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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space, and let $K(\mathcal{H})$ be the $C^{*}$-algebra of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$. In this paper, we present some characterizations of the norm-parallelism for elements of a Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module by employing the Birkhoff-James orthogonality. Among other things, we present a characterization of transitive relation of the norm-parallelism for elements in a certain Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module. We also give some characterizations of the Schatten $p$-norms and the operator norm-parallelism for matrices.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout the paper, let $(\mathcal{H},[\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Hilbert space. Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ and $K(\mathcal{H})$ denote the $C^{*}$ algebras of all bounded linear operators and compact linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. The numerical range of an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by $W(T)=\{[T \xi, \xi]: \xi \in \mathcal{H},\|\xi\|=1\}$. Using the Gelfand-Naimark theorem, we identify any $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as a $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. An (left) inner product $\mathcal{A}$-module is a left $\mathcal{A}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ equipped with an $\mathcal{A}$-valued inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, which is linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second and satisfies (i) $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle x, x\rangle=0$ if and only if $x=0$, (ii) $\langle a x, y\rangle=a\langle x, y\rangle$, (ii) $\langle x, y\rangle^{*}=\langle y, x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{E}, a \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is complete with respect to the norm defined by $\|x\|=\|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is called a Hilbert $\mathcal{A}$-module. Obviously, every Hilbert space is a Hilbert $\mathbb{C}$-module. Further, every $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ can be regarded as a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over itself under the inner product $\langle a, b\rangle=a b^{*}$. More details on the theory of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules can be found, for example, in [11].

A projection $E \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is minimal if $E B(\mathcal{H}) E=\mathbb{C} E$. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, let $\xi \otimes \eta$ denote the corresponding elementary operator $(\xi \otimes \eta)(\zeta)=[\zeta, \eta] \xi$. It is easy to see that $\|\xi \otimes \eta\|=\|\xi\|\|\eta\|$. If $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector, then $\xi \otimes \xi$ is a minimal projection. Conversely, any minimal

[^0]projection is of this form. The set of all minimal projections in $K(\mathcal{H})$ is denoted by $P_{m}$. A system $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ in a Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module $\mathcal{E}$ is orthonormal if (i) $\left\|x_{\alpha}\right\|=1$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, (ii) $\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}\right\rangle=0$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$, (iii) $\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}\right\rangle$ is a minimal projection for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. An orthonormal system is said to be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{E}$ if it generates a dense submodule of $\mathcal{E}$. The orthogonal dimension of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as the cardinal number of any of its orthonormal bases; see [2, 6, 13].

Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a complex normed space, and let $x, y \in X$. We say that $x$ is orthogonal to $y$ in the Birkhoff-James sense, denoted by $x \perp_{B} y$, if

$$
\|x\| \leq\|x+\alpha y\| \quad \text { for all } \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

It is easy to see that the Birkhoff-James orthogonality is equivalent to the usual orthogonality in the case when $X$ is an inner product space. For other definitions and more properties of the Birkhoff-James orthogonality in Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules; see [1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 15].

Let $x, y \in X$, we say that $x$ is norm-parallel to $y$, denoted by $x \| y$, if

$$
\|x+\lambda y\|=\|x\|+\|y\| \quad \text { for some } \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{T}=\{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}:|\alpha|=1\} .
$$

For more details one can see [14, 18].
Clearly, two elements of a Hilbert space are norm-parallel if they are linearly dependent. In the case of normed linear spaces, two linearly dependent vectors are norm-parallel, but the converse is false in general. Notice that the norm parallelism is symmetric and $\mathbb{R}$ homogenous, but not transitive (i.e., $x \| y$ and $y \| z$ do not imply $x \| z$ in general; see [19, Example 2.7], unless $X$ is smooth at $y$; see [16, Theorem 3.1]). Some characterizations of the norm-parallelism for operators and elements of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules were given in [16, 17, 18, 19].

