

Effective field theory of magnetohydrodynamics from generalized global symmetries

Paolo Glorioso and Dam Thanh Son

Kadanoff Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

We introduce an effective action for non-dissipative magnetohydrodynamics. A crucial guiding principle is the generalized global symmetry of electrodynamics, which naturally leads to introducing a “dual photon” as the degree of freedom responsible for the electromagnetic component of the fluid. The formalism includes additional degrees of freedom and symmetries which characterize the hydrodynamic regime. By suitably enhancing one of the symmetries, the theory becomes force-free electrodynamics. The symmetries furthermore allow to systematize local and non-local conserved helicities. We also discuss higher-derivative corrections.

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) [1] combines Navier-Stokes hydrodynamics and Maxwell electrodynamics into a theory that can describe the long-time, long-distance behavior of charged fluids. It is applicable in systems of sizes ranging from laboratory systems to the whole Universe. In the standard formulation, the MHD equations describe the time evolution of the hydrodynamic variables (density, fluid velocity, temperature) and the magnetic field.

Recently, MHD has been recast into an alternative form using a generalized global symmetry [2] associated with the conservation of the dual of the Maxwell field strength.¹

$$\partial_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (1.1)$$

In this formulation, MHD is a hydrodynamic theory with a conserved charge, but the conserved current in this case is a 2-form, rather than a 1-form [3]. Equation (1.1) is the statement that the magnetic flux, defined as the integral of the magnetic field over a codimension-2 surface, is conserved. This approach has the advantage that all equations of MHD are treated in the same footings, i.e., as conservation equations.

In this paper, we provide a formulation of MHD in terms of the action principle. An action formulation has an advantage of potentially giving a clear interpretation of conserved quantities as the consequence of symmetries. In particular, in our formulation the conservation of the 2-form current is ensured by an abelian global symmetry, in which the parameter of a symmetry transformation is a 1-form, rather than a scalar. This symmetry turns out to be the main guiding principle to write the most general equations compatible with the standard assumptions of MHD, i.e., quasi-neutrality of the plasma and Debye screening of the electric field. This new symmetry suggests to include a “dual photon” to describe the dynamics of the electromagnetic part of the fluid. This is natural as the dynamics of the electromagnetic field is

dictated by the conservation equation of the dual field-strength (1.1). The other degrees of freedom are (the relativistic) Lagrangian coordinates of the fluid.

Previous action formulations of MHD have been implemented mainly by generalizing the approach of Clebsch potentials [4–6]. Other descriptions used Lagrangian coordinates [7, 8], although there are no dynamical degrees of freedom associated to the electromagnetic field. The advantage of introducing the dual photon is the presence of an enhanced set of symmetries, which allows one to systematically find various conserved helicities, providing a more transparent geometric structure. Another advantage of our formulation is that it conforms nicely with the philosophy of effective field theory, in which one writes down systematically all possible terms of the Lagrangian compatible with the required symmetries, following a power counting given by derivative expansion. The symmetries guarantee that each of these terms is consistent with the basic physical assumptions of MHD. It is worth mentioning that, since this approach is based on a standard action principle, it will not capture dissipative contributions, such as conductivity and viscosities.²

The paper is organized as follows. We begin Sec. II by introducing the degrees of freedom and the symmetries, and then present the general action of ideal MHD and show that the stress-energy tensor and the dual field-strength, as well as as possible additional conserved currents, acquire the form expected from MHD. In Sec. III we show how a variational formulation of force-free electrodynamics (FFE) can be obtained by enhancing one of the symmetries. We also show that, intriguingly, the equations of FFE can be recovered as a limit of axion electrodynamics. In Sec. IV we describe the Noether currents associated with the symmetries, and show that particular subgroups of such symmetries give rise to conserved helicities. In Sec. V we discuss higher-derivative corrections. We state our conclusions in Sec. VI.

¹ We choose the convention $\varepsilon^{0123} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}$.

² In order to capture dissipation, one needs an enhanced variational principle where degrees of freedom are doubled [9] (see also Refs. [10] and [11]).

II. ACTION PRINCIPLE

We first describe the building blocks for our action formulation: the degrees of freedom and the symmetries. We then use these ingredients to write down the most general action at leading order in derivatives, which will correspond to ideal MHD, and relate it to thermodynamics.

A. Kinematics

In the 2-form formulation of Ref. [2], MHD is stated in terms of the conservation equations

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} H^{\nu\alpha\beta} J_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \nabla_\mu J^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor and $J^{\mu\nu}$ is a 2-form current which can be identified with the dual field strength $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ defined in (1.1). In the above we also included

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu b_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu b_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho b_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $b_{\mu\nu}$ is the background source coupled to $J^{\mu\nu}$. The field strength $H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ can be thought of as the dual of an external current which probes the electromagnetic field, i.e.

$$J_{\text{ext}}^\sigma = -\frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma} H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad (2.3)$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{2} H^{\nu\alpha\beta} J_{\alpha\beta} = J_{\mu,\text{ext}} F^{\nu\mu}, \quad (2.4)$$

i.e., the right-hand side of the first equation in (2.1) is the Lorentz force generated by J_{ext}^μ .

To find an action S whose equations of motion are the conservation equations (2.1), the first step is to require one can couple the theory to a background metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and 2-form $b_{\mu\nu}$, and that the stress-energy tensor and the 2-form current are obtained by varying the action with respect to the respective sources, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} \\ J^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta b_{\mu\nu}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The conservation of these currents is then a consequence of the invariance of the action with respect to diffeomorphism and 1-form $U(1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}(x) &\rightarrow \partial_\mu y^\alpha \partial_\nu y^\beta g_{\alpha\beta}(y(x)) \\ b_{\mu\nu}(x) &\rightarrow \partial_\mu y^\alpha \partial_\nu y^\beta b_{\alpha\beta}(y(x)) - 2\partial_{[\mu} \chi_{\nu]}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $y^\mu(x)$ and $\chi_\mu(x)$ denote coordinate and one-form $U(1)$ transformations, respectively.

In analogy with the effective theory formulation of hydrodynamics [9–16], we propose that the degrees of freedom responsible for the conservation equations (2.1) are the parameters of such transformations, or more precisely, undergo shifts under these transformations. To parameterize diffeomorphisms, we introduce the fields $\sigma^a(x)$, which we regard as mappings from the physical spacetime, with coordinates x^μ , to an internal spacetime, with coordinates σ^a . To parameterize 1-form $U(1)$ transformations, we introduce the 1-form $\varphi_a(x)$.³ We shall see later that φ_a can be interpreted as a “dual photon.” We emphasize that σ^a, φ_a are *not* Goldstone modes, as they are not associated with the spontaneous symmetry breaking of a symmetry defined in the microscopic system.⁴

To ensure that Eqs. (2.1) follow from the variation of the action with respect to σ^a and φ_a , we demand the action to depend on the background sources $g_{\mu\nu}, b_{\mu\nu}$ and the degrees of freedom σ^a, φ_a through the following combinations:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ab} &= K_a^\mu K_b^\nu g_{\mu\nu} \\ B_{ab} &= K_a^\mu K_b^\nu b_{\mu\nu} + 2K_{[a}^\mu \partial_{\mu} \varphi_{b]} \\ &= K_a^\mu K_b^\nu b_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_{[a} \varphi_{b]} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $K_a^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^a}$.⁵ Requiring

$$S = S[h_{ab}, B_{ab}] \quad (2.8)$$

ensures that the equations of motion for σ^a and φ_a imply precisely Eqs. (2.1). The combinations (2.7) also guarantee that the action is invariant under diffeomorphisms and 1-form $U(1)$ transformations, given by Eqs. (2.6) together with

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^a(x) &\rightarrow \sigma^a(y(x)) \\ \varphi_a(x) &\rightarrow \varphi_a(y(x)) + K_a^\mu \chi_\mu(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Now that we ensured conservation of stress-energy and flux, we come to the second fundamental ingredient of MHD: The explicit expressions of $T^{\mu\nu}$ and $J^{\mu\nu}$ should depend only on the fluid velocity u^μ , the temperature T , and the chemical potential for the 1-form charge μh_μ . Following Ref. [2] we have factorized the 1-form chemical potential into a scalar μ and a 1-form h_μ such that

$$u^\mu h_\mu = 0, \quad h^\mu h_\mu = 1. \quad (2.10)$$

The most general action S of the form (2.8) does not satisfy the above requirement, and the conserved currents, in general, have a rather generic dependence on

³ Note that we chose to take the indices of φ_a to live in the σ^a spacetime: as we will see later, this choice makes more transparent the tensor structure of various equations. Defining the 1-form φ_μ in physical spacetime leads to an equivalent set of equations of motion after the identification $\varphi_\mu = \partial_\mu \sigma^a \varphi_a$.

