In this paper, we explore the possibilities of realizing weak value amplification (WVA) using purely atomic degrees of freedom. Our scheme identifies the internal electronic states and external motional states of a single trapped $^{40}$Ca$^+$ ion as the system degree and pointer degree, respectively, and their controllable weak coupling is provided by a bichromatic light field. In our experimental demonstration, by performing appropriate postselection on the internal states, a position displacement of $\sim 4\text{Å}$ (in phase space) of the trapped ion is amplified to $\sim 10\text{nm}$. The sensitivity of the amplification effect to the relative phase of the quantum state is also demonstrated. The high operational flexibility of this procedure allows full exploration of the peculiarities of WVA.
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Quantum measurement lies at the heart of quantum mechanics, and its peculiar properties have perplexed physicists for almost one century [1]. In 1932, von Neumann proposed a phenomenological model which describes quantum measurement as an interaction process between two quantum systems (or two quantum degrees of one system), regarded as “measured system” and “measuring pointer” respectively [2]. Then in 1988, Aharonov, Albert and Vaidman (AAV) extended von Neumann’s measurement model from the regular strong measurement regime to the weak measurement regime, corresponding to the cases where the system and pointer interact strongly and weakly, respectively [3]. They showed that, if an appropriate postselection step is introduced into the weak measurement procedure, the measured value (defined by AAV as “weak value”) may lie outside the eigenvalue spectrum of the observable in question, giving rise to the so-called “weak value amplification (WVA)” effect. In recent years, WVA has been proven to be useful as an amplification technique for observing the extreme weak physical effect, quantum state tomography, and tests of quantum mechanics paradoxes [4, 5].

In virtue of the high-level manipulation and mature detection techniques for photons, WVA has made great development in the linear optical system both in theoretical and experimental aspects. Up to now, optical WVA technique has been successfully applied to the observation of the spin Hall effect of light [6], the ultrasensitive measurement of the light beam deflection [7], the amplification of optical nonlinearities [8], the direct tomography of photonic quantum states [9], the clarification of controversial debates in quantum mechanics [10], and the counteraction of decoherence in the quantum optical system [11]. Although WVA cannot overcome the shot-noise-limit imposed by quantum mechanics [12, 13], some references claimed that this amplification technique may offer substantial improvements in many practical cases where certain types of technical noise and operational limitations dominate [14–19].

Recently, much effort has been expended in attempts to realize WVA in at least partially nonphotonic systems [20–27]. Among these works, exploration of WVA in cold atoms or trapped ions is particularly interesting. Compared with optical WVA which can be usually explained using classical optics [28], atomic WVA is a purely quantum effect and is more suitable to verify the peculiarities of quantum properties of WVA. In 2013, Shomroni et al. demonstrated WVA based on atomic spontaneous emission [25]. However, the involving atomic ensemble only plays the role of light source in their procedure and it is still an optical WVA experiment in essence. More recently, Ref. [26] presented a WVA proposal based on atomic matter wave interferometry, but the requirement for accurate manipulation and detection of the external orbital motions of free atoms makes it difficult to perform in experiment. Then in 2019, Araneda et al. performed WVA based on spontaneous emission of a single trapped ion to calibrate the wavelength scale errors in optical localization [27]. To the best of our knowledge, the experimental demonstration of WVA using purely atomic degrees of freedom has not been realized so far.

In this paper, we present the first proof-of-principle experimental demonstration of purely atomic WVA using a single trapped $^{40}$Ca$^+$ ion. For our experiment, the internal electronic states and the external motional states of the ion play the roles of the measured system and the measuring pointer, respectively. With the help of spin-dependent displacement, heralded measurement and motional wavepacket reconstruction techniques well established in the trapped ion system, we achieve an effective amplification factor as large as 25 for the position dis-
placement (in phase space) of the ion. We also show that WVA is sensitive to the relative phase of the quantum state. We believe that this work opens up the interesting possibility to explore WVA in atomic interferometric techniques.

