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#### Abstract

The duality principle for group representations developed in 6, 21 exhibits a fact that the well-known duality principle in Gabor analysis is not an isolated incident but a more general phenomenon residing in the context of group representation theory. There are two other well-known fundamental properties in Gabor analysis: The WexlerRaz biorthogonality and the Fundamental Identity of Gabor analysis. In this paper we will show that these fundamental properties remain to be true for general projective unitary group representations. The main purpose of this paper is present a more general duality theorem which shows that that muti-frame generators meet super-frame generators through a dual commutant pairs. In particular, for the Gabor representations $\pi_{\Lambda}$ and $\pi_{\Lambda}{ }^{\circ}$ with respect to a pair of dual time-frequency lattices $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{o}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we have that $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g_{k}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi_{\Lambda^{o}}(m, n) g_{i}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ is a Riesz sequence, and $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g_{i}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda^{o}}(m, n) g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \pi_{\Lambda^{o}}(m, n) g_{k}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ is a Riesz sequence. This appears to be new even in the context of Gabor analysis.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the investigation on the duality phenomenon for projective unitary group representations. The purpose of this paper is two-fold: First we prove that the Wexler-Raz biorthogonality and the Fundamental Identity in Gabor analysis also reflect a general phenomenon for more general projective unitary representations of any countable groups. Secondly we establish a new duality principle connecting the muti-frame generators and super-frame generators, which is new even in the context of Gabor analysis.

Recall that a frame for a Hilbert space $H$ is a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in I}$ in $H$ with the property that there exist positive constants $A, B>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\|x\|^{2} \leq \sum_{n \in I}\left|\left\langle x, x_{n}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq B\|x\|^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $x \in H$. A tight frame refers to the case when $A=B$, and a Parseval frame refers to the case when $A=B=1$. In the case that (1.1) hold only for all $x \in \operatorname{span}\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, then we say that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a frame sequence, i.e., it is a frame for its closed linear span. If we only require the right-hand side of the inequality (1.1), then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is called a Bessel

[^0]sequence. Similarly, a Riesz sequence is a sequence that is a Riesz basis for its closed linear span.

Given a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in I}$ in a Hilbert space $H$. The analysis operator $\Theta: H \rightarrow \ell^{2}(I)$ is defined by

$$
\Theta(x)=\sum_{n \in I}\left\langle x, x_{n}\right\rangle e_{n}, \quad x \in H,
$$

where $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in I}$ is the standard orthonormal basis for $\ell^{2}(I)$ and the domain of $\Theta$ is the set of all $x \in H$ such that $\left\{\left\langle x, x_{n}\right\rangle\right\}_{n \in I} \in \ell^{2}(I)$. Clearly the domain of $\Theta$ is $H$ if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in I}$ is a frame sequence and the range of $\Theta$ is $\ell^{2}(I)$ if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in I}$ is a Riesz sequence.

One of the well studied classes of frames is the time-frequency lattice representation frames. Let $\Lambda=A\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ be a full-rank time-frequency lattices, where $A$ is a $2 d \times 2 d$ invertible real matrix. The dual lattice of $\Lambda$ is the time-frequency lattice defined by

$$
\Lambda^{o}=\left\{\lambda^{o} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}:\left\langle\lambda, \lambda^{o}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} .
$$

A Gabor (or Weyl-Heisenberg ) family is a collection of functions in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$

$$
\mathbf{G}(g, \Lambda)=\left\{e^{2 \pi i<\ell, x>} g(x-\kappa): \lambda=(\ell, \kappa) \in \Lambda\right\},
$$

where $g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is the generator of the Gabor family. A Gabor frame (with a single generator) is a frame of the form $\mathbf{G}(g, \Lambda)$. Let $E_{\ell}$ and $T_{\kappa}$ be the modulation and translation unitary operators defined by $E_{\ell} f(x)=e^{2 \pi i<\ell, x>} f(x)$ and $T_{\kappa} f(x)=f(x-\kappa)$ for all $f \in$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then we have $\mathbf{G}(g, \Lambda)=\left\{E_{\ell} T_{\kappa} g: \lambda=(\ell, \kappa) \in \Lambda\right\}$. Hence a Gabor frame is a frame induced by the Gabor representation $\pi_{\Lambda}$ of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ defined by $\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) \rightarrow E_{\ell} T_{\kappa}$, where $(\ell, \kappa)=A(m, n)$.

