# THE OPERATOR–VALUED PARALLELISM AND NORM-PARALLELISM IN MATRICES

M. MOHAMMADI GOHARI AND M. AMYARI\*

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $K(\mathcal{H})$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . In this paper, we present some characterizations of the norm-parallelism for elements of a Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module by employing the Birkhoff–James orthogonality. Among other things, we present a characterization of transitive relation of the norm-parallelism for elements in a certain Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module. We also give some characterizations of the Schatten *p*-norms and the operator norm-parallelism for matrices.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, let  $(\mathcal{H}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $B(\mathcal{H})$  and  $K(\mathcal{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ algebras of all bounded linear operators and compact linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , respectively. The numerical range of an operator  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is defined by  $W(T) = \{[T\xi, \xi] : \xi \in \mathcal{H}, \|\xi\| = 1\}$ . Using the Gelfand–Naimark theorem, we identify any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  as a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . An (left) inner product  $\mathcal{A}$ -module is a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  equipped with an  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , which is linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second and satisfies (i)  $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  if and only if x = 0, (ii)  $\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$ , (ii)  $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{E}$  is complete with respect to the norm defined by  $||x|| = ||\langle x, x \rangle||^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is called a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Obviously, every Hilbert space is a Hilbert  $\mathbb{C}$ -module. Further, every  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  can be regarded as a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over itself under the inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle = ab^*$ . More details on the theory of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules can be found, for example, in [11].

A projection  $E \in B(\mathcal{H})$  is minimal if  $EB(\mathcal{H})E = \mathbb{C}E$ . For  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ , let  $\xi \otimes \eta$  denote the corresponding elementary operator  $(\xi \otimes \eta)(\zeta) = [\zeta, \eta]\xi$ . It is easy to see that  $\|\xi \otimes \eta\| = \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$ . If  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  is a unit vector, then  $\xi \otimes \xi$  is a minimal projection. Conversely, any minimal

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L08, Secondary 47A30, 47B10, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, orthonormal basis, parallelism, Schatten p-norm.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

projection is of this form. The set of all minimal projections in  $K(\mathcal{H})$  is denoted by  $P_m$ . A system  $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  in a Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  is orthonormal if (i)  $||x_{\alpha}|| = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , (ii)  $\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta} \rangle = 0$  for all  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , (iii)  $\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha} \rangle$  is a minimal projection for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . An orthonormal system is said to be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{E}$  if it generates a dense submodule of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The orthogonal dimension of  $\mathcal{E}$  is defined as the cardinal number of any of its orthonormal bases; see [2, 6, 13].

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complex normed space, and let  $x, y \in X$ . We say that x is orthogonal to y in the Birkhoff–James sense, denoted by  $x \perp_B y$ , if

$$||x|| \le ||x + \alpha y|| \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is easy to see that the Birkhoff–James orthogonality is equivalent to the usual orthogonality in the case when X is an inner product space. For other definitions and more properties of the Birkhoff–James orthogonality in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules; see [1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 15].

Let  $x, y \in X$ , we say that x is norm-parallel to y, denoted by x || y, if

$$||x + \lambda y|| = ||x|| + ||y|| \quad \text{for some} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{T} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} : |\alpha| = 1\}.$$

For more details one can see [14, 18].

Clearly, two elements of a Hilbert space are norm-parallel if they are linearly dependent. In the case of normed linear spaces, two linearly dependent vectors are norm-parallel, but the converse is false in general. Notice that the norm parallelism is symmetric and  $\mathbb{R}$ homogenous, but not transitive (i.e., x || y and y || z do not imply x || z in general; see [19, Example 2.7], unless X is smooth at y; see [16, Theorem 3.1]). Some characterizations of the norm-parallelism for operators and elements of Hilbert C<sup>\*</sup>-modules were given in [16, 17, 18, 19].

