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#### Abstract

We give estimates of the entropy numbers of composition operators on the Hardy space of the disk and of the polydisk.
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## 1 Introduction

This short paper was motivated by a question of J. Wengenroth ([19]) about entropy numbers of composition operators on Hardy spaces $H^{2}$, which stand a little apart in the jungle of " $s$-numbers", even though they seem the most natural for the study of compactness, since their membership in $c_{0}$ characterizes compactness, even in the general framework of arbitrary Banach spaces. Indeed, in various papers (see [1, 10, 11, 12, 13]), we studied in detail the approximation numbers of composition operators, and here we will essentially transfer those results to entropy numbers thanks to the polar (Schmidt) decomposition and a general result on entropy numbers of diagonal operators on $\ell^{2}$.

So, the proofs are easy, but the statements feature a very different behavior of those entropy numbers. In particular, we will investigate a few properties related with a so-called "spectral radius type formula" which we obtained, in dimension one through a result of Widom ( $[12]$ ), and, partially in dimension $N([13,14)$, through a result of Nivoche ( 16 ) and Zakharyuta ([22]).
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## 2 Entropy numbers

We begin by recalling some facts on $s$-numbers.
Given an operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces, recall (4) that we can attach to this operator five non-increasing sequences $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right)$, $\left(c_{n}\right),\left(d_{n}\right),\left(e_{n}\right)$ of non-negative numbers (depending on $T$ ), respectively the sequences of approximation, Bernstein, Gelfand, Kolmogorov, and entropy numbers of $T$. The latter are defined ([4, Chapter 1], or [17, Chapter 5]), for $n \geq 1$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}(T)=\inf \left\{\varepsilon>0 ; N\left(T\left(B_{X}\right), \varepsilon B_{Y}\right) \leq 2^{n-1}\right\}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{X}$ and $B_{Y}$ are the respective closed unit balls of $X$ and $Y$, and where, for $A, B \subseteq Y, N(A, B)$ denotes the smallest number of translates of $B$ needed to cover $A$.

All those sequences $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right),\left(c_{n}\right),\left(d_{n}\right),\left(e_{n}\right)$, say $\left(u_{n}\right)$, share the ideal property:

$$
u_{n}(A T B) \leq\|A\| u_{n}(T)\|B\| .
$$

For Hilbert spaces, it turns out that $a_{n}=b_{n}=c_{n}=d_{n}=s_{n}$, where $\left(s_{n}\right)$ designates the sequence of singular numbers, but entropy numbers stay a little apart.

For general Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ and $T: X \rightarrow Y$, we have, in general (33, Theorem 1], see also [17, Theorem 5.2]), for $\alpha>0$ :

$$
\sup _{1 \leq k \leq n} k^{\alpha} e_{k}(T) \leq C_{\alpha} \sup _{1 \leq k \leq n} k^{\alpha} a_{k}(T)
$$

and, if $X$ and $Y^{*}$ are of type 2 :

$$
a_{n}(T) \leq K e_{n}(T), \quad \text { for all } n \geq 1
$$

([7, Corollary 1.6]), where $K=\kappa\left[T_{2}(X) T_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right)\right]^{2}$; in particular, if $T$ acts between Hilbert spaces (see [17, Theorem 5.3]):

$$
a_{n}(T) \leq 4 e_{n}(T), \quad \text { for all } n \geq 1
$$

Those inequalities indicate that entropy numbers are always bigger than singular numbers, up to a constant, and that, as far as the scale of powers $n^{\alpha}$
is implied, they are dominated by approximation numbers in a weak sense. But it turns out that, individually, they can be much bigger than the latter for composition operators, as we shall see.