In Gabor analysis, there are several fundamental theorems: Probably the most wellknown one is the Density Theorem which tells us that a Gabor frame exists if and only if the $\operatorname{vol}(\Lambda) \leq 1$, i.e., the density of $\Lambda$ is greater than or equal to one (c.f. [3, 22, 23, 31), where the density of $\Lambda$ is $\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\Lambda)}$ and $\operatorname{vol}(\Lambda)$ is the Lebesgue measure of a fundamental domain of $\Lambda$, which is equal to $|\operatorname{det}(A)|$ if $\Lambda=A\left(\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$.

The other well-known properties include the (Ron-Shen) duality principle, the WexlerRaz biorthogonality and the Fundamental Identity of Gabor frames. The duality principle for Gabor frames was independently and essentially simultaneous discovered by Daubechies, H. Landau, and Z. Landau [5], Janssen [24], and Ron and Shen [32], and the techniques used in these three articles to prove the duality principle are quite different. We refer to [23] for more details about this principle and its important applications.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Lambda=A \mathbb{Z}^{2 d}$ be a lattice with $|\operatorname{det}(A)| \leq 1$, and $\Lambda^{0}$ be its dual lattice. Then we have
(i) [The duality principle] A Gabor family $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g\right\}$ is a frame (resp. Parserval frame) for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda^{(0)}}(m, n) g\right\}$ is a Riesz sequence (resp. orthogonal sequence).
(ii) [The Wexler-Raz biorthogonality] If $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g\right\}$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, then

$$
\left\langle\pi_{\Lambda^{0}}(m, n) g, S^{-1} g\right\rangle=|\operatorname{det} A| \delta_{(m, n),(0,0)},
$$

where $S$ is the frame operator for $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g\right\}$
(iii) [The Fundamental Identity of Gabor Analysis -Janssen representation] If $f, g, h, k$ are Bessel vectors for $\pi_{\Lambda}$, then

$$
\sum_{m, n}\left\langle f, \pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g\right\rangle\left\langle\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) h, k\right\rangle=\operatorname{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1} \sum_{m, n}\left\langle f, \pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}(m, n) k\right\rangle\left\langle\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}(m, n) h, g\right\rangle
$$

i.e.

$$
\left\langle\Theta_{\pi_{\Lambda}, g}(f), \Theta_{\pi_{\Lambda}, h}(k)\right\rangle=\operatorname{vol}(\Lambda)^{-1}\left\langle\Theta_{\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}, k}(f), \Theta_{\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}, h}(g)\right\rangle
$$

where $\Theta_{\pi_{\Lambda}, g}$ (similarly for $\Theta_{\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}, h}$ etc.) is the analysis operator for $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g: m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right\}$.
Considering the fact all basic properties above establish the intrinsic connections between the Gabor representations $\pi_{\Lambda}$ and $\pi_{\Lambda^{0}}$, which can be viewed as projective unitary representations of the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, it is not surprising to expect that this might be a phenomenon for general projective unitary representations on any countable groups. We investigated the duality principle for general groups in [21] and [6, and established the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\pi$ be a frame representation and $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a dual commutant pair (see Definition 1.1) of projective unitary representations of $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$. Then $\{\pi(g) \xi\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame (respectively, a tight frame) for $H$ if and only if $\{\sigma(g) \xi\}_{g \in G}$ is a Riesz sequence (respectively, an orthogonal sequence).

Oner of the central problems concerning the duality principle is the existence problem of dual commutant pairs $(\pi, \sigma)$ for a group $G$ and/or for a given representations $\pi$. This turns out to be a very difficulty problem due to the following result [6]:

Theorem 1.3. Let $\pi=\left.\lambda\right|_{P}$ be a subrepresentation of the left regular representation $\lambda$ of an ICC (infinite conjugacy class) group $G$ and $P$ is an orthogonal projection in the commutant $\lambda(G)^{\prime}$ of $\lambda(G)$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $\lambda(G)^{\prime}$ and $P \lambda(G)^{\prime} P$ are isomorphic von Neumann algebras;
(ii) there exists a group representation $\sigma$ such that $(\pi, \sigma)$ form a dual pair.

For the free groups $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ with $n$-generators ( $n \geq 2$ ), it is a longstanding problem whether all their group von Neumann algebras are $*$-isomorphic. It was well-known [12, 30] that either all the von Neumann algebras $P \lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)^{\prime} P\left(0 \neq P \in \lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ are $*$-isomorphic, or no two of them are $*$-isomorphic. This implies that the classification problem is also equivalent to the question whether there exists a proper projection $P \in \lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ such that $\lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)^{\prime}$ and $P \lambda\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)^{\prime} P$ are isomorphic von Neumann algebras.The above Theorem 1.3 shows that the existence problem of commutant dual pairs for free groups is also equivalent to the longstanding classification problem for free group von Neumann algebras.

