arXiv:1811.04484v2 [math.AT] 8 Nov 2019

Homotopy groups of $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$

Viet-Cuong Pham

Abstract

Let A_1 be any spectrum in a class of finite spectra whose mod 2 cohomology is isomorphic to a free module of rank one over the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(1)$ of the Steenrod algebra. Let E_C be the second Morava-E theory associated to a universal deformation of the formal completion of the supersingular elliptic curve $(C) : y^2 + y = x^3$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_4$ and G_{24} a maximal finite subgroup of automorphism group $\mathbb{S}_C$ of the formal completion of C. In this paper, we compute the homotopy groups of $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ by means of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence.

Keywords: K(2)-local; Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence; Topological modular forms; Homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

Contents

1 Recollection on chromatic homotopy theory **7**
1.1 Lubin-Tate theories 7
1.2 Topological modular forms 9

2 The Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence **12**
2.1 Construction of the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence 12
2.2 Naturality of the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence 17

3 The Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence for the $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$-comodule A_1 **20**
3.1 Recollections on the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence for the $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$-comodule $\mathbb{F}_2$ 20
3.2 The Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence for A_1 34
3.3 Two products 40

This work was partially supported by the ANR Project ChroK, ANR-16-CE40-0003

4 Partial study of the Adams spectral sequence for $tmf \wedge A_1$ **44**

5 The homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ **49**
5.1 Preliminaries and recollection on cohomology of G_{24} 49
5.2 On the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_*(A_1))$ 51
5.3 Differentials of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ 55

Introduction

A central problem in stable homotopy theory is to understand the homotopy groups of the sphere spectrum localised at each prime p, $\pi_*(S^0_{(p)})$. A powerful tool for computing the latter is the Adams spectral sequence, whose E_2-term is given by $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}_p}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$, the extension groups over the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_p$. However, this method only allows one to compute $\pi_*(S^0_{(p)})$ stem by stem. In the late 1950's, Toda in [**Tod59**] and in the 1960's, Adams in [**Ada66**], in the study of the image of J, showed the existence of infinite families of elements of $\pi_*(S^0)$ living in arbitrarily large stems. These were the first periodic families discovered, known as the α-family, of the stable homotopy groups of the sphere. Adam's work and subsequent work by L. Smith, Toda and Miller-Mahowald-Wilson and others motivated and marked the beginning of chromatic homotopy theory.

In the early 1980's, Ravenel published a series of conjectures which described the global structure of the stable homotopy category. Most of the conjectures were then resolved by Hopkins and his collaborators. In fact, the chromatic point of view offers a promising tool to analyse $\pi_*(S^0_{(p)})$ in a systematic way by decomposing it into smaller pieces. More precisely, let L_n and $L_{K(n)}$ denote the Bousfield localisations with respect to the n^{th} Johnson-Wilson theory $E(n)$ and n^{th}-Morava K-theory, respectively (here the prime p is implicit in the notation). We have the chromatic convergence theorem.

Theorem 1 (Hopkins-Ravenel, [**Rav92**])**.** *Let X be a p-local finite spectrum. There is a tower*

$$... \to L_nX \to L_{n-1}X \to ... \to L_0X \cong L_{\mathrm{H}\mathbb{Q}}X,$$

such that X is homotopy equivalent to its homotopy limit.

Furthermore, the chromatic fracture square asserts that L_n can be inductively determined from the Bousfield localisation $L_{K(m)}$ with respect to the m^{th} Morava K-theory for $0 \leq m \leq n$, via homotopy pull-back squares

Theorem 2. [Rav92]. *For any spectrum X and all positive integers n, the following diagram is a homotopy pullback square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_nX & \longrightarrow & L_{K(n)}X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{n-1}X & \longrightarrow & L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}X. \end{array}$$

Therefore, in the chromatic approach to stable homotopy theory, it is crucial to understand the $K(n)$-local homotopy category at all primes and all natural numbers n, referred to as the chromatic level. For this purpose, a general strategy is to study the homotopy type of the $K(n)$-localisation of various finite spectra. A central result of the theory is the work of Devinatz and Hopkins [DH04] which expresses the $K(n)$-localisation of a finite spectrum X as the continuous homotopy fixed point spectrum

$$L_{K(n)}X \simeq E_n^{h\mathbb{G}_n} \wedge X$$

where $\mathbb{G}_n$ is the extended Morava stabiliser group, which is profinite, and E_n is the n^{th} Morava E-theory. More generally, for any closed subgroup F of $\mathbb{G}_n$, the continuous homotopy fixed point spectrum E_n^{hF} can be formed.

The study of chromatic level one was a great success: the homotopy groups of $L_{K(1)}S^0$ have been completely computed at all primes and, at the prime 2, $L_{K(1)}S^0$ detects essentially the image of J. Chromatic level two has also been thoroughly investigated at odd primes. It started with the computation by Shimomura and his collaborators of the L_2 localisation of various finite spectra (see [SY95], [Shi97], [Shi00], [SW02]). Later Goerss-Henn-Mahowald-Rezk in [GHMR05] proposed a conceptual framework to organise the $K(2)$-local homotopy category at the prime 3, in which the authors constructed a finite resolution of the $K(2)$-local sphere using higher real K-theories. See [GHM04], [HKM13], [GH16] for further investigations at $n = 2$ and $p = 3$ and [Beh12] for an exposition of L_2S^0 at $p \geq 5$.

The situation of chromatic level two at the prime 2 turns out to be much more complicated and we are only beginning to understand it better. Considerable effort has recently been made to understand the $K(2)$-local homotopy category at the prime 2 by the community. In [BG18], Bobkova and Goerss established a finite resolution of a spectrum related to the $K(2)$-local sphere at the prime 2 analogous to that of [GHMR05], which realised an algebraic resolution of $\mathbb{S}_2^1$, a certain closed subgroup of the second Morava stabiliser group, constructed by Beaudry [Bea15].

One reason why the latter is hard to deal with lies largely in the fact that the cohomological properties of the group $\mathbb{G}_2$ are much more complicated at the prime

2. However, one exciting feature of chromatic level 2 is its close relationship with the theory of elliptic curves and modular forms, see Section 1. At chromatic level 2 and at the prime 2, we can choose the Morava E-theory to be the Lubin-Tate theory associated to the formal group law of the elliptic curve $C : y^2 + y = x^3$ over $\mathbb{F}_4$. We denote by E_C and $\mathbb{G}_C$ the corresponding Morava E-theory and Morava stabiliser group. One of the main tools used to investigate the $K(2)$-local homotopy category is a certain finite resolution. There is a certain subgroup $\mathbb{S}_C^1$ of $\mathbb{G}_C$; let G_{24} be the automorphism group of C and C_6 be a cyclic subgroup of order 6 of G_{24} (see Section 1 for details).

Theorem 3. [**BG18**] *There is a resolution of $E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C^1}$, in the $K(2)$-local homotopy category at the prime 2, of the following form*

$$E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C^1} \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathcal{E}_0 \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathcal{E}_1 \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \mathcal{E}_2 \xrightarrow{\delta_3} \mathcal{E}_3$$

where $\mathcal{E}_0 = E_C^{hG_{24}}$, $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_2 = E_C^{hC_6}$ and $\mathcal{E}_3 = \Sigma^{48} E_C^{hG_{24}}$.

This resolution is commonly called the topological duality resolution. The spectrum $E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C^1}$ is used to build the spectrum $E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C}$, where $\mathbb{S}_C$ is the Morava stabiliser group, via a certain cofiber sequence

$$E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C} \to E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C^1} \xrightarrow{1-\pi} E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C^1},$$

and $E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C}$ only differs from $L_{K(2)}S^0$ by the Galois action, i.e., there is a homotopy equivalence

$$L_{K(2)}S^0 \simeq (E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C})^{h\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_4/\mathbb{F}_2)}.$$

Thus, this theorem offers a useful instrument to study the homotopy type of $L_{K(2)}X$ for finite spectra X at the prime 2. In particular, it produces a spectral sequence, known as the topological duality spectral sequence, abbreviated by TDSS, converging to $\pi_*(E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C^1} \wedge X)$

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{p,q} \cong \pi_q(\mathcal{E}_p \wedge X) \Longrightarrow \pi_{q-p}(E_C^{h\mathbb{S}_C^1} \wedge X). \tag{1}$$

By now, it should be clear that judicious choices of finite spectra become important. Main players in this paper are finite spectra constructed by Davis and Mahowald in [**DM81**]. Let A_1 denote a class of finite spectra whose mod 2 cohomology is isomorphic, as a module over the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(1)$ generated by Sq^1, Sq^2 of the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}$, to a free module of rank one on a class of degree 0. As shown in [**DM81**], the class A_1 contains four different homotopy types, which are distinguished by the structure of their mod-2 cohomology as modules over the Steenrod algebra. They are successively denoted by $A_1[00]$, $A_1[01]$,

$A_1[10]$, $A_1[11]$, see Definition 3.2.1. The spectra $A_1[01]$ and $A_1[10]$ are Spanier-Whitehead self-dual, i.e., $D(A_1[01]) \simeq \Sigma^{-6}A_1[01]$ and $D(A_1[10]) \simeq \Sigma^{-6}A_1[10]$ and the spectra $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$ are Spanier-Whitehead dual to each other, i.e., $D(A_1[00]) \simeq \Sigma^{-6}A_1[11]$ (here $D(-)$ denotes the function spectra $F(-, S^0)$). By an abuse of language, we write A_1 to refer to any of these four spectra and refer to any of them as a version of A_1. In particular, we use this notation in the statement of results that are true for all versions. We emphasis, however, that all results are *a priori* dependent on the version of A_1 and this is the case. The spectrum A_1 is constructed via three cofiber sequences starting from the sphere spectrum. First, let $V(0)$ be the mod 2 Moore spectrum, i.e., the cofiber of multiplication by 2 on the sphere. Next let Y be the cofiber of multiplication by η, the first Hopf element, on $V(0)$. Davis and Mahowald show that Y admits v_1-self maps, $v_1 : \Sigma^2 Y \to Y$. Then A_1 is the cofiber of any of these v_1-self maps of Y. We note also that even though Y admits eight v_1-self maps, the associated cofibers only have four different homotopy types.

One reason for working with A_1 is the fact that it is the cofiber of a v_1-self map of periodicity 1, making a few computations simpler; this is in contrast with the generalised Moore spectrum $M(2, v_1^4)$ which is the cofiber of a v_1-self map of periodicity 4 on the Moore spectrum $V(0)$. The second one is that a sufficient understanding of the homotopy type of $L_{K(2)}A_1$ might allow us to determine the Gross-Hopkins duality formula for the $K(2)$-local homotopy category at the prime 2. In fact, the spectrum A_1 can be considered as an analog of the Toda-Smith complex $V(1)$ at the prime 3 and as demonstrated in [**GH16**], computations of the homotopy groups of $L_{K(2)}V(1)$ allows one to characterise the Gross-Hopkins formula for the $K(2)$-local homotopy category at the prime 3. The third reason is that A_1 is a "small" finite spectrum of type 2 having only eight cells with the top cell being in dimension 6, hence it is reasonable to expect that a study of the homotopy type of A_1 gives us valuable information about the homotopy groups of S^0, at least about the v_2-periodic families of S^0. More precisely, the authors of [**BEM17**] show that A_1 admits a v_2^{32}-self map. Let $[(v_2^{32})^{-1}]A_1$ denote the associated telescope, i.e.,

$$[(v_2^{32})^{-1}]A_1 = \operatorname{hocolim}(A_1 \to \Sigma^{-192}A_1 \to ... \to \Sigma^{-192k}A_1 \to ...).$$

We note that the homotopy type of this telescope is independent on the choice of v_2-self map of A_1 by Nilpotence and Periodicity Technology, see [**Rav92**]. Suppose that $x \in \pi_t([(v_2^{32})^{-1}]A_1)$ is a nontrivial element. This means that the composite

$$S^{t+192k} \to \Sigma^{192k}A_1 \xrightarrow{v_2^{32k}} A_1$$

is essential for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This gives rise to a nontrivial element of $\pi_* S^0$ in stem

$192k + t - i_k$ for some $0 \leq i_k \leq 6$.

Moreover, the $K(2)$-localisation of A_1 might be used to detect nontrivial elements of the homotopy groups of $[(v_2^{32})^{-1}]A_1$. In fact, the $K(2)$-localisation map $A_1 \to L_{K(2)}A_1$ factors through $[(v_2^{32})^{-1}]A_1 \to L_{K(2)}A_1$. Ravenel's Telescope Conjecture predicts that the latter is a homotopy equivalence. As a key step towards the study of $\pi_*(L_{K(2)}A_1)$, as explained in the discussion following Theorem 3, we study the TDSS for $E_C^{h\mathbb{S}^1_C} \wedge A_1$.

In this paper, we study the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence, abbreviated by HFPSS, for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$, which constitutes an important part of the E_1-term of the TDSS:

$$\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_*(A_1)) \Longrightarrow \pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1). \tag{2}$$

Here are qualitative versions of the main results of the paper; see Theorem 5.3.19 and 5.3.20 for more precise statements.

There are classes

$$\Delta^8 \in \mathrm{H}^0(G_{24}, (E_C)_{192}), \overline{\kappa} \in \mathrm{H}^4(G_{24}, (E_C)_{24}), \nu \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_{24}, (E_C)_4).$$

Theorem 4. *As a module over the ring $\mathbb{F}_4[\Delta^{\pm 8}, \overline{\kappa}, \nu]/(\nu\overline{\kappa})$, the E_∞-term of the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[01]$ and $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[10]$ is a direct sum of 46 explicitly known cyclic modules.*

Theorem 5. *As a module over the ring $\mathbb{F}_4[\Delta^{\pm 8}, \overline{\kappa}, \nu]/(\nu\overline{\kappa})$, the E_∞-term of the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[00]$ and $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[11]$ is a direct sum of 48 explicitly known cyclic modules.*

One of the key ingredients, to this end, is a comparison between $tmf \wedge A_1$ and $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$, where tmf denotes the connective spectrum of topological modular forms. In fact, there is a homotopy equivalence (Theorem 5.1.2):

$$(\Delta^8)^{-1} tmf \wedge A_1 \simeq (E_C^{hG_{24}})^{h\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_4/\mathbb{F}_2)} \wedge A_1,$$

where Δ^8 is the periodicity generator of $\pi_* tmf$. Based on the latter, we first analyse the homotopy groups of $tmf \wedge A_1$ by means of the Adams spectral sequence, abbreviated by ASS , then invert Δ^8 to get information about the homotopy groups of $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$. We note that in [**BEM17**], Bataclarya, Egger, Mahowald also discuss the E_2-term of the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$; our method is, however, different (compare to [**BEM17**]). Next, we summarise the contents of the paper.

In Section 1 and Section 2, we discuss some background and tools used in our

computation. We recollect on Lubin-Tate theories and topological modular forms; in particular, we sketch a proof of the relationship between topological modular forms and homotopy fixed point spectrum $E_C^{hG_{24}}$. We give a generalisation of the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence, which is an important tool to analyse the cohomology of various Hopf algebras. In Section 3, we discuss the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence for A_1 and obtain the E_2-term of the Adams spectral sequence for $tmf \wedge A_1$. In Section 4, we study some differentials in the later and then extract some suitable information about $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)$. In Section 5, we finally study the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$. We emphasise that there are two different outcomes for the E_∞-term of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence, depending on the version of A_1, see Theorem 5.3.19 and 5.3.20.

Convention and Notation. Unless otherwise stated, all spectra are localised at the prime 2. $\mathrm{H}^*(X)$ and $\mathrm{H}_*(X)$ denote the mod-2 cohomology and homology of the spectrum X, respectively. Given a Hopf algebra A over a field k and M a A-comodule, we will often abbreviate $\mathrm{Ext}_A^*(k, M)$ by $\mathrm{Ext}_A^*(M)$. In general, we will write C_f for the cofiber of a map $f : X \to Y$, except that we will write $V(0)$ for the Moore spectrum which is the cofiber of the multiplication by 2 on the sphere.

Acknowlegements. I would like to thank my PhD advisor, Hans-Werner Henn, for suggesting this project and for guiding me through many stages of it and for carefully reading earlier drafts of this paper. Special thanks go to Irina Bobkova for many fruitful exchanges, to Agnès Beaudry and Paul Goerss for their interest in this work and helpful conversations, to Lennart Meier for answering many of my questions about topological modular forms and for suggesting I include the proof of Theorem 1.2.3. I would also like to thank John Rognes for making available his course notes on "Adams spectral sequence", from which I learned many details about the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence.

1 Recollection on chromatic homotopy theory

1.1 Lubin-Tate theories

We recall some generalities on the deformation theory of formal group laws and Goerss-Hopkins-Miller theory. Let $\mathcal{FGL}$ be the category whose objects are pairs (k, Γ) where k is a perfect field of characteristic p and Γ is a formal group law over k and morphisms between (k, Γ) and (k', Γ') are pairs (i, ϕ) where $i : k' \to k$ is a homomorphism of fields and $\phi : \Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} i^*\Gamma'$ is a morphism of formal group laws.

Let $(k,\Gamma) \in \mathcal{FGL}$ with Γ of height n. A deformation of (k,Γ) to a complete local ring R with maximal ideal m is a pair (F,ι) where F is a formal group law over R and $\iota : k \to R/m$ is a map of fields such that $p^*F = \iota^*\Gamma$ with p the canonical projection $R \to R/m$. A $\star$-isomorphism ϕ between two deformations to R is an isomorphism between the underlying formal group laws which reduces to the identity over R/m, i.e, $\phi \equiv x \mod (m)$. This defines a functor from the category of complete local rings $\mathfrak{Ring}_{c,l}$ to small groupoids $\mathfrak{Groupoid}$

$$\mathrm{Def}_\Gamma : \mathfrak{Ring}_{c,l} \to \mathfrak{Groupoid}$$

which associates to every complete local ring R the category of deformations of (k,Γ) over R and $\star$-isomorphisms between them. By Lubin-Tate deformation theory, Def_Γ is co-representable, see [**LT66**]. That is, there exists a complete local ring $E_{k,\Gamma}$, non-canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{W}(k)[[u_1,u_2,...,u_{n-1}]]$, such that

$$\mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(R) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{Ring}_{c,l}}(E_{k,\Gamma},R).$$

Here $\mathbb{W}(k)$ denotes the ring of Witt vectors on k. Over $E_{k,\Gamma}$ lives a universal deformation $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of Γ. Consider the graded ring $E_{k,\Gamma}[u^{\pm 1}]$ where $|u_i| = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $|u| = -2$. Let MU be the cobordism spectrum. A famous theorem of Quillen asserts that the coefficient rings MU_* of MU supports the universal group law. Thus, the formal group law $u^{-1}\tilde{\Gamma}(ux,uy)$ is classified by a map of graded rings $MU_* \to E_{k,\Gamma}[u^{\pm 1}]$. Define a functor from the category of pointed spaces to that of graded abelian groups:

$$X \mapsto MU_*(X) \otimes_{MU_*} E_{k,\Gamma}[u^{\pm 1}].$$

The formal group $u^{-1}\widetilde{\Gamma}(ux,uy)$ satisfies the Landweber exact functor criterion, see [**Rez98**]. By the Landweber exact functor theorem, the above functor is a homology functor. Thus, it is represented by a ring spectrum $E(k,\Gamma)$ with

$$(E(k,\Gamma))_* \cong \mathbb{W}(k)[[u_1,u_2,...,u_{n-1}]][u^{\pm 1}].$$

The latter is known as a n^{th} Morava E-theory or Lubin-Tate theory.

Example 1. Let $(k,\Gamma) = (\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{G}_m)$ where $\mathbb{G}_m$ is the multiplicative formal group law, i.e, $\mathbb{G}_m(x,y) = x+y+xy$. Then $E(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq K\mathbb{Z}_2$, the 2-completed complex K-theory and $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{G}_m) = \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, the unit of the 2-adic integers. Furthermore, the action of $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{G}_m)$ on $E(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{G}_m)_*$ is determined by Adams operations.

The construction that associates to a formal group law (k,Γ) the Morava E-theory $E(k,\Gamma)$ defines a functor from $\mathcal{FGL}$ to $\mathrm{Ho}(Sp)$, the stable homotopy category. Let us denote by $\mathbb{G}(k,\Gamma)$ the automorphism group of the pair (k,Γ). We note that $\mathbb{G}(k,\Gamma)$ is a profinite group, see [**Goe08**], Section 7.2. By functoriality, the group $\mathbb{G}(k,\Gamma)$ acts on $E(k,\Gamma)$. This action is, however, defined only up to homotopy. The Goerss-Hopkins-Miller obstruction theory lifts this action to structured ring spectra.

Theorem 1.1.1. [GH04] *The spectrum $E(k,\Gamma)$ has an essentially unique structure of E_∞-ring. Furthermore, $\mathbb{G}(k,\Gamma)$ acts on $E(k,\Gamma)$ via E_∞-ring maps.*

1.2 Topological modular forms

An astute choice of Morava E-theory or equivalently a choice of formal group law of height 2 will make the calculation easier. Let C be the supersingular elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_4$ given by the Weierstrass equation $y^2+y=x^3$. Denote by F_C the formal completion of C at the origin. The latter is a formal group law of height 2. We abbreviate $E(\mathbb{F}_4,F_C)$ by E_C and $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_4,F_C)$ by $\mathbb{G}_C$. Let $\mathbb{S}_C$ denote the automorphism group of F_C. Let Gal denote the Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_4$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$. There is a short exact sequence

$$1\to\mathbb{S}_C\to\mathbb{G}_C\to\mathrm{Gal}\to 1.$$

The image of $\mathbb{S}_C$ in $\mathbb{G}_C$ corresponds to the automorphisms of $(\mathbb{F}_4,F_C)$ fixing $\mathbb{F}_4$. Since F_C is defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$, Gal fixes F_C, the above short exact sequence splits, i.e., $\mathbb{G}_C\cong\mathbb{S}_C\rtimes\mathrm{Gal}$. The automorphism group of C has order 24 and these are all defined over $\mathbb{F}_4$, more precisely,

$$\mathrm{Aut}(C)=\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_4}(C)\cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3)\cong Q_8\rtimes C_3=:G_{24},$$

where Q_8 is the quaternion group and $C_3=\langle\omega\rangle$ is a cyclic group of order 3, see [Sil09]. The group Q_8 has a representation $\langle i,j|i^4=1,i^2=j^2,iji^{-1}=j^{-1}\rangle$. The latter has 8 elements $\{1,i,j,k,-1,-i,-j,-k\}$ where -1 denotes $i^2=j^2=k^2$. The group C_3 acts on Q_8 by permuting i,j and $k:=ij$

$$\omega i\omega^2=j,\quad \omega j\omega^2=k.$$

The elements ω and i correspond to the automorphisms $\omega(x,y)=(\xi x,\xi^2 y)$ and $i(x,y)=(x+1,y+x+\xi^2)$, respectively.

Since C is already defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$, Gal acts on $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$. Denote by G_{48} the semi-direct product $G_{24}\rtimes\mathrm{Gal}$. Moreover, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ of C maps injectively to $\mathbb{S}_C$, and G_{48} maps injectively to $\mathbb{G}_C$. We view G_{24} and G_{48} as subgroups of $\mathbb{S}_C$ and $\mathbb{G}_C$, respectively.