Some characterizations of the norm-parallelism for elements of a Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module are given in Section 2. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, and let  $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ . Zamani and Moslehian [19, Theorem 2.3] proved that x || y if and only if there exist a sequence of unit vectors  $\xi_n$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Re}[\langle x, \lambda y \rangle \xi_n, \xi_n] = \|x\| \|y\|.$$

Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module, that  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ , and that  $\langle x, x \rangle$  is a minimal projection in  $P_m$ . We show that  $x \parallel y$  if and only if there exists a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\left| \left[ \langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi \right] \right| = \left\| y \right\|.$$

Bakić and Guljaš [2] proved that every Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  has an orthonormal basis. Moreover there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  for  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha} \rangle = E$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and for some minimal projection  $E \in P_m$ ; see [2, Remark 4]. In the rest of paper,  $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}^{\xi}$  denotes the orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha} \rangle = E = \xi \otimes \xi$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and for some unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . We show that there is no element  $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $x_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

In Section 3, we use some techniques of [12] to characterize matrix pairs which are normparallelism with respect to the Schatten *p*-norms. Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$  be an operator norm on  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$ induced by the vector norm  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$ . In [3], Bhatia, and Šemrl conjectured that for  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$  if  $A \perp_B B$  in  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ , then  $Ay \perp_B By$  for some unit vector  $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times 1}$  with  $\nu(y) = 1$  such that  $\nu(Ay) = \|A\|_{\nu}$ . Li and Schneider in [12] proved that above conclusion is not true in general.

We show that if Ay || By in  $\nu(\cdot)$  for some unit vector  $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$  with  $\nu(y) = 1$  such that  $\nu(Ay) = ||A||_{\nu}$ , then A ||B in  $||\cdot||_{\nu}$ , but by [12, Proposition 4.2 and Example 4.3], the converse is not true in general.

## 2. Norm-parallelism in Hilbert $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ -modules

Throughout,  $\mathcal{E}$  denotes a Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module. We begin with a characterization of the norm- parallelism for elements of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module and let  $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ . Zamani and Moslehian [19, Theorem 2.3] proved that x || y if and only if there exist a sequence of unit vectors  $\xi_n$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Re}[\langle x, \lambda y \rangle \xi_n, \xi_n] = \|x\| \|y\|.$$

Now suppose that  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ . Following we show that x || y if and only if there exists a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $|[\langle x, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi]| = ||x||^3 ||y||$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $x \parallel y$ .

(ii) There exists a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$|[\langle x, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi]| = ||x||^3 ||y||.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let x || y. We may assume that  $x \neq 0$ . From [18, Theorem 4.7]  $\langle x, x \rangle || \langle x, y \rangle$ and  $|| \langle x, y \rangle || = || x || || y ||$ . Theorem 2.4 of [19] states that there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\langle x, x \rangle \perp_B \| \langle x, y \rangle \| \langle x, x \rangle + \lambda \| x \|^2 \langle x, y \rangle.$$

By the proof of [17, Theorem 2.10], there exists a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $||\langle x, x \rangle \xi|| = ||\langle x, x \rangle||$  and

$$\left[\left(\|\langle x,y\rangle\|\langle x,x\rangle+\lambda\|x\|^2\langle x,y\rangle\right)\xi,\langle x,x\rangle\xi\right]=0.$$

Thus  $|[\langle x, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi]| = ||x||^2 ||\langle x, y \rangle || = ||x||^3 ||y||.$ 

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Suppose that (ii) holds. Then there exists a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$||x||^{3}||y|| = |[\langle x, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi]| \le ||x||^{2} ||\langle x, y \rangle || \le ||x||^{2} ||x|| ||y||.$$

Hence  $||\langle x, y \rangle|| = ||x|| ||y||$  and

$$|[\langle x, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi]| = ||x||^2 ||\langle x, y \rangle || = ||\langle x, x \rangle || ||\langle x, y \rangle ||.$$

By [17, Theorem 2.10], we have  $\langle x, x \rangle || \langle x, y \rangle$ . Then [18, Theorem 4.7] implies that x || y.

**Lemma 2.2.** [19, Corollary 2.5] Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ . If x || y, then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $x \perp_B (||y|| \langle x, x \rangle x + \lambda ||x|| \langle y, x \rangle x)$  and  $y \perp_B (||x|| \langle y, y \rangle y + \lambda ||y|| \langle y, x \rangle y)$ .