⁴ See [17] for an alternative perspective of this point.

⁵ One can arbitrarily choose either x^μ or σ^a to be the dynamical degrees of freedom of the theory responsible for energy-momentum conservation.

σ^a and φ_a . We now show that the requirement can be satisfied by imposing additional symmetries, which, in a certain sense, will truly define the geometric nature of our MHD theory. In order to introduce these symmetries, we need to develop intuition on the the physical meaning of the degrees of freedom σ^a and φ_a and their relationship with the hydrodynamic variables u^μ , T , and μh_μ .

The fields σ^a are the relativistic Lagrangian fluid coordinates. Heuristically, a fixed value of the spatial coordinates σ^i identifies a given fluid element, whose trajectory in spacetime is described by the function $x^\mu(\sigma^0, \sigma^i)$ as σ^0 varies, and σ^0 represents the internal “clock” of the fluid element. It is then natural to define the velocity of the fluid as the normalized tangent vector to such trajectory:

$$u^\mu = \frac{1}{b} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^0}, \quad b = \sqrt{-h_{00}}. \quad (2.11)$$

Consider now a system in a homogeneous configuration at temperature T_0 . Placing the system in a slowly-varying curved background induces a redshift in the local temperature, so that the latter is $T(x) = T_0/\sqrt{-g_{00}}$. This invites to take the following relation between the local temperature and σ^a : $T(x) = T_0/\sqrt{-h_{00}}$. Furthermore, a global rescaling of time $x^0 \rightarrow \gamma x^0$ induces a rescaling of the asymptotic temperature $T_0 \rightarrow T_0/\gamma$. We can use this to fix $T_0 = 1$ and write

$$T(x) = \frac{1}{b}. \quad (2.12)$$

Finally, φ_a can be thought of as a “1-form phase” associated to each fluid element, which we want to relate to the 1-form chemical potential. The corresponding charges are the magnetic flux across 2-dimensional surfaces at constant time. In the σ^a -coordinate system, where σ^0 singles out the time direction, the charges are

$$Q^i = \int_{\Sigma_{(i)}} d^2x \sqrt{-g} J^{0i}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\Sigma_{(i)}$ is the plane at constant values of σ^0 and σ^i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The thermodynamic partition function is then

$$Z = \text{Tr}(e^{-\frac{1}{T}(H - \mu_i Q^i)}) , \quad (2.14)$$

where H is the vacuum Hamiltonian of the system, and μ_i is the chemical potential conjugated to Q^i . Comparing Eqs. (2.13), (2.14), and (2.5), we are then led to identify B_{0i} with the 1-form chemical potential μ_i in the σ^a -coordinates. Pulling back to x^μ coordinates, this becomes

$$\mu h_\mu = \frac{1}{b} \frac{\partial \sigma^i}{\partial x^\mu} B_{0i}, \quad (2.15)$$

where the prefactor of $\frac{1}{b}$ comes from that B_{0i} behaves like a scalar density in the time direction. We will develop more details on this object in the next section.

We now come to the symmetries. As discussed above, the spatial coordinates σ^i can be thought of as giving a system of coordinates embedded in the fluid. However, there is a redundancy in this identification. Given a fluid configuration, one can arbitrarily change the system of coordinates used to parametrize fluid elements without changing physical quantities, i.e. temperature, velocity and one-form $U(1)$ chemical potential. This is in contrast to, e.g., solids, where there is a single-out coordinate systems tied to the lattice. The redundancy characteristic of fluids is captured by the spatial diffeomorphisms

$$\sigma^i \rightarrow f^i(\sigma^j), \quad (2.16)$$

where f^i is an arbitrary function of σ^j . We then require that the action should be invariant under (2.16). In other words, one has the freedom of relabeling fluid elements at a constant time slice $\sigma^0 = \text{const}$. Analogously, the choice of initial value of the internal time σ^0 should be arbitrary for each fluid element. This leads to requiring invariance under time shifts:

$$\sigma^0 \rightarrow \sigma^0 + f(\sigma^i). \quad (2.17)$$

where f is an arbitrary function of σ^i . Lastly, one should also have the freedom of arbitrary choice of the one-form φ_a at the initial time. This leads to demanding invariance with respect to time-independent shifts of the one-form phase

$$\varphi_a \rightarrow \varphi_a + \lambda_a(\sigma^i), \quad (2.18)$$

where ψ_a is a time-independent one-form with arbitrary dependence on σ^i .

Finally, given that the action depends on φ_a through the second line of Eq. (2.7), one has the additional symmetry

$$\varphi_a \rightarrow \varphi_a + \partial_a \alpha(\sigma), \quad (2.19)$$

where α is an arbitrary scalar function of σ^a . This symmetry is time-dependent, contrary to the previous ones. We shall see later that it will lead to a generalization of the constraint on the magnetic field $\partial_i B^i = 0$.

Note that T, u^μ, μ and h_α , as defined in Eqs. (2.11), (2.12), and (2.15) are invariant under (2.16)–(2.18). In fact, as we will show, the stress-energy tensor and the 2-form current coming from an action invariant under (2.16)–(2.18), depend only on T, u^μ , and μ^α . This will be a crucial property to verify the consistency of our formulation.

To make the symmetries (2.16)–(2.18) manifest, it is convenient to parametrize the fields (2.7) in terms of new variables b, v_i, a_{ij}, m_i , and m_{ij}

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ab} d\sigma^a d\sigma^b &= -b^2 (d\sigma^0 - v_i d\sigma^i)^2 + a_{ij} d\sigma^i d\sigma^j \\ B_{ab} d\sigma^a \wedge d\sigma^b &= 2bm_i (d\sigma^0 - v_j d\sigma^j) \wedge d\sigma^i \\ &\quad + m_{ij} d\sigma^i \wedge d\sigma^j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

The objects in (2.20) transform covariantly under (2.16):

$$b \rightarrow b, \quad (2.21)$$

$$m_i \rightarrow \partial_i f^j m_j, \quad v_i \rightarrow \partial_i f^j v_j \quad (2.22)$$

$$a_{ij} \rightarrow \partial_i f^k \partial_j f^l a_{kl}, \quad m_{ij} \rightarrow \partial_i f^k \partial_j f^l m_{kl} \quad (2.23)$$

while under time shifts (2.18), only v_i transforms non-trivially

$$v_i \rightarrow v_i - \partial_i f, \quad (2.24)$$

and only m_{ij} transforms nontrivially under (2.19):

$$m_{ij} \rightarrow m_{ij} + 2\partial_{[i}\lambda_{j]}. \quad (2.25)$$

From the discussion around (2.15) we also see that m_i is the one-form chemical potential in σ^a -coordinates. In terms of m_i , eq. (2.15) reads

$$h_\mu = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \sigma^i}{\partial x^\mu} m_i, \quad \mu = \sqrt{m_i m_j a^{ij}}. \quad (2.26)$$

Note that the second equation in (2.10) is automatically guaranteed, indeed

$$u^\mu h_\mu = \frac{1}{b} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^0} \mu \frac{\partial \sigma^i}{\partial x^\mu} m_i = \frac{\mu}{b} \delta_0^i m_i = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

B. Ideal MHD

In this Section we shall study the most general action at leading derivative order which is compatible with the symmetry requirements discussed above. The leading derivative order contains no derivatives at all, meaning that we construct the action based on the fields in the decomposition (2.20). The most general action at this order turns out to depend only on b and m_i . Using (2.12) and (2.26), it is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} F(T, \mu), \quad (2.28)$$

where F is an arbitrary function of T and μ . Varying (2.28) with respect to the background sources as in (2.5) we obtain stress-energy tensor and two-form current:⁶

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = p g^{\mu\nu} + (\varepsilon + p) u^\mu u^\nu - \mu \rho h^\mu h^\nu \\ J^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta b_{\mu\nu}} = 2\rho u^{[\mu} h^{\nu]}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

where p and ε are pressure and energy density, and ρ denotes a scalar associated to the 2-form density. They are related to F through

$$p = F, \quad \rho = \partial_\mu F, \quad \varepsilon = T \partial_T F + \mu \partial_\mu F - F. \quad (2.30)$$

If we identify

$$s = \partial_T F, \quad (2.31)$$

where s is the entropy density, we find the thermodynamic relation

$$p + \varepsilon = sT + \mu\rho, \quad (2.32)$$

which was first obtained in [2].

