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PennyLane is a Python 3 software framework for optimization and machine learning of quantum and hybrid quantum-classical computations. The library provides a unified architecture for near-term quantum computing devices, supporting both qubit and continuous-variable paradigms. PennyLane’s core feature is the ability to compute gradients of variational quantum circuits in a way that is compatible with classical techniques such as backpropagation. PennyLane thus extends the automatic differentiation algorithms common in optimization and machine learning to include quantum and hybrid computations. A plugin system makes the framework compatible with any gate-based quantum simulator or hardware. We provide plugins for StrawberryFields and ProjectQ (including a IBMQE device interface). PennyLane can be used for the optimization of variational quantum eigensolvers, quantum approximate optimization, quantum machine learning models, and many other applications.

Introduction

Recent progress in the development and commercialization of quantum technologies has had a profound impact on the landscape of quantum algorithms. Near-term quantum devices require routines that are of shallow depth and robust against errors. The design paradigm of hybrid algorithms which integrate quantum and classical processing has therefore become increasingly important. Possibly the most well-known class of hybrid algorithms is that of variational circuits, which are parameter-dependent quantum circuits that can be optimized by a classical computer with regards to a given objective.

Hybrid optimization with variational circuits opens up a number of new research avenues for near-term quantum computing with applications in quantum chemistry [1], quantum optimization [2], factoring [3], state diagonalization [4], and quantum machine learning [5–18]. In a reversal from the usual practices in quantum computing research, a lot of research for these mostly heuristic algorithms necessarily focuses on numerical experiments rather than rigorous mathematical analysis. Luckily, there are various publicly accessible platforms to simulate quantum algorithms [19–26] or even run them on real quantum devices through a cloud service [27, 28]. However, even though some frameworks are designed with variational circuits in mind [25, 29, 30], there is at this stage no unified tool for the hybrid optimization of quantum circuits across quantum platforms, treating all simulators and devices on the same footing.

PennyLane is an open-source Python 3 framework that facilitates the optimization of quantum and hybrid quantum-classical algorithms. It extends the autograd [31] package to handle modules of quantum information processing. This can be used to optimize variational quantum circuits in applications such as quantum approximate optimization [2] or variational quantum eigensolvers [1]. The framework can also handle more complex machine learning tasks such as training a hybrid quantum-classical machine learning model in a supervised fashion, or training a generative adversarial network, both when discriminator and generator are quantum models [14] and when one is quantum and the other is classical [32].

FIG. 1: Basic example of a PennyLane program consisting of a quantum node followed by a classical node. The output of the classical node is the objective for optimization.

```python
import pennylane as qml

def circuit(var):
    qml.RX(var, wires=0)
    return qml.expval.FauliZ(0)

def cost(var):
    return var[0] + circuit(var[1])

from pennylane.optimize import GradientDescentOptimizer

var_new = opt.step(cost, var=[0.1, 0.2])
```

PennyLane can in principle be used with any gate-based quantum computing platform as a backend, including both qubit and continuous-variable architectures, and has a simple Python-based user interface. Fig. 1 shows a sim-
ple example that illustrates the core idea of the framework. The user defines a quantum circuit in the function \texttt{circuit} connected to a device \texttt{dev}, as well as a “classical function” that calls \texttt{circuit} and computes a cost. The functions can be depicted as nodes in a directed acyclic computational graph that represents the flow of information in the computation. Each node may involve a number of input and output variables represented by the incoming and outgoing edges, respectively. A \texttt{GradientDescentOptimizer} is created that improves the initial candidate for these variables by one step, with the goal of decreasing the cost. PennyLane is able to automatically determine the gradients of all nodes — even if the computation is performed on quantum hardware — and can therefore compute the gradient of the final cost node with respect to any input variable.

PennyLane is an open-source software project. Anyone who contributes significantly to the library (new features, new plugins, etc.) will be acknowledged as a co-author of this whitepaper. The source code for PennyLane is available online on GitHub\footnote{https://github.com/XanaduAI/pennylane/}, while comprehensive documentation and tutorials are available at Read The Docs\footnote{https://pennylane.readthedocs.io}.

In the following, we will introduce the concept of hybrid optimization and discuss how gradients of quantum nodes are computed. We then present PennyLane’s user interface through examples of optimization and supervised learning, and describe how to write new plugins that connect PennyLane to other quantum hardware and simulators.

