Fulde, Ferrell, Larkin, and Ovchinnikov (FFLO) predicted inhomogeneous superconducting and superfluid states, spontaneously breaking translation symmetries. In this Letter, we demonstrate that the transition from the FFLO to the normal state as a function of temperature or increased Fermi surface splitting is not a direct one. Instead the system has an additional phase transition to a different state where pair-density-wave superconductivity (or superfluidity) exists only on the boundaries of the system, while the bulk of the system is normal. The surface pair-density-wave state is very robust and exists for much larger fields and temperatures than the FFLO state.

The Ginzburg-Landau description of superconductors in the presence of Zeeman splitting was derived from microscopic theory in Ref. [31]. The free energy functional reads $F[\psi] = \int_\Omega F d^d x$ where the free energy density $F$ is

$$ F = \alpha |\psi|^2 + \beta |\nabla \psi|^2 + \gamma |\psi|^4 + \delta |\nabla^2 \psi|^2 + \mu |\psi|^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{\mu}{8} \left( (|\psi|^2)^2 (\nabla \psi)^2 + c.c. \right) + \nu |\psi|^6, \quad (1) $$

where $\psi$ is a complex field referred to as the superconducting field and $\alpha, \gamma, \nu$ depend on the applied magnetic field $H$ and temperature $T$ accordingly.

$$ \alpha = -\pi N(0) \left( K_1(H, T) - K_1(H_0(T), T) \right) \approx N(0) \frac{H - H_0(T)}{2\pi T} \text{Im} \left[ \Psi^{(1)} \left( \frac{1}{2} - i \frac{H_0(T)}{2\pi T} \right) \right], \quad (2) $$

$$ \gamma \approx \frac{\pi N(0)}{4} K_3(H_0(T), T), \quad (3) $$

$$ \nu \approx \frac{\pi N(0)}{8} K_5(H_0(T), T), \quad (4) $$

where $N(0)$ is the electron density of states at the Fermi surface and we have defined the functions

$$ K_n(H, T) = \frac{2T}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n - 1)!} \text{Re} \left[ \Psi^{(n-1)}(z) \right], \quad (5) $$

where $z = 1/2 - iH/2\pi T$ and $\Psi^{(n)}$ is the polygamma function of order $n$. The function $H_0(T)$ indicates where $\alpha$ changes sign and is defined implicitly by the equation

$$ \ln \left( \frac{T_c}{T} \right) = \text{Re} \left[ \Psi^{(0)} \left( \frac{1}{2} - i \frac{H_0(T)}{2\pi T} \right) - \Psi^{(0)} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right], \quad (6) $$

where $T_c$ is the critical temperature above which the normal state is entered. The remaining coefficients are given as $\beta = \beta \psi^2 \gamma$, $\delta = \delta \psi^4 \nu$, and $\mu = \mu \psi^2 \nu$, where $\psi$ is the Fermi velocity and $\beta, \delta, \mu$ are positive constants that depend on the dimensionality $d$. In one dimension we have $\beta = 1$, $\delta = 1/2$, and $\mu = 1$ and in two dimensions we have $\beta = 1/2$, $\delta = 3/16$, and $\mu = 2$. In the parameter regime in which $\beta$ is negative, inhomogeneous order parameters may be energetically favorable. For a derivation of the Ginzburg-Landau expansion in cold atoms, see Ref. [6].
Typically considered structures of the order parameter are the so-called Fulde-Ferrell (FF) state \( \psi_{\text{FF}} = |\psi_{\text{FF}}| e^{iqx} \) and the Larkin-Ovchinnikov (LO) state \( \psi_{\text{LO}} = |\psi_{\text{LO}}| \cos qx \). For an infinite system, assuming that the order parameter vanishes close to the tricritical point, the average free energy density of these states can be minimized analytically by neglecting higher order terms, resulting in the conclusion that the LO state is energetically preferred over the FF state. The second-order phase transition into the normal state occurs at \( \alpha = \alpha_v^{\text{bulk}} = \beta^2/4\delta \). In general, the optimal order parameter structure is found by solving the equation of motion associated with the free energy functional (called Ginzburg-Landau equations in this context). This was done analytically in the one-dimensional case for an infinite sized superconductor, resulting in elliptic sine solutions [31]. The sinusoidal oscillations are recovered by approaching the transition into the normal state. We solve the equation in a superconductor with boundaries. We consider the case of the real order parameter. The equation of motion can be derived through variational principles. By mapping \( \psi \mapsto \psi + v \) in the free energy functional, where \( v \) is some small arbitrary perturbation, we find to linear order in \( v \) using Eq. 1

\[
F[\psi + v] = F[\psi] + \delta F_{\text{bulk}} + \delta F_{\text{surface}}
\]