C. Connection to the traditional formulation of MHD

In the usual formulation of relativistic ideal MHD [18], the stress tensor is the sum of fluid and Maxwell stress tensors:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_{\text{fluid}}^{\mu\nu} + T_{\text{maxwell}}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{fluid}}^{\mu\nu} &= p_0(T) g^{\mu\nu} + (\varepsilon_0(T) + p_0(T)) u^\mu u^\nu \\ T_{\text{maxwell}}^{\mu\nu} &= F^\mu_\alpha F^{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{4} F^2 g^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

and where one imposes the vanishing of the electric field in the fluid rest frame $F^{\mu\nu} u_\nu = 0$, which allows to rewrite

$$T_{\text{maxwell}}^{\mu\nu} = B^2 u^\mu u^\nu + \frac{1}{2} B^2 g^{\mu\nu} - B^\mu B^\nu, \quad (2.35)$$

where $B^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u_\nu F_{\rho\sigma}$ is the magnetic field. In this case $T_{\text{fluid}}^{\mu\nu}$ is completely disentangled from the electromagnetic part, as the thermodynamic parameters $p_0(T), \varepsilon_0(T)$ are only functions of the temperature. Additionally,

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 2u^{[\mu} B^{\nu]}, \quad (2.36)$$

and the complete set of equations of motion is given by

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

We then see that the total stress tensor (2.33) and the dual field strength (2.36) coincide with $T^{\mu\nu}$ and $J^{\mu\nu}$ given in (2.29), (2.30), respectively, upon identifying

$$p = p_0 + \frac{1}{2} B^2. \quad (2.38)$$

The traditional formulation of MHD can indeed be seen as a particular limit of (2.29), where the dynamics of the electromagnetic field is dominated by the Maxwell Lagrangian. For sufficiently large electromagnetic fields one expects thermal and quantum fluctuations to become important, thus generating a nontrivial dependence on B^2 in the pressure, which is captured by the general expressions in (2.29).

⁶ See Appendix A for the variations of various fields with respect to background sources.

D. MHD with number conservation

In many situations one may need to include an additional conserved charge in MHD. The conservation equation for the corresponding vector current is then

$$\nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0 . \quad (2.39)$$

We emphasize that J^μ is not the current associated to the electromagnetic $U(1)$. Depending on the context, J^μ could be the baryon number current, or simply the particle number current, e.g. when dealing with a non-relativistic system, where the particle number is conserved. Such J^μ can also be the axial current, which is relevant when the system is coupled to chiral matter.⁷ We couple the theory to a background gauge field C_μ so that J^μ is obtained by the variation

$$J^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta C_\mu} . \quad (2.40)$$

Note that in the presence of J^μ , the first eq. in (2.1) is modified to

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} H^{\nu\alpha\beta} J_{\alpha\beta} + D^{\nu\alpha} J_\alpha , \quad (2.41)$$

where $D_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu C_\nu - \partial_\nu C_\mu$, and the conservation of the 2-form is unaffected.

The conservation equation (2.39) is associated to invariance of S under the background gauge transformation

$$C_\mu \rightarrow C_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda , \quad (2.42)$$

where $\lambda(x)$ is a function. In parallel with sec. II A, we then introduce a scalar field $\varphi(x)$ which parameterizes such transformations. To ensure that eq. (2.39) follows from the varying the action with respect to φ , we require that the action depends on C_μ and φ through the combination

$$B_a = K_a^\mu (C_\mu + \partial_\mu \varphi) . \quad (2.43)$$

This combination ensures that the action will be invariant under the symmetries discussed before, as well as (2.42), provided we simultaneously transform

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi - \lambda . \quad (2.44)$$

Finally, we demand the action to be invariant under the transformation

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \chi(\sigma^i) \quad (2.45)$$

(keeping C_μ fixed), where $\chi(\sigma^i)$ is an arbitrary function of the spatial fluid coordinates. This corresponds to requiring the freedom of relabeling the $U(1)$ phase of each

fluid element at a given time slice. The same symmetry was required in the formulation of charged fluids in [13], where the transformation (2.45) was dubbed “chemical shift”.

It will be convenient to decompose B_a as

$$B_a d\sigma^a = b_{\mu_N} (d\sigma^0 - v_i d\sigma^i) + b_i d\sigma^i , \quad (2.46)$$

where we shall interpret μ_N as the chemical potential associated to the $U(1)$ charge. This can be motivated by a similar argument as that around eq. (2.15). The variables μ_N and b_i transform covariantly under (2.16)-(2.19), while under (2.45),

$$\mu_N \rightarrow \mu_N , \quad b_i \rightarrow b_i + \partial_i \chi . \quad (2.47)$$

At ideal level, the most general action is then

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} F(T, \mu, \mu_N) , \quad (2.48)$$

where F is an arbitrary function. Varying S with respect to C_μ gives the current

$$J^\mu = n u^\mu , \quad (2.49)$$

where $n = \partial_{\mu_N} F$ is the number density. The other constitutive relations (2.29) are unmodified, as well as (2.30), except that now

$$\varepsilon = T \partial_T F + \mu \partial_\mu F + \mu_N \partial_{\mu_N} F - F , \quad (2.50)$$

leading to the thermodynamic relation

$$p + \varepsilon = sT + \mu\rho + \mu_N n . \quad (2.51)$$

III. FORCE-FREE ELECTRODYNAMICS

An important limit of MHD is force-free electrodynamics. In this limit the contribution of electromagnetic fields to the dynamics is much more relevant than the contribution of matter, the latter essentially decouples from the equations but the nonlinear structure of MHD remains. This is a very useful approximation in astrophysics to study e.g. the solar corona or other types of astrophysical plasma, such as black hole and neutron star atmospheres [20, 21].

In FFE, the stress-energy tensor is approximated with that coming from Maxwell Lagrangian $-\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$, which implies that

$$F^{\mu\nu} J_\mu = 0 , \quad (3.1)$$

where J^μ is the electromagnetic current produced by the plasma. Using Maxwell’s equations, we can then write FFE in the following form

$$\nabla_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0 , \quad \nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\rho} = 0 , \quad (3.2)$$

where we are left with a non-linear system which determines the evolution of $F_{\mu\nu}$.

Below we shall see that an action for FFE can be obtained by enhancing the symmetry requirements discussed in the previous Section. We will also show that, intriguingly, eqs. (3.2) can be obtained from a limit of axion electrodynamics.

⁷ See Ref. [19] for a discussion on chiral MHD and the dramatic consequences due to quantum anomalies.

A. FFE as symmetry-enhanced MHD

We now require, instead of (2.17), that the action be invariant under the larger group of transformations

$$\sigma^0 \rightarrow f(\sigma^0, \sigma^i), \quad (3.3)$$

where f is an arbitrary function. Clearly, this transformation implies that σ^0 decouples from the action. Under (3.3), the temperature (2.12) transforms as

$$T \rightarrow T \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma^0} \right)^{-1}, \quad (3.4)$$

and thus, the most general action at leading derivative order is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} F(\mu). \quad (3.5)$$

The stress-energy tensor and two-form current obtained from this action are the same as those in Eqs. (2.29) and (2.30), except that now $\partial_T F = 0$. The interesting observation is that such system can be shown to be equivalent to a generalization FFE.

Identifying $J^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}$, the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor can be written as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} J^2 g^{\mu\nu} + J^{\mu\alpha} J^\nu_\alpha, \quad (3.6)$$

which has the form of (2.29) upon using (2.30), with $F(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \mu^2$. The action (3.5) can then be viewed as the generalization of FFE to non-linear electrodynamics. We also note that the structure of the above constitutive relations has similarities with the zero-temperature limit of [2].

B. FFE as a limit of axion electrodynamics

In this section we describe a completely different action principle for FFE, which can be thought of as a limit of axion electrodynamics. This action is

$$S = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \kappa \theta \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta}), \quad (3.7)$$

where κ is a constant, and we regard the axion θ to be dynamical. Note that both the kinetic term and the potential term for θ are absent, so θ is now a Lagrange multiplier.