The WVA formalism can be briefly outlined based on von Neumann’s measurement model [3]. A quantum system, with its observable \( \hat{A} \) being measured, is coupled to a measuring pointer by an interaction Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} = g \hat{A} (t - t_0) \hat{p} \), where \( \hat{p} \) is the canonical momentum of the pointer (with conjugate position \( \hat{q} \)), \( g \) is the interaction strength, \( t \) is the time variable, and \( \delta(t - t_0) \) is the impulse function centered at the time \( t_0 \) satisfying \( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - t_0) dt = 1 \). Suppose the measured system is prepared in the state \( |\psi_i\rangle = \sum_m \alpha_m |a_m\rangle \), where \( |a_m\rangle \) is the eigenstate of \( \hat{A} \) with the corresponding eigenvalue \( a_m \), and the measuring pointer is initialized in \( |\varphi(q)\rangle \), where \( q \) is the position variable. Under the action of the unitary evolution operator \( \hat{U} = \exp \left(-ig\hat{A}\hat{p}/\hbar \right) \), the combined state will evolve into \( \sum_m \alpha_m |\varphi(q - a_m g)\rangle \). In the weak coupling limit where \( g \) is so small that \( \hat{U} \) needs only to be expanded to first order in perturbation theory, if we introduce a postselection step by performing a projective measurement on the system and retaining only instances with given outcome \( |\psi_f\rangle \), the pointer will be left in the state

\[
\langle \psi_f | \hat{U} | \psi_i \rangle |\varphi(q)\rangle \approx \langle \psi_f | (1 - i g \hat{A} \hat{p} / \hbar) | \psi_i \rangle |\varphi(q)\rangle \\
= \langle \psi_f | \psi_i \rangle (1 - i A_w g \hat{p} / \hbar) |\varphi(q)\rangle \\
\approx \langle \psi_f | \psi_i \rangle \exp (-i A_w g \hat{p} / \hbar) |\varphi(q)\rangle \\
= \langle \psi_f | \psi_i \rangle |\varphi(q - A_w g)\rangle,
\]

where

\[
A_w \equiv \langle \psi_f | \hat{A} | \psi_i \rangle / \langle \psi_f | \psi_i \rangle \tag{2}
\]

is the weak value defined by AAV. It is easy to note that, under the condition of \( \langle \psi_f | \psi_i \rangle \ll 1 \), the pointer shift is “amplified” because \( |A_w| \gg \max_m \{|a_m|\} \) and the so-called WVA effect arises. It can be shown from Eq. (2) that the weak value \( A_w \) may be an imaginary number. For the imaginary WVA, Ref. [29] showed that we can observe an amplified shift in the momentum direction with the same interaction Hamiltonian above.

Our scheme of WVA in a single trapped ion can be simply illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Firstly, a pseudo-spin, composed of two internal electronic states of the ion and used as the system degree, is prepared in the superposition of the eigenstates of one system observable being measured. The external motion of the ion, used as the pointer degree, is initialized to the ground state wavepacket. Then, a weak spin-dependent force displaces the motional wavepacket towards opposite directions in phase space for different eigenstates of the system observable. Finally, by performing the projective measurement of another system observable and retaining the postselected outcome, the original tiny splitting of the wavepacket may be changed to a large displacement towards a certain direction in phase space. This striking amplification effect essentially results from the constructive quantum interference in the tail of the splitted wavepackets and destructive interference elsewhere. While introduced as a model of the measurement of a system observable, this procedure is usually used as an amplification method for the weak interaction between the system degree and the pointer degree.

In the experiment, we use a single \(^{40}\text{Ca}^+\) ion trapped in a blade-shaped linear Paul trap with radial and axial trapping frequencies \( \omega_r = 2\pi \times 1.6 \text{ MHz} \) and \( \omega_z = 2\pi \times 1.41 \text{ MHz} \), respectively. Fig. 1(b) shows the relevant internal levels and coupling lasers used for coherent manipulation and projective measurement in our experiment. (c) Configuration of the bichromatic light field used for spin-dependent displacement.
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the ion’s mass.