Some characterizations of the norm-parallelism for elements of a Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module are given in Section 2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module, and let $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. Zamani and Moslehian [19, Theorem 2.3] proved that $x \| y$ if and only if there exist a sequence of unit vectors $\xi_{n}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\left[\langle x, \lambda y\rangle \xi_{n}, \xi_{n}\right]=\|x\|\|y\|
$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module, that $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, and that $\langle x, x\rangle$ is a minimal projection in $P_{m}$. We show that $x \| y$ if and only if there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
|[\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|y\| .
$$

Bakić and Guljas̆ [2] proved that every Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module $\mathcal{E}$ has an orthonormal basis. Moreover there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ for $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}\right\rangle=E$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and for some minimal projection $E \in P_{m}$; see [2] Remark 4]. In the rest of paper, $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}^{\xi}$ denotes the orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}\right\rangle=E=\xi \otimes \xi$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and for some unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$. We show that there is no element $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $x \| x_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.
In Section 3, we use some techniques of [12 to characterize matrix pairs which are normparallelism with respect to the Schatten $p$-norms. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ be an operator norm on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ induced by the vector norm $\nu$ on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$. In [3], Bhatia, and $\check{S}$ emrl conjectured that for $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ if $A \perp_{B} B$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$, then $A y \perp_{B} B y$ for some unit vector $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ with $\nu(y)=1$ such that $\nu(A y)=\|A\|_{\nu}$. Li and Schneider in [12] proved that above conclusion is not true in general.

We show that if $A y \| B y$ in $\nu(\cdot)$ for some unit vector $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ with $\nu(y)=1$ such that $\nu(A y)=\|A\|_{\nu}$, then $A \| B$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$, but by [12, Proposition 4.2 and Example 4.3], the converse is not true in general.

## 2. Norm-parallelism in Hilbert $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$-modules

Throughout, $\mathcal{E}$ denotes a Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module. We begin with a characterization of the norm- parallelism for elements of $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module and let $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. Zamani and Moslehian [19, Theorem 2.3] proved that $x \| y$ if and only if there exist a sequence of unit vectors $\xi_{n}$ in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}\left[\langle x, \lambda y\rangle \xi_{n}, \xi_{n}\right]=\|x\|\|y\| .
$$

Now suppose that $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. Following we show that $x \| y$ if and only if there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $|[\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|x\|^{3}\|y\|$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x \| y$.
(ii) There exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
|[\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|x\|^{3}\|y\| .
$$

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$ : Let $x \| y$. We may assume that $x \neq 0$. From [18, Theorem 4.7] $\langle x, x\rangle \|\langle x, y\rangle$ and $\|\langle x, y\rangle\|=\|x\|\|y\|$. Theorem 2.4 of [19] states that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$
\langle x, x\rangle \perp_{B}\|\langle x, y\rangle\|\langle x, x\rangle+\lambda\|x\|^{2}\langle x, y\rangle .
$$

By the proof of [17, Theorem 2.10], there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|\langle x, x\rangle \xi\|=$ $\|\langle x, x\rangle\|$ and

$$
\left[\left(\|\langle x, y\rangle\|\langle x, x\rangle+\lambda\|x\|^{2}\langle x, y\rangle\right) \xi,\langle x, x\rangle \xi\right]=0 .
$$

Thus $|[\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|x\|^{2}\|\langle x, y\rangle\|=\|x\|^{3}\|y\|$.
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ : Suppose that (ii) holds. Then there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\|x\|^{3}\|y\|=|[\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]| \leq\|x\|^{2}\|\langle x, y\rangle\| \leq\|x\|^{2}\|x\|\|y\| .
$$

Hence $\|\langle x, y\rangle\|=\|x\|\|y\|$ and

$$
|[\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|x\|^{2}\|\langle x, y\rangle\|=\|\langle x, x\rangle\|\|\langle x, y\rangle\| .
$$

By [17, Theorem 2.10], we have $\langle x, x\rangle \|\langle x, y\rangle$. Then [18, Theorem 4.7] implies that $x \| y$.

Lemma 2.2. [19, Corollary 2.5] Let $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. If $x \| y$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that
$x \perp_{B}(\|y\|\langle x, x\rangle x+\lambda\|x\|\langle y, x\rangle x)$ and $y \perp_{B}(\|x\|\langle y, y\rangle y+\lambda\|y\|\langle y, x\rangle y)$.
Now, we apply Lemma 2.2 to obtain the following characterization of the norm-parallelism for elements of a Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module.