Varying A_μ and θ , one finds the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} &= 0 \\ \nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} &= J^\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where the current J^μ is defined by

$$J^\mu \equiv \kappa \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_\nu \theta F_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.9)$$

Now, using the identity

$$V_\mu \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta} = -4 \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\mu\alpha} V_\beta F_{\gamma\delta}, \quad (3.10)$$

one finds

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\rho\nu} J^\nu &= \kappa \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_\nu \theta F_{\rho\mu} F_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \kappa \partial_\rho \theta \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

We thus recovered precisely the equations of force-free electrodynamics! The current in Eq. (3.9) may appear to possess a more specific form than that in FFE, but it turns out that this not the case. Indeed, from Eq. (3.11) we know that $F_{\mu\nu}$, being antisymmetric, has rank 2, and thus one can write

$$F_{\mu\nu} = V_{[\mu} W_{\nu]}, \quad J^\mu V_\mu = J^\mu W_\mu = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

where V_μ, W_μ are two vector fields. Hence, there exist a vector field S_μ such that

$$J^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} V_\alpha W_\beta S_\gamma = \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{\alpha\beta} S_\gamma, \quad (3.13)$$

and, due to the Bianchi identity,

$$\nabla_\mu J^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\mu S_\gamma = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

which in turn implies $\nabla_{[\mu} S_{\nu]} = 0$. We then must have $S_\mu = \partial_\mu \alpha$, for some function α . This then shows that Eq. (3.9) describes the most general current in FFE, and we conclude that the equations from the axion electrodynamics action (3.7) are completely equivalent to FFE.

The action (3.7) should be considered as a formal rewriting of FFE, and θ as an unphysical Legendre multiplier. One may ask, however, if there is a regime in which axion electrodynamics, with an axion field of interest for particle physics, reduces to FFE. We now show that the neglect of the kinetic term and the mass term in the Lagrangian (3.7) can be justified only at very large values of the magnetic field. To see that, we add these terms into the Lagrangian

$$-\frac{f_a^2}{2} [(\partial_\mu \theta)^2 + m_a^2 \theta^2] \quad (3.15)$$

where f_a and m_a are the decay constant and mass of the axion, respectively, and requires that the effect of these new terms on the equation of motion is negligible. Consider a mostly magnetic solution where $E \ll B$. From an equation of motion

$$\partial_i E^i = \kappa B^i \partial_i \theta \quad (3.16)$$

it follows that $\theta \sim E/(\kappa B)$. The new terms in the action can then be neglected when

$$f_a^2 \frac{\theta}{L^2}, f_a^2 m_a^2 \theta \ll \kappa E B \quad (3.17)$$

where L is the length scale over which the fields vary. This condition can be rewritten as

$$B \gg \frac{f_a m_a}{\kappa}, \frac{f_a}{\kappa L} \quad (3.18)$$

For QCD axions $f_a m a_a \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2$ and $\kappa \sim \alpha$, and one needs magnetic fields stronger than 10^{20} G. We leave open the possibility that FFE can be realized in some regime of the axion electrodynamics relevant for certain time-reversal breaking topological insulators, where θ plays the role of a dynamical magnetization [22–24].

IV. NOETHER CHARGES

Equations (2.16)–(2.18) and (2.45) constitute infinitely many distinct symmetries, which will give infinitely many conserved currents. These can be conveniently written in the σ^a -coordinates:

$$J_f^a = \sqrt{a} s f \delta_0^a \quad (4.1)$$

$$J_{\eta^i}^a = \sqrt{a} \left(s v_i + n b_i + \frac{\rho}{\mu} m^j m_{ij} \right) \eta^i \delta_0^a \quad (4.2)$$

$$J_{\lambda_i}^a = \frac{\sqrt{a} \rho}{\mu} m^i \lambda_i \delta_0^a \quad (4.3)$$

$$J_\chi^a = \sqrt{a} n \chi \delta_0^a, \quad (4.4)$$

where f , λ_i and χ are the functions introduced in (2.17), (2.18) and (2.45), respectively, and η^i is an infinitesimal transformation defined through $f^i = \sigma^i + \eta^i$, where f^i was introduced in (2.16). Conservation of the currents is then $\partial_a J^a = 0$. The conservation of the first two currents is entropy and momentum conservation. Conservation of the third current is a generalization of the “frozen-in” condition for the magnetic field. The last one corresponds to number conservation. The symmetry (2.19) gives the constraint

$$\partial_i \left(\frac{\sqrt{a} \rho}{\mu} m^i \right) = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

which reduces to the constraint on the magnetic field $\partial_i B^i = 0$. One can easily verify that the conservation of (4.1)–(4.4) together with (4.5) are equivalent to the equations of motion (2.1), (2.39) and (2.41), so (4.1)–(4.4) constitute a complete basis of conserved quantities.

The currents (4.1)–(4.4) are all non-local when written in terms of the Eulerian variables T, μ, μ_N, u^μ and h^μ , in the sense that (4.1)–(4.4) have a dependence on the initial values of such variables. For example, (4.2) depends on the degree of freedom φ through $b_i = \partial_i \varphi + v_i \partial_0 \varphi$, where we used (2.43) and (2.46), and we switched off the gauge field $C_\mu = 0$ for simplicity. Using (2.43) and (2.46), one has $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \sigma^0} = \mu_N / T$, which allows to express φ in terms of Eulerian variables,

$$\varphi(\sigma^0, \sigma^i) = \int_{-\infty}^{\sigma^0} d\zeta \frac{\mu_N(\zeta, \sigma^i)}{T(\zeta, \sigma^i)}, \quad (4.6)$$

where we took $\varphi = 0$ in the far past. We then see that (4.2) depends non-locally on the usual hydrodynamic variables. As we will see, this non-locality is related to the fact that the currents (4.1)–(4.4) are not invariant

under some of the symmetries (2.16)–(2.19). The issue of finding conserved helicities and understanding if they can be written locally in Eulerian variables is a topic of interest in MHD [4, 5, 25]; helicities are useful to characterize solutions and have wide applications to numerical simulations. The discussion of this subsection aims at giving a systematic derivation of local and non-local helicities using the relation between locality of a given conserved quantity and invariance of the latter with respect to the symmetries (2.16)–(2.18) and (2.45). Below we will consider specific subgroups of our symmetries and find that they recover a generalization of known conserved helicities, and we will see how these helicities become local in specific limits.

Let us start by considering fluid relabelings of the form

$$\eta^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a} s} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j z_k, \quad z_k = z_k(\sigma^i), \quad (4.7)$$

where we included \sqrt{a} so that η^i is a spatial vector (rather than a vector density).⁸ The independence of η^i on σ^0 is guaranteed as far as the conservation of (4.1) is imposed. Note that, up to the prefactor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{a} s}$ which can be fixed to 1 using (2.16), η^i in (4.7) is divergenceless, i.e. it is the infinitesimal generator of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, or special diffeomorphisms.⁹ The associated charge is obtained by integrating over a $\sigma^0 = c$ slice, where c is a constant,

$$H_{\text{sdiff}} = \int d^3 \sigma \left(v_i + \frac{n}{s} b_i + \frac{\rho}{s \mu} m^l m_{il} \right) \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j z_k. \quad (4.8)$$

Under (2.21)–(2.25), the above quantity transforms as

$$H_{\text{sdiff}} \rightarrow \int d^3 \sigma \left(v_i - \partial_i f + \frac{n}{s} (b_i + \partial_i \chi) + \frac{\rho}{s \mu} m^l (m_{il} + 2 \partial_{[i} \lambda_{l]}) \right) \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j z_k. \quad (4.9)$$

Invariance then imposes the conditions:

$$\partial_i \left(\frac{n}{s} \right) \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j z_k = 0, \quad \partial_i \left(\frac{\rho}{s \mu} m^l \epsilon^{ijl} \partial_j z_k \right) = 0. \quad (4.10)$$

When these conditions are met, H_{sdiff} can be written as a local quantity. To show this, it is convenient to choose a gauge for the symmetry (2.17) in which $\sigma^0 = x^0$ on the slice $\sigma^0 = c$, upon which $\partial_I \sigma^0, \partial_i x^0 = 0$, and

$$\epsilon^{ijk} = \frac{\epsilon^{IJK}}{J} \partial_I \sigma^i \partial_J \sigma^j \partial_K \sigma^k, \quad (4.11)$$

⁸ We define $\epsilon^{123} = 1$.