We will rely on the following estimate ([4, p. 17]), in which $\ell^{2}$ denotes the space of square-summable sequences $x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ of complex numbers. This estimate is given for the sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ of covering numbers and with the scale of powers of 2 , but $e_{n}=\varepsilon_{2^{n-1}}$, by definition, and the change of 2 to e only affects constants.
Theorem 2.1. (see [4, p. 17]) There exist absolute constants $0<a<b$ such that, for any diagonal compact operator $\Delta: \ell^{2} \rightarrow \ell^{2}$ with positive and non-increasing eigenvalues $\left(\sigma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$, namely $\Delta\left(\left(x_{k}\right)_{k}\right)=\left(\sigma_{k} x_{k}\right)_{k}$, we have, for all $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \sup _{k \geq 1}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-n / k}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j}\right)^{1 / k}\right] \leq e_{n}(\Delta) \leq b \sup _{k \geq 1}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-n / k}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j}\right)^{1 / k}\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A useful corollary of Theorem 2.1 is the following.
Theorem 2.2. Let $T: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a compact operator between the Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, and let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be its sequence of approximation numbers. Then, for all $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \sup _{k \geq 1}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-n / k}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{j}\right)^{1 / k}\right] \leq e_{n}(T) \leq \beta \sup _{k \geq 1}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-n / k}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} a_{j}\right)^{1 / k}\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are positive numerical constants.
Proof. Let $T x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_{n}\left(x \mid u_{n}\right) v_{n}$ the Schmidt decomposition of $T$, where $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ are orthonormal sequences of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively, and $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n}$ is the sequence of singular numbers of $T$. Let $\Delta: \ell_{2} \rightarrow \ell_{2}$ the diagonal operator with diagonal values $s_{n}, n \geq 1$. Then $T=V_{1} \Delta U_{1}$ and $\Delta=V_{2} T U_{2}$, with $U_{1} x=\left(\left(x \mid u_{n}\right)\right)_{n}, V_{1}\left(\left(t_{n}\right)_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} t_{n} v_{n}, U_{2}\left(\left(t_{n}\right)_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} t_{n} u_{n}$ and $V_{2} x=\left(\left(x \mid v_{n}\right)\right)_{n}$. We have $\left\|U_{1}\right\|,\left\|V_{1}\right\|,\left\|U_{2}\right\|,\left\|V_{2}\right\| \leq 1$; hence the result follows from Theorem 2.1 and the ideal property.

This theorem might be thought useless, because we don't know better the $a_{n}$ 's than the $e_{n}$ 's! In our situation, this is not the case, since we made a more or less systematic study of the $a_{n}$ 's for composition operators in [1, 11, 10, 12] for example.

We now pass to applications to composition operators $C_{\varphi}$, defined as $C_{\varphi}(f)=f \circ \varphi$ when they act on the Hardy space $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right)$ (which is always
the case if $N=1$ ). Here, $\varphi$ denotes an analytic and non-degenerate selfmap of $\mathbb{D}^{N}$. For clarity, we separate the cases of dimension $N=1$ and of dimension $N \geq 2$.

## 3 Applications in dimension 1

### 3.1 General results

In [12], we had coined the parameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}(T)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[a_{n}(T)\right]^{1 / n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its versions $\beta_{1}^{+}(T), \beta_{1}^{-}(T)$ with a upper limit and a lower limit respectively. The following result ( $[12]$ ) shows in particular that no lower or upper limit is needed for $\beta=\beta_{1}$, and provides a simpler proof of the second item in Theorem [3.1 than in our initial proof of [10].

For the definition of the Green capacity $\operatorname{Cap}(A)$ of a Borel subset $A$ of $\mathbb{D}, 0 \leq \operatorname{Cap}(A) \leq \infty$, we refer to 12 .

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega=\varphi(\mathbb{D})$, with $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ a non-constant analytic map. Then:

1) One always has $\beta_{1}^{-}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=\beta_{1}^{+}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=: \beta_{1}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=\exp [-1 / \operatorname{Cap}(\Omega)]>0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) In particular, one has the equivalence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, another parameter emerges.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}(T)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[e_{n}(T)\right]^{1 / \sqrt{n}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its $\gamma_{1}^{+}(T), \gamma_{1}^{-}(T)$ versions.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a symbol and $\Omega=\varphi(\mathbb{D})$. Then:

1) $\gamma_{1}^{-}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{+}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=: \gamma_{1}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=\exp [-\sqrt{2 / \operatorname{Cap}(\Omega)}]>0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) In particular, one has the equivalence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Set $\rho=1 / \operatorname{Cap}(\Omega)$ for simplicity of notations. Let $\varepsilon>0$, and $C_{\varepsilon}$ a positive constant which depends only on $\varepsilon$ and can vary from a formula to another. Theorem 3.1 implies $a_{k} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon k} \mathrm{e}^{-k \rho}$, whence:

$$
\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{k}\right)^{1 / k} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon k / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\rho k / 2} .
$$

