Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Hot Atmospheres, Cold Gas, AGN Feedback and the Evolution of Early Type Galaxies: a Topical Perspective

N. Werner · B. R. McNamara · E. Churazov · E. Scannapieco

arXiv:1811.05004v2 [astro-ph.GA] 19 Dec 2018

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Most galaxies comparable to or larger than the mass of the Milky Way host hot, X-ray emitting atmospheres, and many such galaxies are radio sources. Hot atmospheres and radio jets and lobes are the ingredients of radio-mechanical active galactic nucleus (AGN) feedback. While a consensus has emerged that such feedback suppresses cooling of hot cluster atmospheres, less attention has been paid to massive galaxies where similar mechanisms are at play. Observation indicates that the atmospheres of elliptical and S0 galaxies were accreted externally during the process of galaxy assembly and augmented significantly by stellar mass loss. Their atmospheres have entropy and cooling time profiles that are remarkably similar to those of central cluster galaxies. About half display filamentary or disky nebulae of cool and cold gas, much of which has likely cooled from the hot atmospheres. We review the observational and theoretical perspectives on thermal instabilities in galactic atmospheres and the evidence that AGN heating is able to roughly balance

N. Werner
MTA-Eötvös University Lendület Hot Universe and Astrophysics Research Group, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, Budapest, 1117, Hungary
Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, Brno, 611 37, Czech Republic
School of Science, Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan
E-mail: wernernorbi@gmail.com

B. R. McNamara
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Waterloo, 200 University Ave W, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada

E. Churazov
Max-Planck-Institut fur Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 1, Garching 85741, Germany
Space Research Institute, Profsoyuznaya 84/32, Moscow 117997, Russia

E. Scannapieco
School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, P.O. Box 871404, Tempe, AZ 85287-1404.

the atmospheric cooling. Such heating and cooling may be regulating star formation in all massive spheroids at late times.

Keywords elliptical galaxies · active galactic nuclei · interstellar medium

1 Introduction

Elliptical galaxies are transitional systems in cosmological structure formation. Lower mass galaxies are composed of star forming disks embedded in a galaxy with a single dark matter halo. At larger masses, galaxies are spheroids with little star formation, many of which populate a single dark matter halo. At $z = 0$ many of the largest systems are in galaxy clusters, in which most of the baryonic mass is located not within galaxies themselves, but rather within a diffuse, hot medium.

Historically, this transition point was understood in the context of cooling in the dark matter structure formation model. The collapse of baryonic matter follows the collapse of overdense regions of dark matter (White and Rees 1978; White and Frenk 1991; Kauffmann et al. 1993; Lacey and Cole 1993). These overdense regions grow over time by accretion and merging. As baryons fall into growing dark matter halos, strong shocks form and heat them. This heat must be radiated away before star formation can occur. Radiative cooling is less efficient in more massive halos, which form later and have higher virial temperatures. Therefore, in this picture, elliptical galaxies and galaxy clusters are mostly quiescent because the bulk of their atmospheres have not had time to cool (e.g. Binney 1977; Rees and Ostriker 1977; Silk 1977).

Several lines of inquiry have since uncovered problems with this picture. As cosmological simulations improved, they revealed that cooling is significantly enhanced by gas inhomogeneities, allowing galaxies to form in the simulations with stellar masses over 10 times larger than observed (Suginohara and Ostriker 1998; Davé et al. 2001). At the same time, observations of the diffuse medium in galaxy clusters and groups showed that their X-ray luminosities and temperatures are not related as $L_{\rm X} \propto T^2$, as they would be if heating were purely gravitational (Kaiser 1991; Evrard and Henry 1991). Instead, the slope of this relation steepens considerably at lower temperatures (e.g. David et al. 1993; Arnaud and Evrard 1999; Helsdon and Ponman 2000).

Galaxy surveys soon revealed that the formation history of large galaxies was also in conflict with theoretical expectations. Most models predicted that at late cosmological times, when the gas would have had time to cool, massive galaxies would form stars efficiently. Instead, the largest galaxies were apparently dormant by $z \approx 2$ and the smaller ones continued to form stars at much lower redshifts (Fontana et al. 2004; Glazebrook et al. 2004; van Dokkum et al. 2004; Arnouts et al. 2005; Treu et al. 2005).

It was realised that this "antihierarchical" trend could be explained naturally in models that include significant heating associated with outflows (jets and winds driven by active galactic nuclei and supernovae) from large galaxies (Scannapieco and Oh 2004; Binney 2004; Granato et al. 2004; Scannapieco

et al. 2005; Croton et al. 2006; Thacker et al. 2006; Di Matteo et al. 2008). In this picture, energetic outflows heat the surrounding medium to temperatures high enough to prevent it from cooling and forming stars. This feedback requires an energetic outflow driven by a large galaxy to be effective in the dense, high-redshift universe. In the more tenuous, low-redshift universe, equivalently long cooling times can be achieved by less energetic winds. This causes feedback to become more efficient in smaller galaxies, at lower redshifts.

Assuming the large energy demands could be met, heating would not only quench star formation in large galaxies, but could also explain trends observed in clusters of galaxies. While starburst galaxies are observed to host massive supernova-driven outflows (e.g. Pettini et al. 2001; Veilleux et al. 2005; Weiner et al. 2009; Strickland and Heckman 2009; Martin et al. 2013; Chisholm et al. 2017), they are not able to generate enough energy to account for the observed trends (e.g. Cavaliere et al. 1998; Balogh et al. 1999; Brighenti and Mathews 2001; Babul et al. 2002).

Accreting black holes can generate enough energy (Scannapieco and Oh 2004; Granato et al. 2004; Croton et al. 2006; Thacker et al. 2006; Magorrian et al. 1998), as they are the most efficient engines in the universe at converting rest-mass into energy, releasing $\sim 10^{20}$ erg per gram of accreted gas. This energy may be released in a radiative or mechanical form depending on the accretion rate and structure of the accretion flow. In order to reproduce the observed properties of galaxies, virtually all galaxy formation models require Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) to heat and/or expel the gas from massive galaxies. AGN feedback effectively reduces the efficiency of converting baryons into stars in systems with stellar masses above $M_{\rm stellar} \approx 2 \times 10^{10}\ M_{\odot}$ (see Fig. 1).

AGN dominated by radiation usually do shine in the X-ray, UV, optical and infrared bands. They are most common in galaxies with ongoing star formation and relatively young stellar populations. Their energy is released in a "quasar" or "wind" mode associated with accretion rates exceeding $\approx 1\%$ of the Eddington rate. Heating by radiation-driven winds during the high-accretion, quasar-phase of evolution (e.g. Silk and Rees 1998) may play an essential role. However, estimates of the kinetic energy input during this phase vary broadly: from 1% (de Kool et al. 2001) through 5% (Borguet et al. 2013), up to 60% of the total luminous energy (Chartas et al. 2007).

Mechanical energy input, dubbed "radio" or "mechanical" mode, is associated with low Eddington accretion rates. Radio mode, or radio-mechanical AGN are generally found in massive systems surrounded by hot X-ray atmospheres. Radio jets and lobes of synchrotron emitting plasma couple efficiently to the volume-filling hot atmospheres and are energetically able to balance cooling in the cores of large galaxy clusters (Churazov et al. 2000; McNamara et al. 2000). This mechanism is almost certainly regulating star formation in central cluster galaxies. We suggest here that radio mode AGN are likely keeping star formation at low levels in all massive galaxies.




Fig. 1 The ratio of stellar mass to halo mass as a function of halo mass from the review by Harrison (2017) for three different simulation runs by Somerville et al. (2008) and for the semi-empirical relation by Moster et al. (2013). The shaded region shows the 16th and 84th percentiles for the fiducial model of Somerville et al. (2008) that includes energy injection from AGN and star formation (SF). The y-axis on the right side shows the efficiency for turning baryons into stars ($M_\star/[f_\mathrm{b} M_\mathrm{halo}]$, where $f_\mathrm{b} = 0.17$ is the cosmological baryon fraction). While stellar feedback reduces the efficiency of converting baryons into stars in low mass haloes, massive haloes require energy injection from AGN.

2 Formation of Hot Atmospheres Surrounding Massive Galaxies

2.1 Giant Elliptical Galaxies and Their Progenitors

According to current models, the progenitors of giant elliptical galaxies formed early in the most massive dark matter haloes (e.g. Cattaneo et al. 2009). Gas streamed towards the centres of halos forming the cores of the galaxies and their central, massive black holes (e.g. Khalatyan et al. 2008). They apparently grew quickly by efficiently dissipating their energy and angular momentum. Above a critical mass, $M_\mathrm{crit} \approx 10^{12}\ M_\odot$ (e.g. Correa et al. 2018), the cooling time of gas at the halo's virial temperature exceeds its free-fall time, causing accretion onto the central galaxy to slow dramatically (e.g. White and Frenk 1991). This accreting gas, heated by shock waves, formed the first X-ray-emitting atmospheres.

Hubble deep field observations indicate that primeval massive galaxies were compact, approximately four times smaller than the massive, modern galaxies (Daddi et al. 2005; Trujillo et al. 2006, 2007). These so called "red nuggets," with effective radii $r_{\rm e} \lesssim 2$ kpc and stellar masses $M_\star \gtrsim 10^{11}\ M_\odot$ are typically observed at or beyond redshift two. Their rapid early growth presumably preceded a slow accretion phase of numerous stripping encounters and dry mergers that frosted the galaxies with stars in their outer regions. Their centres were mostly unaffected. But their overall sizes increased dramatically, transforming them into modern giant ellipticals (e.g. Hopkins et al. 2009; Oser et al. 2012).

If this picture is correct, red nuggets must harbour hot atmospheres. Unfortunately, the sensitivities of our current X-ray observatories do not allow us to observe such atmospheres around high redshift galaxies. In fact, even future X-ray observatories with large effective areas, such as *Athena*, will only be able to observe the X-ray atmospheres of massive galaxies out to redshifts $\lesssim 1$.

However, due to the stochastic nature of mergers, a few red nuggets must have avoided the second stage of growth, remaining almost unaltered since their formation (Quilis and Trujillo 2013). The first confirmed low redshift massive relic galaxy, mimicking the properties of high-redshift red nuggets is NGC 1277 in the Perseus cluster (Trujillo et al. 2014), which stripped most of its hot X-ray emitting atmosphere. Recently, Ferré-Mateu et al. (2017) confirmed two other "red nuggets" in the present day Universe: MRK 1216 ($D = 97$ Mpc) and PGC 032873 ($D = 108$ Mpc). The stellar masses of these galaxies reach $M_\star \approx 2 \times 10^{11}\ M_\odot$ and their stellar populations are highly centrally concentrated, resulting in compact morphologies ($R_{\rm e} \sim 2$ kpc) with no signs of interactions. Importantly, the closest neighbours of these galaxies lie at distances $\gtrsim 1$ Mpc (Ferré-Mateu et al. 2017; Yıldırım et al. 2017). Therefore, these massive relic systems provide the best opportunity to test the formation models and study the hot atmospheres of the progenitors of giant ellipticals.

Werner et al. (2018) and Buote and Barth (2018) discovered hot X-ray emitting atmospheres around these "local red nuggets", which extend far beyond their stellar populations. The atmosphere of MRK 1216 extends out to $r \gtrsim 55$ kpc and has an 0.5–7 keV X-ray luminosity of $L_{\rm X} = (7.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}, which is similar to the nearby X-ray bright giant ellipticals. The total mass of MRK 1216 calculated under the assumption of a hydrostatic equilibrium in the hot atmosphere is $M_{200} = (9.6 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{12}\ M_\odot$ (see Fig. 2, Buote and Barth 2018), which is about an order of magnitude higher than $M_{\rm crit} \sim 10^{12}\ M_\odot$.

While the mean mass weighted age of MRK 1216 is 12.8 ± 1.5 Gyr, with 99% of the stellar population more than 10 Gyrs old (Ferré-Mateu et al. 2017), the central cooling time of the X-ray emitting atmosphere is only $t_{\rm cool} = 52 \pm 5$ Myr (Werner et al. 2018). The presence of an X-ray atmosphere with a short nominal cooling time and the lack of young stars indicate the presence of a sustained heating source, which prevented star formation since the dissipative formation of the galaxy 13 Gyrs ago. Furthermore, the central temperature




Fig. 2 Radial distributions of the total mass (black), NFW (Navarro et al. 1997) dark matter (blue), stellar mass (red), and hot gas mass (green) for the massive, compact, relic galaxy MRK 1216. These relative masses are also typical for giant ellipticals. The black vertical lines in the bottom right corner indicate r_{2500}, r_{500}, and r_{200}. The vertical dashed lines indicate the location of the stellar half-light radius (R_e) and the outer extent of the X-ray data (R_{data}). From Buote and Barth (2018).

peak and the presence of radio emission in the galactic nucleus indicate that the heating source is radio-mode AGN feedback.

Despite its similar mass (Buote and Barth 2018), PGC 032873 is an order of magnitude fainter in X-rays with $L_X = (5.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{40}$ erg s^{-1}. Given that both MRK 1216 and PGC 032873 appear to have evolved in isolation, the order of magnitude difference in their current X-ray luminosity could be traced back to a difference in the ferocity of their AGN outbursts.

Giant ellipticals that evolved from early red nuggets today are slowly-rotating, triaxial, and boxy. These characteristics likely emerged from many dry mergers that canceled the angular momenta of the merging galaxies (Kormendy and Ho 2013). The most massive have faint cores— missing light— with respect to the inward extrapolation of the outer Sérsic profiles (Kormendy et al. 2009). The cores may have been excavated by binary black holes which flung stars out to larger radii. Virtually all of these massive galaxies harbour hot atmospheres. Many have nuclear radio sources (e.g. Dunn et al. 2010). X-ray images of radio-bright giant ellipticals often reveal visibly perturbed atmospheres (see Fig. 3).




Fig. 3 *Chandra* X-ray images of giant elliptical galaxies reveal hot X-ray bright atmospheres, which are often visibly perturbed by the central AGN (NASA/CXC/Stanford Univ/N. Werner et al. 2014).

Early spirals, S0s, and rapidly-rotating, coreless, flattened ellipticals host lower luminosity X-ray atmospheres (Sarzi et al. 2013). The abundance ratios of their stellar populations indicate star formation histories substantially different from those of massive, cored, giant ellipticals. Conroy et al. (2014) found relative abundances of α elements, such as O, Mg, and Si, with respect to Fe, to increase as a function of stellar velocity dispersion and stellar mass. The standard interpretation of the [α/Fe] ratio is that it is sensitive to the timescale of star formation. Higher values correspond to shorter timescales. The stellar populations of massive early type galaxies with $\sigma \gtrsim 200$ km s^{-1} have typically high [α/Fe] ratios, indicating star-formation timescales of < 1 Gyr and perhaps as short as 0.2 Gyr (Conroy et al. 2014). On the other hand, flattened coreless ellipticals and S0 galaxies, have lower [α/Fe] abundance ratios, which indicate that they continued to grow and form stars over billions of years (Thomas et al. 2005).

Fast-rotating coreless ellipticals and S0 galaxies likely evolved via wet mergers, which led to the formation of rotating disks. Among their likely progenitors are the Ultra-Luminous Infra-Red Galaxies (ULIRG): rapidly star-forming, dusty, mergers with infrared luminosities above 10^{12} $L_{\odot}$. The structural parameters of these galaxies are consistent with the fundamental plane, and their stellar velocity dispersions are $\sigma \approx 100 - 230$ km s^{-1} (e.g. Genzel et al. 2001; Veilleux et al. 2006). Furthermore, the comoving number density of ULIRGs at $z \approx 2$ is about three orders of magnitude larger than the local ULIRG density, consistent with most of the resulting early type galaxies being in place at redshift $z \approx 1.5$, and evolving further mostly by dry mergers (Lonsdale et al. 2006). Local ULIRGs show evidence for extended thermal X-ray emission with $kT \approx 0.7$ keV, which has been interpreted as a result of galactic superwinds (Franceschini et al. 2003). In systems such as Mrk 321 and IRAS 19254-7245 the X-ray emission is observed out to $r \approx 30$ kpc.

2.2 Observational Constraints on Hot Atmosphere Formation

Hot atmospheres of giant elliptical galaxies likely formed from shock heated gas during early infall and from stellar ejecta. Goulding et al. (2016) combined the high mass galaxies from the MASSIVE survey (Ma et al. 2014) with lower mass galaxies from the ATLAS^{3D} survey (Kim and Fabbiano 2015; Su et al. 2015) to study the X-ray and optical properties of a statistically significant sample of early-type galaxies. Their results show that while the thermalisation of stellar ejecta (mass loss, supernovae) is a significant source of hot gas (see also Mathews and Brighenti 2003; Sun et al. 2007; Sarzi et al. 2013), the data are inconsistent with stellar mass loss alone. On the other hand, Pellegrini et al. (2018) find that a major part of the observed $L_{\rm X}$ can be accounted for by the mass input from the stellar population.

Halo mass appears to be the most important factor determining the X-ray luminosities of galaxies. Forbes et al. (2017) used the total dynamical mass and X-ray gas luminosities of 29 massive early-type galaxies from the SLUGGS survey to probe $L_{\rm X}$-mass scaling relations (see also Kim and Fabbiano 2013). They found a strong relationship between $L_{\rm X}$ and galaxy dynamical mass within $5R_{\rm e}$, which is consistent with the cosmological simulations that incorporate mechanical heating from AGN (e.g. Choi et al. 2015). Babyk et al. (2018c), using the much larger sample shown in Fig. 4, found a similar correlation. They conclude that the hot gas was shock heated as it fell into collapsing dark matter halos so that $L_{\rm X}$ is primarily driven by the depth of a galaxy's potential well and heating by the AGN plays an important but secondary role in determining $L_{\rm X}$. The X-ray luminosities of the lower mass, cuspy, rotating galaxies do not correlate with their dynamical masses (James et al. 2018). In general, their atmospheric X-ray luminosities are low, indicating that they cannot effectively hold on to the hot gas. James et al. (2018) point out that the hot gas in these systems could be in an outflow state (see Pellegrini 2012). Mass and energy input from stellar mass loss may be important in these galaxies.




Fig. 4 The X-ray luminosities of the hot atmospheres vs. the total mass within 5 effective radii for the early type galaxy sample of Babyk et al. (2018c). "EG" indicates elliptical galaxies; "LG" indicates lenticular or S0 galaxies; "BCGs" and "cD" represent central cluster galaxies. The total gravitating masses were derived assuming the atmospheres are in hydrostatic equilibrium. The relation shows large scatter, particularly at high-masses, most of which are central cD and brightest cluster galaxies. Some scatter may be attributed to effective radius measurements which vary widely between galaxies and observers.

Constraints on hot atmospheres have also been derived from measurements of the spectral distortions that hot atmospheres imprint on the microwave background. Spacek et al. (2016) used Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) observations formed by stacking South Pole Telescope data of 3394 large, passive, galaxies in the redshift range $0.5 \leq z \leq 1.0$. Their average stellar mass is approximately $1.5 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. All are located outside of galaxy clusters. The measurement yielded a $\gtrsim 3\sigma$ detection of the SZ effect on the scale of individual galaxy halos. The amplitude of the SZ distortion is directly proportional to the line-of-sight integral of the pressure. Therefore, the measurement has the potential to probe the average internal energy profile of distant, large elliptical galaxies.

The Spacek et al. (2016) measurement suggests significant energy input to hot atmospheres from quasar-mode AGN feedback. However, a similar study using the Atacama Cosmology Telescope is consistent with models without significant energy input from AGN (Spacek et al. 2017). While inconclusive, these studies indicate that ground-based measurements are approaching the sensitivity needed to place strong constraints on evolutionary models of hot atmospheres and their nuclear black holes (Chisari et al. 2018; Spacek et al. 2018). Future measurements with the next generation of ground-based microwave background telescopes should dramatically improve the situation.

2.3 Hot Atmospheres and Stellar Rotation

X-ray observations have shown that flattened systems, including massive spirals, S0s, and rapidly-rotating, coreless ellipticals, have lower X-ray luminosities than round galaxies of the same optical luminosity $L_{\rm B}$ (Eskridge et al. 1995; Sarzi et al. 2013). Therefore, they are less studied and are thus poorly understood. Using the ATLAS$^{\rm 3D}$ integral field spectroscopy survey, Sarzi et al. (2013) found that slow rotators, on average, have higher atmospheric temperatures and higher X-ray luminosities than fast rotators. The X-ray luminosities of fast rotators tend to decrease with the increasing degree of rotational support (see also Boroson et al. 2011).

Negri et al. (2014a,b) investigated the X-ray luminosities and temperatures of rotating galaxies using numerical simulations. They assumed that most of the atmospheric gas formed from thermalised stellar mass loss. They as well found systematically lower X-ray surface brightnesses and temperatures in rotating galaxies than in the non-rotating systems of the same mass. This can be understood as the combined effects of the centrifugal barrier of a rotating atmosphere and the decreased depths of the gravitational potential well owing to rotational support. Their simulations indicate that the relative importance of flattening and rotation on the X-ray luminosity and temperature of the hot atmospheres is a function of the galactic mass. While flattening and rotation in lower-mass galaxies promote winds that effectively lower their X-ray luminosities, mass loss from higher-mass, rotating, galaxies is negligible.

2.4 The Great Divide: Galaxies and Missing Baryons

Lower mass galaxies, those in halos significantly below $\approx 10^{12}\ M_{\odot}$, are not expected to host hot atmospheres. Milky Way mass galaxies appear to be on the border between X-ray bright and X-ray faint systems. Deep X-ray observations of hot gas surrounding Milky Way sized elliptical, S0, and early spiral galaxies would place strong constraints on galaxy formation models. Many models indicate that as much as half of the warm-hot diffuse baryons in the local universe may lie in pressure-supported atmospheres around galaxies (e.g. Fukugita et al. 1998; Kereš et al. 2005; Fukugita and Peebles 2006). Direct evidence for extended, volume-filling, atmospheres is scarce.

The best evidence for a hot atmosphere in the Milky Way is found from emission measurements of the soft X-ray background (Snowden et al. 1997; Henley and Shelton 2010, 2012) and X-ray absorption measurements along sightliness to bright AGN (Paerels et al. 2003; Gupta et al. 2012). Gupta et al. (2012), combined *Chandra* observations of O VII and O VIII absorption lines with *XMM-Newton* and *Suzaku* measurements of the emission measure of the Galactic component of the soft X-ray background, to find a hot atmospheric gas mass of over $6 \times 10^{10}\ M_{\odot}$. Henley and Shelton (2012) developed an all-sky catalog of O VII and O VIII emission line measurements. Miller and Bregman (2013, 2015) used the catalog to determine an atmospheric gas mass of $\approx$

$10^{10} M_{\odot}$. In their study of Hα recombination emission from the Magellanic Stream, Tepper-García et al. (2015) developed a model of the hot Galactic atmosphere that was consistent with the observed stellar halo dynamics and with the UV/X-ray measurements, finding a mass of $2.5 \pm 1 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$. These estimates show that the hot atmosphere contains a significant fraction of the baryonic mass, but still falls short of the $\approx 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ that would be needed to reach the universal value (Bland-Hawthorn and Gerhard 2016).