There are plenty of examples where we know that the dual commutant pairs exist. For example, if $G$ is either an abelian group or an amenable ICC (infinite conjugate class) group, then for every projection $0 \neq P \in \lambda(G)^{\prime}$, there exists a unitary representation $\sigma$ of $G$ such that $\left(\left.\lambda\right|_{P}, \sigma\right)$ is a commutant dual pair, where $\left.\lambda\right|_{P}$ is the subrepresentation of the left regular representation $\lambda$ restricted to range $(P)$. On the other hand, there exists an ICC group (e.g., $G=\mathbb{Z}^{2} \rtimes S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ ), such that none of the nontrivial subrepresentations $\left.\lambda\right|_{P}$ admits a commutant dual pair (c.f. [4, 6, 12, 27, 28, 29, 30]). These examples demonstrate the complexity of the existence problem which remains open for almost all the cases.

In this paper we first prove that the Wexler-Raz biorthogonality and the Fundamental Identity in Gabor analysis remain to be true for more general projective unitary representations of any countable group $G$. Secondly we shall establish the duality principle connecting the muti-frame generators and super-frame generators, which is new even in the context of Gabor analysis. In order to state our main results we need to recall some necessary definitions, notations and terminologies related to frames and frame representations.

Recall (cf. [33]) that a projective unitary representation $\pi$ for a countable group $G$ is a mapping $g \rightarrow \pi(g)$ from $G$ into the group $U(H)$ of all the unitary operators on a separable Hilbert space $H$ such that $\pi(g) \pi(h)=\mu(g, h) \pi(g h)$ for all $g, h \in G$, where $\mu(g, h)$ is a scalar-valued function on $G \times G$ taking values in the circle group $\mathbb{T}$. This function $\mu(g, h)$ is then called a multiplier or 2 -cocycle of $\pi$. In this case we also say that $\pi$ is a $\mu$-projective unitary representation. It is clear from the definition that we have
(i) $\mu\left(g_{1}, g_{2} g_{3}\right) \mu\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)=\mu\left(g_{1} g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \mu\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ for all $g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3} \in G$,
(ii) $\mu(g, e)=\mu(e, g)=1$ for all $g \in G$, where $e$ denotes the group unit of $G$.

Any function $\mu: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $(i)-(i i)$ above will be called a multiplier for $G$. It follows from (i) and (ii) that we also have
(iii) $\mu\left(g, g^{-1}\right)=\mu\left(g^{-1}, g\right)$ holds for all $g \in G$.

Similar to the group unitary representation case, the left and right regular projective representations with a prescribed multiplier $\mu$ for $G$ can be defined by

$$
\lambda_{g} \chi_{h}=\mu(g, h) \chi_{g h}, \quad h \in G,
$$

and

$$
\rho_{g} \chi_{h}=\mu\left(h, g^{-1}\right) \chi_{h g^{-1}}, \quad h \in G,
$$

where $\left\{\chi_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ is the standard orthonormal basis for $\ell^{2}(G)$. Clearly, $\lambda_{g}$ and $r_{g}$ are unitary operators on $\ell^{2}(G)$. Moreover, $\lambda$ is a $\mu$-projective unitary representation of $G$ with multiplier $\mu(g, h)$ and $\rho$ is a projective unitary representation of $G$ with multiplier $\overline{\mu(g, h)}$. The representations $\lambda$ and $\rho$ are called the left regular $\mu$-projective representation and the right regular $\mu$-projective representation, respectively, of $G$.

Given a projective unitary representation $\pi$ of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$, a vector $\xi \in H$ is called a complete frame vector (resp. complete tight frame vector, complete Parseval frame vector) for $\pi$ if $\{\pi(g) \xi\}_{g \in G}$ (here we view this as a sequence indexed by $G$ ) is a frame (resp. tight frame, Parseval frame) for the whole Hilbert space $H$, and is just called a frame sequence vector (resp. tight frame sequence vector, Parseval sequence frame vector) for $\pi$ if $\{\pi(g) \xi\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame sequence (resp. tight frame sequence, Parseval frame sequence). Riesz sequence vector and Bessel vector can be defined similarly. We will use $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ to denote the set of all the Bessel vectors of $\pi$.