The reasons for choosing the formal group law of the supersingular elliptic curve C are two-fold. First, the geometric origin of G_{48} allows one to have an explicit description of its action on $\pi_*(E_C)$, see [Bea17] for more details and further references. Thus, it allows us to adequately compute the E_2-term of various homotopy fixed point spectral sequences. Second, this choice of the Morava E-theory

enables us to compare the associated homotopy fixed point spectrum with the spectrum of topological modular forms, hence providing us with more tools to understand the formers.

Next, we recall the construction of the spectrum of topological modular forms and show its closed relationship with the homotopy fixed point spectrum $E_C^{hG_{24}}$. Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}(3)$ be the moduli stack of elliptic curves and elliptic curves with a full level 3 structure over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$, respectively. As functors of points on $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-algebras, the former are described as follows. If R is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$-algebra, then

- $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{spec}(R))$ is the groupoid of elliptic curves over $\mathrm{spec}(R)$ and isomorphisms between them.

- $\mathcal{M}(3)(\mathrm{spec}(R))$ is the groupoid of pairs (E, ϕ) consisting of an elliptic curve E with an isomorphism of group schemes $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3 \to E[3]$ over $\mathrm{spec}(R)$, where $E[3]$ is the subscheme of 3-torsion points of E and isomorphisms between them.

Theorem 1.2.1 (Goerss-Hopkins-Miller, see [DFHH14]). *There is an E_∞-ring spectra-valued sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{top}$ on the affine étale site $Aff_{\mathcal{M}}^{\acute{e}t}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that*

1. *The sheafification of $\pi_0\mathcal{O}^{top}$ is the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{M}$.*
2. *If $E : \mathrm{spec}(R) \to \mathcal{M}$ is an étale morphism, then $\mathcal{O}^{top}(\mathrm{spec}(R))$ is a spectrum associated to the formal completion of E at its origin via the Landweber exact functor theorem.*

Remark 1.2.2. The spectra constructed by point 2. of the previous theorem are called elliptic spectra. They are even periodic spectra R whose formal group law on $\pi_0(R)$ is the completion of an elliptic curve. These are $E(2)$-local, see [DFHH14], Chapter 6, Lemma 4.2.

Let $G := GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ denote the automorphism group of the constant group scheme $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$. Then G acts on $\mathcal{M}(3)$ by precomposition with the level structure. The obvious forgetful functor gives rise to a finite étale morphism of stacks (because 3 is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$):

$$\mathcal{M}(3) \to \mathcal{M}. \tag{3}$$

Thus, one can evaluate $\mathcal{O}^{top}$ at $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}(3)$. Define

$$TMF = \mathcal{O}^{top}(\mathcal{M}) := \operatorname*{holim}_{U \in Aff_{\mathcal{M}}^{\acute{e}t}} \mathcal{O}^{top}(U),$$

$$TMF(3) = \mathcal{O}^{top}(\mathcal{M}(3)) := \operatorname*{holim}_{U \in Aff_{\mathcal{M}(3)}^{\acute{e}t}} \mathcal{O}^{top}(U).$$

The morphism of (3) is a Galois cover with Galois group G, or a G-torsor.As a consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{O}^{top}$ satisfies descent, one obtains that

$$TMF \simeq TMF(3)^{hG}. \tag{4}$$

It is known that $\mathcal{M}(3)$ is affine over the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[\zeta]$ where ζ is a primitive third root of unity, see [**DR73**], also [**Sto14**]. Furthermore, up to isomorphism, there is a unique supersingular elliptic curve with a full level structure over $\mathbb{F}_4$. This follows from the fact that there is a unique supersingular elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_4$ (up to isomorphism) and that the automorphism group of the supersingular elliptic curve C has order 48, which is equal to that of G, the automorphism group of $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$. In other words, the fiber of the morphism $\mathcal{M}(3) \to \mathcal{M}$ over the supersingular locus of $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{F}_4)$, i.e., the following square is a pullback of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{F}_4) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(3) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{F}_4)//G_{48} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

where the bottom is given by specifying a supersingular elliptic curve, for example C. Therefore, by the construction of $\mathcal{O}^{top}$, $L_{K(2)}\mathcal{O}^{top}(\mathcal{M}(3))$ is the Lubin-Tate theory associated to the paire $(\mathbb{F}_4, F_C)$, see [**DFHH14**], Chapter 12. This means that there is a homotopy equivalence

$$L_{K(2)}TMF(3) \xrightarrow{\simeq} E_C. \tag{5}$$

Note that G can be identified with $\mathrm{Aut}(C) = G_{48}$, such that the equivalence (5) is equivariant with respect to the action of G on the source and of G_{48} on the target, as follows. Suppose the the map $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{F}_4) \to \mathcal{M}(3)$ specifies the elliptic curve C and a 3 level structure $\mathbb{Z}/3^{\times 2} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} C$. Then for any $g \in G$, there is a unique $\phi(g) \in G_{48}$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/3^{\times 3} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & C \\ {\scriptstyle g}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \phi(g)} \\ \mathbb{Z}/3^{\times 3} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & C. \end{array}$$

Theorem 1.2.3. *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$L_{K(2)}TMF \simeq E_C^{hG_{48}}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Since an elliptic spectrum is $E(2)$-local, $TMF(3)$ is $E(2)$-local, being a homotopy limit of $E(2)$-local spectra. Using the equivalence (4) and the fact that $K(2)$-localisation commutes with homotopy limit in the category of $E(2)$-local spectra, we obtain that

$$L_{K(2)}TMF \cong L_{K(2)}(TMF(3)^{hG}) \cong (L_{K(2)}TMF(3))^{hG} \cong E_C^{hG_{48}}.$$

□

A connective model of TMF. In [DFHH14] a connective ring spectrum tmf was constructed together with a map of ring spectra $tmf \to TMF$. There is an element $\Delta^8 \in \pi_{192}tmf$ such that the latter map extends to a homotopy equivalence

$$[(\Delta^8)^{-1}]tmf \simeq TMF, \tag{7}$$

see [DFHH14]. The (co)homology of tmf, as a module over the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (c.f Section 2 for a recollection on the Steenrod algebra), was known earlier by Hopkins and Mahowald but its proof remained unpublished until [Mat16]:

Theorem 1.2.4. *There is an isomorphism of modules over the Steenrod algebra:*

$$\mathrm{H}^*(tmf) \cong \mathcal{A}//\mathcal{A}(2),$$

where $\mathcal{A}(2)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by Sq^1, Sq^2, Sq^4. Equivalently, there is an isomorphism of comodules over the dual $\mathcal{A}_*$ of Steenrod algebra

$$\mathrm{H}_*(tmf) \cong \mathcal{A}_* \square_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*} \mathbb{F}_2,$$

where $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$ is the dual of $\mathcal{A}(2)$.

2 The Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence

We introduce a generalisation of the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence, which is an useful tool for analysing Ext-groups over various Hopf algebras. Initially, this spectral sequence was used by Davis and Mahowald in [DM82] to compute Ext-groups over the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(2)$ of the Steenrod algebra.

2.1 Construction of the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence

Let k be a field of characteristic 2. We will later specialise to the case $k = \mathbb{F}_2$, the field of two elements. Let $(A, \Delta, \mu, \epsilon, \eta, \chi)$ be a commutative Hopf algebra over k with $\Delta, \mu, \epsilon, \eta, \chi$ being coproduct, product, counit, unit, the conjugation, respectively.

Definition 2.1.1. Let E be the graded exterior algebra on a finite dimensional k-vector space V with all elements of V having degree 1. An A-comodule algebra structure on E is called almost graded if the natural embedding $k \oplus V \to E$ is a map of A-comodules.

This definition is motivated by the following examples which are of main interest in this paper. Recall that the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by the Steenrod squares Sq^i for $i \geq 0$, subject to the Adem relations

$$Sq^a Sq^b = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} \binom{b-i-1}{a-2i} Sq^{a+b-i} Sq^i$$

for all $a, b > 0$ and $a < 2b$. Let $\mathcal{A}_*$ denote the dual of the Steenrod algebra. In [Mil58], Milnor determines the Hopf algebra structure of $\mathcal{A}_*$. As a graded algebra, $\mathcal{A}_* = \mathbb{F}_2[\xi_i | i \geq 1]$ where ξ_i is in degree $|\xi_i| = 2^i - 1$. The coproduct is given by the formula

$$\Delta(\xi_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \xi_i^{2^{k-i}} \otimes \xi_{k-i},$$

where $\xi_0 = 1$. Let us denote by ζ_i the conjugate of ξ_i. Then we have

$$\Delta(\zeta_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} \zeta_i \otimes \zeta_j^{2^i}. \tag{8}$$

An Hopf ideal of a Hopf algebra A is an ideal I such that $\Delta(I) \subset I \otimes A + A \otimes I$. If I is a Hopf ideal of A, then A/I inherits a structure of Hopf algebra from A such that the natural projection $A \to A/I$ is a map of Hopf algebras.

Example 2. Let $\mathcal{A}(n)_*$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_*$ by the Hopf ideal I_n generated by $(\zeta_1^{2^{n+1}}, \zeta_2^{2^n}, ..., \zeta_{n+1}^2, \zeta_{n+2}, ...)$. As an algebra,

$$\mathcal{A}(n)_* = \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, ..., \zeta_{n+1}]/(\zeta_1^{2^{n+1}}, \zeta_2^{2^n}, ..., \zeta_{n+1}^2).$$

It is dual to the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(n) = \langle Sq^1, Sq^2, ..., Sq^{2^n} \rangle$ of the Steenrod algebra A. The canonical projection $\pi : \mathcal{A}(n)_* \to \mathcal{A}(n-1)_*$ induced by the inclusion $I_n \subset I_{n-1}$ of Hopf ideals is a map of Hopf algebras, hence induces on $\mathcal{A}(n)_*$ a structure of right $\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*$-comodule algebra:

$$(id \otimes \pi)\Delta : \mathcal{A}(n)_* \to \mathcal{A}(n)_* \otimes \mathcal{A}(n)_* \to \mathcal{A}(n)_* \otimes \mathcal{A}(n-1)_*.$$

An easy computation shows that the group of primitives $\mathcal{A}(n)_* \square_{\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*} \mathbb{F}_2$ of this coaction is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(n)_* \square_{\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*} \mathbb{F}_2 = E(\zeta_1^{2^n}, \zeta_2^{2^{n-1}}, ..., \zeta_{n+1})$$

which is abstractly isomorphic to $E_n = E(x_1, ..., x_{n+1})$ where x_i stands for $\zeta_i^{2^{n+1-i}}$. Here and elsewhere in this paper, $E(X)$ denotes the exterior algebra on the k-vector space spanned by the set X. We see that the algebra $E(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n+1})$ inherits a left $\mathcal{A}(n)_*$-comodule algebra structure from $\mathcal{A}(n)_*$, namely,

$$\Delta(x_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \zeta_i^{2^{n+1-k}} \otimes x_{k-i},\ 1 \leq k \leq n+1$$

where $x_0 = 1$ by convention. This means that E_n is an almost graded $\mathcal{A}(n)_*$-comodule.

Example 3. Let $B(n)_*$ be the quotient of $\mathcal{A}_*$ by the Hopf ideal J_n generated by $(\zeta_1^{2^n}, \zeta_2^{2^n}, \zeta_3^{2^{n-1}}, ..., \zeta_{n+1}^2, \zeta_{n+2}, ...)$, so that

$$B(n)_* = \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1, \zeta_2, ..., \zeta_{n+1}]/(\zeta_1^{2^n}, \zeta_2^{2^n}, \zeta_3^{2^{n-1}}, ..., \zeta_{n+1}^2).$$

Similarly to *Example* 2, the projection $B(n)_* \to \mathcal{A}(n-1)_*$ induced by the inclusion of Hopf ideals $J_n \subset I_{n-1}$ defines a structure of right $\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*$-comodule algebra on $B(n)_*$. A calculation shows that

$$B(n)_* \square_{\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*} \mathbb{F}_2 = E(\zeta_2^{2^{n-1}}, \zeta_3^{2^{n-2}}, ..., \zeta_{n+1}),$$

which is abstractly isomorphic to $F_n := E(x_2, ..., x_{n+1})$. The notation is chosen to be coherent with that of *Example* 2. We see that F_n inherits a structure of left $B(n)_*$- comodule algebra from that of $B(n)_*$, namely,

$$\Delta(x_k) = \sum_{i=0, i\neq 1}^{k} \zeta_i^{2^{n+1-k}} \otimes x_{k-i},\ 2 \leq k \leq n+1$$

where $x_0 = 1$. Thus, F_n is a almost graded $B(n)_*$-comodule.

Let E be an almost graded A-comodule exterior algebra on a finite dimensional k-vector space V. We will construct an A-comodule polynomial algebra, called the Koszul dual of E as follows. Let P be the graded polynomial algebra of V with all elements of V having degree 1. Let us denote by E_i and P_i the subspace of elements of homogeneous degree i for $i \geq 0$ of E and P, respectively. Let us also denote by $E_{\leq i}$ the the direct sum $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{j=i} E_j$. Notice that P_1 sits in a short exact sequence:

$$0 \to k \to k \oplus E_1 \xrightarrow{p} P_1 \to 0. \tag{9}$$

The embedding $k \to k \oplus E_1$ is clearly a map of left A-comodules. Thus P_1 admits a (unique) structure of left A-comodule such that $p : k \oplus E_1 \to P_1$ is a map of A-comodules.

Lemma 2.1.2. *If $P_1^{\otimes n}$ is equipped with the usual structure of A-comodule of a tensor product, then P_n admits a unique structure of A-comodule making the multiplication $P_1^{\otimes n} \to P_n$ a map of A-comodules.*

Proof. This map is surjective and its kernel is spanned by elements of the form $y_1 \otimes ... \otimes y_n - y_{\sigma(1)} \otimes ... \otimes y_{\sigma(n)_*}$ where σ is a permutation of the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Then, since A is commutative, we see that the kernel is stable under the coaction of A. The lemma follows. □

This lemma shows that $P = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} P_i$ admits a left A-comodule algebra structure.

Now, let us define a cochain complex, called the Koszul complex,

$$(E \otimes P, d) \tag{10}$$

with

i) $(E \otimes P)_{-1} = k$
ii) $(E \otimes P)_m = E \otimes P_m$ for $m \geq 0$
iii) $d : k = (E \otimes P)_{-1} \to E = (E \otimes P)_0$ being the unit of E
iv) $d(\prod_{j=1}^{n} x_{i_j} \otimes z) = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \prod_{j \neq t} x_{i_j} \otimes p(x_{i_t})z$ where $x_{i_j} \in E_1$, $z \in P_m$ and p is the projection of (9).

Remark 2.1.3. In other words, $d : E_{\leq n} \otimes P_m \to E_{\leq n-1} \otimes P_{m+1}$ is the unique homomorphism making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{\leq 1}^{\otimes n} \otimes P_{\leq m} & \xrightarrow{(\sum_\sigma (Id^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes p) \circ \sigma) \otimes Id} & E_{\leq 1}^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes P_1 \otimes P_m \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu \otimes Id} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu \otimes \mu} \\ E_{\leq n} \otimes P_m & \xrightarrow{d} & E_{\leq n-1} \otimes P_{m+1}, \end{array} \tag{11}$$

where in the upper horizontal map, the sum is taken over all cyclic permutations on n factors of E_1 in the tensor product $E_1^{\otimes n}$ and p is the restriction on E_1 of the map of (9).

Proposition 2.1.4. *The complex $(E \otimes P, d)$ is an exact sequence of A-comodules. Furthermore, $(E \otimes P, d)$ has a structure of differential graded algebra induced from the algebra structure of E and P.*

Proof. Let $x_1, ..., x_n$ be a basis of E_1. As a cochain complex over k, $(E \otimes P, d)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of $(E(x_i) \otimes k[y_i], d_i)$ where $y_i = p(x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Here, each $(E(x_i) \otimes k[y_i], d_i)$ is defined in the same manner as $(E \otimes P, d)$ is. It is not hard to see that the cochain complex $(E(x_i) \otimes k[y_i], d_i)$ is

exact. Hence, $(E \otimes P, d)$ is exact by the Künneth theorem. This proves the first part.

Let us check that d is a map of A-comodules. In the diagram (11), the two vertical maps are ones of A-comodules because E and P are A-comodule algebras. In addition, they are surjective. It remains to check that the upper horizontal map is a map of A-comodules. Or equivalently, each map $E_{\leq 1}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{(Id^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes p) \circ \sigma} E_{\leq 1}^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes P_1$ is a map of A-comodules where σ is a cyclic permutation on n elements. This is true because σ is a map of A-comodules as A is commutative and p is a map of A-comodules by definition. The second part follows.

Finally, it is straightforward from the formula of d in (10.iv) that d satisfies the Leibniz rule. □

This lemma allows us to construct a spectral sequence of algebras converging to $\mathrm{Ext}_A^s(k)$ see ([**Rav86**], Theorem A1.3.2).

Proposition 2.1.5. *(1) There is a spectral sequence of algebras converging to* $\mathrm{Ext}_A^s(k)$:

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{s,t} = \mathrm{Ext}_A^s(k, E \otimes P_t) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^{s+t}(k, k)\ . \tag{12}$$

(2) If M is a A-comodule, then there is a spectral sequence converging to $\mathrm{Ext}_A^s(M)$

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{s,t} = \mathrm{Ext}_A^s(k, E \otimes P_t \otimes M) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_A^{s+t}(k, M).$$

Furthermore, this spectral sequence is a spectral sequence of modules over that of (12).

Terminology. We will call these spectral sequences the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequences or DMSS for short, associated to the almost graded A-module algebra E. The first grading s of the E_n-term is referred to as the cohomological grading or degree and the second grading t is referred to as the Davis-Mahowald grading or degree (or DM grading or degree for short).

In view of carrying out explicit computations of products in $\mathrm{Ext}_A^*(k)$ and the action of $\mathrm{Ext}_A^*(k)$ on $\mathrm{Ext}_A^*(M)$, we recall a double complex from which the above spectral sequence is derived.

For each $t \geq 0$, let $(C^s(A, E \otimes P_t), d_v)_{s \geq 0}$ be the cobar complex whose cohomology is $\mathrm{Ext}_A^*(E \otimes P_t)$, i.e.,

$$C^s(A, E \otimes P_t) = A^{\otimes s} \otimes E \otimes P_t$$

and $d_v : A^{\otimes s} \otimes E \otimes P_t \to A^{\otimes s+1} \otimes E \otimes P_t$ is given by

$$d_v(a_1 \otimes ... \otimes a_s \otimes m) = 1 \otimes a_1 \otimes ... \otimes a_s \otimes m + \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_1 \otimes ... \otimes a_{i-1} \otimes \Delta(a_i) \otimes ... \otimes a_s \otimes m + a_1 \otimes ... \otimes a_s \otimes \Delta(m),$$

where $a_i \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $m \in E \otimes P_t$. We will abbreviate $a_1 \otimes ... \otimes a_s \otimes m$ by $[a_1|...|a_s|m]$. By an abuse of notation, we will denote by d_v the differentials in the cobar complexes associated to $E \otimes P_t$ for different t. The fact that $d : E \otimes P_t \to E \otimes P_{t+1}$ is a map of A-comodules implies that the maps $d_h = Id^{\otimes s} \otimes d : C^s(A, E \otimes P_t) \to C^s(A, E \otimes P_{t+1})$ assemble to give a map of cochain complexes $d_h : (C^s(A, E \otimes P_t), d_v)_{s \geq 0} \to (C^s(A, E \otimes P_{t+1}), d_v)_{s \geq 0}$. Finally, it is easily seen that the maps of cochain complexes assemble to form a double complex $(C^s(A, E \otimes P_t), d_v, d_h)_{s,t \geq 0}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
E & \xrightarrow{d_h} & E \otimes P_1 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & E \otimes P_2 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & E \otimes P_3 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \dots \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \\
A \otimes E & \xrightarrow{d_h} & A \otimes E \otimes P_1 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & A \otimes E \otimes P_2 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & A \otimes E \otimes P_3 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \dots \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \\
A^{\otimes 2} \otimes E & \xrightarrow{d_h} & A^{\otimes 2} \otimes E \otimes P_1 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & A^{\otimes 2} \otimes E \otimes P_2 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & A^{\otimes 2} \otimes E \otimes P_3 & \xrightarrow{d_h} & \dots \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_v} & & \\
\dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots
\end{array}$$

We can see that the spectral sequence associated to the horizontal filtration has E_1-term isomorphic to $(A^s \otimes k, d_v)_{s \geq 0}$ which identifies with the cobar complex of the trivial A-comodule k. Thus this spectral sequence degenerates at the E_2-term and the $\mathrm{E}_\infty = \mathrm{E}_2$-term identifies with $\mathrm{Ext}_A^s(k)$. Since there are no possible extension problems, the cohomology of the total complex is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}_A^s(k)$. Now, the spectral sequence associated to the vertical filtration has E_1-term isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}_A^s(E \otimes P_t)$. This spectral sequence is exactly the one appearing in Proposition 2.1.5.

Remark 2.1.6. The differential $d_1 : \mathrm{Ext}_A^0(E \otimes P_t) \to \mathrm{Ext}_A^0(E \otimes P_{t+1})$ is the restriction of the derivation d in (10) on the A-primitives of $E \otimes P_t$.

2.2 Naturality of the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence

We notice that the above construction is natural in pairs (A, E) where A is a commutative Hopf algebra and E is an almost graded left A-comodule exterior algebra. This allows us to compare Davis-Mahowald spectral sequences associated

to different pairs (A, E). We will make use of this property to reduce computations in a crucial way. Let us first define morphisms between such pairs.

Definition 2.2.1. Let (A, E) and (B, F) be such that A and B are commutative Hopf algebras, E and F are almost graded exterior comodule algebras over A and B, respectively. A morphism between (A, E) and (B, F) consists of $f_1 : A \to B$ and $f_2 : E \to F$ where f_1 is a map of Hopf algebras and f_2 is a map of B-comodule graded algebras with the B-comodule structure on E being induced from f_1.

Remark 2.2.2. The map $f_2 : E \to F$ is determined by a map of B-comodules $k \oplus E_1 \to k \oplus F_1$.

Proposition 2.2.3. *A morphism between (A, E) and (B, F) induces a map between the associated Davis-Mahowald spectral sequences.*

Proof. Let P and Q be the Koszul dual of E and F, respectively. The map of B-comodule algebras $f_2 : E \to F$ induces a map of graded B-comodule algebras $P \to Q$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k \oplus E_1 & \xrightarrow{p} & P_1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} & & \downarrow \\ k \oplus F_1 & \xrightarrow{p} & Q_1. \end{array}$$

Then one can check that the induced map $E \otimes P \to F \otimes Q$ is a map of Koszul complexes. Therefore one obtains a map of double complexes $(A^{\otimes s} \otimes E \otimes P_t) \to (B^{\otimes s} \otimes F \otimes Q_t)$, hence a map of spectral sequences. □

Remark 2.2.4. Although we have only treated the ungraded situation so far, the construction carries over verbatim to the graded one. More precisely, suppose that A and E are graded algebras. We refer to this grading as the internal degree. We require that the structural maps in the A-comodule structure of E to preserve the internal degree. Then we see that the Koszul dual P of E is internally graded and the Koszul complex is a graded cochain complex with respect to the internal degree. It follows that the associated DMSS is tri-graded with the third grading associated to the internal grading and the differentials preserve the internal degree.

We continue with *Example* 2 and 3.

