Abstract

Happel constructed a fully faithful functor $\mathcal{H} : \text{D}^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \to \text{mod}^\mathbb{Z} \text{T}(\Lambda)$ for a finite dimensional algebra $\Lambda$. He also showed that this functor $\mathcal{H}$ gives an equivalence precisely when $\text{gldim } \Lambda < \infty$. Thus if $\mathcal{H}$ gives an equivalence, then it provides a canonical tilting object $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda)$ of $\text{mod}^\mathbb{Z} \text{T}(\Lambda)$.

In this paper we generalize Happel’s functor $\mathcal{H}$ in the case where $\text{T}(\Lambda)$ is replaced with a finitely graded IG-algebra $A$. We study when this functor is fully faithful or gives an equivalence. For this purpose we introduce the notion of homologically well-graded (hwg) IG-algebra, which can be characterized as an algebra posses a homological symmetry which, a posteriori, guarantee that the algebra is IG. We prove that hwg IG-algebras is precisely the class of finitely graded IG-algebras that Happel’s functor is fully faithful. We also identify the class that Happel’s functor gives an equivalence. As a consequence of our result, we see that if $\mathcal{H}$ gives an equivalence, then it provides a canonical tilting object $\mathcal{H}(T)$ of $\text{CM}^\mathbb{Z} A$. For some special classes of finitely graded IG algebras, our tilting objects $\mathcal{H}(T)$ coincide with tilting object constructed in previous works.
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1 Introduction

Representation theory of Iwanaga-Gorenstein (IG) algebra studies the stable category of Cohen-Macaulay (CM) modules. It was initiated by Auslander-Reiten [1], Happel [15] and Buchweitz [5], has been studied by many researchers. The stable category of CM-modules CM$A$ has a canonical structure of triangulated category. It is equivalent to the singular derived category Sing$A$ and is important a triangulated category having a relationship with algebraic geometry and mathematical physics. The situation is the same with graded IG-algebras and the stable category CM$ZA$ of graded CM-modules. Recently, tilting theory and cluster tilting theory of the stable categories CM$A$ and CM$ZA$ are extensively studied and actively interacted to other areas (see an excellent survey [17]).

As is soon recalled, the original Happel’s functor $H$ connects two important triangulated categories: the derived category $D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda)$ and the stable category $\text{mod}^Z T(\Lambda)$. Since both categories have their advantages to the other, Happel’s functor, a bridge between them, has been served as a powerful tool to study these categories. From tilting theoretical view point, the functor $H$ can be said to be telling an existence of a canonical tilting object $H(\Lambda)$ in the stable category $\text{mod}^Z T(\Lambda)$.

In this paper and [28], we generalize Happel’s functor $H$ by replacing the self-injective algebra $T(\Lambda)$ with a finitely graded IG algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\ell A_i$. In this paper, one of our main concern is the question when the canonical object $H(T)$ (which is $H(\Lambda)$ in the simplest case) is a tilting object of CM$ZA$. For this purpose we introduce the notion of homologically well-graded (hwg) algebras. One of our main result characterizes a hwg IG-algebra $A$ as a finitely graded IG-algebra $A$ such that $H(T)$ is a tilting object whose endomorphism algebra is the Beilinson algebra $\nabla A$, an algebra canonically constructed from $A$ (see (1-4)). The hwg IG-algebra is also characterized as an algebra has a homological symmetry which, a posteriori, guarantee that the algebra is IG. This phenomena is looked as a generalization of the fact that a Frobenius algebra is an algebra possess a symmetry which, guarantee that the algebra is self-injective. Since the reason why Frobenius algebras are of importance in several areas is the symmetry, we can expect that hwg IG-algebra also play an basic role of other areas.

In [28] we make use of generalized Happel’s functor to study general aspect of finitely graded IG-algebras and their stable categories. We obtain several results both abstract and concrete. For example, we show that the Grothendieck group $K_0(\text{CM}^ZA)$ is free of finite rank. Thus we can expect that generalized Happel’s functor become an indispensable tool to study finitely graded IG-algebras.

1.1 Results

Now we explain the main results with notation used throughout the paper.

First we recall, the original Happel’s functor $H$. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite dimensional algebra over some field $k$ and $T(\Lambda) := \Lambda \oplus D(\Lambda)$ the trivial extension algebra of $\Lambda$ by the bimodule $D(\Lambda) = \text{Hom}_k(\Lambda, k)$ with the grading $\deg \Lambda = 0, \deg D(\Lambda) = 1$. In his pioneering work, Happel [13, 14] constructed a fully faithful triangulated functor

$$H : D^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \hookrightarrow \text{mod}^Z T(\Lambda)$$

and showed that it gives an equivalence if and only if $\text{gldim } \Lambda < \infty$. Thus if $H$ gives an equivalence, then it provides a canonical tilting object $H(\Lambda)$ of $\text{mod}^Z T(\Lambda)$.

Although it looks that Happel’s functor $H$ is determined from $\Lambda$, there is a way to construct $H$ starting from $T(\Lambda)$. In Section 2, we generalize Happel’s functor $H$ into the case where $T(\Lambda)$ is replaced with a finitely graded IG-algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\ell A_i$. Generalized Happel’s functor $H$ has $\text{CM}^ZA$ as its codomain. The domain is the derived category $D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A)$ of the category $\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A \subset \text{mod}^ZA$ which is the full subcategory consisting of $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ such that $M_i = 0$ for $i \notin [0, \ell − 1]$.

$$H : D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A) \to \text{CM}^ZA.$$
The aim of this paper is to study of Happel’s functor $H$ for IG-algebras. The first fundamental question is that when is $H$ fully faithful or an equivalence functor?

In the special case where $A$ is a graded self-injective algebra, we can deduce an answer to the question from previous works by Chen [6], Mori with the first author [26] and the second author [40]. The functor $H$ is fully faithful if and only if $A$ is graded Frobenius. Moreover, if this is the case, $H$ for $A$ gives an equivalence if and only if $\text{gldim} A_0 < \infty$. Graded Frobenius algebras is a special class of graded self-injective algebras whose definition is recalled in Example 4.3.

To state the second question, we need to introduce a graded $A$-module $T$, which has been observed playing an important role in study of Happel’s functor.

\begin{equation}
T := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell-1} A(-i)_{\leq \ell-1} \in \text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A.
\end{equation}

The endomorphism algebra $\nabla A := \text{End}(T)$ is called the Beilinson algebra. We may identify it with the upper triangular matrix algebra below via canonical isomorphism.

\begin{equation}
\nabla A := \text{End}_{\text{mod}^Z A}(T) \cong \begin{pmatrix}
A_0 & A_1 & \cdots & A_{\ell-1} \\
0 & A_0 & \cdots & A_{\ell-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & A_0
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}

We denote by $\gamma$ the algebra homomorphism induced by Happel’s functor $H$.

\begin{equation}
\gamma : \nabla A = \text{End}_{\text{mod}^Z A}(T) \xrightarrow{H_{T,b}} \text{End}(H(T)).
\end{equation}

We note that $T$ is a finite projective generator of $\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A$. Thus it is natural to study $T$ and its behavior under $H$ in view of the first question. Moreover, by Morita theory, the functor $q := \text{Hom}_{\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A}(T, -)$ gives an equivalence

\begin{equation}
q : \text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A \cong \text{mod} \nabla A \text{ such that } q(T) = \nabla A.
\end{equation}

Thus, we may regard Happel’s functor $H$ as an exact functor from $D^b(\text{mod} \nabla A)$ to $\text{CM}^Z A$.

\begin{equation}
H : D^b(\text{mod} \nabla A) \xrightarrow{q^{-1} \cong} D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A) \rightarrow \text{CM}^Z A.
\end{equation}

Although the functor $H$ have not been used before, the image $H(T)$ have been studied by many researchers. In the case where $A$ is a graded self-injective algebra, it is shown in [6] [26] [40] that $H(T)$ is a tilting object of $\text{mod}^Z A$ if and only if $\text{gldim} A_0 < \infty$. Moreover, the morphism $\gamma$ is an isomorphism if and only if $A$ is graded Frobenius.

As to IG-algebras $A$, it has been shown that the object $H(T) \in \text{CM}^Z A$ gives a tilting object or relates a construction of a tilting object of $\text{CM}^Z A$ in many other cases [26] [23] [24] [32]. However we would like to mention that for a graded IG-algebra $A$, the graded module $H(T)$ does not give a tilting object of $\text{CM}^Z A$ in general (see for example [24 Example 3.7]). Thus our second question naturally arises: when does $H(T)$ give a tilting object of $\text{CM}^Z A$ whose endomorphism algebra is isomorphic to $\nabla A$?

The answers of above two questions are given by the notion of homologically well-graded (hwg) algebras. The prototypical example of hwg algebra is the trivial extension algebra $T(\Lambda) = \Lambda \oplus D(\Lambda)$. In the paper [6] mentioned above, Chen introduced the notion of well-gradedness for finitely graded algebra and showed that a well-graded self-injective algebra $A$ is graded Morita equivalent to $T(\nabla A)$. Thus graded representation theory of $A$ is equivalent to that of $T(\nabla A)$ and in particular Happel’s
results can be applied. However for a finitely graded IG-algebra $A$ which is not self-injective, well-gradedness is not enough to control CM-representation theory. Our starting observation is that $T(\Lambda)$ satisfies the following equation and that it plays a key role to establish Happel’s embedding.

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{mod}^Z \text{T}(\Lambda)}(\Lambda, \text{T}(\Lambda)(i)) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 1.$$ 

A relationship between the equation and well-gradedness is explained in the begining of Section 3.2.1. A point is that the equation admits a natural homological generalization, which yields the definition of a hwg algebra.

Our main results shows that a hwg IG-algebra gives complete answers to the above two questions.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 5.2, Theorem 5.15). Assume that $k$ is Noetherian and $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ is an IG-algebra which is finitely generated as a $k$-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. $A$ is hwg (resp. $A$ is hwg and $A_0$ satisfies the condition (F)).
2. Happel’s functor $\mathcal{H}$ is fully faithful (resp. equivalence).
3. The morphism $\gamma$ is an isomorphism and $\text{Hom}_{\text{CM}^Z A}(\mathcal{H}(T), \mathcal{H}(T)[n]) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}(T)$ is a tilting object of $\text{CM}^Z A$ and $\gamma$ is an isomorphism).

The condition (F) is defined in Definition 5.4. It is a condition on finiteness of homological dimensions on $A_0$ which is weaker than the condition $\text{gldim} A_0 < \infty$. But this condition is equivalent to $\text{gldim} A_0 < \infty$ in the case where $k$ is a complete local ring and hence in particular is a field.

In the case where $k$ is a field, as we mentioned above a typical example of hwg algebra is $T(\Lambda)$ for some finite dimensional algebra $\Lambda$. We can apply the equivalence(s) (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) to it and recover Happel’s original result. However Happel’s proof of the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) of resp. case made use of the fact that the stable category $\text{mod}^Z T(\Lambda)$ has Auslander-Reiten triangles. Since we don’t know that $\text{CM}^Z A$ has something like Auslander-Reiten triangles in the case where $k$ is not a field, we can not use Happel’s method and need to develop our method.

Our method relies on decompositions of complexes of graded injective or projective modules established in [27]. As a byproduct we are able to deal with the case where $A$ is not necessary IG. In Lemma 5.13 and Proposition 5.14 we study relationships between existence of a generator in the singular derived category of $A$ and finiteness of homological dimensions of $A_0$. To our best knowledge, all previous results about such relationships only dealt with graded IG-algebras $A$. Thus, although it is out of main theme the paper studying graded IG-algebras, these results are of own interest.

To close the introduction, we explain other results of the paper. A graded algebra which is both hwg and IG has a nice structure. We show that if a finitely graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ is hwg IG, then the subalgebra $A_0$ of degree 0 elements is Noetherian and the highest degree submodule $A_\ell$ is a cotilting bimodule over $A_0$.

A cotilting bimodule $C$ is recalled in Definition 4.1. It is a bimodule over a Noetherian algebra whose key property is that the duality $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(\cdot, C)$ gives a contravariant equivalence between the derived categories $D^b(\text{mod} A)$ and $D^b(\text{mod} A^{op})$.

In Theorem 4.3 we give characterizations of hwg IG-algebras which is not related to the stable category nor Happel’s functor. Among other things we verify that a hwg IG-algebra is precisely a finitely graded algebra possessing a homological symmetry which, a posteriori, guarantees that the algebra is IG. The symmetry of hwg IG-algebra $A$ is given by the duality induced from the cotilting bimodule $A_\ell$.

In the case $k$ is a field and $\Lambda$ is a finite dimensional $k$-algebra, the $k$-dual bimodule $D(\Lambda)$ is an example of cotilting bimodule and the duality induced by $D(\Lambda)$ is nothing but the $k$-duality, i.e.,
Using this fact, we observe in Example 4.5 that a finite dimensional graded self-injective algebra $A$ is hwg if and only if it is a graded Frobenius. In this sense, a hwg IG-algebra can be looked as a generalization of a graded Frobenius algebra obtained by replacing the bimodule $D(\Lambda)$ with a general cotilting bimodule $C$.

It follows from a classical result by Fossum-Griffith-Reiten [10, Theorem 4.32] that when $\Lambda$ is a Noetherian algebra and $C$ is a cotilting bimodule, the trivial extension algebra $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ is IG. We prove that if we equip $A$ with the grading $\text{deg} \Lambda = 0, \text{deg} C = 1$, then it become hwg IG. Moreover, in Corollary 4.6 we show that a graded algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ concentrated in degree 0,1 is hwg IG if and only if it is obtained in that way.