Now, we apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain the following characterization of the norm-parallelism for elements of a Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\langle x, x \rangle$  be idempotent. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) x || y; (ii)  $x \perp_B (||y|| \langle x, x \rangle x + \lambda ||x|| \langle y, x \rangle x)$  and  $y \perp_B (||x|| \langle y, y \rangle y + \lambda ||y|| \langle y, x \rangle y)$ for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . *Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : This implication follows immediately from Lemma 2.2.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Suppose that (ii) holds. By [1, Lemma 2.1 part(5)], we have

$$\langle x, x \rangle \perp_B \left( \|y\| \langle x, x \rangle^2 + \overline{\lambda} \|x\| \langle x, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \right).$$

By [17, Theorem 2.10], there exists a vector  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\left[\left(\|y\|\langle x,x\rangle^2 + \bar{\lambda}\|x\|\langle x,x\rangle\langle x,y\rangle\right)\xi, \langle x,x\rangle\xi\right] = 0$$

and

$$\|\langle x, x\rangle \xi\| = \|x\|^2.$$

Since  $\langle x, x \rangle$  is idempotent, we reach

$$|[\langle x, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi]| = ||x||^3 ||y||.$$

From  $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$  of Theorem 2.1, we obtain x || y.

Recall that if x is an element of  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\langle x, x \rangle$  is a minimal projection, then  $\langle x, x \rangle x = x$ ; see [2]. Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ . If there exist a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}[\langle x, \lambda y \rangle \xi, \xi] = ||x|| ||y||$ , then [19, Theorem 2.3] implies that x||y. The question is under which conditions the converse is true. When  $\langle x, x \rangle$  is a minimal projection, by using Theorem 2.1, we get x||y, if and only if there exists a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $|[\langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi]| = ||y||$ . Now we prove it by a different approach.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ . If  $\langle x, x \rangle$  is a minimal projection, then x || y if and only if there exists a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\left| \left[ \langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi \right] \right| = \|y\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \parallel y$ . By Lemma 2.2, there exists a scalar  $\mu$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$x \perp_B \Big( \|y\| \langle x, x \rangle x + \mu \|x\| \langle y, x \rangle x \Big).$$

Since  $\langle x, x \rangle$  is a minimal projection, by [1, Proposition 2.4], we have

$$\langle x, x \rangle \Big\langle \Big( \|y\| \langle x, x \rangle x + \mu \langle y, x \rangle x \Big), x \Big\rangle = 0,$$

and so

$$||y||\langle x,x\rangle + \mu\langle x,x\rangle\langle y,x\rangle\langle x,x\rangle = 0.$$

Hence

$$\langle x, x \rangle \langle x, y \rangle \langle x, x \rangle = -\bar{\mu} \| y \| \langle x, x \rangle.$$
(2.1)

Now, by knowing the fact that  $\langle x, x \rangle = \xi \otimes \xi$  for some unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , since  $\xi \otimes \xi \langle x, y \rangle \xi \otimes \xi = [\langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi] \xi \otimes \xi$ , we obtain

$$\Big|[\langle x,y\rangle\xi,\xi]\Big|\|\xi\otimes\xi\|=\|y\|\|\xi\otimes\xi\|,$$

whence

$$\left| [\langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi] \right| = \|y\|.$$

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Let  $|[\langle x, y \rangle \xi, \xi]| = ||y||$ . Then there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re}[\langle x, \lambda y \rangle \xi, \xi] = \|x\| \|y\|$$

From [19, Theorem 2.3], we get x || y.

In the following theorem we use some ideas of [19].