X-ray atmospheres extending well beyond the stellar light have been observed only around nine massive, relaxed spiral galaxies (Anderson and Bregman 2011; Dai et al. 2012; Bogdán et al. 2013a,b; Li et al. 2017). Using deep *XMM-Newton* observations of the two X-ray brightest spirals, NGC 6753 and NGC 1961, Bogdán et al. (2017) and Anderson et al. (2016), respectively, find low metallicities of $Z \approx 0.1-0.2$ Solar in their hot atmospheres. They interpret the low metallicities as an indication that the hot gas was accreted from the surrounding environment. By extrapolating the density profiles of the galaxies to the virial radius, the authors estimate their total baryon mass fractions, finding that more than half of the baryons are missing.

Li et al. (2018) stacked the X-ray data of six local isolated massive spiral galaxies from their Circum-Galactic Medium of MASsive Spirals (CGM-MASS) sample. They find that the mean gas density profile can be characterized by a single power law out to $r \approx 200$ kpc, which is about half the virial radius of the dark matter halo. They also find that more that 60–70% of the baryons are missing within the virial radii of these spiral galaxies. These results imply that a significant fraction of the baryons escaped from their halos.

Based on X-ray and Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) observations, Bregman et al. (2018) propose a consistent picture for the hot atmospheres of galaxies above approximately the Milky Way's luminosity. Stacked *Planck* measurements for massive, mostly early type galaxies reveal an SZ signal, which indicates that most of the baryons in these galaxies are hot and extend beyond their virial radii (Planck Collaboration et al. 2013; Greco et al. 2015). However, the detected stacked SZ signal is nearly an order of magnitude larger than that inferred from the X-ray observations of massive spiral galaxies with $M_{\star} > 10^{11.3} M_{\odot}$. This result indicates, that there could be substantial differences in the hot gas atmospheres around massive spiral and early type galaxies. Bregman et al. (2018) show that when the atmospheric density profiles of massive spirals are extrapolated to their virial radii, about half of their baryons are still missing from the hot phase. Only when extrapolated to $1.9 - 3\ R_{200}$ does the baryon to dark matter ratio approach the cosmic value.

The low metallicities of $Z \approx 0.1 - 0.25$ Solar measured or assumed in these studies may be underestimated. Owing to pitfalls in modeling a multi-temperature gas with single temperature and omissions and incompleteness of atomic data tables for low temperature plasmas (see Mernier et al. 2018b), the derived gas-phase metallicities are highly uncertain. Miller and Bregman (2015) have shown that the Milky Way's atmospheric metallicity must be higher than 0.3 Solar if it is to be consistent with pulsar dispersion measures toward the Large Magellanic Cloud. If the metallicities of the hot atmospheres

of massive spirals are indeed strongly underestimated, then their true baryon fractions must be even lower than inferred.

Several studies have focused on X-ray atmospheres of isolated elliptical galaxies, from fossil groups down to the mass of the Milky Way (O'Sullivan and Ponman 2004; O'Sullivan et al. 2007; Humphrey et al. 2011, 2012a,b). For the fossil group RX J1159+5531, Humphrey et al. (2012b) combined *Chandra*, *Suzaku* and *ROSAT* data to study its X-ray emitting atmosphere out to R_{100}. Within the virial radius, they measure a baryon fraction of $f_{\rm b} = 0.17 \pm 0.02$, consistent with the cosmological value. A similar result, a baryon fraction of $f_{\rm b} = 0.13 \pm 0.3$ is obtained for the isolated $L_\star$ galaxy NGC 1521 (Humphrey et al. 2012a). In the detailed study of the "Milky Way sized" NGC 720, Humphrey et al. (2011) find that the baryon fraction within the virial radius is consistent with the cosmological value, confirming the theoretical predictions that an approximately Milky Way mass ($M_{\rm vir} = 3.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$) galaxy can sustain a massive hot atmosphere.

Current observational constraints thus indicate, that while the hot X-ray emitting atmospheres surrounding Milky Way mass ellipticals are baryonically closed, spiral galaxies only hold on to a fraction of the hot baryons within their virial radii.

2.5 Chemical Constraints on the Galactic Atmospheres

The metallicities of galaxy atmospheres encode, in principle, important information about their star-formation histories. If galaxies are baryonically closed, then the hot gas will contain the integrated yields of all supernovae and stellar winds accumulated throughout their lifetimes. If galactic feedback is strong enough to expel part of the hot baryons from the gravitational potential well of the galaxy, some of the metals produced in the period of maximum star-formation and galactic feedback activity might also be missing in modern atmospheres.

Contrary to earlier results (e.g. Rasmussen and Ponman 2009; Bregman et al. 2010; Sun 2012; Yates et al. 2017), Mernier et al. (2018b) found that the cores of ellipticals, groups, and massive clusters, spanning two decades in mass from $\approx 10^{13}$ $M_\odot$ to $\approx 10^{15}$ $M_\odot$, have remarkably similar iron abundances within the radius $0.1 r_{500}$. These systems have an O/Fe ratio consistent with the Solar value to within $\approx 25\%$. This is true for clusters, groups, and giant elliptical galaxies (de Plaa et al. 2017). The α/Fe ratio in hot galactic atmospheres is thus different from the abundance ratios in the stellar populations of their host giant ellipticals, which typically have α/Fe ratios twice as high (e.g. Conroy et al. 2014). This difference is consistent with the largely primordial origin of galactic atmospheres. The mass-invariance of the chemical enrichment of massive haloes provides additional support to the scenario of an early enrichment where the bulk of metals in hot atmospheres was in place well before clusters assembled (see the reviews by Mernier et al. 2018a; Biffi et al. 2018).




Fig. 5 This composite image shows M 84, a massive elliptical galaxy in the Virgo Cluster. The *Chandra* image of the hot X-ray emitting gas is shown in blue and the VLA radio image of the jet injected relativistic plasma is shown in red. A background image from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey is shown in yellow and white. The jets inflated bubbles form cavities in the hot galactic atmosphere like those seen in clusters of galaxies. Credit: X-ray (NASA/CXC/MPE/A.Finoguenov et al.); Radio (NSF/NRAO/VLA/ESO/R.A.Laing et al); Optical (SDSS).

3 Evidence for Galaxy Atmospheres Stabilized by Feedback

Consensus has emerged that mechanical feedback from radio sources in central cluster galaxies suppresses cooling of hot, cluster-scale atmospheres. Clusters are relatively well understood because they can be imaged exquisitely in X-rays using tens of thousands, and in several instances, millions of photons. They are the only systems where cooling can be traced using a variety of thermodynamic diagnostics over eight decades of temperature and density. Likewise, molecular gas levels and star formation rates in central brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs) often exceed those of gas-rich spirals, allowing a strong connection to be made between the cooling atmosphere, cold gas, and star formation. Clusters are the standard against which other systems may be compared and understood.

Like centrals in cooling clusters, the cooling times of giant elliptical atmospheres fall below tens of Myr within a kpc or so of the nucleus. Radiation

losses must be balanced by heating to avoid cooling at rates of 0.1–0.5 $M_\odot$ yr^{-1} (Bregman et al. 2005) and star formation at comparable rates. The observed star formation rates in nearby, early type galaxies are generally below 0.1–0.01 $M_\odot$ yr^{-1} (e.g. O'Connell 1999). Using ultraviolet *Hubble Space Telescope* Wide Field Camera 3 imaging, Ford and Bregman (2013) identified individual young stars and star clusters in four nearby giant ellipticals providing the best measurements of the star formation rates in these systems. The rates are approximately 10^{-4}–10^{-5} $M_\odot$ yr^{-1}.

As in cluster centrals, observations show that radio-loud AGN deposit enough enthalpy in the atmospheres of ellipticals to prevent cooling. But for the non-BCG giant ellipticals the relationship between hot atmospheres, cooling gas, and feedback is less clear. The putative cooling and heating cycle is hard to observe, due largely to low X-ray photon count rates and low levels of warm and cold gas. Indications are that ellipticals are lower mass analogs to cluster centrals. The total atmospheric gas masses of ellptical galaxies lie between 10^9 $M_\odot$ and 10^{10} $M_\odot$.

Early indications that giant elliptical galaxy hot atmospheres may be stabilized by feedback were found in a *Chandra* X-ray observation of M 84 (Finoguenov and Jones 2001). M 84 is a member of the Virgo cluster but does not reside in its centre. Thus it harbours its own atmosphere. Its radio jets and lobes inflated bubbles in its atmosphere (see Fig. 5) like those seen earlier in several cluster galaxies. The total enthalpy of M 84's X-ray cavities is $4pV = 1.3 \times 10^{56}$ erg (Finoguenov et al. 2008), which is far lower than the values estimated in clusters. Nevertheless this figure is energetically significant compared to the thermal energy of the atmosphere.

A spectacular example is the sequence of three bubble pairs observed by Randall et al. (2011, 2015) in the group central galaxy NGC 5813. The pairs of bubbles are aligned in radius lying at projected altitudes of 1 kpc, 8 kpc, and 20 kpc from the nucleus. They were launched 3 Myr, 20 Myr, and 90 Myr ago, respectively. The bubbles are surrounded by shock fronts with Mach numbers between 1.5 and 1.7. The total energy released exceeds several $\times 10^{57}$ erg, which is sufficient to offset the cooling of the atmosphere. Nulsen et al. (2009) examined a sample of 24 ellipticals with cavities from the *Chandra* archive to determine whether they are able to systematically offset cooling of the atmospheres. Plotting the jet power, determined from the enthalpy of the X-ray cavities, against the X-ray luminosity of the cooling atmosphere, Nulsen et al. (2009) concluded that radio/mechanical feedback energy is sufficient to offset cooling. Similar results were found in cluster centrals (Bîrzan et al. 2004; Rafferty et al. 2006; Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2015), and in a large, statistical sample of Sloan ellipticals (Best et al. 2006). This continuity between clusters and giant ellipticals, shown in Fig. 6, is a promising sign that elliptical atmospheres are likewise stabilized by radio mechanical feedback.




Fig. 6 Mechanical power injected by the central AGN estimated from the energies and timescales required to inflate the cavities observed in the surrounding hot atmospheres ($P_{\rm cav}$) versus the cooling luminosity within the cooling radius ($L_{\rm cool}$) from Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. (2015). Dotted lines show $P_{\rm cav} = L_{\rm cool}$ for energy inputs of $1pV$, $4pV$ and $16pV$ per cavity, respectively, top to bottom. The observed relation indicates that the radio-mode feedback energy is sufficient to offset cooling in systems across six orders of magnitude in X-ray luminosity from the hot atmospheres of ellipticals to the most massive clusters of galaxies.

3.1 Cool Gas in Elliptical Galaxies

Elliptical galaxies were once thought to be free of gas. Lacking prominent gas disks and active star formation, they must have depleted their stores of cold gas during their formation epoch, billions of years in the past. That view changed with discoveries of dust lanes, nuclear gas disks, and nebular emission in many ellipticals (Sadler and Gerhard 1985; Goudfrooij et al. 1994; Macchetto et al. 1996). These features indicate low levels of cold molecular and atomic gas in ellipticals and S0 galaxies (Knapp et al. 1985, 1989; Knapp and Rupen 1996).

Elliptical galaxies are rich in gas, but most of it resides in hot atmospheres (see Fig. 7) with masses of 10^9 $M_\odot$ to 10^{10} $M_\odot$ (Forman et al. 1985). Their molecular gas masses lie between $10^5 - 10^8$ $M_\odot$. Therefore, atmospheric masses exceed cold gas masses by 100 times or more, but with large variance (Babyk et al. 2018b).




Fig. 7 Multi-wavelength, optical, narrow-band Hα + [N II], X-ray, and radio composite view of the giant elliptical galaxy NGC 5044. The stellar component, as observed at optical wavelengths (Digitised Sky Survey), is shown in white. The galaxy is embedded in a hot X-ray emitting atmosphere of ionised gas, which is shown in blue (*Chandra*). Observations show that some of the hot gas cools and flows towards the centre of the galaxy. The filamentary network shown in red is warm Hα + [N II] emitting gas observed by the Southern Observatory for Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope in Chile. When observed in radio at 1.4 GHz (VLA), this galaxy appears only as a point source shown in violet at the centre of the image. Credit: Digitised Sky Survey/Chandra X-ray Center/Southern Observatory for Astrophysical Research/Very Large Array (Werner et al. 2014).

About half of optical and X-ray bright giant elliptical galaxies display nuclear or extended filamentary Hα+[N II] emission (Lakhchaura et al. 2018). Similar to the nebulae observed in the centres of cooling core clusters (Johnstone et al. 1987; Heckman et al. 1989), the line emission in these systems cannot be powered by the radiation of young, hot stars or the central AGN. The line emission is too luminous to be recombination radiation from cooling. The filaments likely consist of strands with small volume filling fractions (Fabian et al. 2008; Werner et al. 2013). The soft X-ray emission associated with filaments in cool-core clusters (e.g. Sanders and Fabian 2007; Werner et al. 2010, 2013) is cooling hot plasma enveloping the cooler threads (see Fig. 8). The hot plasma may be cooling both radiatively and by mixing with the cold gas in the filaments (Sanders et al. 2010; Werner et al. 2013). In M 87, the emission-line nebulae spatially coincide with C IV line emission at far-ultraviolet (FUV) wavelengths. This emission emerges from gas at temperature $\sim 10^5$ K indicating efficient energy transport between gas phases (Sparks et al. 2009, 2012), possibly by electron thermal conduction or mixing. This energy transport links the cool, optically-emitting filaments to the surrounding




Fig. 8 A schematic view of the possible structure of the filamentary cool gas found in giant ellipticals. The filaments are composed of clumps of gas with low volume filling fractions with temperatures spanning from $\sim$ 30 K CO emitting molecular gas, through $\sim$ 100 K diffuse atomic [C II] emitting gas, to a warm $\sim$ 1000 K phase. They are enclosed within layers of warm 10^4 K Hα+[N II] and 10^5 K far-UV emitting gas phases. The filaments appear to be strongly magnetized with fields of several tents of μG. They are surrounded by cooling X-ray emitting gas, with a temperature of $\sim 5 \times 10^6$ K, that is significantly cooler than the ambient $kT \sim 2$ keV ICM (based on Werner et al. 2013; Anderson and Sunyaev 2018).

hot gas (see also Anderson and Sunyaev 2018). Mixing can in principle supply the power and the ionizing particles needed to explain the observed line emission (Ferland et al. 2008, 2009; Fabian et al. 2011). Depending on the mixing rate, this process can cool the ICM non-radiatively, or it may be evaporating the filaments. Ferland et al. (2009) argue that the emission-line spectra of the filamentary nebulae around central galaxies of cooling core clusters most likely originate in gas exposed to ionizing particles, either relativistic cosmic rays or hot X-ray emitting plasma penetrating into the cold gas (see also Canning et al. 2016).

Reconnection of magnetic fields in the wakes of buoyant bubbles has also been proposed as a mechanism for powering the filaments (Churazov et al. 2013). The [S II]λ6716/6731 line ratios in filaments indicate low densities in the 10,000 K nebulae, based on which Werner et al. (2013) argued that significant magnetic pressure (fields of several tens of μG) would be required to keep the warm ionised gas in pressure ballance with the surrounding intracluster medium (ICM). Significant magnetic fields threading the filaments were inferred using arguments based on the integrity of the filaments in the Perseus cluster (Fabian et al. 2008), and based on radio observations of the Faraday rotation measure in cooling core clusters (Feretti et al. 1999; Allen et al. 2001;

Taylor et al. 2001, 2007). Magnetic support may also counteract gravitational collapse of gas clouds preventing them from forming stars.

In the sample of Werner et al. (2014) all galaxies with extended optical emission nebulae contain cool (≈100 K) atomic [C II] emitting gas. The MIR *Spitzer* spectra of galaxies with emission nebulae also show the presence of dust, warm H_2 molecular gas and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission (Temi et al. 2007a,b; Panuzzo et al. 2011).

The ATLAS^{3D} volume-limited survey of CO line emission revealed cold molecular clouds in about one fifth of early-type galaxies (Young et al. 2011). The best example of strong CO line emission observed in a nearby massive giant elliptical is NGC 5044. Using ALMA observations, David et al. (2014) detected many CO(2-1) emitting molecular clouds in the central region of the galaxy. These structures are likely giant molecular associations composed of individual molecular clouds with a volume filling fraction of about 15%. Their masses are in the range between 3×10^5 $M_\odot$ to 10^7 $M_\odot$. Given their CO(2-1) line widths, these structures are short-lived and they will disperse in about 12 Myr.

The total molecular gas mass in NGC 5044 is $\approx 6 \times 10^7$ $M_\odot$ (Temi et al. 2018). Other group central giant elliptical galaxies have less molecular gas. ALMA CO(2-1) observations of NGC 5846 and NGC 4636 revealed only 2×10^6 $M_\odot$ and 2.6×10^5 $M_\odot$ of molecular gas, respectively. The molecular gas mass associated with the emission line nebulae East of the nucleus of M 87 is also only $M_{H_2} = (4.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^5 M_\odot$ (Simionescu et al. 2018). These molecular clouds are apparently short lived, transient phenomena.

Given the relatively large Hα+[N II] and [C II] luminosities of some of these galaxies, the inferred small molecular gas masses might seem surprising. If, however, the density of the molecular gas is low due to turbulence and collisions with hot gas or cosmic rays, then the CO(2-1) line emission will be suppressed, causing the molecular gas mass to be underestimated (Canning et al. 2016). The additional pressure support in the cold gas may be slowing or preventing the gravitational collapse of any molecular gas clouds that exceed the Jeans mass, preventing star formation in these red and dead galaxies.

Some of the cold clouds might get accreted onto the central supermassive black hole in NGC 5044, as suggested by the absorption features in the spectrum of the central continuum source, which reveal infalling clouds with a radial velocity of 250 km s^{-1} (David et al. 2014). Infall of molecular clouds was also inferred from the absorption spectra of the nucleus of the brightest cluster galaxy in Abell 2597 by Tremblay et al. (2016).

Radio power correlates with total molecular gas mass in galaxies from low-power ellipticals and S0s to the most powerful central cluster galaxies (Babyk et al. 2018b). This correlation is consistent with molecular fueling of radio galaxies. However, the scatter in the trend is large, approximately three decades in molecular gas mass at a given radio power. This scatter likely reflects conditions on large scales and not those nearest to the nucleus that are driving current radio activity (McNamara et al. 2011). Systems with low molecular gas masses may host powerful AGN and conversely so.

Cold gas is also observed in surveys of more distant early type galaxies. Several authors have studied cold gas around $z \approx 0.5$ Luminous Red Galaxies (LRGs) selected from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey using QSO absorption line techniques. These galaxies have colors similar to nearby ellipticals (Eisenstein et al. 2001), stellar masses of $\gtrsim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ (Tojeiro et al. 2011), and clustering amplitudes consistent with halos masses $\gtrsim 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ (e.g. Zheng et al. 2007). Gauthier et al. (2009) showed that the cross-correlation function of LRG and Mg II absorbers is similar to the LRG auto-correlation function at large separations. This suggests that the halos of a large fraction of these galaxies contain strong Mg II absorbers. The absorbers trace photo-ionized, $T \approx 10^4$ K gas (Bergeron and Stasińska 1986) with neutral hydrogen column densities $10^{16} - 10^{22}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ (Rao et al. 2006). Other studies found similar absorbers in a significant fraction of LRG halos at projected distances $d \approx 100 - 500$ kpc (Gauthier et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2016). Furthermore, strong Lyα absorption is common around $z \approx 0.2$ early-type galaxies (Thom et al. 2012; Tumlinson et al. 2013), but at lower levels than in star forming galaxies (Borthakur et al. 2016). However, using multiply-lensed QSOs, Zahedy et al. (2017) found high column density gas around $z = 0.4 - 0.7$ ellipticals at projected distances as small as $\approx 3 - 15$ kpc.

Recently, Chen et al. (2018) combined Cosmic Origins Spectrograph absorption-line measurements with ground based measurements for a sample of 16 LRGs. They found an overall median H I column density of $10^{16.6}\,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ and a mean C III covering fraction of ≈ 0.75 for strong C III absorbers within a distance ≈ 160 kpc. These values are similar to those seen around typical L_* galaxies. Apparently, massive quiescent halos contain widespread chemically-enriched cool gas at a similar level to halos hosting significant star formation.

3.2 Observations Indicating Condensation from Hot Atmospheres

Whether the cool interstellar media observed as Hα+[N II] and CO emission in early type galaxies condensed from their hot atmospheres or were accreted externally is unclear. That gas is accreted by mergers is indisputable. However, recent studies indicate that some cold gas, perhaps most, cooled from hot atmospheres.

Studying X-ray, far-infrared, and optical data for a sample of ten nearby giant ellipticals, Werner et al. (2014) found that the galaxies with extended Hα+[N II] and [C II] line emission have lower atmospheric gas entropies and cooling times beyond 1 kpc than the cool gas-poor systems. This indicates that cool gas is related to and perhaps cooled from the hot atmospheres. Lakhchaura et al. (2018) expanded the sample to 49 nearby ellipticals observed with the *Chandra* X-ray Observatory. Combining X-ray observations with Hα + [N II] imaging, they found no correlation between nebular emission, and X-ray luminosity, atmospheric gas mass, or gas mass fraction. However, nebular emission was more likely to be found in systems with the highest gas densities, lowest entropies, shortest cooling times, and shallower entropy pro-




Fig. 9 Left: The combined radially binned profiles of temperature (top left), density (top right), entropy (bottom left) and cooling time (bottom right) for the sample of Lakhchaura et al. (2018). The red, green and blue solid lines show median profiles for the cool gas free, nuclear cool gas and extended cool gas systems, respectively and the shaded regions show the median absolute deviation (MAD) spreads about the medians. The figure shows higher densities and lower entropies and cooling times for the extended cool gas galaxies than the rest of the sample, outside the innermost regions (≈2 kpc), albeit with significant spread. In the central ≈ 1 kpc the mean profiles might be affected by the lower resolution of some of the datasets.

files (see Fig. 9). Moreover, nebular emission is more likely to be associated with disturbed X-ray morphologies. X-ray disturbances may be linked to several factors, including mergers and AGN radio jets. The correlations found in this study are at the $\approx 2.5\sigma$ confidence level. Nevertheless, they have been confirmed by independent X-ray and CO observations (Babyk et al. 2018b).

Babyk et al. (2018b) studied X-ray emission from 40 nearby ellipticals and early spirals observed by *Chandra*, a sample with strong overlap with Lakhchaura's. Babyk et al. examined the atmospheric properties of systems with single dish CO observations from the ATLAS^{3D} complete sample of nearby galaxies (Young et al. 2011). Molecular gas masses lie between $\sim 10^6$ $M_\odot$ and $\sim 10^8$ $M_\odot$. Their results are similar to Lakhchaura et al. (2018): all systems with CO detections have atmospheric cooling times shorter than 1 Gyr at $R \approx 10$ kpc, while those with restrictive CO upper limits have longer atmospheric cooling times.




