Decoherence-free propagation and ramification of a solitary pulse
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Using a microscopic master equation to account for the environmental effects, we compute the decoherence culminated on a two-level qubit when scattered by a narrow pulse. It is shown that the decoherence vanish when the pulse adopts a soliton shape of area integer multiples of $\pi$ and propagates through the qubit unaffected, which generalizes the self-induced transparency effect. When otherwise the decoherence does not vanish, the environmental feedback drives the soliton envelope at a decelerating velocity and induces an monotonic increase of pulse phase. Therefore, a pulse of arbitrary enveloping area would ramify into a transparent part that travels decoherence-free and a decaying part that separates itself from the former at an increasing distance. The ramification explains the environmental origin of soliton pulse splitting. The numerical analysis is based on a superconducting qubit circuit.

The interaction of atomic media with a narrow light pulse is decidedly different from those with a continuous-wave form. From one perspective, the coupled equations of motions for the former becomes effectively nonlinear and equipped with a time-dependent inhomogeneous term \cite{1} and thus much more difficult to solve than the equations for the latter. Phenomenon-wise, some narrow light pulses were found by McCall and Hahn, under the effect of self-induced transparency (SIT) \cite{2}, to be able to resonate with and yet propagate through a ruby sample of significant length absorption-free.

To explain this effect, they combine a torque equation, which describes the dynamics of the ruby atoms under the Feynman-Vernon-Hellworth model, with a Maxwell equation that has a polarization term to account for the feedback of the atoms to the propagating pulse. The solution to the coupled set of equations is a hypersecant function of local time, much similar to what describes the solitary wave of water in a shallow channel. The similarity in form lets one attribute the transparency phenomenon to solitons’ ability to retain its shape throughout the propagation, prompting the study of electromagnetic pulses under the theories of solitons \cite{3,4}.

However, when a thermal environment is taken into account, a microscopic view to decide whether a pulse would propagate through a single two-level atom decoherence-free and how the phase of the pulse carrier would be affected, is lacking. Here, we consider a qubit, such as an experimentally realizable superconducting qubit, whose motion and environmental dissipation are described by a microscopic adiabatic master equation as the scatterer of an incident microwave pulse. The master equation approach allows us to avoid the assumption of infinite $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxation times previously adopted \cite{5} and arrive at an analytical solution, which had only be obtained numerically for finite relaxation times \cite{6}.

Consequently, this microscopic interpretation is not just a scale-down reiteration, but permits one to trace the environmental effects to the pulse through a complex decoherence factor, whose real and imaginary parts corresponds to the longitudinal relaxation and the dephasing susceptible by the pulse during its interaction with the qubit.

Conventionally, the interpretation of SIT is established on a hypersecant pulse shape for the electric field envelop as a solution to the coupled Maxwell-Bloch equation, which is typical of a solitary wave obtained through the classical Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation \cite{7,9}. The characteristic transparency is found to depend on the area initially enclosed by the envelop: when the area is an integer multiple of $\pi$, the absorbed part would be entirely reemitted and recombined with the unab sorbed part. Employing the master equation to replace the decayless Bloch equation, we find that the pulse would not only appear absorption-free under the influence of a single qubit, but also propagate decoherence-free in the longitudinal direction, where the decay channels to the environment depend also on the pulse area during adiabatic evolution. The transverse relaxation is on the other hand determined by an integral formula. Zero-dephasing evolution during interaction is theoretically obtainable when the spectral distribution of the reservoir becomes orthogonal with respect to a phase-dependent sinusoidal function in time.

Given the separation of the longitudinal and the transverse relaxations in the decoherence factor, the Maxwell-master equations can be decoupled to solve for the adiabatic evolutions of the envelop and the phase of the electric field separately. The resulting analytic solution not only accounts for the environmental contributions that were ignored \cite{10}, but also permits one to attribute the cause for single-pulse ramifications (one pulse splits into multiple unequal pulses during the course of propagation) \cite{5,6} to environmental feedbacks. We note that, for the latter, Lamb \cite{5} assumed a sine-Gordon equation for describing the pulse envelop and used Backlund transformation to find a two-hump solution of soliton. Our approach of direct solutions removes the necessity of presuming parameter values in the transformation and avoid the consideration of why one particular Backlund transformation is adopted since the transformation can be carried out \textit{ad infinitum} \cite{8}. The understanding of these pulse propagation behaviors under the current approach are not only useful in expanding the studies of quantum optics in superconducting circuits \cite{11,13,14} to include transient responses, but will play important roles in the development of optical
switches on quantum devices [15, 16], whose working principles rely on SIT effects.