Now it is natural to recall the following result of commutative Gorenstein algebras due to Foxby [8] and Reiten [35]. Namely, the trivial extension algebra $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ of a commutative Noetherian local algebra $\Lambda$ by a (bi)module $C$ is IG if and only if $C$ is a cotilting (bi)module. Thus with our terminology this theorem says that, in commutative local setting, every graded IG-algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ concentrated in degree 0,1 is hwg IG. We prove the same result is true for a commutative finitely graded IG-algebra.

**Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 7.1).** A commutative local finitely graded IG algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ is hwg.

### 1.2 Organization of the paper

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the construction of Happel’s functor and recall related results. In Section 3 we introduce a notion of homologically well-graded (hwg) algebras. In Section 4 we give characterizations of hwg algebras and show that it can be looked as a generalization of graded Frobenius algebras. In Section 5 we give characterizations of fully faithfulness of $H$ (Theorem 5.2) and characterizations of when $H$ gives an equivalence (Theorem 5.15). In Section 6 we give several examples and constructions of hwg IG-algebras. We observe that hwg-IG-property has more robustness than IG-property. Even though taking Veronese algebras and Segre products do not preserve IG-algebras, these operations preserve hwg IG-algebras. In Section 7 we study commutative algebras and gives a generalization of a result by Fossum-Foxby-Griffith-Reiten. In Section 8 we discuss the definition of hwg IG-algebras.
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### 1.3 Notation and convention

#### 1.3.1 Algebras, modules and bimodules

Throughout the paper $k$ denotes a commutative ring. An algebra $\Lambda$ is always a $k$-algebra. Unless otherwise stated, the word “$\Lambda$-modules” means right $\Lambda$-modules. We denote by $\text{Mod} \Lambda$ the category of $\Lambda$-modules. We denote by $\text{Proj} \Lambda$ (resp. $\text{Inj} \Lambda$) the full subcategory of projective (resp. injective) $\Lambda$-modules. We denote by $\text{proj} \Lambda$ the full subcategory of finitely generated projective $\Lambda$-modules.

We denote the opposite algebra by $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$. We identify left $\Lambda$-modules with (right) $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$-modules. A $\Lambda$-$\Lambda$-bimodule $D$ is always assumed to be $k$-central, i.e., $ad = da$ for $d \in D, a \in k$. For a $\Lambda$-$\Lambda$-bimodule $D$, we denote by $D_\Lambda$ and $_\Lambda D$ the underlying right and left $\Lambda$-modules respectively.

#### 1.3.2 Graded algebras and graded modules

In this paper, a graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ is always finitely graded, that is $A_i = 0$ for $i \gg 0$. Moreover, we always assume that the maximal degree $\ell := \max \{ i \in \mathbb{N} \mid A_i \neq 0 \}$ of $A$ is positive, i.e., $\ell \geq 1$. 

\[ \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_\Lambda (-, D(\Lambda)) \cong D(-). \]
We denote by $\text{Mod}^Z A$ the category of graded (right) $A$-modules $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ and graded $A$-module homomorphisms $f : M \to N$, which, by definition, preserves degree of $M$ and $N$, i.e., $f(M_i) \subset N_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a graded $A$-module $M$ and an integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the shift $M(j) \in \text{Mod}^Z A$ by $(M(j))_i = M_{i+j}$. We define the truncation $M_{\geq j}$ by $(M_{\geq j})_i = M_i (i \geq j)$, $(M_{\geq j})_i = 0 (i < j)$. We set $M_{< j} := M/M_{\geq j}$ so that we have an exact sequence $0 \to M_{\geq j} \to M \to M_{< j} \to 0$.

For $M,N \in \text{Mod}^Z A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\text{EXT}^n_A(M,N)_i := \text{Ext}^n_{\text{Mod}^Z A}(M,N(i))$ and

$$\text{EXT}^n_A(M,N) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{EXT}^n_A(M,N)_i = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ext}^n_{\text{Mod}^Z A}(M,N(i)).$$

We note the obvious equation $\text{HOM}_A(M,N)_0 = \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}^Z A}(M,N)$. We denote by $\text{Hom}_A(M,N)$ the Hom-space as ungraded $A$-modules. We note that there exists the canonical map $\text{HOM}_A(M,N) \to \text{Hom}_A(M,N)$ and it become an isomorphism if $M$ is finitely generated.

We denote by $\text{Proj}^Z A$ (resp. $\text{Inj}^Z A$) the full subcategory of graded projective (resp. graded injective) modules. We denote $\text{proj}^Z A$ the full subcategory of finitely generated graded projective modules.

For a subset $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\text{Mod}^I A \subset \text{Mod}^Z A$ the full subcategory consisting of graded $A$-modules $M$ such that $M_i = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus I$. We note that $\text{Mod}^I A$ is an abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}^Z A$. If for a subset $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\text{Proj}^I A := \text{Add}\{A(-i) \mid i \in I\}$ and $\text{proj}^I A := \text{add}\{A(-i) \mid i \in I\}$.

For further details of graded algebras and graded modules we refer the readers to [33].

### 1.3.3 Triangulated categories

A triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ is always assumed to be linear over the base commutative ring $k$. Let $U, V \subset \mathcal{T}$ be full triangulated subcategories. We denote by $U * V \subset \mathcal{T}$ to be the full subcategory consisting of those objects $T$ which fit into an exact triangle $U \to X \to V \to$ with $U \in U, V \in V$. If $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{T}(U,V) = 0$ for $U \in U$ and $V \in V$, we write $U \perp V$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{T}$ be an object. We denote by $\text{thick} X$ the thick closure of $X$, that is, the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ containing $X$ which is closed under direct summands. In other words, it is a triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of objects which are constructed from $X$ by taking shifts, cones and direct summands. An object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is said to be a tilting object of $\mathcal{T}$ if $\text{thick} X = \mathcal{T}$ and $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{T}(X,X[n]) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$.

## 2 Happel’s functor

In this section we recall Happel’s functor and related results.

### 2.1 Happel’s functor for a general finitely graded algebra

In this section $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\ell A_i$ denotes a finitely graded Noetherian algebra, i.e., every left or right graded ideal is finitely generated. The subcategory $\text{mod}^Z A$ of finitely generated graded $A$-module is an abelian subcategory of $\text{Mod}^Z A$. We set $\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A := \text{Mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A \cap \text{mod}^Z A$. In other words, $\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A$ denotes the full subcategory of $\text{mod}^Z A$ consisting of $M$ such that $M_i = 0$ for $i \notin [0,\ell-1]$.

Recall that the singular derived category $\text{Sing}^Z A$ is defined as the Verdier quotient $\text{Sing}^Z A := D^b(\text{mod}^Z A)/K^b(\text{proj}^Z A)$. We denote by $\pi : D^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \to \text{Sing}^Z A$ the canonical quotient functor. Then Happel’s functor is defined in the following way.

**Definition 2.1.** We define Happel’s functor $\varpi$ to be the composite functor of canonical functors below.

$$\varpi : D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A) \hookrightarrow D^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Sing}^Z A.$$
2.2 Happel’s functor for a finitely graded IG-algebra

We collect definitions and basic results of representation theory of Iwanaga-Gorenstein (IG) algebras.

Recall that a graded algebra $A$ is called Iwanaga-Gorenstein (IG) if it is graded Noetherian on both sides and has finite graded self-injective dimension on both sides. We remark that a graded algebra $A$ is graded IG if and only if it is IG as an ungraded algebra (see [27]).

Let $A$ be a graded IG-algebra. A finitely generated graded $A$-module $M$ is called graded Cohen-Macaulay (CM) if $\text{EXT}^i_A(M, A) = 0$. The graded CM-modules form a full subcategory $\text{CM}^Z A$ of $\text{mod}^Z A$ which is a Frobenius category with the induced exact structure. The admissible projective-injective objects of $\text{CM}^Z A$ are finitely generated graded projective $A$-modules. Let $\beta'$ be the following composite functor of the canonical functors.

$$\beta' : \text{CM}^Z A \hookrightarrow \text{mod}^Z A \hookrightarrow D^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Sing}^Z A$$

Buchweitz [5] and Happel [15] proved that the functor $\beta'$ descent to give a triangulated equivalence $\beta$ between the stable category $\underline{\text{CM}}^Z = \text{CM}^Z A / \text{proj}^Z A$ to the singular derived category $\text{Sing}^Z A$

$$\beta : \text{CM}^Z A \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Sing}^Z A.$$  

As a consequence we obtain a functor mentioned in (1-2).

**Definition 2.2.** We set $\mathcal{H} := \beta^{-1} \varpi$ and call it also Happel’s functor.

$$\mathcal{H} := \beta^{-1} \varpi : D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A) \to \underline{\text{CM}}^Z A.$$

Let $A$ be a finite dimensional algebra. Then, the algebra $T(\Lambda) = \Lambda \oplus D(\Lambda)$ is self-injective and in particular IG. We have $\text{CM}^Z T(\Lambda) = \text{mod}^Z T(\Lambda)$ and the functor $\mathcal{H}$ constructed above coincides with the original Happel’s functor.

2.2.1 Iwanaga’s Lemma

We recall a well-known fact which was first observed by Iwanaga [12]. Let $A$ be a graded IG-algebra. Then, for a finitely generated graded $A$-module $M$ we have $\text{gr.pd} M < \infty \iff \text{gr.id} M < \infty$. We give a derived categorical interpretation.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $A$ be an IG-algebra. Then we have $K^b(\text{proj}^Z A) = D^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \cap K^b(\text{Inj}^Z A)$.

**Proof.** For simplicity, we set $I := D^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \cap K^b(\text{Inj}^Z A)$. It follows from $\text{gr.id} A < \infty$ that $K^b(\text{proj}^Z A) \subset I$.

Let $M$ be an object of $I$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an integer such that $H^{\leq n}(M) = 0$. We take a projective resolution $P \in C^{-b}(\text{proj}^Z A)$ of $M$ and its brutal truncations $\sigma^{> n} P$, $\sigma^{\leq n} P$.

$$\sigma^{> n} P : \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{n+1}_P} P^{n+2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

$$\sigma^{\leq n} P : \cdots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{n-1}_P} P^n \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

If we set $N := \text{Cok} \partial^{n-1}_P$, then $\sigma^{\leq n} P \cong N[\leq n]$ in $D(\text{mod}^Z A)$ and we obtain an exact triangle $\sigma^{> n} P \rightarrow M \rightarrow N[\leq n] \rightarrow$ in $D^b(\text{mod}^Z A)$. Observe that $\sigma^{> n} P$ belongs to $K^b(\text{proj}^Z A)$. Hence $M$ and $\sigma^{> n} P$ belong to $I$. Therefore $N$ belongs to $I$ and hence $\text{gr.id} N < \infty$. Consequently, we have $\text{gr.pd} N < \infty$. It follows from $\sigma^{> n} P, N \in K^b(\text{proj}^Z A)$ that $M \in K^b(\text{proj}^Z A)$. \qed
2.3 Quasi-Veronese algebra construction

The reader can postpone Section 2.3 until the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Let $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ be a finitely graded algebra. We recall the quasi-Veronese algebra construction and the relationship with the Beilinson algebra $\nabla A$ defined in (1.1) of a graded algebra from [31] (see also [27]).

We may regard Happel’s functor $\varpi$ to be a functor $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}(\text{mod } \nabla A) \to \text{Sing}Z A$ via the equivalence $q : \mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}(\text{mod } [0,\ell-1] A) \cong \mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}(\text{mod } \nabla A)$ of (1-6).

We define a bimodule $\Delta A$ over $\nabla A$ to be

$$\Delta A := \begin{pmatrix} A_\ell & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ A_{\ell-1} & A_\ell & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_\ell \end{pmatrix}$$

where the bimodule structure are given by matrix multiplications. Then, the trivial extension algebra $\nabla A \oplus \Delta A$ with the grading $\deg \nabla A = 0$, $\deg \Delta A = 1$ is nothing but the $\ell$-th quasi-Veronese algebra $A[\ell]$ of $A$ introduced in [31]. An important fact is that there exists a $k$-linear equivalence $q^\ell : \text{mod } Z A \cong \mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}(\text{mod } [0,\ell-1] A)$ such that (1)$q^\ell = q^\ell(1)$.$ It follows that $A$ is graded Noetherian (resp. IG) if and only if so is $A[\ell]$. Moreover the equivalence $q^\ell$ induces equivalences of $k$-linear categories $q^\ell : \text{mod } Z A \cong \text{mod } A[\ell]$ and $\text{mod } [0,\ell-1] A \cong \text{mod } 0 A[\ell] \cong \text{mod } \nabla A$. The equivalence $q^\ell$ induces an equivalence between the singular derived categories and makes the following commutative diagram.

(2-7)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}(\text{mod } \nabla A) & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & \text{Sing}Z A \\
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}(\text{mod } [0,\ell-1] A) & \xrightarrow{\varpi A} & \text{Sing}Z A[\ell] \\
\xrightarrow{q^\ell A} & \xrightarrow{\cong q^\ell} & \xleftarrow{q^\ell} \\
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{B}(\text{mod } 0 A[\ell]) & \xrightarrow{\varpi A[\ell]} & \text{Sing}Z A[\ell] \\
\end{array}$$

Thanks to results above, we may reduce representation problem of a finitely graded algebras $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ to the case where maximal degree $\ell = 1$. A finitely graded algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ of $\ell = 1$ can be regarded as the trivial extension algebra $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ of $\Lambda := A_0$ by $C := A_1$ with the grading $\deg \Lambda = 0$, $\deg C = 1$. We point out that in this case, we have $T_A = \Lambda$.