For Gabor representations, both $\pi_{\Lambda}$ and $\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ are projective unitary representation of the group $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Moreover, it is well-known that one of two projective unitary representations $\pi_{\Lambda}$ and $\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ for the group $G=\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ must be a frame representation and the other admits a Riesz vector. So we can always assume that $\pi_{\Lambda}$ is a frame representation of $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and hence $\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ admits a Riesz vector. Moreover, we also have $\pi_{\Lambda}(G)^{\prime}=\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}(G)^{\prime \prime}$, and both representations share the same Bessel vectors, where $\pi_{\Lambda}(G)^{\prime}$ is the commutant of $\pi(G)$. This leads to the following definition

Definition 1.1. [6] Let $\pi$ and $\sigma$ be two projective unitary representations of a countable group $G$ on the same Hilbert space $H$. We say that $(\pi, \sigma)$ is a commutant pair if $\pi(G)^{\prime}=$ $\sigma(G)^{\prime \prime}$, and a dual commutant pair if they satisfy the following two additional conditions:
(i) $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}=\mathcal{B}_{\sigma}$.
(ii) One of them admits a complete frame generator and the other one admits a Riesz sequence generator.

For any projective representation $\pi$ of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ and $x \in H$, the analysis operator $\Theta_{x, \pi}$ (or $\Theta_{x}$ if $\pi$ is well-understood from the context) for $x$ from $\mathcal{D}\left(\Theta_{x}\right)(\subseteq H)$ to $\ell^{2}(G)$ is defined by

$$
\Theta_{x}(y)=\sum_{g \in G}\langle y, \pi(g) x\rangle \chi_{g},
$$

where $\mathcal{D}\left(\Theta_{x}\right)=\left\{y \in H: \sum_{g \in G}|\langle y, \pi(g) x\rangle|^{2}<\infty\right\}$ is the domain space of $\Theta_{x}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_{\pi} \subseteq \mathcal{D}\left(\Theta_{x}\right)$ holds for every $x \in H$. In the case that $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is dense in $H$, we have that $\Theta_{x}$ is a densely defined and closable linear operator from $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ to $\ell^{2}(G)$ (cf. [13]). Moreover, $x \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ if and only if $\Theta_{x}$ is a bounded linear operator on $H$, which in turn is equivalent to the condition that $\mathcal{D}\left(\Theta_{x}\right)=H$. It is useful to note that $\Theta_{\eta}^{*} \Theta_{\xi}$ commutes with $\pi(G)$ if $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}$. Thus, if $\xi$ is a complete frame vector for $\pi$, then $\eta:=S^{-1 / 2} \xi$ is a complete Parseval frame vector for $\pi$, where $S=\Theta_{\xi}^{*} \Theta_{\xi}$ and is called the frame operator for $\xi$ (or Bessel operator if $\xi$ is a Bessel vector).

It was proved in [14] that for any complete Parseval frame vector $\eta$ for $\pi, \operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(A)=$ $\langle A \eta, \eta\rangle$ defines a faithful normal trace on $\pi(G)^{\prime}$. In the case of Gabor representation $\pi(\Lambda)$, it turns out that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)=\operatorname{vol}(\Lambda)$. Thus the following can be viewed as the generalized Wexler-Raz biorthogonality and the fundamental frame duality identity for general frame representations.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\pi$ be a frame representation and $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a dual commutant pair of projective unitary representations of $G$ on $H$.
(i) If $\{\pi(g) \xi\}$ is a frame for $H$, then

$$
\left\langle\sigma(\xi), S^{-1} \xi\right\rangle=T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I) \delta_{g, e},
$$

where $S$ is the frame operator for $\{\pi(g) \xi\}, e$ is the group unit of $G$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)=$ $\left\|S^{-1 / 2} \xi\right\|^{2}$.
(ii) If $\xi, \eta, x, y$ are Bessel vectors for $\pi$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{g \in G}\langle x, \pi(g) \xi\rangle\langle\pi(g) \eta, y\rangle=\frac{1}{T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{g \in G}\langle x, \sigma(g)(y)\rangle\langle\sigma(g) \eta, \xi\rangle . \\
& \text { i.e. }\left\langle\Theta_{\xi, \pi}(x), \Theta_{\eta, \pi}(y)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)}\left\langle\Theta_{y, \sigma}(x), \Theta_{\eta, \sigma}(\xi)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our second main theorem deals with the duality principle for multi-frame and superframe generators.
Definition 1.2. Let $\pi$ be projective unitary representation of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ and let $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in H$. We say that $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ is
(i) a multi-frame vector for $\pi$ if $\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}: g \in G, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is a frame for $H$, and
(ii) a super-frame vector if each $\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}: g \in G\right\}$ is a frame for $H$ and $\Theta_{\xi_{i}}(H) \perp \Theta_{\xi_{j}}(H)$ for $i \neq j$.
Parseval multi-frame vector and Parseval super-frame vector can be defined similarly. We remark that the concept of super-frames was first introduced and systematically studied by Balan [1, 2], Han and Larson [20] in the 1990's, and since then it has generated a host of research activities (c.f. [7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19] and the references therein).
Theorem 1.5. Let $\pi$ be a frame representation and $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a commutant dual pair of projective unitary representations of $G$ on $H$. Let $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$. Then we have
(i) $\vec{\xi}$ is a super-frame vector for $\pi$ if and only if $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{j}: g \in G, j=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is Riesz sequence in $H$
(ii) $\vec{\xi}$ is a multi-frame vector for $\pi$ if and only if $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma(g) \xi_{n}: g \in G\right\}$ is a Riesz sequence in $H \oplus \ldots \oplus H$.