Corollary 2.3. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\langle x, x\rangle$ be idempotent. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $x \| y$;
(ii) $x \perp_{B}(\|y\|\langle x, x\rangle x+\lambda\|x\|\langle y, x\rangle x)$ and $y \perp_{B}(\|x\|\langle y, y\rangle y+\lambda\|y\|\langle y, x\rangle y)$
for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$ : This implication follows immediately from Lemma 2.2.
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ : Suppose that (ii) holds. By [1, Lemma $2.1 \operatorname{part}(5)$ ], we have

$$
\langle x, x\rangle \perp_{B}\left(\|y\|\langle x, x\rangle^{2}+\bar{\lambda}\|x\|\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle\right) .
$$

By [17, Theorem 2.10], there exists a vector $\xi$ in $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\left[\left(\|y\|\langle x, x\rangle^{2}+\bar{\lambda}\|x\|\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle\right) \xi,\langle x, x\rangle \xi\right]=0
$$

and

$$
\|\langle x, x\rangle \xi\|=\|x\|^{2}
$$

Since $\langle x, x\rangle$ is idempotent, we reach

$$
|[\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|x\|^{3}\|y\|
$$

From $(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ of Theorem [2.1, we obtain $x \| y$.
Recall that if $x$ is an element of $\mathcal{E}$ such that $\langle x, x\rangle$ is a minimal projection, then $\langle x, x\rangle x=x$; see [2]. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. If there exist a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}[\langle x, \lambda y\rangle \xi, \xi]=$ $\|x\|\|y\|$, then [19, Theorem 2.3] implies that $x \| y$. The question is under which conditions the converse is true. When $\langle x, x\rangle$ is a minimal projection, by using Theorem 2.1, we get $x \| y$, if and only if there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $|[\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|y\|$. Now we prove it by a different approach.

Theorem 2.4. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. If $\langle x, x\rangle$ is a minimal projection, then $x \| y$ if and only if there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
|[\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|y\| .
$$

Proof. Let $x \| y$. By Lemma [2.2, there exists a scalar $\mu$ in $\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$
x \perp_{B}(\|y\|\langle x, x\rangle x+\mu\|x\|\langle y, x\rangle x) .
$$

Since $\langle x, x\rangle$ is a minimal projection, by [1, Proposition 2.4], we have

$$
\langle x, x\rangle\langle(\|y\|\langle x, x\rangle x+\mu\langle y, x\rangle x), x\rangle=0
$$

and so

$$
\|y\|\langle x, x\rangle+\mu\langle x, x\rangle\langle y, x\rangle\langle x, x\rangle=0 .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, x\rangle\langle x, y\rangle\langle x, x\rangle=-\bar{\mu}\|y\|\langle x, x\rangle . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by knowing the fact that $\langle x, x\rangle=\xi \otimes \xi$ for some unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, since $\xi \otimes \xi\langle x, y\rangle \xi \otimes \xi=$ $[\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi] \xi \otimes \xi$, we obtain

$$
|[\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|\|\xi \otimes \xi\|=\|y\|\|\xi \otimes \xi\|
$$

whence

$$
|[\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|y\|
$$

$(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ : Let $|[\langle x, y\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|y\|$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}[\langle x, \lambda y\rangle \xi, \xi]=\|x\|\|y\| .
$$

From [19, Theorem 2.3], we get $x \| y$.
In the following theorem we use some ideas of [19].
Theorem 2.5. Let $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}^{\xi}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{E}$ such that as a Hilbert module is not of dimension one. Then there is no nonzero element $x \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $x \| x_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Let there be a nonzero element $x \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $x \| x_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. By [19, Corollary 2.5], there exists a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\alpha} \perp_{B}\left(\|x\|\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}\right\rangle x_{\alpha}+\lambda_{\alpha}\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x\right\rangle x_{\alpha}\right) \quad(\alpha \in \Lambda) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $E=\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}\right\rangle$, since $E x_{\alpha}=E$, equation (2.1) implies that

$$
\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x\right\rangle E=E\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x\right\rangle E=-\overline{\lambda_{\alpha}}\|x\| E \quad(\alpha \in \Lambda) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x\right\rangle E\right)^{*}\left(\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x\right\rangle E\right)=\lambda_{\alpha} \overline{\lambda_{\alpha}}\|x\|^{2} E^{2}=\|x\|^{2} E \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
E\left\langle x, x_{\alpha}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x\right\rangle E=\|x\|^{2} E \quad(\alpha \in \Lambda)
$$