Theorem 2.2 now gives:

$$
e_{n}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \leq C_{\varepsilon} \sup _{k \geq 1}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon k / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-(n / k+\rho k / 2)}\right] .
$$

This supremum is essentially attained for $k=[\sqrt{2 n / \rho}]$ where [.] stands for the integer part, and gives:

$$
e_{n}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \leq C_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon \sqrt{n /(2 \rho)}} \mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{2 n \rho}} .
$$

This implies $\gamma_{1}^{+}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \leq \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon \sqrt{1 /(2 \rho)}} \mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{2 \rho}}$, and finally:

$$
\gamma_{1}^{+}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \leq \mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{2 \rho}}
$$

The lower bound $\gamma_{1}^{-}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \geq \mathrm{e}^{-\sqrt{2 \rho}}$ is proved similarly.
This clearly ends the proof, since we know from [12] that Cap $(\Omega)=\infty$ if ond only if $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$.

### 3.2 Specific results

For $0<\theta<1$, the lens map $\lambda_{\theta}$ of parameter $\theta$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\theta}(z)=\frac{(1+z)^{\theta}-(1-z)^{\theta}}{(1+z)^{\theta}+(1-z)^{\theta}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [18] or [10).
Theorem 3.3. Let $\lambda_{\theta}$ be the lens map with parameter $\theta$. Then, with positive constants $a, b, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ depending only on $\theta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-b^{\prime} n^{1 / 3}} \leq e_{n}\left(C_{\lambda_{\theta}}\right) \leq a \mathrm{e}^{-b n^{1 / 3}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We proved in [8, Theorem 2.1] (see also [10, Proposition 6.3] that $a_{k}=a_{k}\left(C_{\lambda_{\theta}}\right) \leq a \mathrm{e}^{-b \sqrt{k}}$. It follows, using Theorem [2.2, that $\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{k}\right)^{1 / k} \leq$ $a \mathrm{e}^{-b \sqrt{k}}$ and that, for some positive constant $C$ :

$$
e_{n}\left(C_{\lambda_{\theta}}\right) \leq C \exp \left[-\left((n / k)+b k^{1 / 2}\right)\right] .
$$

Taking $k=\left[n^{2 / 3}\right]$ gives the claimed upper bound. The lower bound is proved similarly, using the left inequality in Theorem [2.2) since we know ([12]) that $a_{k} \geq a^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-b^{\prime} \sqrt{k}}$.

We refer to [11, Section 4.1] for the definition of the cusp map $\chi$. We have:

Theorem 3.4. Let $\chi$ be the cusp map. Then, with positive constants $a, b$, $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-b^{\prime} \sqrt{n / \log n}} \leq e_{n}\left(C_{\chi}\right) \leq a \mathrm{e}^{-b \sqrt{n / \log n}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We proved in [11] that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-b^{\prime} k / \log k} \leq a_{k}\left(C_{\chi}\right) \leq a \mathrm{e}^{-b k / \log k} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof then follows the same lines as in Theorem 3.3, with the choice $k=[\sqrt{n \log n}]$.

## 4 The multidimensional case

### 4.1 General results

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{N}$ be an analytic map. We will say that $\varphi$ is nondegenerate if $\varphi\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right)$ has non-empty interior, equivalently if $\operatorname{det} \varphi^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for at least one point $z \in \mathbb{D}^{N}$.

Let now $\varphi: \mathbb{D}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{N}$ be a non-degenerate analytic map inducing a bounded composition operator $C_{\varphi}: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right)$ (this is not always the case as soon as $N>1$, even if $\varphi$ is injective and hence non-degenerate, see for example [5, p. 246], when the polydisk is replaced by the ball; but similar examples exist for the polydisk). Assume moreover that $C_{\varphi}$ is a compact operator.

Theorem 4.1. Let $C_{\varphi}: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right)$ be a compact composition operator, with $\varphi$ non-degenerate. We have:

1) $e_{n}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \geq c \exp \left(-C n^{\frac{1}{N+1}}\right)$, for some constants $C>c>0$, depending on $\varphi$;
2) if $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}<1$, then $e_{n}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \leq C \exp \left(-c n^{\frac{1}{N+1}}\right)$, with $C>c>0$ depending on $\varphi$.