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\Lambda}^{\xi}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{E}$  such that as a Hilbert module is not of dimension one. Then there is no nonzero element  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $x || x_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let there be a nonzero element  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $x || x_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . By [19, Corollary 2.5], there exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_{\alpha}\}$  in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$x_{\alpha} \perp_{B} \left( \|x\| \langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha} \rangle x_{\alpha} + \lambda_{\alpha} \langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle x_{\alpha} \right) \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda).$$

$$(2.2)$$

Taking  $E = \langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha} \rangle$ , since  $Ex_{\alpha} = E$ , equation (2.1) implies that

$$\langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle E = E \langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle E = -\overline{\lambda_{\alpha}} ||x|| E \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda).$$

Hence

$$(\langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle E)^{*}(\langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle E) = \lambda_{\alpha} \overline{\lambda_{\alpha}} \|x\|^{2} E^{2} = \|x\|^{2} E$$
(2.3)

or equivalently

$$E\langle x, x_{\alpha}\rangle\langle x_{\alpha}, x\rangle E = ||x||^{2}E \quad (\alpha \in \Lambda).$$

6

Therefore  $[\langle x, x_{\alpha} \rangle \langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle \xi, \xi] = ||x||^2$  and

$$[\langle x, x \rangle \xi, \xi] = \left[ \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \langle x, x_{\alpha} \rangle \langle x_{\alpha}, x \rangle \xi, \xi \right] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ||x||^2.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \|x\|^2 = [\langle x, x \rangle \xi, \xi] = \left| [\langle x, x \rangle \xi, \xi] \right| \le \|[\langle x, x \rangle \xi, \xi]\| \le \|x\|^2,$$

which implies that x = 0, that is a contradiction.

Now we have the following characterization of the transitive relation for elements of a certain Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{E}$  be such that  $\mathcal{E}$  as a Hilbert module is of dimension one with orthonormal basis  $\{y\}^{\xi}$ . If x || y and y || z, then x || z.

Proof. Let x || y and y || z. By [19, Corollary 2.5], there exist  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $y \perp_B (|| x || \langle y, y \rangle y + \lambda || y || \langle y, x \rangle y)$  and  $y \perp_B (|| z || \langle y, y \rangle y + \mu || y || \langle z, y \rangle y)$ . Taking  $E = \langle y, y \rangle$ . Equation (2.1) ensures that  $E \langle x, y \rangle = -\overline{\lambda} || x || E$  and  $\langle y, z \rangle E = -\overline{\mu} || z || E$ . Then  $E \langle x, y \rangle \langle y, z \rangle E = \overline{\lambda} \overline{\mu} || x || || z || E$ . Therefore

$$\left| \left[ \langle x, z \rangle \xi, \xi \right] \right| = \left| \left[ \langle x, y \rangle \langle y, z \rangle \xi, \xi \right] \right| = \|x\| \|z\|.$$

That is x || z.

**Example 2.7.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \otimes y$  is a Hilbert  $K(\mathcal{H})$ -module and  $||x||^2 = ||x \otimes x||$  is the original norm on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We can assume that each unit vector  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  gives an orthonormal basis  $\{y\}^y$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Therefore the dimension of H as a Hilbert module is equal to one. Finally, from Corollary 2.6, we see that if x||y and y||z, for some unit vector y, then

$$\left| \left[ \langle x, z \rangle y, y \right] \right| = \|x\| \|z\|.$$

Therefore,  $x \| z$ .

#### 3. NORM-PARALLELISM IN MATRICES

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  be the space of  $n \times n$  complex matrices, let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ , and let  $\|\cdot\|$  be any norm on  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ . We say that A is norm-parallel to B if

$$||A + \lambda B|| = ||A|| + ||B||$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . We also consider the inner product  $\langle A, B \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(AB^*)$ . The dual norm of  $\|\cdot\|$  is defined by

$$||A||^D = \max\{|\langle A, B\rangle| : ||B|| \le 1\}.$$

The singular values of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ , which are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A^*A$ , are denoted by  $s_1(A) \geq \cdots \geq s_n(A)$  and the Schatten *p*-norm of A is defined by

$$||A||_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(A)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

if  $1 \le p < \infty$  and if  $p = \infty$ ,  $||A||_p = \max\{s_j(A) : 1 \le j \le n\}$ ; see [12]. If  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ , then for a positive integer  $1 \le k \le n$ , the Ky-Fan k-norm is defined by

$$||A||_{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} s_j(A),$$

where  $s_1(A) \ge \cdots \ge s_n(A) \ge 0$ , are the singular values of A. The cases when k = 1 and k = n correspond to the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  and the trace norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$ , respectively. we have the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ . Then the following statement are equivalent:

- (*i*)  $A \| B$ , in  $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$ .
- (ii) There exist unit vectors  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$  such that  $||A||_{\infty} = x^*Ay$  and  $|x^*By| = ||B||_{\infty}$ .
- (iii) For any  $U \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  with orthonormal columns that form a basis for the eigenspace of  $AA^*$  corresponding to the largest eigenvalue and  $V = \frac{1}{\|A\|_{\infty}}A^*U \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ , it holds that

$$-\|B\|_{\infty} \in W(\lambda U^* B V)$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ .

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Let  $A \parallel B$ . Thus

 $A \perp_B (\|B\|_{\infty}A + \lambda \|A\|_{\infty}B)$ 

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . By [12, Theorem 3.1], there exist unit vectors  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ such that  $||A||_{\infty} = x^*Ay$  and  $x^*(||B||_{\infty}A + \lambda ||A||_{\infty}B)y = 0$ . Thus we get  $|x^*By| = ||B||_{\infty}$ .

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : It is obvious.

 $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : If  $A \parallel B$ , then

$$A \perp_B (\|B\|_{\infty}A + \lambda\|A\|_{\infty}B)$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . By [12, Theorem 3.1], for any  $U \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  with orthonormal columns that form a basis for the eigenspace of  $AA^*$  corresponding to the largest eigenvalue and  $V = \frac{1}{\|A\|_{\infty}} A^* U \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ , we have

$$0 \in W(U^*(\|B\|_{\infty}A + \lambda\|A\|_{\infty}B)V) = W(\|B\|_{\infty}\|A\|_{\infty}V^*V + \lambda\|A\|_{\infty}U^*BV)$$
$$= W(\|B\|_{\infty}\|A\|_{\infty}I + \lambda\|A\|_{\infty}U^*BV).$$

Thus there exists a unit vector  $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$  such that  $\langle (||B||_{\infty}I + \lambda ||A||_{\infty}U^*BV)\zeta, \zeta \rangle = 0$  or  $\langle \lambda U^*BV\zeta, \zeta \rangle = -\frac{||B||_{\infty}}{||A||_{\infty}}$ . Hence

$$-\|B\|_{\infty} \in W(\lambda U^* B V)$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ .

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : It is obvious.

**Remark 3.1.** By the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) of Proposition 3.1, A || B, in  $|| \cdot ||_{\infty}$  if and only if there exist unit vectors  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times 1}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$  such that  $||A||_{\infty} = x^*Ay$  and  $|x^*By| = ||B||_{\infty}$ , this condition is equivalent to the fact that there is a unit vector  $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ such that  $||A||_{\infty} = \langle Ay, Ay \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $|\langle Ay, By \rangle| = ||A||_{\infty} ||B||_{\infty}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Suppose that  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ . If  $A \| B$  in  $\| \cdot \|_{(k)}$ , then  $A \perp_B (\|B\|_{(k)}A + \lambda \|A\|_{(k)}B)$ . So, by [10, Remark 1], there exists a matrix  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  such that  $\|F\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ ,  $\|F\|_1 \leq k$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(F^*A) = \|A\|_{(k)}$  and  $\operatorname{tr}\left(F^*(\|B\|_{(k)}A + \lambda \|A\|_{(k)}B)\right) = 0$ . Hence  $A\|B$  in  $\|\cdot\|_{(k)}$  if and only if there exists a matrix  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  such that  $\|F\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ ,  $\|F\|_1 \leq k$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(F^*A) = \|A\|_{(k)}$  and  $\operatorname{tr}(F^*B)| = \|A\|_{(k)}$ .