The spin-dependent displacement is implemented using a bichromatic light field resonant with both the blue and red axial sidebands of the $|\downarrow\rangle \leftrightarrow |\uparrow\rangle$ transition, which is realized by sending the 729 nm laser field through an acousto-optic modulator (AOM) driven with two frequencies of RF signals that differ by $2\omega_z$ (see Fig. 1(c)). In the Lamb-Dicke regime, the resulting Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{H}_d = \frac{\hbar \Omega}{2} [\hat{\sigma}_x \sin \phi_+ + \hat{\sigma}_y \cos \phi_+] \otimes \left[ - (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}) \cos \phi_- + i(\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) \sin \phi_- \right],$$

where $\Omega$ is the Rabi strength, $\hat{a}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}$ are the creation and annihilation operators for the axial mode of motion, $2\phi_+ = \phi_{red} + \phi_{blue}$ and $2\phi_- = \phi_{red} - \phi_{blue}$ are the sum and the difference of the red and blue sideband laser phase $\phi_{red}$ and the blue sideband laser phase $\phi_{blue}$. It can be noted from Eq. (3) that, the setting of $\phi_-$ determines the axis of the displacement in phase space, the internal state of the ion determines the displacement direction along this axis, and $\phi_+$ determines which eigenstates are selected.

It has to be stressed that Eqs. (1) and (2) only hold in the weak coupling limit [30–32]. In the following, we describe the concrete experimental procedure based on the accurate analytic derivation which is valid for arbitrary coupling strength.

After Doppler cooling, sideband cooling, and optical pumping, the internal state of the trapped ion is prepared in $|\psi_i\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle$, and its motional state is initialized to the ground state $|\varphi_f(z)\rangle = (\frac{1}{2\pi}\Delta_z)^\frac{1}{2} \exp(-\frac{z^2}{4\Delta_z^2})$. By setting $\phi_+ = \phi_- = \frac{\pi}{4}$, the spin-dependent displacement Hamiltonian has the form $\hat{H}_d = \eta \Omega \Delta_z \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{p}$ with the momentum operator $\hat{p} = \frac{i\hbar(\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a})}{2\Delta_z}$. Application of this Hamiltonian for a duration $t$ generates the entangled state of system and pointer degrees as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|+\rangle |\varphi_i(z - g\Delta_z)\rangle - |-\rangle |\varphi_i(z + g\Delta_z)\rangle],$$

where $|\pm\rangle = \sqrt{2}(|\uparrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\rangle)$, $|-\rangle = \sqrt{2} (|\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle)$ and $g = \eta \Omega t$. For the trapped ion system, we can only postselect the state $|\uparrow\rangle$ without destroying the motional wavepacket as no photons are scattered in the measurement process [33, 34]. However, the postselections of other states can be carried out with the help of appropriate one-qubit rotations. In the experiment, we firstly perform a rotation by $2\theta$ around the $y$-axis of the Bloch sphere (denoted as $R_y(2\theta)$) on the internal state, which is realized using a laser pulse resonant with the $|\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\uparrow\rangle$ transition, then perform the strong projective measurement via state-dependent fluorescence. After retaining only instances with measurement outcome $|\uparrow\rangle$, we effectively realize the postselection with $|\psi_f\rangle = R_y(-2\theta) |\uparrow\rangle = \cos \theta |\uparrow\rangle - \sin \theta |\downarrow\rangle$. Then, the external motion will be left in the state (choosing $\Delta_z$ as the unit of $\hat{z}$)

$$|\varphi_f(z)\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{1 - \cos^2(2\theta)} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}}} \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta) e^{-\frac{(z-g\Delta_z)^2}{4}}} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \theta\right) e^{-\frac{(z-g\Delta_z)^2}{4}}$$

with the position displacement in phase space

$$\delta_z = \frac{\langle \varphi_f(z) | \hat{z} | \varphi_f(z) \rangle}{\langle \varphi_f(z) | \varphi_f(z) \rangle} = \frac{g}{e^{-\frac{z^2}{\delta_z^2}} \cot(2\theta) - \csc(2\theta)}.$$  

It follows from Eqs. (1) and (2) that, the position displacement will reduce to $\delta_z \approx \frac{(\langle \varphi_f | \sigma_z | \varphi_f \rangle)}{(\langle \varphi_f | \varphi_f \rangle)} g = -\cot(\theta) g$ in the weak coupling limit.