Proof. 1) It is proved in [1. Theorem 3.1] that, for a non-degenerate map $\varphi$, it holds:

$$
a_{k}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \geq a^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-b^{\prime} k^{1 / N}}
$$

As in the previous section, it follows from Theorem 2.2. that $\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{k}\right)^{1 / k} \geq$ $\mathrm{e}^{-b^{\prime \prime} k^{1 / N}}$, and then, taking $k=\left[n^{N /(N+1)}\right]$, that:

$$
e_{n}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \geq c \mathrm{e}^{-C n^{1 /(N+1)}} .
$$

2) Similarly, for $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}<1$, it is proved in [1, Theorem 5.2] that:

$$
a_{k}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \leq C \mathrm{e}^{-c k^{1 / N}} ;
$$

and we get the result from Theorem 2.2,
Those estimates motivate the introduction of the parameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{N}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[e_{n}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{n^{1 /(N+1)}}} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define similarly $\gamma_{N}^{ \pm}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)$, and will say more on it in next section.

### 4.2 Specific results

### 4.2.1 Multi-lens maps

Let $\lambda_{\theta}$ be lens maps with parameter $\theta$. We define the multi-lens map $\Lambda_{\theta}$ of parameter $\theta$ on the polydisk $\mathbb{D}^{N}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\theta}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)=\left(\lambda_{\theta}\left(z_{1}\right), \lambda_{\theta}\left(z_{2}\right), \ldots, \lambda_{\theta}\left(z_{N}\right)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{D}^{N}$.
The following result is proved in [1, Theorem 6.1].
Theorem 4.2. Let $\Lambda_{\theta}$ be the multi-lens map with parameter $\theta$. Then, for positive constants $a, b, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ depending only on $\theta$ and $N$, one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-b^{\prime} n^{1 /(2 N)}} \leq a_{n}\left(C_{\Lambda_{\theta}}\right) \leq a \mathrm{e}^{-b n^{1 /(2 N)}} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The version of Theorem 4.2 for entropy numbers, stated without proof, is:

Theorem 4.3. Let $\Lambda_{\theta}$ be the multi-lens map with parameter $\theta$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime} \exp \left(-b^{\prime} n^{1 /(2 N+1)}\right) \leq e_{n}\left(C_{\Lambda_{\theta}}\right) \leq a \exp \left(-b n^{1 /(2 N+1)}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2.2 Multi-cusp maps

Let $\chi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be the cusp map and $\varphi: \mathbb{D}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{N}$ be the multi-cusp map defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)=\left(\chi\left(z_{1}\right), \chi\left(z_{2}\right), \ldots, \chi\left(z_{N}\right)\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is proved in [1, Theorem 6.2]:
Theorem 4.4. Let $\chi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be the cusp map and $\Xi: \mathbb{D}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{N}$ be the multi-cusp map. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-b^{\prime} n^{1 / N} / \log n} \leq a_{n}\left(C_{\Xi}\right) \leq a \mathrm{e}^{-b n^{1 / N} / \log n}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ are positive constants depending only on $N$.
The version of Theorem 4.4 for entropy numbers, stated without proof, is:
Theorem 4.5. let $\chi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be the cusp map and $\Xi: \mathbb{D}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{N}$ be the multi-cusp map. Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime} \exp \left[-b^{\prime} n^{1 /(N+1)}(\log n)^{-N /(N+1)}\right]  \tag{4.7}\\
& \quad \leq e_{n}\left(C_{\Xi}\right) \leq a \exp \left[-b n^{1 /(N+1)}(\log n)^{-N /(N+1)}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 Connections with pluricapacity and Zakharyuta's results

Here, in dimension $N \geq 2$, the situation is satisfactory for upper bounds (see [13]); for lower bounds, see [14]. The notion involved is now that of pluricapacity, or Monge-Ampère capacity, coined by Bedford and Taylor in [2]. More precisely, if $A$ is a Borel subset of $\mathbb{D}^{N}$, we refer to [13] or [14] for the definition of its pluricapacity $\operatorname{Cap}_{N}(A)$, belonging to $[0,+\infty]$, and set:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{N}(A) & =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{N}} \operatorname{Cap}_{N}(A)  \tag{5.1}\\
\Gamma_{N}(A) & =\exp \left[-\left(\frac{N!}{\tau_{N}(A)}\right)^{1 / N}\right]  \tag{5.2}\\
\beta_{N}^{+}(T) & =\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[a_{n}(T)\right]^{1 / n^{1 / N}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We temporarily assume that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}<1$ so that $K=\overline{\varphi\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right)}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{D}^{N}$. We proved in [13, Theorem 6.4], relying on positive results of Nivoche ([16]) and Zaharyuta ([22, Proposition 6.1]) on the so-called Kolmogorov conjecture, that:

Theorem 5.1. It holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{N}^{+}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \leq \Gamma_{N}(K) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following result, which extends the previous result in dimension 1.