where

\[
\delta F_{\text{bulk}} = 2 \int_\Omega \left( \alpha \psi - 2\beta \nabla^2 \psi + 2\gamma \psi^3 + \delta \nabla^4 \psi + \frac{5\mu}{4} (\psi (\nabla \psi)^2 - \psi^2 \nabla^2 \psi) + 3\nu \psi^5 \right) d^d x
\]

and

\[
\delta F_{\text{surface}} = 2 \int_{\partial \Omega} \left\{ \left( \left[ \beta + \frac{5\mu}{4} \psi^2 \right] \nabla \psi - \delta \nabla^3 \psi \right) v + \delta \nabla^2 \psi \right\} \cdot n dS,
\]

where \( n \) is the normal vector to the boundary \( \partial \Omega \). By setting \( \delta F_{\text{bulk}} = 0 \) we find the equation of motion and by setting \( \delta F_{\text{surface}} = 0 \) we find the two boundary conditions

\[
\left( \left[ \beta + \frac{5\mu}{4} \psi^2 \right] \nabla \psi - \delta \nabla^3 \psi \right) \cdot n = 0, \quad \delta \nabla^2 \psi = 0.
\]

It is convenient to rescale the theory in the regime where \( \beta \) is negative by defining the dimensionless quantities \( \tilde{\psi} = \psi/|\psi_1|, \tilde{\alpha} = \alpha/\alpha_1, \tilde{x} = q_0 x \), where \( |\psi_1|^2 = -\gamma/2\nu, \alpha_1 = \gamma^2/4\nu \), and \( q_0 = -\beta/2\delta \). The free energy can thus be written \( F[\tilde{\psi}] = \alpha_1 |\tilde{\psi}_1|^2/\mu_0^2 F[\tilde{\psi}] \), where \( F[\tilde{\psi}] = \int_\Omega \tilde{F} d^d \tilde{x} \), in which the rescaled free energy density is identical to Eq. 1, where the coefficients have been replaced accordingly \( \alpha \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}, \beta \mapsto \tilde{\beta} \), and so on, where \( \tilde{\gamma} = -2\tilde{\nu} = -2, \tilde{\beta} = -2\tilde{\delta} = -2\tilde{\beta}/\tilde{\delta}, \) and \( \tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\beta} \tilde{\mu}/\tilde{\delta} \). Consequently, there is only one free parameter \( \tilde{\alpha} \) in the rescaled theory to vary, which parametrizes changes in both temperature and Zeeman splitting field.

Having derived boundary conditions, we will now numerically minimize the free energy for a superconductor in both one- and two-dimensional domains, while varying \( \tilde{\alpha} \). The associated free energy is calculated in order to locate phase transitions. Two different numerical approaches were used. The solutions in Fig. 1 were obtained using a finite difference scheme and nonlinear conjugate gradient method, parallelized on a graphical processing unit. These results were also supported by calculations using the finite element method within FreeFem++ framework [32].

We find that the free energy remains negative for \( \tilde{\alpha} \) larger than the critical value \( \tilde{\alpha}_c^{\text{bulk}} \), where in one dimension \( \tilde{\alpha}_c^{\text{bulk}} = 2 \) and in two dimensions \( \tilde{\alpha}_c^{\text{bulk}} = 4/3 \). The origin of it is the formation of a distinct surface pair-density-wave (PDW) superconducting state, which has a superconducting gap on the boundaries of the system but not in its bulk. The obtained order parameter structure has the form of a damped oscillation with an amplitude that vanishes in the bulk but remains nonzero close to the boundaries. The boundary states are found in both one- and two-dimensional systems. The results have been verified by altering the system size and it was found that, for a sufficiently large system, the surface state is independent of system size.

The origin of the appearance of the surface PDW state is the following: besides the inhomogeneous order parameter, the bulk FFLO state has inhomogeneous energy density. The numerical solutions for one- and two-dimensional cases are plotted in Fig. 1. As the system approaches the phase transition from the bulk FFLO to the bulk normal state, the energy gain from the areas with negative energy density becomes balanced by the areas with positive energy density. However, if the system has a boundary, a solution can be found where the boundary cuts off a segment of inhomogeneous solutions with positive energy and has a decaying oscillatory configuration of the order parameter extending to a certain length scale in the bulk. That is, a decaying solution near the boundary starting with a negative energy segment should be stable even when the system does not support the FFLO state in the bulk. Indeed, the numerical solutions clearly show that the boundaries are characterized by negative energy density as seen in Fig. 1, resulting in the stability of the surface PDW state for large \( \tilde{\alpha} \). The generality of the argument implies that these surface superconducting states of nontopological origin should be present for all states where free energy density is oscillating in space. This includes generalizations of FFLO states to systems with unconventional pairing [34].

