Topological order on the Bloch sphere
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A Bloch sphere is the geometrical representation of an arbitrary two-dimensional Hilbert space. Possible classes of entanglement and separability for the pure and mixed states on the Bloch sphere were suggested by [M. Boyer, R. Liss, T. Mor, PRA 95, 032308 (2017)]. Here we construct a Bloch sphere for the Hilbert space spanned by one of the ground states of Kitaev’s toric code model and one of its closest product states. We prove that this sphere contains only one separable state, thus belonging to the fourth class suggested by the said paper. We furthermore study the topological order of the pure states on its surface and conclude that, according to conventional definitions, only one state (the toric code ground state) seems to present non-trivial topological order. We conjecture that most of the states on this Bloch sphere are neither “trivial” states (namely, they cannot be generated from a product state using a trivial circuit) nor topologically ordered. In addition, we show that the whole setting can be understood in terms of Grover rotations with gauge symmetry, akin to the quantum search algorithm.

Introduction.- Topological order [1] (TO) is a type of order in quantum matter deeply rooted in quantum entanglement. As such, TO cannot be detected by local order parameters. Some typical signatures of TO are anyonic excitations, ground-state topological degeneracy, and indistinguishability of local reduced density matrices. Moreover, topologically-ordered systems form the basis for lattice gauge theories [2] and have been proposed in several aspects of quantum computation, including the construction of topologically-protected qubits and topological quantum computation by anyonic braiding [3].

The study of robustness in TO has been considered from many angles. It is known that two-dimensional (2d) TO survives local Hamiltonian perturbations at zero temperature [4], which leads to the notion of topological phases of matter. However, 2d TO does not survive at finite temperature [5], at least formally. In practice, though, there is a trade-off between different physical scales (temperature, size of the system, correlation length), in such a way that TO may be kept approximately at finite temperatures under some circumstances. The question whether 2d TO survives many-body localization or not has recently been addressed, too [6].

In this paper we show that 2d TO does not seem to survive under certain perturbations that can be characterized by a Bloch sphere. More specifically, we study a perturbation of one of the ground states of Kitaev’s toric code [3] by constructing a Bloch sphere with this state and one of its closest product states [7]. Following a recent characterization of Bloch spheres [8], we prove that, independently of the chosen closest product state, the spheres constructed this way include only one product state, and probably include only one topological state. More precisely, our analysis indicates that the topological entanglement entropy changes continuously, and hints to the fact that the conventional definitions of topological order are not satisfied for such states. We also conjecture that those non-topological states are not “trivial” states in the sense defined by [10, 11]. We subsequently show in the supplementary material that one can conveniently write the whole setting in terms of Grover rotations with gauge symmetry, akin to the quantum search algorithm.

Setting.- We consider the ground states of Kitaev’s toric code model [3]. This is a model of spins-1/2 (qubits) on the links of a square lattice, with Hamiltonian

$$H_{TC} = -\sum_{s} A_s - \sum_{p} B_p,$$

(1)

with star $$A_s$$ and plaquette $$B_p$$ operators respectively defined as

$$A_s = \prod_{j \in s} \sigma_z^{j}^{[\alpha]} \quad B_p = \prod_{j \in p} \sigma_z^{j}^{[\alpha]}.$$

(2)

In the above equations, $$s$$ is a star and $$p$$ is a plaquette on the two-dimensional square lattice, and $$\sigma_z^{j}^{[\alpha]}$$ is the Pauli matrix $$\alpha$$ at site $$j$$. The properties of this model are well-known. In particular, its ground state manifold has topological degeneracy: if the model is defined on a Riemann surface of genus $$g$$, then the ground state is $$2^{2g}$$-fold degenerate. The ground state subspace is also a stabilized space of $$G$$, the group of all the possible products of independent star operators. This group has size $$|G| = 2^{n_s - 1}$$, where $$n_s$$ is the number of stars in the lattice. The $$-1$$ in the exponent is a consequence of the global constraint $$\prod_s A_s = \prod_p B_p = 1$$. Following Ref.[12], for a system with $$n$$ spins, one of the ground states of the model can always be written as

$$\Psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{g \in G} g |0\rangle^\otimes n,$$