**Hybrid optimization**

The goal of optimization in PennyLane is to find the minima of a cost function that quantifies the quality of a solution for a certain task. In hybrid quantum-classical optimization, the output of the cost function is a result of both classical and quantum processing, or a hybrid computation. We call the processing submodules classical and quantum nodes. Both classical and quantum nodes can depend on tunable parameters \( \theta \) that we call variables, which are adjusted during optimization to minimize the cost. The nodes can receive inputs \( x \) from other nodes or directly from the global input to the hybrid computation, and they produce outputs \( f(x; \theta) \). The computation can therefore be depicted as a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) that graphically represents the steps involved in computing the cost, which is produced by the final node in the DAG. By traversing the DAG, information about gradients can be accumulated via the rules of automatic differentiation\footnote{\cite{1,2}}. This is used to compute the gradient of the cost function with respect to all variables in order to minimize the cost with a gradient-descent type algorithm. It is important to note that automatic differentiation only requires a small constant overhead compared to the “forward” computation by collecting and reusing intermediate results. However, quantum nodes are black boxes to automatic differentiation, which means that accumulation of partial results does not extend to the interior of quantum nodes.

**Quantum nodes**

While classical nodes (see Fig. 2(a)) can contain any numerical computations\footnote{Of course, in order to differentiate the classical nodes the computations have to be based on differentiable functions.}, quantum nodes have a more restricted layout. A quantum node (in PennyLane represented by the \texttt{QNode} class) is an encapsulation of a function \( f(x; \theta) : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n \) that is executed by means of quantum information processing on a quantum device. The device can either refer to quantum hardware or a classical simulator.

**Variational circuits**

The quantum device executes a parametrized quantum circuit called a variational circuit \footnote{This input embedding can also be interpreted as a feature map that maps \( x \) to the Hilbert space of the quantum system \cite{9}.} [35] that consists of three basic operations:

1. Prepare an initial state (here assumed to be the ground or vacuum state \( |0\rangle \)).

2. Apply a sequence of unitary gates \( U \) (or more generally, quantum channels) to \( |0\rangle \). Each gate is either a fixed operation, or it can depend on some of the inputs \( x \) or the variables \( \theta \). This prepares the final state \( U(x, \theta)|0\rangle \).

3. Measure \( m \) mutually commuting scalar observables \( \hat{B}_i \) in the final state.

Step 2 describes the way inputs \( x \) are encoded into the variational circuit, namely by associating them with gate parameters that are not used as trainable variables\footnote{This input embedding can also be interpreted as a feature map that maps \( x \) to the Hilbert space of the quantum system \cite{9}.}. Step 3 describes the way quantum information is transformed back to the classical output of a quantum node as the expected values of the measured observables:

\[
f_i(x; \theta) = \langle \hat{B}_i \rangle = \langle 0 | U(x; \theta) \hat{B}_i U(x; \theta) | 0 \rangle.
\]
The observables $\hat{B}_i$ typically consist of a local observable for each wire (i.e., qubit or qumode) in the circuit, or just a subset of the wires. For example, $\hat{B}_i$ could be the Pauli-Z operator for one or more qubits.

**Estimating the expectation values**

The expectation values $\langle \hat{B}_i \rangle$ are estimated by averaging the measurement results obtained over $R$ runs of the circuit. This estimator, denoted $f_i^*$, is unbiased, $\langle f_i^* \rangle = f_i(x; \theta)$, and it has variance

$$\text{Var}(f_i^*) = \frac{\text{Var}(\hat{B}_i)}{R} = \frac{\langle \hat{B}_i^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{B}_i \rangle^2}{R},$$

which depends on the variance of the operator $\hat{B}_i$, as well as the number of measurements (‘shots’) $R$. Note that setting $R = 1$ estimates the expectation value from a single measurement sample. Simulator devices can also choose to compute the exact expectation value numerically (in PennyLane this is the default behavior, representing by setting $R=0$). The refined graphical representation of quantum nodes is shown in Fig. 2(b). We will drop the index $R$ in the following.

**Circuit architectures**

The heart of a variational circuit is the architecture, or the fixed gate sequence that is the skeleton of the algorithm. Three common types of architectures are sketched in Fig. 3. The strength of an architecture varies depending on the desired use-case, and it is not always clear what makes a good ansatz. Investigations of the expressive power of different approaches are also ongoing [36]. One goal of PennyLane is to facilitate such studies across various architectures and hardware platforms.