Since the time-frequency representations $\pi_{\Lambda}$ and $\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}$ form a dual commutant pair, we immediately have the following:

Corollary 1.6. Let $\Lambda$ be a time-frequency lattice and $\Lambda^{o}$ be its dual lattice. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k} \in$ $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then
(i) $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g_{k}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi_{\Lambda^{o}}(m, n) g_{i}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ is a Riesz sequence in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
(ii) $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi_{\Lambda}(m, n) g_{i}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ is a frame for $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if $\left\{\pi_{\Lambda^{\circ}}(m, n) g_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus\right.$ $\left.\pi_{\Lambda^{o}}(m, n) g_{k}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}}$ is a Riesz sequence $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We refer to [11, 25, 26] for any standard terminologies and basic properties about von Neumann algebras that will be used in the rest of the paper. In what follows we will also use [ $K$ ] to denote the closed subspace generated by a subset $K$ of a Hilbert space $H$. Theorem 1.2 and the following lemmas are needed in the proofs for both Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5

Lemma 2.1. 14] Let $\pi$ be a projective representation of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is dense in $H$. Then

$$
\pi(G)^{\prime}=\overline{\operatorname{span}}^{W O T}\left\{\Theta_{\eta}^{*} \Theta_{\xi}: \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}\right\}
$$

where "WOT" denotes the closure in the weak operator topology.
Lemma 2.2. [14] Let $\pi$ be a projective representation of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is dense in $H$. If $\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}, g \in G, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ is a Parseval frame for $H$, then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\langle A \xi, \xi\rangle
$$

defines a faithful trace on $\pi(G)^{\prime}$. Moreover, this is independent the choices of the Parseval multi-frame vector $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, . ., \xi_{n}\right)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\pi$ be a projective unitary representation $\pi$ of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$. Then $\pi$ is a frame representation if and only if $\pi$ is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of the left regular projective unitary representation of $G$. Consequently, if $\pi$ is a frame representation, then both $\pi(G)^{\prime}$ and $\pi(G)^{\prime \prime}$ are finite von Neumann algebras.

Lemma 2.4. [14, 20] Let $\pi$ be a projective representation of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ and $\{\pi(g) \xi\}_{g \in G}$ is a Parseval frame for $H$. Then
(i) $\{\pi(g) \eta\}_{g \in G}$ is a Parseval frame for $H$ if and only if there is a unitary operator $U \in \pi(G)^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\eta=U \xi$;
(ii) $\{\pi(g) \eta\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame for $H$ if and only if there is an invertible operator $U \in \pi(G)^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\eta=U \xi$;
(iii) $\{\pi(g) \eta\}_{g \in G}$ is a Bessel sequence if and only if there is an operator $U \in \pi(G)^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\eta=U \xi$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}=\pi(G)^{\prime \prime} \xi$.

## Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a dual commutant pair of representations for $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$.
(i) Let $\{\pi(g) \xi\}$ be a frame for $H$ and $S$ be its analysis operator. Write $\eta=S^{-1 / 2} \xi$. Then $\{\pi(g) \eta\}_{g \in G}$ is a Parserval frame for $H$. By Lemma 2.2 we have that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(A):=\langle A \eta, \eta\rangle$ defines a faithful trace on $w^{*}(\sigma(G))$, where $w^{*}(\sigma(G))$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by $\sigma(G)$ and it is equal to $\pi(G)^{\prime}$. Note that $S, \sigma(g) \in \pi(G)^{\prime}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\sigma(g) \eta, \eta\rangle & =\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(\sigma(g))=\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}\left(S^{-1 / 2} \sigma(g) S^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\left\langle S^{-1 / 2} \sigma(g) S^{1 / 2} \eta, \eta\right\rangle=\left\langle\sigma(g) \xi, S^{-1} \xi\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, by Theorem 1.2, $\{\sigma(g) \eta\}_{g \in G}$ is an orthogonal sequence. Thus we have $\left\langle\sigma(g) \xi, S^{-1} \xi\right\rangle=$ 0 for any $g \neq e$. Observe that $\left\langle\sigma(e) \xi, S^{-1} \xi\right\rangle=\left\|S^{-1 / 2} \xi\right\|^{2}=T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)$. So we get the biorthogonality realtion:

$$
\left\langle\sigma(\xi), S^{-1} \xi\right\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I) \delta_{g, e} .
$$