Fig. 10 Molecular gas mass derived using CO observations (Edge et al. 2002; Young et al. 2011; Pulido et al. 2018) vs. hot X-ray emitting gas mass within the radius of 10 kpc for the early type galaxy sample of Babyk et al. (2018b). The scatter increases at low masses, where the CO emission is weak and the measurements are noisy. The positive trend suggests that molecular gas is related to and perhaps cooled from the hot atmospheres.

Similar results were found for hot atmospheres surrounding central galaxies in galaxy clusters. Rafferty et al. (2008) and Cavagnolo et al. (2008) have shown that star formation, Hα emission, and radio loudness ensue when the central cooling time and atmospheric entropy fall below approximately 10^9 years and about 30 keV cm^2, respectively. The sharp cooling time threshold at $\approx 10^9$ years ties radio/mechanical feedback to cooling hot atmospheres.

Finally, the mass of molecular gas in ellipticals and central cluster galaxies is apparently related to both the mass and cooling time of their hot atmospheres. Babyk et al. (2018b) combined the ellipticals from ATLAS^{3D} with the study of central cluster galaxies by Pulido et al. (2018). Figure 10 shows a trend between the molecular gas mass and atmospheric mass within the radius of 10 kpc. The scatter is large and apparently increases in the ellipticals and early spirals. Some scatter is related to the early spirals where molecular gas is located in disks. These systems contain elevated levels of molecular gas for a given atmospheric mass. The overall trend suggests that molecular gas and atmospheric gas are related to each other. In central cluster galaxies this is almost certainly due to atmospheric cooling. This also appears to be true for early type galaxies.

3.3 Thermally Unstable Cooling

The thermal stability of hot atmospheres is a topic of great importance to the evolution of clusters and galaxies. Hot atmospheres with short central cooling

times were long ago shown to be thermally unstable (Fabian and Nulsen 1977; Mathews and Bregman 1978), and likely condensing from overdense gas parcels (Nulsen 1986). Others argued that small perturbations in a stably stratified atmospheres are thermally stable (Malagoli et al. 1987; Balbus and Soker 1989). Pizzolato and Soker (2005) have shown that thermally unstable cooling should proceed in atmospheres from preexisting density perturbations. The perturbations are assumed to be non-linear, seeded by the passage of an earlier radio jet. The density perturbations cool into molecular clouds feeding star formation and the nuclear black hole.

Later work by McCourt et al. (2011) showed that in a plane-parallel atmosphere, cooling parcels of gas are stabilized by buoyancy. Thermally unstable cooling ensues from linear perturbations when the local value of the ratio of thermal instability timescale to the local free-fall timescale approaches unity. This condition is never satisfied in the atmospheres of clusters (Hogan et al. 2017b) or elliptical galaxies (Babyk et al. 2018a; Lakhchaura et al. 2018), where the $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ ratios lie well above unity. McCourt et al. (2012) and Sharma et al. (2012) went on to suggest that in a realistic, spherical atmosphere, thermally unstable cooling would proceed from low-amplitude, linear density perturbations when the ratio of the cooling time to the free-fall timescales falls below 10. This potentially exciting result opened the door to subsequent studies that likewise suggested that thermally unstable cooling ensues in atmospheres when $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff} \lesssim 10$ (Gaspari et al. 2012, 2013; Voit and Donahue 2015; Voit et al. 2015b,c,a; Prasad et al. 2015).

The conjecture that thermally unstable cooling ensues from linear density perturbations when $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff} \lesssim 10$ was explored in several studies (McNamara et al. 2016; Hogan et al. 2017b; Pulido et al. 2018). The most extensive test was conducted on a large sample of clusters by Hogan et al. (2017b). Close attention was paid to resolution effects (Panagoulia et al. 2014), and to the difficult problem of measuring mass profiles into the cores of clusters (Hogan et al. 2017a). They found that the ratio of $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ rarely if ever falls below 10. Systems with the signatures of thermally unstable cooling, including star formation and molecular clouds, have central $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ values lying between 10 and 35. These values lie well above the classical threshold $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff} < 1$ and significantly above 10. No correlations between the minimum value of $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ and molecular gas mass (Pulido et al. 2018), Hα emission (Cavagnolo et al. 2008), or star formation (Hogan et al. 2017b; Prasad et al. 2017) were found. Only a threshold is observed: systems contain nebular emission, molecular gas, and star formation when the central cooling time falls below 10^9 yr. These conclusions also follow for giant elliptical and early spiral atmospheres in Babyk et al. (2018a), Lakhchaura et al. (2018), and Babyk et al. (2018b).

The theoretical motivation for the $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff} \lesssim 10$ threshold is unclear. Sharma et al. (2012) suggested that in realistic, three dimensional atmospheres the threshold for thermally unstable cooling rises upward from unity by an order of magnitude to a value of 10. However, the more recent study by Choudhury and Sharma (2016) did not confirm this conjecture.

That is not to say that the ratio $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ is irrelevant. Observation shows that the cooling time is driving the ratio. While mass profiles of central cluster galaxies are remarkably similar, the cooling time profiles are not. Central values of atmospheric cooling time span a decade, but the free-fall times lie within a factor of 2 of each other (Hogan et al. 2017b). Systems with lower values of $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ have shorter atmospheric cooling times and are thus prone to thermally unstable cooling. Nevertheless, the farther from unity this ratio lies, the longer the cooling time, and thus the more thermally stable the atmosphere.

How do you satisfy $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff} \lesssim 1$ in atmospheres where the average radial value always exceeds 10? Two mechanisms have been proposed: 1) lifting gas in the updrafts of rising radio bubbles (McNamara et al. 2016), and atmospheric turbulence (Voit 2018; Gaspari et al. 2018). Both approaches amount to much the same thing: cooling parcels of gas near the nucleus of the galaxy are lifted to higher altitudes where the free-fall time, or more realistically the infall time (see McNamara et al. 2016), is increased until the ratio approaches unity. In the first instance gas is lifted directly. In the second it is lifted and circulated in turbulent eddies.

X-ray and sub-millimeter observations have connected uplift behind rising bubbles to the production and/or displacement of molecular clouds in BCGs (Salomé et al. 2011; Russell et al. 2017). The situation in central group galaxies and non-BCG giant ellipticals is less clear but likely the same process is occurring there (see Fig. 7; David et al. 2014; Werner et al. 2014; Randall et al. 2015). Any dynamical event, such as a merger or gas sloshing, that displaces thermally unstable gas may stimulate cooling through direct uplift or by generating turbulence.

The question then becomes: which mechanism is more efficient? Turbulence must be generated and sustained by an action. The most important sustained action is AGN feedback (Voit 2018; Gaspari et al. 2018), which also drives uplift. Therefore, it is not clear whether turbulence would be the primary mechanism driving thermally unstable cooling. Turbulence would probably enhance the effects of direct uplift, which has been shown by observation to be remarkably efficient. On the other hand, turbulence at some level will be present in all atmospheres. Understanding its relationship to thermally unstable cooling is a new and potentially important theoretical development.

The minimum value of the $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ profile may be less important than its shape. The mass profiles of the inner regions of central galaxies are best modeled as isothermal spheres (Churazov et al. 2010a; Lyskova et al. 2014; Hogan et al. 2017a). Their entropy profiles are likewise remarkably similar, with $K \propto R^{2/3}$ power law shape in the inner $10-20$ kpc or so, and $K \propto R^{1.1}$ in the atmosphere beyond (Panagoulia et al. 2014). The $K \propto R^{2/3}$ form is a general property of the atmospheres of centrals in clusters, giant ellipticals and early spirals (Babyk et al. 2018c, see also Sect. 3.4). Hogan et al. (2017a) pointed out that an isothermal potential and a flat (constant) $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ profile naturally leads to the $K \propto R^{2/3}$ form. Indeed, in the few systems where $t_{\rm cool}/t_{\rm ff}$ profiles are resolved in their centers they are flat or nearly so. It is in




Fig. 11 The deprojected radial entropy profiles fitted by a broken power law model from Babyk et al. (2018a). The error bars were omitted for clarity. Beyond approximately $0.1R_{2500}$ the entropy is distributed as $R^{1.1}$ and the atmosphere is thermally stable, while at smaller radii the entropy distribution is flatter, $R^{0.67}$, and the gas is prone to thermal instabilities.

this region where molecular clouds and star formation are observed and where the atmosphere is prone to thermally unstable cooling. It seems that feedback, likely mechanical AGN feedback, stabilizes atmospheres in a way that leads to these general thermodynamic profiles. This statement may be tested in the future using numerical simulations.

3.4 The Thermodynamic Similarity of Galactic Atmospheres

The central thermodynamic profiles of the hot atmospheres of galaxies, groups, and clusters of galaxies show a remarkable similarity. Notably, the entropy profiles follow a form $K \propto r^{\alpha}$ with α in the range $\approx 0.5 - 1.1$ between 1–30 kpc (Babyk et al. 2018a; Lakhchaura et al. 2018). Babyk et al. (2018a) show that the entropy profiles of all systems can be fit with broken power-laws with $\alpha \approx 2/3$ within $\approx 0.1R_{2500}$ and $\alpha \approx 1$ at larger radii (see Fig. 11). The gas entropy in the outer parts of hot atmospheres is determined primarily by gravitational collapse and follows $K \propto r^{1.1}$ (Tozzi and Norman 2001; Voit et al. 2005). The entropy in the vicinity of the central galaxy at $r \lesssim 15$ kpc is significantly higher than expected from such gravitational heating, indicating additional heat input from the AGN (Werner et al. 2012; Babyk et al. 2018a).

Surprisingly, the inner thermodynamic properties of galaxies of all types from massive spirals, through giant ellipticals, central group and cluster galaxies, can be described by similar profiles (Babyk et al. 2018a). The similarity of

the profiles across orders of magnitude in halo mass and jet power is even more remarkable given the fact that the hot gas mass of $\approx 10^9\ M_\odot$ within $\lesssim 10$ kpc is only $\approx 1\%$ of the stellar mass within the same radius. It indicates that the AGN feedback, which maintains an approximate balance between heating and cooling, is gentle. Babyk et al. (2018a) argue that the AGN heating per gas particle is higher in elliptical galaxies than in central cluster galaxies. Such excess heating may explain why most early type galaxies are red and dead with star formation rates below 0.1 $M_\odot$ yr^{-1} while some central cluster galaxies are forming stars.

4 Accretion onto Supermassive Black Holes in Elliptical Galaxies

4.1 Switching from Radiatively Efficient to Mechanically Efficient Accretion

The so-called Soltan argument (Soltan 1982) suggests that most supermassive black holes (SMBHs) have accumulated their masses via radiatively efficient accretion. However, most black holes observed at $z \approx 0$ in the centers of giant elliptical galaxies are faint in optical, UV, and X-ray. This is true despite strong evidence that their energy output is able to keep the gas hot even in the most massive clusters. The faintness of SMBHs at the present epoch against the requirement they provide an average energy input of $10^{44} - 10^{45}$ erg s^{-1} in massive clusters appears contradictory.

This contradiction can be resolved by two different scenarios. In the first scenario, black holes are dormant most of the time at $z \approx 0$. They are active for short periods when their accretion rates are high and most of the energy is released, either mechanically or radiatively. In the second scenario, the mass accretion rate is small, but quasi-continuous. The SMBH experiences radiatively-inefficient accretion, as predicted by a broad class of models. We discuss the second hypothesis below, which postulates that the heating rate of the gas is described by the following simple expression (Churazov et al. 2005)

$$H(\dot{M}, M_{\rm BH}) = c^2 \dot{M} \left[\alpha_{\rm r}\epsilon_{\rm r}(\dot{m}) + \alpha_{\rm m}\epsilon_{\rm m}(\dot{m})\right], \qquad (1)$$

where $\dot{m} = \dot{M}/\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}(M_{\rm BH})$. The functions $\epsilon_{\rm r}(\dot{m})$ and $\epsilon_{\rm m}(\dot{m})$ characterize the efficiency of the transformation of the accreted mass into radiation and mechanical energy, respectively. The constants $\alpha_{\rm r}$ and $\alpha_{\rm m}$ are the AGN-gas coupling constants, i.e., they specify the fractions of the SMBH radiative and mechanical outputs that are eventually dissipated as heat. The key assumption here is that $\alpha_{\rm m} \gg \alpha_{\rm r}$. In the conditions relevant for present epoch ellipticals, $\alpha_{\rm r} \approx O(10^{-4})$ (e.g. Sazonov et al. 2004, 2005), while $\alpha_{\rm m} \approx O(1)$ (see §5). The direct implication of this assumption is that in order to compensate for gas cooling losses one needs much smaller accretion rates in the regime where most of the energy is released mechanically.

The largest uncertainties in the problem are associated with the functions $\epsilon_{\rm r}(\dot{m})$ and $\epsilon_{\rm m}(\dot{m})$. Theoretical arguments (e.g. Ichimaru 1977; Rees et al. 1982; Narayan and Yi 1994) suggest that for accretion rates much smaller than the




Fig. 12 Possible evolutionary track of a gas-SMBH system from redshift $z \approx 2-3$ to 0, for the heating rate, described by eq. 1 (adapted from Churazov et al. 2005). The horizontal axis gives the mass-accretion rate in Eddington units, while the vertical axis gives the energy release, heating and cooling rates in the same units. The colored dashed curves show the radiative ($\propto \dot{m} \times \epsilon_{\rm r}(\dot{m})$; red) and mechanical ($\propto \dot{m} \times \epsilon_{\rm m}(\dot{m})$; blue) energy releases as a function of $\dot{m}$, respectively. The corresponding radiative and mechanical heating rates (the thick red and blue lines) can be obtained by multiplying these curves by $\alpha_{\rm r}$ and $\alpha_{\rm m}$, respectively. The total heating rate is shown with the black solid line. For comparison, the horizontal dashed lines show the gas cooling rate in the Eddington units (from top to bottom for a small, intermediate and large black hole masses). The non-monotonic behavior of the heating rate suggests the following evolutionary scenario. When the mass of the black hole is too small (upper horizontal line), the feedback from the black hole is not able to compensate for gas cooling losses and the black hole is in the QSO stage with a near-critical accretion rate, high radiative efficiency, and weak feedback. As the black hole grows, it moves down in this plot. The black solid dot marks the termination of this stage, when the black hole is first able to offset gas cooling, despite the low gas heating efficiency. The lower cooling line illustrates present-day ellipticals: a stable solution exists at low accretion rates when mechanical feedback from the black hole compensates gas cooling losses. The radiative efficiency of accretion is very low and the black hole growth rate is very slow, yet the system finds itself in point "A", where the cooling is balanced by heating, while the AGN appears as a faint source. The major uncertainty in this plot is the mechanical heating rate. For instance, the magenta dash-dotted line shows the mechanical heating rate adopted in Ciotti et al. (2017), for which the black hole has to accrete more mass in order to offset gas cooling.

Eddington rate, i.e., $\dot{m} \ll 1$, the radiative efficiency, $\epsilon_r(\dot{m})$ decreases strongly below the canonical value of $O(0.1)$ for radiatively efficient flows, for $\dot{m} \approx 0.01-1$ (e.g. Merloni et al. 2003). The dependence of $\dot{m}\times\epsilon_{\rm r}(\dot{m})$ on $\dot{m}$ motivated by these arguments is shown in Fig. 12 by the dashed red line. As for the mechanical energy release, a simple ad hoc assumption that the total energy release efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm m}(\dot{m})+\epsilon_{\rm r}(\dot{m}) \approx$ const was adopted in Churazov et al. (2005) (see blue dashed line in Fig. 12 showing $\dot{m} \times \epsilon_{\rm m}(\dot{m})$). Once $\epsilon_{\rm r}(\dot{m})$, $\epsilon_{\rm m}(\dot{m})$, $\alpha_{\rm r}$, $\alpha_{\rm m}$ are specified, their contributions to the total heating rate can be estimated from eq. (1) as shown by the thick red and blue lines in Fig. 12). The total heating rate (the sum of the radiative and mechanical contributions) is shown by the solid black line, which overlaps with the thick blue line at highly sub-Eddington accretion rates.

The non-monotonic behavior of the black curve suggests that for sufficiently massive black holes two solutions exist where AGN heating compensates for the gas cooling losses (see points "A" and "B" in Fig. 12). The solution "A" corresponds to a slow, radiatively inefficient accretion flow and correspondingly slow growth of the black hole. This is likely what is happening in present day ellipticals, groups and clusters. The solution "B" provides the same heating rate, but at much larger accretion rate, with a much brighter AGN, and a rapidly growing black hole (see Churazov et al. 2005, for a discussion). If the mass of the black hole is too small for a given rate of gas cooling losses, there is no solution with heating $\approx$ cooling at any accretion rate (see the uppermost horizontal dashed line that shows the cooling rate in the black hole Eddington units). We assume that in this case, the gas cools unchecked, the accretion rate is very high, and the black hole grows rapidly. It is tempting to associate this regime with the QSO-like phase of SMBH evolution. This phase continues until the BH accumulates sufficient mass or the gas cooling rate in the system drops substantially. Then even for a small radiative coupling constant $\alpha_{\rm r}$ the gas heating overcomes cooling losses and the black hole switches to the low accretion rate (and slow growth) regime of type "A". There it is nevertheless able to compensate for the gas cooling losses due to the high AGN-gas coupling efficiency $\alpha_{\rm m}$.

To summarize, in this model, the phase of radiatively efficient accretion and rapid SMBH growth at early times is naturally followed by a radiatively inefficient phase at late times, when a combination of a much lower accretion rate is compensated by efficient coupling of mechanical energy output to the gas. This scenario relies on the assumptions that $\alpha_{\rm m} \approx O(1)$ and $\epsilon_{\rm m}(\dot{m}) \approx 0.1$ for $\dot{m} \ll 1$. If different prescriptions are used, the system may evolve differently. For instance, Ciotti et al. (2017) adopted smaller efficiency of the mechanical energy release, shown with the magenta dash-dotted line in Fig. 12, which lies much below the thick blue line. This means that higher accretion rate is needed in the Ciotti et al. model to prevent cooling of the same gaseous atmosphere.

This scenario is supported by observation, as summarized in Fig. 13. Systems that may be in transition between the radiative and mechanical mode have been identified at the centers of several clusters (Russell et al. 2010; Siemiginowska et al. 2005, 2010; Russell et al. 2013). These systems have ex-




Fig. 13 The required mean accretion rate scaled by the Eddington rate, $\dot{M}/\dot{M}_{\rm Edd}$, plotted against the cavity power (blue circles) and radiative power (red triangles) scaled by the Eddington luminosity (from Russell et al. 2013).

tensive X-ray cavities, indicating mechanical feedback occurring over the past hundred million years or so. At the same time they host active quasars. Because the quasar emission is prompt compared to the much older, mechanically-powered bubbles, the data suggest that accreting massive black holes switch modes as the specific accretion rate transitions between the radio and quasar modes.

Assuming the nuclear black hole masses can be inferred from the host galaxy's luminosity and stellar velocity dispersion, the Eddington ratio may be estimated from the accretion rate derived from the sum of the AGN's radiative and mechanical power. Observation shows that as the specific accretion rate rises, the ratio of the AGN's radiation power to mechanical power rises (Russell et al. 2013). AGN power becomes dominated by radiation when $\dot{m}/\dot{m}_{\rm Edd} \geqslant 0.01$, as suggested by Churazov et al. (2005). However, this behavior is seen only in central galaxies of distant clusters. It has not yet been observed, to our knowledge, in a non-BCG at low redshift.

4.2 AGN with Low Accretion Rates in Giant Ellipticals

In principle, the hot atmospheres of giant ellipticals naturally provide a steady supply of fuel to the AGN in their centres. Assuming a matter to radiation conversion efficiency of $\epsilon \approx 10\%$, the observed AGN luminosities in ellipticals are usually several orders of magnitude below the predictions of the simple Bondi model (Bondi 1952). However, most of the accretion power in giant ellipticals is likely converted into jets, the power of which inferred from the observed X-ray cavities is comparable to the Bondi values, within a factor of a few (e.g. Böhringer et al. 2002; Churazov et al. 2002; Di Matteo et al. 2003).

Allen et al. (2006) used *Chandra* observations of nine nearby giant elliptical galaxies to determine the Bondi accretion rates calculated from the observed atmospheric gas temperature and density profiles. Black hole masses were inferred from optical velocity dispersion measurements. Jet energy and power were measured from the pV work and time-scales required to inflate X-ray cavities in the surrounding hot atmospheres. Allen et al. (2006) found a tight, power law correlation between the Bondi accretion and jet powers. Their result indicates that a significant fraction, a few percent, of the rest mass energy of the accreted material emerges in jets. Moreover, the existence of the correlation would suggest that despite the likely presence of magnetic pressure and angular momentum in the accreting gas, the Bondi formulae provide a reasonable description of the accretion process in these systems.

Such measurements are, however, difficult. The Bondi radius of most systems in the Allen et al. (2006) sample are unresolved by *Chandra*. Gas density and temperature measurements involve extrapolations across a radial range of nearly a decade. Furthermore, the estimates of jet power based on radio data and X-ray imaging also have factors of several uncertainties. Using a sample of 12 nearby systems, Russell et al. (2013) did not confirm the correlation.

The X-ray emitting hot gas within the Bondi radius can currently only be resolved in a handful of systems, which include NGC 3115 (Wong et al. 2011, 2014) and M 87 (Russell et al. 2015, 2018). Very deep (1 Ms) *Chandra* observations of NGC 3115 indicate shallow density profiles of $\rho \propto r^{-1}$ and the presence of multi-phase gas spanning a temperature range of 0.3–1 keV at the Bondi radius of the central black hole. The coolest temperature component is located in the central 150 pc; it may be circulating and cooling toward a disk region. For a constant inflow rate the expected density profile is $\rho \propto r^{-1.5}$. Outflows, would decrease the inflow rate with the decreasing radius (e.g. Yuan and Narayan 2014), which would flatten the density distribution to $\rho \propto r^{-0.5-1}$. The observed shallow density profile is consistent with most of the inflowing material being ejected before it reaches the event horizon.

Perhaps the most illuminating observational study of the accretion of the hot X-ray emitting galactic atmosphere onto the central AGN has been performed on M 87 by Russell et al. (2018). The mass of the supermassive black hole in M 87, estimated using the analyses of gas-dynamics and stellar-dynamics, is $M_{\rm BH} = 3 - 6 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ (Gebhardt et al. 2011; Walsh et al. 2013). This corresponds to a Bondi radius $r_{\rm B} = 0.12 - 0.22$ kpc (1.5–2.8 arcsec). The

dedicated 300 ks *Chandra* observation, carried out with a short frame time of 0.4 s and using a subarray to minimise pileup from the bright jet, resolves the density profile inside $r_{\rm B}$ in three azimuths. The density gradient is steep in sectors to the N and S (perpendicular to the jet), with $\rho \propto r^{-1.5\pm0.1}$, and significantly shallower along the jet axis to the E, where $\rho \propto r^{-0.93\pm0.07}$. This density structure is consistent with steady inflows perpendicular to the jets and an outflow along the jet axis. However, Russell et al. (2018) argue, that the actual inflow speed $v_{\rm r} < 8 \pm 2$ km s^{-1}, which rules out the Bondi accretion. The gas flow is subsonic and must be supported by pressure or rotation. The estimated spherical mass inflow rate $\dot{m}_{\rm acc} < 0.010 \pm 0.003$ $M_\odot$ yr^{-1}, which is at least an order of magnitude less than the the Bondi rate of 0.1–0.5 $M_\odot$ yr^{-1}. Assuming an efficiency of 10%, this accretion rate limit could still supply about an order of magnitude more power than is required for the observed AGN activity. However, the majority of the inflowing material is either blown away by the outflow along the jet or may be consumed by star formation (see McNamara and O'Connell 1989) before it reaches the black hole.