**Examples of hybrid optimization tasks**

Fig. 4 shows three examples of hybrid optimization tasks depicted as a DAG. Each of these models is available as a worked example in the PennyLane documentation [38]. Fig. 4(a) illustrates a variational quantum eigensolver, in which expectation values of two Pauli operators are combined with weights $a_1$, $a_2$ to return the squared global energy expectation $\langle H \rangle^2$. Fig. 4(b) shows a variational quantum classifier predicting a label $y$ given a data input $x$ for a supervised learning task. The input is preprocessed by a routine $P$ and fed into a variational circuit with variables $\theta_W$. A classical node adds a bias variable $\theta_b$ to the Pauli-Z expectation of a designated qubit. In Fig. 4(c) one can see a quantum generative adverserial network (QGAN) example. It consists of two variational circuits. One represents the “real data” circuit $R$ together with a discriminator circuit $D$, and the other has a “fake” generator circuit $G$ replacing $R$. The result is postprocessed by $P_{R,G}$ and used to construct the cost function of the discriminator as well as the generator. The goal of a GAN is to train the discriminator and generator in an adversarial fashion until the generator produces data that is indistinguishable from the true distribution.

**Computing gradients**

PennyLane focuses on optimization via gradient-based algorithms, such as gradient descent and its variations. To minimize the cost via gradient descent, in every step the individual variables $\mu \in \Theta$ are updated according to the following rule:

1: **procedure** GRADIENT DESCENT STEP
2: for $\mu \in \Theta$ do
3: $\mu^{(t+1)} = \mu^{(t)} - \eta^{(t)} \partial_\mu C(\Theta)$
The learning rate $\eta^{(t)}$ can be adapted in each step, depending either on the step number, or on the gradient itself.

**Backpropagating through the graph**

A step of gradient descent requires us to compute the gradient $\nabla_\Theta C(\Theta)$ of the cost with respect to all variables $\Theta$. The gradient consists of partial derivatives $\partial_{\mu} C(\Theta)$ with respect to the individual variables $\mu \in \Theta$. In modern machine learning libraries like TensorFlow [39], PyTorch [40], or Theano [41], this computation is performed using automatic differentiation techniques such as the backpropagation algorithm. PennyLane extends these capabilities to computations involving quantum nodes, making use of the Python library autograd [31]. This makes PennyLane completely compatible with standard automatic differentiation techniques commonly used in machine learning.

While the backpropagation method — a classical algorithm — cannot resolve the quantum information inside quantum nodes, it is sufficient for us to compute the gradient of Jacobian of quantum nodes with respect to their (classical) inputs and variables. The key insight is to use the same quantum device (hardware or simulator) that implements a quantum node to also compute gradients or Jacobians of that quantum node.

Assume that only the node $n^*$ depends on the subset of variables $\theta \subseteq \Theta$, and that $\mu$ is in $\theta$. Let $CON_{1}^{(\mu)} \cdots n^*$ be the path through the DAG of (quantum or classical) nodes that emerges from following the cost in the opposite direction of the directed edges until we reach node $n^*$. Since there may be $N_p \geq 1$ of those paths (see Fig. 5), we use a superscript $p$ to denote the path index. All branches that do not lead back to $\theta$ are independent of $\mu$ and can be thought of as constants. The chain rule prescribes that the derivative with respect to the variable $\mu \in \theta$ is given by\(^5\)

$$\partial_{\mu} C(\Theta) = \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} \frac{\partial C}{\partial n_{1}^{(p)}} \frac{\partial n_{1}^{(p)}}{\partial n_{2}^{(p)}} \cdots \frac{\partial n^{*}}{\partial \mu}.$$  

In conclusion, we need to be able to compute two types of gradients for each node: the derivative $\frac{\partial n_i^{(p)}}{\partial \mu}$ with respect to the input from a previous node, as well as the derivative with respect to a node variable $\frac{\partial n_i^{(p)}}{\partial \mu}$.

\(^{5}\) While $\partial_{\mu} C(\Theta)$ is a partial derivative and one entry of the gradient vector $\nabla C(\Theta)$, intermediate DAG nodes may map multiple inputs to multiple outputs. In this case, we deal with 2-dimensional Jacobian matrices rather than gradients.

**Derivatives of quantum nodes**

There are two types of methods to compute derivatives\(^6\) of quantum nodes with respect to a variable or input: analytical and numerical. By default, PennyLane uses analytical derivatives wherever it can. Most types of quantum nodes support analytic derivatives, even if they are executed on quantum hardware.