(ii) Let $\varphi$ be a Parserval frame vector for $\pi$. Then by Theorem 1.2 we get that $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}(I)}}} \sigma(g) \varphi\right\}_{g \in G}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\left[\pi(G)^{\prime} \varphi\right.$ ]. Since $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi} \in \pi(G)^{\prime}=w^{*}(\sigma(G))$, we get that $\Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi} \varphi \in[\sigma(G) \varphi]$. This implies that

$$
\Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi} \varphi=\left(T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)\right)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} c_{g} \sigma(g) \varphi,
$$

where $c_{g}=\left\langle\Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi} \varphi, \sigma(g) \varphi\right\rangle$.
By Lemma 2.4 there is an operator $A \in w^{*}(\pi(G))$ such that $y=A \varphi$. So we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi}(y) & =\Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi}(A \varphi)=A \Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi}(\varphi) \\
& =\left(T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)\right)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} c_{g} A \sigma(g) \varphi \\
& =\left(T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)\right)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} c_{g} \sigma(g) A \varphi \\
& =\left(T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)\right)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} c_{g} \sigma(g) y
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we get

$$
\left\langle\Theta_{\xi, \pi}(x), \Theta_{\eta, \pi}(y)\right\rangle=\left\langle x, \Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi}(y)\right\rangle=\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)\right)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{c}_{g}\langle x, \sigma(g) y\rangle
$$

Now we compute $c_{g}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{g} & =\left\langle\Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi} \varphi, \sigma(g) \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle\Theta_{\eta, \pi}(\varphi), \Theta_{\xi, \pi}(\sigma(g) \varphi)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{h \in G}\langle\varphi, \pi(h) \eta\rangle \cdot \overline{\langle\sigma(g) \varphi, \pi(h) \xi\rangle} \\
& =\sum_{h \in G}\langle\varphi, \pi(h) \eta\rangle \cdot\langle\pi(h) \xi, \sigma(g) \varphi\rangle \\
& =\sum_{h \in G}\left\langle\pi\left(h^{-1}\right) \varphi, \eta\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) \xi, \pi\left(h^{-1}\right) \varphi\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{h \in G}\left\langle\sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) \xi, \pi\left(h^{-1}\right) \varphi\right\rangle \cdot\left\langle\pi\left(h^{-1}\right) \varphi, \eta\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{h \in G}\left\langle\sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) \xi, \pi(h) \varphi\right\rangle \cdot\langle\pi(h) \varphi, \eta\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sigma\left(g^{-1}\right) \xi, \eta\right\rangle=\langle\xi, \sigma(g) \eta\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the fact that $\sigma(g)$ and $\pi(h)$ commute for all $g, h \in G$, and that $\{\pi(h) \varphi\}_{h \in G}$ is a Parserval frame $H$.

Finally we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Theta_{\xi, \pi}(x), \Theta_{\eta, \pi}(y)\right\rangle & =\left\langle x, \Theta_{\xi, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{\eta, \pi}(y)\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)\right)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \bar{c}_{g}\langle x, \sigma(g) y\rangle \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)\right)^{-1} \sum_{g \in G}\langle\sigma(g) \eta, \xi\rangle,\langle x, \sigma(g) y\rangle \\
& =\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)\right)^{-1}\left\langle\Theta_{y, \sigma}(x), \Theta_{\eta, \sigma}(\xi)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is much more subtle and complicated. While Theorem 1.2 will be needed, it is not a direct consequence of the theorem. For the purpose of clarity we divide the proof into two theorems with one of them concerning the duality for multi-frame generators and the other one dealing with the duality for super-frame generators. We need a series of lemmas for both cases. In what follows we use $H^{(k)}$ to denote the orthogonal direct sum of a Hilbert space $H$ and $\pi^{(k)}$ to denote direct sum of the representation $\pi$ of $G$ on $H^{(k)}$. So for any vector $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right) \in H^{(k)}$, we have $\pi^{(k)}(g) \vec{\xi}=\left(\pi(g) \xi_{1}, \ldots, \pi(g) \xi_{k}\right)=$ $\pi(g) \xi_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \pi(g) \xi_{k}$. We will use the following notations: Let $\pi$ be a projective unitary representation of $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$.
(i) For any $\xi \in H, \Theta_{\xi, \pi}: H \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ is the analysis operator for the sequence $\{\pi(g) \xi\}_{G}$.
(ii) For $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right) \in H^{(k)}, \Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}: H \rightarrow\left(\ell^{2}(G)\right)^{(k)}$ is the analysis operator for the sequence $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ defined by