Therefore $\left[\left\langle x, x_{\alpha}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x\right\rangle \xi, \xi\right]=\|x\|^{2}$ and

$$
[\langle x, x\rangle \xi, \xi]=\left[\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\left\langle x, x_{\alpha}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{\alpha}, x\right\rangle \xi, \xi\right]=\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\|x\|^{2}
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda}\|x\|^{2}=[\langle x, x\rangle \xi, \xi]=|[\langle x, x\rangle \xi, \xi]| \leq\|[\langle x, x\rangle \xi, \xi]\| \leq\|x\|^{2}
$$

which implies that $x=0$, that is a contradiction.

Now we have the following characterization of the transitive relation for elements of a certain Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module.

Corollary 2.6. Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{E}$ be such that $\mathcal{E}$ as a Hilbert module is of dimension one with orthonormal basis $\{y\}^{\xi}$. If $x \| y$ and $y \| z$, then $x \| z$.

Proof. Let $x \| y$ and $y \| z$. By [19, Corollary 2.5], there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $y \perp_{B}$ $(\|x\|\langle y, y\rangle y+\lambda\|y\|\langle y, x\rangle y)$ and $y \perp_{B}(\|z\|\langle y, y\rangle y+\mu\|y\|\langle z, y\rangle y)$. Taking $E=\langle y, y\rangle$. Equation (2.1) ensures that $E\langle x, y\rangle=-\bar{\lambda}\|x\| E$ and $\langle y, z\rangle E=-\bar{\mu}\|z\| E$. Then $E\langle x, y\rangle\langle y, z\rangle E=$ $\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu}\|x\|\|z\| E$. Therefore

$$
|[\langle x, z\rangle \xi, \xi]|=|[\langle x, y\rangle\langle y, z\rangle \xi, \xi]|=\|x\|\|z\|
$$

That is $x \| z$.
Example 2.7. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Then $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with $\langle x, y\rangle=x \otimes y$ is a Hilbert $K(\mathcal{H})$-module and $\|x\|^{2}=\|x \otimes x\|$ is the original norm on $\mathcal{H}$. We can assume that each unit vector $y \in \mathcal{H}$ gives an orthonormal basis $\{y\}^{y}$ for $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore the dimension of $H$ as a Hilbert module is equal to one. Finally, from Corollary 2.6, we see that if $x \| y$ and $y \| z$, for some unit vector $y$, then

$$
|[\langle x, z\rangle y, y]|=\|x\|\|z\|
$$

Therefore, $x \| z$.

## 3. Norm-Parallelism in matrices

Let $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ be the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices, let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$, and let $\|\cdot\|$ be any norm on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$. We say that $A$ is norm-parallel to $B$ if

$$
\|A+\lambda B\|=\|A\|+\|B\|
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. We also consider the inner product $\langle A, B\rangle=\operatorname{tr}\left(A B^{*}\right)$. The dual norm of $\|\cdot\|$ is defined by

$$
\|A\|^{D}=\max \{|\langle A, B\rangle|:\|B\| \leq 1\} .
$$

The singular values of $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$, which are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the matrix $A^{*} A$, are denoted by $s_{1}(A) \geq \cdots \geq s_{n}(A)$ and the Schatten $p$-norm of $A$ is defined by

$$
\|A\|_{p}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}(A)^{p}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

if $1 \leq p<\infty$ and if $p=\infty,\|A\|_{p}=\max \left\{s_{j}(A): 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$; see [12]. If $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$, then for a positive integer $1 \leq k \leq n$, the Ky-Fan $k$-norm is defined by

$$
\|A\|_{(k)}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} s_{j}(A),
$$

where $s_{1}(A) \geq \cdots \geq s_{n}(A) \geq 0$, are the singular values of $A$. The cases when $k=1$ and $k=n$ correspond to the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and the trace norm $\|\cdot\|_{1}$, respectively. we have the following result.