Russell et al. (2018) also point out that within the gravitational sphere of influence of the central supermassive black hole in M 87, the hot gas is multiphase and spans temperatures from 0.2 to 1 keV. The radiative cooling time of the lowest temperature gas drops to only 0.1–0.5 Myr, which is comparable to its free fall time. The multi-phase hot atmosphere at the centre of M 87 most probably cools catastrophically within the Bondi radius to form a mini cooling flow.

Rapid cooling should produce in dense, cool, gas condensations that decouple from the hot atmosphere. If this cooling gas has an angular momentum then it will feed into the cold gas disk within the innermost $r \approx 80$ pc around the nucleus (Ford et al. 1994). Strong limits on the current accretion rate in a geometrically thin disk are found by Prieto et al. (2016). Some of the coolest X-ray emitting gas is spatially coincident with optical emission line nebulae, which might trace even colder gas phases. Narrow band Hα+[NII] images suggest that some ionized gas might also be reaching the nucleus from larger radii in inflows approximately perpendicular to the jets (Ford and Butcher 1979; Sparks et al. 1993; Werner et al. 2010; Boselli et al. 2018). While the steady hot inflow of volume-filling gas might be sufficient to power the jets seen today in M 87, clumpy cooler gas with a small volume filling fraction could reach the core episodically, potentially triggering significantly larger outbursts.

These approximate conditions may pertain to other giant elliptical galaxies. While accretion from hot atmospheres would provide ample fuel to most low power, relatively quiescent giant ellipticals, even at substantially reduced accretion rates with respect to the Bondi rate, it is insufficient to power the strong radio-jets in clusters exceeding $\approx 10^{45}$ erg s^{-1} (McNamara et al. 2011; Nemmen and Tchekhovskoy 2015). These systems may require a supplementary inflow from cold gas accretion (Pizzolato and Soker 2005; Gaspari et al. 2013) or additional power from black hole spin energy (McNamara et al. 2011).

5 How do AGN Heat Galactic Atmospheres?

5.1 Heating by Radiatively Efficient AGN

In this section we outline a basic physical picture of the heating of galactic and cluster atmospheres by AGN (see, e.g. Fabian 2012; McNamara and Nulsen 2012; Vikhlinin et al. 2014; Soker 2016, for recent reviews). We do not consider the role of supernovae and stellar winds, which become progressively more important as the mass of the halo decreases (see, e.g. Naab and Ostriker 2017, for a recent review) and we do not discuss the very rich field of numerical modeling of the AGN-gas interaction.

Elliptical galaxies represent an important class of objects in-between galaxy clusters and normal galaxies. As such they offer us a possibility to extend the lessons on the AGN feedback from galaxy clusters to the less massive systems and potentially to all spheroids. At the same time, there are significant differences between elliptical galaxies and clusters that allow one to test the AGN feedback models in more extreme conditions. These differences include i) larger ratio of the black hole mass to the total atmospheric gas mass and ii) lower virial temperature of the atmosphere. The former difference implies that the energy released by the black hole could potentially expel the gas from the entire galaxy, while the latter allows for a more rapid cooling of the metal-rich gas, especially in low-mass ellipticals. It appears that both factors play a role, depending on the mass of the system and its evolutionary history.

Accretion of matter onto a SMBH can produce copious amounts of radiation and powerful jets/outflows, which in terms of energy release greatly exceed the gas radiative cooling losses in individual galaxies. Therefore, both flavors of the AGN feedback (radiative and/or mechanical) could be considered.

The radiation couples to the gas via photoionization of electrons and by interactions of photons with electrons via the Compton effect. The Compton temperature of electrons $T_{\rm C}$ depends only on the shape of the AGN spectrum and can be of the order 2×10^7 K (Sazonov et al. 2004). This value is higher than the virial temperature of a giant elliptical galaxy, implying that the radiative output of the AGN can drive the gas out of the galaxy if the gas cooling losses are small enough, so that the gas temperature can attain $T_{\rm C}$. For the present-day ellipticals, the overall efficiency of capturing the radiation is not high, partly due to the small Thomson depth of the gas in ellipticals and due to inefficient energy transfer from photons to electrons. However, during powerful (radiative) AGN outbursts the impact of the radiation can still be significant (e.g. Sazonov et al. 2005; Ciotti and Ostriker 2007; Ciotti et al. 2017). The theory of accretion onto black holes suggests that in order to have high radiative efficiency the mass accretion rate should not be very far from the Eddington level $\approx 2 M_\odot$ yr^{-1} $\left(\frac{M_{BH}}{10^8\ M_\odot}\right)$. In the radiative feedback models, the AGN spends only a fraction of time in a radiatively-bright high-accretion-rate mode, while most of the time the accretion rate is small and the AGN is faint. The overall AGN-gas coupling efficiency in the present-day

giant ellipticals is relatively low and most of the released energy escapes the system.

5.2 Mechanical Heating by Radio AGN

The mechanical energy released by the AGN can have many orders of magnitude higher coupling efficiency with the gas and therefore allows for a quasi-steady solution at much lower accretion rates (e.g. Churazov et al. 2005). The energetics of jets and outflows from radio-bright AGNs living in elliptical galaxies (either in BCGs or in isolated galaxies) has long been appreciated (e.g. Pedlar et al. 1990; Bicknell and Begelman 1996; Reynolds et al. 1996).

Early suggestions of the impact of SMBHs on the gas in ellipticals include, e.g. Binney and Tabor (1995); Silk and Rees (1998). In Binney and Tabor (1995) the role of jets was to prevent catastrophic cooling in the core of the galaxy by episodic outbursts of AGN activity, but not necessarily leading to reheating of the entire atmosphere, while Silk and Rees (1998) argued for a more powerful outflow that is able to accelerate the entire baryonic gaseous atmosphere to the escape velocity of the halo. Effectively, the latter scenario requires an amount of energy comparable to the thermal energy of the gas to be released during the sound crossing time of the system.

Observations of nearby galaxy clusters suggested yet another scenario, in which AGN provide a quasi-steady injection of energy that on average compensates the gas cooling losses and maintains a long-lived atmosphere in quasi-equilibrium. A combination of radio and X-ray data revealed a close correspondence between radio-bright lobes produced by jets and depressions in the X-ray surface brightness (e.g., Boehringer et al. 1993; Bohringer et al. 1995; Sarazin et al. 1995), showing that AGN do perturb the gas. When the energy is suddenly injected by jets into an unperturbed gaseous atmosphere (assuming for simplicity that the energy injection is isotropic) it drives a strong shock that compresses and heats the gas (e.g. Heinz et al. 1998). However, when the energy input is steady then the expansion eventually becomes subsonic and most of the energy does not go into heat, but is stored as the enthalpy of the inflated bubble $H = \frac{\gamma_b}{\gamma_p - 1} P_b V_b$, where V_b is the volume of the bubble, $P_b \approx P_{\rm gas}$ is the pressure inside the bubble and γ_b is the adiabatic index of the medium inside the bubble ($\gamma_b = 4/3$ if the bubble is filled with relativistic plasma). The configuration with a hot and low density bubble located at the bottom of the potential well filled with colder/denser gas is of course unstable (e.g. Gull and Northover 1973). Eventually the motions induced by buoyancy forces overcome the expansion velocity and the bubble starts rising in the potential well.

These arguments provided the first robust estimates of AGN mechanical power in the Perseus and Hydra A clusters (Churazov et al. 2000; McNamara et al. 2000). The derived power turned out to be comparable to the total gas cooling losses. This conclusion has been confirmed and extended for objects




Fig. 14 X-ray images of NGC 4636 (left, from Jones et al. 2002) and NGC 5813 (right, from Randall et al. 2015), showing X-ray emitting gas being strongly perturbed by the AGN energy release.

spanning a wide range of masses and cooling luminosities, including elliptical galaxies (e.g. Bîrzan et al. 2004; Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2012). Despite substantial (and inevitable) uncertainties in the estimated power this exercise shows that jets/outflows can provide enough power to keep the gas hot. We note here that the above procedure yields a lower limit on the released energy. Indeed, when radiative losses and leakage are negligible, the energy required to inflate a radio lobe is the sum of its internal energy and the pdV work it does on its surroundings. Using the enthalpy estimates the latter as pV. The total pdV work generally exceeds pV because the pressure the lobe expands against decreases with time. In part, that may be because the early expansion is supersonic (so that the lobe pressure exceeds the pressure of the unperturbed gas) and the pressure in a stratified atmosphere is a decreasing function of the radius. Similar clear signs of a powerful mechanical feedback are seen not only in clusters, but in groups and massive early type galaxies too. Two spectacular examples of elliptical galaxies heavily affected by activity of the SMBHs are shown in Fig. 14.

5.3 AGN Energy Dissipation in the Atmosphere

Let us reiterate that in the quasi-continuous injection scenario, most of the energy goes into the enthalpy of the inflated bubble, rather than into immediate heating of the gas, as sometimes stated. The energy is stored instead as the thermal energy of the bubble $E_t = \dfrac{1}{\gamma_p - 1} P_b V_b$ and the potential energy of the displaced gas $E_p = P_b V_b$. However, as the bubble rises through the atmosphere, all this energy will be released to the ambient thermal gas (Churazov et al. 2001, 2002; Begelman 2001). Different physical processes could contribute to the energy transfer, including generation of turbulence in the wake of the bubble; viscous dissipation; uplift of the low entropy gas, which is entrained by the rising bubble; excitation of internal waves, etc. We return to

this question at the end of this Section. However, the basic conclusion that the coupling efficiency of the buoyantly rising bubbles to the gaseous atmosphere is close to 100% holds, since it is governed by energy conservation.

This is clear from the following simple consideration. Imagine an essentially massless (filled with relativistic particles) bubble rising in an atmosphere at a steady terminal velocity. The buoyancy force has to be compensated by a drag acting on the bubble $F_{\rm drag} \approx F_{\rm buoyancy} = g\rho_{\rm gas}V_{\rm b}$. It means that the work done by the bubble while rising along the radius by Δr, $E \approx g\rho_{\rm gas}V_b\Delta r$ is equal to the change of the bubble potential energy. That would be the case for a bubble whose volume does not change as it rises. In fact, if the bubble stays in pressure equilibrium with the ambient gas, then the volume $V_{\rm b}$ changes accordingly, extracting thermal energy from the relativistic particles so that this energy is also used. In other words, the energy gained by the gas near the bubble equals the decrease in the bubble enthalpy (this is simply energy conservation for the internal energy, while the additional pV in the enthalpy represents gravitational potential in the atmosphere that is also released to the gas). Therefore, for an adiabatic bubble with pressure p, the enthalpy is proportional to $p^{(\gamma_b-1)/\gamma_b}$. For $\gamma_b = 4/3$ (bubble filled with relativistic particles), the exponent of the pressure is 0.25, so that pressure would need to decrease by a factor of 16 for the bubble to transfer half the available energy to the gas (see Fig, 13 in Forman et al. 2017). This is equivalent to crossing $\log 16 \approx 2.8$ scale heights (and less than 2 scale heights for $\gamma_b = 5/3$).

Even after the energy is transferred to the gas, it might need to go through a few more steps before it is dissipated as heat (e.g., bubbles $\rightarrow$ turbulent gas motions $\rightarrow$ dissipation). Despite these extra steps, there are good reasons to believe that in the majority of plausible scenarios only a small fraction of energy escapes the system. For instance, internal waves that could be excited in stratified atmospheres are trapped because of the decline of the buoyancy frequency with radius (Balbus and Soker 1990). The same is true (for different reasons) for many other forms of perturbations generated by the AGN. Therefore one can make an almost assumption-independent statement, that all energy provided by jets and outflows will eventually be dissipated in the gas and will not escape from the system, thus ensuring high efficiency of the mechanical AGN feedback.

The above statement does not eliminate the need to identify physical processes that lead to the dissipation of the energy provided by AGN. To answer this question from first principles one needs comprehensive models of weakly collisional plasma, including kinetic effects, and of the jet, which are not yet available. Therefore various simplifying assumptions are adopted, making this field an active area of research.

5.4 Heating by Strong Shocks

One obvious way of heating the gas is by driving a strong shock through it. Shocks, driven by AGN activity, are indeed observed in many elliptical galaxies

(e.g. Jones et al. 2002; Baldi et al. 2009; Machacek et al. 2006; Forman et al. 2007; Randall et al. 2015). Clear examples of multiple shocks (albeit weak ones) in NGC 4636 and NGC 5813 are shown in Fig. 14. The shock heating is a direct way of increasing the gas entropy, provided that the Mach number is high enough, since for weak shocks the increase of entropy at the shock front scales as the pressure difference between the pre- and post-shock regions to the 3rd power. In contrast to the quasi-steady energy-injection scenario, outlined above, the strong-shock model would reach the highest efficiency if the energy is released in short outbursts, separated by quiescent periods, long enough so that the shock-heated gas is evacuated by buoyancy from the central region and is replaced by the fresh low-entropy gas. Strong shocks can be associated, for example, with the momentum-dominated outflows that produce shock-heated gas at the jet/outflow termination point and form a cavity filled with this gas (e.g. King 2003). Efficient shock heating can also be achieved in a scenario with a spherically symmetric energy-driven outburst, provided that a large amount of energy E is released from a small region in a time interval much shorter than the sound crossing time of a volume containing an amount of thermal energy comparable to E (see, e.g. Heinz et al. 1998; Reynolds et al. 2015; Tang and Churazov 2017). This corresponds to an "instantaneous" explosion when about 90% of the released energy goes into shock-heating (Tang and Churazov 2017).

Alternatively, one can assume that the AGN is directly supplying very hot, nonrelativistic plasma. Let us assume that i) the net outcome of the AGN activity is the formation of cocoons/cavities (or strongly shocked envelopes), in which energy density is dominated by very hot (but nonrelativistic) plasma and ii) this plasma can mix with the ambient (lower entropy) gas. This case corresponds to the "entrainment and mixing" scenario (e.g. Brüggen and Kaiser 2002; Omma et al. 2004; Soker and Pizzolato 2005; Brighenti and Mathews 2006; Gaspari et al. 2012; Reynolds et al. 2015; Hillel and Soker 2016). Once the hot and ambient gases are mixed on small scales, Coulomb collisions redistribute the energy between all particles. The ability of very hot particles to share their energy with the rest of the gas is an important ingredient of the mixing scenario, implying that these particles (at least the protons) are not relativistic. In principle, the presence (or the lack) of thermal gas inside the cavities could be tested with SZ-effect observations (e.g. Pfrommer et al. 2005; Prokhorov et al. 2010; Abdulla et al. 2018). The question whether the cavities mix with the ambient gas on microscopic scales, or the interface is somehow stabilized is still open (e.g. Reynolds et al. 2005; Ruszkowski et al. 2007). If mixing happens only on macroscopic scales then the heating is not due to the energy exchange between particles and other mechanisms (discussed below) are responsible for the eventual dissipation.

5.5 Heating by Weak Shocks & Sound Waves

A more gentle version of heating could be achieved with weak shocks (e.g. Mathews et al. 2006; Randall et al. 2011), which does not require mixing, because the heating is distributed and particles change their energies by a small factor and the redistribution of energy is not required. However, some fine-tuning is needed if one wants to channel most of the energy, released by the AGN into weak shocks. Even more gentle is the scenario of heating the gas with sound waves (Fabian et al. 2003, 2006, 2017). The heating by sounds waves relies on conduction or viscosity as the energy dissipation mechanism, operating when the waves propagate radially from the central region (Ruszkowski et al. 2004; Fabian et al. 2006; Zweibel et al. 2018). Once again, an important question is how to channel a significant fraction of the AGN energy into sound waves. For an instantaneous spherically-symmetric outburst this fraction does not exceed $\approx$12.5% and is smaller for a quasi-continuous explosion (Tang and Churazov 2017), although it is plausible that for a collimated momentum-dominated jet/outflow this fraction can be larger.

5.6 Cosmic Ray Heating

Yet another "mixing" scenario involves cosmic rays. If most of the AGN energy is first stored in the form of relativistic protons, which can mix with the ambient gas (or leak) from lobes/cocoons (e.g. Enßlin 2003; Ruszkowski et al. 2008), then the question arises how those protons couple with the thermal gas, given that the lifetime of relativistic protons (CRps) is longer than the Hubble time (e.g. Völk et al. 1996). There are three possibilities: i) protons remain "frozen" in the gas, ii) they (quickly) diffuse through the gas outside the dense part of the atmosphere, or iii) they stream (move with respect to the gas frame) and collectively excite plasma waves that are continuously dumped into heat. The first two scenarios do not imply any heating at all. The main constraints on these two scenarios come from the lack of gamma-ray emission due to π^0 decay (e.g. Ackermann et al. 2014; Prokhorov and Churazov 2014), suggesting that either protons diffuse quickly or they remain confined within the bubbles (Prokhorov and Churazov 2017). It is still possible however that the mixing does not go all the way to microscopic scales, but the protons remain confined to tiny bubbles, which themselves are "frozen" to the gas. In this case, the gamma-ray limits are not relevant, since relativistic protons are isolated from thermal protons, and the role of CRps is to make the gas lighter and lift it up to an altitude in the atmosphere where the effective entropy of the gas is similar (Churazov et al. 2000). Finally, the 3rd scenario, where the CRps stream through the gas, can lead to a net gas heating (e.g. Boehringer and Morfill 1988; Loewenstein et al. 1991; Guo and Oh 2008; Fujita and Ohira 2011; Wiener et al. 2013; Pfrommer 2013; Ruszkowski et al. 2017). The energy losses due to streaming can alleviate the gamma-ray constraints if the protons lose their energy due to streaming fast enough. This scenario is also not free

from assumptions related to the rate of CRps mixing (or leakage from the bubbles) and plasma physics aspects of streaming, in particular, the dumping mechanisms that let protons move fast enough.

5.7 Coupling Bubble Enthalpy to the Hot Atmosphere

We now return to the case of a bubble, created by a quasi-continuous AGN jet/outflow, which is fully isolated from the ambient gas so that neither particles nor energy can flow through the boundary. This is an ad hoc assumption on par with the converse assumption that the bubbles can easily mix with the ambient gas. In this case it does not matter whether the bubble is filled with relativistic or non-relativistic plasma[1], as long as the particles inside the bubble are much hotter than those outside. As discussed above, the energy exchange between such bubbles and the surrounding medium is purely mechanical and is happening during the buoyant rise of the bubbles. Among the mechanisms that provide the coupling of the bubbles to the gas are: excitation of waves, viscous stresses, excitation of turbulence in the wakes, entrainment and uplift of low entropy gas, etc. (e.g. Churazov et al. 2001). Sound waves cannot be efficiently excited if the rise velocity of the bubble is steady and subsonic, while internal and Alfven waves can, although to become a dominant process of the energy extraction from the bubble, or, equivalently, the dominant contributor to the drag acting on the bubble, these mechanisms have to win against other processes. For instance, Zhang et al. (2018) have shown that if the bubble is flattened in the vertical (along the radius) direction, then the contribution of internal waves can be significant, or even dominant. That would fit well into the turbulence-heating scenario (e.g. Dennis and Chandran 2005; Zhuravleva et al. 2014), where the turbulence is excited either directly by the rising bubbles or by the non-linear evolution of internal waves, which themselves are excited by rising bubbles. However, if the bubbles are able to mix even in the presence of magnetic fields, the excitation of internal waves can become inefficient (e.g. Reynolds et al. 2015; Yang and Reynolds 2016; Bambic et al. 2018) compared to other processes. At the same time, the presence of a magnetic field in the gas may itself induce a drag on the rising bubble (e.g. Lyutikov 2006), which was found in numerical simulations to be larger than the pure hydrodynamic drag (Dursi and Pfrommer 2008). Overall, it is clear that there are many different (linear or nonlinear) ways of extracting the energy from the bubble even if it is completely isolated from the ambient gas.

5.8 Summary

The above discussion can be summarized as follows:

[1] It matters only when one needs to estimate the thermal energy inside the bubble, which for a given volume and pressure depends on the adiabatic index of the gas.

1. We have solid observational evidence that AGN activity strongly perturbs hot gaseous atmospheres in early type galaxies.
2. The amplitude of perturbations strongly suggests that the AGN release enough energy to keep the gas hot.
3. We have solid theoretical arguments suggesting that the released energy does not leave the system and eventually dissipates, i.e., the coupling efficiency of the AGN and the gas is very high.
4. So far there is no consensus about the dominant physical mechanisms governing the energy flow through the system and its eventual dissipation.

Thus, there is a very good reasons to believe that AGN keep the gas hot (this is an almost model-independent statement), but there are enough uncertainties in the properties of the jets and the gas to allow for different heating scenarios, which all lead to the same final result. The observational data are not yet sufficient to unambiguously single out the most favorable scenario, but the situation will improve with high angular resolution SZ measurements and future velocity measurements with *XRISM*, *ATHENA*, and *LYNX*.

6 Atmospheric Dynamics

As discussed above, X-ray and radio images of giant elliptical galaxies show interactions between the radio plasma injected by AGN and the hot galactic atmospheres. These interactions are expected to induce atmospheric gas motions (e.g. Churazov et al. 2001). Atmospheric motions are difficult to detect and measure. The spectral resolution of current CCD-based detectors are too low. The spectral lines resolved by the reflection grating spectrometers (RGS) on *XMM-Newton* are broadened by the spatial extent of the source.

All useful X-ray emission lines formed in galactic atmospheres are located in the soft X-ray spectral band. Unfortunately future X-ray calorimeter spectrometers with $\Delta E \approx 5$ eV provide the poorest spectral resolution $\Delta E/E$ in the soft band. Therefore, precise measurements of gas velocities $v \lesssim 150$ km s^{-1} will remain challenging for the foreseeable future. Measurements of gas motions in cool, low-mass systems therefore largely have to rely on indirect methods.

The first upper limits and measurements of the velocity broadening of X-ray emission lines for giant ellipticals, groups and clusters of galaxies using RGS were performed by Sanders et al. (2011). This work was later extended by Sanders and Fabian (2013) and Pinto et al. (2015). For more than half of the elliptical galaxies, the measurements of line widths provided a 68% upper limit $\lesssim 200$ km s^{-1}.