**Analytic derivatives**

Recent advances in the quantum machine learning literature [8, 10, 11, 42] have suggested ways to estimate analytic derivatives by computing linear combinations of different quantum circuits. These rules are summarized and extended in a companion paper [43], which provides the theoretical foundation for derivative computations in PennyLane. In a nutshell, PennyLane makes two circuit evaluations, taking place at shifted parameters, in order to compute analytic derivatives. This recipe works for qubit gates of the form $e^{-i \mu P}$, where the Hermitian generator $P$ has only two unique eigenvalues (which includes e.g., all single-qubit rotation gates), as well as continuous-variable circuits with Gaussian operations\(^7\).

If $f(x; \theta) = f(\mu)$ is the output of the quantum node, we have

$$\partial_{\mu} f(\mu) = c(f(\mu + s) - f(\mu - s)),$$

where $c, s \in \mathbb{R}$ are fixed parameters for each type of gate. While this equation bears some structural resemblance to

\(^6\) When we speak of derivatives here, we actually refer to estimates of derivatives that result from estimates of expectation values. Numerically computed derivatives in turn are approximations of the true derivatives, even if the quantum nodes were giving exact expectations (e.g., by using a classical simulator device).

\(^7\) For cases that do not fall into the above two categories, using an ancilla may provide an alternate strategy to evaluate derivatives [43]. This option is not currently implemented in PennyLane.
numerical formulas (discussed next), there are two key differences. First, the numbers \(c\) and \(s\) are not infinitesimal, but finite; second, Eq. (3) gives the exact derivatives. Thus, while analytic derivative evaluations are constrained by device noise and statistical imprecision in the averaging of measurements, they are not subject to numerical issues. To analytically compute derivatives of qubit gates or gates in a Gaussian circuit, PennyLane automatically looks up the appropriate derivative recipe (the numbers \(c\) and \(s\)) for a gate, evaluates the original circuit twice (shifting the argument of the relevant gate by \(\pm s\)), subtracts the results, and scales by \(c\).

**Numerical derivatives**

Numerical derivative methods require only ‘black-box’ evaluations of the model. We estimate the partial derivative of a node by evaluating its output, \(f(x; \theta) = f(\mu)\), at several values which are close to the current value \(\mu \in \Theta\) (\(\mu\) can be either a variable or an input here). The approximation of the derivative is given by

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} f(\mu) \approx \frac{f(\mu + \Delta \mu) - f(\mu)}{\Delta \mu} \tag{4}
\]

for the forward finite-differences method, and by

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} f(\mu) \approx \frac{f(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu) - f(\mu - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \mu)}{\Delta \mu} \tag{5}
\]

for the centered finite-differences method. Of course, there is a tradeoff in choice of the difference \(\Delta \mu\) for noisy hardware.

**User API**

A thorough introduction and review of PennyLane’s API can be found in the online documentation. The documentation also provides several examples for optimization and machine learning of quantum and hybrid models in both continuous-variable and qubit architectures, as well as tutorials that walk through the features step-by-step.

**Optimization**

To see how PennyLane allows the easy construction and optimization of variational circuits, let us consider the simple task of optimizing the rotation of a single qubit — the PennyLane version of ‘Hello world!’.

The task at hand is to optimize the variational circuit of Fig. 6 with two rotation gates in order to flip a single qubit from state \(|0\rangle\) to state \(|1\rangle\). After the rotations, the qubit is in state \(|\psi\rangle = R_y(\phi_2)R_x(\phi_1)|0\rangle\) and we measure the expectation value

\[
f(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \langle \psi | \sigma_z | \psi \rangle = \cos(\phi_1) \cos(\phi_2)
\]

of the Pauli-\(Z\) operator. Depending on the variables \(\phi_1\) and \(\phi_2\), the output expectation lies between 1 (if \(|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle\)) and –1 (if \(|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle\)).

PennyLane code for this example is shown below in Codeblock 1. It is a self-contained example that defines a quantum node, binds it to a computational device, and optimizes the output of the quantum node to reach a desired target.

**Codeblock 1: Optimizing two rotation angles to flip a qubit.**

We now discuss each element in the above example. After the initial import statements, line 5 declares the device `dev` on which we run the quantum node, while lines 7–12 define the quantum node itself. PennyLane uses the name `wires` to refer to quantum subsystems (qubits or quumodes) since they are represented by horizontal wires in a circuit diagram. The decorator `qml.qnode(dev)` is a shortcut that transforms the function `circuit1` into a quantum node of the same name. We could alternatively create the QNode by hand:

**Codeblock 2: Creating a quantum node without the decorator.**
Finally, the free variables of this computation are automatically optimized through repeated calls to the `step` method of the provided optimizer.

