulations. The resulting energy eigenstates can be used as an input for SWAP-test circuits which calculate the Franck-Condon factors for the molecules, which are related to the absorption spectra. Alternatively, one may investigate dynamic properties, using methods for Hamiltonian simulating to time evolve a specified state.

Compared with the analog algorithms [13–19], our method can easily take into account anharmonic effects of molecular vibrations. It is accessible to near-term, noisy, intermediate-scale quantum hardware for small and medium size molecules and can be extended to large molecules with universal quantum computers. However, as the molecular vibrations is based on the electronic structure under the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, errors from solving the electronic structures can also transfer to the errors of the vibrations. Furthermore, non-Born-Oppenheimer effects also play important roles in chemistry [63, 64]. A possible extension of our work is to simultaneously encode the electrons and nucleus with qubits and directly simulate the molecule without the Born-Oppenheimer approximation.
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Appendix A: Encoding molecular Hamiltonian with qubits

The molecular Hamiltonian in atomic units is

\[ H_{\text{mole}} = - \sum_i \frac{\nabla_i^2}{2} - \sum_I \frac{\nabla_I^2}{2M_I} - \sum_{i,l} \frac{Z_I}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_l|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_I Z_J}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|}. \]  

(A1)

where \( M_I, \mathbf{R}_I, \) and \( Z_I \) are the mass, position, and charge of nuclei \( I, \) and \( \mathbf{r}_i \) is the position of electron \( i. \) Given the location of the nucleus, the electronic Hamiltonian is

\[ H_e(\mathbf{R}_I) = - \sum_I \frac{\nabla_I^2}{2} + \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_I Z_J}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|} + H_e(\mathbf{R}_I). \]  

(A2)

Under the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, we assume the electrons and nucleus are in a product state,

\[ |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle_n |\psi\rangle_e. \]  

(A4)

To get the ground state of the Hamiltonian, one can thus separately minimise over \( |\psi\rangle_n \) and \( |\psi\rangle_e, \)

\[ E_0 = \min_{|\psi\rangle_n} \min_{|\psi\rangle_e} \langle \psi| H_{\text{mole}} |\psi\rangle_n |\psi\rangle_e. \]  

(A5)

As only \( H_e(\mathbf{R}_I) \) depends on \( |\psi\rangle_e, \) the minimisation over \( |\psi\rangle_e \) is equivalent to find the ground state of \( H_e(\mathbf{R}_I). \) Denote

\[ V^e_0(\mathbf{R}_I) = \min_{|\psi\rangle_e} \langle \psi| H_e(\mathbf{R}_I) |\psi\rangle_e, \]  

(A6)

then the ground state of \( H_{\text{mole}} \) can be found by solving the ground state of \( H_0, \)

\[ H_0 = - \sum_I \frac{\nabla_I^2}{2M_I} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_I Z_J}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|} + V^e_0(\mathbf{R}_I). \]  

(A7)

In general, considering a spectral decomposition of \( H_e(\mathbf{R}_I) = \sum_s V^e_s(\mathbf{R}_I) |\psi_s\rangle_s \langle \psi_s|, \) the molecular Hamiltonian is

\[ H_{\text{mole}} = \sum_s |\psi_s\rangle_s \langle \psi_s| \otimes H_s. \]  

(A8)

Here, \( |\psi_s\rangle_e \) is the eigenstates of the electrons and

\[ H_s = - \sum_I \frac{\nabla_I^2}{2M_I} + V_s(\mathbf{R}_I), \]  

(A9)

and

\[ V_s(\mathbf{R}_I) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_I Z_J}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|} + V^e_s(\mathbf{R}_I). \]  

(A10)