⁹ More explicitly, one can fix the fluid relabeling symmetry (2.16) by requiring $\sqrt{a} s = 1$ on a given constant- σ^0 slice. Thanks to (4.1), this condition is then preserved along all the flow, so that one recovers the well-known special diffeomorphism invariance of ideal fluids.

where I, J, K denote spatial indices in the x^μ spacetime, and where J is the determinant of $\frac{\partial \sigma^i}{\partial x^J}$, and moreover

$$\partial_\alpha \sigma^l v_l = \frac{1}{b} u_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \sigma^0, \quad (4.12)$$

and, for simplicity, we set all the background sources to zero, i.e. $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $b_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $C_\mu = 0$. Pulling-back (4.8) to x^μ -coordinates then gives

$$H_{\text{sdiff}}^{(\text{isen})} = \int d^3x \epsilon^{IJK} \partial_J \left(\frac{h + \rho\mu}{s} u_I - \frac{\mu u_L B_L}{s \rho (u^0)^2} B_I \right) z_K, \quad (4.13)$$

where $h = Ts + \mu_N n$ is the enthalpy density, and $B_\mu = \partial_\mu \sigma^i \frac{\rho}{\mu} m_i$ is the magnetic field. One physically relevant case in which condition (4.10) is satisfied is that of isentropic fluids, for which $n/s = \text{const.}$ [26], with no electromagnetic contribution $m^l m_{il} = 0$. We leave it as an open question whether there are other physically interesting situations where (4.10) is satisfied.

Let us now set again $m^l m_{il} = 0$. As pointed out above, if the fluid is not isentropic the conserved helicity (4.8) is not a local expression of Eulerian variables. To understand this better let us take again $m^l m_{il} = 0$ for simplicity, without assuming isentropicity. The pull-back to physical spacetime of H_{sdiff} is

$$H_{\text{sdiff}} = \int d^3x \epsilon^{IJK} \partial_J \left(\frac{h}{s} u_I \right) z_K + \int d^3x \frac{n}{s} \partial_I \varphi \epsilon^{IJK} \partial_J z_K. \quad (4.14)$$

Clearly, if n/s is not constant the second term will in general contribute, making H_{sdiff} non-local when expressed in terms of Eulerian variables, as discussed around (4.6). See Ref. [25] for an alternative discussion of this non-local invariant in the case of vanishing electromagnetic fields $m^l m_{il} = 0$. A derivation of Kelvin's theorem for fluids from symmetry was discussed in Ref. [12]. The conservation of (4.8) is the extension of Kelvin's circulation theorem to relativistic magnetohydrodynamics, and includes the non-barotropic case.

Now let us consider the following transformations

$$\eta^i(\sigma^j) = \eta_0(\sigma^j) \frac{1}{\sqrt{as}} \frac{\sqrt{a}\rho}{\mu} m^i, \quad m^i \partial_i \eta_0 = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

These fluid relabelings generate a shift along the magnetic field, where the amount of shift is the same along the same magnetic field line. We can thus view them as “magnetic shifts.” Time-independence of η^i is guaranteed after accounting for the conservation of (4.1) and (4.3), which imply that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{as}}$ and $\frac{\sqrt{a}\rho}{\mu} m^i$ are both time-independent. The conserved charge associated to this symmetry is

$$H_{\text{mshift}} \equiv \int d^3\sigma \left(v_i + \frac{n}{s} b_i \right) \frac{\sqrt{a}\rho}{\mu} m^i \eta_0. \quad (4.16)$$

Let us assume again that the plasma is isentropic $n/s = \text{const.}$ The above charge is invariant under all the symmetries (2.16)-(2.19). In particular, under (2.17) one finds, using the first eq. in (2.24),

$$H_{\text{mshift}} \rightarrow H_{\text{mshift}} - \int d^3\sigma \partial_i f \frac{\sqrt{a}\rho}{\mu} m^i \eta_0, \quad (4.17)$$

where the integrand can be easily shown to be a total derivative, thanks to the conservation of (4.5), leading to the vanishing of the integral. The same holds for transformation (2.45) acting on b_i . Since η_0 is an arbitrary function satisfying the second eq. in (4.15), the conservation of H_{mshift} is equivalent to the conservation of

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} d\ell \frac{\rho}{\mu} m^i \left(v_i + \frac{n}{s} b_i \right), \quad (4.18)$$

where \mathcal{M} is a line in the σ^i -space generated by the vector m^i , and $d\ell$ is the associated line element. In other words, for each magnetic flux tube we have an associated conserved quantity. Pulling back to x^μ spacetime, eq. (4.16) becomes

$$H_{\text{mshift}} = \int d^3x h \mathbf{b}^I u^I \eta_0, \quad (4.19)$$

where h is the enthalpy density defined before, $\mathbf{b}^I = J^{0I}$ is the magnetic field in the “lab frame”, and where we set again to zero background sources, $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $C_\mu = 0$, $b_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Eq. (4.19) is precisely the standard cross-helicity for an isentropic fluid. Relaxing isentropicity, eq. (4.16) cannot in general be written as a local quantity, and gives the cross-helicity for general MHD. A discussion on non-local cross-helicity for traditional MHD can be found in [25].

Lastly, we consider the generalized FFE action (3.5). There is an accidental infinitesimal symmetry in this case, given by the following time-dependent fluid relabelings η^i :

$$\eta^i = \frac{\epsilon^{ijk}}{\sqrt{a}\mu\rho} m_j \partial_k g, \quad \partial_0 g = 0, \quad m^i \partial_i g = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

The associated conserved charge is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{ff}} &= \int d^3\sigma J_{\eta^i}^0 = \int d^3\sigma \frac{\sqrt{a}\rho}{\mu} m_{ij} m^i \eta^j \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3\sigma m_{ij} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k g = - \int d^3\sigma \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k m_{ij} g, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where we used the identity

$$\epsilon^{ijk} M_{il} V_j W_k = \epsilon^{ijk} W_{[i} V_{j]} M_{jk}, \quad (4.22)$$

where M_{jk} is an antisymmetric tensor. Upon using the equations of motion, this quantity is time-independent:

$$\partial_0 H_{\text{ff}} = \int d^3\sigma \partial_0 m_{ij} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_k g = 0, \quad (4.23)$$

where we used that $\partial_0 \left(\frac{\sqrt{a}\rho}{\mu} m^j m_{ij} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{a}\rho}{\mu} m^j \partial_0 m_{ij} = 0$, and $m^i \partial_i g = 0$, together with the antisymmetry of ϵ^{ijk} . To get more physical intuition on this quantity, let us specify to Maxwell force-free electrodynamics. Pulling-back to physical space-time and again fixing $\sigma^0 = x^0$ on the hypersurface of integration, we find

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{fe}} &= - \int d^3 x g \epsilon^{IJK} \partial_I J_{JK} \\ &= -2 \int d^3 x g \partial_I E^I = -2 \int d^3 x g \rho_q, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where ρ_q is the electric charge of the system. In the above steps, we used that $J^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}$ and Gauss' law $\partial_i E^i = \rho_q$. In other words, H_{fe} is the electric charge integrated along magnetic flux tubes.

V. ACTION AT FIRST ORDER

In this section we discuss first-derivative contributions to the MHD action. This entails writing the list of couplings which contain one derivative and are invariant under the symmetries discussed in sec. II A. To make the symmetries (2.16)-(2.19) more manifest, it will be convenient to use derivatives performed with respect to σ^a instead of x^μ . To this aim, we introduce a ‘‘covariant’’ derivative in the σ^a -spacetime. Given a scalar $\varphi(\sigma^a)$, its ordinary σ^i -derivative is not invariant under (2.17):

$$\partial_i \varphi \rightarrow \partial_i \varphi + \partial_i f \partial_0 \varphi. \quad (5.1)$$

This has the opposite transformation compared to v_i in (2.24), and thus we are lead to introducing the covariant derivative

$$d_i \varphi \equiv \partial_i \varphi + v_i \partial_0 \varphi. \quad (5.2)$$

One can verify that $d_i \varphi$ transforms as a vector under (2.16), and does not transform under any of the other symmetries. The time derivative $\partial_0 \varphi$ does not transform under any symmetry.