In order for a quantum node to work properly within PennyLane, the function declaring the quantum circuit must adhere to a few rules. It can only contain quantum gates (no classical processing within the circuit), and must return expectation values of one or more observables on separate wires. In the latter case, the expectation values should be returned together as a tuple.

```python
dev2 = qml.device('default.qubit', wires=2)
qml.qnode(dev2)(
def circuit2(var):
  qml.RX(var[0], wires=0)
  qml.CNOT(wires=[0, 1])
  qml.RY(var[1], wires=1)
  return qml.expval.PauliZ(0), qml.expval.PauliZ(1)

Codeblock 3: A quantum node that returns two expectations.
```

As long as at least one expectation value is returned, not every wire needs to be measured. Note that since PennyLane treats hardware and simulators on the same footing, the user does not have access to the quantum state itself.

Multiple quantum nodes can be bound to the same device, and the same circuit can be run on different devices. In the latter case, the QNode will need to be created manually. These use-cases are shown in Codeblock 4.

```python
sim = qml.device('projectq.simulator', wires=1)
hardware = qml.device('projectq.ibm', wires=1)

def circuitA(var):
  qml.RX(var[0], wires=0)
  qml.CNOT(wires=[0, 1])
  return qml.expval.PauliZ(0)

def circuitB(var):
  qml.RY(var[0], wires=0)
  qml.RX(var[1], wires=0)
  return qml.expval.PauliZ(0)

Codeblock 4: Constructing multiple quantum nodes from various circuits and devices.
```

If we have multiple quantum nodes, we can combine the outputs with a classical node to compute a final cost function:

```python
def cost(var):
  return (A_s(var[0])-A_hw(var[1]))**2
opt = GradientDescentOptimizer()
var = [0.1, 0.2]
for it in range(10):
  var = opt.step(cost, var)

Codeblock 5: A classical node combining two quantum nodes.
```

This cost compares a simulator and a hardware, and finds values of the variables for which the two produce the same result. This simple example hints that automatic optimization tools could be used to correct for systematic errors on quantum hardware.

In summary, quantum and classical nodes can be combined in many different ways to build a larger hybrid computation, which can then be optimized automatically in PennyLane.

**Supervised learning**

PennyLane has been designed with quantum and hybrid quantum-classical machine learning applications in mind. To demonstrate how this works, we consider a basic implementation of a variational classifier. A variational classifier is a model where part of the computation of a class prediction is executed by a variational circuit. The circuit takes an input \( x \) as well as some trainable variables and computes a prediction \( y \).

```python
def loss(labels, predictions):
  # Compute loss
  ...

def regularizer(var):
  # Compute regularization penalty
  ...

def statepreparation(x):
  # Encode x into the quantum state
  ...

def layer(W):

Codeblock 5: A classical node combining two quantum nodes.
```

This particular example leverages the ProjectQ plugin for PennyLane. This code will not run without the plugin being installed and without hardware access credentials being provided.
The variables are initialized as a tuple containing the bias and the weight matrix. In the optimization loop, we feed a Python lambda function into the optimizer. Since the optimizer expects a function with a single input argument, this is a way to feed both \( X \) and \( Y \) into the cost.

PennyLane can straightforwardly incorporate various standard machine learning practices. Examples include: optimizing minibatches of data with stochastic gradient descent, adding more terms to the cost, saving variables to a file, and continuing optimization with a warm start. For full worked-out examples, see the PennyLane documentation [38].

**Behind the scenes**

The core of PennyLane is the `grad` method for functions with scalar outputs, as well as the `jacobian` method for multi-dimensional functions. `grad` and `jacobian` compute gradients of classical or quantum nodes. Let us switch to “interactive mode” and look at `circuit1` and `circuit2` from above.

```python
>>> from pennylane import numpy as np
>>> var = np.array([[0.4, 0.1]])
>>> g1 = qml.grad(circuit1, argnum=0)
>>> print(g1(var))
[[-0.38747287, -0.09195267]]
```

```python
>>> j2 = qml.jacobian(circuit2, argnum=0)
>>> print(j2(var))
[[0.38941834 0.09195267]]
```

**Codeblock 7:** Computing gradients of hybrid functions.

As expected, the gradient of a `QNode` with 2 inputs and 1 output is a 1-dimensional array, while the Jacobian of a `QNode` with 2 inputs and 2 outputs is a \( 2 \times 2 \) array. The `Optimizer` class uses gradients and Jacobians computed this way to update variables. PennyLane currently has six built-in optimizers: standard gradient descent, gradient descent with momentum, gradient descent with Nesterov momentum, Adamgrad, Adam and RMSprop.