Finding the spectra of \( H_e(\mathbf{R}_I) \) is called the electronic structure problem, which can be efficiently solved with the help of quantum computing. The key is to consider a subspace that the ground state lies in and transform the Hamiltonian \( H_e(\mathbf{R}_I) \) into the second quantisation form under the basis of the subspace. As electron is fermion, the obtained Hamiltonian is also a fermionic Hamiltonian. By using the standard encoding methods, such as JW and BK, the fermionic Hamiltonian is converted into a qubit Hamiltonian, whose spectra can be efficiently computed.
Focusing on the ground state of the electronic structure, we show how to redefine \( H_0 \) in the mass-weighted basis and how to encode it with qubits. Denote 

\[
V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \neq j} \frac{z_I^2 z_J^2}{|\mathbf{R}_l - \mathbf{R}_j|^3} + V_0(\mathbf{R}_l),
\]

then one can obtain the mass-weighted normal coordinates \( q_i \) by minimising the coupling between the rotational and vibrational degrees of freedoms and diagonalising the Hessian matrix,

\[
H_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_i M_j}} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \mathbf{R}_i \partial \mathbf{R}_j}.
\]

In the mass-weighted basis, the potential can be expanded via the Taylor series truncated at the fourth order

\[
V^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \omega_i^2 q_i^2 + \sum_{i \leq j \leq k} f_{ijk} q_i q_j q_k + \sum_{i \leq j \leq k \leq l} f_{ijkl} q_i q_j q_k q_l,
\]

and the total Hamiltonian becomes

\[
H_0 = -\sum_i \nabla_i^2 \frac{q_i^2}{2} + V^{(4)}.
\]

Regarding the higher orders as perturbation, one can thus get the normal modes by solving the harmonic oscillator

\[
\hat{h}_i = -\frac{\nabla_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_i^2 q_i^2.
\]

Denote the eigenbasis for \( \hat{h}_i \) as \( \{|\psi_i^s\rangle, \forall s = 0, 1, \ldots \} \), then the nucleus wave function can be represented by

\[
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{s_1 s_2 \ldots s_M} \alpha_{s_1 s_2 \ldots s_M} |\psi^1_{s_1} \psi^2_{s_2} \ldots \psi^M_{s_M}\rangle.
\]

The Hamiltonian under the normal mode basis becomes,

\[
H_{s_1 s_2 \ldots s_M, t_1 t_2 \ldots t_M} = \langle \psi^1_{s_1} \psi^2_{s_2} \ldots \psi^M_{s_M} | H_0 | \psi^1_{t_1} \psi^2_{t_2} \ldots \psi^M_{t_M}\rangle.
\]

Suppose the basis \( \{|\psi_i^s\rangle, \forall s = 0, 1, \ldots \} \) is truncated to the lowest \( d \) energy levels, the space of \( H_{s_1 s_2 \ldots s_M, t_1 t_2 \ldots t_M} \) is equivalent to the space of \( M^d \) -level systems, or equivalently \( M \log_2 d \) qubits.

**Appendix B: Variational quantum simulation with unitary vibrational coupled cluster ansatz**

Alternatively, we can also make use variational method to find low spectra of the molecular Hamiltonian with near-term quantum hardware. Inspired by classical computational chemistry, we introduce the unitary vibrational coupled cluster (UVCC) ansatz

\[
|\text{VCC}\rangle = \exp(\hat{T} - \hat{T}^\dagger) |\Phi_0\rangle
\]

where the reference state \( |\Phi_0\rangle \) is a properly chosen initial state, and \( T \) is the sum of molecular excitation operators truncated at a specified excitation rank (considering only first and second excitations is common [48])

\[
\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \ldots .
\]

with

\[
\hat{T}_1 = \sum_M \sum_{a^m, i^m} t^m_{a^m, i^m} |\psi^m_{a^m} \rangle \langle \psi^m_{i^m}|,
\]

\[
\hat{T}_2 = \sum_M \sum_{a^m, i^m} \sum_{a^n, j^n} t^{m,n}_{a^m, a^n, i^m, j^n} |\psi^m_{a^m} \psi^n_{a^n} \rangle \langle \psi^m_{i^m} \psi^n_{j^n}|,
\]
The initial state can be the product of the ground-state of each mode