We begin the derivation by assuming the electric field take the form \( \mathbf{E}(t) = \mathbf{E}(t) \cos \left( \varphi(t) - kx + \omega t \right) \) of a propagating microwave pulse, where \( \mathbf{E}(t) \) and \( \varphi(t) \) denote, respectively, its envelop and phase. The system which comprises a qubit of transition frequency \( \omega_0 \) and its interaction with the microwave pulse of finite duration is described by the time-dependent Hamiltonian (\( \hbar = 1 \))

\[
H_S(t) = \frac{\omega_0}{2} \sigma_z + \mu \mathbf{E}(t) [\sigma_+ + \sigma_-]. \tag{1}
\]

This semiclassical Hamiltonian can be customarily diagonalized to give the dressed eigenstates

\[
\begin{align*}
|\nu_+(t)\rangle &= e^{-i(\varphi(t) - kx)} \cos(\theta(t)) |e\rangle - \sin(\theta(t)) |g\rangle, \tag{2} \\
|\nu_-(t)\rangle &= e^{-i(\varphi(t) - kx)} \sin(\theta(t)) |e\rangle + \cos(\theta(t)) |g\rangle \tag{3}
\end{align*}
\]

for the eigenvalues \( \Omega(t) = \pm \sqrt{\delta^2 + (\mu \mathbf{E})^2} \) in the rotating frame of the microwave carrier. The transformation angle \( \theta(t) = \tan^{-1}[\mu \mathbf{E}(t)/\delta]/2 \) depends on the qubit-field detuning \( \delta = \omega_0 - \omega \) as well as the effective qubit dipole moment \( \mu \). When the qubit is engaged in coupling, i.e. long before and after the pulse is scattered by the qubit, the two dressed states assume the asymptotic bare states \( |e\rangle \) or \( |g\rangle \), whose projections into the real space \( \psi_e(x) \) and \( \psi_g(x) \) are limiting seed functions conventionally used in inverse scattering methods for solving soliton equations [3, 17].

The environmental effects to the qubit are modeled on a multi-mode-resonator bath with free Hamiltonian \( H_B = \sum_j \omega_j a_j^\dagger a_j \). Paired with the diagonalized \( H_S' = \Omega \left( |\nu_+\rangle \langle \nu_+| - |\nu_-\rangle \langle \nu_-| \right) \), the universe excluding the classical bath for the microwave pulse has the Hamiltonian \( H = H_S' + H_B + H_I \). The system-bath coupling is the tensor product \( H_I = H_S \otimes H_B \) with \( H_S = |e\rangle \langle g| + |g\rangle \langle e| \) and \( H_B = \sum_j g_j (a_j + a_j^\dagger) \) in the bare-state basis. Since the system eigenstates does not remain static but rather follow the change in the amplitude of the microwave pulse, the basis for system-bath coupling should align with the time-dependent basis in Eqs. [2][3] to take into account of dressed relaxations [13, 18]. While the dressed system and the bath mutually affect each other during the process of qubit-field interaction, the evolution is customarily taken to be an adiabatic one on the system side [19]. That is, following the reference frame of the transient pulse, we consider the evolution of the system density matrix \( \rho' = U_{ad} \rho U_{ad}^\dagger \) under the interaction picture where

\[
U_{ad}(t) = |\nu_+(t)\rangle \langle \nu_+(0)| e^{-i\phi_+(t)} + |\nu_-(t)\rangle \langle \nu_-(0)| e^{-i\phi_-(t)}
\]

\[
\text{ad}
\]

denotes the unitary matrix during the adiabatic evolution up to time \( t \). In the equation, \( \phi_\pm(t) = \int_0^t d\tau \left( \nu_\pm(\tau) - i \nu_\mp(\tau) \nu_\pm(\tau) \right) \) expresses the total phase, i.e. both the dynamic and the geometric phase (the first, and the second term in the integral, respectively), accumulated by each dressed state. Then, with \( H_I(t) = U_{ad}(t)H_I U_{ad}(t)^\dagger \), the Liouville equation for the system reads

\[
\dot{\rho}' = -i \int_0^t d\tau \left( \left[ H_I'(\tau), [\rho'(\tau) \otimes \rho'] \right] \right)
\]

where the integral corresponds to the first nontrivial term in the perturbative expansion of time-ordered evolution of the universe. The density matrix \( \rho' \) of the bath will be partial-traced out when taking the ensemble average.