3 Homologically well-graded algebras

Let $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ be a finitely graded algebra with the maximal degree $\ell = \max\{i \mid A_i \neq 0\}$. For simplicity we set $\Lambda := A_0$.

3.1 Decomposition of a complex of graded injective $A$-modules

We recall from [27] a decomposition of a complex $I$ of graded injective $A$-modules.
3.1.1 Decomposition of a graded injective $A$-module

For an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $i_i : \text{Inj}^I \Lambda \to \text{Inj} \Lambda$ the functor $i_i := \text{HOM}_A(\Lambda, I)_i$ and define a graded injective $A$-module $s_i I := \text{HOM}_A(A, i_i I)(-i)$. Then, we have the following isomorphism of graded $A$-modules

$$I \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} s_i I.$$ 

Moreover for $M \in \text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A$ we have the following isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A}(M, I) \cong \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_A(M_i, i_i I).$$

We leave the following lemma to the readers.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $I$ be a graded injective $A$-module and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for $0 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$, we have

$$\text{HOM}_A(A_{\leq k}, s_i I) \cong (s_i I)_{\geq k}.$$ 

3.1.2 Decomposition of a complex of graded injective $A$-modules

By abuse of notations, we denote the functors $i_i : \text{C}(\text{Inj}^I \Lambda) \to \text{C}(\text{Inj} \Lambda)$ and $i_i : \text{K}(\text{Inj}^I \Lambda) \to \text{K}(\text{Inj} \Lambda)$ induced from the functor $i_i : \text{Inj}^I \Lambda \to \text{Inj} \Lambda$ by the same symbols. The symbol $s_i$ is used in a similar way. Then for $I \in \text{C}(\text{Inj}^I \Lambda)$ we have $I = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} s_i I$. Moreover, as in [27, Section 4], for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have associate complexes $s_{\leq i} I$ and $s_{> i} I$ whose underlying complexes are $\bigoplus_{j \leq i} s_j I, \bigoplus_{j > i} s_j I$ with the induced differentials. These complexes fit into a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \to s_{\leq i} I \to I \to s_{> i} I \to 0$$

in $\text{C}(\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A)$, which gives an exact triangle

$$s_{\leq i} I \to I \to s_{> i} I \to$$

in $\text{K}(\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A)$ and hence in $\text{D}(\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A)$.

Let $M$ be an object of $\text{D}(\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A)$ and $I \in \text{C}(\text{Inj}^I \Lambda)$ be an injective resolution of $M$, that is, $I$ is a DG-injective complex equipped with a quasi-isomorphism $M \simto I$. Then, $i_i I$ can be computed as

$$\text{RHOM}_A(A, M)_i \cong i_i I \text{ in } \text{D}(\text{Mod} \Lambda).$$

Since $i_i I$ is a DG-injective complex of $\Lambda$-modules by injective version of [27, Lemma 4.3],

$$\text{RHOM}_A(A, i_i I)(-i) \cong s_i I$$

in $\text{D}(\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A)$.

We define a morphism $\tilde{\phi}_i : M \to \text{HOM}_A(A, M_i)(-i)$ in $\text{C}(\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A)$ in the following way. We note that we regard $M_i$ as a graded $\Lambda$-module concentrated in 0-th degree. Let $m \in M_j$ be a homogeneous of degree $j$. Then, we define $\tilde{\phi}_i(m) : A_{j-i} \to M_i$ to be $\tilde{\phi}(m)(a) := ma$. We define a morphism $\phi_i : M \to \text{RHOM}_A(A, M_i)(-i)$ in $\text{D}(\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A)$ to be the composition $\phi_i := \text{can} \circ \phi_i$ where

$$\text{can} : \text{HOM}_A(A, M)(-i) \to \text{RHOM}_A(A, M_i)(-i)$$

is a canonical morphism.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that $M_{> i} = 0$ for some integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following assertions hold.

(1) $i_i I = 0$ in $\text{D}(\text{Mod}^\mathbb{Z} A)$ for $j > i$.

(2) $s_{\geq i} I \cong s_i I$. 


(3) \( i_I \) is an injective resolution of \( M_i \in \text{D(\text{Mod } \Lambda)} \).

(4) The following diagram is commutative.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & \mathcal{R}\text{HOM}_\Lambda(A, M_i)(-i) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
I & \cong & \mathcal{s}_{\geq i} I \cong \mathcal{s}_i I
\end{array}
\]

Proof. (1) (2) and (3) are proved as in [27, Lemma 4.12]. (4) follows from (3). \( \square \)

Using (3) of the above lemma and the isomorphism (3-8), we deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Assume that \( M_{>i} = 0 \) for some integer \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then for \( N \in \text{D(\text{Mod } \Lambda)} \), we have

\[
\mathcal{R}\text{Hom}_{\text{D(\text{Mod}_A)}}(N(-i), M) \cong \mathcal{R}\text{Hom}_{\text{D(\text{Mod}_\Lambda)}}(N, M_i)
\]

### 3.2 Homologically well-graded complexes

Now we introduce a notion which plays a central role in this paper.

**Definition 3.4.** Let \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) be an integer. An object \( M \in \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \) is called \( i \)-homologically well-graded (\( i \)-hwg) if \( \mathcal{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda, M)_j = 0 \) for \( j \neq i \).

An object \( M \in \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \) is called homologically well-graded (hwg) if it is \( i \)-homologically well-graded for some \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \).

We collect equivalent conditions for homologically well-gradedness.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( M \) be an object of \( \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \) and \( I \in \text{C(\text{Inj}_A)} \) an injective resolution of \( M \). Then, for an integer \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) \( M \) is \( i \)-homologically well-graded.

(2) \( i_j I = 0 \) in \( \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \) for \( j \neq i \).

(3) \( s_i I \cong I \) in \( \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \).

(4) The morphism \( \phi_i : M \to \mathcal{R}\text{HOM}_\Lambda(A, M_i)(-i) \) is an isomorphism in \( \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \).

(5) \( \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)}(\Lambda(\mathcal{A}) \setminus i) A \perp M \).

Proof. (1) \( \Leftrightarrow \) (2) follows from the isomorphism (3-10).

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) follows from the isomorphism (3-11).

(3) \( \Rightarrow \) (4) follows from Lemma 3.2 and the exact triangle (3-9).

(4) \( \Rightarrow \) (5) follows from the isomorphism below for \( N \in \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \).

\[
\mathcal{R}\text{Hom}_{\text{D(\text{Mod}_A)}}(N, \mathcal{R}\text{HOM}_\Lambda(A, M_i)(-i)) \cong \mathcal{R}\text{Hom}_{\text{D(\text{Mod}_A)}}(N(i), M_i)
\]

(5) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) follows from the isomorphism \( \mathcal{R}\text{Hom}_{\text{D(\text{Mod}_A)}}(\Lambda(\mathcal{A}) \setminus j), M) \cong \mathcal{R}\text{HOM}_\Lambda(\Lambda, M_j). \( \square \)

Combining Lemma 3.2 (3) and Lemma 3.5, we see that the injective dimension of an \( i \)-hwg object \( M \in \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \) coincides with that of \( M_i \in \text{D(\text{Mod}_\Lambda)} \). For the injective dimension of an object of derived category, we refer [2]. We note that in the case where \( M \in \text{Mod}_A \), the injective dimension of \( M \) as an object of \( \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \) coincides with the usual injective dimension as a graded \( A \)-module.

**Corollary 3.6.** Let \( M \in \text{D(\text{Mod}_A)} \) be an \( i \)-hwg for some \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Then, we have

\[
\text{gr.id}_A M = \text{id}_A M_i.
\]
3.2.1 Homologically well-graded algebra

We call a finitely graded algebra \( A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i \) \textit{right strictly well-graded (swg)} if the following condition is satisfied:

\[
\text{HOM}_A(A, A)_j = 0 \text{ unless } j = \ell.
\]

In other words, \( A \) is right swg if and only if the degree \( \ell \)-part \( A_\ell \) is an essential \( A \)-submodule of \( A \). Observe that in the case where \( A \) is a finite dimensional algebra over some field, \( A \) is right swg if and only if \( \text{soc} A \subset A_\ell \). We note that in several papers, such a finitely graded algebra is said to have Gorenstein parameter.

A \textit{left strictly well-graded algebra} is defined similarly. We call a finitely graded algebra \( A \) strictly well-graded if it is both right and left swg.

In [6], Chen called a finite dimensional graded algebra \( A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i \) right well-graded if and only if it is right swg if and only if it is hwg.

**Definition 3.7.** A finitely graded algebra \( A \) is called \textit{right homologically well-graded} (right hwg) if \( A_A \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}^Z A) \) is homologically well-graded.

\( A \) is called left homologically well-graded (left hwg) if \( A^{\text{op}} \) is right homologically well-graded.

\( A \) is called homologically well-graded (hwg) if it is left and right hwg.

We remark that a right hwg algebra is right swg.

We give characterizations of a right hwg algebra.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \( A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i \) be a finitely graded algebra and \( \ell := \max\{i \mid A_i \neq 0\} \). Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. \( A \) is right hwg.
2. The canonical morphism \( A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\text{HOM}_A(A, A_{-\ell}) \) is an isomorphism in \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}^Z A) \).
3. \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}^{\leq \ell - 1} A) \perp K^b(\text{proj}^{\geq 0} A) \).
4. \( A \) is right swg and \( \text{EXT}_A^{>0}(A_{\leq k}, A) = 0 \) for \( k = 0, \cdots, \ell - 1 \).
5. \( A \) is right swg and \( \text{EXT}_A^{>0}(\Lambda, A) = 0 \).

**Proof.** Throughout the proof \( I \) denotes the graded injective resolution of \( A \). It follows from Lemma 3.5 that the conditions (1), (2) are equivalent.

(1) \( \Rightarrow \) (3). \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}^Z (\ell + i) A) \perp A_{-i} \) for \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) by Lemma 3.5. Since \( K^b(\text{proj}^{\geq 0} A) = \text{thick}\{A(-i) \mid i \geq 0\} \), we conclude that the condition (4) holds.

(3) \( \Rightarrow \) (1). We prove \( s_i I = 0 \) unless \( i = \ell \). By Lemma 3.2, we have \( s_{>\ell} I = 0 \). The condition (3) implies that \( i, I = \mathbb{R}\text{HOM}_A(A, A)_i = 0 \) for \( i \leq \ell - 1 \). Hence \( s_i I = 0 \) for \( i \leq \ell - 1 \).

(1) \( \Rightarrow \) (4). As is mentioned before right homologically well-gradedness implies right strictly well-gradedness. Since \( s_\ell I \cong I \), we have the following isomorphisms in \( \mathcal{D}(\text{Mod}^Z A) \) by Lemma 3.1

\[
\mathbb{R}\text{HOM}_A(A_{\leq k}, A) \cong \text{HOM}^*_A(A_{\leq k}, I) \cong I_{\geq \ell-k} \cong A_{\geq \ell-k}.
\]

In particular, we have \( \text{EXT}_A^{>0}(A_{\leq k}, A) = \text{H}^{>0}(A_{\geq \ell-k}) = 0 \).

The implications (4) \( \Rightarrow \) (5) \( \Rightarrow \) (1) are clear.

**Corollary 3.9.** Assume that a graded algebra \( A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i \) is finite dimensional and self-injective. Then \( A \) is right well-graded if and only if it is right swg if and only if it is hwg.

We leave the proof of the following lemma to the readers.

**Lemma 3.10.** A finitely graded algebra \( A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i \) is right hwg (resp. left hwg) if and only if so is \( A[\ell] \).
### 3.2.2 Homologically well-gradedness and Happel’s functor

Homologically well-gradedness guarantees that \( \varpi \) is fully faithful.

**Proposition 3.11.** If a finitely graded Noetherian algebra \( A \) is right hwg, then Happel’s functor \( \varpi \) is fully faithful.

This proposition is a partial generalization of Orlov’s result given in [34]. In view of Proposition 3.8, the proof is essentially the same with Orlov’s original proof. For the convenience of the readers, we provide the whole proof.

**Proof.** Since \( \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}\mathcal{Z}}(P, M) = 0 \) for \( P \in \text{proj}^< 0 A, M \in \text{mod}^\geq 0 A \), we have \( K^b(\text{proj}^< 0 A) \perp D^b(\text{mod}^\geq 0 A) \). Thus in particular we have \( K^b(\text{proj}^< 0 A) \perp \left(D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A) \ast K^b(\text{proj}^\geq 0 A)\right) \). Therefore, the composite functor \( \tilde{\varpi} \) of canonical functors below is fully faithful by [38, Proposition II.2.3.5].

\[
\tilde{\varpi} : D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A) \ast K^b(\text{proj}^\geq 0 A) \to D^b(\text{mod}^\geq 0 A) \to D^b(\text{mod}^\geq 0 A)/K^b(\text{proj}^< 0 A).
\]

We have \( D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A) \perp K^b(\text{proj}^\geq 0 A) \) by Proposition 3.8. Hence by [38] again, Happel’s functor \( \varpi \) which is obtained as the following composite functor is fully faithful

\[
\varpi : D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,\ell-1]} A) \ast K^b(\text{proj}^\geq 0 A) \to D^b(\text{mod}^\geq 0 A) \to D^b(\text{mod}^\geq 0 A)/K^b(\text{proj}^< 0 A).
\]

where for the last equivalence we use the equation \( K^b(\text{proj}^< 0 A) \ast K^b(\text{proj}^\geq 0 A) = K^b(\text{proj}^\geq 0 A) \) which was first observed by Orlov [34]. We mention for the convenience of the readers that this equality can be easily deduced from the exact triangle [27, (4-4)].