Proposition 3.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$. Then the following statement are equivalent:
(i) $A \| B$, in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.
(ii) There exist unit vectors $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ such that $\|A\|_{\infty}=x^{*} A y$ and $\left|x^{*} B y\right|=\|B\|_{\infty}$.
(iii) For any $U \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ with orthonormal columns that form a basis for the eigenspace of $A A^{*}$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue and $V=\frac{1}{\|A\|_{\infty}} A^{*} U \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$, it holds that

$$
-\|B\|_{\infty} \in W\left(\lambda U^{*} B V\right)
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$ : Let $A \| B$. Thus

$$
A \perp_{B}\left(\|B\|_{\infty} A+\lambda\|A\|_{\infty} B\right)
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. By [12, Theorem 3.1], there exist unit vectors $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ such that $\|A\|_{\infty}=x^{*} A y$ and $x^{*}\left(\|B\|_{\infty} A+\lambda\|A\|_{\infty} B\right) y=0$. Thus we get $\left|x^{*} B y\right|=\|B\|_{\infty}$.
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ : It is obvious.
$(i) \Rightarrow(i i i)$ : If $A \| B$, then

$$
A \perp_{B}\left(\|B\|_{\infty} A+\lambda\|A\|_{\infty} B\right)
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. By [12, Theorem 3.1], for any $U \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ with orthonormal columns that form a basis for the eigenspace of $A A^{*}$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue and $V=\frac{1}{\|A\|_{\infty}} A^{*} U \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \in W\left(U^{*}\left(\|B\|_{\infty} A+\lambda\|A\|_{\infty} B\right) V\right) & =W\left(\|B\|_{\infty}\|A\|_{\infty} V^{*} V+\lambda\|A\|_{\infty} U^{*} B V\right) \\
& =W\left(\|B\|_{\infty}\|A\|_{\infty} I+\lambda\|A\|_{\infty} U^{*} B V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there exists a unit vector $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ such that $\left\langle\left(\|B\|_{\infty} I+\lambda\|A\|_{\infty} U^{*} B V\right) \zeta, \zeta\right\rangle=0$ or $\left\langle\lambda U^{*} B V \zeta, \zeta\right\rangle=-\frac{\|B\|_{\infty}}{\|A\|_{\infty}}$. Hence

$$
-\|B\|_{\infty} \in W\left(\lambda U^{*} B V\right)
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.
$(i i i) \Rightarrow(i)$ : It is obvious.
Remark 3.1. By the equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) of Proposition 3.1, $A \| B$, in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ if and only if there exist unit vectors $x \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times 1}$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ such that $\|A\|_{\infty}=x^{*} A y$ and $\left|x^{*} B y\right|=\|B\|_{\infty}$, this condition is equivalent to the fact that there is a unit vector $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ such that $\|A\|_{\infty}=\langle A y, A y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|\langle A y, B y\rangle|=\|A\|_{\infty}\|B\|_{\infty}$.

Remark 3.2. Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$. If $A \| B$ in $\|\cdot\|_{(k)}$, then $A \perp_{B}\left(\|B\|_{(k)} A+\right.$ $\left.\lambda\|A\|_{(k)} B\right)$. So, by [10, Remark 1], there exists a matrix $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ such that $\|F\|_{\infty} \leq$ $1,\|F\|_{1} \leq k, \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{*} A\right)=\|A\|_{(k)}$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(F^{*}\left(\|B\|_{(k)} A+\lambda\|A\|_{(k)} B\right)\right)=0$. Hence $A \| B$ in $\|\cdot\|_{(k)}$ if and only if there exists a matrix $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ such that $\|F\|_{\infty} \leq 1,\|F\|_{1} \leq$ $k, \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{*} A\right)=\|A\|_{(k)}$ and $\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(F^{*} B\right)\right|=\|A\|_{(k)}$.

Now by [12, Theoren 3.2], we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$, where $A=D C$ for some positive matrices $D \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times m}$ and $C \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$ with $C C^{*}=I_{n}$. Then $A \| B$, in $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ if and only if $\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(D^{p-1} C B^{*}\right)\right|=\frac{\|B\|_{p}\|D\|_{p}^{p}}{\|A\|_{p}}$.