Measurements of the suppression of lines with high oscillator strengths by resonance scattering provide an independent way to determine the characteristic velocities of small-scale turbulence (Gilfanov et al. 1987; Churazov et al. 2010b). At the temperatures of giant elliptical galaxies, $kT \lesssim 0.9$ keV, the most sensitive spectral lines to determine the level of resonant scattering are the strong Fe XVII lines at 15.01 Å (2p-3d) and the unresolved blend of the same ion at 17.05 and 17.10 Å (2p-3s). The oscillator strength of the 15.01 Å

line is $f = 2.49$, and thus is expected to have a relatively large optical depth. However, the oscillator strengths of the 17.05 and 17.10 Å lines are $f = 0.126$ and $f = 5.2 \times 10^{-8}$, respectively. Therefore, the optical depth of this line blend is negligible. Because of this dramatic difference in optical depths, and the fact that the intensity ratios of these lines are weakly dependent on temperature, the comparison of their intensities allows us to measure the magnitude of resonant scattering.

Werner et al. (2009) and de Plaa et al. (2012) measured the resonant scattering of the 15.01 Å Fe XVII line in a sample of X-ray bright nearby galaxies. They compared their results to models that accounted for resonance scattering under differing values of the characteristic turbulent velocity. Werner et al. (2009) found relatively low velocities of $v_{\rm turb} \lesssim 100$ km s^{-1} in NGC 4636. While de Plaa et al. (2012) found a turbulent pressure $\approx 30\%$ of the thermal pressure in NGC 5813, the inferred velocities in NGC 5044 are surprisingly high, implying a turbulent pressure support of $\gtrsim 40\%$. The systematic uncertainties on these results are, however, large due to uncertainties in atomic data.

Ogorzalek et al. (2017) have done the most detailed systematic study of atmospheric velocities in giant ellipticals using RGS spectra. By combining measurements of resonance scattering and line broadening (based on Pinto et al. 2015), they obtained constraints for 13 galaxies. Assuming isotropic turbulence, they obtained a best-fit, mean 1D turbulent velocity of ≈ 110 km s^{-1}. This velocity implies a 3D Mach number of ≈ 0.45 and a non-thermal pressure support of ≈ 6 per cent. To within the uncertainties, the non-thermal pressure is negligible. This supports the picture of a quasi-continuous, gentle feedback discussed in previous sections.

Comparison between gravitational potential profiles for giant ellipticals derived from X-ray and optical data suggests that the combined contribution of cosmic rays, magnetic fields and micro-turbulence to atmospheric pressure is $\approx 20 - 30\%$ of the gas thermal pressure (Churazov et al. 2010a). Taken at face value, in combination with the results of Ogorzalek et al. (2017), these measurement would hint at a 10–20% pressure contribution by cosmic rays and magnetic fields. However, the systematic uncertainties associated with these measurements are large.

Sub-mm, infrared, and optical spectra of the cold and warm gas phases in giant ellipticals also provides indirect estimates on the velocities in hot galactic atmospheres. Using simulations of AGN feedback, Gaspari et al. (2018) predict that all gas phases in giant ellipticals are tightly linked in terms of the ensemble velocity dispersion. The best-fit linear relation between the 1D velocity dispersion of warm cloudlets and filaments condensing out of the hot atmosphere and the 1D velocity dispersion of the hot gas determined from their simulations is $\sigma_{\rm v,warm} = 0.97^{+0.01}_{-0.02}\sigma_{\rm v,hot} + 8.3^{+3.5}_{-5.1}$ km s^{-1}. This indicates that spectroscopic measurements of velocity dispersion in the warm/cold gas within apertures of several kpc are good tracers of the velocity dispersion of the hot X-ray emitting gas. Gaspari et al. (2018) also gathered $\sigma_{\rm v}$ and $v_{\rm LOS}$ measurements for the warm and cold gas in 72 giant ellipticals, and brightest

group/cluster galaxies (based on measurements reported in McDonald et al. 2012; Werner et al. 2013, 2014; Hamer et al. 2016; Temi et al. 2018). Their velocity dispersions are in the range $\sigma_{\rm v} \approx 90 - 250$ km s^{-1}, with a mean ≈ 150 km s^{-1}. This range is broadly consistent with constraints from the high-resolution X-ray grating spectra (Ogorzalek et al. 2017).

7 Summary and Outstanding Unsolved Problems

- Observation has established that galaxies with halo mass similar to the Milky Way ($\approx 10^{12}\ M_{\odot}$) or higher host hot X-ray emitting atmospheres and central supermassive black holes.

- Simulations also predict that halos with masses of $\approx 10^{12}\ M_{\odot}$ or higher will harbor hot atmospheres. However, the value of the mass above which galaxies hold on to hot atmospheres remains uncertain. Its value may depend on a combination of factors including, redshift, feedback, environment, and dynamics.

- The origin of the hot galactic atmospheres is still in debate. Observation indicates that most of the X-ray emitting gas was accreted externally and heated by shock waves during the process of galaxy assembly. Stellar ejecta that has thermalised and mixed with the accreted material may contribute significantly to the atmospheric gas mass. However, data are inconsistent with a simple stellar mass loss alone. The relative fraction of accreted and internally produced material may vary with total mass and growth history, with accretion dominating at high masses and stellar ejecta in lower-mass, disky galaxies.

- While the total baryon fraction of Milky Way mass ellipticals is roughly consistent with the cosmic value, isolated, massive spirals are apparently missing more than half of the baryons within their virial radii. The reason for this difference is not understood.

- Recent, high-fidelity measurements and models show that the metallicities and relative abundances of α elements with respect to iron in hot atmospheres of ellipticals and groups are remarkably similar to those in massive clusters. The chemical composition of giant elliptical atmospheres is at variance with their stellar populations, which typically show an overabundance of α elements.

- Recent studies have shown that, while some molecular gas in ellipticals may have accreted externally, most has likely cooled from their hot atmospheres.

- Despite the enormous range in halo and atmospheric gas mass between elliptical galaxies and central galaxies in clusters, their entropy and cool-

ing time profiles, which characterize their thermodynamic histories, are remarkably similar.

– While much has been learned in the past decade about the relationship between AGN feedback and atmospheric cooling, much remains to be done. Recent numerical simulations and models of thermally unstable cooling have generated great interest. But a clear picture of how thermally-unstable cooling ensues that can be sharply constrained or ruled-out by observation continues to elude us. Heating and cooling appears closely balanced in clusters. However, galaxies may be less stable, as in evidence by the large scatter in their X-ray luminosities. We do not know how much scatter is driven by intermittent AGN outbursts. Our picture might also be skewed by observational selection: galaxies observed deeply by *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* are biased towards the brightest systems in X-rays. Importantly, the role of radio-mode AGN feedback in disky galaxies has yet to be fully probed by observation.

– Observations discussed in this review show that in massive ellipticals, jets emanating from blacks holes accreting at highly sub-Eddington rate are sufficiently powerful to balance the radiative cooling of hot atmospheres and limit further star-formation. The heating rate is tuned to atmospheric cooling. No consensus has been achieved on the dominant mechanism responsible for energy transport from jets, to X-ray bubbles, and eventually into the hot plasma at large.

Acknowledgements NW was supported by the Lendület LP2016-11 grant awarded by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. BRM thanks the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the Canadian Space Agency for financial support.

References

Z. Abdulla, J.E. Carlstrom, A.B. Mantz, D.P. Marrone, C.H. Greer, J.W. Lamb, E.M. Leitch, S. Muchovej, C. O'Donnell, T.J. Plagge, D. Woody, Constraints on the Thermal Contents of the X-ray Cavities of Cluster MS 0735.6+7421 with Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect Observations. [arXiv: 1806.05050] (2018)

M. Ackermann, M. Ajello, A. Albert, et al., Search for Cosmic-Ray-induced Gamma-Ray Emission in Galaxy Clusters. ApJ **787**, 18 (2014). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/787/1/18

S.W. Allen, G.B. Taylor, P.E.J. Nulsen, R.M. Johnstone, L.P. David, S. Ettori, A.C. Fabian, W. Forman, C. Jones, B. McNamara, Chandra X-ray observations of the 3C 295 cluster core. MNRAS **324**, 842–858 (2001). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04315.x

S.W. Allen, R.J.H. Dunn, A.C. Fabian, G.B. Taylor, C.S. Reynolds, The relation between accretion rate and jet power in X-ray luminous elliptical galaxies. MNRAS **372**, 21–30 (2006). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10778.x

M.E. Anderson, J.N. Bregman, Detection of a Hot Gaseous Halo around the Giant Spiral Galaxy NGC 1961. ApJ **737**, 22 (2011). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/737/1/22

M.E. Anderson, R. Sunyaev, FUV line emission, gas kinematics, and discovery of [Fe XXI] λ1354.1 in the sightline toward a filament in M87. A&A **617**, 123 (2018). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201732510

M.E. Anderson, E. Churazov, J.N. Bregman, A deep XMM-Newton study of the hot gaseous halo around NGC 1961. MNRAS **455**, 227–243 (2016). doi:10.1093/mnras/stv2314

M. Arnaud, A.E. Evrard, The L_X-T relation and intracluster gas fractions of X-ray clusters. MNRAS **305**, 631–640 (1999). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02442.x

S. Arnouts, D. Schiminovich, O. Ilbert, L. Tresse, B. Milliard, M. Treyer, S. Bardelli, T. Budavari, T.K. Wyder, E. Zucca, O. Le Fèvre, D.C. Martin, G. Vettolani, C. Adami, M. Arnaboldi, T. Barlow, L. Bianchi, M. Bolzonella, D. Bottini, Y.-I. Byun, A. Cappi, S. Charlot, T. Contini, J. Donas, K. Forster, S. Foucaud, P. Franzetti, P.G. Friedman, B. Garilli, I. Gavignaud, L. Guzzo, T.M. Heckman, C. Hoopes, A. Iovino, P. Jelinsky, V. Le Brun, Y.-W. Lee, D. Maccagni, B.F. Madore, R. Malina, B. Marano, C. Marinoni, H.J. McCracken, A. Mazure, B. Meneux, R. Merighi, P. Morrissey, S. Neff, S. Paltani, R. Pellò, J.P. Picat, A. Pollo, L. Pozzetti, M. Radovich, R.M. Rich, R. Scaramella, M. Scodeggio, M. Seibert, O. Siegmund, T. Small, A.S. Szalay, B. Welsh, C.K. Xu, G. Zamorani, A. Zanichelli, The GALEX VIMOS-VLT Deep Survey Measurement of the Evolution of the 1500 Å Luminosity Function. ApJ **619**, 43–46 (2005). doi:10.1086/426733

L. Bîrzan, D.A. Rafferty, B.R. McNamara, M.W. Wise, P.E.J. Nulsen, A Systematic Study of Radio-induced X-Ray Cavities in Clusters, Groups, and Galaxies. ApJ **607**, 800–809 (2004)

A. Babul, M.L. Balogh, G.F. Lewis, G.B. Poole, Physical implications of the X-ray properties of galaxy groups and clusters. MNRAS **330**, 329–343 (2002). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05044.x

I.V. Babyk, B.R. McNamara, P.E.J. Nulsen, H.R. Russell, A.N. Vantyghem, M.T. Hogan, F.A. Pulido, A Universal Entropy Profile for the Hot Atmospheres of Galaxies and Clusters within R $_{2500}$. ApJ **862**, 39 (2018a). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aacce5

I.V. Babyk, B.R. McNamara, P.D. Tamhane, P.E.J. Nulsen, H.R. Russell, A.C. Edge, Origins of molecular clouds in early-type galaxies. [arXiv: 1810.11465] (2018b)

I.V. Babyk, B.R. McNamara, P.E.J. Nulsen, M.T. Hogan, A.N. Vantyghem, H.R. Russell, F.A. Pulido, A.C. Edge, X-Ray Scaling Relations of Early-type Galaxies. ApJ **857**, 32 (2018c). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab3c9

S.A. Balbus, N. Soker, Theory of local thermal instability in spherical systems. ApJ **341**, 611–630 (1989). doi:10.1086/167521

S.A. Balbus, N. Soker, Resonant excitation of internal gravity waves in cluster cooling flows. ApJ **357**, 353–366 (1990). doi:10.1086/168926

A. Baldi, W. Forman, C. Jones, R. Kraft, P. Nulsen, E. Churazov, L. David, S. Giacintucci, The Unusual X-Ray Morphology of NGC 4636 Revealed by Deep Chandra Observations: Cavities and Shocks Created by Past Active Galactic Nucleus Outbursts. ApJ **707**, 1034–1043 (2009). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/707/2/1034

M.L. Balogh, A. Babul, D.R. Patton, Pre-heated isentropic gas in groups of galaxies. MNRAS **307**, 463–479 (1999). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02608.x

C.J. Bambic, B.J. Morsony, C.S. Reynolds, Suppression of AGN-driven Turbulence by Magnetic Fields in a Magnetohydrodynamic Model of the Intracluster Medium. ApJ **857**, 84 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab558

M.C. Begelman, Impact of Active Galactic Nuclei on the Surrounding Medium, in *Gas and Galaxy Evolution*, ed. by J.E. Hibbard, M. Rupen, J.H. van Gorkom Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, vol. 240, 2001, p. 363

J. Bergeron, G. Stasińska, Absorption line systems in QSO spectra - Properties derived from observations and from photoionization models. A&A **169**, 1–13 (1986)

P.N. Best, C.R. Kaiser, T.M. Heckman, G. Kauffmann, AGN-controlled cooling in elliptical galaxies. MNRAS **368**, 67–71 (2006). doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2006.00159.x

G.V. Bicknell, M.C. Begelman, Understanding the Kiloparsec-Scale Structure of M87. ApJ **467**, 597 (1996). doi:10.1086/177636

V. Biffi, F. Mernier, P. Medvedev, Enrichment of the hot intracluster medium: numerical simulations. [arXiv: 1811.01955] (2018)

J. Binney, The physics of dissipational galaxy formation. ApJ **215**, 483–491 (1977). doi:10.1086/155378

J. Binney, On the origin of the galaxy luminosity function. MNRAS **347**, 1093–1096 (2004). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07277.x

J. Binney, G. Tabor, Evolving Cooling Flows. MNRAS **276**, 663 (1995). doi:10.1093/mnras/276.2.663

L. Bîrzan, D.A. Rafferty, B.R. McNamara, M.W. Wise, P.E.J. Nulsen, A Systematic Study of Radio-induced X-Ray Cavities in Clusters, Groups, and Galaxies. ApJ **607**, 800–809 (2004). doi:10.1086/383519

J. Bland-Hawthorn, O. Gerhard, The Galaxy in Context: Structural, Kinematic, and Integrated Properties. ARA&A **54**, 529–596 (2016). doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023441

H. Boehringer, G.E. Morfill, On the dynamical role of cosmic rays in cooling flows in clusters of galaxies. ApJ **330**, 609–619 (1988). doi:10.1086/166497

H. Boehringer, W. Voges, A.C. Fabian, A.C. Edge, D.M. Neumann, A ROSAT HRI study of the interaction of the X-ray-emitting gas and radio lobes of NGC 1275. MNRAS **264**, 25–28 (1993). doi:10.1093/mnras/264.1.L25

Á. Bogdán, W.R. Forman, R.P. Kraft, C. Jones, Detection of a Luminous Hot X-Ray Corona around the Massive Spiral Galaxy NGC 266. ApJ **772**, 98 (2013a). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/772/2/98

Á. Bogdán, W.R. Forman, M. Vogelsberger, H. Bourdin, D. Sijacki, P. Mazzotta, R.P. Kraft, C. Jones, M. Gilfanov, E. Churazov, L.P. David, Hot X-Ray Coronae around Massive Spiral Galaxies: A Unique Probe of Structure Formation Models. ApJ **772**, 97 (2013b). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/772/2/97

Á. Bogdán, H. Bourdin, W.R. Forman, R.P. Kraft, M. Vogelsberger, L. Hernquist, V. Springel, Probing the Hot X-Ray Corona around the Massive Spiral Galaxy, NGC 6753, Using Deep XMM-Newton Observations. ApJ **850**, 98 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa9523

H. Bohringer, P.E.J. Nulsen, R. Braun, A.C. Fabian, The interaction of the radio halo of M87 with the cooling intracluster medium of the Virgo cluster. MNRAS **274**, 67–71 (1995). doi:10.1093/mnras/274.1.L67

H. Böhringer, K. Matsushita, E. Churazov, Y. Ikebe, Y. Chen, The new emerging model for the structure of cooling cores in clusters of galaxies. A&A **382**, 804–820 (2002). doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20011708

H. Bondi, On spherically symmetrical accretion. MNRAS **112**, 195 (1952)

B.C.J. Borguet, N. Arav, D. Edmonds, C. Chamberlain, C. Benn, Major Contributor to AGN Feedback: VLT X-shooter Observations of S IV BALQSO Outflows. ApJ **762**, 49 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/762/1/49

B. Boroson, D.-W. Kim, G. Fabbiano, Revisiting with Chandra the Scaling Relations of the X-ray Emission Components (Binaries, Nuclei, and Hot Gas) of Early-type Galaxies. ApJ **729**, 12 (2011). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/729/1/12

S. Borthakur, T. Heckman, J. Tumlinson, R. Bordoloi, G. Kauffmann, B. Catinella, D. Schiminovich, R. Davé, S.M. Moran, A. Saintonge, The Properties of the Circumgalactic Medium in Red and Blue Galaxies: Results from the COS-GASS+COS-Halos Surveys. ApJ **833**, 259 (2016). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/833/2/259

A. Boselli, M. Fossati, A. Longobardi, G. Consolandi, P. Amram, M. Sun, P. Andreani, M. Boquien, J. Braine, F. Combes, P. Cote, J.C. Cuillandre, P.A. Duc, E. Emsellem, L. Ferrarese, G. Gavazzi, S. Gwyn, G. Hensler, E.W. Peng, H. Plana, J. Roediger, R. Sanchez-Janssen, M. Sarzi, P. Serra, G. Trinchieri, A Virgo Environmental Survey Tracing Ionised Gas Emission (VESTIGE).V. Properties of the ionised gas filament of M87. [arXiv: 1810.09804] (2018)

J.N. Bregman, M.E. Anderson, X. Dai, Metal Production in Galaxy Clusters: The Non-galactic Component. ApJ **716**, 63–67 (2010). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/716/1/L63

J.N. Bregman, E.D. Miller, A.E. Athey, J.A. Irwin, On VI in Elliptical Galaxies: Indicators of Cooling Flows. ApJ **635**, 1031–1043 (2005). doi:10.1086/497421

J.N. Bregman, M.E. Anderson, M.J. Miller, E. Hodges-Kluck, X. Dai, J.-T. Li, Y. Li, Z. Qu, The Extended Distribution of Baryons around Galaxies. ApJ **862**, 3 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aacafe

F. Brighenti, W.G. Mathews, Entropy Evolution in Galaxy Groups and Clusters: a Comparison of External and Internal Heating. ApJ **553**, 103–120 (2001). doi:10.1086/320664

F. Brighenti, W.G. Mathews, Stopping Cooling Flows with Jets. ApJ **643**, 120–127 (2006).

doi:10.1086/502645
M. Brüggen, C.R. Kaiser, Hot bubbles from active galactic nuclei as a heat source in cooling-flow clusters. Nature **418**, 301–303 (2002). doi:10.1038/nature00857
D.A. Buote, A.J. Barth, The Luminous X-Ray Halos of Two Compact Elliptical Galaxies. ApJ **854**, 143 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaa971
R.E.A. Canning, G.J. Ferland, A.C. Fabian, R.M. Johnstone, P.A.M. van Hoof, R.L. Porter, N. Werner, R.J.R. Williams, Collisional excitation of [C II], [O I] and CO in massive galaxies. MNRAS **455**, 3042–3057 (2016). doi:10.1093/mnras/stv2390
A. Cattaneo, S.M. Faber, J. Binney, A. Dekel, J. Kormendy, R. Mushotzky, A. Babul, P.N. Best, M. Brüggen, A.C. Fabian, C.S. Frenk, A. Khalatyan, H. Netzer, A. Mahdavi, J. Silk, M. Steinmetz, L. Wisotzki, The role of black holes in galaxy formation and evolution. Nature **460**, 213–219 (2009). doi:10.1038/nature08135
K.W. Cavagnolo, M. Donahue, G.M. Voit, M. Sun, An Entropy Threshold for Strong Hα and Radio Emission in the Cores of Galaxy Clusters. ApJ **683**, 107–110 (2008). doi:10.1086/591665
A. Cavaliere, N. Menci, P. Tozzi, Diffuse Baryons in Groups and Clusters of Galaxies. ApJ **501**, 493–508 (1998). doi:10.1086/305839
G. Chartas, W.N. Brandt, S.C. Gallagher, D. Proga, XMM-Newton and Chandra Spectroscopy of the Variable High-Energy Absorption of PG 1115+080: Refined Outflow Constraints. AJ **133**, 1849–1860 (2007). doi:10.1086/512364
H.-W. Chen, F.S. Zahedy, S.D. Johnson, R.M. Pierce, Y.-H. Huang, B.J. Weiner, J.-R. Gauthier, Characterizing circumgalactic gas around massive ellipticals at $z \sim 0.4$ - I. Initial results. MNRAS **479**, 2547–2563 (2018). doi:10.1093/mnras/sty1541
N.E. Chisari, M.L.A. Richardson, J. Devriendt, Y. Dubois, A. Schneider, A.M.C. Le Brun, R.S. Beckmann, S. Peirani, A. Slyz, C. Pichon, The impact of baryons on the matter power spectrum from the Horizon-AGN cosmological hydrodynamical simulation. MNRAS **480**, 3962–3977 (2018). doi:10.1093/mnras/sty2093
J. Chisholm, C.A. Tremonti, C. Leitherer, Y. Chen, The mass and momentum outflow rates of photoionized galactic outflows. MNRAS **469**, 4831–4849 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx1164
E. Choi, J.P. Ostriker, T. Naab, L. Oser, B.P. Moster, The impact of mechanical AGN feedback on the formation of massive early-type galaxies. MNRAS **449**, 4105–4116 (2015). doi:10.1093/mnras/stv575
P.P. Choudhury, P. Sharma, Cold gas in cluster cores: global stability analysis and non-linear simulations of thermal instability. MNRAS **457**, 2554–2568 (2016). doi:10.1093/mnras/stw152
E. Churazov, M. Ruszkowski, A. Schekochihin, Powering of cool filaments in cluster cores by buoyant bubbles - I. Qualitative model. MNRAS **436**, 526–530 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1594
E. Churazov, W. Forman, C. Jones, H. Böhringer, Asymmetric, arc minute scale structures around NGC 1275. A&A **356**, 788–794 (2000)
E. Churazov, M. Brüggen, C.R. Kaiser, H. Böhringer, W. Forman, Evolution of Buoyant Bubbles in M87. ApJ **554**, 261–273 (2001). doi:10.1086/321357
E. Churazov, R. Sunyaev, W. Forman, H. Böhringer, Cooling flows as a calorimeter of active galactic nucleus mechanical power. MNRAS **332**, 729–734 (2002). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05332.x
E. Churazov, S. Sazonov, R. Sunyaev, W. Forman, C. Jones, H. Böhringer, Supermassive black holes in elliptical galaxies: switching from very bright to very dim. MNRAS **363**, 91–95 (2005). doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2005.00093.x
E. Churazov, S. Tremaine, W. Forman, O. Gerhard, P. Das, A. Vikhlinin, C. Jones, H. Böhringer, K. Gebhardt, Comparison of approximately isothermal gravitational potentials of elliptical galaxies based on X-ray and optical data. MNRAS **404**, 1165–1185 (2010a). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16377.x
E. Churazov, I. Zhuravleva, S. Sazonov, R. Sunyaev, Resonant Scattering of X-ray Emission Lines in the Hot Intergalactic Medium. Space Sci. Rev. **157**, 193–209 (2010b). doi:10.1007/s11214-010-9685-4
L. Ciotti, J.P. Ostriker, Radiative Feedback from Massive Black Holes in Elliptical Galaxies: AGN Flaring and Central Starburst Fueled by Recycled Gas. ApJ **665**, 1038–1056