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = |\psi_0^1\psi_0^2\ldots\psi_0^M\rangle. \quad (B2)$$

Or we can also run a vibrational self-consistent field (VSCF) to get the Hartree-Fock initial state

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = |\phi^1\phi^2\ldots\phi^M\rangle, \quad (B3)$$

which is obtained by minimising the energy of the Hamiltonian

$$\min_{|\phi^1\phi^2\ldots\phi^M\rangle} \langle \phi^1\phi^2\ldots\phi^M | H_0 | \phi^1\phi^2\ldots\phi^M \rangle \quad (B4)$$

by solving the self-consistent equation

$$H_i |\phi_i\rangle = E_i |\phi_i\rangle, \quad (B5)$$

with

$$H_i = \langle \phi^1\ldots\phi^{i-1}\phi^{i+1}\ldots\phi^M | H_0 | \phi^1\ldots\phi^{i-1}\phi^{i+1}\ldots\phi^M \rangle.$$ 

**Appendix C: Duschinsky transform**

The relation between the initial coordinates $q_1$ and final coordinates $q_2$ is

$$q_1 = Uq_2 + d, \quad (C1)$$

where $U$ is the Duschinsky rotation matrix and $d$ is the displacement vector. The harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian with unit mass is

$$\hat{h}_i = -\frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_i^2 q_i^2, \quad (C2)$$

with $\hat{p}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}$. The operators $\hat{q}_1$ and $\hat{p}_1$ can be represented by the creation and annihilation operators

$$q_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\Omega_1}} (a_1 + a_1^\dagger), \ \ \ \ p_1 = i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\Omega_1}{2}} (a_1^\dagger - a_1). \quad (C3)$$

The transformation for $\hat{p}$ is $\hat{p}_1 = U^\dagger \hat{p}_2$. The transformation for the creation operators are

$$a_1^\dagger = \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_1}{2\hbar}} \left( Q_1 - i\frac{1}{\Omega_1}P_1 \right),$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_1}{2\hbar}} \left( UQ_2 + d - i\frac{1}{\Omega_1}U^\dagger P_2 \right),$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_1}{2\hbar}} \left( U\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\Omega_2}} (a_2 + a_2^\dagger) - i\frac{1}{\Omega_1}U^\dagger \frac{\hbar\Omega_2}{2} (a_2^\dagger - a_2) \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_1}{2\hbar}} d, \quad (C4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Omega_1}U\sqrt{\Omega_1}^{-1} (a_2 + a_2^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Omega_1}^{-1}U^\dagger \sqrt{\Omega_2} (a_2^\dagger - a_2) + \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_1}{2\hbar}} d,$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (J + J') a_2 + \frac{1}{2} (J + J') a_2^\dagger + \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_1}{2\hbar}} d,$$

where $J = \sqrt{\Omega_1} U \sqrt{\Omega_1}^{-1}$ and $J' = \sqrt{\Omega_1}^{-1} U^\dagger \sqrt{\Omega_2}$.

It was shown by Doktorov et al. [51] that the Duschinsky transform can be implemented using a unitary transform inserted into the overlap integral

$$\langle \nu_f | \nu_i \rangle = \langle \nu_f | U_{Dok} | \nu_i \rangle \quad (C5)$$

where $|\nu\rangle$ is a harmonic oscillator eigenstate in the initial coordinate $q$ and $|\nu'\rangle$ is a harmonic oscillator eigenstate in the final coordinate $q'$. The Doktorov unitary can be decomposed into a product of unitaries, which depend on the
displacement vector \( \vec{d} \), the rotation matrix \( \mathbf{U} \), and matrices of the eigenenergies of the harmonic oscillator states \( (\epsilon_i, \epsilon'_i) \); \( \mathbf{\Omega} = 1/\hbar \text{ diag}(\sqrt{\epsilon_i}) \) and \( \mathbf{\Omega}' = 1/\hbar \text{ diag}(\sqrt{\epsilon_i'}) \). It is given by