We can now write down the general MHD action at first derivative order, which is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(1)} &= \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} (a_1 \epsilon^{ijk} m_i d_j v_k \\ &\quad + a_2 T \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_0 m_{ij} v_k + a_3 \epsilon^{ijk} d_i m_{jk}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where $\epsilon^{ijk} = \epsilon^{ijk}/\sqrt{a}$. For simplicity, we considered MHD without additional conserved currents, and we included only terms that are linear in the electromagnetic field. We also restricted to terms that satisfy parity and time-reversal invariance. See Appendix B for MHD with one additional conserved current. Here, a_1 and a_3 are arbitrary functions of T . The term multiplying a_2

has a non-trivial transformation under (2.17). In σ^a -coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \int d^4 \sigma a_2 \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_0 m_{ij} v_k &= - \int d^4 \sigma a_2 \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_0 m_{ij} \partial_k f \\ &= \int d^4 \sigma ((\partial_0 a_2) \epsilon^{ijk} m_{ij} \partial_k f - \partial_0 (a_2 \epsilon^{ijk} m_{ij} \partial_k f)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

In order for the above to vanish we then require a_2 to be a constant, independent of T . It is interesting that the action formulation constraints a_2 to be a constant. A similar type of restriction was observed before in [14]. Comparing with the table below, we see that all the couplings in (5.4), being linear in the electromagnetic field break charge conjugation, while parity and time-reversal are preserved. The coefficients a_1 and a_3 survive in the static limit, and are thus of thermodynamic nature.

	T	μ	v_i	a_{ij}	m_i	m_{ij}
T	+	+	−	+	−	+
P	+	+	−	+	+	−
C	+	+	+	+	−	−

Using the variations given in appendix A, we find the following first order corrections to the constitutive relations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} &= \delta \varepsilon u^\mu u^\nu + 2u^{(\mu} q^{\nu)} \\ J_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} &= 2u^{[\mu} \kappa^{\nu]} + j^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where $q^\mu, \kappa^\mu, j^{\mu\nu}$ are transverse to u^μ , and¹⁰

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \varepsilon &= -\frac{1}{6} (T \partial_T a_3 - a_3) \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} u_\alpha H_{\beta\gamma\delta} \\ &\quad + \mu (T^2 \partial_T a_1 + 2T \partial_T a_3 - 2a_3) h_\alpha u^\alpha \\ q^\mu &= - (T \partial_T a_1 - a_1 + 2 \partial_T a_3 - 2 \frac{a_3}{T}) s_1^\mu + T a_1 \mathcal{B}^\mu \\ &\quad - 2 \left(a_1 + \left(1 - \frac{sT}{\rho\mu} \right) (a_2 + \frac{a_3}{T}) \right) v_1^\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6} (a_2 T + a_3) \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} h_\alpha H_{\beta\gamma\delta} h^\mu \\ &\quad + 2(a_2 T + a_3) \mathcal{B}^\alpha h_\alpha h^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\kappa^\mu = a_1 T \Omega^\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned} j^{\mu\nu} &= 2(a_2 + \partial_T a_3) \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\alpha \partial_\beta T \\ &\quad + 2(T a_2 + a_3) \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\alpha \partial u_\beta, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} v_1^\mu &= \mu \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\delta} u_\alpha h_\beta (\partial_\delta T + T \partial u_\delta) \\ \Omega^\mu &= \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\alpha \partial_\beta u_\gamma, \quad \mathcal{B}^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\alpha \partial_\beta (\mu h_\gamma) \\ s_1^\mu &= \mu \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\alpha h_\beta \partial_\gamma T. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

¹⁰ Given that we consider only linear order in the magnetic field, we refrain from further decomposing those tensors into transverse tensors to both u^μ and h^μ .

The tensor structures in (5.7) are all independent after imposing the ideal equations of motion. We rewrite these constitutive relations in the hydrodynamic frame used in [2, 27]:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} &= \delta f \tilde{\Delta}^{\mu\nu} + \delta\tau h^\mu h^\nu + 2\ell^{(\mu} h^{\nu)} + t^{\mu\nu} \\ J_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} &= 2m^{[\mu} h^{\nu]} + s^{\mu\nu} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

To go from frame (5.5) to frame (5.8) we redefine

$$\begin{aligned} u^\mu &\rightarrow u^\mu + \delta u^\mu, & h^\mu &\rightarrow h^\mu + \delta h^\mu \\ T &\rightarrow T + \delta T, & \mu &\rightarrow \mu + \delta\mu , \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where $\delta u^\mu, \delta h^\mu, \delta T, \delta\mu$ are first order expressions, with $u_\mu \delta u^\mu = h_\mu \delta h^\mu = 0$. For a suitable choice of these expressions, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f &= \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_\rho T^2 \partial_T \frac{a_3}{T} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} u_\alpha H_{\beta\gamma\delta} - T \left(\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \right)_\varepsilon \frac{a_1}{\mu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_\rho T \mu \partial_T (a_1 + 2 \frac{a_3}{T}) \right) h_\alpha \Omega^\alpha \\ \delta\tau &= -\frac{1}{3} \rho T^2 \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_\rho \partial_T \frac{a_3}{T} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} u_\alpha H_{\beta\gamma\delta} + T \mu \left(\left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_\rho \partial_T (a_1 + 2 \frac{a_3}{T}) \right) h_\alpha \Omega^\alpha \\ &\quad + \rho \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \rho} \right)_\varepsilon \frac{a_1}{\mu} + \rho T^2 \left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)_\rho \partial_T (a_1 + 2 \frac{a_3}{T}) h_\alpha \Omega^\alpha \\ \ell^\mu &= a_1 T \mu \tilde{\Delta}_\nu^\mu \Omega^\nu \\ t^{\mu\nu} &= 0 \\ m^\mu &= -\frac{T^2}{\varepsilon+p} \left(2 \frac{s}{\mu} \partial_T \frac{a_3}{T} - \rho \partial_T \frac{a_1}{T} \right) s_1^\mu \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon+p} a_1 - 2 \frac{sT}{\mu(\varepsilon+p)} (a_2 + \frac{a_3}{T}) \right) v_1^\mu + T a_1 \Delta_\nu^\mu \mathcal{B}^\nu \\ s^{\mu\nu} &= 2(a_2 + \partial_T a_3) \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\alpha h_\beta h^\gamma \partial_\gamma T \\ &\quad 2\mu(T a_2 + a_3) \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\alpha h_\beta h^\gamma \partial_\gamma u_\gamma . \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

In [27], the charge-odd part of first order constitutive relations is

$$\ell^\mu = -\tilde{\eta}_\parallel \tilde{\Sigma}^\mu, \quad t^{\mu\nu} = -\tilde{\eta}_\perp \sigma \sigma_\perp^{\mu\nu}, \quad m^\alpha = -\tilde{r}_\perp \tilde{Y}^\alpha, \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y}^\mu &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} u_\nu h_\alpha (T \partial_T^\mu + u^\gamma h^\sigma H_{\gamma\beta\sigma} - h^\gamma \nabla_\gamma (\mu h_\beta)) \\ \tilde{\sigma}_\perp^{\mu\nu} &= \sigma_\perp^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} u_\alpha h_\beta \\ \tilde{\Sigma}^\mu &= \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u_\nu h_\rho \tilde{\Delta}_\sigma^\alpha h^\beta \sigma_{\alpha\beta} , \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

and where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\perp^{\mu\nu} &= (\tilde{\Delta}^{\mu\alpha} \tilde{\Delta}^{\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Delta}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\Delta}^{\alpha\beta}) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \\ \sigma^{\mu\nu} &= (\Delta^{\mu\alpha} \Delta^{\nu\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \Delta^{\alpha\beta}) \nabla_{(\alpha} u_{\beta)} \\ \tilde{\Delta}^{\mu\nu} &= \Delta^{\mu\nu} - h^\mu h^\nu . \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Note the (on-shell) relation

$$v_1^\mu = -\frac{\rho \mu T}{\varepsilon+p} \tilde{Y}^\mu, \quad (5.14)$$

while the other operators defined in (5.12) are independent from the first order operators used in (5.10). The comparison then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r}_\perp &= -2 \frac{\rho \mu T}{\varepsilon+p} \left(\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon+p} a_1 - 2 \frac{sT}{\mu(\varepsilon+p)} (a_2 + \frac{a_3}{T}) \right) \\ \tilde{\eta}_\parallel &= 0, \quad \tilde{\eta}_\perp = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

In other words, except \tilde{r}_\perp , the first order terms of [27] are not reproduced by the action (5.3). The fact that not all non-dissipative transport is captured by the action was already observed e.g. in 2+1 parity-breaking systems [15].¹¹ Finally we note that [27] did not consider the most general first order constitutive relations, which is why in (5.10) we have additional new terms.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we constructed a variational principle for MHD following the approach of effective field theory. This theory extends previous variational formulations of hydrodynamics. The main new ingredients here are the implementation of the 1-form $U(1)$ symmetry and the introduction of the dual photon. We studied in detail the action for ideal MHD, and how, by enhancing the time shift symmetry (2.17) to arbitrary time reparametrizations (3.3) one obtains a variational principle for FFE. We also observed that FFE can be recovered from a particular limit of axion electrodynamics. It would be interesting to further investigate further this point, which might potentially lead to new connections between low-density plasmas in astrophysics and topological insulators in condensed matter.