(2007). doi:10.1086/519833
L. Ciotti, S. Pellegrini, A. Negri, J.P. Ostriker, The Effect of the AGN Feedback on the Interstellar Medium of Early-Type Galaxies:2D Hydrodynamical Simulations of the Low-Rotation Case. ApJ **835**, 15 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/835/1/15
C. Conroy, G.J. Graves, P.G. van Dokkum, Early-type Galaxy Archeology: Ages, Abundance Ratios, and Effective Temperatures from Full-spectrum Fitting. ApJ **780**, 33 (2014). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/780/1/33
C.A. Correa, J. Schaye, J.S.B. Wyithe, A.R. Duffy, T. Theuns, R.A. Crain, R.G. Bower, The formation of hot gaseous haloes around galaxies. MNRAS **473**, 538–559 (2018). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2332
D.J. Croton, V. Springel, S.D.M. White, G. De Lucia, C.S. Frenk, L. Gao, A. Jenkins, G. Kauffmann, J.F. Navarro, N. Yoshida, The many lives of active galactic nuclei: cooling flows, black holes and the luminosities and colours of galaxies. MNRAS **365**, 11–28 (2006). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09675.x
E. Daddi, A. Renzini, N. Pirzkal, A. Cimatti, S. Malhotra, M. Stiavelli, C. Xu, A. Pasquali, J.E. Rhoads, M. Brusa, S. di Serego Alighieri, H.C. Ferguson, A.M. Koekemoer, L.A. Moustakas, N. Panagia, R.A. Windhorst, Passively Evolving Early-Type Galaxies at $1.4 < z < 2.5$ in the Hubble Ultra Deep Field. ApJ **626**, 680–697 (2005). doi:10.1086/430104
X. Dai, M.E. Anderson, J.N. Bregman, J.M. Miller, XMM-Newton Detects a Hot Gaseous Halo in the Fastest Rotating Spiral Galaxy UGC 12591. ApJ **755**, 107 (2012). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/755/2/107
R. Davé, R. Cen, J.P. Ostriker, G.L. Bryan, L. Hernquist, N. Katz, D.H. Weinberg, M.L. Norman, B. O'Shea, Baryons in the Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium. ApJ **552**, 473–483 (2001)
L.P. David, A. Slyz, C. Jones, W. Forman, S.D. Vrtilek, K.A. Arnaud, A catalog of intracluster gas temperatures. ApJ **412**, 479–488 (1993). doi:10.1086/172936
L.P. David, J. Lim, W. Forman, J. Vrtilek, F. Combes, P. Salome, A. Edge, S. Hamer, C. Jones, M. Sun, E. O'Sullivan, F. Gastaldello, S. Bardelli, P. Temi, H. Schmitt, Y. Ohyama, W. Mathews, F. Brighenti, S. Giacintucci, D.-V. Trung, Molecular Gas in the X-Ray Bright Group NGC 5044 as Revealed by ALMA. ApJ **792**, 94 (2014). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/792/2/94
M. de Kool, N. Arav, R.H. Becker, M.D. Gregg, R.L. White, S.A. Laurent-Muehleisen, T. Price, K.T. Korista, Keck HIRES Observations of the QSO FIRST J104459.6+365605: Evidence for a Large-Scale Outflow. ApJ **548**, 609–623 (2001). doi:10.1086/318996
J. de Plaa, I. Zhuravleva, N. Werner, J.S. Kaastra, E. Churazov, R.K. Smith, A.J.J. Raassen, Y.G. Grange, Estimating turbulent velocities in the elliptical galaxies NGC 5044 and NGC 5813. A&A **539**, 34 (2012). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201118404
J. de Plaa, J.S. Kaastra, N. Werner, C. Pinto, P. Kosec, Y.-Y. Zhang, F. Mernier, L. Lovisari, H. Akamatsu, G. Schellenberger, F. Hofmann, T.H. Reiprich, A. Finoguenov, J. Ahoranta, J.S. Sanders, A.C. Fabian, O. Pols, A. Simionescu, J. Vink, H. Böhringer, CHEERS: The chemical evolution RGS sample. A&A **607**, 98 (2017). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201629926
T.J. Dennis, B.D.G. Chandran, Turbulent Heating of Galaxy-Cluster Plasmas. ApJ **622**, 205–216 (2005). doi:10.1086/427424
T. Di Matteo, S.W. Allen, A.C. Fabian, A.S. Wilson, A.J. Young, Accretion onto the Supermassive Black Hole in M87. ApJ **582**, 133–140 (2003). doi:10.1086/344504
T. Di Matteo, J. Colberg, V. Springel, L. Hernquist, D. Sijacki, Direct Cosmological Simulations of the Growth of Black Holes and Galaxies. ApJ **676**, 33–53 (2008). doi:10.1086/524921
R.J.H. Dunn, S.W. Allen, G.B. Taylor, K.F. Shurkin, G. Gentile, A.C. Fabian, C.S. Reynolds, The radio properties of a complete, X-ray selected sample of nearby, massive elliptical galaxies. MNRAS(2010)
L.J. Dursi, C. Pfrommer, Draping of Cluster Magnetic Fields over Bullets and Bubbles-Morphology and Dynamic Effects. ApJ **677**, 993–1018 (2008). doi:10.1086/529371
A.C. Edge, R.J. Wilman, R.M. Johnstone, C.S. Crawford, A.C. Fabian, S.W. Allen, A survey of molecular hydrogen in the central galaxies of cooling flows. MNRAS **337**, 49–62 (2002). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05790.x

D.J. Eisenstein, J. Annis, J.E. Gunn, A.S. Szalay, A.J. Connolly, R.C. Nichol, N.A. Bahcall, M. Bernardi, S. Burles, F.J. Castander, M. Fukugita, D.W. Hogg, Ž. Ivezić, G.R. Knapp, R.H. Lupton, V. Narayanan, M. Postman, D.E. Reichart, M. Richmond, D.P. Schneider, D.J. Schlegel, M.A. Strauss, M. SubbaRao, D.L. Tucker, D. Vanden Berk, M.S. Vogeley, D.H. Weinberg, B. Yanny, Spectroscopic Target Selection for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey: The Luminous Red Galaxy Sample. AJ **122**, 2267–2280 (2001). doi:10.1086/323717

T.A. Enßlin, On the escape of cosmic rays from radio galaxy cocoons. A&A **399**, 409–420 (2003). doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20021827

P.B. Eskridge, G. Fabbiano, D.-W. Kim, A multiparametric analysis of the Einstein sample of early-type galaxies. 2: Galaxy formation history and properties of the interstellar medium. ApJ **442**, 523–537 (1995). doi:10.1086/175458

A.E. Evrard, J.P. Henry, Expectations for X-ray cluster observations by the ROSAT satellite. ApJ **383**, 95–103 (1991). doi:10.1086/170767

A.C. Fabian, Observational Evidence of Active Galactic Nuclei Feedback. ARA&A **50**, 455–489 (2012). doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125521

A.C. Fabian, P.E.J. Nulsen, Subsonic accretion of cooling gas in clusters of galaxies. MNRAS **180**, 479–484 (1977). doi:10.1093/mnras/180.3.479

A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, S.W. Allen, C.S. Crawford, K. Iwasawa, R.M. Johnstone, R.W. Schmidt, G.B. Taylor, A deep Chandra observation of the Perseus cluster: shocks and ripples. MNRAS **344**, 43–47 (2003). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06902.x

A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, G.B. Taylor, S.W. Allen, C.S. Crawford, R.M. Johnstone, K. Iwasawa, A very deep Chandra observation of the Perseus cluster: shocks, ripples and conduction. MNRAS **366**, 417–428 (2006). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09896.x

A.C. Fabian, R.M. Johnstone, J.S. Sanders, C.J. Conselice, C.S. Crawford, J.S. Gallagher III, E. Zweibel, Magnetic support of the optical emission line filaments in NGC 1275. Nature **454**, 968–970 (2008). doi:10.1038/nature07169

A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, R.J.R. Williams, A. Lazarian, G.J. Ferland, R.M. Johnstone, The energy source of the filaments around the giant galaxy NGC 1275. MNRAS **417**, 172–177 (2011). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19034.x

A.C. Fabian, S.A. Walker, H.R. Russell, C. Pinto, J.S. Sanders, C.S. Reynolds, Do sound waves transport the AGN energy in the Perseus cluster? MNRAS **464**, 1–5 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slw170

L. Feretti, D. Dallacasa, F. Govoni, G. Giovannini, G.B. Taylor, U. Klein, The radio galaxies and the magnetic field in Abell 119. A&A **344**, 472–482 (1999)

G.J. Ferland, A.C. Fabian, N.A. Hatch, R.M. Johnstone, R.L. Porter, P.A.M. van Hoof, R.J.R. Williams, The origin of molecular hydrogen emission in cooling-flow filaments. MNRAS **386**, 72–76 (2008). doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2008.00463.x

G.J. Ferland, A.C. Fabian, N.A. Hatch, R.M. Johnstone, R.L. Porter, P.A.M. van Hoof, R.J.R. Williams, Collisional heating as the origin of filament emission in galaxy clusters. MNRAS **392**, 1475–1502 (2009). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.14153.x

A. Ferré-Mateu, I. Trujillo, I. Martín-Navarro, A. Vazdekis, M. Mezcua, M. Balcells, L. Domínguez, Two new confirmed massive relic galaxies: red nuggets in the present-day Universe. MNRAS **467**, 1929–1939 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx171

A. Finoguenov, C. Jones, Chandra Observation of M84, a Radio Lobe Elliptical Galaxy in the Virgo Cluster. ApJ **547**, 107–110 (2001). doi:10.1086/318910

A. Finoguenov, M. Ruszkowski, C. Jones, M. Brüggen, A. Vikhlinin, E. Mandel, In-Depth Chandra Study of the AGN Feedback in Virgo Elliptical Galaxy M84. ApJ **686**, 911–917 (2008). doi:10.1086/591662

A. Fontana, L. Pozzetti, I. Donnarumma, A. Renzini, A. Cimatti, G. Zamorani, N. Menci, E. Daddi, E. Giallongo, M. Mignoli, C. Perna, S. Salimbeni, P. Saracco, T. Broadhurst, S. Cristiani, S. D'Odorico, R. Gilmozzi, The K20 survey. VI. The distribution of the stellar masses in galaxies up to z ≈ 2. A&A **424**, 23–42 (2004). doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20035626

D.A. Forbes, A. Alabi, A.J. Romanowsky, D.-W. Kim, J.P. Brodie, G. Fabbiano, The SLUGGS survey: revisiting the correlation between X-ray luminosity and total mass of massive early-type galaxies. MNRAS **464**, 26–30 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slw176

H.A. Ford, J.N. Bregman, Direct Detections of Young Stars in Nearby Elliptical Galaxies. ApJ **770**, 137 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/770/2/137

H.C. Ford, H. Butcher, The system of filaments in M87 - Evidence for matter falling into an active nucleus. ApJS **41**, 147–172 (1979). doi:10.1086/190613

H.C. Ford, R.J. Harms, Z.I. Tsvetanov, G.F. Hartig, L.L. Dressel, G.A. Kriss, R.C. Bohlin, A.F. Davidsen, B. Margon, A.K. Kochhar, Narrowband HST images of M87: Evidence for a disk of ionized gas around a massive black hole. ApJ **435**, 27–30 (1994). doi:10.1086/187586

W. Forman, C. Jones, W. Tucker, Hot coronae around early-type galaxies. ApJ **293**, 102–119 (1985). doi:10.1086/163218

W. Forman, C. Jones, E. Churazov, M. Markevitch, P. Nulsen, A. Vikhlinin, M. Begelman, H. Böhringer, J. Eilek, S. Heinz, R. Kraft, F. Owen, M. Pahre, Filaments, Bubbles, and Weak Shocks in the Gaseous Atmosphere of M87. ApJ **665**, 1057–1066 (2007). doi:10.1086/519480

W. Forman, E. Churazov, C. Jones, S. Heinz, R. Kraft, A. Vikhlinin, Partitioning the Outburst Energy of a Low Eddington Accretion Rate AGN at the Center of an Elliptical Galaxy: The Recent 12 Myr History of the Supermassive Black Hole in M87. ApJ **844**, 122 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa70e4

A. Franceschini, V. Braito, M. Persic, R. Della Ceca, L. Bassani, M. Cappi, P. Malaguti, G.G.C. Palumbo, G. Risaliti, M. Salvati, P. Severgnini, An XMM-Newton hard X-ray survey of ultraluminous infrared galaxies. MNRAS **343**, 1181–1194 (2003). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06744.x

Y. Fujita, Y. Ohira, Stable Heating of Cluster Cooling Flows by Cosmic-Ray Streaming. ApJ **738**, 182 (2011). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/738/2/182

M. Fukugita, P.J.E. Peebles, Massive Coronae of Galaxies. ApJ **639**, 590–599 (2006). doi:10.1086/499556

M. Fukugita, C.J. Hogan, P.J.E. Peebles, The Cosmic Baryon Budget. ApJ **503**, 518–530 (1998). doi:10.1086/306025

M. Gaspari, F. Brighenti, P. Temi, Mechanical AGN feedback: controlling the thermodynamical evolution of elliptical galaxies. MNRAS **424**, 190–209 (2012). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21183.x

M. Gaspari, M. Ruszkowski, S.P. Oh, Chaotic cold accretion on to black holes. MNRAS **432**, 3401–3422 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt692

M. Gaspari, M. Ruszkowski, P. Sharma, Cause and Effect of Feedback: Multiphase Gas in Cluster Cores Heated by AGN Jets. ApJ **746**, 94 (2012). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/746/1/94

M. Gaspari, M. McDonald, S.L. Hamer, F. Brighenti, P. Temi, M. Gendron-Marsolais, J. Hlavacek-Larrondo, A.C. Edge, N. Werner, P. Tozzi, M. Sun, J.M. Stone, G.R. Tremblay, M.T. Hogan, D. Eckert, S. Ettori, H. Yu, V. Biffi, S. Planelles, Shaken Snow Globes: Kinematic Tracers of the Multiphase Condensation Cascade in Massive Galaxies, Groups, and Clusters. ApJ **854**, 167 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaaa1b

J.-R. Gauthier, H.-W. Chen, J.L. Tinker, The Clustering of Mg II Absorption Systems at z ˜ 0.5 and Detection of Cold Gas in Massive Halos. ApJ **702**, 50–62 (2009). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/702/1/50

J.-R. Gauthier, H.-W. Chen, J.L. Tinker, The Incidence of Cool Gas in ˜10^{13} M $_{sun}$ Halos. ApJ **716**, 1263–1268 (2010). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/716/2/1263

K. Gebhardt, J. Adams, D. Richstone, T.R. Lauer, S.M. Faber, K. Gültekin, J. Murphy, S. Tremaine, The Black Hole Mass in M87 from Gemini/NIFS Adaptive Optics Observations. ApJ **729**, 119 (2011). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/729/2/119

R. Genzel, L.J. Tacconi, D. Rigopoulou, D. Lutz, M. Tecza, Ultraluminous Infrared Mergers: Elliptical Galaxies in Formation? ApJ **563**, 527–545 (2001). doi:10.1086/323772

M.R. Gilfanov, R.A. Sunyaev, E.M. Churazov, Radial Brightness Profiles of Resonance X-Ray Lines in Galaxy Clusters. Soviet Astronomy Letters **13**, 3–7 (1987)

K. Glazebrook, R.G. Abraham, P.J. McCarthy, S. Savaglio, H.-W. Chen, D. Crampton, R. Murowinski, I. Jørgensen, K. Roth, I. Hook, R.O. Marzke, R.G. Carlberg, A high abundance of massive galaxies 3-6 billion years after the Big Bang. Nature **430**, 181–184 (2004). doi:10.1038/nature02667

P. Goudfrooij, L. Hansen, H.E. Jorgensen, H.U. Norgaard-Nielsen, Interstellar matter in Shapley-Ames elliptical galaxies. II. The distribution of dust and ionized gas. A&AS **105**, 341–383 (1994)

A.D. Goulding, J.E. Greene, C.-P. Ma, M. Veale, A. Bogdan, K. Nyland, J.P. Blakeslee, N.J. McConnell, J. Thomas, The MASSIVE Survey. IV. The X-ray Halos of the Most Massive Early-type Galaxies in the Nearby Universe. ApJ **826**, 167 (2016). doi:10.3847/0004-637X/826/2/167

G.L. Granato, G. De Zotti, L. Silva, A. Bressan, L. Danese, A Physical Model for the Coevolution of QSOs and Their Spheroidal Hosts. ApJ **600**, 580–594 (2004). doi:10.1086/379875

J.P. Greco, J.C. Hill, D.N. Spergel, N. Battaglia, The Stacked Thermal Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Signal of Locally Brightest Galaxies in Planck Full Mission Data: Evidence for Galaxy Feedback? ApJ **808**, 151 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/808/2/151

S.F. Gull, K.J.E. Northover, Bubble Model of Extragalactic Radio Sources. Nature **244**, 80–83 (1973). doi:10.1038/244080a0

F. Guo, S.P. Oh, Feedback heating by cosmic rays in clusters of galaxies. MNRAS **384**, 251–266 (2008). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12692.x

A. Gupta, S. Mathur, Y. Krongold, F. Nicastro, M. Galeazzi, A Huge Reservoir of Ionized Gas around the Milky Way: Accounting for the Missing Mass? ApJ **756**, 8 (2012). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/756/1/L8

S.L. Hamer, A.C. Edge, A.M. Swinbank, R.J. Wilman, F. Combes, P. Salomé, A.C. Fabian, C.S. Crawford, H.R. Russell, J. Hlavacek-Larrondo, B.R. McNamara, M.N. Bremer, Optical emission line nebulae in galaxy cluster cores 1: the morphological, kinematic and spectral properties of the sample. MNRAS **460**, 1758–1789 (2016). doi:10.1093/mnras/stw1054

C.M. Harrison, Impact of supermassive black hole growth on star formation. Nature Astronomy **1**, 0165 (2017). doi:10.1038/s41550-017-0165

T.M. Heckman, S.A. Baum, W.J.M. van Breugel, P. McCarthy, Dynamical, physical, and chemical properties of emission-line nebulae in cooling flows. ApJ **338**, 48–77 (1989). doi:10.1086/167181

S. Heinz, C.S. Reynolds, M.C. Begelman, X-Ray Signatures of Evolving Radio Galaxies. ApJ **501**, 126–136 (1998). doi:10.1086/305807

S.F. Helsdon, T.J. Ponman, The intragroup medium in loose groups of galaxies. MNRAS **315**, 356–370 (2000). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2000.03396.x

D.B. Henley, R.L. Shelton, An XMM-Newton Survey of the Soft X-ray Background. I. The O VII and O VIII Lines Between l = 120 and l = 240. ApJS **187**, 388–408 (2010). doi:10.1088/0067-0049/187/2/388

D.B. Henley, R.L. Shelton, An XMM-Newton Survey of the Soft X-Ray Background. II. An All-Sky Catalog of Diffuse O VII and O VIII Emission Intensities. ApJS **202**, 14 (2012). doi:10.1088/0067-0049/202/2/14

S. Hillel, N. Soker, Heating the intracluster medium by jet-inflated bubbles. MNRAS **455**, 2139–2148 (2016). doi:10.1093/mnras/stv2483

J. Hlavacek-Larrondo, A.C. Fabian, A.C. Edge, H. Ebeling, J.S. Sanders, M.T. Hogan, G.B. Taylor, Extreme AGN feedback in the MAssive Cluster Survey: a detailed study of X-ray cavities at z¿0.3. MNRAS **421**, 1360–1384 (2012). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20405.x

J. Hlavacek-Larrondo, M. McDonald, B.A. Benson, W.R. Forman, S.W. Allen, L.E. Bleem, M.L.N. Ashby, S. Bocquet, M. Brodwin, J.P. Dietrich, C. Jones, J. Liu, C.L. Reichardt, B.R. Saliwanchik, A. Saro, T. Schrabback, J. Song, B. Stalder, A. Vikhlinin, A. Zenteno, X-Ray Cavities in a Sample of 83 SPT-selected Clusters of Galaxies: Tracing the Evolution of AGN Feedback in Clusters of Galaxies out to z=1.2. ApJ **805**, 35 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/805/1/35

M.T. Hogan, B.R. McNamara, F. Pulido, P.E.J. Nulsen, H.R. Russell, A.N. Vantyghem, A.C. Edge, R.A. Main, Mass Distribution in Galaxy Cluster Cores. ApJ **837**, 51 (2017a). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa5f56

M.T. Hogan, B.R. McNamara, F.A. Pulido, P.E.J. Nulsen, A.N. Vantyghem, H.R. Russell, A.C. Edge, I. Babyk, R.A. Main, M. McDonald, The Onset of Thermally Unstable Cooling from the Hot Atmospheres of Giant Galaxies in Clusters: Constraints on Feedback Models. ApJ **851**, 66 (2017b). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa9af3

P.F. Hopkins, K. Bundy, N. Murray, E. Quataert, T.R. Lauer, C.-P. Ma, Compact high-redshift galaxies are the cores of the most massive present-day spheroids. MNRAS **398**, 898–910 (2009). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15062.x

Y.-H. Huang, H.-W. Chen, S.D. Johnson, B.J. Weiner, Characterizing the chemically enriched circumgalactic medium of $\sim$ 38 000 luminous red galaxies in SDSS DR12. MNRAS **455**, 1713–1727 (2016). doi:10.1093/mnras/stv2327

P.J. Humphrey, D.A. Buote, C.R. Canizares, A.C. Fabian, J.M. Miller, A Census of Baryons and Dark Matter in an Isolated, Milky Way Sized Elliptical Galaxy. ApJ **729**, 53 (2011). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/729/1/53

P.J. Humphrey, D.A. Buote, E. O'Sullivan, T.J. Ponman, The ellXr Galaxy Survey. II. Baryons and Dark Matter in an Isolated Elliptical Galaxy. ApJ **755**, 166 (2012a). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/755/2/166

P.J. Humphrey, D.A. Buote, F. Brighenti, H.M.L.G. Flohic, F. Gastaldello, W.G. Mathews, Tracing the Gas to the Virial Radius (R $_{100}$) in a Fossil Group. ApJ **748**, 11 (2012b). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/748/1/11