(3)

no matter what the topology of the underlying Riemann surface is.
As proven in Ref.\[7\], the closest product states to $|\Psi_0\rangle$ are those of the form $g|0\rangle^\otimes n$, with $g \in G$. For simplicity we choose here the state $|\bar{0}\rangle \equiv |0\rangle^\otimes n$ \[22\]. This state, together with $|\Psi_0\rangle$, spans a 2-dimensional Hilbert space defining a Bloch sphere. An arbitrary pure state in this space can be written as

$$|\theta, \phi\rangle \equiv \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) |0\rangle + e^{i\phi} \sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) |\bar{1}\rangle,$$

where $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ and $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$, and the state $|\bar{1}\rangle$ is defined as

$$|\bar{1}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G| - 1}} \sum_{g \in G, g \neq 1} g |0\rangle^\otimes n. \quad (5)$$

This characterizes the surface of a Bloch sphere, as shown in Fig.1, where $|0\rangle$ and $|\bar{1}\rangle$ sit at opposite poles. The toric code ground state is then given by

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} |\bar{0}\rangle + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{|G|}} |\bar{1}\rangle. \quad (6)$$

Similarly, the state at the antipode of $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is given by

$$|\Psi_\bar{0}\rangle = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{|G|}} |\bar{0}\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} |\bar{1}\rangle. \quad (7)$$

The Bloch sphere is shown in Fig.1.

Let us now mention some general properties of the states built in this way. First, notice that the toric code ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is actually obtained for angles $\theta_0 = 2 \arccos(1/\sqrt{|G|})$ and $\phi_0 = 0$. Therefore, we have a topologically-ordered pure state at this precise value of the angles. Second, Eqs.(6) and (7) are identical to those of a Grover rotation in the quantum search algorithm \[9\]. We will come back to this property later.

Two questions are now in order. First, which type of Bloch sphere are we dealing with, in terms of the classification in Ref.\[8\]? And second, which states on the sphere have topological order? We can answer both questions by studying the entanglement of the pure states on the surface of the sphere.

**Entanglement on the Bloch sphere.**- To compute the entanglement properties, we first evaluate the reduced density matrix $\rho_A(\theta, \phi)$ of a subset $A$ of the spins defining the toric code Hamiltonian, for arbitrary values of $\theta$ and $\phi$. Following Ref.\[12\], let us call $B$ those spins that are not in $A$, and let us define the groups $G_{A,B}$ as the subgroups of $G$ consisting of all the products of star operators acting non-trivially on $A, B$, i.e.,

$$G_A \equiv \{ g \in G \mid g = g_A \otimes \mathbb{I}_B \},$$

$$G_B \equiv \{ g \in G \mid g = \mathbb{I}_A \otimes g_B \}, \quad (8)$$

with sizes $d_{A,B} = |G_{A,B}|$ respectively. Taking the partial trace over the spins in $B$ and using Eqs. (3)-(6), we get

$$\rho_A(\theta, \phi) \equiv \text{tr}_B (|\theta, \phi\rangle \langle \theta, \phi|) = |a|^2 |0_A\rangle\langle 0_A| + |b|^2 |\rho_A^{(0)}\rangle \langle \rho_A^{(0)}|,$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} (a^* b |\phi_A\rangle \langle 0_A| + b^* a |0_A\rangle \langle \phi_A|), \quad (9)$$

where $|0_A\rangle \equiv |0\rangle^\otimes n_A$, $n_A$ is the number of spins in $A$, $\rho_A^{(0)} = \text{tr}_B (|\Psi_0\rangle \langle \Psi_0|)$ is the reduced density matrix for the toric code ground state, and $|\phi_A\rangle = \sum_{g \in G_A} g_A |0_A\rangle$ is a non-normalized state. The coefficients $a$ and $b$ are defined as