$$
\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(x)=\Theta_{\xi_{1}, \pi}(x) \oplus \ldots \oplus \Theta_{\xi_{k}, \pi}(x)
$$

(iii) For $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right) \in H^{(k)}, \Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi^{(k)}}: H^{(k)} \rightarrow\left(\ell^{2}(G)\right)^{(k)}$ is the analysis operator for the sequence $\left\{\pi^{(k)}(g) \vec{\xi}\right\}_{g \in G}$.

Clearly $\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}$ can be viewed as the restriction of $\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi^{(k)}}$ to the subspace $\{x \oplus \ldots \oplus x: x \in H\}$ of $H^{(k)}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\pi$ be a projective unitary representation of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $\pi(G)^{\prime}$ is finite. Assume that $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame for $H$. If $A \in \pi(G)^{\prime}$ such that $\xi_{i}=A \eta_{i}$ and each $\eta_{i}$ is a Bessel vector for $\pi$, then $A$ is invertible and $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \eta_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is also a frame for $H$.
Proof. Let $D$ and $C$ be the lower frame bound and Bessel bound for $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ and $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \eta_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$, respectively. Then for every $x \in H$ we

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\|x\|^{2} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{g \in G}\left|\left\langle x, \pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{g \in G}\left|\left\langle x, \pi(g) A \eta_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{g \in G}\left|\left\langle A^{*} x, \pi(g) \eta_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\|A^{*} x\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $A^{*}$ is bounded from below. Since $A^{*} \in \pi(G)^{\prime}$ and $\pi(G)^{\prime}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra, it follows that $A^{*}$ must be invertible. Thus $A$ is invertible.
Lemma 3.2. [13, 14] Let $\pi$ be a projective unitary representations of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$. If $x \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}$, then there exists a vector $\xi \in M:=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\pi(g) x: g \in G\}$ such that $\{\pi(g) \xi\}_{g \in G}$ is a Parseval frame for $M$. Moreover, $\Theta_{\xi}(H)=\overline{\Theta_{x}(H)}$.

Lemma 3.3. Assume that $\pi$ and $\sigma$ is a commutant pair of projective representations of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ and $\pi(G)^{\prime}$ is finite. If $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame for $H$, then

$$
\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma(g) \xi_{k}\right\}_{g \in G}
$$

is frame sequence in $H^{(k)}$.
Proof. Let

$$
M=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma(g) \xi_{k}\right\}_{g \in G}
$$

Then $M$ is $\sigma^{(k)}$-invariant. Note that

$$
w^{*}\left(\sigma^{(k)}(G)\right)=\left\{A \oplus \ldots \oplus A: A \in w^{*}(\sigma(G))\right\}
$$

So we have that

$$
w^{*}\left(\left.\sigma^{(k)}(G)\right|_{M}\right)=\left\{\left.A^{(k)}\right|_{M}: A \in w^{*}(\sigma(G))\right\}
$$

Since $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right)$ is a Bessel vector for $\sigma^{(k)}$, by Lemma 3.2 we get that there exists a vector $\vec{\eta}=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{k}\right) \in M$ such that

$$
\left\{\sigma^{(k)}(g) \vec{\eta}\right\}_{g \in G}
$$

is a Parseval frame for $M$. Now by Lemma 2.4 there exists an operator $T$ in $w^{*}\left(\left.\sigma^{(k)}(G)\right|_{M}\right)$ such that $T \vec{\eta}=\vec{\xi}$. Write $T=\left.A^{(k)}\right|_{M}$ for some $A \in w^{*}(\sigma(G))$. Then we get that $A \eta_{i}=\xi_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and $A \in \pi(G)^{\prime}$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we have that $A$ is invertible, which implies that $T$ is invertible. Hence, by Lemma 2.4 again, $\left\{\sigma^{(k)}(g) \vec{\xi}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame for $M$, which completes the proof.