This integral represents the memory effect of the bath onto the system during the propagating of the pulse through the qubit under the Born-Markov approximation. Expanding the double commutator will give four terms involving both \( t \) and \( \tau \). Those related to the bath part only involves double-time correlations when taking the trace and read \( \langle H_B(t)H_B(t - \tau) \rangle = \langle H_B(t)H_B(\tau) \rangle = \sum_j g_j^2 e^{-i\omega_j \tau} \). Those related to the system can be considered separately. First, assuming a zero-detuning case (i.e. \( \theta = \pi/2 \) throughout the interaction) to simplify the discussion, we have

\[
H_I'(t) = -\cos(\varphi - kx)\sigma_x - \frac{1}{2} \sin(\varphi - kx)
\]

\[
\times \left[ e^{i(\varphi - kx + \Delta \phi)}(\hat{\sigma}_y - i\hat{\sigma}_z) + \text{h.c.} \right]. \tag{5}
\]

by straight-forward application of \( U_{ad}(t) \), where Pauli matrices have been used to abbreviate the expansion in the time-dependent basis, e.g. \( \sigma_x = |\nu_+(t)\rangle \langle \nu_+(t)| + |\nu_-(t)\rangle \langle \nu_-(t)| \), and \( \Delta \phi + \phi_+ - \phi_- \) denotes the phase difference. Second, Following the method developed by Albash et al. [20], the memory effect can be recorded by reversing the direction of time (\( \tau \rightarrow t - \tau \)) such that \( U_{ad}(t - \tau) = e^{i\Phi H_S'(t)} U_{ad}(t) \) and \( H_S'(t - \tau) \) differs from \( H_S'(t) \) in Eq. [5] only in the phase of the second term, i.e. \( \Delta \phi \rightarrow \Delta \phi - i\tau \Omega(t) \).

Combining the effects of \( H_S'(t) \) and \( H_S'(t - \tau) \) on \( \rho'(t) \) and taking the integral in the Liouville equation yields the microscopic master equation in the Lindblad form

\[
\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -i [H_S, \rho] + \gamma(\Omega) \sin^2(\varphi - kx)
\]

\[
\times \left[ \hat{\sigma}_-(t)\rho \hat{\sigma}_+(t) - \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{\sigma}_+(t)\hat{\sigma}_-(t), \rho \} \right] \tag{6}
\]

after converting to the Schroedinger picture. \( \gamma(\Omega) = 2\pi \sum_j g_j^2 \delta(\omega_j - \Omega) \) denotes the spectral density distribution of the bath stemming from the integration. The effect of an incident pulse on the qubit is reflected in its polarization \( P(t) = \mu \text{tr} \{ (\hat{\sigma}_x) \rho(t) \} \) as a time-dependent response to the pulse, where the trace is taken over the dressed system basis. From Eq. [6], one can derive that \( P(t) = \mu \mathcal{F} \exp \{ i(\varphi + \omega t - kx) \} / 2 + \text{h.c.} \) where \( \mathcal{F} \) indicates a complex factor with the real and imaginary parts

\[
\Re \{ \mathcal{F} \} = 1 - e^{-\Gamma(t)}, \tag{7}
\]

\[
\Im \{ \mathcal{F} \} = -e^{-\Gamma(t)/2} \sin \int_{t_0}^t \Omega(s) ds. \tag{8}
\]

\( \Gamma(t) = \int_{t_0}^t ds \gamma(\Omega) \sin^2(\varphi - kx) \) in the two parts of \( \mathcal{F} \) converts the spectral function \( \gamma(\Omega) \) into the time domain to determine the effective decay in the response of the polarization.
Equipped with the expression of $P(t)$, we can determine how the microwave pulse responds to the qubit and when its propagation can be decoherence-free. Consider the standard Maxwell equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} + \kappa \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} - C \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2}$$

(9)

where $\kappa$ is the classical decay factor of the electric field. Since $E(t)$ assumes the form of Eq. (9), in which the envelop $E'(t)$ and the phase $\varphi(t)$ are the slow variables compared to $\omega$, and the precession of $P(t)$ follows $F(t)$, which is also slow compared to $\omega$, the terms not on the order of $\omega$ can be ignored \cite{4,10,21} after substituting the expressions of $E'$ and $P(t)$ into the derivatives and Eq. (9) can be linearized. Comparing the coefficients of the carrier $e^{i(\varphi + \omega t - kx)}$ and its conjugate, we obtain the coupled equations

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E} \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{c} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} &= \frac{\mu k}{2\epsilon_0} \Re \{ F \}, \\
\left( \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{c} \right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial t} &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \mathcal{E} - \frac{\mu k}{2\epsilon_0} \Im \{ F \},
\end{align*}$$

(10, 11)

about the envelop and the phase, respectively, under the local time frame $t = t - x/v$. In the equations, $v$ is the velocity of the envelop wavefront and not necessarily equal to the phase velocity $c$.