\[
\hat{U}_{Dok} = \hat{U}_t \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U}_s \hat{U}_r \tag{C6}
\]

where

\[
\hat{U}_t = \exp\left( \frac{1}{2\hbar} \vec{d} \mathbf{\Omega}' (\vec{a}^\dagger - \vec{a}) \right) \tag{C7}
\]

and

\[
\hat{U}_s' = \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} (\vec{a}^\dagger + \vec{a}) \text{ln}(\mathbf{\Omega}') (\vec{a}^\dagger - \vec{a}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}((\text{ln}(\mathbf{\Omega}'))) \right) \tag{C8}
\]

and

\[
\hat{U}_s = \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} (\vec{a}^\dagger + \vec{a}) \text{ln}(\mathbf{\Omega}) (\vec{a}^\dagger - \vec{a}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}((\text{ln}(\mathbf{\Omega}))) \right) \tag{C9}
\]

and

\[
\hat{U}_r = \exp\left( \frac{1}{2} ((\vec{a}^\dagger) \text{ln}(\mathbf{U}) \vec{a} - (\vec{a}) \text{ln}(\mathbf{U}) \vec{a}^\dagger) \right). \tag{C10}
\]

These exponentials could be expanded into local qubit operators using Trotterization. It is important to note that these relations are only valid when the single-mode basis functions are chosen to be harmonic oscillator eigenstates.

Appendix D: SWAP-test circuit to estimate state overlap

Given two states \( |\phi_1\rangle \) and \( |\phi_2\rangle \), we can efficiently estimate the state overlap \( F = |\langle \phi_1 | \phi_2 \rangle| \) via the SWAP-test circuit.

![SWAP-test circuit](image)

Appendix E: Numerical simulation

The coefficients of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{SO}_2 \) are shown in Table tab:coe, which were computed at MP2/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory using Gaussian09 software [65]. The simulation results of the vibrational energy levels of \( \text{SO}_2 \) are shown in Fig. [5].
TABLE I. Coefficients of the potential energy surface of H$_2$O and SO$_2$. The coefficients are in the atomic units, where the unit of length is $a_0 = 1$ Bohr ($0.529167 \times 10^{-10}$ m), the unit of mass is the electron mass $m_e$, and the unit of energy is 1 Hartree (1 Hartree = $e^2/4\pi\epsilon_0 a_0 = 27.2113$ eV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>H$_2$O</th>
<th>SO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,1}$</td>
<td>$0.275240 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$0.252559 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{2,2}$</td>
<td>$0.151618 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.125410 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{3,3}$</td>
<td>$0.161766 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$0.176908 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,1,1}$</td>
<td>$0.121631 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$0.316646 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,1,2}$</td>
<td>$0.698476 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$0.575325 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,2,2}$</td>
<td>$-0.266427 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$0.197771 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{2,2,2}$</td>
<td>$-0.312538 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.668689 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,3,3}$</td>
<td>$-0.915428 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-0.370850 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{2,3,3}$</td>
<td>$-0.964649 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.284244 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,1,1,1}$</td>
<td>$0.463748 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$0.330842 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,1,2,2}$</td>
<td>$-0.449480 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$-0.172869 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,2,2,2}$</td>
<td>$0.957558 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$-0.215928 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{2,2,2,2}$</td>
<td>$0.433267 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$0.225400 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,1,3,3}$</td>
<td>$-0.555026 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$-0.356155 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{1,2,3,3}$</td>
<td>$0.563566 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$-0.128135 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{2,2,3,3}$</td>
<td>$0.269239 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$0.220168 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{3,3,3,3}$</td>
<td>$0.462143 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$0.458046 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{2,3,3,4}$</td>
<td>$0$</td>
<td>$-0.720760 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 5. Vibrational spectra of H$_2$O with two and four energy levels for each mode. The solid lines are the energy levels of the harmonic oscillator eigenstates and the dashed lines are the vibrational spectra of the Hamiltonian with a fourth order expansion of the potential.