The only observable of the trapped ion that can be directly measured via state-dependent fluorescence is $\sigma_z$. To proceed with the analysis of the postselected motional state, we use an indirect measurement method for motional wavepacket reconstruction, which was originally proposed in 1995 [35] and has been successfully utilized in the quantum simulation of Dirac equation [36] and random walk [37] in trapped ion system. To measure the marginal probability distribution of the motional state along a line in phase space, we prepare the internal state of the ion in an eigenstate of $\sigma_y$ or $\sigma_z$, apply a spin-dependent displacement operation that displaces the motional wave function in phase space in a direction orthogonal to the one to be measured for varying amounts of time, followed by a measurement of the changing excitation of the internal state. Then, a Fourier transformation of these measurements yields the marginal probability distribution of the motional wavepacket. Furthermore, the expectation values of the motional quadratures can be obtained by analyzing the slope of the changing excitation in very short time, without a need to reconstruct the full motional wavepacket (see Appendix for details).

In Fig. 2, we experimentally show that a position displacement of 4 Å (in phase space) of the trapped ion can be amplified to 10 nm using the above WVA procedure. In the experiment we use a short spin-dependent displacement pulse with $\Omega = 2\pi \times 19.0 \text{ kHz}$ and $t = 4 \mu$s to achieve a small position splitting $g \approx 0.04$. After the internal state postselection using $R_y(-2\theta) |\uparrow\rangle$ with $\theta = 0.02$, a remarkable WVA effect occurs with the amplified position displacement 10 nm. It should be noted that the weak coupling limit does not hold for this parameter setting and the theoretical amplified position displacement in Fig. 2 is actually obtained from the exact result of Eq. (6). The current limitation in the amplification factor is given by the low success rate of postselection which requires stability of the experimental parameters during the long time scale of data acquisition.

Through fast measurement of the expectation value of the position operator, we show the relation between the amplified position shift $|\delta_z|$ in phase space and the original splitting $g$ with different $\theta$ in Fig. 3. In the weak
limit this regime, the amplified position shift has an upper limit of $\Delta_z \sim \delta$. The solid lines are the accurate theoretical prediction using Eq. (5). For this parameter regime with the low success rate of postselection, both the spin state detection error and the instability of experimental parameters during the long time scale of data acquisition result in the difference between the measured distribution and the theoretical prediction in Fig. 2.

FIG. 2. (Color online) A position displacement of 4 Å (in phase space) of the trapped ion is amplified to 10 nm. The dashed lines indicate the probability distributions of the two weakly displaced wavepackets correlated with the eigenstates of $\hat{\sigma}_g$ in Eq. (4) with $g \approx 0.04$. The histogram shows the probability distribution of the finally postselected wavepacket reconstructed using the experimental data with $\theta = 0.02$ and the solid line gives the exact theoretical prediction using Eq. (5). For this parameter regime with the low success rate of postselection, both the spin state detection error and the instability of experimental parameters during the long time scale of data acquisition result in the difference between the measured distribution and the theoretical prediction in Fig. 2.

in phase space, as shown in Fig. 4. In the parameter regime $|A_w| g < 1$, the weak coupling approximation is valid and its predictions agree well with the accurate theoretical results and the experimental data. Outside this regime, the amplified momentum shift has an upper limit $\sim \Delta_p$.