With Eqs. (10) and (11), it becomes clear that the factor $F$ affects the envelop $E(t)$ only through its imaginary part and the phase $\varphi(t)$ through both its real and imaginary parts. For $\mathcal{E}(t)$, the effect from $F$ is the decoherence imposed by the environment through the factor $e^{-\Gamma(t)/2}$. With the precedent sinusoidal factor, decoherence would vanish when the integral $\int \Omega(\tau)d\tau$ is an integer multiple of $\pi$. Note that at qubit-pulse resonance, $\Omega(t)$ reduces to $\mu \mathcal{E}(t)$. That means, omitting the classical decay occurring in the waveguide owing to $\kappa$, the envelop would retain its asymptotic form before and after its scattering with the qubit as long as the enveloping area of the pulse is an integer multiple of $\pi$. This phenomenon exactly coincides with the observations of self-induced transparency. Nonetheless, the difference between SIT and the scenario here is that $\mathcal{E}(t)$ not only preserves its energy after coupling with the qubit, but is also immune to the environment. That is, the decoherence factor vanishes along with the sinusoidal factor, showing an $n\pi$-pulse would propagate absorption-free and decoherence-free simultaneously. Consequently, the master-Maxwell equation pair given by Eqs. (6) and (9) serves as a microscopic foundation of SIT effective for one single artificial atom and inclusive of environmental effects.

To find a general solution to Eq. (11) for $\mathcal{E}(t)$ with arbitrary initial area, we convert the integro-differential equation of $\mathcal{E}$ into the second-order differential equation

$$\dot{\mathcal{A}} = M^2 e^{-\Gamma/2} \sin \mathcal{A}$$

(12)

of the enveloped area $\mathcal{A}(\tau) = \mu \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} ds \mathcal{E}(s)$ up to the wavefront, which is a pendulum equation augmented with a decay factor. We have used $M = \sqrt{\mu^2 kc/v2\epsilon_0(c - v)}$ to abbreviate the equation. Note that when the spectral function $\gamma(\Omega)$ happens to be orthogonal to $\sin^2(\varphi - kx)$, $\Gamma$ vanishes by definition, in which case even the dephasing reduces to zero according to Eq. (7). However, for most models of thermal bath, such as the ohmic and the sub-ohmic, the spectral function is an exponential of $\Omega$ and thus would not make $\Gamma$ vanish in general. If the qubit is initially assumed to be totally inverted with $\rho(0) = |e\rangle \langle e|$, the diagonal elements of $\rho(t)$ determined by the master equation (6) would only retain terms proportional to $e^{-\Gamma/2}$. Therefore, we see that nonvanishing $\Gamma$ leads to finite dephasing to the qubit as a dipole moment.

To find the analytic expression for $\mathcal{A}$, the pendulum equation is first reduced to the first-order equation: $\dot{\mathcal{A}} = 2Me^{-\Gamma/4} \sin(\mathcal{A}/2)$, showing that $2n\pi$-pulses enjoys SIT in addition to free from decoherence. For pulses of arbitrary enveloping area, we retain the phase variable $\varphi$ in the expression of $\Gamma(\tau)$ and solve Eq. (12) formally as a pendulum equation. The envelop $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$ at a time derivative of $\mathcal{A}$ reads

$$\mathcal{E}(\tau) = \frac{2M}{\mu} e^{-\Gamma(\tau)/4} \text{sech} \left[ \frac{M}{\mu} \left( \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} ds e^{-\Gamma(s)/4} + \tau_0 \right) \right],$$

(13)

which retains the characteristic hypersecant hump of a soliton. The environment culminates an attenuation on the pulse peak amplitude and a variable time duration in the temporal argument, the latter of which affects the traveling speed of pulses of different areas.