We then showed that certain subgroups of the symmetries imposed on the action lead to various conserved quantities, which are a generalization of local and non-local helicities discussed in the literature. Curiously, we have not yet been able to recover the conserved magnetic helicity in ideal MHD. In the traditional formulation such quantity is the integral of an expression depending on the gauge potential. The latter is non-locally related to the dual photon used here, so it could perhaps be that the magnetic helicity in this formulation cannot be written locally even using the Lagrangian variables.

Lastly, we discussed first order corrections to the action. These do not capture the full non-dissipative first order MHD. It would be interesting to understand if part of the missing terms can be described using a WZW-like construction, similar to that of [14]. It is also desirable to extend this variational principle to include dissipative terms as well as anomalies, which should be possible

¹¹ In [27] it is noted that \tilde{r}_\perp is related to the Hall conductivity. The fact that our action recovers \tilde{r}_\perp is then consistent with that [15] recovers the Hall conductivity (at least for restricted values of the latter).

using the formalism introduced in [9]. As one further application, we hope that this approach can be used to address stability of objects where the magnetic field plays a significant role, in a similar spirit as what was done e.g. in [28, 29].

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Hong Liu, Maxim Lyutikov and Ellen Zweibel for insightful conversations. P. G. was supported by a Leo Kadanoff Fellowship. The work of D.T.S. is supported, in part, by US Department Of Energy grant No. DE-FG02-13ER41958 and a Simons Investigator Grant from the Simons Foundation. While this work was being completed, we learned of [30], which has some overlap with our manuscript.

Appendix A: Useful formulae

1. Variations with respect to background sources

Introduce

$$\lambda_i^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^i} + v_i \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^0} = d_i x^\mu. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Variations with respect to the background are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_g T &= \frac{T}{2} u^\mu u^\nu, \quad \delta_g v_i = T u^{(\mu} \lambda_i^{\nu)}, \quad \delta_g m_i = \frac{1}{2} u^\mu u^\nu m_i \\ \delta_g \mu &= \frac{\mu}{2} (u^\mu u^\nu - h^\nu h^\mu), \quad \delta_g \mu_N = \frac{\mu_N}{2} u^\mu u^\nu \\ \delta_g a^{ij} &= -\lambda^{i(\mu} \lambda^{j\nu)}, \quad \delta_g m_{ij} = -2m_{[i} \lambda_{j]}^{(\nu} u^{\mu)} \\ \delta_g b_i &= \mu_N u^{(\mu} \lambda_i^{\nu)}, \quad \delta_g \epsilon^{ijk} = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{ijk} \\ \delta_b m_{ij} &= \lambda_i^{[\mu} \lambda_j^{\nu]}, \quad \delta_b m_i = u^{[\mu} \lambda_i^{\nu]} \\ \delta_C \mu_N &= \frac{1}{2} u^\mu, \quad \delta_C b_i = \lambda_i^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

where δ_g stands for the variation with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$, and similarly for δ_b and δ_C .

2. Pull-backs

From (2.11) and (A1), and using $\partial_i \partial_0 x^\mu = \partial_0 \partial_i x^\mu$ and $\partial_i \partial_j x^\mu = \partial_j \partial_i x^\mu$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} T \partial_0 v_i &= \lambda_i^\mu (\partial_\mu T + T \partial u_\mu) \\ T \partial_0 \lambda_i^\mu &= \lambda_i^\mu (\nabla_\nu u^\mu + u^\mu \partial u_\nu), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T \partial_0 m_{ij} &= \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_j^\beta (u^\gamma H_{\gamma\alpha\beta} + 2\nabla_{[\alpha} (\mu h_{\beta]}) - 2\mu h_{[\alpha} \partial u_{\beta]}) \\ d_{[i} v_{j]} &= T \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_j^\beta \partial_{[\alpha} u_{\beta]} \\ d_{[i} m_{j]} &= \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_j^\beta \partial_{[\alpha} (\mu h_{\beta]}) \\ d_{[i} m_{jk]} &= \lambda_{[i}^\mu \lambda_j^\nu \lambda_{k]}^\rho (\frac{1}{3} H_{\mu\nu\rho} + 2\mu h_\mu \nabla_\nu u_\rho) \\ T \partial_0 b_i &= \lambda_i^\alpha (\partial_\alpha \mu_N + \mu_N \partial u_\alpha - u^\gamma D_{\alpha\gamma}) \\ d_{[i} b_{j]} &= \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_j^\beta (D_{\alpha\beta} + 2\mu_N \partial_{[\alpha} u_{\beta]}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

3. Ideal equations of motion

In this section we give the explicit form of the ideal equations of motion, which are necessary to find the on-shell independent tensor structures used in the main text. The ideal equations of motion are obtained by plugging (2.29) and (2.49) in

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\alpha\beta} J^{\alpha\beta} + D^{\nu\alpha} J_\alpha, \quad \nabla_\mu J^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0. \quad (\text{A5})$$

In the scalar sector we have five equations. Three of them are

$$\begin{aligned} u_\mu \nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu} &= -\partial \varepsilon - (\varepsilon + p)\theta + \mu \rho h^\mu h^\nu \nabla_\mu u_\nu = 0 \\ \mu h_\mu \nabla_\nu J^{\nu\mu} &= \mu \partial \rho + \mu \rho \theta - \rho \mu h^\mu h^\nu \nabla_\mu u_\nu = 0 \\ \mu_N \nabla_\mu J^\mu &= \mu_N \partial n + n \mu_N \theta = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

Summing them, we find

$$-\partial \varepsilon + \mu_N \partial n + \mu \partial \rho + (\mu_N n + \mu \rho - \varepsilon - p)\theta = -T \nabla_\mu (s u^\mu), \quad (\text{A7})$$

where we used

$$\varepsilon + p = sT + \mu \rho + \mu_N n, \quad d\varepsilon = T ds + \mu d\rho + \mu_N dn. \quad (\text{A8})$$

The other two scalar equations are

$$\begin{aligned} h_\mu \nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu} &= (\varepsilon + p) h^\mu \partial u_\mu + \frac{\varepsilon + p - \mu \rho - \mu_N n}{T} h^\mu \partial_\mu T \\ &\quad - \mu \nabla_\nu (\rho h^\nu) = n h^\nu (u^\alpha D_{\nu\alpha} - T \partial_\nu \frac{\mu_N}{T}) \\ u_\mu \nabla_\nu J^{\nu\mu} &= \nabla_\mu (\rho h^\mu) - \rho h^\mu \partial u_\mu = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

where the second equation is a constraint. Rearranging these two equations gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu (\rho h^\mu) &= -\frac{\rho}{T} h^\mu \partial_\mu T \\ h^\mu \left(\partial u_\mu + \frac{\partial_\mu T}{T} \right) &= \frac{n}{\varepsilon + p - \mu \rho} h^\nu (u^\alpha D_{\nu\alpha} - T \partial_\nu \frac{\mu_N}{T}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

For the vector sector we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\alpha}\nabla_\nu J^{\nu\alpha} &= \rho\tilde{\Delta}_\mu^\nu(\partial h_\nu - h^\alpha\nabla_\alpha u_\nu) = 0 \\
\tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\alpha}\nabla_\nu T^{\nu\alpha} &= \tilde{\Delta}_\mu^\nu\left[\frac{\varepsilon+p}{T}(T\partial u_\nu + \partial_\nu T) + nT\partial_\nu\frac{\mu_N}{T}\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ \rho T\partial_\nu\frac{\mu}{T} - \mu\rho h^\alpha\nabla_\alpha h_\nu\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\rho u^\alpha h^\beta H_{\mu\alpha\beta} + nD_{\mu\nu}u^\nu + nh_\mu h^\alpha u^\nu D_{\alpha\nu},
\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mu\nu} = \Delta_{\mu\nu} - h_\mu h_\nu$. Using the tensor structures (5.7) and (B6), the second equation above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&sv_1^\mu + nv_2^\mu \\
&= \frac{\rho\mu}{2}\tilde{\Delta}_\nu^\mu\varepsilon^{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma}u_\alpha(\frac{1}{2}u^\rho H_{\rho\beta\gamma} + 2\partial_\beta(\mu h_\gamma) - 2\mu h_\beta\partial u_\gamma).
\end{aligned} \tag{A12}$$