$$a \equiv \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) - e^{i\phi} \sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{|G| - 1}{|G|}},$$

$$b \equiv e^{i\phi} \sin \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sqrt{\frac{|G|}{|G| - 1}}. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, we know from theorem 1 in Ref.\[12\] that

$$\rho_A^{(0)} = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{g \in G/G_A, \tilde{g} \in G_A} g_A |0_A\rangle\langle 0_A| g_A \tilde{g} A = \frac{d_A}{f} P_A, \quad (11)$$

where $f = |G|/d_B$, and $P_A$ is a projector on a subspace of dimension $f d_A^{-1}$.

From our expression for $\rho_A(\theta, \phi)$ in Eq.(9) we can now compute the 2-Rényi entropy $S_2 \equiv -\log_2 \left(\text{tr} \left(\rho_A(\theta, \phi)^2\right)\right)$. A detailed derivation is provided in the supplementary material. In the end, we find
that
\[
\text{tr} \left( \rho_A(\theta, \phi)^2 \right) = |a|^4 + \frac{|b|^4 d_A}{f} + \frac{(a^* b)^2}{|G|} + \frac{(a b^*)^2}{|G|} + \frac{2|a|^2 |b|^2}{f \sqrt{|G|}} + \frac{2|a|^2 a^* b}{f \sqrt{|G|}} + \frac{2a^* |b|^2 d_A}{f \sqrt{|G|}} + \frac{2a |b|^2 d_A^*}{f \sqrt{|G|}},
\]
from which $S_2$ follows. The above expression holds for any size of subsets $A$ and $B$ for a state of the type in Eq. (4). Using this equation, one can verify that all states except the state $|0\rangle$ are entangled (using the entropy of the reduced state); as a result, our Bloch sphere must be in “Class 4” according to the classification in Ref. [8]: only one state is separable, and all the other states, including those mixed states in the interior, are entangled.

**Topological order on the Bloch sphere.** Our approach to assess topological order is to study the subleading correction to the 2-Rényi entropy of a contiguous block of spins. For non-chiral two-dimensional topological order, all such possible corrections have been classified and correspond to a discrete set of values [13].

\[
\text{tr} \left( \rho_A(\theta, \phi)^2 \right) = \left( \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4 \left( 2^{2k^2 - 4L - 1} - \left( 2^{L - 1} \right)^3 \right) \left( 2^{2k^2 - 4L - 1} - \left( 2^{k^2 - 1} \right)^3 \right) + 4 \cos (\phi) \left( \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^3 \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) 2^{2L^2 - 2k^2 - 4L - 2} \left( 2^{k^2 - 1} - 1 \right) + \left( \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4.
\]

Let us study Eq. (14) in some detail in order to find whether topological order exists or not for different values of $\theta$ and $\phi$. First, we take the limit of a large (possibly infinite) lattice, $k^2 \gg 1$. In this limit we find
\[
\text{tr} \left( \rho_A(\theta, \phi)^2 \right) \approx \left( \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4 2^{-4L + 1} + 2 \left( \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^2 \left( \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^2 2^{-L^2 - 4L + 1} + \left( \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4.
\]
The above equation is independent of $\phi$, which means that the entropy is almost independent of this angle for large lattices (and, in fact, completely independent for the infinite-size lattice). Next, we take the limit of a large block, i.e., $L^2 \gg L \gg 1$ (but still $k^2 \gg L^2$). In this limit we find
\[
\text{tr} \left( \rho_A(\theta, \phi)^2 \right) \approx \left( \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4 2^{-4L + 1} + \left( \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4.
\]
We can now evaluate this expression for the toric code’s ground state. This state is given by $\theta = \theta_0 = 2\arccos \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \right)$ with $|G| = 2^{k^2 - 1}$. Since we are in the limit of an infinite lattice ($k^2 \gg 1$), this means that
\[
\left( \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4 \approx 1, \quad \left( \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4 \approx 0.
\]
and therefore
\[ S_2 \approx 4L - 1, \tag{18} \]
which is the known result for the 2-Rényi entropy of the toric code [12]. As a common rule, the topological contribution \( S_\gamma \) is defined by the scaling \( S_2(L) = kL + S_\gamma + O(1/L) \), where \( k \) is some area-law prefactor. Importantly, in this paper we take this scaling law as our practical definition of a topologically-ordered state. As proven in Ref.[14], this is the correct scaling behavior with \( L \) for the 2-Rényi entropy at a topological phase in two spatial dimensions [23]. Thus, we obtain the known result \( S_\gamma = -1 \) for the toric code’s ground state \( |\Psi_0\rangle \).