We have demonstrated the realization of WVA using purely atomic degrees of freedom. An effective amplification factor of 25 for the position displacement of the ion is achieved, which is much smaller than optical WVA (e.g., an amplification factor bigger than 127 is obtained in Ref. [7]). The current limitation in the amplification factor of this procedure is the long time scale of data acquisition which requires stability of the experimental parameters. The lack of direct measurement method for motional state is another challenge for studying WVA using trapped ion system. Considering these existing limitations, whether this procedure can afford benefits in metrological applications is still an open question. However, our work has demonstrated the high operational flexibility of atomic WVA, which makes it an important complement to optical WVA. The interaction strength between the measured system degree and the measuring pointer degree can be tuned over a wide range, and their coupling pattern can be manipulated by adjusting the experimental parameters. Combined with the easily tailored pointer state, which can be prepared in various nonclassical motional states (e.g., Fock states, squeezed states, even two-mode NOON states [39, 40]), and the ability to coherently manipulate several tens of ions [41], our approach allows fully exploration of the peculiarities of WVA. The WVA using nonclassical pointer states is particularly worth researching because its potential to improve the measurement precision when applying WVA to metrological scenarios [42].

In conclusion, we present the first experimental realization of fully atomic WVA using the trapped ion system. The amplification of motional displacement in phase space and the sensitivity of WVA to the relative phase of the quantum state are demonstrated in our experiment. The good agreement between the calculated and experimental results shows the trapped ion as a favorable platform for WVA research. The good scalability and flexible controllability of trapped ion system make it an important complement to optics in this research area.
More importantly, our experiment makes the first step towards the study of atomic WVA and has much potential in the studies of fundamental quantum mechanics.
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Appendix: Detailed Description of The Experimental Technichs

In the appendix, we describe the trapped ion system in more detail, discuss the postselection step and the calibration of the experimental parameters, and finally illustrate the wavepacket reconstruction method along with the data analysis for the measurement of $\langle \hat{z} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{p} \rangle$.

1. Ion trap system

A single ion $^{40}\text{Ca}^+$ trapped in a blade-shaped linear Paul trap is used in the experiment. In the first part of the experimental sequence, all the motional modes of the single ion are cooled down to a phonon number of about 20 using Doppler cooling method with lasers at 397 and 866 nm. The oscillator we use for our experiment is the axial mode, which has a secular frequency of around $1.41$ MHz. After Doppler cooling, the axial mode is cooled further to the motional ground state with the resolved sideband cooling approach [43]. A narrow linewidth laser at 729 nm is used to coherently couple the qubit which we choose the energy levels $S_{1/2}(m_J = -1/2)$ and $D_{5/2}(m_J = -1/2)$ as $|\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\rangle$ respectively. The whole setup for controlling the qubit is shown in Fig. 5. The power of 729 nm laser beam is stabilized by using acousto-optical modulator (AOM) 1. AOM 2 is used to shift up the laser frequency by 80 MHz and modulate the bichromatic light field for the spin-dependent force. The amplitude and frequency of the beam are controlled by AOM 3, which has a double pass optical configuration and is driven by an RF source with frequency 270 MHz. It is also used as a switch for generation of laser pulses in the experimental sequence. The qubit transition is isolated by a gap of 8.8 MHz from its nearest internal state transitions with a magnetic field of 5.3 G. The beam goes through the two end-caps with almost 0 degree with respect to $z$ axis of the trap resulting in a Lamb-Dicke parameter of $\eta \approx 0.08$ as shown in Fig. 5. Optical pumping to $|\downarrow\rangle$ is realized using a combination of left-handed circularly polarized laser at 397 nm, and linearly polarized light at 866 nm and 854 nm. The internal state of the ion is read by using the electron shelving technique with a detection time of 300 µs. The heating rate of the axial motional mode is about 70 quanta per second, and the coherence time of the Fock state superposition $(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ has been measured to be about 5.0 ms. Due to the large magnetic fluctuations induced by the AC-power line, we trigger the experimental cycles at 50 Hz and the coherence time of the qubit has been measured using Rasmey fringe to be around 1.1 ms.
2. HERALDED DETECTION