Now by [12, Theorem 3.2], we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $1 and <math>A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ , where A = DC for some positive matrices  $D \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times m}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$  with  $CC^* = I_n$ . Then A ||B, in  $\|\cdot\|_p$  if and only if  $|\operatorname{tr}(D^{p-1}CB^*)| = \frac{\|B\|_p \|D\|_p^p}{\|A\|_p}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \parallel B$ . Thus

 $A \perp_B (||B||_p A + \lambda ||A||_p B)$ 

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . By [12, Theorem 3.2],  $\operatorname{tr}(D^{p-1}C(\|B\|_p A + \lambda \|A\|_p B)^*) = 0$ . Thus  $|\operatorname{tr}(D^{p-1}CB^*)| = \frac{\|B\|_p \|D\|_p^p}{\|A\|_p}$ . The converse is obvious.

As a consequence of [12, Theorem 3.3], we have the following result.

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  and  $A \| B$  in  $\| \cdot \|_1$ . By a similar computation as previous theorem, we deduce that there exists  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  such that  $\|F\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ ,  $\operatorname{tr}(AF^*) = \|A\|_1$  and  $|\operatorname{tr}(BF^*)| = \|B\|_1$ .

Let  $\nu$  be a norm on  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times 1}$ , and let  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$  be the operator norm on  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$  induced by  $\nu$  defined by

$$||A||_{\nu} = \max\{\nu(Ax) : x \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}, \nu(x) \le 1\}.$$

The dual norm of  $\nu$  is defined by

$$\nu^{D}(x) = \max\{|\langle x, y \rangle| : y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}, \nu(y) \le 1\}$$

and the dual norm of  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$  is defined as

$$||A||_{\nu}^{D} = \max\{|\langle A, B\rangle| : B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}, ||B||_{\nu} \le 1\}.$$

We say  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  is an extreme point of the unit norm ball of  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$  if only if

- (i) p = 1 and  $F = xy^*$  for some unit vectors  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{N}_{n \times 1}$ ,
- (ii)  $1 and <math>||F||_p = 1$ ,
- (iii)  $p = \infty$  and  $FF^* = I_n$ .

We have the following characterization of the norm parallelism for operator norms in  $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$  be an operator norm on  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$  induced by the vector norm  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$ . Denote by  $\mathscr{E}$  and  $\mathscr{E}^D$  the set of extreme points of the unit norm balls of  $\nu$  and  $\nu^D$ , respectively. If

$$V(A) = \{xy^* : x \in \mathscr{E}^D, y \in \mathscr{E}, \langle A, xy^* \rangle = \|A\|_{\nu}\},\$$

then A || B in  $|| \cdot ||_{\nu}$  if and only if there exist k extreme points  $x_1 y_1^*, \ldots, x_k y_k^*$  with  $k \leq 3$  in the complex case and  $k \leq 2$  in the real case, and positive numbers  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$  with  $t_1 + \cdots + t_k = 1$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_j \langle B, x_j y_j^* \rangle | = ||B||_\nu.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \parallel B$ . Then

$$A \perp_B (\|B\|_{\nu}A + \lambda \|A\|_{\nu}B)$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . So by [12, Proposation 4.2], there exist k extreme points  $x_1y_1^*, \ldots, x_ky_k^*$  with  $k \leq 3$  in the complex case and  $k \leq 2$  in the real case, and positive numbers  $t_1, \ldots, t_k$  with  $t_1 + \cdots + t_k = 1$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_j \langle (\|B\|_{\nu} A + \lambda \|A\|_{\nu} B), x_j y_j^* \rangle = 0.$$

Thus  $|\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_j \langle B, x_j y_j^* \rangle| = ||B||_{\nu}$ . The converse is obvious.

**Remark 3.4.** Suppose that  $\nu$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times 1}$  and that  $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$  is the corresponding operator norm on  $\mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$ . Given  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times n}$ . If there exists a vector  $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n\times 1}$  with  $\nu(y) = 1$  such that  $\nu(Ay) = \|A\|_{\nu}$  and  $\nu\left(Ay + \mu(\nu(By)Ay + \lambda\nu(Ay)By)\right) \geq \nu(Ay)$  for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , that is,  $Ay \|By$ . Then

$$||A + \mu(||B||_{\nu}A + \lambda ||A||_{\nu}B)||_{\nu} \ge \nu \left(Ay + \mu(\nu(By)Ay + \lambda\nu(Ay)By)\right) \ge \nu(Ay) = ||A||_{\nu}Ay + \lambda \nu(Ay)By = ||A||_{\mu}Ay + \lambda \nu(Ay)By = ||A||_{\mu$$

for all for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ; hence  $A \parallel B$ . On the other hand [12, Proposition 4.2 and Example 4.3] show that the converse of the above result is not true, in general.