S. Ichimaru, Bimodal behavior of accretion disks - Theory and application to Cygnus X-1 transitions. ApJ **214**, 840–855 (1977). doi:10.1086/155314

N. James, D.-W. Kim, G. Fabbiano, D. Forbes, A. Alabi, The Mass of the Globular Cluster Systems of Early Type Galaxies as Proxy for the Total Galaxy Mass. [arXiv: 1810.09475] (2018)

R.M. Johnstone, A.C. Fabian, P.E.J. Nulsen, The optical spectra of central galaxies in southern clusters Evidence for star formation. MNRAS **224**, 75–91 (1987)

C. Jones, W. Forman, A. Vikhlinin, M. Markevitch, L. David, A. Warmflash, S. Murray, P.E.J. Nulsen, Chandra Observations of NGC 4636-an Elliptical Galaxy in Turmoil. ApJ **567**, 115–118 (2002). doi:10.1086/340114

N. Kaiser, Evolution of clusters of galaxies. ApJ **383**, 104–111 (1991). doi:10.1086/170768

G. Kauffmann, S.D.M. White, B. Guiderdoni, The Formation and Evolution of Galaxies Within Merging Dark Matter Haloes. MNRAS **264**, 201 (1993). doi:10.1093/mnras/264.1.201

D. Kereš, N. Katz, D.H. Weinberg, R. Davé, How do galaxies get their gas? MNRAS **363**, 2–28 (2005). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09451.x

A. Khalatyan, A. Cattaneo, M. Schramm, S. Gottlöber, M. Steinmetz, L. Wisotzki, Is AGN feedback necessary to form red elliptical galaxies? MNRAS **387**, 13–30 (2008). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13093.x

D.-W. Kim, G. Fabbiano, X-Ray Scaling Relation in Early-type Galaxies: Dark Matter as a Primary Factor in Retaining Hot Gas. ApJ **776**, 116 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/776/2/116

D.-W. Kim, G. Fabbiano, X-Ray Scaling Relations of 'Core' and 'Coreless' E and S0 Galaxies. ApJ **812**, 127 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/812/2/127

A. King, Black Holes, Galaxy Formation, and the M_{BH}-σ Relation. ApJ **596**, 27–29 (2003). doi:10.1086/379143

G.R. Knapp, M.P. Rupen, Molecular Gas in Elliptical Galaxies: CO Observations of an IRAS Flux-limited Sample. ApJ **460**, 271 (1996). doi:10.1086/176967

G.R. Knapp, E.L. Turner, P.E. Cunniffe, The statistical distribution of the neutral-hydrogen content of elliptical galaxies. AJ **90**, 454–468 (1985). doi:10.1086/113751

G.R. Knapp, P. Guhathakurta, D.-W. Kim, M.A. Jura, Interstellar matter in early-type galaxies. I - IRAS flux densities. ApJS **70**, 329–387 (1989). doi:10.1086/191342

J. Kormendy, L.C. Ho, Coevolution (Or Not) of Supermassive Black Holes and Host Galaxies. ARA&A **51**, 511–653 (2013). doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101811

J. Kormendy, D.B. Fisher, M.E. Cornell, R. Bender, Structure and Formation of Elliptical and Spheroidal Galaxies. ApJS **182**, 216–309 (2009). doi:10.1088/0067-0049/182/1/216

C. Lacey, S. Cole, Merger rates in hierarchical models of galaxy formation. MNRAS **262**, 627–649 (1993). doi:10.1093/mnras/262.3.627

K. Lakhchaura, N. Werner, M. Sun, R.E.A. Canning, M. Gaspari, S.W. Allen, T. Connor, M. Donahue, C. Sarazin, Thermodynamic properties, multiphase gas and AGN feedback in a large sample of giant ellipticals. MNRAS submitted, [arXiv: 1806.00455] (2018)

J.-T. Li, J.N. Bregman, Q.D. Wang, R.A. Crain, M.E. Anderson, S. Zhang, The Circum-Galactic Medium of Massive Spirals. II. Probing the Nature of Hot Gaseous Halo around the Most Massive Isolated Spiral Galaxies. ApJS **233**, 20 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4365/aa96fc

J.-T. Li, J.N. Bregman, Q.D. Wang, R.A. Crain, M.E. Anderson, Baryon Budget of

the Hot Circumgalactic Medium of Massive Spiral Galaxies. ApJ **855**, 24 (2018). doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aab2af

M. Loewenstein, E.G. Zweibel, M.C. Begelman, Cosmic-ray heating of cooling flows - A critical analysis. ApJ **377**, 392–402 (1991). doi:10.1086/170369

C.J. Lonsdale, D. Farrah, H.E. Smith, Ultraluminous Infrared Galaxies, ed. by J.W. Mason 2006, p. 285. doi:10.1007/3-540-30313-8_9

N. Lyskova, E. Churazov, A. Moiseev, O. Sil'chenko, I. Zhuravleva, Stellar kinematics of X-ray bright massive elliptical galaxies. MNRAS **441**, 2013–2033 (2014). doi:10.1093/mnras/stu717

M. Lyutikov, Magnetic draping of merging cores and radio bubbles in clusters of galaxies. MNRAS **373**, 73–78 (2006). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10835.x

C.-P. Ma, J.E. Greene, N. McConnell, R. Janish, J.P. Blakeslee, J. Thomas, J.D. Murphy, The MASSIVE Survey. I. A Volume-limited Integral-field Spectroscopic Study of the Most Massive Early-type Galaxies within 108 Mpc. ApJ **795**, 158 (2014). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/795/2/158

F. Macchetto, M. Pastoriza, N. Caon, W.B. Sparks, M. Giavalisco, R. Bender, M. Capaccioli, A survey of the ISM in early-type galaxies. I. The ionized gas. A&AS **120**, 463–488 (1996)

M. Machacek, P.E.J. Nulsen, C. Jones, W.R. Forman, Chandra Observations of Nuclear Outflows in the Elliptical Galaxy NGC 4552 in the Virgo Cluster. ApJ **648**, 947–955 (2006). doi:10.1086/505963

J. Magorrian, S. Tremaine, D. Richstone, R. Bender, G. Bower, A. Dressler, S.M. Faber, K. Gebhardt, R. Green, C. Grillmair, J. Kormendy, T. Lauer, The Demography of Massive Dark Objects in Galaxy Centers. AJ **115**, 2285–2305 (1998). doi:10.1086/300353

A. Malagoli, R. Rosner, G. Bodo, On the thermal instability of galactic and cluster halos. ApJ **319**, 632–636 (1987). doi:10.1086/165483

C.L. Martin, A.E. Shapley, A.L. Coil, K.A. Kornei, N. Murray, A. Pancoast, Scattered Emission from z ˜ 1 Galactic Outflows. ApJ **770**, 41 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/41

W.G. Mathews, J.N. Bregman, Radiative accretion flow onto giant galaxies in clusters. ApJ **224**, 308–319 (1978). doi:10.1086/156379

W.G. Mathews, F. Brighenti, Hot Gas in and around Elliptical Galaxies. ARA&A **41**, 191–239 (2003). doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.41.090401.094542

W.G. Mathews, A. Faltenbacher, F. Brighenti, Heating Cooling Flows with Weak Shock Waves. ApJ **638**, 659–667 (2006). doi:10.1086/499119

M. McCourt, I.J. Parrish, P. Sharma, E. Quataert, Can conduction induce convection? On the non-linear saturation of buoyancy instabilities in dilute plasmas. MNRAS **413**, 1295–1310 (2011). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18216.x

M. McCourt, P. Sharma, E. Quataert, I.J. Parrish, Thermal instability in gravitationally stratified plasmas: implications for multiphase structure in clusters and galaxy haloes. MNRAS **419**, 3319–3337 (2012). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19972.x

M. McDonald, L.H. Wei, S. Veilleux, Cold Molecular Gas along the Cooling X-Ray Filament in A1795. ApJ **755**, 24 (2012). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/755/2/L24

B.R. McNamara, P.E.J. Nulsen, Mechanical feedback from active galactic nuclei in galaxies, groups and clusters. New Journal of Physics **14**(5), 055023 (2012). doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/5/055023

B.R. McNamara, R.W. O'Connell, Star formation in cooling flows in clusters of galaxies. AJ **98**, 2018–2043 (1989). doi:10.1086/115275

B.R. McNamara, M. Rohanizadegan, P.E.J. Nulsen, Are Radio Active Galactic Nuclei Powered by Accretion or Black Hole Spin? ApJ **727**, 39 (2011). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/727/1/39

B.R. McNamara, M. Wise, P.E.J. Nulsen, L.P. David, C.L. Sarazin, M. Bautz, M. Markevitch, A. Vikhlinin, W.R. Forman, C. Jones, D.E. Harris, Chandra X-Ray Observations of the Hydra A Cluster: An Interaction between the Radio Source and the X-Ray-emitting Gas. ApJ **534**, 135–138 (2000). doi:10.1086/312662

B.R. McNamara, H.R. Russell, P.E.J. Nulsen, M.T. Hogan, A.C. Fabian, F. Pulido, A.C. Edge, A Mechanism for Stimulating AGN Feedback by Lifting Gas in Massive Galaxies. ApJ **830**, 79 (2016). doi:10.3847/0004-637X/830/2/79

A. Merloni, S. Heinz, T. di Matteo, A Fundamental Plane of black hole activity. MNRAS

345, 1057–1076 (2003). doi:10.1046/j.1365-2966.2003.07017.x

F. Mernier, V. Biffi, H. Yamaguchi, P. Medvedev, A. Simionescu, S. Ettori, N. Werner, J.S. Kaastra, J. de Plaa, L. Gu, Enrichment of the hot intracluster medium: observations. [arXiv: 1811.01967] (2018a)

F. Mernier, J. de Plaa, N. Werner, J.S. Kaastra, A.J.J. Raassen, L. Gu, J. Mao, I. Urdampilleta, N. Truong, A. Simionescu, Mass-invariance of the iron enrichment in the hot haloes of massive ellipticals, groups, and clusters of galaxies. MNRAS **478**, 116–121 (2018b). doi:10.1093/mnrasl/sly080

M.J. Miller, J.N. Bregman, The Structure of the Milky Way's Hot Gas Halo. ApJ **770**, 118 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/770/2/118

M.J. Miller, J.N. Bregman, Constraining the Milky Way's Hot Gas Halo with O VII and O VIII Emission Lines. ApJ **800**, 14 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/800/1/14

B.P. Moster, T. Naab, S.D.M. White, Galactic star formation and accretion histories from matching galaxies to dark matter haloes. MNRAS **428**, 3121–3138 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/sts261

T. Naab, J.P. Ostriker, Theoretical Challenges in Galaxy Formation. ARA&A **55**, 59–109 (2017). doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-081913-040019

R. Narayan, I. Yi, Advection-dominated accretion: A self-similar solution. ApJ **428**, 13–16 (1994). doi:10.1086/187381

J.F. Navarro, C.S. Frenk, S.D.M. White, A Universal Density Profile from Hierarchical Clustering. ApJ **490**, 493–508 (1997). doi:10.1086/304888

A. Negri, L. Ciotti, S. Pellegrini, The effects of stellar dynamics on the X-ray emission of flat early-type galaxies. MNRAS **439**, 823–844 (2014a). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt2505

A. Negri, S. Posacki, S. Pellegrini, L. Ciotti, The effects of galaxy shape and rotation on the X-ray haloes of early-type galaxies - II. Numerical simulations. MNRAS **445**, 1351–1369 (2014b). doi:10.1093/mnras/stu1834

R.S. Nemmen, A. Tchekhovskoy, On the efficiency of jet production in radio galaxies. MNRAS **449**, 316–327 (2015). doi:10.1093/mnras/stv260

P.E.J. Nulsen, Thermal instability in cooling flows. MNRAS **221**, 377–392 (1986)

P. Nulsen, C. Jones, W. Forman, E. Churazov, B. McNamara, L. David, S. Murray, Radio Mode Outbursts in Giant Elliptical Galaxies, in *American Institute of Physics Conference Series*, ed. by S. Heinz, E. Wilcots American Institute of Physics Conference Series, vol. 1201, 2009, pp. 198–201. doi:10.1063/1.3293033

R.W. O'Connell, Far-Ultraviolet Radiation from Elliptical Galaxies. ARA&A **37**, 603–648 (1999). doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.37.1.603

A. Ogorzalek, I. Zhuravleva, S.W. Allen, C. Pinto, N. Werner, A.B. Mantz, R.E.A. Canning, A.C. Fabian, J.S. Kaastra, J. de Plaa, Improved measurements of turbulence in the hot gaseous atmospheres of nearby giant elliptical galaxies. MNRAS **472**, 1659–1676 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx2030

H. Omma, J. Binney, G. Bryan, A. Slyz, Heating cooling flows with jets. MNRAS **348**, 1105–1119 (2004). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07382.x

L. Oser, T. Naab, J.P. Ostriker, P.H. Johansson, The Cosmological Size and Velocity Dispersion Evolution of Massive Early-type Galaxies. ApJ **744**, 63 (2012). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/744/1/63

E. O'Sullivan, T.J. Ponman, The isolated elliptical NGC 4555 observed with Chandra. MNRAS **354**, 935–944 (2004). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08257.x

E. O'Sullivan, A.J.R. Sanderson, T.J. Ponman, The dark haloes of early-type galaxies in low-density environments: XMM-Newton and Chandra observations of NGC 57, 7796 and IC 1531. MNRAS **380**, 1409–1421 (2007). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12229.x

F. Paerels, A. Rasmussen, S. Kahn, J.W. Herder, C. Vries, X-ray Absorption and Emission Spectroscopy of the Intergalactic Medium at Small Redshift, in *XEUS - studying the evolution of the hot universe*, ed. by G. Hasinger, T. Boller, A.N. Parmer, 2003, p. 57

E.K. Panagoulia, A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, A volume-limited sample of X-ray galaxy groups and clusters - I. Radial entropy and cooling time profiles. MNRAS **438**, 2341–2354 (2014). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt2349

P. Panuzzo, R. Rampazzo, A. Bressan, O. Vega, F. Annibali, L.M. Buson, M.S. Clemens, W.W. Zeilinger, Nearby early-type galaxies with ionized gas. VI. The Spitzer-IRS view. Basic data set analysis and empirical spectral classification. A&A **528**, 10 (2011).

doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201015908
A. Pedlar, H.S. Ghataure, R.D. Davies, B.A. Harrison, R. Perley, P.C. Crane, S.W. Unger, The Radio Structure of NGC1275. MNRAS **246**, 477 (1990)
S. Pellegrini, Hot Gas Flows on Global and Nuclear Galactic Scales, in *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, ed. by D.-W. Kim, S. Pellegrini Astrophysics and Space Science Library, vol. 378, 2012, p. 21. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-0580-1_2
S. Pellegrini, L. Ciotti, A. Negri, J.P. Ostriker, Active Galactic Nuclei Feedback and the Origin and Fate of the Hot Gas in Early-type Galaxies. ApJ **856**, 115 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaae07
M. Pettini, A.E. Shapley, C.C. Steidel, J.-G. Cuby, M. Dickinson, A.F.M. Moorwood, K.L. Adelberger, M. Giavalisco, The Rest-Frame Optical Spectra of Lyman Break Galaxies: Star Formation, Extinction, Abundances, and Kinematics. ApJ **554**, 981–1000 (2001). doi:10.1086/321403
C. Pfrommer, Toward a Comprehensive Model for Feedback by Active Galactic Nuclei: New Insights from M87 Observations by LOFAR, Fermi, and H.E.S.S. ApJ **779**, 10 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/779/1/10
C. Pfrommer, T.A. Enßlin, C.L. Sarazin, Unveiling the composition of radio plasma bubbles in galaxy clusters with the Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect. A&A **430**, 799–810 (2005). doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20041576
C. Pinto, J.S. Sanders, N. Werner, J. de Plaa, A.C. Fabian, Y.-Y. Zhang, J.S. Kaastra, A. Finoguenov, J. Ahoranta, Chemical Enrichment RGS cluster Sample (CHEERS): Constraints on turbulence. A&A **575**, 38 (2015). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201425278
F. Pizzolato, N. Soker, On the Nature of Feedback Heating in Cooling Flow Clusters. ApJ **632**, 821–830 (2005). doi:10.1086/444344
Planck Collaboration, P.A.R. Ade, N. Aghanim, M. Arnaud, M. Ashdown, F. Atrio-Barandela, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, A. Balbi, A.J. Banday, et al., Planck intermediate results. XI. The gas content of dark matter halos: the Sunyaev-Zeldovich-stellar mass relation for locally brightest galaxies. A&A **557**, 52 (2013). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201220941
D. Prasad, P. Sharma, A. Babul, Cool Core Cycles: Cold Gas and AGN Jet Feedback in Cluster Cores. ApJ **811**, 108 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/811/2/108
D. Prasad, P. Sharma, A. Babul, AGN jet-driven stochastic cold accretion in cluster cores. MNRAS **471**, 1531–1542 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx1698
M.A. Prieto, J.A. Fernández-Ontiveros, S. Markoff, D. Espada, O. González-Martín, The central parsecs of M87: jet emission and an elusive accretion disc. MNRAS **457**, 3801–3816 (2016). doi:10.1093/mnras/stw166
D.A. Prokhorov, E.M. Churazov, Counting gamma rays in the directions of galaxy clusters. A&A **567**, 93 (2014). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201322454
D.A. Prokhorov, E.M. Churazov, Confinement and diffusion time-scales of CR hadrons in AGN-inflated bubbles. MNRAS **470**, 3388–3394 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx1404
D.A. Prokhorov, V. Antonuccio-Delogu, J. Silk, Comptonization of the cosmic microwave background by high energy particles residing in AGN cocoons. A&A **520**, 106 (2010). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200913920
F.A. Pulido, B.R. McNamara, A.C. Edge, M.T. Hogan, A.N. Vantyghem, H.R. Russell, P.E.J. Nulsen, I. Babyk, P. Salomé, The Origin of Molecular Clouds in Central Galaxies. ApJ **853**, 177 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aaa54b
V. Quilis, I. Trujillo, Expected Number of Massive Galaxy Relics in the Present Day Universe. ApJ **773**, 8 (2013). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/773/1/L8
D.A. Rafferty, B.R. McNamara, P.E.J. Nulsen, The Regulation of Cooling and Star Formation in Luminous Galaxies by Active Galactic Nucleus Feedback and the Cooling-Time/Entropy Threshold for the Onset of Star Formation. ApJ **687**, 899–918 (2008). doi:10.1086/591240
D.A. Rafferty, B.R. McNamara, P.E.J. Nulsen, M.W. Wise, The Feedback-regulated Growth of Black Holes and Bulges through Gas Accretion and Starbursts in Cluster Central Dominant Galaxies. ApJ **652**, 216–231 (2006). doi:10.1086/507672
S.W. Randall, W.R. Forman, S. Giacintucci, P.E.J. Nulsen, M. Sun, C. Jones, E. Churazov, L.P. David, R. Kraft, M. Donahue, E.L. Blanton, A. Simionescu, N. Werner, Shocks and Cavities from Multiple Outbursts in the Galaxy Group NGC 5813: A Window to Active

Galactic Nucleus Feedback. ApJ **726**, 86 (2011). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/726/2/86
S.W. Randall, P.E.J. Nulsen, C. Jones, W.R. Forman, E. Bulbul, T.E. Clarke, R. Kraft, E.L. Blanton, L. David, N. Werner, M. Sun, M. Donahue, S. Giacintucci, A. Simionescu, A Very Deep Chandra Observation of the Galaxy Group NGC 5813: AGN Shocks, Feedback, and Outburst History. ApJ **805**, 112 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/805/2/112
S.M. Rao, D.A. Turnshek, D.B. Nestor, Damped Lyα Systems at $z < 1.65$: The Expanded Sloan Digital Sky Survey Hubble Space Telescope Sample. ApJ **636**, 610–630 (2006). doi:10.1086/498132
J. Rasmussen, T.J. Ponman, Temperature and abundance profiles of hot gas in galaxy groups - II. Implications for feedback and ICM enrichment. MNRAS **399**, 239–263 (2009). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15244.x
M.J. Rees, J.P. Ostriker, Cooling, dynamics and fragmentation of massive gas clouds - Clues to the masses and radii of galaxies and clusters. MNRAS **179**, 541–559 (1977). doi:10.1093/mnras/179.4.541
M.J. Rees, M.C. Begelman, R.D. Blandford, E.S. Phinney, Ion-supported tori and the origin of radio jets. Nature **295**, 17–21 (1982). doi:10.1038/295017a0
C.S. Reynolds, S.A. Balbus, A.A. Schekochihin, Inefficient Driving of Bulk Turbulence By Active Galactic Nuclei in a Hydrodynamic Model of the Intracluster Medium. ApJ **815**, 41 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/815/1/41
C.S. Reynolds, A.C. Fabian, A. Celotti, M.J. Rees, The matter content of the jet in M87: evidence for an electron-positron jet. MNRAS **283**, 873–880 (1996). doi:10.1093/mnras/283.3.873
C.S. Reynolds, B. McKernan, A.C. Fabian, J.M. Stone, J.C. Vernaleo, Buoyant radio lobes in a viscous intracluster medium. MNRAS **357**, 242–250 (2005). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08643.x
H.R. Russell, A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, R.M. Johnstone, K.M. Blundell, W.N. Brandt, C.S. Crawford, The X-ray luminous cluster underlying the bright radio-quiet quasar H1821+643. MNRAS **402**, 1561–1579 (2010). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.16027.x
H.R. Russell, B.R. McNamara, A.C. Edge, M.T. Hogan, R.A. Main, A.N. Vantyghem, Radiative efficiency, variability and Bondi accretion on to massive black holes: the transition from radio AGN to quasars in brightest cluster galaxies. MNRAS **432**, 530–553 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt490
H.R. Russell, A.C. Fabian, B.R. McNamara, A.E. Broderick, Inside the Bondi radius of M87. MNRAS **451**, 588–600 (2015). doi:10.1093/mnras/stv954
H.R. Russell, M. McDonald, B.R. McNamara, A.C. Fabian, P.E.J. Nulsen, M.B. Bayliss, B.A. Benson, M. Brodwin, J.E. Carlstrom, A.C. Edge, J. Hlavacek-Larrondo, D.P. Marrone, C.L. Reichardt, J.D. Vieira, Alma Observations of Massive Molecular Gas Filaments Encasing Radio Bubbles in the Phoenix Cluster. ApJ **836**, 130 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/836/1/130
H.R. Russell, A.C. Fabian, B.R. McNamara, J.M. Miller, P.E.J. Nulsen, J.M. Piotrowska, C.S. Reynolds, The imprints of AGN feedback within a supermassive black hole's sphere of influence. MNRAS (2018). doi:10.1093/mnras/sty835
M. Ruszkowski, M. Brüggen, M.C. Begelman, Cluster Heating by Viscous Dissipation of Sound Waves. ApJ **611**, 158–163 (2004). doi:10.1086/422158
M. Ruszkowski, H.-Y.K. Yang, C.S. Reynolds, Cosmic-Ray Feedback Heating of the Intracluster Medium. ApJ **844**, 13 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa79f8
M. Ruszkowski, T.A. Enßlin, M. Brüggen, S. Heinz, C. Pfrommer, Impact of tangled magnetic fields on fossil radio bubbles. MNRAS **378**, 662–672 (2007). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11801.x
M. Ruszkowski, T.A. Enßlin, M. Brüggen, M.C. Begelman, E. Churazov, Cosmic ray confinement in fossil cluster bubbles. MNRAS **383**, 1359–1365 (2008). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12659.x
E.M. Sadler, O.E. Gerhard, How common are 'dust-lanes' in early-type galaxies? MNRAS **214**, 177–187 (1985). doi:10.1093/mnras/214.2.177
P. Salomé, F. Combes, Y. Revaz, D. Downes, A.C. Edge, A.C. Fabian, A very extended molecular web around NGC 1275. A&A **531**, 85 (2011). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200811333
J.S. Sanders, A.C. Fabian, A deeper X-ray study of the core of the Perseus galaxy cluster:

the power of sound waves and the distribution of metals and cosmic rays. MNRAS **381**, 1381–1399 (2007). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12347.x