The manifestation of the environmental effects depends on the knowledge of the spectral density $\gamma(\Omega)$ to determine the decay factor $\Gamma(\tau)$. Without knowing its exact expression, we assume that (i) change of $\Omega(\tau)$ during the pulse-qubit interaction is small relative to the bare qubit level spacing; and (ii) the change of phase $\varphi(\tau)$ over the course of rapid variation
of $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$ compared to $k x$. The former is under the consideration of a weak-energy narrow pulse while the latter will be evidence by the numerical analysis given below. Given the assumptions, the two factors in the integrand of $\Gamma(\tau)$ can be regarded as constant $4C_0$, which allows the approximation $\Gamma(\tau) \approx 4C_0(\tau - \tau_0)$ and leads to an exponential decay of the pulse peak in Eq. (13) (the scale factor 4 is added to simplify expressions below). The propagation of such a decaying pulse is illustrated in Fig. 1 over two laboratory axes $x/t$ and $t$, where parameters are set to values accessible by typical qubits in superconducting circuits: $\omega = 5$ GHz and a Q-factor of $10^3$ [12, 14, 22]. A pulse of arbitrary enveloping area ramifies into two: one of area a multiple of $2\pi$ travels freely, shown as one ridge that converges to a constant height, and one of non-integral area attenuates over $t$, shown as the other ridge in Fig. 1. Following the wavefronts of the two ramifications, one observe that the slopes of their projections onto the $x$-$t$ plane differs. The one traveling decoherence-free pertains to a constant slope and therefore travels at a constant velocity of light while the other has a curving slope. The separation of wavefronts increases monotonically over time, showing that the attenuating pulse is decelerating. This can be proved analytically by taking the $\tau$-derivative of the argument of the hyper-secant function in Eq. (13), giving the velocity $v \exp\{-C_0(t - t_0)\}$. The approximations taken for deriving Eq. (13) is essentially a first-order perturbative expansion of $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$, given which the envelop and phase governed by Eqs. (10) (11) are decoupled. Consequently, the dynamic phase

$$\varphi(\tau) = \varphi_0 + M \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} ds \, e^{-C_0(s-\tau_0)} \sinh \{2C_0(s-\tau_0)\}$$

$$\times \cosh \left\{ -\frac{M}{C_0} \left( e^{-C_0(s-\tau_0)} - C_0\tau_0 - 1 \right) \right\} \quad (14)$$

accumulated on the propagating pulse when scattered by the qubit is computed by integrating Eq. (10). The second term contributed by the environment feedback generates an advancement to the phase. Using the same system parameters as in Fig. 1 the typical carrier wave oscillations with the phase advancement over a duration of 20 periods are plotted in Fig. 2 against a carrier of no phase variation.

Since the three factors in the integrands of Eq. (14) are either exponential or variants of exponential functions, the phase accumulated by a pulse through its scattering by an environmentally coupled qubit is a monotonically increasing function of time. This is evidenced by the plots of $\varphi(\tau)$ given as dashed curves in Fig. 3 for four different constant spectral densities $\gamma$. The rate of phase accumulation increases along with the increment of $\gamma$ when $\Gamma$, regarded as a measure of rate of feedback from the environment is accordingly increased. The envelop area $A(\tau)$ computed from the integral of $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$ in Eq. (13) is plotted in the same figure, showing that the area variation accentuates on the range of time where the phase variation is minimal, thereby ratifying the assumptions we took above when arriving at the explicit solution of $\mathcal{E}(\tau)$.

To conclude, we have taken an adiabatic master equation approach to analyze the propagation of a pulse through an environmentally coupled qubit. The qubit would be free from decoherence when the pulse area is $\pi \times \tau_0$. Further, when an othorgonal condition between the pulse phase and the bath spectral density is satisfied, the dephasing vanishes and thus relaxation times $T_1$ and $T_2$ become essentially infinite. It is also proved that when not vanishing the thermal environment is responsible for inducing pulse ramifications which are frequently observed in self-induced transparency experiments. The approach has also enabled us for the first time to compute analytically the phase variation in the pulse when scattered by a two-level system. Modeled on superconducting qubit circuits, the exact knowledge on pulse-qubit interactions would benefit the designs of more sophisticated quantum informa-

---

**FIG. 2.** The effect of the variation of pulse phase $\varphi(t)$ over time is shown by the carrier wave under the typical hypersecant envelop of a soliton pulse. The blue curve for a pulse with finite $\varphi(t)$ is set against a yellow curve for a constant phase and shows that its oscillations are completed in advance to pulses free from environmental effects.

**FIG. 3.** The pulse envelop area $A(\tau)$ (solid curves and scaled on the left axis) and the pulse carrier phase $\varphi(\tau)$ (dashed curves scaled on the right axis) are plotted as functions of local time $\tau$ over the same duration for the spectral densities $\gamma = 4C_0 = 5$ MHz (blue curves), 50 MHz (yellow curves), 100 MHz (red curves), and 150 MHz (black curves).
tion processing.
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