While automatic differentiation with gradients and Jacobians is a handy feature, sometimes we want certain parts of our computational pipeline (e.g., the inputs \( x \) in the supervised learning example) not to part of a gradient. In PennyLane all *positional arguments to quantum nodes can be differentiated*, while *keyword arguments are never differentiated*. Thus, when using the gradient-descent-based optimizers included in PennyLane, all numerical parameters appearing in non-keyword arguments will be updated, while numerical values included as keyword arguments will not be updated. Once defined, keyword arguments must always be passed as keyword arguments, and not as positional arguments.

**Codeblock 8:** Code stub for creating a variational quantum classifier.

In Codeblock 6, the machine learning model is defined in the model function. It retrieves two types of variables from `var`, a scalar bias and a list of layer weights. It then computes the output of the variational circuit and adds the bias. The variational circuit, in turn, first refers to a routine that encodes the input into a quantum state, and then applies layers of a certain gate sequence, after which an expectation is returned.

We can train the classifier to generalize the input-output relation of a training dataset.

**Codeblock 7:** Code stub for optimizing the variational classifier.

The numbers in the `for` loop are just a placeholder: the actual number of iterations will depend on your computational resources and the problem at hand.

```python
# Training inputs
X = ...
# Training targets
Y = ...
# Create optimizer
opt = AdamOptimizer(0.005, beta1=0.9, beta2=0.9)
# Initialize variables
n_layers = ...
n_gates = ...
var = (np.random.randn(n_layers, n_gates), 0.)
# Train the model
for it in range(50):
    var = opt.step(lambda v: cost(v, X, Y), var)
```
Writing a plugin

PennyLane was designed with extensibility in mind, providing an API for both hardware devices and software simulators to easily connect and allow PennyLane access to their frameworks. This enables the automatic differentiation and optimization features of PennyLane to be used on an external framework with minimal effort. As a result, PennyLane is inherently hardware agnostic — the user is able to construct hybrid computational graphs containing QNodes executed on an arbitrary number of different devices, and even reuse quantum circuits across different devices. At release, PennyLane has plugins for both Strawberry Fields [25, 45] and ProjectQ [22, 44]. These bring access to the strawberryfields.fock, strawberryfields.gaussian, projectq.ibm, projectq.simulator and projectq.classical devices. PennyLane also contains a built-in reference plugin with two devices default.gaussian and default.qubit.

In PennyLane, there is a subtle distinction between the terms 'plugin' and 'device':

- A plugin is an external Python package that provides additional quantum devices to PennyLane.

- Each plugin may provide one (or more) devices, that are accessible directly by PennyLane, as well as any additional private functions or classes.

Once installed, these devices can be loaded directly from PennyLane without any additional steps required by the user — however, depending on the scope of the plugin, a plugin can provide custom quantum operations and expectations. In the remainder of this section, we briefly describe the plugin API of PennyLane, and how it can be used to provide new quantum devices.

Devices

When performing a hybrid computation using PennyLane, one of the first steps is to specify the quantum devices which will be used by quantum nodes. As seen above, this is done as follows:

```python
from pennylane import qml
dev1 = qml.device(short_name, wires=2)
```

**Codeblock 9:** Loading a PennyLane-compatible device.

where `short_name` is a string which uniquely identifies the device provided. In general, the short name has the following form: `pluginname.devicename`.

Creating a new device

The first step in making a PennyLane plugin is creating the device class. This is as simple as importing the abstract base class `Device` from PennyLane, and subclassing it:

```python
from pennylane import Device
class MyDevice(Device):
    # MyDevice docstring
    name = 'My custom device'
    short_name = 'example.mydevice'
    pennylane_requires = '0.1.0'
    version = '0.0.1'
    author = 'Ada Lovelace'
```

**Codeblock 10:** Creating a custom PennyLane-compatible device.

Here, we have begun defining some important class attributes (‘identifiers’) that allow PennyLane to recognize the device. These include:

- `Device.name`: a string containing the official name of the device
- `Device.short_name`: the string used to identify and load the device by users of PennyLane
- `Device.pennylane_requires`: the version number(s) of PennyLane that this device is compatible with; if the user attempts to load the device on a different version of PennyLane, a `DeviceError` will be raised
- `Device.version`: the version number of the device
- `Device.author`: the author of the device

Defining all these attributes is mandatory.