Example 4. Recall that E_n is an almost graded $\mathcal{A}(n)_*$-comodule. Let R_n denote the Koszul dual of E_n. In particular, it follows from Proposition 2.1.5 that for any graded left $\mathcal{A}(n)_*$-comodule M, the DMSS converging to $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(n)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, M)$ has E_1-term isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{s,t,\sigma} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n)_*}(E_n \otimes R_n^{\sigma} \otimes M),$$

where s is the cohomological grading, t is the internal grading and σ is the Davis-Mahowald grading. The change-of-rings isomorphism tells us that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n)_*}(E_n \otimes R_n^\sigma \otimes M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*}(R_n^\sigma \otimes M),$$

see [**Rav84**], Appendix A1.3.13 for the change-of-rings isomorphism. This means that the problem of computing $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n)_*}(-)$ can be reduced to two steps: first computing $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*}(-)$, then studying the corresponding Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence. We will demonstrate the efficiency of this method by carrying out explicit computations in the case $n = 2$ and some relevant M.

Example 5. Recall that F_n is an almost graded $B(n)_*$-comodule. Let S_n denote the Koszul dual of F_n. The DMSS is the spectral sequence of algebras

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{s,t,\sigma} = \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{B(n)_*}(F_n \otimes S_n^\sigma) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{B(n)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)\ .$$

By the change-of-rings theorem, the E_1-term is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*}(S_n^\sigma)$, because $F_n = B(n)_* \square_{\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*} \mathbb{F}_2$. Moreover, for any graded left $B(n)_*$-comodule M, the DMSS for $\mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{B(n)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is a spectral sequence of modules over the above spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{B(n)_*}(F_n \otimes S_n^\sigma \otimes M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n-1)_*}(S_n^\sigma \otimes M) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{B(n)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)\ .$$

Comparison of DMSS. There is a morphism between $(\mathcal{A}(n)_*, E_n)$ and $(B(n)_*, F_n)$ given by the two projections

$$\mathcal{A}(n)_* \to B(n)_*; \zeta_i \mapsto \zeta_i$$

$$E_n \to F_n; x_1 \mapsto 0, x_i \mapsto x_i \text{ for } i \geq 2.$$

This induces a map of spectral sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n)_*}(E_n \otimes R_n^\sigma \otimes M) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{B(n)_*}(F_n \otimes S_n^\sigma \otimes M) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{\mathcal{A}(n)_*}(M) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{B(n)_*}(M). \end{array}$$

As was mentioned earlier, this comparison allows us to transfer some computations in the former SS to the latter which are simpler because all modules involved in the latter are smaller. This observation will be made concrete in Section 3.

3 The Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence for the $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$-comodule A_1

The goal of this section is to describe the structure of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ as a module over $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ for different $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$-comodules A_1 that will be recalled in Subsection 3.2. To achieve a part of this goal, we will study the DMSS

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(E_2 \otimes S_2^\sigma \otimes A_1) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$$

as a spectral sequence of modules over the spectral sequence of algebras

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(E_2 \otimes S_2^\sigma) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

We obtain then the structure of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ as a graded abelian group and a partial action of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on it. However, there is an important action of an element of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on some elements of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ that cannot be seen at the E_1-term of the DMSS. One way of understanding these exotic products is to carry out computations at the level of double complexes: find representatives of the cohomological classes in question in the double complexes from which the DMSS is derived and carry out products at that level. It turns out that a brute-force attack is messy. Instead, computations are simplified drastically by comparing the DMSS associated to $(\mathcal{A}(2)_*, E_2)$ to that of $(B(2)_*, F_2)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(E_n \otimes R_2^\sigma \otimes A_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{B(2)_*}(F_n \otimes S_2^\sigma \otimes (1)) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^{s+\sigma,t}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)). \end{array}$$

3.1 Recollections on the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence for the $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$-comodule $\mathbb{F}_2$

To fix notation, we recollect some information relevant for our purposes. This material was originally treated in [**DM82**] and reviewed in unpublished course notes of Rognes [**Rog12**]. As we will specialise to the case $n = 2$, we will simplify the notation by writing R, R_σ, S, S_σ for $R_2, R_2^\sigma, S_2, S_2^\sigma$ from *Example* 2 and 3, respectively.

Recall that R is a homogenous graded polynomial algebra on three generators, say y_1, y_2, y_3 and R_σ is its subspace of homogeneous elements of degree σ for

$\sigma \geq 0$. Let us first explicitly give the coaction of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$ on $R = \mathbb{F}_2[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ with $|y_1| = 4$, $|y_2| = 6$, $|y_3| = 7$. From *Example* 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(y_1) &= 1 \otimes y_1 \\ \Delta(y_2) &= \xi_1^2 \otimes y_1 + 1 \otimes y_2 \\ \Delta(y_3) &= \zeta_2 \otimes y_1 + \xi_1 \otimes y_2 + 1 \otimes y_3.\end{aligned}$$

By the change-of-rings theorem, the E_1-term of the DMSS for $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\bigoplus_{\sigma\geq 0} R_\sigma)$. The coaction of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$ on R_1 is induced from that of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$ and hence is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(y_1) &= 1 \otimes y_1 \\ \Delta(y_2) &= \xi_1^2 \otimes y_1 + 1 \otimes y_2 \\ \Delta(y_3) &= \zeta_2 \otimes y_1 + \xi_1 \otimes y_2 + 1 \otimes y_3.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, y_1, y_2^2, y_3^4 are $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-primitives of R. Let R'_σ denote the $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-subcomodule $\{y_1^i y_2^j y_3^k \in R_\sigma | k \leq 3\}$ of R_σ.

Lemma 3.1.1. *As an $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodule, R_σ can be decomposed as*

$$R_\sigma \cong \bigoplus_{i\equiv\sigma(mod4), i\leq\sigma} R'_i \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{y_3^{\sigma-i}\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\bigoplus_{\sigma\geq 0} R_\sigma = (\bigoplus_{\sigma\geq 0} R'_\sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[y_3^4].$$

Proof. If one views $\mathbb{F}_2\{y_3^{\sigma-i}\}$ as a subvector space of $R_{\sigma-i}$, then the product of R produces an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\bigoplus_{i\equiv\sigma(mod4), i\leq\sigma} R'_i \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{y_3^{\sigma-i}\} \xrightarrow{\cong} R_\sigma.$$

Since y_3^4 is a $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-primitive of R_σ, this map is also a map of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules. The lemma follows. □

Let us denote $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_\sigma)$ by G_σ, so that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R) \cong (\bigoplus_{\sigma\geq 0} G_\sigma) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[v_2^4],$$

where $v_2^4 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{0,24}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_4)$ represented by $y_3^4 \in R_4$. Determining the full multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R)$ is quite involved. Instead, we will work modulo

(v_2^4). This will suffice for us to obtain a set of algebra generators of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R)$. More precisely, since the product $R'_\sigma \otimes R'_\tau \to R_{\sigma+\tau}$ factorises through $R'_{\sigma+\tau} \oplus (R_{\sigma+\tau-4} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{y_3^4\})$, we obtain a map

$$G_\sigma \otimes G_\tau \to G_{\sigma+\tau} \oplus (G_{\sigma+\tau-4} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{v_2^4\}).$$

We will analyse the map $G_\sigma \otimes G_\tau \to G_{\sigma+\tau}$ which is the composite

$$G_\sigma \otimes G_\tau \to G_{\sigma+\tau} \oplus (G_{\sigma+\tau-4} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{v_2^4\}) \to G_{\sigma+\tau}$$

where the second map is the projection on the first factor.

In what follows, we compute G_i for $i \geq 0$ as modules over G_0. For this, we decompose R'_i into smaller pieces, compute the Ext groups over $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$ of these pieces, then determine G_i via long exact sequences. Next, we study the pairings

$$G_\sigma \otimes G_\tau \to G_{\sigma+\tau},$$

which allows us to determine a set of algebra generators of the E_1-term. Finally, we compute d_1-differentials on this set of algebra generators. We do not intend to describe completely the $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ but only a subalgebra in which we are interested.

Since y_1 is primitive, multiplication by y_1 induces injections of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules

$$\Sigma^4 R'_\sigma \to R'_{\sigma+1}.$$

Lemma 3.1.2. *There are short exact sequences of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules*

(a)

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^{12}C_\eta) \to R'_2 \to \Sigma^8(\mathcal{A}(1)_* \square_{\mathcal{A}(0)_*} \mathbb{F}_2) \to 0$$

where $\eta : S^1 \to S^0$ is the Hopf map and the map $\mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^{12}C_\eta) \to R'_2$ sends the generators of $\mathrm{H}_{12}(\Sigma^{12}C_\eta)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{14}(\Sigma^{12}C_\eta)$ to y_2^2 and y_3^2, respectively.

(b)

$$0 \to \Sigma^4 R'_1 \to R'_2 \to \Sigma^{12} V_3 \to 0$$

where $V_3 = \mathrm{H}_*(S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2)$.

Proof. For part (a), the map $\Sigma^{12}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta) \to R'_2$ described in the statement of the Lemma 3.1.2 is a map of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules. Its quotient is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2\{y_1^2, y_1y_2, y_1y_3, y_2y_3\}$ with the $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodule structure given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(y_2y_3) &= 1 \otimes y_2y_3 + \xi_1^2 \otimes y_1y_3 + \xi_2 \otimes y_1y_2 + \zeta_2\xi_1^2 \otimes y_1^2 \\
\Delta(y_1y_3) &= 1 \otimes y_1y_3 + \xi_1 \otimes y_1y_2 + \zeta_2 \otimes y_1^2 \\
\Delta(y_1y_2) &= 1 \otimes y_1y_2 + \xi_1^2 \otimes y_1^2 \\
\Delta(y_1^2) &= 1 \otimes y_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We can check that this module is isomorphic to $\Sigma^8(\mathcal{A}(1)_*\square_{\mathcal{A}(0)_*}\mathbb{F}_2)$ as $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules.

For part (b), the quotient of $R_2^{'}$ by $\Sigma^4 R_1^{'}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2\{y_2^2, y_2y_3, y_3^2\}$ with $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodule structure given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(y_2^2) &= 1\otimes y_2^2\\ \Delta(y_2y_3) &= \xi_1\otimes y_2^2 + 1\otimes y_2y_3\\ \Delta(y_3^2) &= \xi_1^2\otimes y_2^2 + 1\otimes y_3^2.\end{aligned}$$

One can check that this quotient is isomorphic to $\Sigma^{12}V_3$. □

Lemma 3.1.3. *For every $\sigma \geq 3$, there is a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules*

$$0 \to \Sigma^4 R_{\sigma-1}^{'} \xrightarrow{\times y_1} R_\sigma^{'} \to \Sigma^{6\sigma}V_4 \to 0$$

where V_4 is $\mathrm{H}_*(V(0)\wedge C_\eta)$.

Remark 3.1.4. The spectrum $V(0)\wedge C_\eta$ is homotopy equivalent to Y, introduced in the Introduction (c.f Section 3.2 for a presentation of $\mathrm{H}^*(Y)$.)

Proof. The quotient of $R_\sigma^{'}$ by $\Sigma^4 R_{\sigma-1}^{'}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2\{y_2^\sigma, y_2^{\sigma-1}y_3, y_2^{\sigma-2}y_3^2, y_2^{\sigma-3}y_3^3\}$ with $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodule structure given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(y_2^\sigma) &= 1\otimes y_2^\sigma\\ \Delta(y_2^{\sigma-1}y_3) &= \xi_1\otimes y_2^\sigma + 1\otimes y_2^{\sigma-1}y_3\\ \Delta(y_2^{\sigma-2}y_3^2) &= \xi_1^2\otimes y_2^\sigma + 1\otimes y_2^{\sigma-2}y_3^2\\ \Delta(y_2^{\sigma-3}y_3^3) &= \xi_1^3\otimes y_2^\sigma + \xi_1^2\otimes y_2^{\sigma-1}y_3 + \xi_1\otimes y_2^{\sigma-2}y_3^2 + 1\otimes y_2^{\sigma-3}y_3^3.\end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that this quotient is isomorphic to $\Sigma^{6\sigma}V_4$. □

Next we describe the Ext groups of some $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules as basic steps towards computing G_σ. These calculations are elementary and classical.

Proposition 3.1.5. *There are classes $h_0 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,1}$, $h_1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,2}$, $v \in \mathrm{Ext}^{3,7}$, $v_1^4 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{4,12}$ such that there is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$G_0 := \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[h_0, h_1, v, v_1^4]/(h_1^3, h_0h_1, h_1v, v^2 - h_0^2v_1^4).$$

See for example ([**Rav86**], Theorem 3.1.25).

Lemma 3.1.6. *As a module over $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$,*




Figure 1 – $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ in the range $0 \leq t - s \leq 8$.

(1) $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(V(0)))$ *is generated by* $h^0 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{0,0}$, $h^1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}$ *with the following relations* $h_0h^0 = vh^0 = vh^1 = 0$ *and* $h_1^2.h^0 = h_0h^1$.

(2) $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$ *is generated by* $\{h^i \in \mathrm{Ext}^{i,3i} |\ 0 \leq i \leq 3\}$ *with* $h_1h^i = 0$, $vh^0 = h_0h^2$, $vh^1 = h_0h^3$.

(3) $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2))$ *is generated by* $h^0 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{0,0}$, $h^1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}$, $a^1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}$, $h^2 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{2,6}$, $h^3 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{3,9}$ *with* $h_0h^0 = h_1h^0 = h_1h^1 = h_0a^1 = va^1 = h_1h^2 = vh^2 = h_1h^3 = vh^3 = 0$ *and* $h_0h^2 = h_1^2a^1$.

(4) $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$ *is generated by* $\{h^i |\ 0 \leq i \leq 3\}$ *with* $h_0h^i = h_1h^i = vh^i = 0$.

See [**Rav86**], Theorem 3.1.27 for (1) and (4). The calculations for (2) and (3) are also elementary, so that we omit the detail.

Remark 3.1.7. We use the same notation h^i for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ to denote certain generators of the above groups. This abuse of notation is justified by the fact that these generators have close relationships which are described in the next lemma. The context will clarify the use of the notation.

Consider cell inclusions $V(0) \to Y$ and $S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2 \to Y$. The induced homomorphisms in Ext over $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$ are described as follows.

Lemma 3.1.8. (i)*The homomorphism* $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(V(0))) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$ *sends the classes* h^0 *and* h^1 *to the non-trivial classes of the same name.*

(ii) *The homomorphism* $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$ *sends the classes* h^0, h^1, h^2, h^3 *to the non-trivial classes of the same name.*

Proof. For part (i), consider the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_*(V(0)) \to \mathrm{H}_*(Y) \to \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^2 V(0)) \to 0$$




Figure 2 – $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(V(0)))$ in the range $0 \leq t-s \leq 4$.




Figure 3 – $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$ in the range $0 \leq t-s \leq 6$.




Figure 4 – $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2))$ in the range $0 \leq t-s \leq 6$.




Figure 5 – $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$ in the range $0 \leq t-s \leq 6$.

For degree reasons, the classes h^0 and h^1 of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(V(0)))$ do not belong to the image of the connecting homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s-1,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^2 V(0))) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(V(0))).$$

Therefore, they are sent to nontrivial classes of the same name in $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$. For part (ii), consider the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_*(S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2) \to \mathrm{H}_*(Y) \to \Sigma^3 \mathbb{F}_2 \to 0$$

and the resulting long exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s-1,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^3 \mathbb{F}_2)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y)).$$

For degree reasons, the classes h^0, h^2, h^3 of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2))$ are not in the image of the connecting homomorphism, and thus are sent to h^0, h^2, h^3 in $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y)$, respectively. Next, for degree reasons, the classes $h_0 h^1$ and $h_1 a^1$ are sent to $0 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$. The only way for this to happen is that the connecting homomorphism sends $\Sigma^3 1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{0,3}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^3 \mathbb{F}_2))$ to the sum $h^1 + a^1$. It follows that h^1 is not in the image of the connecting homomorphism, and therefore is sent to $h^1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$ □

Lemma 3.1.9. $\mathrm{H}_*(Y)$ *has a structure of an* $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$*-comodule algebra. The resulting structure on* $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$ *is that of a polynomial algebra.*

Proof. It is not hard to see that $\mathrm{H}_*(Y)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(1)_*\square_{E(1)_*}\mathbb{F}_2$ as $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules, where $E(1)_*$ is the Hopf quotient of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$ by the Hopf ideal (ζ_1), i.e., $E(1)_* \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_2]/(\zeta_2^2)$. In particular, $\mathrm{H}_*(Y)$ has the structure of a $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodule algebra. As a consequence, $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$ is an algebra and is furthermore isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{E(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ by the change-of-rings isomorphism. It is well-known that the latter is a polynomial algebra on one variable. □

We now compute $G_\sigma := \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_\sigma)$. We denote by $\alpha_{s,t,\sigma}$ the non-trivial class of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,s+t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_\sigma)$ whenever there is a unique such one.

Proposition 3.1.10. *As a module over* G_0*,* $G_1 = \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_1)$ *is generated by* $\alpha_{0,4,1} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{0,4}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_1)$ *and* $\alpha_{1,8,1} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,9}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_1)$ *with the relations* $h_1\alpha_{0,4,1} = 0$ *and* $v\alpha_{0,4,1} = h_0^2\alpha_{1,8,1}$.

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules

$$0 \to \Sigma^4\mathbb{F}_2 \to R'_1 \to \Sigma^6\mathrm{H}_*(V(0)) \to 0.$$

The connecting homomorphism

$$\partial : \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t-6}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(V(0)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s+1,t-4}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

of the resulting long exact sequence sends h^0 to h_1 and h^1 to 0. The latter follows from degree reasons and the former from the following map of short exact sequences of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules and the naturality of the connecting homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^4\mathbb{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & R_1 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^6\mathrm{H}_*(V(0)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^4\mathbb{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^4 C_\eta) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^6\mathbb{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

It follows that G_1 is v_1^4-periodic on the following generators (Figure 6)

What remains to be established is the multiplication by h_0 on the generator of bidegree $(s, t-s) = (2, 8)$. This is done by a similar consideration of the connecting homomorphism associated to the short exact sequence of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules

$$0 \to \Sigma^4 C_\eta \to R'_1 \to \Sigma^7\mathbb{F}_2 \to 0.$$

□




Figure 6 – G_1 - The red part is the contribution of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^4\mathbb{F}_2)$ and the black part from $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^6\mathrm{H}_*(V(0)))$.

Proposition 3.1.11. *As a module over G_0, $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_2') = G_2$ is generated by $\alpha_{s,t,2} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{s,s+t}$ where $(s,t) \in \{(0,8),(0,12),(1,14),(2,16),(3,18)\}$ with*

$$h_1\alpha_{s,t,2} = 0, v\alpha_{0,8,2} = h_0^3\alpha_{0,12,2}$$

$$v\alpha_{0,12,2} = h_0\alpha_{2,16,2}, v\alpha_{1,14,2} = h_0\alpha_{3,18,2}.$$

Proof. The short exact sequence in part (a) of Lemma 3.1.2 gives rise to the long exact sequence

$$\to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t-12}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}^*(C_\eta)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_2') \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t-8}_{\mathcal{A}(0)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s+1,t-12}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}^*(C_\eta)) \to$$

Combining that $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(0)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[h_0]$ and the description of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}^*(C_\eta))$, we see that the connecting homomorphism is trivial for degree reasons.




Figure 7 – G_2 - The red part is the contribution of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(0)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2,\mathbb{F}_2)$ and the black one of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$

What remains is to establish the v_1^4-multiplication on the class $\alpha_{0,8,2}$ of bidegree $(0,8)$. Consider the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence in

part (b) of Lemma 3.1.2

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s-1,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^{12}V_3) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^4 R_1') \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_2'). \tag{13}$$

One can check that the class $\Sigma^4\alpha_{0,4,1} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^4 R_1')$ is not in the image of ∂, and so is sent to $\alpha_{0,8,2} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_2')$. For degree reasons, we see that $v_1^4\Sigma^4\alpha_{0,4,1}$ is not in the image of ∂, thus $v_1^4\alpha_{0,8,2}$ is nontrivial in G_2. This completes the proof. □




Figure 8 – G_2 -The red part is the contribution of G_1 and the black one of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(V_3)$.

Remark 3.1.12. We can make a complete calculation of the connecting homomorphism of (13), which results to the chart Figure-8.

Lemma 3.1.13. *As a module over* G_0, $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_3') = G_3$ *is generated by* $\alpha_{s,t,3}$ *of* $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,s+t}$ *where* $(s,t) \in \{(0,12),(0,16),(0,18),(1,20),(2,22),(3,24)\}$ *with* $h_1\alpha_{s,t,3} = 0$, $v\alpha_{0,12,3} = h_0^3\alpha_{0,16,3}$, $v\alpha_{0,16,3} = h_0^2\alpha_{1,20,3}$, $v\alpha_{0,18,3} = h_0\alpha_{2,22,3}$, $v\alpha_{1,20,3} = h_0\alpha_{3,24,3}$.

Proof. The short exact sequence in Lemma 3.1.3 gives the long exact sequence

$$\to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^4 R_2') \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_3') \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^{18}V_4) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s+1,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^4 R_2') \to$$

For degree reasons, the connecting homomorphism is trivial, hence we obtain the additive structure of G_3 as in Figure 11. We need to establish the non-trivial h_0-multiplication on the generators $\{\alpha_{s,18+2s,3} |\ s \geq 0\}$. Taking the v_1^4-periodicity into account, we reduce to show this property for the generators of

$$\alpha_{0,18,3}, \alpha_{1,20,3}, \alpha_{2,22,3}, \alpha_{3,24,3}.$$

For this, we can check that there are the following short exact sequences:

$$0 \to \Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta) \to R_3 \to R_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta) \to 0$$




Figure 9 – G_3 - The red part is the contribution of G_2 and the black one of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(V_4)$

and

$$0 \to \Sigma^4 R_2 \to R_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta) \to \Sigma^{19}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta) \to 0$$

where, as a sub $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodule of R_3, $\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta)$ is equal to $\mathbb{F}_2\{y_1y_3^2+y_2^3, y_2y_3^2\}$ and the map $\Sigma^4 R_2 \to R_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{C}_\eta$ is the composite $\Sigma^4 R_2 \xrightarrow{\times y_1} R_3 \to R_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta)$. As a consequence, $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$ sits in a long exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s-1,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^{19}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^4 R_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{R}_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta)) \to .$$

Since ∂ is G_0-linear, one only needs to compute ∂ on the two generators of $\mathrm{Ext}^{0,19}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^{19}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,21}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \Sigma^{19}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$. Direct computations show that ∂ act non-trivially on these classes. It follows that ∂ is a monomorphism and so $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{R}_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$ is v_1-free on the generators depicted in Figure 10.




Figure 10 – $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{R}_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$.

It follows immediately from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta) \to R_3 \to R_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta) \to 0$$




Figure 11 – G_3 -The red part is the contribution of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{R}_3/\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$ and the black one of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^{18}\mathrm{H}_*(C_\eta))$.

that $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R_3)$ is as depicted in Figure 11. In particular, missing h_0-extensions are established.

□

Theorem 3.1.14. *As a module over G_0, we have*

(a) For every $\sigma \geq 2$, $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_\sigma) = G_\sigma$ is generated by $\alpha_{s,t,\sigma} \in \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t+s}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_\sigma)$ where $(s,t) \in \{(0,4\sigma),(0,2j+4\sigma)|2 \leq j \leq \sigma,(j,6\sigma+2j)|1 \leq j \leq 3\}$ with $h_1\alpha_{s,t,\sigma} = 0$.