### 4 A characterization of homologically well-graded IG-algebras

We recall from [30] the definition of a cotilting bimodule and its important property.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \( \Lambda \) be a Noetherian algebra. A \( \Lambda \)-\( \Lambda \)-bimodule \( C \) is called cotilting if the following conditions are satisfied.

1. \( C \) is finitely generated as both a right \( \Lambda \)-module and a left \( \Lambda \)-module.
2. \( \text{id}_C^\Lambda < \infty, \text{id}_C^{\Lambda_{\text{op}}} < \infty \).
3. \( \text{Ext}_\Lambda^0(C, C) = 0, \text{Ext}^{\geq 0}_{\Lambda_{\text{op}}}(C, C) = 0 \).
4. The natural algebra morphism \( \Lambda \to \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, C) \) is an isomorphism.

The natural algebra morphism \( \Lambda_{\text{op}} \to \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_{\text{op}}}(C, C) \) is an isomorphism.

We point out several well-known facts. A Noetherian algebra \( \Lambda \) is IG if and only if \( \Lambda \) is a cotilting bimodule over \( \Lambda \). Moreover, over an IG-algebra \( \Lambda \), cotilting bimodules are the same notion with tilting bimodules.

**Theorem 4.2** (Miyachi [30, Corollary 2.11]). Let \( \Lambda \) be a Noetherian algebra and \( C \) be a cotilting bimodule over \( \Lambda \). Then \( \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(\_ , C) \) induces an equivalence of triangulated categories.

\[
\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\_ , C) : D^b(\text{mod} \, \Lambda) \cong D^b(\text{mod} \, \Lambda_{\text{op}}^{\text{op}}) : \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda_{\text{op}}}(\_ , C).
\]
The following theorem gives a characterization of hwg IG-algebra.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i \) be a Noetherian finitely graded algebra with \( \Lambda := A_0 \). Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. \( A \) is a hwg IG-algebra.
2. \( A \) is a right hwg IG-algebra.
3. \( A \) is a right swg IG algebra and the module \( T \) is CM.
4. The following conditions are satisfied.
   4-i) \( A_\ell \) is a cotilting bimodule over \( \Lambda \)
   4-ii) There exists a \( \Lambda \)-A-bimodule isomorphism
         \[ \alpha : A \cong \text{HOM}\_\Lambda(A, A_\ell)(-\ell) \]
   4-iii) \( \text{EXT}\_\Lambda^0(A, A_\ell) = 0 \).

If these conditions are satisfied, then \( \text{id}_A = \text{id}_A \).

**Remark 4.4.** The condition (4-ii), (4-iii) are summarized to the condition:

(4-ii+iii) There exists an isomorphism
\[ \hat{\alpha} : A \cong \text{RHom}\_\Lambda(A, A_\ell)(-\ell) \]
in the derived category of \( \Lambda \)-A-bimodules.

**Proof.** The implication \( (1) \Rightarrow (2) \) is clear. The equivalence \( (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \) follows from Proposition 3.8.

We prove \( (2) \Rightarrow (4) \). The conditions (4-ii) and (4-iii) follows from (4) of Lemma 3.5. It remains to show that \( A_\ell \) is a cotilting bimodule over \( \Lambda \).

As \( A \) is Noetherian, \( A_\ell \) is finitely generated on both sides over \( \Lambda \). Let \( \Lambda \in \text{C}(\text{Inj}^A) \) be a graded injective resolution of \( A \). By Lemma 3.2(3), \( i_\ell I \) is a \( \Lambda \)-injective resolution of \( A_\ell \) which is bounded. Therefore, \( \text{id}_A A_\ell < \infty \).

Looking at the degree 0-part of the isomorphism \( \phi_\ell : A \cong \text{RHom}\_\Lambda(A, A_\ell)(-\ell) \), we obtain an isomorphism \( \hat{\alpha} : A \cong \text{RHom}\_\Lambda(A, A_\ell)(-\ell) \).

As we remarked above, \( A \) is a cotilting module over \( A \). Therefore, by a graded version of Theorem 4.2, the functor \((-)^* := \text{RHom}\_\Lambda(-, A) \) give an contravariant equivalence from \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^A) \) to \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^A \text{op}) \). Thus, \( (\Lambda(-\ell))^* \cong A_\ell \) by Corollary 3.3.

Therefore
\[ \text{RHom}_{\Lambda\text{op}}(A_\ell, A) \cong \text{RHom}_{\text{D}(\text{mod}^A \text{op})}(A_\ell, A) \cong \text{RHom}_{\text{D}(\text{mod}^A)}(A(-\ell), \Lambda(-\ell)) \cong \Lambda. \]

This shows that \( A_\ell \) is a cotilting bimodule over \( \Lambda \) as desired.

(4) \( \Rightarrow \) (1). First we prove \( \text{id}_A < \infty \). By (4-ii) it is enough to show that the graded \( \Lambda \)-module \( \text{HOM}\_\Lambda(A, A_\ell) \) has finite injective dimension. It is easy to see that if \( J \) is an injective \( \Lambda \)-module, then the graded \( \Lambda \)-module \( \text{HOM}\_\Lambda(A, J) \) is a graded injective \( \Lambda \)-module. We take an injective resolution \( J^* \)
\[ 0 \rightarrow A_\ell \rightarrow J^0 \rightarrow J^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow J^d \rightarrow 0 \]
of \( A_\ell \) as a \( \Lambda \)-module where \( d := \text{id}_A A_\ell \) is finite by (4-i). By the assumption (4-iii), we obtain an injective resolution
\[ 0 \rightarrow \text{HOM}\_A(A, A_\ell) \rightarrow \text{HOM}\_A(A, J^0) \rightarrow \text{HOM}\_A(A, J^1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{HOM}\_A(A, J^d) \rightarrow 0. \]
of $\text{HOM}_\Lambda(A,A)$.

Next we prove that $A$ is right hwg. By Lemma 3.5, it suffices to show that the canonical morphism $\phi_\ell$ is an isomorphism. By (4-iii), it is enough to show that the 0-th cohomology morphism $\beta := H^0(\phi_\ell) : A \rightarrow \text{HOM}_\Lambda(A,A)(-\ell)$ is an isomorphism. We denote by $\alpha_a, \beta_a \in \text{Hom}_A(A_{\ell-a}, A_\ell)$ of the image of a homogeneous element $a \in A_\ell$ by $\alpha$ and $\beta$. We note that since $\alpha$ is an isomorphism of $\Lambda$-$A$-bimodules, we have $\beta_a \circ \alpha_b = \alpha_{ab}$ and $\alpha_b \circ \beta_c = \alpha_b c$ for $a \in A_0$ and homogeneous elements $b, c \in A$.

We claim that the homomorphism $\alpha_1 : A_{\ell} \rightarrow A_{\ell}$ which is the image of $1 \in A_0$ by $\alpha$ is an isomorphism. Since $\alpha$ gives an isomorphism $A_0 \cong \text{Hom}_A(A_{\ell}, A_\ell)$, there exists an element $a \in A_0$ such that $\alpha_a = \text{id}_{A_\ell}$. It follows that $\text{id}_{A_\ell} = \beta_a \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_1 \beta_a$. This shows that $\alpha_1$ is an isomorphism.

For a homogeneous element $b \in A$, we have $\beta_b = \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \alpha_b$. In other words, $\beta = (\alpha_1^{-1} \circ -) \circ \alpha$. Hence, we conclude that $\beta$ is an isomorphism as desired.

$$\beta : A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{HOM}_\Lambda(A,A)(-\ell) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1^{-1}} \text{HOM}_\Lambda(A,A)(-\ell)$$

The condition (4-i) is right-left symmetric by the definition of cotilting bimodules. The condition (4-ii) and (4-iii) are right-left symmetric by Theorem 4.2 and Remark 4.4. Hence, we deduce that $\text{id}_{A_\ell} = \alpha_1 < \infty$ and $A$ is left hwg.

As an example, we show that a hwg self-injective algebra is nothing but a graded Frobenius algebra.

**Example 4.5.** In this Example 4.5, $k$ is a field and $A$ is finite dimensional over $k$. Recall that a finite dimensional graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ is called graded Frobenius if there exists an isomorphism of graded (right) $A$-modules

$$D(A)(-\ell) \cong A.$$  

It is clear that a graded Frobenius algebra is self-injective.

Observe that since we have a canonical isomorphism $D(A) \cong \text{HOM}_{A_0}(A,D(A_0))$, the defining isomorphism (4-12) is written as

$$\text{HOM}_{A_0}(A,D(A_0))(-\ell) \cong A.$$  

Since $D(A_0)$ is a cotilting $A_0$ module, we see that a graded Frobenius algebra is a hwg self-injective algebra.

On the other hand, if $A$ is a hwg self-injective algebra, then $A_\ell$ is a cotilting bimodule of injective dimension 0 on both sides by Corollary 3.6. It follows that $(A_\ell)_{A_0} \cong D(A_0)_{A_0}$. Thus we obtain the isomorphism (4-13) and hence the isomorphism (4-12).

In the case $\ell = 1$, a graded algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ is regarded as the trivial extension algebra $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ of an algebra $\Lambda = A_0$ by a bimodule $C = A_1$ over $\Lambda$.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let $\Lambda$ be a Noetherian algebra and $C$ be a $\Lambda$-$\Lambda$-bimodule which is finitely generated on both side. Then, the trivial extension algebra $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ with the grading $\text{deg} \Lambda = 0$, $\text{deg} C = 1$ is a hwg IG-algebra if and only if $C$ is a cotilting bimodule.

**Proof.** The “only if” part is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.3. Conversely, if $C$ is a cotilting bimodule, then it is immediately check the condition (4) of Theorem 4.3.

If $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ is hwg IG, then the dualities $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(-,C)$ and $\mathbb{R}\text{HOM}_A(-,A)$ are compatible under Happel functor.
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Proposition 4.7. Let $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ be a hwg IG-algebra. Then the following diagram is commutative.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Sing}^Z A \\
\downarrow \text{RHOM}_{\Lambda}(\cdot, C) & & \downarrow \text{RHOM}_{\Lambda}(\cdot, A)(1) \\
\text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda^{\text{op}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Sing}^Z A^{\text{op}}
\end{array}
\]

We note that both vertical arrows are equivalence functors.

Proof. The claim follows from the following string of isomorphisms for $M \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$.

\[
\text{RHOM}_{\text{D}(\text{mod}^2 \Lambda)}(M, A)(1) \cong \text{RHOM}_{\text{D}(\text{mod}^2 \Lambda)}(M, \text{RHOM}_{\Lambda}(A, C)(-1))(1) \cong \text{RHOM}_{\text{D}(\text{mod}^2 \Lambda)}(M, C).
\]

\qed

Remark 4.8. In [27], for a trivial extension algebra $A = \Lambda \oplus C$, we introduced the right asid number $\alpha_r$ and the left asid number $\alpha_l$ which are defined by the following formulas

\[
\alpha_r := \max\{a \geq -1 \mid \text{RHOM}_{\Lambda}(A, A)_a \neq 0\} + 1, \quad \alpha_l := \max\{a \geq -1 \mid \text{RHOM}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(A, A)_a \neq 0\} + 1.
\]

It is clear that $\alpha_r = 0, \alpha_l = 0$ if and only if $A$ is hwg.

5 Happel’s functor and a homologically well-graded IG-algebra

In this section we study a finitely graded IG-algebra from a viewpoint of Happel’s functor.

5.1 When is Happel’s functor $\cong$ fully faithful?

By Proposition 3.11 if $A$ is right hwg IG, then Happel’s functor $\cong : \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^{[0, \ell-1]} A) \to \text{Sing}^Z A$ is fully faithful. The aim of Section 5.1 is to prove the converse under a milder assumption, which is that the base ring $k$ is Noetherian and $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ is a finitely generated as $k$-module. We note that the latter condition is equivalent to assume that $A_i$ is finitely generated over $k$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell$. We use the assumption only to establish the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Assume that $k$ is Noetherian and a $k$-algebra $\Lambda$ is finitely generated as a $k$-module. Let $D$ be a bimodule over $\Lambda$ which is finitely generated on both sides, $M$ an object of $\text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then if we regard $\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D, M[n])$ as a $\Lambda$-module by using the $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$-module structure of $D$, then it is finitely generated.