Appendix B: First order MHD with number conservation

The first order action (5.3) admits additional terms when including a conserved number current. The most general first order action which is linear in the electromagnetic fields is

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{(1)} &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}(a_1\varepsilon^{ijk}m_id_jv_k \\
&\quad + a_2T\varepsilon^{ijk}\partial_0m_{ij}v_k + a_3\varepsilon^{ijk}d_im_{jk} \\
&\quad + a_4\varepsilon^{ijk}m_id_jb_k + a_5T\varepsilon^{ijk}\partial_0m_{ij}b_k),
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

where a_1, a_3, a_4 are generic functions of T, μ_N . As discussed around (5.4), invariance under time shifts (2.17) forces a_2 to be constant, and in a similar way one sees that (2.45) imposes constancy of a_5 . Assuming that the number density n does not change sign under neither of time-reversal, parity and charge-conjugation, the behavior under these transformations of μ_N and b_i is the same as that of T and v_i , respectively, which implies that the above action preserves parity and time-reversal, and breaks charge-conjugation. The coefficients a_1, a_3, a_4 are of thermodynamic nature as they survive in the static limit. To the constitutive relations (5.6) we have additional contributions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\varepsilon &= \mu_N\mu\partial_{\mu_N}(a_1 + a_3)h_\mu\Omega^\mu - \frac{\mu_N}{12}\partial_{\mu_N}a_3\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}u_\alpha H_{\beta\gamma\delta} + \frac{\mu}{2}(T\partial_T a_4 + \mu_N\partial_{\mu_N}a_4)h_\mu N^\mu \\
q^\mu &= -(T\partial_{\mu_N}a_1 - 2\partial_{\mu_N}a_3 + \mu_N\partial_{\mu_N}a_4)s_2^\mu - \mu_N T\partial_T\frac{a_4}{T}s_1^\mu + a_4\mu_N\mathcal{B}^\mu - \mu_N(\frac{a_4}{T} - \frac{2s}{\rho\mu})v_1^\mu \\
&\quad - (a_4 + 2(1 - \frac{\mu_N n}{\rho\mu})a_5 + (a_2T + a_3)\frac{2n}{\rho\mu})v_2^\mu + 2\mu_N a_5\mathcal{B}^\alpha h_\alpha h^\mu - \frac{\mu_N}{6}a_5\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}h_\alpha H_{\beta\gamma\delta}h^\mu \\
\kappa^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}a_4 N^\mu \\
j^{\mu\nu} &= 2a_5\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\alpha(\partial_\beta\mu_N + \mu_N\partial u_\beta - D_{\beta\delta}u^\delta) + 2\partial_{\mu_N}a_3\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\alpha\partial_\beta\mu_N
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

and the additional first order constitutive relation for the number current:

$$J_{(1)}^\mu = \delta n u^\mu + j^\mu, \tag{B3}$$

where j^μ is transverse to u^μ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta n &= \mu(\frac{1}{2}T\partial_{\mu_N}a_1 + \partial_{\mu_N}a_3)h_\alpha\Omega^\alpha \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{12}\partial_{\mu_N}a_3\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}u_\alpha H_{\beta\gamma\delta}
\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
j^\mu &= -(\partial_T a_4 - \frac{a_4}{T})s_1^\mu - \partial_{\mu_N}a_3s_2^\mu \\
&\quad + a_3\mathcal{B}^\mu - (\frac{a_3}{T} - \frac{2s}{\rho\mu}a_4)v_1^\mu + \frac{2n}{\rho\mu}a_4v_2^\mu \\
&\quad + 2a_4\mathcal{B}^\alpha h_\alpha h^\mu - \frac{a_4}{6}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}h_\alpha H_{\beta\gamma\delta}h^\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

and where

$$\begin{aligned}
N^\mu &= \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}u_\alpha(D_{\beta\gamma} + 2\mu_N\partial_\beta u_\gamma) \\
v_2^\mu &= \mu\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\delta}u_\alpha h_\beta(\partial_\delta\mu_N + \mu_N\partial u_\delta - D_{\delta\sigma}u^\sigma) \\
s_2^\mu &= \mu\varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}u_\alpha h_\beta\partial_\gamma\mu_N
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

-
- [1] See, e.g., L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, 2nd ed., Butterworth-Heinemann, 1984.
- [2] S. Grozdanov, D. M. Hofman and N. Iqbal, “Generalized global symmetries and dissipative magnetohydrodynamics,” arXiv:1610.07392 [hep-th].
- [3] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg and B. Willett, JHEP **1502**, 172 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2015)172 [arXiv:1412.5148 [hep-th]].
- [4] J. D. Bekenstein and A. Oron, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 5594 (2000) doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.62.5594 [astro-ph/0002045].
- [5] G. M. Webb and S. C. Anco, J. Phys. A **50**, no. 25, 255501 (2017) doi:10.1088/1751-8121/aa7181 [arXiv:1701.00521 [physics.plasm-ph]].
- [6] K. Kumar, arXiv:1711.01142 [physics.plasm-ph].
- [7] P.J. Morrison, M. Lingam and R. Acevedo, Phys. Plasmas **21**, 082102 (2014).
- [8] Y. Kawazura, Z. Yoshida, and Y. Fukumoto, J. Phys. A **47** (2014).
- [9] M. Crossley, P. Glorioso, H. Liu and Y. Wang, JHEP **1602**, 124 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2016)124 [arXiv:1504.07611 [hep-th]].
- [10] F. M. Haehl, R. Loganayagam and M. Rangamani, JHEP **1604**, 039 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2016)039 [arXiv:1511.07809 [hep-th]].
- [11] K. Jensen, N. Pinzani-Fokeeva and A. Yarom, arXiv:1701.07436 [hep-th].
- [12] S. Dubovsky, T. Gregoire, A. Nicolis and R. Rattazzi, JHEP **0603**, 025 (2006) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/03/025 [hep-th/0512260].
- [13] S. Dubovsky, L. Hui, A. Nicolis and D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 085029 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.085029 [arXiv:1107.0731 [hep-th]].
- [14] M. Geracie and D. T. Son, JHEP **1411**, 004 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2014)004 [arXiv:1402.1146 [hep-th]].
- [15] F. M. Haehl and M. Rangamani, JHEP **1310**, 074 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2013)074 [arXiv:1305.6968 [hep-th]].
- [16] H. Liu and P. Glorioso, PoS TASI **2017**, 008 (2018) doi:10.22323/1.305.0008 [arXiv:1805.09331 [hep-th]].
- [17] J. Armas and A. Jain, arXiv:1808.01939 [hep-th].
- [18] A. Lichnerowicz, “Relativistic Hydrodynamics and Magnetohydrodynamics,” W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York (1967).
- [19] Y. Akamatsu and N. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 052002 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.052002 [arXiv:1302.2125 [nucl-th]].
- [20] G. D. Fleishman and I. N. Toptygin, “Cosmic Electrodynamics,” Springer, New York (2013).
- [21] S. E. Gralla and T. Jacobson, “Spacetime approach to force-free magnetospheres,” Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **445**, no. 3, 2500 (2014) doi:10.1093/mnras/stu1690 [arXiv:1401.6159 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [22] R. Li, J. Wang, X. Qi and S. C. Zhang, Nature Phys. **6**, 284 (2010) doi:10.1038/nphys1534 [arXiv:0908.1537 [cond-mat.other]].
- [23] H. Ooguri and M. Oshikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 161803 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.161803 [arXiv:1112.1414 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
- [24] J. Wang, B. Lian and S. C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 045115 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.93.045115 [arXiv:1512.00534 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
- [25] G. Webb, “Magnetohydrodynamics and Fluid Dynamics: Action Principles and Conservation Laws,” Springer International Publishing (2018).
- [26] E.ourgoulhon, EAS Publ. Ser. **21**, 43 (2006) doi:10.1051/eas:2006106 [gr-qc/0603009].
- [27] J. Hernandez and P. Kovtun, JHEP **1705**, 001 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2017)001 [arXiv:1703.08757 [hep-th]].
- [28] J. L. Friedman, Commun. Math. Phys., **62** 247-278 (1978).
- [29] G. M. Vasil, D. Lecoanet, B. P. Brown, T. S. Wood and E. G. Zweibel, Astrophys. J. **773**, 169 (2013) doi:10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/169 [arXiv:1303.0005 [astro-ph.SR]].
- [30] J. Armas and A. Jain, “One-form superfluids & magnetohydrodynamics,” *to appear*.