#### REFERENCES

- Lj. Arambašić and R. Rajić, On symmetry of the (strong) Birkhoff-James orthogonality in Hilbert C<sup>\*</sup>modules, Ann. Funct. Anal. 7 (2016), no. 1, 17–23.
- [2] D. Bakić, and B. Guljaš, Hilbert C<sup>\*</sup>-modules over C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras of compact operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 68 (2002), no. 1-2, 249–269.
- [3] R. Bhatia, and P. Šemrl, Orthogonality of matrices and some distance problems, Linear Algebra Appl. 287 (1999), no. 1-3, 77–85.
- [4] T. Bhattacharyya, and P. Grover, *Characterization of Birkhoff-James orthogonality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 407 (2013), no. 2, 350–358.
- [5] T. Bottazzi, C. Conde, M. S. Moslehian, P. Wójcik and A. Zamani, Orthogonality and parallelism of operators on various Banach spaces, J. Aust. Math. Soc. (to appear), arXiv:1609.06615.
- [6] M. Cabrera, J. Martínez, and A. Rodríguez, *Hilbert modules revisited: Orthonormal bases and Hilbert-Schmidt operators*, Glasgow Math. J. 37 (1995), 45–54.
- J. Chmieliński, Remarks on orthogonality preserving mappings in normed spaces and some stability problems, Banach J. Math. Anal. 1 (2007), no. 1, 117–124.
- [8] J. Chmieliński, R. Lukasik, and P. Wójcik, On the stability of the orthogonality equation and the orthogonality-preserving property with two unknown functions, Banach J. Math. Anal. 10 (2016), no. 4, 828–847.
- [9] P. Ghosh, D. Sain, and K. Paul, On symmetry of Birkhoff-James orthogonality of linear operators, Adv. Oper. Theory 2 (2017), no. 4, 428–434.
- [10] P. Grover, Orthogonality of matrices in the Ky Fan k-norms, Linear Multilinear Algebra 65 (2017), no. 3, 496–509.
- [11] E. C. Lance, Hilbert C\*-Modules. A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists, in: London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [12] C. K. Li, and H. Schneider, Orthogonality of matrices, Linear Algebra App. 81 (2003), no. 2, 175–181.
- [13] L. Molnár, Modular bases in a Hilbert A-module, Czechoslovak Math. J 42 (1992), no. 4, 649–656.
- [14] A. Seddik, Rank one operators and norm of elementary operators, Linear Algebra Appl. 424 (2007), no. 1, 177–183.
- [15] A. Turnšek, On operators preserving James' orthogonality, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Linear Algebra Appl. 407 (2005), 189–195.
- [16] P. Wójcik, Norm-parallelism in classical M-ideals, Indag. Math. 28 (2017), no. 2, 287–293.
- [17] A. Zamani, The operator-valued parallelism, Linear Algebra Appl. (N.S.). 505 (2016), 282–295.
- [18] A. Zamani, and M. S. Moslehian, Exact and approximate operator parallelism, Canad. Math. Bull. 58 (2015), no. 1, 207–224.
- [19] A. Zamani, and M. S. Moslehian, Norm-parallelism in the geometry of Hilbert C<sup>\*</sup>-modules, Indag. Math. (N.S.). 27 (2016), no. 1, 266–281.

## THE OPERATOR-VALUED PARALLELISM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASHHAD BRANCH, ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, MASHHAD, IRAN. *E-mail address*: mahdi.mohammadigohari@gmail.com *E-mail address*: maryam\_amyari@yahoo.com and amyari@mshdiau.ac.ir