We now prove that small perturbations in the angle \( \theta \) around the toric code’s ground state destroy topological order. To see this, consider
\[
\left( \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4 \approx 1 - 2\sqrt{\epsilon} + \epsilon, \quad \left( \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right)^4 \approx \epsilon, \tag{19}
\]
with \( \epsilon \ll 1 \) [24]. Using Eq.(16) and assuming \( \epsilon \ll 2^{-4L} \) (indeed very small if \( L \gg 1 \)), one finds (up to \( O(\epsilon) \) terms)
\[
S_2 \approx 4L - 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\ln 2}, \tag{20}
\]
which means that any possible constant topological correction would depend on \( \epsilon \), thus not being in the toric code universality class [25].

In order to further verify our results, we computed numerically the 2-Rényi entropy of an arbitrary block of spins, directly using Eq.(14) and before taking any approximation: see Fig.2 and Fig.3 for some examples. The plots are consistent with our analytical results. First, there is only one separable state in the whole Bloch sphere (the state \(|0\rangle\), for which the azimuthal angle \( \phi \) plays no role). Second, for fixed \( k \) and \( L \), we notice that the Rényi entropy attains a maximum as a function of \( \theta \), as illustrated in Fig.3. This maximum is not related to the angle \( \theta_0 \) at which we have the toric code’s ground state; in fact, it drifts towards the right as \( L \) increases. Third, we can extract the topological contribution \( S_\gamma \) to the 2-Rényi entropy from the scaling \( S_2(L) = kL + S_\gamma + O(1/L) \), where \( k \) is some area-law prefactor. From Fig.4 we can see that \( S_\gamma = -1 \) only for the point corresponding to the toric code ground state \( |\Psi_0\rangle \), and that it changes continuously with angles \( \theta \) and \( \phi \). These numerical checks reinforce our result that, on the surface of this Bloch sphere, there seems to be only one topological state, according to the conventional defining properties of a topological phase.

Formally, it is not impossible that other topological universality classes may be reached continuously. However, there are several counterarguments for this possibility. For instance, the subleading correction in Eq.(20) seems to have a complicated dependence, which is unusual for topological states. Moreover, the resulting state for any finite \( \epsilon \) does not seem to have (at least a priori) a gauge symmetry, which is also known to be a defining property of topological order [15]. We conclude, thus, that formally there seems to be only one topological state on the surface of this Bloch sphere for \( O(\epsilon) \) perturbations, which is the toric code ground state [26]. Still, we remark that our \( O(\epsilon) \) analysis cannot say anything for tiny neighborhoods around the toric code point, since for analyzing them we should keep all orders in \( \epsilon \), which we did not do. A numerical analysis would also be of no help here, due to accuracy problems when analyzing tiny infinitesimal environments. The situation in the interior of the Bloch sphere will be briefly discussed in the conclusions.
back to the expressions in Eqs. (6) and (7). Indeed, these expressions have exactly the form of a Grover rotation. To be more specific, our setting is equivalent to that of a quantum search algorithm trying to find the state \( |0\rangle \) within an unstructured database of the \( |G\rangle \) elements \( \{g(0)^{\otimes n}\}_{g \in G} \): this is actually a quantum search within a group \( G \). In our case, the ground state \( |\Psi_0\rangle \) is the equally-weighted superposition of all the elements in the database. The so-called Grover kernel is defined as