Proof. Let $A \| B$. Thus

$$
A \perp_{B}\left(\|B\|_{p} A+\lambda\|A\|_{p} B\right)
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. By [12, Theorem 3.2], $\operatorname{tr}\left(D^{p-1} C\left(\|B\|_{p} A+\lambda\|A\|_{p} B\right)^{*}\right)=0$. Thus $\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(D^{p-1} C B^{*}\right)\right|=\frac{\|B\|_{p}\left\|_{D}^{p}\right\|_{p}^{p}}{\|A\|_{p}}$. The converse is obvious.

As a consequence of [12, Theorem 3.3], we have the following result.
Remark 3.3. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ and $A \| B$ in $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. By a similar computation as previous theorem, we deduce that there exists $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ such that $\|F\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \operatorname{tr}\left(A F^{*}\right)=\|A\|_{1}$ and $\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(B F^{*}\right)\right|=\|B\|_{1}$.

Let $\nu$ be a norm on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$, and let $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ be the operator norm on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ induced by $\nu$ defined by

$$
\|A\|_{\nu}=\max \left\{\nu(A x): x \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}, \nu(x) \leq 1\right\}
$$

The dual norm of $\nu$ is defined by

$$
\nu^{D}(x)=\max \left\{|\langle x, y\rangle|: y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}, \nu(y) \leq 1\right\}
$$

and the dual norm of $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ is defined as

$$
\|A\|_{\nu}^{D}=\max \left\{|\langle A, B\rangle|: B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n},\|B\|_{\nu} \leq 1\right\}
$$

We say $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ is an extreme point of the unit norm ball of $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ if only if
(i) $p=1$ and $F=x y^{*}$ for some unit vectors $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ and $y \in \mathcal{N}_{n \times 1}$,
(ii) $1<p<\infty$ and $\|F\|_{p}=1$,
(iii) $p=\infty$ and $F F^{*}=I_{n}$.

We have the following characterization of the norm parallelism for operator norms in $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ be an operator norm on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ induced by the vector norm $\nu$ on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$. Denote by $\mathscr{E}$ and $\mathscr{E}^{D}$ the set of extreme points of the unit norm balls of $\nu$ and $\nu^{D}$, respectively. If

$$
V(A)=\left\{x y^{*}: x \in \mathscr{E}^{D}, y \in \mathscr{E},\left\langle A, x y^{*}\right\rangle=\|A\|_{\nu}\right\}
$$

then $A \| B$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ if and only if there exist $k$ extreme points $x_{1} y_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{k} y_{k}^{*}$ with $k \leq 3$ in the complex case and $k \leq 2$ in the real case, and positive numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ with $t_{1}+\cdots+t_{k}=1$ such that

$$
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{j}\left\langle B, x_{j} y_{j}^{*}\right\rangle\right|=\|B\|_{\nu}
$$

Proof. Let $A \| B$. Then

$$
A \perp_{B}\left(\|B\|_{\nu} A+\lambda\|A\|_{\nu} B\right)
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$. So by [12, Proposation 4.2], there exist $k$ extreme points $x_{1} y_{1}^{*}, \ldots, x_{k} y_{k}^{*}$ with $k \leq 3$ in the complex case and $k \leq 2$ in the real case, and positive numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ with $t_{1}+\cdots+t_{k}=1$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{j}\left\langle\left(\|B\|_{\nu} A+\lambda\|A\|_{\nu} B\right), x_{j} y_{j}^{*}\right\rangle=0
$$

Thus $\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k} t_{j}\left\langle B, x_{j} y_{j}^{*}\right\rangle\right|=\|B\|_{\nu}$. The converse is obvious.
Remark 3.4. Suppose that $\nu$ is a norm on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ and that $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$ is the corresponding operator norm on $\mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$. Given $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$. If there exists a vector $y \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times 1}$ with $\nu(y)=1$ such that $\nu(A y)=\|A\|_{\nu}$ and $\nu(A y+\mu(\nu(B y) A y+\lambda \nu(A y) B y)) \geq \nu(A y)$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, that is, $A y \| B y$. Then

$$
\left\|A+\mu\left(\|B\|_{\nu} A+\lambda\|A\|_{\nu} B\right)\right\|_{\nu} \geq \nu(A y+\mu(\nu(B y) A y+\lambda \nu(A y) B y)) \geq \nu(A y)=\|A\|_{\nu}
$$

for all for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$; hence $A \| B$. On the other hand [12, Proposation 4.2 and Example 4.3] show that the converse of the above result is not true, in general.
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