Supporting operations and expectations

Plugins must inform PennyLane about the operations and expectations that the device supports, as well as potentially further capabilities, by providing the following class attributes/properties:

- `Device.operations`: a set of the supported PennyLane operations as strings, e.g., `operations = {"CNOT", "PauliX"}`. This is used to decide whether an operation is supported by your device in the default implementation of the public method `Device.supported()`.

- `Device.expectations`: a set of the supported PennyLane expectations as strings, e.g., `expectations = {"Homodyne", "MeanPhoton", "X", "P"}`. This is used to decide whether an expectation is supported by your device in the default implementation of the public method `Device.supported()`.

- `Device._capabilities`: (optional) a dictionary containing information about the capabilities of the device. At the moment, only the key 'model' is supported, which may return either 'qubit' or...
Applying operations and expectations

Once all the class attributes are defined, it is necessary to define some required class methods, to allow PennyLane to apply operations to your device. When PennyLane evaluates a QNode, it calls the Device.execute method, which performs the following process:

```python
with self.execution_context():
    self.pre_apply()
    for operation in queue:
        self.apply(operation.name, operation.wires, operation.parameters)
    self.post_apply()
    self.pre_expval()
    expectations = [self.expval(e.name, e.wires, e.parameters) for e in queue]
    self.post_expval()
return np.array(expectations)
```

**Codeblock 11:** *The PennyLane Device.execute method, called whenever a quantum node is evaluated.*

In most cases, there are a minimum of two methods that need to be defined:

- **Device.apply:** This accepts an operation name (as a string), the wires (subsystems) to apply it to, and the parameters for the operation, and applies the resulting operation to the device.

- **Device.expval:** This accepts an observable name (as a string), the wires (subsystems) to apply it to, and the parameters for the expectation, returning the resulting expectation value from the device. Currently, PennyLane only supports expectations that return a scalar value.

Additional flexibility is sometimes required for interfacing with more complicated frameworks. In such cases, the following (optional) methods may also be defined:

- **Device.__init__:** by default, receives the number of wires (self.num_wires) and number of shots self.shots as arguments. You may overwrite this if you need to add additional options that the user must pass to the device on initialization — however, ensure that you call super().__init__(wires, shots) at some point here.

- **Device.execution_context:** returns a context manager that may be required for applying operations and measuring expectation values from the device.

- **Device.pre_apply:** for any setup/code that must be executed before applying operations.

- **Device.post_apply:** for any setup/code that must be executed after applying operations.

- **Device.pre_expval:** for any setup/code that must be executed before measuring observables.

- **Device.post_expval:** for any setup/code that must be executed after measuring observables.

Note that, in advanced cases, the Device.execute method may be overwritten, to provide complete flexibility for handling device execution. However, this may have unintended side-effects and is not recommended — if possible, it is better to implement a suitable subset of the methods provided above.

Installation and testing

PennyLane uses a setuptools entry_points approach to plugin integration. In order to make a plugin accessible to PennyLane, the following keyword argument to the setup function must be provided in the plugin's setup.py file:

```python
devices_list = [
    'myplugin.mydev1 = MyMod.MySubMod:MyDev1',
    'myplugin.mydev2 = MyMod.MySubMod:MyDev2']
setup(entry_points={
    'pennylane.plugins': devices_list})
```

**Codeblock 12:** *Creating the PennyLane device entry points.*

Here, devices_list is a list of devices to be registered, myplugin.mydev1 is the short name of the device, and MyMod.MySubMod is the path to the Device class, MyDev1. To ensure the device is working as expected, it can be installed in developer mode using pip install -e pluginpath, where pluginpath is the location of the plugin. It will then be accessible via PennyLane.

All plugins should come with unit tests, to ensure that the device supports the correct gates and observables, and is applying them correctly. For an example of a plugin test suite, see tests/test_default_gubit.py and tests/test_default_gaussian.py in the main PennyLane repository. In general, as all supported operations have their gradient formula defined and tested by PennyLane, testing that the device calculates the correct gradients is not required — it is sufficient to test that it applies and measures quantum operations and observables correctly.
Supporting new operations

PennyLane also provides the ability to add custom operations or observables to be executed on the plugin device, that may not be currently supported by PennyLane. For qubit architectures this is done by subclassing the Operation and Expectation classes, defining the number of parameters the operation takes, and the number of wires the operation acts on. In addition, if the method of analytic differentiation of the operation with respect to any parameters is known, the corresponding grad_recipe should be provided, to open up analytic differentiation support in PennyLane.