\[
K \equiv (2|\Psi_0\rangle\langle\Psi_0| - I)O,
\]

where \( O \) is the so-called quantum oracle. It is proved in the supplementary material that by applying \( K \) to the state \( |\Psi_0\rangle \) a number of times \( O(\sqrt{|G|}) \), one can rotate \( |\Psi_0\rangle \) approximately into the desired searched state \( |0\rangle \). Similarly, by applying the inverse Grover kernel \( K^{-1} \) to the product state \( |0\rangle \) a number of times \( O(\sqrt{|G|}) \), one actually rotates this product state \( |0\rangle \) approximately into the topologically-ordered state \( |\Psi_0\rangle \). Full details and conclusions are available in the supplementary material.

Conclusions and further remarks.- In this paper we have shown that two-dimensional non-chiral topological order does not seem to survive some Bloch-sphere perturbations. This was shown by constructing a Bloch sphere from one of the ground states of Kitaev’s toric code model together with one of its closest product states. We studied the entanglement of the pure states on the surface of this sphere and concluded that there is only one product state and that there seems to be, at least formally, only one topologically-ordered state. We have also shown that topologically-ordered states may be approximated as states arising from a quantum search with a gauge symmetry, and that such an approximation may be very good for large systems.

The results in this paper can be generalized in different ways. For instance, similar results should be obtained for more general topological models [1]. It would also be interesting to study other types of Bloch spheres built from topological states. In this sense, the sphere obtained from two orthogonal toric code ground states is indeed the class of states analyzed in Ref.[16], which motivated the definition of Minimally Entangled States (MES). It is easy to see that such a Bloch sphere does not contain any product state, and therefore falls into “Class 5” according to Ref.[8]. In fact, as proven in Ref.[16], all pure states in such a Bloch sphere are topologically-ordered, since all of them are valid ground states of the toric code’s Hamiltonian.

Finally, one can ask: what about the interior of the sphere? This question is a bit controversial. Imagine that one has a classical mixture, with 99.90% of a topological state and 0.01% of a perturbation that formally breaks topological order (see Fig.5). Such state may not be considered as topological (no gauge symmetry, incorrect topological entropy, flow towards a product state under coarse-grainings, etc.); however, measurements over this state would produce results compatible with topological order 99.99% of the time. In fact, similar controversial questions may be asked about a mixture of two (or more) “almost-topological” pure states (e.g., the two pure states presented in Fig.5), where an “almost-topological” pure state is a non-topological state that is very close to a topological state. Those questions can be relevant because if we carefully choose two such “almost-topological” pure states, their mixture can be exactly the same mixed state as described above (namely, a mixture of a topological state with a small non-topological perturbation). Are such states topological in practice, or not? We believe that clarifying this is quite important because, in actual real-life experiments, one will most probably never reproduce a topological state with 100% accuracy, but rather a mixture of such state with (perhaps non-local) perturbations. In our opinion, this is an indication that one may need a more accurate, practical and relaxed definition of a topological state in such settings.

We may also take a more computer-science-oriented approach, asking whether a trivial circuit [11, 20, 21] can be used for yielding a specific state, when starting with a trivial (namely, tensor product) state; note that a trivial circuit is a quantum circuit with constant depth and range. A plausible conjecture is that the mixed state \( \rho_{\text{mixed}} \) (and potentially also the pure states \( |\psi(\phi)\rangle \) and \( |\psi(-\phi)\rangle \)) in Fig.5 cannot be obtained using a trivial circuit, neither from the tensor product state \( |0\rangle \) nor from the topological state \( |\Psi_0\rangle \).