Proof. By standard argument, the problem is reduced to show that $\text{Ext}^n_{\Lambda}(D, M)$ belongs to $\text{mod} \Lambda$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M \in \text{mod} \Lambda$. Taking a projective resolution $P^\bullet$ of $D$ as a $\Lambda$-module such that $P^i$ is finitely generated, we see that $\text{Ext}^n_{\Lambda}(D, M) = H^n(\text{Hom}(P^\bullet, M))$ is finitely generated over $k$ with respect to the $k$-module structure induced from the $\Lambda$-module structure of $D$. Let $I^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of $M$. Then we have the following quasi-isomorphisms

\[
\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^\bullet, M) \simrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P^\bullet, I^\bullet) \simleftarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D, I^\bullet).
\]

of complexes of $k$-modules. Therefore, $H^n(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D, I^\bullet))$ is finitely generated with respect to the $k$-module structure induced from the $\Lambda$-module structure of $D$. Since a bimodule $D$ is assumed to be $k$-central, $H^n(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D, I^\bullet))$ is finitely generated with respect to the $k$-module structure induced from the $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$-module structure of $D$. Thus we conclude that $\text{Ext}^n_{\Lambda}(D, M)$ is finitely generated as a $\Lambda$-module. \qed
The following is the main result of Section 5.1.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ be a finitely generated IG-algebra. Assume that $k$ is Noetherian and $A$ is a finitely generated $k$-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. $A$ is a hwg algebra.
2. The functor $\varpi$ are fully faithful.
3. Ker $\varpi = 0$.
4. We have $\Hom_{\Sing^Z A}(\varpi(T), \varpi(T)[n]) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$. The algebra homomorphism $\gamma : \nabla A \to \End_{\Sing^Z A}(\varpi T)$ induced from the functor $\varpi$ is an isomorphism.

We remark that the algebra homomorphism $\gamma$ in Theorem 5.2 coincides with the algebra homomorphism $\gamma$ of (1–3) if we identify the functor $\mathcal{H}$ with $\varpi$ via the equivalence $\beta : \CM^Z A \cong \Sing^Z A$.

We need a preparation.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $A$ be as in Theorem 5.2. Let $I \in C^b(\text{Inj}^Z A)$ such that (the quasi-isomorphism class of) it belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} Z \Lambda)$. Then, $i_I I$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s_{>i} I$ and $s_{\leq i} I$ belong to $D^b(\text{mod} Z \Lambda)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** We may assume that $H(I) \neq 0$. Assume that $i_I I$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$. Since $i_I I$ is a bounded complex of injective modules, thanks to Lemma 5.1 we see that $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(C, i_I I)$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$. Using an exact triangle $i_{i-1} I \to I_{i-1} \to \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(C, i_I I) \to$ in $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$ obtained in [27, Lemma 5.1], we deduce that $i_{i-1} I$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$.

Let $j := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H(I)_i \neq 0\}$. Then, $i_k I = 0$ for $k > j$ and $i_j I \cong H(I)_j$ in $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$ by injective version of [27, Lemma 4.14]. Thus, by induction we prove the first assertion.

The second assertion follows from the first. \hfill $\square$

We proceed a proof of Theorem 5.2.

**Proof of Theorem 5.2** By Lemma 3.10 and the diagram (2–7), we may assume that $A = \Lambda \oplus C$. The implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) follows from Proposition 3.11. The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is clear.

We prove (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). By Lemma 3.11, $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(C, C)$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$. Therefore the object $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(C, C)(-1)$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,1]} A)$. Let $I$ be an injective resolution of $A$. By Lemma 5.3, $s_{\leq 0} I$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} Z \Lambda)$. Therefore an exact triangle $s_{\leq 0} I \to A \overset{\phi_1}{\to} \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(C, C)(-1) \to$ induced from the canonical exact sequence $0 \to s_{\leq 0} I \to I \overset{f}{\to} s_1 I \to 0$, is contained in $D^b(\text{mod}^Z \Lambda)$.

We claim that $\varpi(s_{\leq 0} I) = 0$. Since $A$ and $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(A, C)(-1)$ belong to $D^b(\text{mod}^{[0,1]} A)$, so does $s_{\leq 0} I$. Observe that the map $f$ is an isomorphism in degree 1 and hence that $H(s_{\leq 0} I)_1 = 0$. Thus, we see that $s_{\leq 0} I$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod}^0 A) = D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$. On the other hands by Lemma 3.3, $s_{\leq 0} I$ belongs to $K^b(\proj^Z A)$. Therefore we conclude $\varpi(s_{\leq 0} I) = 0$.

It follows from the assumption Ker $\varpi = 0$ that $s_{\leq 0} I = 0$. Thus, $I \cong s_1 I$ and $A$ is right hwg by Lemma 3.5. This finishes the proof of the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4) is clear. We prove the implication (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3). It follows from the assumption that the restriction of $\varpi$ gives an equivalence $K^b(\proj \Lambda) = \text{thick} \Lambda \cong \text{thick} \varpi \Lambda$. Since Ker $\varpi \subset K^b(\proj \Lambda)$ by [27, Theorem 4.18], we conclude Ker $\varpi = 0$. \hfill $\square$

### 5.2 When does Happel’s functor $\varpi$ give an equivalence?

In Section 5.2, we discuss when the functor $\varpi$ gives an equivalence.
5.2.1 The condition (F)

First we introduce a finiteness condition on homological dimensions.

Definition 5.4. An algebra \( \Lambda \) is said to satisfy the condition (PF) (resp. (IF)) if all finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module \( M \) satisfies \( \text{pd} M < \infty \) (resp. \( \text{id} M < \infty \)).

An algebra \( \Lambda \) is said to satisfy the condition (F) if it satisfies both the conditions (PF) and (IF).

It is clear that if \( \text{gldim} \Lambda < \infty \), then \( \Lambda \) satisfies the condition (F). In some cases, the converse holds. In the case where \( \Lambda \) is a finite dimensional algebra, \( \Lambda \) satisfies the condition (F) if and only if it is of finite global dimension. More generally, in the case where \( k \) is a complete local Noetherian ring and \( \Lambda \) is finitely generated as \( k \)-module, then \( \Lambda \) is a semi-perfect Noetherian algebra (see e.g. [7, Proposition 6.5 and Theorem 6.7]). It follows that the condition (F) implies \( \text{gldim} \Lambda < \infty \).

We collect basic properties of the condition (F).

Lemma 5.5. Assume that \( \Lambda \) is Noetherian. Then, the following assertions hold.

(1) \( \Lambda \) satisfies the condition (PF) (resp. (IF)) if and only if \( K^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) = D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) \) (resp. \( D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) \subset K^b(\text{Inj} \Lambda) \)).

(2) \( \Lambda \) satisfies the condition (F) if and only if \( K^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) = D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) \subset K^b(\text{Inj} \Lambda) \).

(3) The following conditions are equivalent.

(a) \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda^{\text{op}} \) satisfy the condition (F).

(b) \( \Lambda \) is IG and satisfies the condition (F).

(c) \( \Lambda \) satisfies the condition (F) and has a cotilting bimodule \( C \).

(d) \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda^{\text{op}} \) satisfy the condition (IF).

Proof. We leave the proofs of (1), (2) to the readers.

We prove (3). The implication \( (a) \Rightarrow (b) \) is clear. The implication \( (b) \Rightarrow (c) \) is proved by setting \( C = \Lambda \). For the implication \( (c) \Rightarrow (a) \), we prove that a finitely generated \( \Lambda^{\text{op}} \)-module \( N \) satisfies \( \text{id} N < \infty \). Since the condition \( \text{pd} N < \infty \) can be checked in a similar way, we leave the verification to the readers. We set \( F := \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(\cdot, C) \). By Theorem 4.2 and (2), \( F(N) \) belongs to \( K^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) \). Therefore we have a projective resolution \( P \in C^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) \) of \( F(N) \). Let \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) be an integer such that \( P_{b} = 0 \) for \( b < a \). We set \( d := \text{id} C \). Since for \( L \in \text{mod} \Lambda^{\text{op}} \) we have \( F(L) \in D^{\leq d}(\text{mod} \Lambda) \), we see that \( \text{Ext}^n_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(L, N) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\text{op}}}(L, N[n]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(F(N), F(L)[n]) = 0 \) for \( n > d - a \). Thus, \( \text{id} N < \infty \). The implication \( (a) \Rightarrow (d) \) is clear. We prove the implication \( (d) \Rightarrow (b) \). It is easy to see that \( \Lambda \) is IG. Thus, we have \( K^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) = D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) \cap K^b(\text{Inj} \Lambda) = D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) \). \qed

To use the quasi-Veronese algebra construction, we need the following lemma. Since it is easily proved by using [27, Proposition 6.1], we leave the proof to the readers.

Lemma 5.6. Let \( \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \) be Noetherian algebras and \( E \) be a \( \Gamma_1 \)-\( \Gamma_2 \)-bimodule which is finitely generated on both sides. Then the upper triangular matrix algebra \( \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_1 & E \\ 0 & \Gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \) satisfies the condition (PF) (resp. (IF), (F)) if and only if so do \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \).

Corollary 5.7. Let \( A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^t A_i \) be a finitely graded algebra. Then \( A_0 \) satisfies the condition (PF) (resp. (IF), (F)) if and only if so does \( \nabla A \).
5.2.2 Existence of a generator in Sing\textsuperscript{Z} \textbf{A}

In this Section 5.2.2 we discuss relationship between existence of generators in Sing\textsuperscript{Z} \textbf{A} and the condition (F) on \textbf{A}.

Let \textbf{T} be a triangulated category. An object \textbf{S} \in \textbf{T} is called a thick generator if \textbf{thick} \textbf{S} = \textbf{T}. An object \textbf{S} \in \textbf{T} is called a generator (resp. cogenerator) if for an object \textbf{X} \in \textbf{T} the condition that \text{Hom}(\textbf{S}, X[n]) = 0 (resp. \text{Hom}(X, \textbf{S}[n]) = 0) for \textbf{S} \in \mathbb{Z} implies \textbf{X} = 0. It is easy to see that a thick generator is both a generator and a cogenerator.

The following proposition was shown in the case where \textbf{k} is a field in [24, Lemma 3.2], which generalizes [10] Lemma 3.5 dealing with graded self-injective algebras.

**Proposition 5.8.** Assume that \textbf{k} is Noetherian and \textbf{A} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} \textbf{A}_i is IG which is finitely generated as a \textbf{k}-module. If \textbf{A}_0 satisfies the condition (F), then \pi(T) is a thick generator of Sing\textsuperscript{Z} \textbf{A}.

**Proof.** We may assume that \textbf{A} = \Lambda \oplus \textbf{C} by Corollary 5.7. Observe that every object \textbf{X} \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \text{Sing}^Z \textbf{A}) is constructed from \text{M}(n) for \textbf{M} \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) and \textbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} by extensions. Therefore every object \pi \textbf{X} \in \text{Sing}^Z \textbf{A} is constructed from \pi \text{M}(n) for \textbf{M} \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) and \textbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z} by extensions. Thus it is enough to check that \pi \text{M}(n) \in \text{thick} \pi \text{M} \Lambda for \textbf{M} \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) and \textbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}.

We set \text{C}^n := \text{C} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \cdots \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \text{C} (\text{n-times}) for \textbf{n} > 0. Since \textbf{M} \in \text{K}^b(\text{proj} \Lambda), the complex \textbf{M} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \text{C} belongs to \text{K}^b(\text{proj} \text{Sing}^Z \text{A}). Therefore, from an exact triangle below, we see that \text{M}(1) becomes to be isomorphic to \text{M} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \text{C}[1].

\[ M \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \text{C} \rightarrow M \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \text{A}(1) \rightarrow M(1) \rightarrow M \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \text{C}[1] \]

Thus, we see that \text{M}(n) become to be isomorphic to \text{M} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \text{C}^n[n] in Sing\textsuperscript{Z} \textbf{A} for \textbf{n} > 0. Since \text{M} \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\Phi} \text{C}^n[n] belongs to \text{K}^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) = \text{thick} \Lambda, this shows that \pi \text{M}(n) belongs to \text{thick} \pi \text{M} \Lambda.

Since \text{M} \in \text{K}^b(\text{Inj} \Lambda), the complex \text{RHOM}(\text{A}, \text{M}) belongs to \text{K}^b(\text{proj} \text{Sing}^Z \text{A}). Hence it belongs to \text{K}^b(\text{proj} \text{Sing}^Z \text{A}) by Lemma 2.3. From an exact triangle below, we see that \pi \text{M}(1) becomes to be isomorphic to \text{RHOM}(\text{C}, \text{M})[-1] in Sing\textsuperscript{Z} \textbf{A}.

\[ \text{RHOM}(\text{C}, \text{M})[-1] \rightarrow \text{M}(1) \rightarrow \text{RHOM}(\text{A}, \text{M})(-1) \rightarrow \text{RHOM}(\text{C}, \text{M}) \]

Thus, we see that \pi \text{M}(n) becomes to be isomorphic to \text{RHOM}(\text{C}^n, \text{M})[-n] in Sing\textsuperscript{Z} \textbf{A} for \textbf{n} > 0. Since \text{RHOM}(\text{C}^n, \text{M})[-n] belongs to \text{K}^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) = \text{thick} \Lambda, this show that \pi \text{M}(n) belongs to \text{thick} \pi \text{M} \Lambda.

The above proposition asserts that finiteness of homological dimension on \textbf{A}_0 implies existence of a thick generator in Sing\textsuperscript{Z} \textbf{A}. We don’t know whether the converse holds or not. However, a stronger generating condition, existence of tilting generator implies that \textbf{A}_0 satisfies the condition (F).

**Proposition 5.9.** Assume that \textbf{k} is Noetherian and \textbf{A} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} \textbf{A}_i is an IG-algebra which is finitely generated as a \textbf{k}-module. If Sing\textsuperscript{Z} \textbf{A} has a tilting object \textbf{S}, then \textbf{A}_0 and \textbf{A}_0^{\text{op}} satisfies the condition (F).

This proposition was shown in the case where \textbf{k} is a field in [24, Lemma 3.1], which generalizes [10] Theorem 3.1 dealing with graded self-injective algebras.