(b) For all pairs of triples (s_1,t_1,σ_1) and (s_2,t_2,σ_2) with $\sigma_1 \geq 1$ and $\sigma_2 \geq 1$ except for $(2,9,1)$ and $(3,10,1)$, we have that

$$\alpha_{s_1,t_1,\sigma_1}\alpha_{s_2,t_2,\sigma_2} = \alpha_{s_1+s_2,t_1+t_2,\sigma_1+\sigma_2}.$$




Figure 12 – G_σ for $\sigma \geq 2$

Proof. (a) The statement for $\sigma = 2$ is Lemma 3.1.11. Let us prove the claim for $\sigma \geq 3$ by induction. The base case is Lemma 3.1.13.

Suppose the claim is true for some $\sigma \geq 3$. The long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence in Lemma 3.1.3 reads

$$\to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R'_{\sigma+1}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^{6\sigma+6}V_4) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s+1,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^4 R'_\sigma) \to .$$

Combining the additive structure of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^4 R'_\sigma)$ and that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\Sigma^{6\sigma+6}V_4) \cong \Sigma^{6\sigma+6}\mathbb{F}_2[v_1],$$

we obtain the additive structure of $G_{\sigma+1}$ as described in the lemma because the connecting homomorphism vanishes for degree reasons. To establish the non-trivial h_0-multiplication on the generators $\{\alpha_{s,2s+6\sigma+6,\sigma+1} |\ s \geq 0\}$, we use the following identities

(i) $G_{\sigma+1} \ni \alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{s,2s+6t,\sigma} \neq 0\ \forall \sigma \geq 1$
(ii) $\alpha_{1,8,1}\alpha_{s,2s+6\sigma-6,\sigma-1} = \alpha_{s+1,2s+6\sigma+2,\sigma}\ \forall \sigma \geq 2$
(iii) $\alpha_{0,12,2}\alpha_{s,2s+6\sigma-6,\sigma-1} = \alpha_{s,2s+6\sigma+6,\sigma+1}\ \forall \sigma \geq 3.$

These identities are the content of part (b). For the sake of the presentation, we postpone the proof to (b); this is legitimate because, as we will see, the proof of (b) only uses the additive structure of $G'_\sigma s$. Let us show how these identities allow us to conclude the proof of (a). Indeed, the classes $\alpha_{s,2s+6\sigma-6,\sigma-1}$ exist (non-trivial) for all $\sigma \geq 3$ and $s \geq 0$. Therefore, we have that, for all $\sigma \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_0\alpha_{s,2s+6\sigma+6,\sigma+1} &= h_0\alpha_{0,12,2}\alpha_{s,2s+6\sigma-6,\sigma-1} \text{ (multiplying both sides of (iii) by } h_0) \\ &= \alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{1,8,1}\alpha_{s,2s+6\sigma-6,\sigma-1} \text{ (because of (i))} \\ &= \alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{s+1,2s+2+6\sigma,\sigma} \text{ (because of (ii))} \\ &\neq 0 \text{ (because of (i))}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For every $\sigma, \tau \geq 1$, there is a commutative diagram of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R'_\sigma \otimes R'_\tau & \xrightarrow{\mu} & R_{\sigma+\tau} & \longrightarrow & R'_{\sigma+\tau} \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^{6\sigma}X_\sigma) \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^{6\tau}X_\tau) & & \xrightarrow{\mu} & & \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^{6\sigma+6\tau}X_{\sigma+\tau}) \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^{6\sigma}Y) \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^{6\tau}Y) & & \xrightarrow{\mu} & & \mathrm{H}_*(\Sigma^{6\sigma+6\tau}Y) \end{array} .$$

Let us explain the maps in this diagram. The spectrum X_σ is $V(0), S^0 \cup_2 e^1 \cup_\eta e^2$ or Y if $\sigma = 1, 2$ or $\sigma > 2$ respectively; and in each case the map $R'_\sigma \to \mathrm{H}_*(X_\sigma)$ is

the projection appearing in the proof of Lemma 3.1.10, Lemma 3.1.2 or Lemma 3.1.3, respectively. The other vertical arrows are the inclusions of X_σ into Y. The bottom horizontal arrow is the multiplication on $\mathrm{H}_*(Y)$, described in Lemma 3.1.9, and the middle one is induced by the latter. The second upper arrow is the projection on the factor $R'_{\sigma+\tau}$ of the decomposition in Lemma 3.1.1.

The induced homomorphisms in Ext over $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$ of all vertical arrows are studied in the proof of Lemmas 3.1.10, 3.1.11, 3.1.14 and Lemma 3.1.8, according to which the classes $\alpha_{s,t,\sigma}$, where $\sigma \geq 1$ and $(s,t,\sigma) \notin \{(2,9,1),(3,10,1)\}$, are sent non-trivially in a unique way to $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(Y))$, hence their products are non-trivial by Lemma 3.1.9. This proves (b). □

Remark 3.1.15. Let us summarise what has been done so far. First, Lemma 3.1.1 implies that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R) \cong (\bigoplus_{i\geq 0} G_i) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[v_2^4]$$

where $v_2^4 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{4,28}(\mathbb{F}_2, R_4)$ represented by y_3^4. Next, Theorem 3.1.14 describes completely the products between G_i's modulo the ideal generated by (v_2^4). It is then straightforward to verify that $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R)$ is generated by the classes of

$$h_0, h_1, v, v_1^4, \alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{1,8,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}, \alpha_{1,14,2}, \alpha_{3,18,2}, \alpha_{0,18,3}, v_2^4. \tag{14}$$

Let us describe the subalgebra of primitives.

Corollary 3.1.16. There is the following isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}, v_2^4, \alpha_{0,18,3}]/(\alpha_{0,18,3}^2 = \alpha_{0,12,2}^3 + \alpha_{0,4,1}^2 v_2^4).$$

Proof. The algebra $\mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, R)$ is naturally identified with a subalgebra of $R = \mathbb{F}_2[y_1, y_2, y_3]$. Through this identification, $\alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}, v_2^4, \alpha_{0,18,3}$ identify with $y_1, y_2^2, y_3^4, y_2^3 + y_1 y_3^2$, respectively. Thus $\mathbb{F}_2[\alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}, v_2^4, \alpha_{0,18,3}]/(\alpha_{0,18,3}^2 = \alpha_{0,12,2}^3 + \alpha_{0,4,1}^2 v_2^4)$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, R)$ generated by $\alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}, v_2^4, \alpha_{0,18,3}$. On the other hand, it follows from Remark (3.1.15) that $\alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}, v_2^4, \alpha_{0,18,3}$ generate the whole subalgebra of primitives of $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R)$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

The differentials d_1. Since the DMSS for $\mathbb{F}_2$ is a spectral sequence of algebras, all d_1-differentials can be determined on the set of algebra generators of (14).

Proposition 3.1.17. *The d_1-differential is multiplicative and on generators, it is given as follows:*

1) $d_1(h_0) = 0$
2) $d_1(h_1) = 0$
3) $d_1(\alpha_{0,4,1}) = 0$
4) $d_1(\alpha_{1,14,2}) = 0$
5) $d_1(\alpha_{0,18,3}) = 0$
6) $d_1(v_1^4) = 0$
7) $d_1(\alpha_{0,12,2}) = \alpha_{0,4,1}^3$
8) $d_1(\alpha_{1,8,1}) = h_0\alpha_{0,4,1}^2$
9) $d_1(v) = h_0^3\alpha_{0,4,1}$
10) $d_1(\alpha_{3,18,2}) = h_0^3\alpha_{0,18,3}$
11) $d_1(v_2^4) = \alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{0,12,2}^2$.

Proof. 1), 2), 4) For degree reasons, there is no room for a non-trivial d_1-differential on $h_0, h_1, \alpha_{1,14,2}$

3) It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,4}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is non-trivial and that $\alpha_{0,4,1}$ is the only class in the E_1-term that can contribute to it. Therefore $\alpha_{0,4,1}$ is a permanent cycle.

5) We see that $h_0\alpha_{0,18,3} = \alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{1,14,2}$. By the Leibniz rule, $h_0 d_1(\alpha_{0,18,3}) = 0$. As h_0 acts injectively on G_3, it follows that $d_1(\alpha_{0,18,3}) = 0$.

6) Since $h_0^2 v_1^4 = v^2$, $h_0^2 d_1(v_1^4) = 2v d_1(v) = 0$. This follows because $d_1(v_1^4)$ takes values in $\mathrm{Ext}^{4,8}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, R_1')$ on which h_0 acts injectively.

7) We have that $\alpha_{0,12,2}$ is represented by the $\mathcal{A}(2)$-primitive $[1|y_2^2]+[x_1|y_1^2] \in E\otimes R_2$. By Remark 2.1.6, $d_1(\alpha_{0,12,2})$ is represented by $d([1|y_2^2]+[x_1|y_1^2]) = [1|y_1^3] \in E \otimes R_3$, hence is equal to $\alpha_{0,4,1}^3$.

8) Because $\alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{1,8,1} = h_0\alpha_{0,12,2}$, the Leibniz rule implies that

$$\alpha_{0,4,1} d_1(\alpha_{1,8,1}) = h_0 d_1(\alpha_{0,12,2}) = h_0\alpha_{0,4,1}^3.$$

That $\alpha_{0,4,1}$ acts injectively on the E_1-term implies that $d_1(\alpha_{1,8,1}) = h_0\alpha_{0,4,1}^2$.
9) The relation $\alpha_{0,4,1}v = h_0^2\alpha_{1,8,1}$ implies that

$$\alpha_{0,4,1} d_1(v) = h_0^2 d_1(\alpha_{1,8,1}) = h_0^3\alpha_{0,4,1}^2$$

As $\alpha_{0,4,1}$ acts injectively on the E_1-term, we obtain that $d_1(v) = h_0^3\alpha_{0,4,1}$.

10) The relation $v\alpha_{1,14,2} = h_0\alpha_{3,18,2}$ shows that

$$h_0 d_1(\alpha_{3,18,2}) = \alpha_{1,14,2} d_1(v) = \alpha_{1,14,2} h_0^3\alpha_{0,4,1} = h_0^4\alpha_{0,18,3}$$

Therefore, $d_1(\alpha_{3,18,2}) = h_0^3\alpha_{0,18,3}$.

11) We check that v_2^4 is represented by the $\mathcal{A}(2)$-primitive $[1|y_3^4] + [x_1|y_2^4]$ in $E \otimes R_4$. By Remark 2.1.6, $d_1(v_2^4)$ is represented by $[1|y_1y_2^4]$, hence is equal to $\alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{0,12,2}^2$.

□

It turns out that the DMSS collapses at the E_2-term because there is no room for higher differentials. In particular, the classes $\alpha_{1,14,2}, \alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}^2, v_2^8, \alpha_{0,18,3}$ survive the spectral sequence converging to elements of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ in appropriate bidegrees. Following [**DFHH14**], those elements are denoted by $\alpha, h_2, g, w_2, \beta$, respectively. Furthermore, h_2, g, w_2, β generate a subalgebra of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[h_2, g, w_2, \beta]/(h_2^3, h_2g, \beta^4 - g^3)$. The relation $\beta^4 = g^3$ is a consequence of a d_1-differential. In effect, the relation $\alpha_{0,18,3}^2 = \alpha_{0,12,2}^3 + \alpha_{0,4,1}^2v_2^4$ implies the relation $\beta^4 - g^3 - h_2^4w_2 = 0$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}^{*,*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. But $\alpha_{0,4,1}^4v_2^8$ gets hit by the differential

$$d_1(v_2^8\alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{0,12,2}) = v_2^8\alpha_{0,4,1}d_1(\alpha_{0,12,2}) = v_2^8\alpha_{0,4,1}^4.$$

Thus the relation $\beta^4 = g^3 + h_2^4w_2$ becomes $\beta^4 = g^3$.

3.2 The Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence for A_1

The $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$-comodule structure of A_1. In [**DM81**], Davis and Mahowald constructed four finite spectra, whose mod 2 cohomology are isomorphic to a free module of rank one over the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(1) = \langle Sq^1, Sq^2\rangle$ of the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let us review the construction of these spectra and their module structure over the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(2) = \langle Sq^1, Sq^2, Sq^4\rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}$. Recall that Y is $V(0) \wedge C_\eta$. The $\mathcal{A}$-module structure of $\mathrm{H}^*(Y)$ is depicted in Figure 13. An ele-




Figure 13 – Diagram of $\mathrm{H}^*(Y)$: the straight lines represent Sq^1 and the curved lines represent Sq^2, the numbers represent the degree of the cell.

ment of $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(1)}^{1,3}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y))$ can be represented by an $\mathcal{A}(1)$-module M sitting in a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{A}(1)$-modules

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^*(\Sigma^3Y) \to M \to \mathrm{H}^*(Y) \to 0.$$

It can be checked that M must be isomorphic either to $\mathrm{H}^*(\Sigma^3 Y) \oplus \mathrm{H}^*(Y)$ or to $\mathcal{A}(1)$ as an $\mathcal{A}(1)$-module. This means that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}(1)}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y)) \cong \mathbb{F}_2. \tag{15}$$

The $\mathcal{A}(1)$-module structure of $\mathcal{A}(1)$ is depicted in Figure 14. One can ask whether




Figure 14 – Diagram of $\mathcal{A}(1)$.

$\mathcal{A}(1)$ admits a structure of $\mathcal{A}(2)$-module. If such a structure exists, then according to the Adem relations $Sq^2Sq^1Sq^2 = Sq^4Sq^1 + Sq^1Sq^4$, there must be a nontrivial action of Sq^4 on the nontrivial class of degree 1. It is straightforward to verify that the latter is the only constraint to put an $\mathcal{A}(2)$-module structure on $\mathcal{A}(1)$. There are also possibilities for Sq^4 to act nontrivially on the classes of degree 0 and 2. These give in total four different $\mathcal{A}(2)$-module structures on A_1. In other words, the inclusion of Hopf algebras $\mathcal{A}(1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(2)$ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}(2)}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}(1)}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y))$$

whose kernel contains 4 element. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}(2)}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y)) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{\oplus 3}.$$

Next, one observes that restriction along $\mathcal{A}(2) \subset \mathcal{A}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}(2)}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y)),$$

because for any $\mathcal{A}$-module M sitting in a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^*(\Sigma^3 Y) \to M \to \mathrm{H}^*(Y) \to 0$$

there can not be any non-trivial Sq^k for $k \geq 8$ on M. It is proved in [**DM81**] that the four classes of $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y))$ that are sent to the unique non-trivial class of $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,3}_{\mathcal{A}(1)}(\mathrm{H}^*(Y), \mathrm{H}^*(Y))$ are permanent cycles in the Adams spectral sequence and converge to four v_1- self-maps of Y, i.e., the maps $\Sigma^2 Y \to Y$ inducing isomorphisms in $K(1)$-homology theory. As a consequence, the cofibers of these v_1-self-maps realise the four different $\mathcal{A}$-module structures on $\mathcal{A}(1)$. We will write A_1 to refer to any of these four finite spectra. Following [**BEM17**], we make the following definition.

Definition 3.2.1. We define by $A_1[i,j],\ i,j \in \{0,1\}$ the version of A_1 having the non-trivial Sq^4 on the generator of degree 0, respectively 2 if and only if $i=1$, respectively $j=1$.

As a $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces,

$$\mathrm{H}_*(A_1[ij]) \cong \mathbb{F}_2\{a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \overline{a_3}, a_4, a_5, a_6\}, \tag{16}$$

where $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_4, a_5, a_6$ are duals to the generators of degree $0,1,2,4,5,6$ of $\mathrm{H}^*(A_1[ij])$, respectively and $a_3, \overline{a_3}$ are duals to the images of the generator of degree 0 by $Sq^3, Sq^3 + Sq^2Sq^1$, respectively. By taking duals to the action of $\mathcal{A}(2)$ on $\mathrm{H}^*(A_1[ij])$, we obtain

Proposition 3.2.2. *The left coaction of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$ on $\mathrm{H}_*(A_1[ij])$ is given by*

$\Delta(a_1) = [1|a_1] + [\xi_1|a_0]$
$\Delta(a_2) = [1|a_2] + [\xi_1^2|a_2]$
$\Delta(a_3) = [1|a_3] + [\xi_1|a_2] + [\xi_1^2|a_1] + [\xi_1^3|a_0]$
$\Delta(\overline{a_3}) = [1|\overline{a_3}] + [\xi_1^2|a_1] + [\xi_2|a_0]$
$\Delta(a_4) = [1|a_4] + [\xi_1|\overline{a_3}] + [\xi_1^2|a_2] + [\xi_1^3|a_1] + [\xi_2|a_1] + [\xi_2\xi_1|a_0] + \alpha_{i,j}[\xi_1^4|a_0]$
$\Delta(a_5) = [1|a_5] + [\xi_1^2|\overline{a_3}] + [\xi_1^2|a_3] + [\xi_2|a_2] + [\xi_1^4|a_1] + [\xi_2\xi_1^2|a_0]$
$\Delta(a_6) = [1|a_6] + [\xi_1|a_5] + [\xi_1^2|a_4] + [\xi_1^3|\overline{a_3}] + [\xi_1^3|a_3] + [\xi_2|a_3] + [\xi_2\xi_1|a_2] + \beta_{i,j}[\xi_1^4|a_2] + [\xi_2\xi_1^2|a_1] + [\xi_1^5|a_1] + \gamma_{i,j}[\xi_1^6|a_0] + [\xi_2\xi_1^3|a_0] + \lambda_{i,j}[\xi_2^2|a_0]$, *where*

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (i,j) \in \{(0,0),(0,1)\} \\ 1 & \text{if } (i,j) \in \{(1,0),(1,1)\} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (i,j) \in \{(0,0),(1,0)\} \\ 1 & \text{if } (i,j) \in \{(0,1),(1,1)\} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{i,j} = 1 + \alpha_{i,j}$$

and

$$\lambda_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,j} + \beta_{i,j}$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward translation from $\mathcal{A}(2)$-module structure to $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$-comodule structure using the formula of the duals of the Milnor basis in [**Mil58**]. □

DMSS for A_1. In what follows, we will apply in many places the shearing homomorphism to find primitives representing certain cohomology classes, see [**ABP69**], Theorem 3.1. In general, let C be a Hopf algebra with conjugation χ and B be Hopf-algebra quotient of C. Given a C-comodule M, consider the composite

$$C \otimes M \xrightarrow{id\otimes\Delta} C \otimes C \otimes M \xrightarrow{id\otimes\chi\otimes id} C \otimes C \otimes M \xrightarrow{\mu\otimes id} C \otimes M.$$

When restricting to $C\square_B M$, this composite factors through $(C\square_B k)\otimes M$ inducing the shearing isomorphism of C-comodules

$$Sh : C\square_B M \to (C\square_B k)\otimes M,$$

where C coacts on $C\square_B M$ via the left factor and on $(C\square_B k)\otimes M$ diagonally. Combined with the change-of-rings isomorphism, we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_B^*(k,M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_C^*(k, C\square_B M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_C^*(k,(C\square_B k)\otimes M).$$

In particular, via these isomorphisms, a class $x \in \mathrm{Ext}_B^0(k,M)$ is sent to $Sh(1\otimes x)$.

Proposition 3.2.3. *The* E_1*-term of the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence converging to* $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{s,\sigma,*} \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s>0 \\ R_\sigma & \text{if } s=0. \end{cases}$$

As a module over $\mathbb{F}_2[\alpha_{0,4,1},\alpha_{0,12,2},v_2^4](\subset \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R))$, $\mathrm{E}_1^{*,*,*}$ *is free module of rank eight on the following generators of*

$$1, y_3, y_3^2, y_3^3, y_2, y_2y_3, y_2y_3^2, y_2y_3^3. \tag{17}$$

Proof. In effect, $\mathrm{E}_1^{s,\sigma,t}$ is equal to $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R^\sigma\otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ by definition. The coaction of $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$ on $R^\sigma\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$ is the usual diagonal coaction on tensor products. In addition, $\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$ as $\mathcal{A}(1)_*$-comodules. By the change-of-rings isomorphism, we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R^\sigma\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(R^\sigma) \cong R^\sigma. \tag{18}$$

The first part of the proposition follows.

For the second part, the action of $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R)$ on $\mathrm{E}_1^{s,t,\sigma}$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R)\otimes \mathrm{Ext}^{s',t'}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{s+s',t+t'}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$$

is induced by the multiplication on R:

$$R\otimes(R\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \to R\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1).$$

Now let $r\in \mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R)\subset R$ and $s\in R\cong \mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$. By applying the shearing isomorphism, the class s is represented by a unique element of the

form $s \otimes a_0 + \sum s_i \otimes a_i \in R \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$ where the a_i are in positive degrees. The action of r on s is then represented by $rs \otimes a_0 + \sum rs_i \otimes a_i$ which represents $rs \in R \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R \otimes A_1)$ via (18). In other words, the action of $\mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R)$ on $\mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ is given by the multiplication of the polynomial algebra R. The proof follows from the fact that $\mathbb{F}_2[\alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}, v_2^4]$ is identified with the subalgebra of R generated by y_1, y_2^2, y_3^4. □

Let us analyse the differentials in this spectral sequence. As the d_r-differentials decrease s-filtration by $r-1$, i.e., $d_r : \mathrm{E}_r^{s,\sigma,t} \to \mathrm{E}_r^{s-r+1,\sigma+r,t}$ and $\mathrm{E}_1^{s,\sigma,t} = 0$ if $s > 0$, the spectral sequence collapses at the E_2-term and there are no extension problems. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{E}_2^{0,t,\sigma} \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{\sigma,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)).$$

We now turn our attention to the d_1-differentials. As all elements of the E_1-term are in $\mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{\mathcal{A}(1)_*}(R \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$, we can apply the remark after Proposition 2.1.5. We have determined the d_1-differential on the classes $\alpha_{0,4,1}, \alpha_{0,12,2}, v_2^4$ in Proposition 3.1.17. By the Leibniz rule, it remains to determine the d_1-differential on the classes of (17).

Proposition 3.2.4. *There are the following d_1-differentials*

1) $d_1(1) = 0$,
2) $d_1(y_2) = 0$,
3) $d_1(y_3) = 0$,
4) $d_1(y_2y_3) = 0$,
5) $d_1(y_2y_3^2) = 0$,
6) $d_1(y_2y_3^3) = 0$,
7) $d_1(y_3^2) = \alpha_{0,4,1}^2 y_2$,
8) $d_1(y_3^3) = \alpha_{0,4,1}^2 y_2 y_3$.

Proof. Parts $1-4$ follow from the sparseness of the E_1-term.

5) The only nontrivial d_1-differential that $y_2y_3^2$ can support is

$$d_1(y_2y_3^2) = \alpha_{0,4,1}^2 \alpha_{0,12,2} 1.$$

However,

$$d_1(\alpha_{0,4,1}^2 \alpha_{0,12,2}) = \alpha_{0,4,1}^2 d_1(\alpha_{0,12,2}) = \alpha_{0,4,1}^5 1 \neq 0.$$

This means that $\alpha_{0,4,1}^2 \alpha_{0,12,2}$ is not a d_1-cycle, and so cannot be hit by a d_1-differential. Therefore, $y_2y_3^2$ is a d_1-cycle.

6) Similarly, a nontrivial d_1-differential on $y_2y_3^3$ would be

$$d_1(y_2y_3^3) = \alpha_{0,4,1}^2 \alpha_{0,12,2} y_3.$$

However,

$$d_1(\alpha_{0,4,1}^2\alpha_{0,12,2}y_3) = \alpha_{0,4,1}^5 y_3 \neq 0$$

by the Leibniz rule. Thus, $y_2y_3^3$ is a d_1-cycle.