For example, to define the Ising gate \( XX_\phi \), which depends on parameter \( \phi \), we create the following class:

```python
class Ising( Operation):
    '''Ising gate'''
    num_params = 1
    num_wires = 2
    par_domain = 'R'
    grad_method = 'A'
    grad_recipe = None
```

**Codeblock 13: Creating a custom qubit operation.**

where the following quantities must be declared:

- **Operation.num_params**: the number of parameters the operation takes
- **Operation.num_wires**: the number of wires the operation acts on
- **Operation.par_domain**: the domain of the gate parameters; 'N' for natural numbers (including zero), 'R' for floats, 'A' for arrays of floats/complex numbers, and None if the gate does not have free parameters
- **Operation.grad_method**: the gradient computation method; 'A' for the analytic method, 'F' for finite differences, and None if the operation may not be differentiated
- **Operation.grad_recipe**: the gradient recipe for the analytic 'A' method. This is a list with one tuple per operation parameter. For parameter \( k \), the tuple is of the form \((c_k, s_k)\), resulting in a gradient recipe of

\[
\frac{d}{d\phi_k} O = c_k \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left[ O(\phi_k + s_k) - O(\phi_k - s_k) \right] \right].
\]

Note that if grad_recipe = None, the default gradient recipe \((c_k, s_k) = (1/2, \pi/2)\) is used for every parameter.

The user can then import this operation directly from your plugin, and use it when defining a QNode:

```python
import pennylane as qml
from MyModule.MySubModule import Ising
@qnode(dev1)
def my_qfunc(phi):
    qml.Hadamard(wires=0)
    Ising(phi, wires=[0,1])
    return qml.expval.PauliZ(1)
```

**Codeblock 14: Using a plugin-provided custom operation.**

In this case, as the plugin is providing a custom operation not supported by PennyLane, it is recommended that the plugin unit tests do provide tests to ensure that PennyLane returns the correct gradient for the custom operations.

**Custom CV operations and expectations**

For custom continuous-variable operations or expectations, the CVOperation or CVExpectation classes must be subclassed instead. In addition, for CV operations with known analytic gradient formulas (such as Gaussian operations), the static class method CV._heisenberg_rep must be defined:

```python
class Custom(CVOperation):
    '''Custom gate'''
    n_params = 2
    n_wires = 1
    par_domain = 'R'
    grad_method = 'A'
    grad_recipe = None

    @staticmethod
    def _heisenberg_rep(params):
        return function(params)
```

**Codeblock 15: Creating a custom continuous-variable operation.**

For operations, the _heisenberg_rep method should return the Heisenberg representation of the operation, i.e., the matrix of the linear transformation carried out by the operation for the given parameter values\(^9\). This is used internally for calculating the gradient using the analytic method (grad_method = 'A'). For observables, this method should return a real vector (first-order observables) or symmetric matrix (second-order observables) of coefficients which represent the expansion of the observable in the basis of monomials of the quadrature operators. For single-mode operations we use the basis \( r = (I, \hat{x}, \hat{p}) \), and for multi-mode operations the basis \( r = (I, \hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1, \hat{p}_1, \ldots) \), where \( \hat{x}_k \) and \( \hat{p}_k \) are the quadrature operators of qumode \( k \). Note that, for every gate, even if the analytic gradient formula is not known or if

\(^9\) Specifically, if the operation carries out a unitary transformation \( U \), this method should return the matrix for the adjoint action \( U^*(\cdot)U \).
Contribution

We have introduced PennyLane, a Python package that extends automatic differentiation to quantum and hybrid classical-quantum information processing. This is accomplished by introducing a new quantum node abstraction which interfaces cleanly with existing DAG-based automatic differentiation methods like the backpropagation algorithm. The ability to compute gradients of variational quantum circuits – and to integrate these seamlessly as part of larger hybrid computations – opens up a wealth of potential applications, in particular for optimization and machine learning tasks.

We envision PennyLane as a powerful tool for many research directions in quantum computing and quantum machine learning, similar to how libraries like Theano, TensorFlow, or PyTorch have become indispensable for research in deep learning. With small quantum processors becoming publicly available, and with the emergence of variational quantum circuits as a new algorithmic paradigm, the quantum computing community has begun to embrace heuristic algorithms more and more. This spirit is already common in the classical machine learning community and has – together with dedicated software enabling rapid exploration of computational models – allowed that field to develop at a remarkable pace. With PennyLane, tools are now freely available to investigate model structures, training strategies, and optimization landscapes within hybrid and quantum machine learning, to explore existing and new variational circuit architectures, and to design completely new algorithms by circuit learning.

[25] Nathan Killoran, Josh Isaac, Nicolás Quesada, Ville