The internal state detection via application of lasers at 397 nm and 866 nm is used for postselection of the motional wavepacket. The wavepacket is retained if the ion is in $|\uparrow\rangle$ and no fluorescence photons should be detected, while it is destroyed if the ion is in $|\downarrow\rangle$ and a certain amount of photons should be gathered. Since the coherence time is limited, we want to use the shortest detection time for the postselection to avoid decoherence. The detection time in our experiment is chosen as 120 $\mu$s and we distinguish the ion’s electronic states based on a predetermined threshold for the gathered photons. With a detection time of 120 $\mu$s, the detection error is less than 0.003% for an ion which is measured to be in $|\uparrow\rangle$. As stated in Ref. [33], such low error is of the similar size to other sources of error in the experiment.

3. CALIBRATION OF THE DISPLACED OPERATION

Making sure that we apply an accurate displacement on the motional ground state is the critical step in our experiment. The displacement operation can be realized by a single bichromatic laser pulse which creates a Schrödinger cat state between the electronic states and the motional states. By applying the spin-dependent displacement operator for a time $t$ on the initial state $|\downarrow\rangle |0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ is the motional ground state, we get the entangled state

$$|\Psi\rangle = D(\alpha) |\downarrow\rangle |0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle |\alpha\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle |-\alpha\rangle), \quad (A.1)$$

where $|\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle), |\downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle)$, and $D(\alpha) = e^{\frac{2\pi i \alpha}{\hbar} \sigma_z}$. The displacement $\alpha = \eta \Omega t / 2$ is proportional to the Lamb-Dicke parameter $\eta$, the coupling strength $\Omega$, and the bichromatic pulse duration $t$. For the trapped ion, the only observable we can measure is $\hat{\sigma}_z$, and a projective measurement on $|\Psi\rangle$ returns the probability of ion in $|\uparrow\rangle$

$$p_\uparrow = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle \right) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-2|\alpha|^2}). \quad (A.2)$$

Note that $\Omega$ means both the coupling strengths of red and blue sidebands, hence the intensities of the two RF signals driving AOM 2 are required to be equal. We perform the uniformity calibration of coupling strengths for the red sideband and blue sideband lasers by checking if the probability of $|\uparrow\rangle$ reaches 0.5 when the ground state wavepacket totally splits into two parts. Fig. 6 shows an experimental measurement of $p_\uparrow$ after evolution under the balanced bichromatic field along with the theoretical simulation of Eq. (A.2) for $\eta = 0.08$ and $\Omega = 2\pi \times 150$ kHz.

To demonstrate WVA, We also need to calibrate the small displacement $\alpha$ as a function of the bichromatic pulse length by measuring the phonon number of the ion, which follows the Poisson distribution and has the average $\bar{n} = |\alpha|^2$. Experimentally we choose a low coupling strength for the bichromatic light field and probe the average phonon number of the ion by applying the pulse for varying amounts of time, and the corresponding results are shown in Fig. 7. The coupling strength used in the experiment is then determined to be $\Omega \approx 2\pi \times 19.0$ kHz.

4. WAVEPACKET RECONSTRUCTION AND DISPLACEMENT MEASUREMENT IN PHASE SPACE

To obtain the wavepacket probability distribution, we apply a state-dependent displacement operation $U_\downarrow = \hat{U}_\downarrow$...
Fig. 8. (Color online) Data analysis for obtaining the average displacement. The black curve denotes the full simulation of \( \langle \sin(k\hat{z}) \rangle \) with parameters \( g = 0.2 \) and \( \theta = 0.2 \). The red cycles are experimental data. The red line shows the weighted fitting of data points for extracting \( \langle \hat{z} \rangle \).

For obtainment of the value of \( \langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle \), we only need to adjust the phase of the bichromatic pulse to realize \( \hat{U}_p = \exp(-ikp\hat{\sigma}_x) / 2 \), and finally calculate \( \langle \hat{p}^2 \rangle = d^2/dk^2 \langle \hat{O}(k) \rangle \).