J.S. Sanders, A.C. Fabian, Velocity width measurements of the coolest X-ray emitting material in the cores of clusters, groups and elliptical galaxies. MNRAS **429**, 2727–2738 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/sts543

J.S. Sanders, A.C. Fabian, R.K. Smith, Constraints on turbulent velocity broadening for a sample of clusters, groups and elliptical galaxies using XMM-Newton. MNRAS **410**, 1797–1812 (2011). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17561.x

J.S. Sanders, A.C. Fabian, K.A. Frank, J.R. Peterson, H.R. Russell, Deep high-resolution X-ray spectra from cool-core clusters. MNRAS **402**, 127–144 (2010). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15902.x

C.L. Sarazin, J.O. Burns, K. Roettiger, B.R. McNamara, Comparison of the Radio, Optical, and X-Ray Structures of the cD Galaxy in Abell 2597. ApJ **447**, 559 (1995). doi:10.1086/175899

M. Sarzi, K. Alatalo, L. Blitz, M. Bois, F. Bournaud, M. Bureau, M. Cappellari, A. Crocker, R.L. Davies, T.A. Davis, P.T. de Zeeuw, P.-A. Duc, E. Emsellem, S. Khochfar, D. Krajnović, H. Kuntschner, P.-Y. Lablanche, R.M. McDermid, R. Morganti, T. Naab, T. Oosterloo, N. Scott, P. Serra, L.M. Young, A.-M. Weijmans, The ATLAS^{3D} project - XIX. The hot gas content of early-type galaxies: fast versus slow rotators. MNRAS **432**, 1845–1861 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt062

S.Y. Sazonov, J.P. Ostriker, R.A. Sunyaev, Quasars: the characteristic spectrum and the induced radiative heating. MNRAS **347**, 144–156 (2004). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07184.x

S.Y. Sazonov, J.P. Ostriker, L. Ciotti, R.A. Sunyaev, Radiative feedback from quasars and the growth of massive black holes in stellar spheroids. MNRAS **358**, 168–180 (2005). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08763.x

E. Scannapieco, S.P. Oh, Quasar Feedback: The Missing Link in Structure Formation. ApJ **608**, 62–79 (2004). doi:10.1086/386542

E. Scannapieco, J. Silk, R. Bouwens, AGN Feedback Causes Downsizing. ApJ **635**, 13–16 (2005). doi:10.1086/499271

P. Sharma, M. McCourt, E. Quataert, I.J. Parrish, Thermal instability and the feedback regulation of hot haloes in clusters, groups and galaxies. MNRAS **420**, 3174–3194 (2012). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.20246.x

A. Siemiginowska, C.C. Cheung, S. LaMassa, D.J. Burke, T.L. Aldcroft, J. Bechtold, M. Elvis, D.M. Worrall, X-Ray Cluster Associated with the z = 1.063 CSS Quasar 3C 186: The Jet is Not Frustrated. ApJ **632**, 110–121 (2005). doi:10.1086/432871

A. Siemiginowska, D.J. Burke, T.L. Aldcroft, D.M. Worrall, S. Allen, J. Bechtold, T. Clarke, C.C. Cheung, High-redshift X-ray Cooling-core Cluster Associated with the Luminous Radio-loud Quasar 3C 186. ApJ **722**, 102–111 (2010). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/722/1/102

J. Silk, On the fragmentation of cosmic gas clouds. I - The formation of galaxies and the first generation of stars. ApJ **211**, 638–648 (1977). doi:10.1086/154972

J. Silk, M.J. Rees, Quasars and galaxy formation. A&A **331**, 1–4 (1998)

A. Simionescu, G. Tremblay, N. Werner, R.E.A. Canning, S.W. Allen, J.B.R. Oonk, ALMA observation of the disruption of molecular gas in M87. MNRAS **475**, 3004–3009 (2018). doi:10.1093/mnras/sty047

S.L. Snowden, R. Egger, M.J. Freyberg, D. McCammon, P.P. Plucinsky, W.T. Sanders, J.H.M.M. Schmitt, J. Trümper, W. Voges, ROSAT Survey Diffuse X-Ray Background Maps. II. ApJ **485**, 125–135 (1997). doi:10.1086/304399

N. Soker, The jet feedback mechanism (JFM) in stars, galaxies and clusters. New A Rev. **75**, 1–23 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.newar.2016.08.002

N. Soker, F. Pizzolato, Feedback Heating with Slow Jets in Cooling Flow Clusters. ApJ **622**, 847–852 (2005). doi:10.1086/428112

A. Soltan, Masses of quasars. MNRAS **200**, 115–122 (1982). doi:10.1093/mnras/200.1.115

R.S. Somerville, P.F. Hopkins, T.J. Cox, B.E. Robertson, L. Hernquist, A semi-analytic model for the co-evolution of galaxies, black holes and active galactic nuclei. MNRAS **391**, 481–506 (2008). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.13805.x

A. Spacek, E. Scannapieco, S. Cohen, B. Joshi, P. Mauskopf, Constraining AGN Feedback in

Massive Ellipticals with South Pole Telescope Measurements of the Thermal Sunyaev-Zel'dovich Effect. ApJ **819**, 128 (2016). doi:10.3847/0004-637X/819/2/128

A. Spacek, E. Scannapieco, S. Cohen, B. Joshi, P. Mauskopf, Searching for Fossil Evidence of AGN Feedback in WISE-selected Stripe-82 Galaxies by Measuring the Thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect with the Atacama Cosmology Telescope. ApJ **834**, 102 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/834/2/102

A. Spacek, M.L.A. Richardson, E. Scannapieco, J. Devriendt, Y. Dubois, S. Peirani, C. Pichon, Using Real and Simulated Measurements of the Thermal Sunyaev Zeldovich Effect to Constrain Models of AGN Feedback. ApJ **865**, 109 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aada01

W.B. Sparks, H.C. Ford, A.L. Kinney, The dusty emission filaments of M87. ApJ **413**, 531–541 (1993). doi:10.1086/173022

W.B. Sparks, J.E. Pringle, M. Donahue, R. Carswell, M. Voit, M. Cracraft, R.G. Martin, Discovery of C IV Emission Filaments in M87. ApJ **704**, 20–24 (2009). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/704/1/L20

W.B. Sparks, J.E. Pringle, R.F. Carswell, M. Donahue, R. Martin, M. Voit, M. Cracraft, N. Manset, J.H. Hough, Hundred Thousand Degree Gas in the Virgo Cluster of Galaxies. ApJ **750**, 5 (2012). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/750/1/L5

D.K. Strickland, T.M. Heckman, Supernova Feedback Efficiency and Mass Loading in the Starburst and Galactic Superwind Exemplar M82. ApJ **697**, 2030–2056 (2009). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/697/2/2030

Y. Su, J.A. Irwin, R.E. White III, M.C. Cooper, The Scatter in the Hot Gas Content of Early-type Galaxies. ApJ **806**, 156 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/806/2/156

T. Suginohara, J.P. Ostriker, The Effect of Cooling on the Density Profile of Hot Gas in Clusters of Galaxies: Is Additional Physics Needed? ApJ **507**, 16–23 (1998). doi:10.1086/306326

M. Sun, Hot gas in galaxy groups: recent observations. New Journal of Physics **14**(4), 045004 (2012). doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/4/045004

M. Sun, C. Jones, W. Forman, A. Vikhlinin, M. Donahue, M. Voit, X-Ray Thermal Coronae of Galaxies in Hot Clusters: Ubiquity of Embedded Mini-Cooling Cores. ApJ **657**, 197–231 (2007). doi:10.1086/510895

X. Tang, E. Churazov, Sound wave generation by a spherically symmetric outburst and AGN feedback in galaxy clusters. MNRAS **468**, 3516–3532 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx590

G.B. Taylor, F. Govoni, S.W. Allen, A.C. Fabian, Magnetic fields in the 3C 129 cluster. MNRAS **326**, 2–10 (2001). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.04587.x

G.B. Taylor, A.C. Fabian, G. Gentile, S.W. Allen, C. Crawford, J.S. Sanders, Fields and filaments in the core of the Centaurus cluster. MNRAS **382**, 67–72 (2007). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12368.x

P. Temi, F. Brighenti, W.G. Mathews, Far-Infrared Spitzer Observations of Elliptical Galaxies: Evidence for Extended Diffuse Dust. ApJ **660**, 1215–1231 (2007a). doi:10.1086/513690

P. Temi, F. Brighenti, W.G. Mathews, Spitzer Observations of Transient, Extended Dust in Two Elliptical Galaxies: New Evidence of Recent Feedback Energy Release in Galactic Cores. ApJ **666**, 222–230 (2007b). doi:10.1086/520123

P. Temi, A. Amblard, M. Gitti, F. Brighenti, M. Gaspari, W.G. Mathews, L. David, ALMA Observations of Molecular Clouds in Three Group-centered Elliptical Galaxies: NGC 5846, NGC 4636, and NGC 5044. ApJ **858**, 17 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab9b0

T. Tepper-García, J. Bland-Hawthorn, R.S. Sutherland, The Magellanic Stream: Break-up and Accretion onto the Hot Galactic Corona. ApJ **813**, 94 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/813/2/94

R.J. Thacker, E. Scannapieco, H.M.P. Couchman, Quasars: What Turns Them Off? ApJ **653**, 86–100 (2006). doi:10.1086/508650

C. Thom, J. Tumlinson, J.K. Werk, J.X. Prochaska, B.D. Oppenheimer, M.S. Peeples, T.M. Tripp, N.S. Katz, J.M. O'Meara, A.B. Ford, R. Davé, K.R. Sembach, D.H. Weinberg, Not Dead Yet: Cool Circumgalactic Gas in the Halos of Early-type Galaxies. ApJ **758**, 41 (2012). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/758/2/L41

D. Thomas, C. Maraston, R. Bender, C. Mendes de Oliveira, The Epochs of Early-Type Galaxy Formation as a Function of Environment. ApJ **621**, 673–694 (2005).

doi:10.1086/426932
R. Tojeiro, W.J. Percival, A.F. Heavens, R. Jimenez, The stellar evolution of luminous red galaxies, and its dependence on colour, redshift, luminosity and modelling. MNRAS **413**, 434–460 (2011). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.18148.x
P. Tozzi, C. Norman, The Evolution of X-Ray Clusters and the Entropy of the Intracluster Medium. ApJ **546**, 63–84 (2001). doi:10.1086/318237
G.R. Tremblay, J.B.R. Oonk, F. Combes, P. Salomé, C.P. O'Dea, S.A. Baum, G.M. Voit, M. Donahue, B.R. McNamara, T.A. Davis, M.A. McDonald, A.C. Edge, T.E. Clarke, R. Galván-Madrid, M.N. Bremer, L.O.V. Edwards, A.C. Fabian, S. Hamer, Y. Li, A. Maury, H.R. Russell, A.C. Quillen, C.M. Urry, J.S. Sanders, M.W. Wise, Cold, clumpy accretion onto an active supermassive black hole. Nature **534**, 218–221 (2016). doi:10.1038/nature17969
T. Treu, R.S. Ellis, T.X. Liao, P.G. van Dokkum, Keck Spectroscopy of Distant GOODS Spheroidal Galaxies: Downsizing in a Hierarchical Universe. ApJ **622**, 5–8 (2005). doi:10.1086/429374
I. Trujillo, N.M. Förster Schreiber, G. Rudnick, M. Barden, M. Franx, H.-W. Rix, J.A.R. Caldwell, D.H. McIntosh, S. Toft, B. Häussler, A. Zirm, P.G. van Dokkum, I. Labbé, A. Moorwood, H. Röttgering, A. van der Wel, P. van der Werf, L. van Starkenburg, The Size Evolution of Galaxies since z˜3: Combining SDSS, GEMS, and FIRES. ApJ **650**, 18–41 (2006). doi:10.1086/506464
I. Trujillo, C.J. Conselice, K. Bundy, M.C. Cooper, P. Eisenhardt, R.S. Ellis, Strong size evolution of the most massive galaxies since $z \sim 2$. MNRAS **382**, 109–120 (2007). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12388.x
I. Trujillo, A. Ferré-Mateu, M. Balcells, A. Vazdekis, P. Sánchez-Blázquez, NGC 1277: A Massive Compact Relic Galaxy in the Nearby Universe. ApJ **780**, 20 (2014). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/780/2/L20
J. Tumlinson, C. Thom, J.K. Werk, J.X. Prochaska, T.M. Tripp, N. Katz, R. Davé, B.D. Oppenheimer, J.D. Meiring, A.B. Ford, J.M. O'Meara, M.S. Peeples, K.R. Sembach, D.H. Weinberg, The COS-Halos Survey: Rationale, Design, and a Census of Circumgalactic Neutral Hydrogen. ApJ **777**, 59 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/777/1/59
P.G. van Dokkum, M. Franx, N.M. Förster Schreiber, G.D. Illingworth, E. Daddi, K.K. Knudsen, I. Labbé, A. Moorwood, H.-W. Rix, H. Röttgering, G. Rudnick, I. Trujillo, P. van der Werf, A. van der Wel, L. van Starkenburg, S. Wuyts, Stellar Populations and Kinematics of Red Galaxies at z > 2: Implications for the Formation of Massive Galaxies. ApJ **611**, 703–724 (2004). doi:10.1086/422308
S. Veilleux, G. Cecil, J. Bland-Hawthorn, Galactic Winds. ARA&A **43**, 769–826 (2005). doi:10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150610
S. Veilleux, D.-C. Kim, C.Y. Peng, L.C. Ho, L.J. Tacconi, K.M. Dasyra, R. Genzel, D. Lutz, D.B. Sanders, A Deep Hubble Space Telescope H-Band Imaging Survey of Massive Gas-rich Mergers. ApJ **643**, 707–723 (2006). doi:10.1086/503188
A.A. Vikhlinin, A.V. Kravtsov, M.L. Markevich, R.A. Sunyaev, E.M. Churazov, Clusters of galaxies. Physics Uspekhi **57**, 317–341 (2014). doi:10.3367/UFNe.0184.201404a.0339
G.M. Voit, A Role for Turbulence in Circumgalactic Precipitation. ArXiv e-prints (2018)
G.M. Voit, M. Donahue, Cooling Time, Freefall Time, and Precipitation in the Cores of ACCEPT Galaxy Clusters. ApJ **799**, 1 (2015). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/799/1/L1
G.M. Voit, S.T. Kay, G.L. Bryan, The baseline intracluster entropy profile from gravitational structure formation. MNRAS **364**, 909–916 (2005). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09621.x
G.M. Voit, G.L. Bryan, B.W. O'Shea, M. Donahue, Precipitation-regulated Star Formation in Galaxies. ApJ **808**, 30 (2015a). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/808/1/L30
G.M. Voit, M. Donahue, G.L. Bryan, M. McDonald, Regulation of star formation in giant galaxies by precipitation, feedback and conduction. Nature **519**, 203–206 (2015b). doi:10.1038/nature14167
G.M. Voit, M. Donahue, B.W. O'Shea, G.L. Bryan, M. Sun, N. Werner, Supernova Sweeping and Black Hole Feedback in Elliptical Galaxies. ApJ **803**, 21 (2015c). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/803/2/L21
H.J. Völk, F.A. Aharonian, D. Breitschwerdt, The Nonthermal Energy Content and Gamma-Ray Emission of Starburst Galaxies and Clusters of Galaxies. Space Sci. Rev. **75**, 279–

297 (1996). doi:10.1007/BF00195040
J.L. Walsh, A.J. Barth, L.C. Ho, M. Sarzi, The M87 Black Hole Mass from Gas-dynamical Models of Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph Observations. ApJ **770**, 86 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/770/2/86
B.J. Weiner, A.L. Coil, J.X. Prochaska, J.A. Newman, M.C. Cooper, K. Bundy, C.J. Conselice, A.A. Dutton, S.M. Faber, D.C. Koo, J.M. Lotz, G.H. Rieke, K.H.R. Rubin, Ubiquitous Outflows in DEEP2 Spectra of Star-Forming Galaxies at z = 1.4. ApJ **692**, 187–211 (2009). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/692/1/187
N. Werner, S.W. Allen, A. Simionescu, On the thermodynamic self-similarity of the nearest, most relaxed, giant ellipticals. MNRAS **425**, 2731–2740 (2012). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21245.x
N. Werner, I. Zhuravleva, E. Churazov, A. Simionescu, S.W. Allen, W. Forman, C. Jones, J.S. Kaastra, Constraints on turbulent pressure in the X-ray haloes of giant elliptical galaxies from resonant scattering. MNRAS **398**, 23–32 (2009). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14860.x
N. Werner, A. Simionescu, E.T. Million, S.W. Allen, P.E.J. Nulsen, A. von der Linden, S.M. Hansen, H. Böhringer, E. Churazov, A.C. Fabian, W.R. Forman, C. Jones, J.S. Sanders, G.B. Taylor, Feedback under the microscope-II. Heating, gas uplift and mixing in the nearest cluster core. MNRAS **407**, 2063–2074 (2010). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16755.x
N. Werner, J.B.R. Oonk, R.E.A. Canning, S.W. Allen, A. Simionescu, J. Kos, R.J. van Weeren, A.C. Edge, A.C. Fabian, A. von der Linden, P.E.J. Nulsen, C.S. Reynolds, M. Ruszkowski, The Nature of Filamentary Cold Gas in the Core of the Virgo Cluster. ApJ **767**, 153 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/767/2/153
N. Werner, J.B.R. Oonk, M. Sun, P.E.J. Nulsen, S.W. Allen, R.E.A. Canning, A. Simionescu, A. Hoffer, T. Connor, M. Donahue, A.C. Edge, A.C. Fabian, A. von der Linden, C.S. Reynolds, M. Ruszkowski, The origin of cold gas in giant elliptical galaxies and its role in fuelling radio-mode AGN feedback. MNRAS **439**, 2291–2306 (2014). doi:10.1093/mnras/stu006
N. Werner, K. Lakhchaura, R.E.A. Canning, M. Gaspari, A. Simionescu, Digging for red nuggets: discovery of hot halos surrounding massive, compact, relic galaxies. MNRAS (2018). doi:10.1093/mnras/sty862
S.D.M. White, C.S. Frenk, Galaxy formation through hierarchical clustering. ApJ **379**, 52–79 (1991). doi:10.1086/170483
S.D.M. White, M.J. Rees, Core condensation in heavy halos - A two-stage theory for galaxy formation and clustering. MNRAS **183**, 341–358 (1978). doi:10.1093/mnras/183.3.341
J. Wiener, S.P. Oh, F. Guo, Cosmic ray streaming in clusters of galaxies. MNRAS **434**, 2209–2228 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1163
K.-W. Wong, J.A. Irwin, M. Yukita, E.T. Million, W.G. Mathews, J.N. Bregman, Resolving the Bondi Accretion Flow toward the Supermassive Black Hole of NGC 3115 with Chandra. ApJ **736**, 23 (2011). doi:10.1088/2041-8205/736/1/L23
K.-W. Wong, J.A. Irwin, R.V. Shcherbakov, M. Yukita, E.T. Million, J.N. Bregman, The Megasecond Chandra X-Ray Visionary Project Observation of NGC 3115: Witnessing the Flow of Hot Gas within the Bondi Radius. ApJ **780**, 9 (2014). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/780/1/9
H.-Y.K. Yang, C.S. Reynolds, How AGN Jets Heat the Intracluster Medium - Insights from Hydrodynamic Simulations. ApJ **829**, 90 (2016). doi:10.3847/0004-637X/829/2/90
R.M. Yates, P.A. Thomas, B.M.B. Henriques, Iron in galaxy groups and clusters: confronting galaxy evolution models with a newly homogenized data set. MNRAS **464**, 3169–3193 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stw2361
A. Yıldırım, R.C.E. van den Bosch, G. van de Ven, I. Martín-Navarro, J.L. Walsh, B. Husemann, K. Gültekin, K. Gebhardt, The structural and dynamical properties of compact elliptical galaxies. MNRAS **468**, 4216–4245 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx732
L.M. Young, M. Bureau, T.A. Davis, F. Combes, R.M. McDermid, K. Alatalo, L. Blitz, M. Bois, F. Bournaud, M. Cappellari, R.L. Davies, P.T. de Zeeuw, E. Emsellem, S. Khochfar, D. Krajnović, H. Kuntschner, P.-Y. Lablanche, R. Morganti, T. Naab, T. Oosterloo, M. Sarzi, N. Scott, P. Serra, A.-M. Weijmans, The ATLAS^{3D} project - IV. The molecular gas content of early-type galaxies. MNRAS **414**, 940–967 (2011).

doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.18561.x

F. Yuan, R. Narayan, Hot Accretion Flows Around Black Holes. ARA&A **52**, 529–588 (2014). doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-082812-141003

F.S. Zahedy, H.-W. Chen, M. Rauch, A. Zabludoff, HST Detection of Extended Neutral Hydrogen in a Massive Elliptical at z = 0.4. ApJ **846**, 29 (2017). doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aa88a2

C. Zhang, E. Churazov, A.A. Schekochihin, Generation of Internal Waves by Buoyant Bubbles in Galaxy Clusters and Heating of Intracluster Medium. MNRAS (2018). doi:10.1093/mnras/sty1269

Z. Zheng, A.L. Coil, I. Zehavi, Galaxy Evolution from Halo Occupation Distribution Modeling of DEEP2 and SDSS Galaxy Clustering. ApJ **667**, 760–779 (2007). doi:10.1086/521074

I. Zhuravleva, E. Churazov, A.A. Schekochihin, S.W. Allen, P. Arévalo, A.C. Fabian, W.R. Forman, J.S. Sanders, A. Simionescu, R. Sunyaev, A. Vikhlinin, N. Werner, Turbulent heating in galaxy clusters brightest in X-rays. Nature **515**, 85–87 (2014). doi:10.1038/nature13830

E.G. Zweibel, V.V. Mirnov, M. Ruszkowski, C.S. Reynolds, H.-Y.K. Yang, A.C. Fabian, Acoustic Disturbances in Galaxy Clusters. ApJ **858**, 5 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aab9ae