If this conjecture is correct, then there would be (at least) three different regions on the Bloch sphere: a single non-topological product state, a single topological state,
and a continuum of non-topological states that cannot be obtained from any product state using a trivial circuit (namely, they are “non-trivial” states in the sense defined by [10, 11]). In addition, there would be at least two phase transitions: a phase transition that singles out the tensor product state \(|\bar{0}\rangle\) from all its neighboring states on this Bloch sphere, and another phase transition that singles out the topological state \(|\Psi_0\rangle\) from all its neighboring states.

The above conjecture seems plausible, because the Grover kernel \(K \equiv (2|\Psi_0\rangle\langle\Psi_0| - I)O\) (defined in Eq. (21)), which corresponds to a rotation on the \(X-Z\) plane of the Bloch sphere, seems highly non-trivial to implement (in particular, it seems very difficult to implement the projector \(|\Psi_0\rangle\langle\Psi_0|\) using a trivial circuit). Moreover, since all rotations on the \(X-Z\) plane of the Bloch sphere are powers of the Grover kernel \(K\) (as noted in the supplementary material) and seem hard to implement, we can further conjecture that any two points on the \(X-Z\) plane cannot be rotated to one another using a trivial circuit, which means that there is an infinite number of regions on the Bloch sphere that are unreachable from each other using trivial circuits. We leave a deep analysis of those conjectures and their conclusions as open problems for future works. We also leave the analysis of mixed states defined inside the Bloch sphere for future work.
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[22] Equivalent conclusions can be reached by choosing any of the other closest product states.
[23] See also Refs. [17, 18] for similar scalings of other entanglement measures as well as Ref. [19] for a detailed discussion on topologically-ordered states.
[24] If \((\cos \alpha)^4 \approx \epsilon\) and \((\cos \alpha)^2 + (\sin \alpha)^2 = 1\), then \((\sin \alpha)^2 \approx 1 - \sqrt{\epsilon}\), and therefore \((\sin \alpha)^4 \approx 1 - 2\sqrt{\epsilon} + \epsilon\).
[25] To get this expression, we kept \(O(\sqrt{\epsilon})\) terms, and used also \(\ln(1-2\sqrt{\epsilon}) \approx -2\sqrt{\epsilon}\). For finite lattices, a similar result is found for perturbations in \(\phi\).
[26] We remind the reader that \(S_\gamma = -1\) corresponds to the topological universality class of the toric code model, while close values (even \(S_\gamma = -0.9999\)) do not seem to correspond to any non-chiral two-dimensional topological universality class [13]. In other words, a state with \(S_\gamma = -0.9999\) would very probably flow to a trivial product state under coarse-grainings, which is a defining property of a non-topological state.
I. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

A. Details on the analytical derivation of the 2-Rényi entropy

In this supplementary material we provide details about the derivation of the 2-Rényi entropy. In Eq.(12) of the main paper, the term \( \text{tr} (\rho_A (\theta, \phi)^2) \) is particularly important since from it we can obtain the exact 2-Rényi entropy of the system for any size of subsets \( A \) and \( B \). Let us now see more precisely how this is derived.

First, one computes \( \rho_A (\theta, \phi) \), the reduced density matrix of a subset. This is defined as \( \rho_A (\theta, \phi) \equiv \text{tr}_B (|\theta, \phi \rangle \langle \theta, \phi |) \), i.e., the partial trace over \( B \) on the projector for state \( |\theta, \phi \rangle \).