The existence of a surface PDW state in a semi-infinite system \( \Omega = [0, \infty) \) can be proven analytically. To that end, we use an variational ansatz of the form

\[
\psi(x) = \Delta e^{-kx} \cos(qx + \phi),
\]
FIG. 1: Numerically calculated order parameters $\tilde{\psi}$ in one- and two-dimensional system domains for various tempera-
tures or, equivalently, various Zeeman splitting fields, parametrized by $\tilde{\alpha}$. In the one-dimensional domain, the blue
curve is the order parameter and the red curve is the energy density. One can clearly observe a nontrivial oscillatory
pattern of the energy density in the bulk of the system. The images show the sequence for going from superconducting
to normal state by increasing the parameter $\tilde{\alpha}$. In the first panel, the system is in the FFLO state, and in the last
panel the system is close to the normal state. For intermediate $\tilde{\alpha}$, the order parameter first vanishes in the bulk of
the system, and the system enters the surface pair-density-wave state that persists for a much wider range of
parameters than the FFLO state. In calculations of the two-dimensional system, the term $0.5|\nabla \psi|^4$ was retained in
the Ginzburg-Landau expansion, for details see Ref. [33].

where the parameters $\Delta$, $k$, $q$ and $\phi$ should be found such
that the free energy is minimized, subject to the boundary
conditions. The surface PDW to normal transition occurs when the energy is minimal with $\Delta = 0$. When
the transition into the normal state is of second order, it is sufficient to consider terms proportional to $|\Delta|^2$ in
the free energy. In addition, the boundary condition in Eq. 10 takes the simpler form $(\beta \nabla \psi - \delta \nabla^3 \psi) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$
close to the transition into the normal state. Carrying
out the minimization analytically, we find that the free
energy associated with the surface PDW state remains
negative until $\alpha = \alpha_{c, \text{surface}}^\text{free} = 4\alpha_{\text{bulk}}$. The numerical calculation shows that the phase transition indeed occurs at
this value of $\alpha$, which implies that the variational ansatz
in Eq. 12 captures very well the solution for the surface
PDW state in one dimension.

We can draw the phase diagram with respect to $H$
and $T$ for the one-dimensional system, as shown in Fig.
2. The inhomogeneous regime is split into two parts:
the bulk FFLO state and the surface PDW state. The
regime of surface PDW state on the phase diagram is
significantly larger than the bulk FFLO regime.

Note that the only role of the external magnetic field is
to create an electronic population imbalance. For exam-
ple, in two dimensions the situation corresponds to an in
plane field and there is no external field perpendicular to
the plane. In the context of cold atoms, it corresponds to
the fermionic population imbalance in the absence of ro-
tation. Therefore, the physical origin and the structure of
the solution is very different from that of the third upper
critical magnetic field $H_{c3}$ [35] that was recently studied
in FFLO systems [13]. The results also have implications
for the problem of FFLO states in mesoscopic systems,
where the literature focuses on commensurateness effects
[14, 15, 36]. Consider the parameter regime where the
surface PDW state exists. By gradually decreasing the
system size, the areas with the PDW state that live on op-
posite surfaces will eventually start to overlap with each
other. Thus, the above results imply that, for a range of
mesoscopic system sizes of the order of $1/k$ in Eq. 12,
the most robust solutions will have a form very different
from a periodically oscillating function, which warrants
further investigation.

In conclusion, we have studied superconducting or su-
perfluid systems supporting the FFLO state. We found
that, at elevated temperatures or for strong splitting of
Fermi surfaces, such systems support a state where the
surface of the sample is a PDW superconductor or
superconducting state. At lower temperatures and high $H$, the superconductor is in the uniform superconducting state. At lower temperatures and high $H$, the inhomogeneous regime is entered. There exists a narrow region in which the FFLO state exists in the bulk of the superconductor. One finds a large surface PDW state regime where the system has superconducting boundaries but normal bulk. The figure shows calculations based on a one dimensional model.

main superconducting. Secondly, the system transitions into the fully normal state only at a higher temperature. In the considered regime, we found that the surface PDW state is more robust than the FFLO state and extends to much larger values of Fermi surface splitting and temperatures.

The effect can be used to experimentally prove the elusive FFLO state as follows: The main specific heat feature should be detectable well below the superconducting phase transition. This is because the contribution to the specific heat should be dominated by the bulk, where the gap disappears earlier than on the surface. The transport measurements should at the same time indicate that the system retains superconductivity due to the surface PDW state. Because of superconductivity existing only in a thin layer in the surface PDW state, another expected experimental signature is a concomitant increase of magnetic field penetration lengths for fields perpendicular to the superconducting layer. Very sensitive specific heat measurements should see two features associated with the bulk and surface phase transitions and yield the phase diagram shown in Fig. 2. In cold atoms [6], the surface PDW state can be directly observed for experiments realizing sharp potential walls [37, 38]. Finally, the results have implications for the models of color superconductivity in the neutron stars cores, at the interface between nuclear and quark matter, which is widely believed to be of the FFLO type [9].
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