Theorem 5.2. The following upper bound holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{N}^{+}\left(C_{\varphi}\right) \leq \exp \left(-\beta_{N} \rho^{N /(N+1)}\right), \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\left(\frac{N!}{\tau_{N}(K)}\right)^{1 / N}=2 \pi\left(\frac{N!}{\operatorname{Cap}_{N}(K)}\right)^{1 / N} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{N}=\left(\frac{N}{N+1}\right)^{N /(N+1)}\left(N^{-N /(N+1)}+\right. & \left.N^{1 /(N+1)}\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
& \geq \mathrm{e}^{-1 /(N+1)} N^{1 /(N+1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Abbreviate $a_{n}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)$ and $e_{n}\left(C_{\varphi}\right)$ to $a_{n}$ and $e_{n}$, and set $\alpha=N /(N+1)$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Theorem 5.1 implies:

$$
a_{k} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon k^{1 / N}} \mathrm{e}^{-\rho k^{1 / N}},
$$

so:

$$
\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{k}\right)^{1 / k} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon k^{1 / N}} \mathrm{e}^{-\rho \alpha k^{1 / N}}
$$

Apply once more Theorem 2.2 to obtain:

$$
e_{n} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \sup _{k \geq 1} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon k^{1 / N}} \exp \left[-\left(n / k+\rho \alpha k^{1 / N}\right)\right] .
$$

The supremum is essentially attained for $k$ the integral part of $(N / \rho \alpha)^{\alpha} n^{\alpha}$ and then, in view of (5.7) and $\alpha / N=1-\alpha$, up to a negligible term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{k}+\rho \alpha k^{1 / N} & =n^{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{\rho \alpha}{N}\right)^{\alpha}+\rho \alpha n^{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{N}{\rho \alpha}\right)^{1-\alpha} \\
& =n^{1-\alpha}(\rho \alpha)^{\alpha}\left(N^{-\alpha}+N^{1-\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
e_{n} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon n^{1-\alpha}} \exp \left(-\beta_{N} \rho^{\alpha} n^{1-\alpha}\right)=C_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon n^{1 /(N+1)}} \exp \left(-\beta_{N} \rho^{\alpha} n^{1 /(N+1)}\right) .
$$

This clearly ends the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Remark. We have so far no sharp lower bound for entropy numbers, at least when $\|\varphi\|_{\infty}=1$, since we already fail to have one in general for approximation numbers (see however [14]).

Besides, let $J: H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$ be the canonical embedding, when $K \subseteq$ $\mathbb{D}^{N}$ is a "condenser", namely a compact subset of $\mathbb{D}^{N}$ such that any bounded analytic function on $\mathbb{D}^{N}$ which vanishes on $K$ vanishes identically, which is moreover "regular". The positive solution to the Kolmogorov conjecture can be expressed in terms of the Kolmogorov numbers $d_{n}(J)$ of $J$ or equivalently, in terms of the entropy numbers $e_{n}(J)$ of $J$ ([21, Theorem 5], generalizing Erokhin's result in dimension 1 appearing in his posthumous paper [6] and methods due to Mityagin [15] and Levin and Tikhomirov [9; see also [22, Lemma 2.2]). The result is that, taking $K=\overline{\varphi\left(\mathbb{D}^{N}\right)}$, one has, with sharp constants $c_{K}, c_{K}^{\prime}$ depending on the pluricapacity of $K$ in $\mathbb{D}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{n}(J) \approx \mathrm{e}^{-c_{K} n^{1 / N}} \quad \text { and } \quad e_{n}(J) \approx \mathrm{e}^{-c_{K}^{\prime} n^{1 /(N+1)}} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This jump from the exponent $1 / N$ to the exponent $1 /(N+1)$ is reflected in our Theorem 5.2, through the new parameter $\gamma_{N}^{+}$.
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