We notice that we can write this state as
\[
|\theta, \phi \rangle = a |0 \rangle + b |\Psi_0 \rangle,
\]
where \( a \) and \( b \) are given by Eq.(10) in the main text, \( |\Psi_0 \rangle \) is the toric code ground state in Eq.(3), and \( |0 \rangle \equiv |0 \rangle^{\otimes n} \) (\( n \) is the number of spins). Using this, the projector then reads
\[
|\theta, \phi \rangle \langle \theta, \phi | = |a|^2 |0 \rangle \langle 0 | + |b|^2 |\Psi_0 \rangle \langle \Psi_0 | + a^* b |\Psi_0 \rangle \langle 0 | + b^* a |0 \rangle \langle \Psi_0 |.
\]

We now take the partial trace over \( B \). For the first term in the above equation, this gives \( \text{tr}_B (|0 \rangle \langle 0 |) = |0_A \rangle \langle 0_A | \), where \( |0_A \rangle \equiv |0 \rangle^{\otimes n_A} \), and \( n_A \) is the number of spins in \( A \). For the second term, we obtain exactly the reduced density matrix for the toric code ground state. This has been computed explicitly in theorem 1 of Ref.[1], and gives
\[
\text{tr}_B (|\Psi_0 \rangle \langle \Psi_0 |) = \rho_A^{(0)} = \frac{d_A}{f} P_A,
\]
where \( d_A \) and \( f \) are defined as in the main text, and \( P_A \) is a projector on a subspace of dimension \( f d_A^{-1} \).

For the third and fourth terms in the partial trace, the calculation is trickier. The key point is to notice that
\[
\text{tr}_B (|\Psi_0 \rangle \langle \Psi_0 |) = \langle 0_B |0 \rangle \langle 0_B | = \frac{1}{|G|} |0_A \rangle \langle \phi_A |,
\]
where
\[
|\phi_A \rangle \equiv \sum_{g \in G_A} g_A |0_A \rangle
\]
is a non-normalized quantum state, and \( |0_B \rangle \equiv |0 \rangle^{\otimes n_B} \) (\( n_B \) being the number of spins in \( B \)). Thus, at the end of the day, the reduced density matrix for subsystem \( B \) reads
\[
\rho_A (\theta, \phi) \equiv \text{tr}_B (|\theta, \phi \rangle \langle \theta, \phi |) = |a|^2 |0_A \rangle \langle 0_A | + |b|^2 \rho_A^{(0)} + \frac{1}{|G|} (a^* b |\phi_A \rangle \langle 0_A | + b^* a |0_A \rangle \langle \phi_A |),
\]
which is the expression given in the main text.

Having this reduced density matrix, one can compute all bipartite entanglement properties of the state \( |\theta, \phi \rangle \). In this paper we focus on the 2-Rényi entropy, since it is simpler to calculate than other measures of entanglement. For this, the next step is to take the square of \( \rho_A (\theta, \phi) \), and then the trace. The square, though tedious, is not difficult to compute. However, the delicate point in this calculation is the trace. In order to compute it, the key is to use the following relations:

\[
\left( \rho_A^{(0)} \right)^2 = \frac{d_A}{f} \rho_A^{(0)} \quad \text{tr} \left( \rho_A^{(0)} \right) = 1,
\]
\[
|0_A \rangle \langle 0_A | = 1, \quad \langle \phi_A | \phi_A \rangle = |G_A|,
\]
\[
\langle \phi_A | 0_A \rangle = 1, \quad \langle 0_A | 0_A \rangle = 1,
\]
\[
\langle 0_A | \rho_A^{(0)} | 0_A \rangle = \frac{1}{f} \langle 0_A | \phi_A \rangle = \frac{1}{f} \langle \phi_A | \phi_A \rangle = \frac{|G_A|}{f}.
\]

The last line above follows from the property \( \rho_A^{(0)} |0_A \rangle = f^{-1} |\phi_A \rangle \), which is proven as follows:
\[
\rho_A^{(0)} |0_A \rangle = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{g \in G/G_B} g_A |0_A \rangle \langle g_A \phi_A |0_A \rangle = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{g \in G/G_B} g_A |0_A \rangle \delta_{g_A, \phi_A} = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{g \in G} g_A |0_A \rangle = \frac{1}{f} |\phi_A \rangle.
\]
where we used the expression
\[
\rho_A^{(0)} = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{g \in \mathbb{G}/G_B} g A |0_A\rangle \langle 0_A| g A \tilde{g} A
\]
for the toric code’s reduced density matrix, as explicitly derived in Ref.[1]. Using all these relations one can arrive finally (and after simplification) to Eq.(12) in the main text for the trace of the square of $\rho_A(\theta, \phi)$, and from there to the 2-Rényi entropy.