7-8) It suffices to prove that $\nu^2 y_2 = 0$ and $\nu^2 y_2 y_3 = 0$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ because the differentials in part 7) and 8) are the only possibilities for the latter to occur. We will proceed using juggling formulas for Massey products, see [**Rav86**], Section 4 of Appendix A1. In effect, the classes 1 and y_3 being permanent cycles by part 1) and part 3), they converge to classes in $\mathrm{Ext}^{0,0}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,6}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$, respectively. By sparseness even at the level of the E_1-term of the DMSS, $\eta 1 = \eta y_3 = 0$. Hence the Massey product $\langle \nu, \eta, y_3^i\rangle$ with $i \in \{0,1\}$ can be formed. We have that

$$\nu^2 y_3^i = \langle \eta, \nu, \eta\rangle y_3^i = \eta\langle \nu, \eta, y_3^i\rangle.$$

By sparseness of the DMSS, $\alpha_{0,4,1}^2 y_3^i$ survives the DMSS and so $\nu^2 y_3^i \neq 0$. It follows that $\langle \nu, \eta, y_3^i\rangle$ is nontrivial and must be equal to $y_2y_3^i$. The fact that $\nu^3 = 0 \in \mathrm{Ext}^{3,12}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ allows us to do the following juggling

$$\nu^2 y_2 y_3^i = \nu^2\langle \nu, \eta, y_3^i\rangle = \langle \nu^2, \nu, \eta\rangle y_3^i.$$

However, the Massey product $\langle \nu^2, \nu, \eta\rangle$ lives in the group $\mathrm{Ext}^{3,14}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ which vanishes by Theorem 3.1.14. This concludes the proof of parts 7) and 8). □

E_2-term of the Adams SS. We describe $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ as a module over

$$\mathbb{F}_2[h_2, g, v_2^8]/(h_2^3, h_2g) \subset \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

We recall that g is represented by $\alpha_{0,12,2}^2$ in the DMSS for $\mathbb{F}_2$. We will denote by $e[s,t]$ where $s,t \in \mathbb{N}$ the unique non-trivial class belonging to $\mathrm{Ext}^{s,s+t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$.

Proposition 3.2.5. *As a module over $\mathbb{F}_2[h_2, g, v_2^8]/(h_2^3, h_2g)$, $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ is a direct sum of cyclic modules generated by the following elements*

e[0,0]	*e[1,5]*	*e[1,6]*	*e[2,11]*
1	y_2	y_3	y_2y_3
(0)	(h_2^2)	*(0)*	(h_2^2)

e[3,15]	*e[3,17]*	*e[4,21]*	*e[4,23]*
$y_2^3 + y_1y_3^2$	$y_2y_3^2$	$y_1y_3^3 + y_2^3y_3$	$y_2y_3^3$
(h_2^2)	*(0)*	(h_2^2)	*(0)*

e[6,30]	*e[6,32]*	*e[7,36]*	*e[7,38]*
$y_2^6+y_1^2y_3^4$	$y_2^4y_3^2+y_1y_2y_3^4$	$y_2^6y_3+y_1^2y_3^5$	$y_2^4y_3^3+y_1y_2y_3^5$
(h_2)	(h_2)	(h_2)	(h_2)

e[8,42]	*e[9,47]*	*e[9,48]*	*e[10,53]*
$y_2^6y_3^2+y_1^2y_3^6+y_1y_2^3y_3^4$	$y_2^7y_3^2+y_1^2y_2y_3^6$	$y_2^6y_3^3+y_1^2y_3^7+y_1y_2^3y_3^5$	$y_2^7y_3^3+y_1^2y_2y_3^7$
(h_2)	(h_2)	(h_2)	(h_2)

The second row in the table indicates a representative in the DMSS and the third row the annihilator ideal of the corresponding generator.

Proof. As a corollary of Proposition 3.2.3, the E_1-term of the DMSS for $\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$ is isomorphic to a free module of rank 32 over $\mathbb{F}_2[h_2,g,v_2^8]$. In particular, these 32 generators are h_2-free. It turns out that one can choose these 32-generators in such a way that there are exactly 16 h_2-free towers that truncate 16 others by d_1-differentials. The question is how one can identify these 16 d_1-cycles. For this, we compute the d_1-differentials on the following 32 generators of the E_1-term: $\{y_2^iy_3^j|0\le i\le 3, 0\le j\le 7\}$. Some of them are d_1-cycles, for example y_2, y_3. Whereas, some of them are not d_1-cycle at first, but become so after adding a multiple of h_2, for example $\alpha_{0,12,2}y_2+h_2y_3^2=y_2^3+y_1y_3^2$. This procedure is straightforward but lengthy, so we omit details here. It can be checked that the generators listed in the table are d_1-cycles. Finally, since g and v_2^8 are d_1-cycles, Proposition 3.2.5 follows. □

3.3 Two products

Now we turn our attention to the product between $\alpha\in\mathrm{Ext}^{3,15}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $e[4,23]\in\mathrm{Ext}^{4,27}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$. This product is not detected in the DMSS because α has σ-filtration 1 in the DMSS whereas all non-trivial groups in the E_∞-term of the DMSS converging to $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ are in σ-filtration 0. Therefore, we need first to find a representative of α in the total cochain complex of the double complex $\mathcal{A}(2)_*^{\otimes *}\otimes E_2\otimes R$ and that of $e[4,23]$ in $\mathcal{A}(2)_*^{\otimes *}\otimes E_2\otimes R\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$, then take the product at the level of cochain complexes and finally check if this product is a coboundary. It is tedious to carry out this procedure because any representative of $e[4,23]$ contains many terms, and so it is not easy to check if the product is a coboundary. Here, by a term of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*^{\otimes *}\otimes E_2\otimes R_*$ and $\mathcal{A}(2)_*^{\otimes *}\otimes E_2\otimes R_*\otimes\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$, we mean an element of the basis formed by the tensor products of a basis of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$, E_2, R_* and $\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$ chosen to be the monomial basis and the basis of (16), respectively. We will keep the same convention when working with $B(2)_*$, F_2, S_* instead of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$, E_2, R_*. The following two lemmas simplify computations.

Lemma 3.3.1. *The product of α and $e[4,23]$ is equal either to 0 or to $ge[3,15]$.*

Proof. This is trivial because $ge[3,15]$ is the only non-trivial class in the appropriate bidegree. □

We recall from Section 2 that there is a map of pairs $(\mathcal{A}(2)_*, E_2) \to (B(2)_*, F_2)$ given by

$$\mathcal{A}(2)_* = \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3]/(\zeta_1^8,\zeta_2^4,\zeta_3^2) \to B(2)_* = \mathbb{F}_2[\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3]/(\zeta_1^4,\zeta_2^4,\zeta_3^2)$$

$$\zeta_i \mapsto \zeta_i \;\; i \in \{1,2,3\}$$

$$E_2 = E(x_1,x_2,x_3) \to F_2 = E(x_2,x_3)$$

$$x_1 \mapsto 0, x_2 \mapsto x_2, x_2 \mapsto x_2.$$

The induced map on their Koszul duals is

$$R = \mathbb{F}_2[y_1,y_2,y_3] \to S = \mathbb{F}_2[y_2,y_3]$$

$$y_1 \mapsto 0, y_2 \mapsto y_2, y_3 \mapsto y_3.$$

By an abuse of notation, we will denote by p these projection maps. The context will make it clear which map is referred to.

Lemma 3.3.2. *The map* $p_* = \mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ *induced by the projection* $\mathcal{A}(2)_* \to B(2)_*$ *sends* $ge[3,15]$ *to a non-trivial element.*

Proof. The projection $\mathcal{A}(2)_* \to B(2)_*$ induces a morphism of the DMSSs. The morphism of the E_1-terms reads

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(E_2 \otimes R \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{B(2)_*}(F_2 \otimes S \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1)).$$

By the change-of-rings isomorphism, this morphism identifies with the projection $p : R \to S$, which is surjective. The class $ge[3,15]$ is detected by $y_2^4(y_2^3+y_1y_3^2) \in R^7$, which maps to $y_2^7 \in S^7$ via p. By naturality, y_2^7 is a permanent cycle in the target DMSS. The only class in the E_1-term which can support a differential hitting y_2^7 is y_3^6. y_3^6 admits $v_2^4y_3^2$ as a lift in the source DMSS. We have that

$$d_1(v_2^4y_3^2) = d_1(v_2^4)y_3^2 + v_2^4 d_1(y_3^2) = (\alpha_{0,4,1}\alpha_{0,12,2}^2)y_3^2 + v_2^4(\alpha_{0,4,1}y_2) = y_1y_2^4y_3^2 + y_3^4y_1y_2.$$

This uses the Leibniz rule, Proposition 3.1.17 part 11), Proposition 3.2.4 part 7). By naturality, the d_1-differential in the target DMSS is equal to $p(y_1y_2^4y_3^2+y_3^4y_1y_2)$, which is equal to 0. Therefore, the image of $ge[3,15]$ is non-trivial.

□

Lemma 3.3.3. *The product of* α *and* $e[4,23]$ *is non-trivial, hence equal to* $ge[3,15]$ *if and only if the product of* $p_*(\alpha)$ *and* $p_*(e[4,23])$ *is non-trivial.*

Proof. The map $p : \mathcal{A}(2)_* \to B(2)_*$ induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}^{3,15}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^{4,27}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_*} \\ \mathrm{Ext}^{3,15}_{B(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^{4,27}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are the respective multiplications. The result follows from the fact that $p_*(ge[3,15])$ is non-trivial by Lemma 3.3.2.

□

Now let us compute the product of $p_*(\alpha)$ and $p_*(e[4,23])$.

Lemma 3.3.4. *In the total cochain complexes of $B(2)_*^{\otimes *} \otimes F_2 \otimes S$ and of $B(2)_*^{\otimes *} \otimes F_2 \otimes S \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$, respectively :*

i) $p_*(\alpha)$ *is represented by* $[\xi_2|1|y_2^2] + [\xi_1^3|1|y_2^2] + [\xi_1|1|y_3^2] \in B(2) \otimes F_2 \otimes S^2$*;*

ii) $p_*(e[4,23])$ *is represented by* $[1|y_2y_3^3|a_0] + [1|y_2^2y_3^2|a_1] + [1|y_2^3y_3|a_2] + [1|y_2^4|a_3] \in F_2 \otimes S^4 \otimes A_1$.

Proof. A direct computation shows that these elements are cocycles of the total differentials, which are not coboundaries. One way to prove that they represent the right classes is to prove that they lift to cocycles in the total cochain complexes of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*^{\otimes *} \otimes E_2 \otimes R$ and of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*^{\otimes *} \otimes E_2 \otimes R \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$, respectively.

It is easy to check that $[\xi_2|1|y_2^2] + [\xi_1^3|1|y_2^2] + [\xi_1|1|y_3^2] + [\xi_2|x_1|y_1^2] + [\xi_1^3|x_1|y_1^2] + [\xi_1|x_2|y_1^2] + [1|1|y_1^2y_3] \in (\mathcal{A}(2)_* \otimes E_2 \otimes R^2) \oplus (E_2 \otimes R^3)$ is a lift for $[\xi_2|1|y_1^2] + [\xi_1^3|1|y_1^2] + [\xi_1|1|y_2^2]$.

For the other element, instead of finding a lift it suffices to show that p_* induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^{4,27}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Ext}^{4,27}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$, so that both are isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2$. This can be proved by a similar argument to that used in the proof of Lemma 3.3.2. In effect, the non-trivial class of $\mathrm{Ext}^{4,27}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ is detected by $y_2y_3^3$ in the DMSS. Via p_*, the latter is sent to $y_2y_3^3$ which is the unique non-trivial element of the E_1-term of the target DMSS in the appropriate tridegree. For degree reasons, $y_2y_3^3$ is not hit by any differential. Therefore, $y_2y_3^3$ survives the target DMSS and it follows that $\mathrm{Ext}^{4,27}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Ext}^{4,27}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$.

□

Set $M = [\xi_2|1|y_2^2] + [\xi_1^3|1|y_2^2] + [\xi_1|1|y_3^2]$ and $N = [1|y_2y_3^3|a_0] + [1|y_2^2y_3^2|a_1] + [1|y_2^3y_3|a_2] + [1|y_2^4|a_3]$. We need to show that MN, which is a $(d_v + d_h)$-cocycle, represents a non-trivial class in $\mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$. We see that MN is an element in $B(2)_* \otimes F_2 \otimes S^6 \otimes A_1$ and $d_v(MN) = 0$. This means that MN represents a

class in $\mathrm{Ext}^{1,42}_{B(2)_*}(F_2 \otimes S^6 \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$. However, the latter group is trivial because by the change-of-rings theorem, $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{B(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2, F_2 \otimes S \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ is isomorphic to S which is concentrated only in cohomological degree 0. There must be an element $P \in F_2 \otimes S^6 \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$ such that $d_v(P) = MN$, and so $d_h(P)$ represents the same class in $\mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ as MN does.

We recall the values of $\lambda_{i,j}$ as introduced in Proposition 3.2.2: $\lambda_{1,0} = \lambda_{0,1} = 1$ and $\lambda_{0,0} = \lambda_{1,1} = 0$.

Lemma 3.3.5. *P contains $\lambda_{i,j}[1|x_2|y_2^6|a_0]$ as a term.*

Proof. The product MN contains the term $[\xi_2|1|y_2^6|a_3]$. One can check that P must contain the term $[1|y_2^6|a_6]$, so that $d_v(P)$ contains the term $[\xi_2|1|y_2^6|a_3]$. Using the formula for the coaction of $\mathcal{A}(2)_*$ on a_6, one sees that $d_v(P)$ contains the term $\lambda_{i,j}[\xi_2^2|1|y_2^6|a_0]$ which is not a term of MN. In order to compensate this term, P must contain the term $\lambda_{i,j}[1|x_2|y_2^6|a_0]$. □

Lemma 3.3.6. *A $(d_h + d_v)$-cycle in $F_2 \otimes S^7 \otimes A_1$ gives rise to a non-trivial class in $\mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ if and only if it contains the term $[1|y_2^7|a_0]$.*

Proof. It is shown in the proof of Lemma 3.3.2 that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$$

and that this group arises from

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{0,42}_{B(2)}(F_2 \otimes S^7 \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1)) \cong \mathbb{F}_2\{y_2^7\} \subset S^7.$$

Therefore, by the shearing homomorphism, the only element in $F_2 \otimes S^7 \otimes \mathrm{H}_*(A_1)$ that represents the non-trivial class of $\mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ must contain the term $[1|y_2^7|a_0]$. □

Proposition 3.3.7. *The product $\alpha e[4,23]$ is equal to $\lambda_{i,j} g e[3,15]$.*

Proof. $\alpha e[4,23]$ is non-trivial if and only if $d_h(P)$ represents a non-trivial class in $\mathrm{Ext}^{7,42}_{B(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$. Lemma 3.3.5 shows that $d_h(P)$ contains the term $\lambda_{i,j}[1|y_1^7|a_0]$. Hence, lemme 3.3.6 concludes the proof. □

The product between $\beta \in \mathrm{Ext}^{3,18}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $e[3,15] \in \mathrm{Ext}^{3,18}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ is easier because both have σ-filtration 0 in the Davis-Mahowald spectral sequence.

Proposition 3.3.8. $\beta e[3,15] = e[6,30]$.

Proof. the class β is represented by $y_2^3 + y_1 y_3^2$ in R^3 and $e[3,15]$ is represented by $[y_2^3 + y_1 y_3^2|a_0]$ in $R^3 \otimes A_1$. So the product $\beta e[3,15]$ is represented by $[y_2^6 + y_1^2 y_3^4|a_0]$, which represents $e[6,30]$ by Proposition 3.2.5. □

4 Partial study of the Adams spectral sequence for $tmf \wedge A_1$

In this section, we establish some differentials as well as some structures of the ASS for A_1. These are essential bits of information allowing us to run the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence in the next section.

Recall that the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$ which has E_2-term isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ is a spectral sequence of modules over that for tmf, whose E_2-term is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We first recollect some known properties of the ASS for tmf, see [**DFHH14**], Chapter 13.

Theorem 4.0.1. *(i) The class $g \in \mathrm{Ext}^{4,24}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is a permanent cycle detecting the image of $\overline{\kappa} \in \pi_{20}(S^0)$ via the Hurewicz map $S^0 \to tmf$.*

ii) There is the following d_2-differential in the Adams spectral sequence for tmf

$$d_2(w_2) = g\beta\alpha.$$

(iii) There is the following d_3-differential in the Adams spectral sequence for tmf

$$d_3(w_2^2(v_2^4\eta)) = g^6.$$

(iv) The class $\Delta^8 := w_2^4$ survives the Adams spectral sequence.

Proposition 4.0.2. *In the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2$ such that the following statements are equivalent:*

i) $d_2(w_2e[4,23]) = \lambda g^2 e[6,30]$,
ii) $d_2(w_2e[9,48]) = \lambda g^4 e[3,15]$,
iii) $d_2(w_2e[10,53]) = \lambda g^5 e[0,0]$,
iv) $d_2(w_2e[7,38]) = \lambda g^4 e[1,5]$.

Proof. We will prove that $i) \Rightarrow ii) \Rightarrow iii) \Rightarrow iv) \Rightarrow i)$. The charts of Figures (16) and (17) will make the proof easier to follow. First, we observe that all of the classes $e[4,23]$, $e[7,38]$, $e[9,48]$, $e[10,53]$ are permanent cycles, by sparseness.

$i) \Rightarrow ii)$ Suppose $d_2(w_2e[4,23]) = g^2e[6,30]$. Then $d_2(g^2w_2e[4,23]) = g^4e[6,30]$ by g-linearity. It follows that there is no room for a non-trivial differential on $w_2^2e[3,15]$. In order words, $w_2^2e[3,15]$ is a permanent cycle. Because of part $iii)$ of Theorem 4.0.1, a g^k-multiple of $w_2^2e[3,15]$ must be hit by a differential for some k less than 7. One can check that the only possibility is that $d_2(w_2^3e[9,48]) = g^4w_2^2e[3,15]$. Since w_2^2 is a d_2-cycle in the ASS for tmf, this differential implies that $d_2(w_2e[9,48]) = g^4e[3,15]$.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ *iii)* Suppose $d_2(w_2e[9,48]) = g^4e[3,15]$. Then the class $w_2^2e[0,0]$ is a permanent cycle, by sparseness. Again, a g^k-multiple of $w_2^2e[0,0]$ for some k smaller than 7 must be hit by a differential. Inspection shows that the classes $w_2^3e[10,53]$ and $w_2^4e[1,5]$ are the only ones that have the appropriate bidegree to support such a differential. However, $w_2^4e[1,5]$ is a permanent cycle, because w_2^4 and $e[1,5]$ are permanent cycles in their respective ASS. Thus, we have that $d_2(w_2e[10,53]) = g^5e[0,0]$.

iii $\Rightarrow$ *iv)* Suppose $d_2(w_2e[10,53]) = g^5e[0,0]$. Then the class $w_2^2e[1,5]$ is a permanent cycle, as there is no room for a non-trivial differential on it. Then $g^kw_2^2e[1,5]$ must be hit by a differential for some k less than 7. Inspection shows that the only possibility is that $d_2(w_2^3e[7,38]) = g^4w_2^2e[1,5]$. As w_2^2 is a d_2-cycle, it follows that $d_2(w_2e[7,38]) = g^4e[1,5]$.

iv) $\Rightarrow$ *i)* Suppose $d_2(w_2e[7,38]) = g^4e[1,5]$. By g-linearity, we get that $d_2(gw_2e[7,38]) = g^5e[1,5]$. It follows by sparseness that $w_2^2e[6,30]$ is a permanent cycle. Then the class $g^kw_2^2e[6,30]$ is hit by a differential for some k less than 7. Inspection shows that the only possibility is that $d_2(w_2^3e[4,23]) = g^2w_2^2e[6,30]$. Therefore, $d_2(w_2e[4,23]) = g^2e[6,30]$ by w_2^2-linearity.

□

Theorem 4.0.3. *In the Adams spectral sequence for $tmf \wedge A_1[ij]$, there are the following differential d_2:*

i) $d_2(w_2e[4,23]) = \lambda_{i,j}g^2e[6,30]$,
ii) $d_2(w_2e[9,48]) = \lambda_{i,j}g^4e[3,15]$,
iii) $d_2(w_2e[10,53]) = \lambda_{i,j}g^5e[0,0]$,
iv) $d_2(w_2e[7,38]) = \lambda_{i,j}g^4e[1,5]$.

Proof. By the Leibniz rule and part (ii) of Theorem 4.0.1,

$$d_2(w_2e[4,23]) = d_2(w_2)e[4,23] = g\beta\alpha e[4,23] = \lambda_{i,j}g^2e[6,30],$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 3.3.7 and Proposition 3.3.8. Thus, the theorem follows from Proposition 4.0.2. □

Proposition 4.0.4. *There are the following d_3-differentials in the Adams spectral sequence for $tmf \wedge A_1$*

$$d_3(w_2^2e[10,53]) = g^5e[9,48]$$
$$d_3(w_2^3e[1,5]) = g^5w_2e[0,0].$$

Proof. We can check from the chart that $e[9,48]$ and $we[0,0]$ are permanent cycles. Then $g^le[9,48]$ and $g^kwe[0,0]$ must be targets of some differentials for some l and k less than 7. Inspection of the E_2-term shows that either

$$d_2(w_2^2e[10,53]) = g^5we[0,0] \text{ and } d_4(w_2^3e[1,5]) = g^5e[9,48]$$




Figure 15 – The Adams spectral sequence in the range $148 \leq t - s \leq 152$

or

$$d_3(w_2^2 e[10,53]) = g^5 e[9,48] \text{ and } d_3(w_2^3 e[1,5]) = g^5 w_2 e[0,0].$$

However, the former possibility is ruled out because of the Leibniz rule:

$$d_2(w_2^2 e[10,53]) = d_2(w_2^2) e[10,53] = 2w_2 d_2(w_2) e[10,53] = 0,$$

where the first equality follows from the fact that $e[10,53]$ is a permanent cycle, by spareness. □

Corollary 4.0.5. The Toda bracket $\langle g^5, e[9,48], \nu \rangle$ can be formed and contains only elements which are divisible by g.

For references on Toda bracket, see [**Tod62**], [**Koc90**].

Proof. In the E_4-term of the ASS, the Massey product $\langle g^5, e[9,48], \nu \rangle$ has cohomological filtration 27 and is equal to zero with zero indeterminacy. On the other hand the corresponding Toda bracket can be formed with indeterminacy containing only multiples of g. We can check that all conditions of Moss's convergence theorem [**Mos70**] are met. This implies that the Toda bracket $\langle g^5, e[9,48], \nu \rangle$ contains an element detected in filtration 27 by 0, thus is a multiple of g. Therefore, this Toda bracket contains only multiples of g. □

Finally, we need to have control of the action of the class $\Delta^8 = w_2^4 \in \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}^{32,224}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ on the E_∞-term of the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$. This will allow us to compare $\pi_*(tmf \wedge$

A_1) with $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$ (see Corollary 5.1.4) and hence to discuss higher differentials in the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$.