We need preparations.

**Lemma 5.10.** Assume that \textbf{k} is Noetherian and \textbf{A} = \Lambda \oplus \textbf{C} is an IG-algebra which is finitely generated as a \textbf{k}-module. Then the following assertions hold.

1. We have \pi \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \text{Sing}^Z \text{A}) \perp \pi (\text{D}^b(\text{mod} \text{Sing}^Z \text{A}) \cap \text{K}^+(\text{Inj}^{>0} \text{A})).
(2) Assume moreover that for \( M \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \), we have \( \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, M) \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod } \Lambda) \). Then, we have
\[
\text{Sing}^Z A = \pi \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^{\leq 0} A) \ast \pi \left( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \cap K^+(\text{Inj}^{>0} A) \right).
\]

**Proof.** (1) First we remark that since \( \text{Hom}_\Lambda(M, I) = 0 \) for \( M \in \text{mod}^{\leq 0} A \) and \( I \in \text{Inj}^{>0} A \), we have \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^{\leq 0} A) \perp (\text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \cap K^+(\text{Inj}^{>0} A)) \) in \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \).

Let \( M \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^{\leq 0} A) \) and \( I \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \cap K^+(\text{Inj}^{>0} A) \). We may assume that \( I \) is represented by \( I \in \text{C}^+(\text{Inj}^{>0} A) \). Let \( f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Sing}^Z A}(\pi(M), \pi(I)) \). We take a diagram below in \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \) representing \( f \).

\[
M \xrightarrow{f'} I' \xleftarrow{u} I''.
\]

Namely, the map \( \pi(s) \) is invertible and we have \( f = \pi(s)^{-1} \pi(f') \). Note that the cone \( \text{cn}(s) \) belongs to \( \text{K}^b(\text{proj}^Z A) \). By Lemma 2.3, there exists \( K \in \text{C}^b(\text{Inj}^Z A) \) which is quasi-isomorphic to \( \text{cn}(s)[-1] \). The canonical morphism \( t : \text{cn}(s)[-1] \to I \) is represented by a morphism \( t : K \to I \) in \( \text{C}(\text{Inj}^Z A) \), which is denoted by the same symbol \( t \). Since \( \text{Hom}_{\text{C}(\text{Mod}^Z A)}(s_{>0}K, I) = 0 \), there exists a morphism \( \hat{t} : s_{>0}K \to I \) which complete the upper square of the following diagram.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K & \longrightarrow & s_{>0}K \\
| & & | \\
t & & \hat{t} \\
| & & | \\
I & \longrightarrow & I \\
| & & | \\
\hat{s} & = & s \\
| & & | \\
M & \xrightarrow{f} & I' & \xleftarrow{u} & I''
\end{array}
\]

Let \( I'' \) be the cone of \( \hat{t} \) and \( \hat{s} : I \to I'' \) be the canonical morphism. Then there exists a morphism \( u : I' \to I'' \) such that \( us = \hat{s} \). Since \( s_{>0}K \) belongs to \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \) by Lemma 5.3, it belongs to \( \text{K}^b(\text{proj}^Z A) \) by Lemma 2.3. Thus the morphism \( \pi(\hat{s}) \) is invertible and we have \( f = \pi(s)^{-1} f' = \pi(\hat{s})^{-1} \pi(uf') \). On the other hand, since \( s_{>0}K \) and \( I \) belongs to \( \text{K}^+ (\text{Inj}^{>0} A) \), so is the cone \( I'' \) of \( \hat{s} \). Therefore \( \text{Hom}_{\text{D}(\text{mod}^Z A)}(M, I'') = 0 \) by the remark at the beginning of the proof. Thus, in particular \( uf' = 0 \) and consequently \( f = 0 \) as desired.

(2) Let \( M \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \) and \( I \in \text{C}^+(\text{Inj} A) \) an injective resolution of \( M \). Using the same argument with the proof of Lemma 5.3, we verify that \( s_{>0}I, s_{\leq 0}I \) belong to \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \). Thus in particular, \( s_{>0}I \) belongs to \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \cap \text{K}^+ (\text{Inj}^{>0} A) \). Applying \( \pi \) to the exact triangle (3-9), we obtain an exact triangle \( \pi(s_{\leq 0}I) \to \pi(M) \to \pi(s_{>0}I) \to \), from which we deduce the desired conclusion. \( \Box \)

**Corollary 5.11.** Assume that \( k \) is Noetherian and \( A = \Lambda \oplus C \) is an IG-algebra which is finitely generated as a \( k \)-module. Assume moreover that for \( M \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) \), we have \( \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, M) \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) \). If \( \text{Sing}^Z A \) has a generator \( S \), then the following assertions hold.

(1) We have \( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \cap \text{K}^+ (\text{Inj}^{>0} A) \subset \text{K}^b(\text{proj}^Z A) \).

(2) We have \( \text{Sing}^Z A = \pi \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^{\leq 0} A) \).

(3) \( \Lambda \) satisfies the condition (IF).

**Proof.** (1). We may assume that \( S \in \pi \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^{\leq 0} A) \) by shifting the grading. Then by Lemma 5.10 we have the equality \( \pi \left( \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^Z A) \cap \text{K}^+ (\text{Inj}^{>0} A) \right) = 0 \), which implies the desired result.

(2) follows from (1) and Lemma 5.10.

(3) We may assume that \( S \in \pi \text{D}^b(\text{mod}^{\leq 0} A) \) by shifting the grading. Let \( M \in \text{D}^b(\text{mod} \Lambda) \) and \( J \in \text{C}^+(\text{Inj} A) \) an injective resolution of \( M \). By the assumption, \( X := \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, M)(-1) \)
belongs to $D^b(\text{mod}^Z A)$. On the other hand, it is clear that $X \cong \text{HOM}_A(A,J)(-1)$ belongs to $K^+(\text{Inj}^{>0} A)$. It follows from (1) that $\mathbb{R}\text{HOM}_A(A,M)$ belongs to $K^b(\text{proj}^Z A) \subset K^b(\text{Inj}^Z A)$. Since $\mathbb{R}\text{HOM}_A(A,M)_{>0} = 0$, we deduce $M = \mathbb{R}\text{HOM}_A(A,M)_0$ belongs to $K^b(\text{Inj A})$ by [27, Lemma 5.6]. This shows that $D^b(\text{mod} A) \subset K^b(\text{Inj} A)$ as desired.

Corollary 5.12. Let $k$ and $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\ell A_i$ be as in Corollary 5.11. Assume that $\text{pd} A_0 < \infty$. If $\text{Sing}^Z A$ has a generator, then $A_0$ satisfies the condition (IF).

**Proof.** It follows from [27, Proposition 6.1] that $\text{pd} A < \infty$. Applying Corollary 5.11 to $A$, we see that $A$ satisfies the condition (IF). By Corollary 5.7, we conclude that $A_0$ satisfies the condition (IF).

We proceed the proof of Proposition 5.9.

**Proof of Proposition 5.9.** We may assume that $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ by Corollary 5.7. First we claim that $\Lambda$ satisfies the condition (IF). Since a tilting object $S$ is a generator, it follows from Corollary 5.11 (3) that we only have to show that for any $M \in \text{mod} \Lambda$, the complex $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_A(C,M)$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$. By Lemma 5.1, it is enough to show that $\text{Ext}^n_A(C,M) = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. We set $d := \text{id} A$ and take an exact sequence

$$0 \to D \to P^{-d+1} \to \cdots \to P^0 \to C \to 0$$

in $\text{mod}^Z A$ such that each $P^{-i}$ is finitely generated projective over $A$. Then, for $n > 0$ we have the following isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}^{n+d}_A(C,M) \cong \text{Ext}^{n+d}_A(C,M) \cong \text{Ext}^n_A(D,M) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Sing}^Z A}(\pi D, (\varpi M)[n])$$

where for the last isomorphism we use the fact that $D$ is CM. Since $\text{Sing}^Z A$ has a tilting object, we have $\text{Hom}_{\text{Sing}^Z A}(\pi D, (\varpi M)[n]) = 0$ for $|n| \gg 0$. This finishes the proof of the claim.

Since $\text{Sing}^Z A^{\text{op}}$ is contravariantly equivalent to $\text{Sing}^Z A$ by the $A$-duality $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(-, A)$, it also has a tilting object. Thus, applying the first claim to $A^{\text{op}}$ we see that $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$ satisfies the condition (IF). Thus by Lemma 5.9, $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$ satisfies the condition (F).

We collect the following two results for a finitely graded Noetherian algebra which is not necessary IG. The proofs are left to the readers, since these can be done by the dual arguments of that of Lemma 5.10, Corollary 5.11 and Proposition 5.9.

**Lemma 5.13.** Let $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ be a Noetherian finitely graded algebra. Assume that for $M \in D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$, we have $M \otimes^L_A C \in D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$ and that $\text{Sing}^Z A$ has a cogenerator $S$. Then the following assertions hold.

1. We have $K^{-b}(\text{proj}^{\leq 0} A) \subset K^b(\text{proj}^Z A)$.
2. We have $\text{Sing}^Z A = \pi D^b(\text{mod}^{\leq 0} A)$.
3. $\Lambda$ satisfies the condition (PF).

**Proposition 5.14.** Let $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\ell A_i$ be a finitely graded Noetherian algebra. Assume that $\text{pd} A < \infty$. If $\text{Sing}^Z A$ has a cogenerator, then $A_0$ satisfies the condition (PF).
5.2.3 The condition that $\varpi$ gives an equivalence

We give equivalent conditions that $\varpi$ gives an equivalence.

**Theorem 5.15.** Assume that $k$ is Noetherian and $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A_i$ is an IG-algebra which is finitely generated as a $k$-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. $A$ is hwg and $A_0$ satisfies the condition (F).
2. Happel’s functor $\varpi$ gives an equivalence.
3. The object $\varpi(T)$ is a tilting object of $\text{Sing}^Z A$ and the induced map $\text{End}_A(T) \to \text{End}_{\text{Sing}^Z A}(\varpi T)$ is an isomorphism.
4. $A$ is hwg and $\text{Sing}^Z A$ has a tilting object.

**Remark 5.16.** Lu and Zhu showed in [24, Proposition 3.4] that for a finite dimensional graded IG-algebra $A$ such that $A_0$ is of finite global dimension, the module $T$ becomes a tilting object in $\text{Sing}^Z A$ provided that it is a CM-module. If $A$ is hwg, then $T$ is a CM-module by Theorem 4.3. Thus, a part of the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows from their result.

**Proof.** By Corollary 5.7 we may assume that $A = \Lambda \oplus C$ and hence $T = \Lambda$.

The implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows from Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.8.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). It is enough to show that $\varpi$ is essentially surjective. Since $\varpi(\Lambda)$ is tilting object, we have $\text{Sing}^Z A = \text{thick} \varpi(\Lambda)$. On the other hand, from the second assumption we deduce that the restriction of $\varpi$ gives an equivalence $K^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) = \text{thick} \Lambda \to \text{thick} \varpi(\Lambda)$. Thus we conclude that $\varpi$ is essentially surjective as desired.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). First we claim that $\Lambda$ satisfies the condition (IF). Since $\Lambda$ is a generator of $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$, the object $\varpi(\Lambda)$ is a generator of $\text{Sing}^Z A$. Thus, by Corollary 5.11, it is enough to show that for any $M \in D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$, we have $R \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, M) \in D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$. By Lemma 5.11 it is enough to show that $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, M[n]) = 0$ for $|n| \gg 0$. From the canonical exact sequence $0 \to C \to A(1) \to \Lambda(1) \to 0$ in $\text{mod}^Z A$, we deduce an isomorphism $\varpi C \cong (\varpi \Lambda)(1)[-1]$ in $\text{Sing}^Z A$. We set $\tilde{M} := \varpi^{-1}(\varpi(M)(-1))$. Then,

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, M[n]) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Sing}^Z A}(\varpi(C), \varpi(M)[n])$$

$$= \text{Hom}_{\text{Sing}^Z A}(\varpi(\Lambda)(1)[-1], \varpi(M)[n])$$

$$= \text{Hom}_{\text{Sing}^Z A}(\varpi(\Lambda), \varpi(M)(-1)[n + 1])$$

$$= \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Lambda, \tilde{M}[n + 1]) = H^{n+1}(\tilde{M}).$$

Since $\tilde{M}$ belongs to $D^b(\text{mod} \Lambda)$, we conclude that $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, M[n]) = 0$ for $|n| \gg 0$. This finishes the proof of the claim.

It follows from Theorem 5.2 that $C$ is cotilting. Therefore by Lemma 4.7, the opposite version of $\varpi$ is also equivalence. Thus, we can apply the claim to $A^{\text{op}}$ and deduce that $A^{\text{op}}$ satisfies the condition (IF). Thus by Lemma 5.5 $\Lambda$ satisfies the condition (F).

The implication (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from Proposition 5.9. Finally if we assume that the condition (1) is satisfied, then we already know that $\varpi(T)$ is a tilting object in $\text{Sing}^Z A$. This proves the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (4). □
6  Examples and constructions

6.1  Truncated tensor algebras

In this subsection, we give a sufficient conditions for a truncated tensor algebra to be hwg IG. For an algebra $\Lambda$ and a bimodule $E$, we denote by
\[ T_\Lambda(E) = \Lambda \oplus E \oplus (E \otimes \Lambda^2) \oplus (E \otimes \Lambda^3) \oplus \cdots \oplus (E \otimes \Lambda^i) \oplus \cdots \]
the tensor algebra of $E$ over $\Lambda$. It has a structure of a graded algebra with the grading $\deg \Lambda = 0$, $\deg E = 1$.