Besides the wavepacket, we are also concerned about the average shifts of the wavepacket. From Eq. (A.3) we can obtain \( \frac{d}{dk} \langle \hat{O}(k) \rangle \big|_{k=0} = \langle \hat{z}\hat{\sigma}_y \rangle \), therefore the average position displacement \( \langle \hat{z} \rangle \) can be measured by preparing the internal state to be the eigenstate of \( \hat{\sigma}_y \). Experimentally the state of the ion is \( |\uparrow\rangle \) after postselection, we need to apply a \( \pi/2 \) carrier transition laser pulse to coherently prepare the internal state in \( (|\downarrow\rangle + i|\uparrow\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \). Afterwards we apply the operation \( \hat{U}_z \) and detect \( \langle \sin(k\hat{z}) \rangle \) for a short length of time (10 ns in the experiment), and \( \langle \hat{z} \rangle \) can be extracted by fitting the data points with a linear model as shown in Fig. 8. The same method can be used for the measurement of \( \langle \hat{p} \rangle \), the only difference here is the operation we apply after postselection detection is \( \hat{U}_p = \exp(-ikp\hat{\sigma}_x) / 2 \).

Since the wavepacket reconstruction pulses are applied after postselection, the success rates of postselection are different from point to point in the experiment and it is necessary to consider the different contributions to the fitting error for different data points. Hence, we use the weighted fitting method instead of the regular fitting method to fit the data, the standard deviation derived from quantum projection noise of each data point is used as the weighting parameter [45]. Fig. 8 shows an example of data analysis for measuring \( \langle \hat{z} \rangle \) with parameters \( g = 0.4 \) and \( \theta = 0.2 \).

\[ \exp(-ik\hat{z}\hat{\sigma}_x) / 2 \] to the measured quantum state and then the following measurement of \( \hat{\sigma}_z \) effectively returns the measurement outcome of the observable

\[ \hat{O}(k) = \hat{U}_z^+ \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{U}_z = \cos(k\hat{z})\hat{\sigma}_z + \sin(k\hat{z})\hat{\sigma}_y, \quad (A.3) \]

where \( k = \eta \Delta t / \Delta z \), and \( \Omega \approx 2\pi \times 70 \text{kHz} \). If we prepare the internal state of the ion to be the eigenstate of \( \hat{\sigma}_z \) with eigenvalue +1, then we have \( \langle \hat{O}(k) \rangle = \langle \cos(k\hat{z}) \rangle \).

Similarly, we have \( \langle \hat{O}(k) \rangle = \langle \sin(k\hat{z}) \rangle \) if the eigenstate of \( \hat{\sigma}_y \) with eigenvalue +1 is prepared. Theoretically we can get the probability density \( |\varphi(z)|^2 \) by using Fourier transformation of \( \langle \cos(k\hat{z}) \rangle + i \langle \sin(k\hat{z}) \rangle \) [36], however the finite experimental cycles can not afford enough information for this method. Instead we use a constrained least-square optimization method based on convex optimization [44] as stated in Ref. [37]. In this method the position space is first discretized by a suitable set of points \( z_i \) and probability distributions of \( p(z_i) \) can be searched by minimizing the function

\[ F = \sum_k \left( \sum_i p(z_i) \cos(kz_i) - C_k \right)^2 + \sum_k \left( \sum_i p(z_i) \sin(kz_i) - S_k \right)^2, \quad (A.4) \]

where \( C_k \) and \( S_k \) are the experimental results of \( \langle \cos(k\hat{z}) \rangle \) and \( \langle \sin(k\hat{z}) \rangle \) respectively.

In this technique we also need to apply additional constraints. Firstly, the probability of the positon points meet the conditions \( 0 \leq p(z_i) \leq 1 \) and \( \sum_i p(z_i) = 1 \). Secondly, \( p'(z) \), the differentiation of the probability distribution function \( p(z) \) with respect to position \( z \), should be bounded by the kinetic energy of the ion [45]

\[ \frac{h^2}{8m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \frac{p'(z)^2}{p(z)} \leq \left\langle \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} \right\rangle. \quad (A.5) \]