Proposition 4.0.6. *The class w_2^4 acts freely on the E_∞-term of the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$. As a consequence, the element $\Delta^8 \in \pi_{192}(tmf)$ acts freely on the homotopy groups of $tmf \wedge A_1$.*

Proof. Using the description of the E_2-term of the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$ in Theorem 3.2.5 and an elementary bidegree inspection, we can see that, if a class y is in an appropriate bidegree to support a differential hitting a class of the form $w_2^4 x$ for some class x, then y is divisible by w_2^4. Knowing that w_2^4 is a permanent cycle in the ASS for tmf, we conclude that, if a class x survives the E_r-term, then the multiple of x by all powers of w_2^4 also survive that term. Therefore, the Proposition follows by induction. □

Proposition 4.0.7. *For every element $x \in \pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)$, the element $\Delta^8 x$ is divisible by $\overline{\kappa}$ (resp. ν) if and only if x is divisible by $\overline{\kappa}$ (resp. ν).*

Proof. The argument is similar to that used in the proof of Proposition 4.0.6. A bidegree inspection shows that, if a class $y \in \mathrm{Ext}^{*,*}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathrm{H}_*(A_1))$ is in an appropriate bidegree whose (exotic) product with g (resp. ν) might detect $\Delta^8 x$, then y is divisible by w_2^4. We conclude the proof by using the fact that the class w_2^4 acts freely on the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$, by Proposition 4.0.6. □




Figure 16 – Adams spectral sequence for A_1 in the range $0 \leq t - s \leq 48$




Figure 17 – Adams spectral sequence for A_1 in the range $48 \leq t - s \leq 101$. The arrows in bold are differentials for the models $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$ and the dashed arrows for the models $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$

5 The homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$

5.1 Preliminaries and recollection on cohomology of G_{24}

The action of G_{24} on $(E_C)_* \cong \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)[[u_1]][u^{\pm 1}]$ is documented [**Bea17**], 2.4. Let us recall this action.

Theorem 5.1.1. *The action of G_{24} on $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)[[u_1]][u^{\pm 1}]$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(u^{-1}) &= \zeta^2 u^{-1} \quad & \omega(v_1) &= v_1 \\
i(u^{-1}) &= \frac{-u^{-1} + v_1}{\zeta^2 - \zeta} \quad & i(v_1) &= \frac{v_1 + 2u^{-1}}{\zeta^2 - \zeta} \\
j(u^{-1}) &= \frac{-u^{-1} + \zeta^2 v_1}{\zeta^2 - \zeta} \quad & j(v_1) &= \frac{v_1 + 2\zeta^2 u^{-1}}{\zeta^2 - \zeta} \\
k(u^{-1}) &= \frac{-u^{-1} + \zeta v_1}{\zeta^2 - \zeta} \quad & k(v_1) &= \frac{v_1 + 2\zeta u^{-1}}{\zeta^2 - \zeta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Equations 6 and 7 give us a way to get access to the homotopy groups of $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$.

Theorem 5.1.2. *There is a homotopy equivalence*

$$[(\Delta^8)^{-1}]tmf \wedge A_1 \simeq (E_C^{hG_{24}})^{h\mathrm{Gal}} \wedge A_1,$$

where Gal *denotes the Galois group* $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_4/\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[(\Delta^8)^{-1}]tmf \wedge A_1 &\simeq TMF \wedge A_1 \text{ (Equation 7)} \\
&\simeq L_2(TMF) \wedge A_1 \ (TMF \text{ is } E(2)\text{-local}) \\
&\simeq L_2(TMF \wedge A_1) \ (L_2 \text{ is smashing}) \\
&\simeq L_{K(2)}(TMF) \wedge A_1 \\
&\simeq (E_C^{hG_{24}})^{h\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_4/\mathbb{F}_2)} \wedge A_1 \text{ (Equation 6)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The fourth equivalence is Lemma 7.2 of [**HS99**] applied to the $K(2)$-localisation and A_1, which is finite spectrum of type 2. □

Corollary 5.1.3. There is a homotopy equivalence

$$\mathrm{Gal}_+ \wedge [(\Delta^8)^{-1}]tmf \wedge A_1 \simeq E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (\Delta^8)^{-1}(\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)) \cong \pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1).$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 5.1.2 and Lemma 1.37 of [**BG18**]. □

Let us denote by

$$\Theta : \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1) \to \pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1), \tag{19}$$

given by pre-composing the isomorphism of Corollary 5.1.3 with the natural homomorphism $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1) \to \pi_*([(\Delta^8)^{-1}]tmf \wedge A_1)$. The following corollary recapitulates the relationship between $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)$ and $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$.

Corollary 5.1.4. The homomorphism Θ is injective. Moreover, it remains injective after quotienting out by the ideal of $\pi_*(S^0)$ generated by $(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.1.2, Proposition 4.0.6 and Proposition 4.0.7. □

We continue to recollect some necessary information about the HFPSS converging to $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}})$:

$$\mathrm{H}^s(G_{24}, (E_C)_t) \Longrightarrow \pi_{t-s}(E_C^{hG_{24}}). \tag{20}$$

The elements $\eta \in \pi_1(S^0)$, $\nu \in \pi_3(S^0)$, $\overline{\kappa} \in \pi_{20}(S^0)$ are sent non-trivially to elements of the same name in $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}})$ via the Hurewicz map $S^0 \to E_C^{hG_{24}}$. As the latter factors through the unit map of tmf, the element $\overline{\kappa}^6 = 0$ in $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}})$ because $\overline{\kappa}^6 = 0$ in $\pi_*(tmf)$ (see [**Bau08**]). These elements are detected by $\eta \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_{24}, (E_C)_2)$, $\nu \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_{24}, (E_C)_4)$, $\overline{\kappa} \in \mathrm{H}^4(G_{24}, (E_C)_{24})$, respectively. Furthermore, there is a class $\Delta \in \mathrm{H}^0(G_{24}, (E_C)_{24})$ such that Δ^8 is a permanent cycle detecting the periodicity of $E_C^{hG_{24}}$.

The HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ is a spectral sequence of modules over that of (20):

$$\mathrm{H}^s(G_{24}, (E_C)_t A_1) \Longrightarrow \pi_{t-s}(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1). \tag{21}$$

In Section 5.2, we will compute $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_* A_1)$ as a module over a certain subalgebra of $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_*)$. Let $\pi : (E_C)_* \to \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]$ be the quotient of $(E_C)_*$ by the maximal ideal $(2, u_1)$. As the ideal $(2, u_1)$ is preserved by the action of $\mathbb{S}_C$, the ring $\mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]$ inherits an action of $\mathbb{S}_C$, and so of its subgroup G_{24}. We need the computation of the ring structure of $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}])$, which is due to Hans-Werner Henn, see [**Bea17**], Appendix A.

Proposition 5.1.5. *There are classes $z \in \mathrm{H}^4(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]_0)$, $a \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]_2)$, $b \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]_4)$, $v_2 \in \mathrm{H}^0(G_{24}, (\mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}])_6)$ such that there is an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]) \cong \mathbb{F}_4[v_2^{\pm 1}, z, a, b]/(ab, b^3 = v_2 a^3).$$

Proposition 5.1.6. *The homomorphism of graded algebras*

$$\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, E_{C*}) \to \mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}])$$

induced by the projection $(E_C)_* \to \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]$ *sends* η *to* a*,* ν *to* b*,* $\overline{\kappa}$ *to* $v_2^4 z$*, and* Δ *to* v_2^4*.*

5.2 On the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_*(A_1))$

We first determine $(E_C)_*(A_1)$ using the cofiber sequences through which A_1 are defined. The cofiber sequence $\Sigma S^0 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^0 \to C_\eta$ gives rise to a short exact sequence of E_C-homology

$$0 \to (E_C)_* \to (E_C)_*(C_\eta) \to (E_C)_*(S^2) \to 0,$$

since $(E_C)_*$ is concentrated in even degrees. Hence, as an $(E_C)_*$-module

$$(E_C)_*(C_\eta) \cong \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)[[u_1]][u^{\pm 1}]\{e_0, e_2\},$$

where e_0 is the image of $1 \in (E_C)_0$ and e_2 is a lift of $\Sigma^2 1 \in (E_C)_2(S^2)$. Next, the long exact sequence in E_C-homology associated to $C_\eta \xrightarrow{2} C_\eta \to Y$ is the short exact sequence

$$0 \to (E_C)_*(C_\eta) \xrightarrow{\times 2} (E_C)_*(C_\eta) \to (E_C)_*(Y) \to 0$$

since multiplication by 2 on $(E_C)_*(C_\eta) \cong \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4)[[u_1]][u^{\pm 1}]\{e_0, e_2\}$ is injective. Therefore

$$(E_C)_*(Y) \cong \mathbb{F}_4[[u_1]][u^{\pm 1}]\{e_0, e_2\}.$$

Now A_1 is the cofiber of some v_1-self map of Y: $\Sigma^2 Y \xrightarrow{v_1} Y \to A_1$. The following lemma describe the induced homomorphism in E_C-homology of these v_1-self maps.

Lemma 5.2.1. *The homomorphism* $(E_C)_*(v_1)$ *is given by multiplication by* $u_1 u^{-1}$*. Therefore,*

$$(E_C)_*(A_1) \cong \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]\{e_0, e_2\}.$$

Proof. Let $K(1)$ be the first Morava K-theory at the prime 2 such that $K(1)_* \cong \mathbb{F}_2[v_1^{\pm 1}]$ where $|v_1| = 2$ and BP be the Brown-Peterson spectrum at the prime 2. There is a map of ring spectra $BP \to K(1)$ that classifies the complex orientation of $K(1)$. Recall that the coefficient ring of BP is given by

$$BP_* \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, v_2, ...],$$

where $|v_i| = 2(2^i - 1)$, see [**Ada74**], Part II. The induced homomorphism of coefficient rings sends v_1 to v_1. The map $BP \to K(1)$ gives rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BP_*(\Sigma^2 Y) & \xrightarrow{BP_*(v_1)} & BP_*(Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(1)_*(\Sigma^2 Y) & \xrightarrow{K(1)_*(v_1)} & K(1)_*(Y) \end{array}$$

By definition, a v_1-self-map of Y induces in $K(1)$-homology multiplication by v_1. The above diagram forces, then for degree reasons, that $BP_*(v_1)$ is given by multiplication by $v_1 \in BP_2$. Now, let $c : BP \to E_C$ be the map of ring spectra that classifies the 2-typification of the formal group law of E_C. One can show that the 2-series of the latter has leading term $u_1 u^{-1} x^2$ modulo (2), see [**Bea17**], Proposition 6.1.1. This implies that the induced homomorphism $c_* : BP_* \to (E_C)_*$ sends v_1 to $u_1 u^{-1}$ modulo 2. By naturality, $(E_C)_*(v_1)$ is also given by multiplication by $u_1 u^{-1}$. □

We now describe the action of G_{24} on $(E_C)_*(A_1)$. For any 2-local finite spectrum X, the map c, introduced in the proof of Lemma 5.2.1, induces a map of ANSS

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{BP_*BP}(BP_*, BP_*X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{(E_C)_*E_C}((E_C)_*, (E_C)_*X) \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \pi_{t-s}(X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_{t-s}(L_{K(2)}X) \end{array}$$

where $(E_C)_*E_C$ stands for $\pi_*(L_{K(2)}(E_C \wedge E_C))$. By Morava's change-of-ring theorem (see [**Dev95**]), one has

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{s,t}_{(E_C)_*E_C}((E_C)_*, (E_C)_*) \cong \mathrm{H}^s_c(\mathbb{G}_C, (E_C)_t).$$

Now the map c induces a map of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & BP_* & \xrightarrow{\times 2} & BP_* & \longrightarrow & BP_*/(2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_*} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E_{C*} & \xrightarrow{\times 2} & E_{C*} & \longrightarrow & E_{C*}/(2) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Therefore, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Ext}^{0,*}_{BP_*BP}(BP_*, BP_*/2) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{BP}} & \mathrm{Ext}^{1,*}_{BP_*BP}(BP_*, BP_*) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_*} \\ \mathrm{H}^0_c(\mathbb{G}_C, E_{C*}/2) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{E_C}} & \mathrm{H}^1_c(\mathbb{G}_C, E_{C*}), \end{array}$$

where δ_{BP} and δ_{E_C} denote the respective connecting homomorphisms. By [Rav86], Theorem 4.3.6, one has that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{0,2}_{BP_*BP}(BP_*, BP_*/2) = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{v_1\} \text{ and } \delta_{BP}(v_1) = \eta \in \mathrm{Ext}^{1,2}_{BP_*BP}(BP_*, BP_*),$$

where η is a permanent cycle representing the Hopf element $\eta \in \pi_1(S^0)$. By naturality, $\delta_{E_C}(v_1) = c_*(\eta)$. Therefore, as a cocycle in $Map_c(\mathbb{G}_C, (E_C)_2)$, $c_*(\eta)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{G}_C \to (E_C)_2, \quad g \mapsto \frac{g(v_1) - v_1}{2}$$

On the other hand, let us consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to E_{C*} \to E_{C*}(C_\eta) \to E_{C*}(S^2) \to 0$$

representing the class $c_*(\eta)$, so that the connecting homomorphism sends $\Sigma^2 1$ to $c_*(\eta)$. Thus, if e_2 is a lift of $\Sigma^2 1$ in $E_{C*}(C_\eta)$, then $c_*(\eta)$ is represented by the cocycle

$$\mathbb{G}_C \to (E_C)_2, \quad g \mapsto g(e_2) - e_2.$$

This implies that one can modify e_2 so that

$$\frac{g(v_1) - v_1}{2} = g(e_2) - e_2 \; \forall \; g \in \mathbb{G}_C.$$

With this choice of e_2, we see that $E_{C*}(C_\eta) = E_{C*}\{e_0, e_2\}$ and the action of $\mathbb{G}_C$ on e_2 is given by the formula

$$g(e_2) = e_2 + \frac{g(v_1) - v_1}{2} e_0 \tag{22}$$

Note that when determining $(E_C)_*(A_1)$, we did not specify any lift e_2 of $\Sigma^2 1$. From now on, we will fix e_2 such that the formula of (22) holds.

Proposition 5.2.2. *As an $(E_C)_*$-module, $(E_C)_*(A_1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]\{e_0, e_2\}$ and the action of G_{24} is given by*

$$\omega(u^{-1}) = \zeta^2 u^{-1}, \quad \omega(e_0) = e_0, \quad \omega(e_2) = e_2$$

$$i(u^{-1}) = u^{-1}, \quad i(e_0) = e_0, \quad i(e_2) = e_2 + u^{-1} e_0$$
$$j(u^{-1}) = u^{-1}, \quad j(e_0) = e_0, \quad j(e_2) = e_2 + \zeta^2 u^{-1} e_0$$
$$k(u^{-1}) = u^{-1}, \quad k(e_0) = e_0, \quad k(e_2) = e_2 + \zeta u^{-1} e_0$$

Proof. The first part of the statement is the content of Lemma 5.2.1. The second part follows from the action of G_{24} on v_1 given in Theorem 5.1.1 and the formula (22). □

Corollary 5.2.3. $E_{C*}(A_1)$ sits in a non-split short exact sequence of G_{24}-modules

$$0 \to \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]\{e_0\} \to E_{C*}(A_1) \to \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]\{e_2\} \to 0. \tag{23}$$

Proof. This is immediate in view of the explicite description of the action of G_{24} on $(E_C)_* A_1$. □

The cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]\{e_i\})$ $i \in \{0, 2\}$ is free of rank one as a module over $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}])$. For $i \in \{0, 2\}$, we choose the generators $e[0, i] \in \mathrm{H}^0(G_{24}, (\mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]\{e_i\})_i)$ of these modules.

Corollary 5.2.4. The connecting homomorphism induced from the short exact sequence (23) in Corollary 5.2.3

$$\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]\{e_2\}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{H}^{*+1}(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]\{e_0\})$$

is $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}])$-linear and sends $e[0, 2]$ to $ae[0, 0]$ up to a unit of $\mathbb{F}_4$, where, as a reminder, $a \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_{24}, (\mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}])_2)$.

Proof. That δ is $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}])$-linear is a well-known property of the connecting homomorphism (See [**Bro82**], V.3). Next, since the short exact sequence in Corollary 5.2.3 does not split, the connecting homomorphism δ sends $e[2, 0]$ to a non-trivial class and hence to $ae[0, 0]$ up to a unit of $\mathbb{F}_4$. □

Using the description of $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}])$ and the long exact sequence associated to the short exact sequence of Corollary 5.2.3, we obtain the following description of $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_*(A_1))$:

Proposition 5.2.5. *As a module over* $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm}])$*, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_*(A_1)) \cong \mathbb{F}_4[v_2^{\pm 1}, z, a, b]/(a, b^3)\{e[0, 0], e[1, 5]\},$$

where $e[0, 0] \in \mathrm{H}^0(G_{24}, (E_C)_0(A_1))$ *and* $e[1, 5] \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_{24}, (E_C)_6(A_1))$.




Figure 18 – $\mathrm{H}^s(G_{24}, (E_C)_t(A_1))$ depicted in the coordinate (s, t-s))

The above proposition also gives the action of $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_*)$ on $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, (E_C)_* A_1)$. In effect, the action of E_{C*} on $E_{C*}(A_1)$ factors though $\mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]$ via $E_{C*} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{F}_4[u^{\pm 1}]$. As a consequence, the action of $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, E_{C*})$ on $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, E_{C*}(A_1))$ factors through the induced homomorphism in cohomology of G_{24}. In particular, il follows from Proposition 5.1.6 that the classes $\Delta, \overline{\kappa}, \nu$ act on $\mathrm{H}^*(G_{24}, E_{C*}(A_1))$ as $v_2^4, v_2^4 z, b$ do, respectively.

5.3 Differentials of the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$

The HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ has the following features. The spectrum $E_C \wedge A_1$ is a G_{24}-E_C-module in the sense that $E_C \wedge A_1$ is an E_C-module and the structure maps are G_{24}-equivariant. This guarantees that the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ is a module over that for $E_C^{hG_{24}}$. In particular, all differentials are $\overline{\kappa}$-linear. This element plays a central role here: the group G_{24} is a group with periodic cohomology (see [**Bro82**], Chapter VI) and $\overline{\kappa} \in \mathrm{H}^4(G_{24}, (E_C)_*)$ is a cohomological periodicity class. These features induce more structure on the HFPSS.

Definition 5.3.1. Let R be a ring spectrum and G be a finite group acting on R by maps of ring spectra. The pair (G, R) is said to be regular if G is a group with periodic cohomology and there exists a cohomological periodicity class $u \in \mathrm{H}^*(G, R_*)$ which is a permanent cycle in the HFPSS for R^{hG}.

Lemma 5.3.2. *Let (G, R) be a regular pair as in Definition 5.3.1 and X be a G-R spectrum. Suppose $u \in \mathrm{H}^k(G, R_*)$ is a cohomological periodicity class which is a permanent cycle in the HFPSS for R^{hG}. Then the E_r-term of the HFPSS for X^{hG} has the following properties:*

(i) All classes of cohomological filtration at least k are divisible by u;

(ii) All classes of cohomological filtration at least r are u-free.

Proof. We will prove by induction on r that the E_r-term of the HFPSS for X^{hG} has the properties (i) and (ii). The E_2-term is isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}^*(G, \pi_*(X))$. We recall that the natural map from the cohomology to the Tate cohomology $\iota : \mathrm{H}^s(G, \pi_t X) \to \hat{\mathrm{H}}^s(G, \pi_t(X))$ is an epimorphism and is an isomorphism when $s > 0$, see [**Bro82**], Chapter VI. Because G has periodic cohomology, we have

$$\hat{\mathrm{H}}^s(G, \pi_t X) \cong \hat{\mathrm{H}}^s(G, \pi_t X)[u^{-1}],$$

which means that the group $\hat{\mathrm{H}}^s(G, \pi_t X)$ is u-free and is divisible by u. Since $\iota : \mathrm{H}^s(G, \pi_t X) \to \hat{\mathrm{H}}^s(G, \pi_t(X))$ is an isomorphism when $s > 0$, all classes of positive cohomological degree of $\mathrm{H}^s(G, \pi_t X)$ are u-free.

Now suppose x is a class of $\mathrm{H}^s(G, \pi_t X)$ with $s \geq k$. Then the class $u^{-1}\iota(x) \in \hat{\mathrm{H}}^{s-k}(G, \pi_t X)$ has a pre-image $y \in \mathrm{H}^{s-k}(G, \pi_t X)$ (because $s - k \geq 0$), i.e.

$$\iota(y) = u^{-1}\iota(x).$$

This implies that

$$\iota(uy) = u\iota(y) = \iota(x),$$

and thus since $s > 0$,

$$uy = x.$$

Thus, the E_2-term has the properties (i) and (ii). Suppose that the E_r-term satisfies (i) and (ii). Let $[x] \in \mathrm{E}_{r+1}$ be a non-trivial class represented by $x \in \mathrm{E}_r$. Suppose that x has its cohomological filtration $s \geq k$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $y \in \mathrm{E}_r^{s-k,*}$ such that $uy = x$. We show that y is a d_r-cycle. Because x is a d_r-cycle, we have by u-linearity that $ud_r(y) = d_r(uy) = d_r(x) = 0$. However, the cohomological filtration of $d_r(y)$ is at least r, and so it is u-free by the induction hypothesis, and so $d_r(y) = 0$. Therefore, $[x]$ is divisible by u.

Now we prove that E_{r+1} has the property (ii). Suppose that $[x]$ is u-torsion and has its cohomological filtration at least $r+1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u[x] = 0$. Then there exists $y \in \mathrm{E}_r$ such that $d_r(y) = ux$. The cohomological filtration of y is at least to $r + 1 + k - r = k + 1$, hence y is divisible by u, i.e., there exists $z \in \mathrm{E}_r$ such that $uz = y$, and then by u-linearity,

$$ud_r(z) = d_r(uz) = d_r(y) = ux.$$

However, $d_r(z) - x$ has cohomolgical filtration at least $r+1$, it must be u-free by hypothesis (ii), hence is equal to zero, i.e., $[x]$ is trivial in E_{r+1}.

We conclude that the E_{r+1}-term satisfies (i) and (ii), thus finishing the proof by induction. □

Corollary 5.3.3. Let (G, R) be a regular pair and X be a G-R spectrum. Suppose $u \in \mathrm{H}^k(G, R_*)$ is a cohomological periodicity class which is a permanent cycle in the HFPSS for R^{hG}. Then we have, in the HFPSS for X^{hG},

1. At the E_r-term, u-torsion classes are permanent cycles.
2. Any u-free tower is truncated by at most one other u-free tower by the same differential. More precisely, if x is a class of cohomological filtration less than k, then there exists at most one class y of cohomological filtration less than k such that there exists an unique integer l and a unique integer r such that $d_r(u^m y) = u^{m+l}x$ for all non-negative integers m. Moreover, all classes $u^i x$ for $i \in \{0, 1, ..., m-1\}$ survive the spectral sequence.
3. Suppose some power of u is hit by a differential in the HFPSS for R^{hG}. Then any u-free tower consisting of permanent cycles is truncated by a unique u-free tower. Moreover, the HFPSS has a horizontal vanishing line.
4. Every element of $\pi_*(X^{hG})$ that is detected in filtration at least k is divisible by $\overline{u}$ where $\overline{u}$ is an element of $\pi_*(R^{hG})$ detected by u.

Remark 5.3.4. This situation turns out to be abundant once the group in question is a group with periodic cohomology. For example, all finite subgroups of $\mathbb{G}_C$ have these properties.

We return to the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$. We will call the set $\{\overline{\kappa}^l x | l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ associated to a class x in some page of the HFPSS the $\overline{\kappa}$-family of that class. The following proposition gives us the horizontal vanishing line of the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$.