B. Topological order and Grover rotations

We now come back to the expressions in Eqs.(6) and (7) in the main text. Indeed, these expressions have exactly the form of a Grover rotation. To be more specific, our setting is equivalent to that of a quantum search algorithm trying to find the state $|\bar{0}\rangle$ within an unstructured database of the $|G\rangle$ elements $\{g|0\rangle \otimes n\}_{g \in G}$: this is actually a quantum search within a group $G$. In our case, the ground state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is the equally-weighted superposition of all the elements in the database. The so-called *Grover kernel* is defined as
\[
K \equiv \left(2|\Psi_0\rangle \langle \Psi_0| - \mathbb{I}\right) O,
\]
where $O$ is the so-called *quantum oracle*. This oracle is defined such that $O|x\rangle = (-1)^{f(x)}|x\rangle$, where $f(x) = 0$ if $x \neq 0$ and $f(x) = 1$ if $x = 0$; thus, it shifts the phase of the searched element $|0\rangle$ only [2]. A well-known result in quantum computation is that successive applications of the kernel $K$ on the state $|\Psi_0\rangle$ are equivalent (in the limit of a large $|G|$) to the rotation
\[
K^m |\Psi_0\rangle = \sin \left(\frac{2m + 1}{2} \bar{\theta}\right) |\bar{0}\rangle + \cos \left(\frac{2m + 1}{2} \bar{\theta}\right) |\bar{1}\rangle,
\]
where $\bar{\theta} \equiv 2 \arcsin \left(1/\sqrt{|G|}\right) \approx 2/\sqrt{|G|}$. Thus, for a number of iterations $m = O(\sqrt{|G|})$, one can rotate $|\Psi_0\rangle$ approximately into the desired searched state $|\bar{0}\rangle$.

Notice that one can also rotate backwards in a similar way: by applying the inverse Grover kernel $K^{-1}$ to the product state $|0\rangle$ a number of times $O(\sqrt{|G|})$, one actually rotates this product state $|0\rangle$ approximately into the topologically-ordered state $|\Psi_0\rangle$. This is important because it offers a way to prepare approximate topologically-ordered states on quantum computers capable of running Grover’s quantum search algorithm with gauge symmetry (the gauge symmetry is $Z_2$ for the toric code). Notice, however, that the exact topological state cannot usually be prepared using this procedure, because Grover’s iterations involve discrete jumps in the rotation angle; therefore, in general, one cannot fine-tune the topological state exactly. However, for large systems, one can get extremely (exponentially!) close to the topological state, which may also be useful in practice.

We further note that *all* rotations on the $X$-$Z$ plane of the Bloch sphere (which includes $|\bar{0}\rangle$, $|\bar{1}\rangle$, and $|\Psi_0\rangle$) are *real powers* of the Grover kernel $K = \left(2|\Psi_0\rangle \langle \Psi_0| - \mathbb{I}\right) O$. In other words, the real powers $\{K^\epsilon \mid \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}\}$ characterize all the possible rotations from one state to the other on the $X$-$Z$ plane of the Bloch sphere.

As a remark, notice that the states in Grover’s algorithm for $n$ qubits can also be described in the Bloch sphere formalism, although in that case the Bloch sphere falls into “Class 3” according to the classification in Ref.[8]: namely, there are two pure product states (not orthogonal to each other) on the Bloch sphere. This is true because in the usual Grover’s setting, if no other restrictions are applied, there are two product states: the superposition of all the database elements for $n$ qubits and the searched state. However, in our case, the superposition of all database elements is not a product state, and it is even a topologically-ordered entangled state.