**Proposition 6.1.** Let $\Lambda$ be an IG-algebra, $C$ a cotilting bimodule over $\Lambda$ and $\ell$ a non-negative integer. Assume that $C \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda^i \in \text{mod } \Lambda$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then the truncated algebra
\[ A := T_\Lambda(C)/T_\Lambda(C)_{\geq \ell+1} \]
is an $\ell$-hwg IG-algebra.

**Proof.** It is obvious that $A$ is Noetherian and finitely graded. We show that $A$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 4.3 (4).

We set $C^n := C \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda^1 \cdots \otimes_\Lambda \Lambda^n$ ($n$-times). First we remark that it follows from the ungraded version of Lemma 2.3 that if $C$ is regarded as a $\Lambda$-module, then it belongs to $\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$. Thus if $M$ belongs to $\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$, then so does $M \otimes_\Lambda C$. In particular, we see $C^n \in \text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ for $n \geq 0$ by using induction.

It follows from the first remark that the canonical morphism below is an isomorphism for $n \geq 1$.
\[ C^{n-1} \otimes_\Lambda \text{RHom}_\Lambda(C, C) \to \text{RHom}_\Lambda(C, C^n) \]
Since $\text{RHom}_\Lambda(C, C) \cong \Lambda$, we obtain an isomorphism $\text{RHom}_\Lambda(C, C^\ell) \cong C^{\ell-1}$. Using adjunction as below, we inductively obtain an isomorphism $\text{RHom}_\Lambda(C^i, C^\ell)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$.
\[ \text{RHom}_\Lambda(C^i, C^\ell) \cong \text{RHom}_\Lambda(C, \text{RHom}_\Lambda(C^{i-1}, C^\ell)) \cong \text{RHom}_\Lambda(C, C^{\ell-i+1}) \cong C^{\ell-i} \]
This shows that $A$ satisfies the condition (4-ii) and (4-iii) of Theorem 4.3.

It only remains to check the condition (4-i). Namely we only have to show that $A_\ell = C^\ell$ is a cotilting bimodule over $\Lambda$. We leave to the readers the verification that the isomorphism $\text{RHom}_\Lambda(C^\ell, C^\ell) \cong C^0 = \Lambda$ obtained above coincide with the canonical morphism. Since $C^\ell \in \text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$, we see $\text{id}_\Lambda < \infty$ by the ungraded version of Lemma 2.3. This shows that the bimodule $A_\ell$ satisfies the defining conditions of a cotilting bimodule in Definition 4.1, which is concerning on the $\Lambda$-module structure. By dual argument, we can check that $A_\ell$ satisfies the latter half of the defining conditions of a cotilting bimodule.

As an application, we study the tensor product $A = \Lambda \otimes_k k[x]/(x^{\ell+1})$.

**Example 6.2.** Let $\Lambda$ be a Noetherian algebra and $\ell$ a natural number. We set
\[ A = \Lambda \otimes_k k[x]/(x^{\ell+1}), \quad \deg x = 1. \]

In the case where $\Lambda$ is a finite dimensional algebra, it is known that $A$ is IG if and only if so is $\Lambda$. Moreover, Cohen-Macaulay representation theory of $A$ has been studied by several researchers (see e.g. [11, 23, 36, 37]). In this example, applying our result, we prove the above characterization for
A to be IG in general setting. Moreover, we recover the construction of a tilting object in Sing^Z A and a triangle equivalence given in [23, Lemma 3.6].

We point out an isomorphism A \cong T_\ell(\Lambda)/T_\ell(\Lambda)_{\ell+1} of graded \(k\)-algebras. It is easy to see that A is hwg.

We claim that A is IG if and only if so is \(\Lambda\). Indeed as we mentioned before, if \(\Lambda\) is IG, then \(\Lambda\) is a cotilting bimodule over \(\Lambda\). Since \(\Lambda^\otimes k^i\) belongs to mod \(\Lambda\) for \(i \geq 0\), therefore \(A\) is hwg IG by Proposition 6.1. On the contrary, if we assume that \(A\) is IG, then it is hwg-IG. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that \(A_\ell = \Lambda\) is a cotilting bimodule over \(\Lambda\). Therefore \(\Lambda\) is IG. This finishes the proof of the claim.

It is easy to check that the Beilinson algebra \(\nabla A\) is the \(\ell \times \ell\)-upper triangular matrix algebra of \(\Lambda\).

\[
\nabla A = \begin{pmatrix}
\Lambda & \Lambda & \cdots & \Lambda \\
0 & \Lambda & \cdots & \Lambda \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \Lambda
\end{pmatrix}
\]

By Proposition 3.11 Happel’s functor \(\varpi : Db(mod \nabla A) \to Sing^Z A\) is fully faithful. Assume that \(k\) is Noetherian and \(\Lambda\) is an IG-algebra which is finitely generated as a \(k\)-module. Then \(\varpi\) gives an equivalence if and only if \(\Lambda\) satisfies the condition (F) if and only if \(T\) is a tilting object of \(Sing^Z A\).

Thus in particular, the condition \(gldim \Lambda < \infty\) implies that Happel’s functor \(\varpi\) gives an equivalence and \(T\) is a tilting object. This assertion was proved by Lu [23, Lemma 3.6] in the case where \(k\) is a field.

 Cotilting modules \(C\) satisfying the condition \(C^\otimes k^i \in mod \Lambda\) of Proposition 6.1 arise in higher dimensional Auslander-Reiten Theory as the bimodule \(C := \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(D(\Lambda), \Lambda)\) over an \(n\)-representation infinite algebra \(\Lambda\). In this context, the tensor algebra \(T_\Lambda(C)\) is a generalization of usual preprojective algebra \(\Pi(Q)\). Using Proposition 6.1 we give partial generalizations of result about preprojective algebra \(\Pi(Q)\) of non-Dynkin quiver.

**Example 6.3.** In this example, for simplicity, the base field is assumed to be algebraically closed and a quiver \(Q\) is assumed to be finite and acyclic. Let \(n \geq 1\) be a positive integer. The notion of \(n\)-representation infinite (RI) algebra was introduced by Herschend-Iyama-Oppermann [16] as a generalization of path algebras \(kQ\) of infinite representation type from the viewpoint of higher dimensional AR-theory.

A finite dimensional algebra \(\Lambda\) is called \(n\)-RI if it is of finite global dimension and satisfies the following conditions. We have \(\text{Ext}_\Lambda^m(D(\Lambda), \Lambda) = 0\) except \(m = n\) and the bimodule \(C := \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(D(\Lambda), \Lambda)\) satisfies the condition that \(C^\otimes k^i \in mod \Lambda\) for \(i \geq 0\).

Let \(\Lambda\) be \(n\)-RI. Then, the bimodule \(C := \text{Ext}_\Lambda^n(D(\Lambda), \Lambda)\) is cotilting. To see this, first recall that cotilting bimodules over \(\Lambda\) are precisely tilting bimodules over \(\Lambda\), since \(gldim \Lambda < \infty\). Then, observe that \(C\) is quasi-isomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D(\Lambda), \Lambda)[n]\) an that the latter complex is the \(\Lambda\)-dual of a (co)tilting bimodule \(D(\Lambda)\). Hence it is a (co)tilting bimodule. Thus the bimodule \(C\) satisfies the conditions of Proposition 6.1.

A path algebra \(kQ\) of infinite representation type is 1-RI (and the converse is also true up to Morita equivalence) and the tensor algebra \(T_kQ(C)\) is the preprojective algebra \(\Pi(Q)\). Therefore, for an \(n\)-RI algebra \(\Lambda\) the tensor algebra \(T_\Lambda(C)\) is a natural generalization of a preprojective algebra \(\Pi(Q)\) of the path algebra \(kQ\). Hence it is called the \((n+1)\)-preprojective algebra of \(\Lambda\), denoted by \(\Pi(\Lambda)\) and plays a crucial role in higher AR-theory.

\[
\Pi(\Lambda) := T_\Lambda(C)
\]
By Proposition 6.1, the truncated \((n+1)\)-preprojective algebra \(\Pi(\Lambda)_{<\ell} = \Pi(\Lambda)/\Pi(\Lambda)_{\geq\ell+1}\) is hwg IG for \(\ell > 0\). This is a partial generalization of a result by Buan-Iyama-Reiten-Scott [3].

Let \(Q\) be a finite acyclic non-Dynkin quiver. They associated a finite dimensional factor algebra \(\Pi(Q)_w := \Pi(Q)/I_w\) to an element \(w\) of the Coxeter group \(W_Q\) and showed that it is an IG-algebra. Let \(c \in W_Q\) be a Coxeter element satisfying the condition of [20, Definition 2.1]. If \(w = c^{\ell+1}\) is a multiple of \(c\), then we have \(\Pi(Q)_{c^{\ell+1}} = \Pi(kQ)_{\leq\ell}\). Thus, in this case, our result recovers BIRS’s result.

The graded and ungraded singular derived categories of \(\Pi(Q)_w\) plays an important role in cluster theory. Kimura [20, 21] gave constructions of tilting objects in \(\text{Sing}^Z \Pi(Q)_w\) when \(w\) satisfies some assumptions. In the case where \(w = c^{\ell+1}\), since \(\text{gldim} kQ \leq 1\), we can apply Theorem 5.15 to \(\Pi(Q)_{c^{\ell+1}} = \Pi(kQ)_{\leq\ell}\) and obtain a tilting object \(T\) of \(\text{Sing}^Z \Pi(Q)_{c^{\ell+1}}\). This tilting object coincides with the tilting object \(M\) given in [20, Theorem 4.7].

6.2 Veronese algebra

Let \(n > 0\) be a natural number. Recall that the \(n\)-th Veronese subalgebra \(A^{(n)}\) of a graded algebra \(A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i\) is defined to be the subalgebra of \(A\) generated by \(\{A_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}\) with the grading \((A^{(n)})_i := A_{in}\).

Before stating our result, it deserves to remark that the same construction does not preserve IG-property in general.

**Example 6.4.** We provide two examples.

1. Assume that \(k\) be a field and set \(A = \wedge k^3 \times k[x]/(x^3)\) where the left factor is the exterior algebra of a 3-dimensional vector space with the grading \(\deg k^3 := 1\) and the degree of \(x\) is set to be 1. Then, \(A\) is self-injective and in particular IG with \(\ell = 4\). However, it is easy to see that 2nd Veronese algebra \(A^{(2)}\) is isomorphic to \(T_k(k^3)/T_k(k^3)_{\geq 2} \times k[y]/(y^3)\) and is not IG.

2. Let \(A\) be a finite dimensional graded algebra defined by a quiver

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
| & | & |
\end{array} & \\
| & 1 & |
\begin{array}{c}
\circlearrowleft
\end{array}

| & | & |
\end{array} & \\
| & 2 & |
\begin{array}{c}
\circlearrowleft
\end{array}

\]

with relations \(a^2 = bc, ab = cb = ca = 0\) and degrees \(\deg a = 1, \deg b = 0, \deg c = 2\). It can be checked that \(A\) is swg IG with the maximal degree \(\ell = 2\). However, it can be also checked that the 2nd Veronese algebra \(A^{(2)}\) is not IG.

Contrary to this, the \(n\)-th Veronese subalgebra of hwg IG-algebras is again hwg IG provided that \(n\) divides the maximal degree \(\ell\).

**Proposition 6.5.** If \(A\) is an \((mn)\)-hwg algebra, then so is the \(n\)-th Veronese subalgebra \(A^{(n)}\). Moreover if \(A\) is an \((mn)\)-hwg IG-algebra, then so is \(A^{(n)}\).

**Proof.** The assertions follow from Proposition 3.8 and Theorem 4.3.

6.3 Tensor products and Segre products

Next we consider the tensor product of given two graded algebras. In the rest of this subsection, for simplicity we assume that \(k\) is a field and graded algebras \(A, B\) are finite dimensional.
6.3.1 Tensor product

Let $H := A \otimes_k B$ be the tensor product algebra of $A$ and $B$ with the grading $H_k := \bigoplus_{k=i+j} A_i \otimes_k B_j$. We note that if we set the maximal degrees of $A$ and $B$ to be $\ell_A$ and $\ell_B$, then the maximal degree $\ell_H$ of $H$ is $\ell_A + \ell_B$. It is known that IG-property preserved by this construction. More precisely the following assertion holds.

**Proposition 6.6** ([1, Proposition 2.2]). $H$ is IG if and only if so are $A$ and $B$.

We prove that hwg IG-property is also preserved by this construction.

**Proposition 6.7.** In the above setting we have the following assertions.

1. $A$ and $B$ are right hwg if and only if so is $H$.
2. $A$ and $B$ are hwg IG if and only if so is $H$.

We leave the proof of the following lemmas to the readers.

**Lemma 6.8.** Let $\Lambda, \Lambda'$ be finite dimensional algebras over a field $k$, $E, F$ finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules and $E', F'$ finitely generated $\Lambda'$-modules. Assume that $\text{id} F < \infty$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda \otimes_k \Lambda'}(E \otimes_k E', F \otimes_k F') \cong \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(E, F) \otimes_k \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(E', F')$$

in $D(\text{Mod} \Lambda \otimes_k \Lambda')$.