Proposition 5.3.5. *The HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ has a horizontal vanishing line of height 23, i.e., $\mathrm{E}_{24}^{s,t} = 0$ if $s > 23$. As a consequence, it collapses at the E_{24}-term.*

Proof. As $\overline{\kappa}^6 = 0$ in $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}})$, the class $\overline{\kappa}^6$ must be hit by a differential which is of length at most 23. This is because $\overline{\kappa}^6$ has cohomological filtration 24 and all even differentials are trivial. Hence $\overline{\kappa}^6$ is trivial in the E_{24}-term of the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}}$. Next, because the E_{24}-term of the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ is a module over that for $E_C^{hG_{24}}$, the class $\overline{\kappa}^6$ acts trivially on the E_{24}-term of the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$. Since all classes which are not a multiple of $\overline{\kappa}$ have cohomological filtration at most 3, the HFPSS has the horizontal vanishing line of height 23. □

Proposition 5.3.6. *The following classes are permanent cycles*

$$e[0,0], e[1,5], e[0,6], e[1,11], e[1,15], e[1,17], e[1,21], e[1,23].$$

Proof. Firstly, the class $e[0,0]$ is a permanent cycle because it detects the inclusion $S^0 \to A_1$ into the bottom cell of A_1. Next, we recapitulate, in the following table, the associated graded object with respect to the induced Adams filtration on the groups $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa})$ in the following stems.

Dim	6	15	17	21	23
Value	$\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2$

By Corollary 5.1.4, the groups $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa})$ in these dimensions must have order twice as big as the respective groups. Inspection in the E_2-term of the HFPSS through dimensions from 0 to 23 and in cohomological filtration less than 4 show that the classes $e[0,6], e[1,15], e[1,21], e[1,23]$ are permanent cycles.

Note that the groups $\pi_0(tmf \wedge A_1)$ and $\pi_6(tmf \wedge A_1)$ are annihilated by η. This means that $e[0,0]$ and $e[0,6]$ detects two elements which are annihilated by η. It follows that the Toda brackets $\langle \nu, \eta, e[0,0] \rangle$ and $\langle \nu, \eta, e[0,6] \rangle$ can be formed. By juggling,

$$\eta\langle \nu, \eta, e[0,0] \rangle = \langle \eta, \nu, \eta \rangle e[0,0] = \nu^2 e[0,0]$$

and

$$\eta\langle \nu, \eta, e[0,6] \rangle = \langle \eta, \nu, \eta \rangle e[0,6] = \nu^2 e[0,6].$$

Observe that $\nu^2 e[0,0]$ and $\nu^2 e[0,6]$ are nontrivial and are detected in cohomological filtration 2. Consequently, both $\langle \nu, \eta, e[0,0] \rangle$ and $\langle \nu, \eta, e[0,6] \rangle$ are nontrivial

and are represented by classes in cohomological filtration at most 1. Therefore $e[1,5]$ and $e[1,11]$ are permanent cycles.

The unique nontrivial element of $\pi_{11}(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa})$ is annihilated by ν^2. This implies that the class $\nu^2 e[1,11]$ is the target of some differential. Since $\pi_{17}(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa})$ has order at least equal to 4, the class $e[1,17]$ must be a permanent cycle representing the only element in dimension 17 of $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa})$. □

$d_3 -$ differentials

Proposition 5.3.7. *As a module over $\mathbb{F}_4[\Delta^{\pm 1}, \overline{\kappa}, \nu]/(\nu^3)$, the term $\mathrm{E}_2 = \mathrm{E}_3$ is free on the generators*

$$e[0,0], e[1,5], e[0,6], e[1,11], e[0,12], e[1,17], e[0,18], e[1,23]. \tag{24}$$

Proposition 5.3.8. *The d_3-differential in the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ is trivial on all of the generators of (24) with the exception of*

i) $d_3(e[0,12]) = \nu^2 e[1,5]$

ii) $d_3(e[0,18]) = \nu^2 e[1,11]$.

Proof. That $e[0,0], e[1,5], e[0,6], e[1,11], e[1,17], e[1,23]$ are d_3-cycles follows from Proposition 5.3.6. For the two other classes, the proof of Proposition 5.3.6 implies that the elements $\Theta(e[1,5])$ and $\Theta(e[2,11])$ are detected by $e[1,5]$ and $e[1,11]$, respectively. Moreover, the elements $e[1,5]$ and $e[2,11]$ are annihilated by ν^2 in $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)$. It follows that, in the HFPSS, the classes $\nu^2 e[1,5]$ and $\nu^2 e[1,11]$ must be hit by some differentials. The only possibilities are $d_3(e[0,12]) = \nu^2 e[1,5]$ and $d_3(e[0,18]) = \nu^2 e[1,11]$. □




Figure 19 – Differentials d_3

Corollary 5.3.9. As a module over $\mathbb{F}_4[\Delta^{\pm 1}, \overline{\kappa}, \nu]/(\nu^3)$, the term $\mathrm{E}_4 = \mathrm{E}_5$ is a direct sum of cyclic modules generated by the classes

$$e[0,0], e[1,5], e[0,6], e[1,11], e[1,15], e[1,17], e[1,21], e[1,23] \tag{25}$$

with the relations

$$\nu^2 e[1,5] = \nu^2 e[1,11] = \nu^2 e[1,15] = \nu^2 e[1,21] = 0. \tag{26}$$

Proof. This is straightforward from Proposition 5.3.8 and from the fact that $\Delta, \overline{\kappa}, \nu$ are d_3-cycles in the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}}$. □

d_5-differentials. We need the d_5-differential, in the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}}$, $d_5(\Delta) = \overline{\kappa}\nu$ (see [**Bau08**], Section 8.3).




Figure 20 – Differentials d_5

Proposition 5.3.10. *As a module over* $\mathbb{F}_4[(\Delta^8)^{\pm 1}, \overline{\kappa}, \nu]/(\overline{\kappa}\nu)$, $\mathrm{E}_6 = \mathrm{E}_7$ *is a direct sum of cyclic modules generated by the following classes for* $i \in 0, 2, 4, 6$ *with the respective annihilator ideal:*

generator	$\Delta^i e[0,0]$	$\Delta^i e[1,5]$	$\Delta^i e[0,6]$	$\Delta^i e[1,11]$
ideal	(ν^3)	(ν^2)	(ν^3)	(ν^2)
generator	$\Delta^i e[1,15]$	$\Delta^i e[1,17]$	$\Delta^i e[1,21]$	$\Delta^i e[1,23]$
ideal	(ν^2)	(ν^3)	(ν^2)	(ν^3)
generator	$\Delta^i e[2,30]$	$\Delta^i e[2,32]$	$\Delta^i e[2,36]$	$\Delta^i e[2,38]$
ideal	(ν)	(ν)	(ν)	(ν)
generator	$\Delta^i e[2,42]$	$\Delta^i e[3,47]$	$\Delta^i e[2,48]$	$\Delta^i e[3,53]$
ideal	(ν)	(ν)	(ν)	(ν).

Proof. Notice that, if x is a class in the E_5-term, then $d_5(\Delta^{2k}x) = \Delta^{2k}d_5(x)\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This says in particular that the E_6-term is Δ^2-periodic. Next, if x is a d_5-cycle and is annihilated by ν^i, then $d_5(\Delta x) = \overline{\kappa}\nu x$ and $d_5(\Delta\nu^{i-1}x) = 0$. Together with the fact that all of the generators of (25) are permanent cycles (Proposition 5.3.6), it is straightforward to verify that the classes together with their annihilation ideal given in the statement of the Proposition generate the E_6-term as a module over $\mathbb{F}_4[(\Delta^8)^{\pm 1}, \overline{\kappa}, \nu]/(\overline{\kappa}\nu)$. □

Remark 5.3.11. Since Δ^8 is a permanent cycle in the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}}$, the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ is linear with respect to Δ^8. Note that all $\overline{\kappa}$-free generators in the E_7-term are of the form $(\Delta^8)^k x$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and x is one of the generators listed in Proposition 5.3.10. Then, by Corollary 5.3.3, these free $\overline{\kappa}$-families pair

up so that each non-permanent $\overline{\kappa}$-family truncates one and only one permanent $\overline{\kappa}$-family. By Δ^8-linearity, among these 64 generators, only half of them are permanent cycles and the others support a differential. It reduces the problem into two steps: first identify all permanent $\overline{\kappa}$-families, then identify by which $\overline{\kappa}$-family they are truncated.




Figure 21 – The E_7-term for $s \leq 3$ and $t - s \leq 54$

Proposition 5.3.12. *The generators*

$$e[2,30], e[2,32], e[2,36], e[2,38], e[2,42], e[3,47], e[2,48], e[3,53]$$

are permanent cycles.

Proof. We give the proof for $e[2,30]$ and the other generators are proven in a similar manner. In the E_6-term, the Massey product $\langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu^2 e[0,0] \rangle$ can be formed. Since $d_5(\Delta) = \overline{\kappa}\nu$ and $\nu^3 e[0,0] = 0 \in \mathrm{E}_5$, we see that

$$e[2,30] = \Delta\nu^2 e[0,0] \in \langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu^2 e[0,0] \rangle.$$

The indeterminacy consists of $\overline{\kappa}\mathrm{E}_6^{-2,8} + \mathrm{E}_6^{0,26}\nu^2 e[0,0]$, where $\mathrm{E}_6^{-2,8}$ is in the E_6-term of the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ and $\mathrm{E}_6^{0,26}$ for $E_C^{hG_{24}}$. The latter are zero groups, hence the indeterminacy is zero. Thus,

$$\langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu^2 e[0,0] \rangle = e[2,30].$$

At the level of the homotopy groups of $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$ one can form the corresponding Toda bracket $\langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu^2 e[0,0] \rangle$ because $\nu\overline{\kappa} = 0$ in $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}})$ and inspection in $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)$ tells us that $\nu^3 e[0,0] = 0$. Furthermore, all hypotheses of Moss's convergence theorem are verified. Therefore, $e[2,30]$ is a permanent cycle representing the Toda bracket $\langle e[0,0], \nu^3, \overline{\kappa} \rangle$. For the sake of completeness, we record the Toda bracket expressions for the other elements

$$\langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu e[1,5] \rangle = e[2,32],\ \langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu^2 e[0,6] \rangle = e[2,36],$$

$$\langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu e[1,11] \rangle = e[2,38],\ \langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu e[1,15] \rangle = e[2,42],$$

$$\langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu^2 e[1,17] \rangle = e[3,47],\ \langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu e[1,21] \rangle = e[2,48],$$

$$\langle \overline{\kappa}, \nu, \nu^2 e[2,23] \rangle = e[3,53].$$

□

We have already identified 16 out of 32 permanent cycles. The next 16 ones are not the same for different versions of A_1. The difference reflects the different behavior of the d_2-differential in the ASS for different models of A_1 (see Proposition 4.0.3).

Proposition 5.3.13. *In the HFPSS for all four versions of A_1, the following 12 generators are permanent cycles :*

$$\Delta^2 e[0,0], \Delta^2 e[1,5], \Delta^2 e[0,6], \Delta^2 e[1,11], \Delta^2 e[1,15], \Delta^2 e[1,17]$$

$$\Delta^2 e[1,21], \Delta^2 e[2,30], \Delta^2 e[2,32], \Delta^2 e[2,36], , \Delta^2 e[2,42], \Delta^2 e[3,47].$$

The remaining four permanent cycles for $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$ are

$$\Delta^2 e[1,23], \Delta^2 e[2,38], \Delta^2 e[2,48], \Delta^2 e[3,53],$$

whereas the remaining four permanent cycles for $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$ are

$$\Delta^4 e[1,15], \Delta^4 e[0,0], \Delta^4 e[1,5], \Delta^4 e[2,30].$$

Proof. The graded associated object of the groups $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$, with respect to the Adams filtration, in the following stems are given in the following table:

Stem	48	53	54	59	63	65	69	78	80	84	90	95
Value	$\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$	$\mathbb{F}_2$

In view of Corollary 5.1.4 and Corollary 5.3.3, inspection in the E_7-term shows that the following 12 classes are permanent cycles in the HFPSS for all four versions of A_1.

$$\Delta^2 e[0,0], \Delta^2 e[1,5], \Delta^2 e[0,6], \Delta^2 e[1,11], \Delta^2 e[1,15], \Delta^2 e[1,17],$$

$$\Delta^2 e[1,21], \Delta^2 e[2,30], \Delta^2 e[2,32], \Delta^2 e[2,36], , \Delta^2 e[2,42], \Delta^2 e[3,47].$$

Next, in the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1[00]$ and $tmf \wedge A_1[11]$, there is no differential until stem 96. Again, inspection in the E_2-term shows that

$$\pi_{71}(tmf \wedge A_1[00])/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu) = \pi_{71}(tmf \wedge A_1[11])/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$$

and

$$\pi_{86}(tmf \wedge A_1[00])/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu) = \pi_{86}(tmf \wedge A_1[11])/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu) \cong \mathbb{F}_2$$

It follows that the classes $\Delta^2 e[1,23]$ and $\Delta^2 e[2,38]$ are permanent cycles in the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[00]$ and $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[11]$.

On the other hand, in the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1[10]$ and $tmf \wedge A_1[01]$, Lemma 4.0.3

and g-linearity imply that $d_2(g^2w_2e[4,23]) = g^4e[6,30]$ and $d_2(g^2w_2e[7,38]) = g^6e[1,5]$. Hence, $w_2^2e[3,15]$ and $w_2^2e[6,30]$ survive to the E_∞-term, by sparseness. It then follows that $\Delta^4e[1,15]$ and $\Delta^4e[2,30]$ are permanent cycles in the HFPSS for $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$.

For $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$, the classes $w_2e[9,48]$ and $w_2e[10,53]$ do not support differentials, by Lemma 4.0.3, hence persist to the E_∞-term, by sparseness. They are also not divisible neither by $\overline{\kappa}$ nor by ν. Lastly, both $w_2e[9,48]$ and $w_2e[10,53]$ are annihilated by ν. The only classes in the HFPSS that match those properties are $\Delta^2e[2,48]$ and $\Delta^2e[3,53]$, respectively. Thus, the latter are the last two of the 32 permanent cycles in the HFPSS for $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$.

For $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$, the classes $w_2e[9,48]$ and $w_2e[10,53]$ support nontrivial d_2 differentials. Thus $w_2^2e[0,0]$ and $w_2^2e[1,5]$ survive to the E_∞-term. For degree reasons, both $w_2^2e[0,0]$ and $w_2^2e[1,5]$ are not divisible either by $\overline{\kappa}$ or by ν, and moreover their multiples by ν are not divisible by $\overline{\kappa}$. In the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[10]$ and $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[10]$, $\Delta^4e[0,0]$ and $\Delta^4e[1,5]$ are the only classes verifying the respective properties, hence are permanent cycles.

□

Having determined all permanent $\overline{\kappa}$-families, we consider differentials. We recall, from Remark 5.3.11, that each permanent $\overline{\kappa}$-family is truncated by one and only one non-permanent $\overline{\kappa}$-family. We can proceed as follows: take a permanent cycle, say x; then locate all non-permanent classes that can support a differential killing $\overline{\kappa}^n x$ for some $n \leq 6$. Precisely, one of the following situations will happen:

1) There is no ambiguity: i.e., there is only one generator that can support a differential killing $\overline{\kappa}^n x$ for some $n \leq 6$, so this differential occurs.

2) There are two generators that can support a differential killing multiples of x by different powers of $\overline{\kappa}$. In order to decide, we inspect the $\overline{\kappa}$-exponent of x using the ASS.

3) There are two generators that can support a differential killing the multiple of x by the same power of $\overline{\kappa}$. In this case, inspection on the $\overline{\kappa}$-exponent of x does not help. We will treat each of the particularity case by case. Some Toda brackets will be involved to resolve these cases.

A permanent cycle is said to be of type 1, 2, 3 respectively if its $\overline{\kappa}$-family is as in the situation 1, 2, 3 above respectively. The HFPSS for different versions of A_1 do not behave in the same manner. It turns out the HFPSS for the versions $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$ behave in the same way and $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$ in the same way. We will treat the HFPSS for $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$ in detail and then point out the changes needed for $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$.

Differentials (continued) for $A_1[01]$ and $A_1[10]$. The reader is invited to follow the discussion of the differentials using Figures (22) to (25) below.

The d_9-differentials

Proposition 5.3.14. *There are the following d_9-differentials:*

(1) $d_9(\Delta^2 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^2 e[2,30]$

(2) $d_9(\Delta^6 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^2 \Delta^4 e[2,30]$.

Proof. The classes $e[2,30]$ and $\Delta^4 e[2,30]$ are of type 1 and the only possibilities are $d_9(\Delta^2 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^2 e[2,30]$ and $d_9(\Delta^6 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^2 \Delta^4 e[2,30]$, respectively. □

The d_{15}-differentials

Proposition 5.3.15. *There are the following d_{15}-differentials:*

(1) $d_{15}(\Delta^2 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[1,5]$

(2) $d_{15}(\Delta^2 e[2,48]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[1,15]$

(3) $d_{15}(\Delta^6 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^4 e[1,5]$

(4) $d_{15}(\Delta^6 e[2,48]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^4 e[1,15]$.

Proof. It is readily checked from the chart that all $e[1,5]$, $e[1,15]$, $\Delta^4 e[1,5]$, $\Delta^4 e[1,15]$ are of type 1 and their $\overline{\kappa}$-family is truncated as indicated in the proposition. □

The d_{17}-differentials

Proposition 5.3.16. *There are the following d_{17}-differentials:*

(1) $d_{17}(\Delta^2 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[0,0]$

(2) $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[0,6]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[1,21]$

(3) $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[1,17]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[2,32]$

(4) $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[1,21]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[2,36]$

(5) $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[2,32]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[3,47]$

(6) $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[0,6]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[1,21]$

(7) $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[1,17]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[2,32]$

(8) $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[1,21]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[2,36]$

(9) $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[2,32]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[3,47]$

(10) $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 \Delta^4 e[0,0]$

(11) $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[2,38]$

(12) $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[3,53]$

(13) $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[0,0]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[1,15]$

(14) $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[1,15]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[2,30]$.

Proof. (1)-(10) All of the generators of

$$e[0,0], e[1,21], e[2,32], e[2,36], e[3,47]$$
$$\Delta^2 e[1,21], \Delta^2 e[2,32], \Delta^2 e[2,36], \Delta^2 e[3,47], \Delta^4 e[0,0]$$

are of type 1.

(11) $e[2,38]$ is of type 2. The differentials that can truncate its $\overline{\kappa}$-family are $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[2,38]$ and $d_{25}(\Delta^6 e[1,15]) = \overline{\kappa}^6 e[2,38]$. The latter can not happen because the spectral sequence collapses at the E_{24}-term. Therefore, one must have that $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[2,38]$.

(12) $e[3,53]$ is of type 2. Its $\overline{\kappa}$-family can be truncated by $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[3,53]$ or $d_{25}(\Delta^6 e[2,30]) = \overline{\kappa}^6 e[3,53]$. As above, there can not be any d_{25}-differential in the spectral sequence. Hence, one must have that $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[3,53]$.

(13) $\Delta^2 e[1,15]$ is of type 3. In its $\overline{\kappa}$-family, only $\overline{\kappa}^4\Delta^2 e[1,15]$ can be a target of differentials, $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[0,0]) = \overline{\kappa}^4\Delta^2 e[1,15]$ and $d_{15}(\Delta^4 e[2,48]) = \overline{\kappa}^4\Delta^2 e[1,15]$. However, if $d_{15}(\Delta^4 e[2,48]) = \overline{\kappa}^4\Delta^2 e[1,15]$ then the only class that can truncate the $\overline{\kappa}$-family of $e[1,23]$ is $\Delta^6 e[0,0]$ and by a d_{25}-differential: $d_{25}(\Delta^6 e[0,0]) = \overline{\kappa}^6 e[1,23]$. This contradicts the fact that the spectral sequence collapses at the E_{24}-term. Thus, one must have that $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[0,0]) = \overline{\kappa}^4\Delta^2 e[1,15]$.

(14) $\Delta^2 e[2,30]$ is of type 2. Its $\overline{\kappa}$-family can be truncated by a d_9-differential on $\Delta^4 e[1,23]$ or by a d_{17}-differential on $\Delta^6 e[1,15]$. However, the former possibility can not occur because of part (11). Therefore, $d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[1,15]) = \overline{\kappa}^4\Delta^2 e[2,30]$.

□

The d_{19}-differentials

Proposition 5.3.17. *There are the following d_{19}-differentials:*

(1) $d_{19}(\Delta^4 e[1,11]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[0,6]$
(2) $d_{19}(\Delta^4 e[3,47]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[2,42]$
(3) $d_{19}(\Delta^6 e[1,11]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^2 e[0,6]$
(4) $d_{19}(\Delta^6 e[3,47]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^2 e[2,42]$
(5) $d_{19}(\Delta^6 e[1,5]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^2 e[0,0]$
(6) $d_{19}(\Delta^4 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[2,48]$.

Proof. (1)-(4) All of the classes

$$e[0,6], e[2,42], \Delta^2 e[0,6], \Delta^2 e[2,42]$$

are of type 1.

(5) The class $\Delta^2 e[0,0]$ is of type 3 and its $\overline{\kappa}$-family can be truncated either by $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^2 e[0,0]$ or by $d_{19}(\Delta^6 e[1,5]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^2 e[0,0]$. Suppose $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^2 e[0,0]$. This would leave us with the differential $d_{21}(\Delta^6 e[1,5]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[2,48]$. It would imply the Massey product in the E_{22}-term

$$\langle \overline{\kappa}^5, e[2,48], \nu\rangle = \nu\Delta^6 e[1,5]$$

with zero indeterminacy in the E_{22}-term. All conditions of Moss's convergence theorem are met, the Toda bracket $\langle \overline{\kappa}^5, e[2,48], \nu\rangle$ could then be formed and would contain an element represented by $\nu\Delta^6 e[1,5]$. This contradicts Corollary 4.0.5. This contradiction proves that

$$d_{19}(\Delta^6 e[1,5]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^2 e[0,0].$$

(6) The class $e[2,48]$ is of type 2 and its $\overline{\kappa}$-family is truncated either by $d_{19}(\Delta^4 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[2,48]$ or by $d_{21}(\Delta^6 e[1,5]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[2,48]$. However, part (5) of Proposition 5.3.17 rules out the latter.

□

The d_{23}-differentials

Proposition 5.3.18. *There are the following d_{23}-differentials:*

(1) $d_{23}(\Delta^4 e[2,36]) = \overline{\kappa}^6 e[1,11]$
(2) $d_{23}(\Delta^4 e[2,42]) = \overline{\kappa}^6 e[1,17]$
(3) $d_{23}(\Delta^4 e[2,48]) = \overline{\kappa}^6 e[1,23]$
(4) $d_{23}(\Delta^6 e[2,36]) = \overline{\kappa}^6\Delta^2 e[1,11]$
(5) $d_{23}(\Delta^6 e[2,42]) = \overline{\kappa}^6\Delta^2 e[1,17]$
(6) $d_{23}(\Delta^6 e[2,30]) = \overline{\kappa}^6\Delta^2 e[1,5]$.

Proof. (1)-(5) All of the classes

$$e[1,11], e[1,17], e[1,23], \Delta^2 e[1,11], \Delta^2 e[1,17]$$

are of type 1.

(6) The class $\Delta^2 e[1,5]$ is of type 2. The two possibilities are $d_{15}(\Delta^4 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4\Delta^2 e[1,5]$ and $d_{23}(\Delta^6 e[2,30]) = \overline{\kappa}^6\Delta^2 e[1,5]$. However, part (12) of Proposition 5.3.16 rules out the former because the class $\Delta^4 e[2,38]$ must pair up with the class $e[3,38]$, by a d_{17}-differential $d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[3,53]$.

□

The above differentials from d_9 to d_{23}, together with the $\overline{\kappa}$- and Δ^8-linearity exhaust all differentials. In the statement of Theorem 5.3.19 and 5.3.20, we write e_{t-s} for the permanent cycle $e[s,t-s]$ in bidegree (s,t) listed in Proposition 5.3.10, for the sake of presentation.

Theorem 5.3.19. *As a module over $\mathbb{F}_4[\Delta^{\pm 8}, \overline{\kappa}, \nu]/(\overline{\kappa}\nu)$, the E_∞-term of the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ for $A_1 = A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$ is a direct sum of cyclic modules generated by the following elements and with the respective annihilator ideal:*

$(0,0)$	$(1,5)$	$(0,6)$	$(1,11)$	$(1,15)$	$(1,17)$	$(1,21)$	$(1,23)$
e_0	e_5	e_6	e_{11}	e_{15}	e_{17}	e_{21}	e_{23}
$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^3)$
$(2,30)$	$(2,32)$	$(2,36)$	$(2,38)$	$(2,42)$	$(3,47)$	$(2,48)$	$(3,53)$
e_{30}	e_{32}	e_{36}	e_{38}	e_{42}	e_{47}	e_{48}	e_{53}
$(\overline{\kappa}^2, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$
$(0,48)$	$(1,53)$	$(0,54)$	$(1,59)$	$(1,63)$	$(1,65)$	$(1,69)$	$(2,74)$
$\Delta^2 e_0$	$\Delta^2 e_5$	$\Delta^2 e_6$	$\Delta^2 e_{11}$	$\Delta^2 e_{15}$	$\Delta^2 e_{17}$	$\Delta^2 e_{21}$	$\Delta^2 \nu e_{23}$
$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$
$(2,78)$	$(2,80)$	$(2,84)$	$(2,90)$	$(3,95)$	$(0,96)$	$(1,101)$	
$\Delta^2 e_{30}$	$\Delta^2 e_{32}$	$\Delta^2 e_{36}$	$\Delta^2 e_{42}$	$\Delta^2 e_{47}$	$\Delta^4 e_0$	$\Delta^4 e_5$	
$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	
$(1,105)$	$(2,110)$	$(1,111)$	$(2,116)$	$(2,120)$	$(2,122)$	$(2,126)$	
$\Delta^4 \nu e_6$	$\Delta^4 \nu e_{11}$	$\Delta^4 e_{15}$	$\Delta^4 \nu e_{17}$	$\Delta^4 \nu e_{21}$	$\Delta^4 \nu e_{23}$	$(\Delta^4 e_{30})$	
$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^2, \nu)$	
$(1,147)$	$(2,152)$	$(1,153)$	$(2,158)$	$(2,162)$	$(2,164)$	$(2,168)$	$(2,170)$
$\Delta^6 \nu e_0$	$\Delta^6 \nu e_5$	$\Delta^6 \nu e_6$	$\Delta^6 \nu e_{11}$	$\Delta^6 \nu e_{15}$	$\Delta^6 \nu e_{17}$	$\Delta^6 \nu e_{21}$	$\Delta^6 \nu e_{23}$
$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$.

The case of $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$. The analysis of the HFPSS for $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$ can be done in the same manner as that for $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$. All differentials are identical except for 8 ones involving 16 of the generators of Proposition 5.3.10. We will be content to point out all modifications, see Figures from 26 to 29.

$$d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[1,15]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[2,30] \text{ instead of } d_9(\Delta^2 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^2 e[2,30],$$

$$d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[2,38] \text{ instead of } d_9(\Delta^6 e[1,23]) = \overline{\kappa}^2 \Delta^4 e[2,30],$$

$$d_{17}(\Delta^4 e[0,0]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[1,15] \text{ instead of } d_{15}(\Delta^2 e[2,48]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[1,15],$$

$$d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[3,53] \text{ instead of } d_{15}(\Delta^6 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 \Delta^2 e[1,5],$$

$$d_{19}(\Delta^4 e[1,5]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[0,0] \text{ instead of } d_{17}(\Delta^2 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5 e[0,0],$$

$$d_{19}(\Delta^6 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^2 e[2,48] \text{ instead of } d_{17}(\Delta^6 e[3,53]) = \overline{\kappa}^5\Delta^4 e[0,0],$$
$$d_{23}(\Delta^6 e[2,48]) = \overline{\kappa}^6\Delta^2 e[1,23] \text{ instead of } d_{15}(\Delta^6 e[2,48]) = \overline{\kappa}^4\Delta^4 e[1,15],$$
$$d_{23}(\Delta^4 e[2,30]) = \overline{\kappa}^6 e[1,5] \text{ instead of } d_{15}(\Delta^2 e[2,38]) = \overline{\kappa}^4 e[1,5].$$

Theorem 5.3.20. *As a module over $\mathbb{F}_4[\Delta^{\pm 8}, \overline{\kappa}, \nu]/(\overline{\kappa}\nu)$, the E_∞-term of the HF-PSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$ for $A_1 = A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$ is a direct sum of cyclic modules generated by the following elements and with the respective annihilator ideals:*

$(0,0)$	$(1,5)$	$(0,6)$	$(1,11)$	$(1,15)$	$(1,17)$	$(1,21)$	$(1,23)$
e_0	e_5	e_6	e_{11}	e_{15}	e_{17}	e_{21}	e_{23}
$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^3)$
$(2,30)$	$(2,32)$	$(2,36)$	$(2,38)$	$(2,42)$	$(3,47)$	$(2,48)$	$(3,53)$
e_{30}	e_{32}	e_{36}	e_{38}	e_{42}	e_{47}	e_{48}	e_{53}
$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$
$(0,48)$	$(1,53)$	$(0,54)$	$(1,59)$	$(1,63)$	$(1,65)$	$(1,69)$	$(1,71)$
$\Delta^2 e_0$	$\Delta^2 e_5$	$\Delta^2 e_6$	$\Delta^2 e_{11}$	$\Delta^2 e_{15}$	$\Delta^2 e_{17}$	$\Delta^2 e_{21}$	$\Delta^2 e_{23}$
$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^3)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^6, \nu^3)$
$(2,78)$	$(2,80)$	$(2,84)$	$(2,86)$	$(2,90)$	$(3,95)$	$(2,96)$	$(3,101)$
$\Delta^2 e_{30}$	$\Delta^2 e_{32}$	$\Delta^2 e_{36}$	$\Delta^2 e_{38}$	$\Delta^2 e_{42}$	$\Delta^2 e_{47}$	$\Delta^2 e_{48}$	$\Delta^2 e_{53}$
$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^5, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}^4, \nu)$
$(1,99)$	$(2,104)$	$(1,105)$	$(2,110)$	$(2,114)$	$(2,116)$	$(2,120)$	$(2,122)$
$\Delta^4\nu e_0$	$\Delta^4\nu e_5$	$\Delta^4\nu e_6$	$\Delta^4\nu e_{11}$	$\Delta^4\nu e_{15}$	$\Delta^4\nu e_{17}$	$\Delta^4\nu e_{21}$	$\Delta^4\nu e_{23}$
$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$
$(1,147)$	$(2,152)$	$(1,153)$	$(2,158)$	$(2,162)$	$(2,164)$	$(2,168)$	$(2,170)$
$\Delta^6\nu e_0$	$\Delta^6\nu e_5$	$\Delta^6\nu e_6$	$\Delta^6\nu e_{11}$	$\Delta^6\nu e_{15}$	$\Delta^6\nu e_{17}$	$\Delta^6\nu e_{21}$	$\Delta^6\nu e_{23}$
$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$	$(\overline{\kappa}, \nu^2)$.

Remark 5.3.21. We emphasise that the relations given in Theorem 5.3.19 and 5.3.20 are only the relations in the E_∞-term. In fact, we can see by sparseness that, the annihilator exponents of $\overline{\kappa}$ are still true in $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$. Whereas, there are exotic extensions by ν, i.e., multiplications by ν that are not detected in the E_∞-term. These can be determined by two different methods: by using the Tate spectral sequence as in [**BO16**], Section 2.3 or by computing the Gross-Hopkins dual of $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1$; however, we do not discuss this point here.

Using the structure of the E_∞-term, we can read off the action of the ideal $(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$ on $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$. From this, we obtain the following Corollary.

Theorem 5.3.22. *We have*

a) The map

$$\Theta' : \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_k(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu) \to \pi_k(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu),$$

induced by Θ *in (19), is an isomorphism for* $k \geq 0$*, independent of the version of* A_1.

b) The map

$$\Theta : \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_k(tmf \wedge A_1) \to \pi_k(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$$

is also an isomorphism for $k \geq 0$*, independent of the version of* A_1.

c) Multiplication by Δ^8 *induces isomorphisms*

$$\pi_k(tmf \wedge A_1) \to \pi_{k+192}(tmf \wedge A_1)$$

and

$$\pi_k(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu) \to \pi_{k+192}(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$$

for $k \geq 0$.

Proof. For part a), Corollary 5.1.4 asserts that Θ' is injective. To show that the latter is surjective, it suffices to show that its source and target have the same order. The order of the target can be seen from Theorem 5.3.19 and 5.3.20; in particular, it has order 0 or 4 in all stems, except for the stems 48 and 53 modulo 192, in which it has order 8. The remaining part of the proof is an inspection of the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$, together with the fact that Θ is injective, by Corollary 5.1.4, and is linear with respect to $\overline{\kappa}$ and ν, to show that $\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)$ has the same order as of $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$, in non-negative stems. Because of the dependance of the structure of $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$ on the version of A_1, we consider them separately: we only give a detailed treatment for $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$ and claim that the treatment for $A_1[01]$ and $A_1[10]$ is completely similar. For the remaining part of the proof, A_1 will be $A_1[00]$ or $A_1[11]$.

By sparseness and part (i) of Theorem 4.0.3, all classes $w_2^l e[i,j]$ for $l = 0, 1$ and $e[i,j]$, the classes in the table of Proposition 3.2.5 survive to the E_∞-term of the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$. Moreover, for degree reasons, these classes must converge to non-trivial elements of $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$ in the appropriate stems. Therefore, $\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$ has the same order as of $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$ up to stem 96 and in stem 101.

All of the classes

$$w_2^2 e[0,0], w_2^2 e[1,5], w_2^2 e[1,6], w_2^2 e[2,11],$$

$$w_2^2e[3,15], w_2^2e[3,17], w_2^2e[4,21], w_2^2e[4,23]$$

are d_2-cycles in the ASS and the d_3-differentials on them can only hit g-multiple classes. Thus, by ν-linearity and the fact that $g\nu = 0$ in $\mathrm{Ext}^{5,28}_{\mathcal{A}(2)_*}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, the classes

$$\nu w_2^2e[0,0], \nu w_2^2e[1,5], \nu w_2^2e[1,6], \nu w_2^2e[2,11],$$

$$\nu w_2^2e[3,15], \nu w_2^2e[3,17], \nu w_2^2e[4,21], \nu w_2^2e[4,23]$$

are d_3-cycles and hence survive to the E_∞-term, by sparseness. As above, these classes must converge to non-trivial elements of $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$ in the appropriate stems. It follows that $\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$ has the same order as of $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)$ for stems from 96 to 144.

Consider the classes

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu w_2^3e[0,0], \nu w_2^3e[1,5], \nu w_2^3e[1,6], \nu w_2^3e[2,11], \\ &\nu w_2^3e[3,15], \nu w_2^3e[3,17], \nu w_2^3e[4,21], \nu w_2^3e[4,23]. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

As above, these classes survive to the E_4-term of the ASS for $tmf \wedge A_1$. By sparseness, $\nu w_2^3e[4,23]$ survives to the E_∞-term and converges to a non-trivial element of $\pi_{170}(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$. By sparseness, the other classes can only support d_4-differentials hitting the classes

$$g^7e[1,6], g^7e[2,11], g^6e[6,32], g^7e[3,17], g^7e[4,21], g^7e[4,23], g^6e[9,47],$$

respectively. However, the class $g^ke[i,j]$ for $(i,j) \in \{(1,6),(2,11),(6,32),(3,17),(4,21),(4,23),(9,47)\}$ is killed by a differential for a certain integer k less than 7, hence $g^7e[i,j]$ for $(i,j) \in \{(1,6),(2,11),(6,32),(3,17),(4,21),(4,23),(9,47)\}$ is killed by a differential on a certain g-multiple class. This means that

$$\nu w_2^3e[0,0], \nu w_2^3e[1,5], \nu w_2^3e[2,11], \nu w_2^3e[3,15], \nu w_2^3e[3,17]$$

survive to the E_∞-term, hence, as above, to non-trivial elements of $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$. Next, the map Θ sends $e[6,32]$ and $e[9,47]$ to $e[2,32]$ and $e[3,47]$, respectively. The latter are both annihilated by $\overline{\kappa}^4$, so that $g^4e[6,32]$ and $g^4e[9,47]$ are hit by certain differentials in the ASS, hence $g^6e[6,32]$ and $g^6e[9,47]$ are hit by differentials supported on g-multiple classes. As above, this implies that $\nu w_2^3e[1,6]$ and $\nu w_2^3e[4,23]$ survive to non-trivial elements of $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$. In total, we have proved that all classes of (27) converge to non-trivial elements of $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$; as a consequence, $\mathbb{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$ has the same order as of $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$ in stems from 144 to 192.

Together with the fact that $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu)$ is Δ^8-periodic, we conclude that Θ' is a surjection, hence is an isomorphism.

For part b), there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1) & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & \pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{F}_4) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu) & \xrightarrow{\Theta'} & \pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1)/(\overline{\kappa}, \nu). \end{array}$$

Part b) then follows from part a) and the fact that $\pi_*(tmf \wedge A_1)$ is bounded below.

Part c) follows from part a) and part b) and the fact that Δ^8 is invertible in $\pi_*(E_C^{hG_{24}})$. $\square$

The Figures (22) to (25) represent the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[10]$ and $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[01]$ from the E_7-term on. Each black dot $\bullet$ represents a class generating a group $\mathbb{F}_4$ which survives to the E_∞-term. Each circle $\circ$ represent a class which either is hit by a differential or supports a differential higher than d_5. We only represent the differentials on generators listed in Proposition 5.3.10 but not those generated by $\overline{\kappa}$-linearity.




Figure 22 – HFPSS for $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$ from E_7-term with $0 \leq t - s \leq 48$




Figure 23 – HFPSS for $A_1[10]$ and$A_1[01]$ from E_7-term with $48 \leq t - s \leq 96$




Figure 24 – HFPSS for $A_1[10]$ and$A_1[01]$ from E_7-term with $96 \leq t - s \leq 144$




Figure 25 – HFPSS for $A_1[10]$ and $A_1[01]$ from E_7-term with $144 \leq t - s \leq 197$

The Figures (26) to (29) represent the HFPSS for $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[00]$ and $E_C^{hG_{24}} \wedge A_1[11]$ from the E_7-term on. Each black dot $\bullet$ represents a class generating a group $\mathbb{F}_4$ which survives to the E_∞-term. Each circle $\circ$ represent a class which either is hit by a differential or supports a differential higher than d_5. We only represent the differentials on generators listed in Proposition 5.3.10 but not those generated by $\overline{\kappa}$-linearity.




Figure 26 – HFPSS for $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$ from E_7-term with $0 \leq t - s \leq 48$




Figure 27 – HFPSS for $A_1[00]$ and$A_1[11]$ from E_7-term with $48 \leq t - s \leq 96$




Figure 28 – HFPSS for $A_1[00]$ and$A_1[11]$ from E_7-term with $96 \leq t - s \leq 144$




Figure 29 – HFPSS for $A_1[00]$ and $A_1[11]$ from E_7-term with $144 \leq t - s \leq 197$

References

[ABP69] D. W. Anderson, E. H. Brown, Jr., and F. P. Peterson. Pin cobordism and related topics. Comment. Math. Helv., 44:462–468, 1969. (Cited on page 36.)

[Ada66] J. F. Adams. On the groups $J(X)$. IV. Topology, 5:21–71, 1966. (Cited on page 2.)

[Ada74] J. F. Adams. Stable homotopy and generalised homology. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1974. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. (Cited on page 52.)

[Bau08] Tilman Bauer. Computation of the homotopy of the spectrum `tmf`. In Groups, homotopy and configuration spaces, volume 13 of Geom. Topol. Monogr., pages 11–40. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2008. (Cited on pages 50 and 59.)

[Bea15] Agnès Beaudry. The algebraic duality resolution at $p = 2$. Algebr. Geom. Topol., 15(6):3653–3705, 2015. (Cited on page 3.)

[Bea17] Agnès Beaudry. Towards the homotopy of the $K(2)$-local Moore spectrum at $p = 2$. Adv. Math., 306:722–788, 2017. (Cited on pages 9, 49, 50, and 52.)

[Beh12] Mark Behrens. The homotopy groups of $S_{E(2)}$ at $p \geq 5$ revisited. Adv. Math., 230(2):458–492, 2012. (Cited on page 3.)

[BEM17] Prasit Bhattacharya, Philip Egger, and Mark Mahowald. On the periodic v_2-self-map of A_1. Algebr. Geom. Topol., 17(2):657–692, 2017. (Cited on pages 5, 6, and 35.)

[BG18] I. Bobkova and Goerss P. G. Topological resolutions in $K(2)$-ĂŘlocal homotopy theory at the prime 2. Topology, 11(4):918–957, 2018. (Cited on pages 3, 4, and 50.)

[BO16] Mark Behrens and Kyle Ormsby. On the homotopy of $Q(3)$ and $Q(5)$ at the prime 2. Algebr. Geom. Topol., 16(5):2459–2534, 2016. (Cited on page 67.)

[Bro82] Kenneth S. Brown. Cohomology of groups, volume 87 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. (Cited on pages 54 and 55.)

[Dev95] Ethan S. Devinatz. Morava's change of rings theorem. In The Čech centennial (Boston, MA, 1993), volume 181 of Contemp. Math., pages 83–118. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995. (Cited on page 52.)

[DFHH14] Christopher L. Douglas, John Francis, André G. Henriques, and Michael A. Hill. Topological modular forms, volume 201 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. (Cited on pages 10, 11, 12, 34, and 44.)

[DH04] Ethan S. Devinatz and Michael J. Hopkins. Homotopy fixed point spectra for closed subgroups of the Morava stabilizer groups. Topology, 43(1):1–47, 2004. (Cited on page 3.)

[DM81] Donald M. Davis and Mark Mahowald. v_1- and v_2-periodicity in stable homotopy theory. Amer. J. Math., 103(4):615–659, 1981. (Cited on pages 4, 34, and 35.)

[DM82] Donald M. Davis and Mark Mahowald. Ext over the subalgebra A_2 of the Steenrod algebra for stunted projective spaces. In Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 1 (London, Ont., 1981), volume 2 of CMS Conf. Proc., pages 297–342. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982. (Cited on pages 12 and 20.)

[DR73] P. Deligne and M. Rapoport. Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques. In Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), pages 143–316. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349, 1973. (Cited on page 11.)

[GH04] P. G. Goerss and M. J. Hopkins. Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra. In Structured ring spectra, volume 315 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 151–200. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004. (Cited on page 9.)

[GH16] Paul G. Goerss and Hans-Werner Henn. The Brown-Comenetz dual of the $K(2)$-local sphere at the prime 3. Adv. Math., 288:648–678, 2016. (Cited on pages 3 and 5.)

[GHM04] Paul Goerss, Hans-Werner Henn, and Mark Mahowald. The homotopy of $L_2V(1)$ for the prime 3. In Categorical decomposition techniques in algebraic topology (Isle of Skye, 2001), volume 215 of Progr. Math., pages 125–151. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004. (Cited on page 3.)

[GHMR05] P. Goerss, H.-W. Henn, M. Mahowald, and C. Rezk. A resolution of the $K(2)$-local sphere at the prime 3. Ann. of Math. (2), 162(2):777–822, 2005. (Cited on page 3.)

[Goe08] P. G. Goerss. Quasi-coherent sheaves on the moduli stack of formal groups. arXiv:0802.0996, 2008. (Cited on page 8.)

[HKM13] Hans-Werner Henn, Nasko Karamanov, and Mark Mahowald. The homotopy of the $K(2)$-local Moore spectrum at the prime 3 revisited. Math. Z., 275(3-4):953–1004, 2013. (Cited on page 3.)

[HS99] Mark Hovey and Neil P. Strickland. Morava K-theories and localisation. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 139(666):viii+100, 1999. (Cited on page 49.)

[Koc90] Stanley O. Kochman. Stable homotopy groups of spheres, volume 1423 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. A computer-assisted approach. (Cited on page 46.)

[LT66] Jonathan Lubin and John Tate. Formal moduli for one-parameter formal Lie groups. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 94:49–59, 1966. (Cited on page 8.)

[Mat16] Akhil Mathew. The homology of tmf. Homology Homotopy Appl., 18(2):1–29, 2016. (Cited on page 12.)

[Mil58] John Milnor. The Steenrod algebra and its dual. Ann. of Math. (2), 67:150–171, 1958. (Cited on pages 13 and 36.)

[Mos70] R. Michael F. Moss. Secondary compositions and the Adams spectral sequence. Math. Z., 115:283–310, 1970. (Cited on page 46.)

[Rav84] Douglas C. Ravenel. Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories. Amer. J. Math., 106(2):351–414, 1984. (Cited on page 19.)

[Rav86] Douglas C. Ravenel. Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres, volume 121 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986. (Cited on pages 16, 23, 24, 39, and 53.)

[Rav92] Douglas C. Ravenel. Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory, volume 128 of Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992. Appendix C by Jeff Smith. (Cited on pages 2, 3, and 5.)

[Rez98] Charles Rezk. Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem. In Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations (Evanston, IL, 1997), volume 220 of Contemp. Math., pages 313–366. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998. (Cited on page 8.)

[Rog12] John Rognes. The adams spectral sequence. https://folk.uio.no/rognes/papers/notes.050612.pdf, 2012. (Cited on page 20.)

[Shi97] Katsumi Shimomura. The homotopy groups of the L_2-localized Toda-Smith spectrum $V(1)$ at the prime 3. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 349(5):1821–1850, 1997. (Cited on page 3.)

[Shi00] Katsumi Shimomura. The homotopy groups of the L_2-localized mod 3 Moore spectrum. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 52(1):65–90, 2000. (Cited on page 3.)

[Sil09] Joseph H. Silverman. The arithmetic of elliptic curves, volume 106 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009. (Cited on page 9.)

[Sto14] Vesna Stojanoska. Calculating descent for 2-primary topological modular forms. In An alpine expedition through algebraic topology, volume 617 of Contemp. Math., pages 241–258. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. (Cited on page 11.)

[SW02] Katsumi Shimomura and Xiangjun Wang. The homotopy groups $\pi_*(L_2S^0)$ at the prime 3. Topology, 41(6):1183–1198, 2002. (Cited on page 3.)

[SY95] Katsumi Shimomura and Atsuko Yabe. The homotopy groups $\pi_*(L_2S^0)$. Topology, 34(2):261–289, 1995. (Cited on page 3.)

[Tod59] Hirosi Toda. p-primary components of homotopy groups. IV. Compositions and toric constructions. Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A. Math., 32:297–332, 1959. (Cited on page 2.)

[Tod62] Hirosi Toda. Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 49. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1962. (Cited on page 46.)