**Lemma 6.9.** Let $f : U \to V$ and $f' : U' \to V$ be morphisms in $D^b(\text{mod} \, k)$. Then, the tensor product $f \otimes f' : U \otimes_k U' \to V \otimes_k V'$ is an isomorphism if and only if so are $f$ and $f'$.

As a consequence we deduce the following proposition concerning on cotilting bimodules.

**Proposition 6.10.** Let $\Lambda, \Lambda'$ be finite dimensional algebras over a field $k$, $C$ a bimodule over $\Lambda$ and $C'$ a bimodule over $\Lambda'$. Then $C$ and $C'$ are cotilting if and only if $C \otimes_k C'$ is a cotilting bimodule over $\Lambda \otimes_k \Lambda'$.

**Proof.** For simplicity we set $\Lambda' := \Lambda \otimes_k \Lambda'$ and $C'' := C \otimes_k C'$. Using the same argument with [1, Proposition 2.2], we can prove that $\text{id} C'' < \infty$ if and only if $\text{id} C < \infty, \text{id} C' < \infty$.

We denote by $\psi : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C, C)$ the canonical morphism. We also denote by $\psi'$ and $\psi''$ the canonical morphisms involving $C'$ and $C''$ respectively. Under the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(C'', C'') \cong \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(C', C')$ of Lemma 6.8, $\psi''$ corresponds to $\psi \otimes \psi'$.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda \otimes_k \Lambda' & \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes \psi'} & \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(C, C) \otimes_k \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(C', C') \\
| & | & | \\
\Lambda'' & \xrightarrow{\psi''} & \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(C'', C'')
\end{array}
\]

Therefore, $\psi''$ is an isomorphism if and only if so are $\psi$ and $\psi'$ by Lemma 6.9.

Since the same statements above are proved for the left module structures on $C, C'$ and $C''$, we see that $C''$ is cotilting precisely when $C$ and $C'$ are cotilting. □

Now we are ready to prove the proposition.
Proof of Proposition 6.7. (1) We denote by \( \phi_A : A \to \mathbb{R} \text{HOM}_{A_0}(A, A_{\ell_A})(-\ell_A) \) the canonical morphism. We also denote by \( \phi_B \) and \( \phi_H \) the canonical morphisms for \( B \) and \( H \). Then from Lemma 6.8 we obtain an isomorphism

\[
\mathbb{R} \text{HOM}_{A_0}(A, A_{\ell_A})(-\ell_A) \otimes_k \mathbb{R} \text{HOM}_{B_0}(B, B_{\ell_B})(-\ell_B) \cong \mathbb{R} \text{HOM}_{H_0}(H, H_{\ell_H})(-\ell_H),
\]

under which \( \phi_H \) corresponds to \( \phi_A \otimes \phi_B \). Thus it follows from Lemma 6.9 that \( H \) satisfies the condition (2) of Proposition 3.8 if and only if so do \( A \) and \( B \). Thus the assertions follows.

(2) Although the assertion follows by (1) and Proposition 6.6, we provide another proof. It follows from Theorem 4.3 that a finitely graded algebra \( A \) is hwg IG if and only if it is hwg and \( A_{\ell_A} \) is cotilting. Thanks to (1) and Proposition 6.10, we can deduce the desired conclusion by checking the latter condition.

6.3.2 Segre product

We recall another product of two graded algebras \( A \) and \( B \), the Segre product \( S \), which is defined to be

\[
S := \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i \otimes_k B_i
\]

with a natural multiplication. The grading of \( S \) is defined to be \( S_i := A_i \otimes B_i \).

Before stating our result, we point out that even if \( A \) and \( B \) are IG of the same maximal degree \( \ell \), the Segre product \( S \) can fail to be IG.

Example 6.11. Let \( \Lambda \) be a non-IG algebra and \( C \) be a cotilting bimodule over \( \Lambda \) (e.g., \( C = D(\Lambda) \)). We set \( A := \Lambda \oplus C \) with the canonical grading and \( B := k \times (k[x]/(x^2)) \) with the grading \( \text{deg } x := 1 \). Then, since \( S \) is isomorphic to \( \Lambda \times A \), it is not IG.

Contrary to this, the Segre product of two hwg IG-algebras having the same maximal degree is again hwg IG.

Proposition 6.12. Under the above setting, the following assertions hold.

(1) \( A, B \) are right \( \ell \)-hwg if and only if \( S \) is a right \( \ell \)-hwg algebra.

(2) \( A, B \) are \( \ell \)-hwg IG if and only if \( S \) is an \( \ell \)-hwg IG-algebra.

Proof of Proposition 6.12. (1) We denote by \( \phi_A : A \to \mathbb{R} \text{HOM}_{A_0}(A, A_{\ell_A})(-\ell_A) \) the canonical morphism. We also denote by \( \phi_B \) and \( \phi_S \) the canonical morphisms for \( B \) and \( S \). Then from Lemma 6.8 for \( i = 0, \ldots, \ell \) we obtain an isomorphism

\[
\mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{A_0}(A_i, A_{\ell_A}) \otimes_k \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{B_0}(B_i, B_{\ell_B}) \cong \mathbb{R} \text{Hom}_{S_0}(S_i, S_{\ell_S}),
\]

under which \( \phi_{S,i} \) corresponds to \( \phi_{A,i} \otimes \phi_{B,i} \). Thus it follows from Lemma 6.9 that \( S \) satisfies the condition (2) of Proposition 3.8 if and only if so do \( A \) and \( B \). Thus the assertions follows.

(2) The assertion can be proved by the same argument to the second proof of Proposition 6.7.

7 Commutative case

Foxby [8] and Reiten [35] (see also [9, 3.7]) showed that if a local commutative graded algebra \( A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \) is IG, then it is hwg. It is worth noting that in commutative ring theory, an IG-algebra is called a Gorenstein algebra. A cotilting module is called a canonical module and it has alias such as a dualizing module and a Gorenstein module of rank 1.

The aim of Section 7 is to generalize the result by Foxby and Reiten to any commutative finitely graded algebras.
Theorem 7.1. A commutative local finitely graded Gorenstein algebra \( A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\ell A_i \) is hgw.

The symbol \( \text{Spec}^{Z} A \) denotes the set of graded prime ideals of \( A \). Since \( A \) is finitely graded, the ideal \( A_+ := \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A_i \) is nilpotent and hence contained in every graded prime ideal. Therefore a graded prime ideal \( \mathfrak{p} \) of \( A \) is of the form \( \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \oplus A_- \). We have \( A/\mathfrak{p} = A_0/\mathfrak{p}_0 \) and hence \( \mathfrak{p}_0 \) is a prime ideal of \( A_0 \).

We denote by \( E_{A}(M) \) the injective envelope of a graded \( A \)-module \( M \).

Lemma 7.2. For \( \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^{Z} A \), we have \( E_{A}(A/\mathfrak{p}) \cong \text{HOM}_{A_0}(A, E_{A_{0}}(A_{0}/\mathfrak{p}_0)) \).

Proof. Since \( \text{HOM}_{A_0}(A, E_{A_{0}}(A_{0}/\mathfrak{p}_0)) \) is a graded injective module containing \( A/\mathfrak{p} = A_0/\mathfrak{p}_0 \) as an essential submodule, we conclude the desired result.

We collect graded versions of well-known results about structures of minimal injective resolutions. For this purpose, we recall the definition of the graded Bass number.

Definition 7.3 (The graded Bass number). For \( \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^{Z} A \) and \( M \in \text{Mod}^{Z} A \), we set
\[
\mu_i^n(\mathfrak{p}, M) := \dim_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})} \text{EXT}^n_A(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}), M_i).
\]

Theorem 7.4 (A graded version of [25, Theorem 18.7]). Let \( M \in \text{Mod}^{Z} A \) and \( I^* \) a minimal graded injective resolution of \( M \). Then we have
\[
I^n \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^{Z} A, i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{A}(A/\mathfrak{p})^{\oplus \mu_i^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)}(-i),
\]
\[
\mathfrak{s}_i I^n \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^{Z} A} E_{A}(A/\mathfrak{p})^{\oplus \mu_i^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)}(-i).
\]

Theorem 7.5 (A graded version of [25, Theorem 18.8]). Let \( A \) as in Theorem 7.1. Then,
\[
\mu_i^n(\mathfrak{p}, A) = 0 \text{ for } n \neq \text{ht} \mathfrak{p}, i \in \mathbb{Z}
\]
\[
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_i^{\text{ht}}(\mathfrak{p}, A) = 1.
\]

We remark that for \( M \in \text{mod}^{Z} A \) and \( \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^{Z} A \), \( \mu_i^n(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0 \) if and only if \( \text{EXT}^n_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, M)_i \neq 0 \). The lemma below is a graded version of [25, Lemma 18.3].

Lemma 6. Let \( M \in \text{mod}^{Z} A \) and \( \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q} \) graded prime ideals of \( A \). Assume that \( \text{Kdim} A_\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}_\mathfrak{q} = 1 \). If \( \mu_i^n(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0 \) for some \( n \geq 0 \) and \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), then \( \mu_i^{n+1}(\mathfrak{q}, M) \neq 0 \).

Proof of Theorem 7.1. By Theorem 7.3 and Theorem 7.5 it is enough to show that \( \mu_i^{\text{ht}}(\mathfrak{p}, A) \neq 0 \) for \( i \neq \ell \). We set \( d := \text{Kdim} A \) to the Krull dimension of \( A \). By the assumption we have the equalities
\[
d = \text{Kdim} A = \text{depth} A = \text{id} A = \text{gr.id} A
\]
where the last equality is proved in [27, Corollary 2.10].

First, we claim that \( \mu_i^d(\mathfrak{m}, A) \neq 0 \). Let \( x \) be a homogeneous \( A \)-regular element. Since \( A \) is finitely graded, we have \( \deg x = 0 \) and hence \( \mu_i^d(\mathfrak{m}, A) = \mu_i^{d-1}(\mathfrak{m}, A/x) \). Moreover the residue algebra \( A/xA \) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 7.1 by [4, Proposition 3.1,19]. Therefore, since, by [4, Proposition 1.5.11], there exists a \( A \)-regular sequence \( x_1, \ldots, x_d \) consisting of homogeneous elements, the claim reduced to the case \( d = 0 \). In the case \( d = 0 \), then \( A \) is isomorphic to a degree shift of \( E_{A}(k) = \text{HOM}_{A_0}(A, E_{A_{0}}(k)) \). Since the functor \( \text{Hom}_{A_0}(\cdot, E_{A_{0}}(k)) \) is faithful, we have
\[
\max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid E_{A}(k)_i \neq 0\} = 0, \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid E_{A}(k)_i \neq 0\} = -\ell.
\]
Thus, by comparing the degree of $A$ and $E_A(k)$, we deduce that $A \cong E_A(k)(-\ell)$. This proves the claim.

By Theorem 7.5, we deduce $\mu_i^d(m, A) = 0$ for $i \neq \ell$ from the claim.

Assume that $\mu_i^{ht}(p, A) \neq 0$ for some $i \neq \ell$. Then by Lemma 7.6, we have $\mu_i^d(m, A) \neq 0$. This contradict to what we have proved. Thus, $\mu_i^{ht}(p, A) = 0$ for $i \neq \ell$. This completes the proof. □

8 Remark: graded derived Frobenius extensions

Recall that a Frobenius algebra $A$ is an algebra which possesses a symmetry that a regular module $A_A$ is isomorphic to the dual module $\text{Hom}_k(A, k)$.

\begin{equation}
\text{Hom}_k(A, k) \cong A.
\end{equation}

An important fact is that this isomorphism (8-14), a posteriori, implies that $A$ is selfinjective. We emphasize that Frobenius algebras have applications such as topological field theory (see e.g. [22]) because of the symmetry (8-14).

We mention that there exists a generalization of Frobenius algebras defined by existence of a symmetry like (8-14), that is, Frobenius extensions. A Frobenius extension is an algebra extension $\Lambda \subset A$ such that $A$ is finitely generated projective left $\Lambda$-module and that there exists an isomorphism of $\Lambda$-$A$-bimodules

\begin{equation}
\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, \Lambda) \cong A.
\end{equation}

Frobenius extensions have relationships with other areas and have been studied by many researchers (see for example [19]). However, in general this isomorphism (8-15) does not implies that $A$ is Frobenius or IG.

We propose another generalization of Frobenius algebras by a symmetry, which turns out to be IG. As is stated in Theorem 4.2, a cotilting bimodule induces a duality between the derived categories of $A$ and $A^{\text{op}}$. Thus, it is natural to take an analogy of the isomorphism (4-13) by using a cotilting bimodule $C$.

Let $\Lambda$ be a Noetherian algebra and $C$ a cotilting bimodule over $\Lambda$. An algebra extension $\Lambda \subset A$ is called derived Frobenius extension with respect to a cotilting bimodule $C$ if there exists an isomorphism in the derived category $D(\text{mod } \Lambda^{\text{op}} \otimes_k A)$.

\begin{equation}
\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, C) \cong A.
\end{equation}

In other words, $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, C) \cong A$ and $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{>0}(A, C) = 0$. We can show that the isomorphism (8-16) implies that $A$ is IG in the same way of Theorem 4.3.

**Proposition 8.1.** If $\Lambda \subset A$ is a derived Frobenius extension, then $A$ is IG.

In a similar way, as a generalization of a graded Frobenius algebra, we may define a graded derived Frobenius extension. Then, we can rephrase Theorem 4.3 as follows: the graded algebra extension $A_0 \subset A$ is a graded derived Frobenius extension if and only if $A$ is a hwg IG-algebra.
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