We also need the following generalization of Lemma 3.2. Although it is not a consequence of 3.2, the proof is very similar and we include a sketch proof for reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.4. Assume that $\pi$ is a projective unitary representation of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$. Suppose that $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a Bessel sequence and let

$$
M=\overline{\operatorname{span}} \cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G} .
$$

Then there exists a vector $\vec{\eta}$ such that
(i) $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \eta_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a Parseval frame for $M$, and
(ii) $\Theta_{\vec{\eta}, \pi}(H)=\left[\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(H)\right]$.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case when $M=H$. Write $T=\Theta_{\overrightarrow{\mathcal{E}}, \pi}$ and let $T=U|T|$ be its polar decomposition. Then $U$ is an isometry from $H$ into $\ell^{2}(G)^{(k)}$ since the range of $T^{*}$ is dense in $H$. It can be easily verified that $T \pi(g)=\lambda^{(k)}(g) T$ for every $g \in G$, where $\lambda$ is the left regular representation for $G$ with the same multiplier as $\pi$. This implies that $U \pi(g)=\lambda^{(k)}(g) U$ for all $g \in G$. Let $\psi_{i}=0 \oplus \ldots \oplus 0 \oplus \chi_{e} \oplus 0 \ldots \oplus 0$, where $\chi_{e}$ appears in the $i$-th component, and let $\eta_{i}=U^{*} \psi_{i}$. Then we have

$$
U \pi(g) \eta_{i}=U \pi(g) U^{*} \psi_{i}=U U^{*} \lambda^{(k)}(g) \psi=P \lambda^{(k)}(g) \psi_{i}
$$

where $P$ is the orthogonal projection from $\ell^{2}(G)^{(k)}$ onto $\left[\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(H)\right]$. Since

$$
\left\{\lambda^{(k)}(g) \psi_{i}: g \in G, i=1, \ldots, k\right\}
$$

is an orthonormal basis for $\ell^{2}(G)^{(k)}$, we get that $\left\{U \pi(g) \eta_{i}: g \in G, i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ is a Parserval frame for $\left[\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(H)\right]$ and the range space of its analysis operator is $\left[\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(H)\right]$. Since $U$ is an isometry, we obtain that $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \eta_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a Parseval frame for $H$ and $\Theta_{\vec{\eta}, \pi}(H)=\left[\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(H)\right]$.

Let $\pi$ be a projective unitary representation of $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is dense in $H$. Recall from [6] that two vectors $\xi$ and $\eta$ in $H$ are called $\pi$-orthogonal if $\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\xi}\right) \perp \operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\eta}\right)$, and $\pi$-weakly equivalent if $\left[\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\xi}\right)\right]=\left[\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\eta}\right]\right.$.

The following result obtained in 21] characterizes the $\pi$-orthogonality and $\pi$-weakly equivalence in terms of the commutant of $\pi(G)$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\pi$ be a projective representation of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is dense in $H$. Then two vectors $\xi, \eta \in H$ are
(i) $\pi$-orthogonal if and only if $\left[\pi(G)^{\prime} \xi\right] \perp\left[\pi(G)^{\prime} \eta\right]$, and
(ii) $\pi$-weakly equivalent if and only if $\left[\pi(G)^{\prime} \xi\right]=\left[\pi(G)^{\prime} \eta\right]$.

We need the following (partial) generalization of Lemma 3.5 (ii).
Lemma 3.6. Let $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a commutant pair of projective unitary representations of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is dense in $H$. Let $\xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \in H(i=$ $1, . ., k)$ be Bessel vectors for $\pi$. If $\left[\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(H)\right]=\left[\Theta_{\vec{\eta}, \pi}(H)\right]$, then $\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\xi}\right]=\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\eta}\right]$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we know that $w^{*}(\sigma(G))=\pi(G)^{\prime}$ is the closure of the linear span of

$$
\left\{\Theta_{u, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{v, \pi}: u, v \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}\right\}
$$

in the weak operator topology. Hence $w^{*}\left(\sigma^{(k)}(G)\right)$ is the (wot)-closure of the linear span of

$$
\left\{\Theta_{u, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{v, \pi} \oplus \ldots \oplus \Theta_{u, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{v, \pi}: u, v \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}\right\} .
$$

Assume that $\vec{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right) \in\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\xi}\right]^{\perp}$. Then for any $u, v \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle z_{i}, \Theta_{u, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{v, \pi}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle\Theta_{u, \pi}\left(z_{i}\right), \Theta_{v, \pi}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle\Theta_{\xi_{i}, \pi}(v), \Theta_{z_{i}, \pi}(u)\right\rangle=\left\langle\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(v), \Theta_{\vec{z}, \pi}(u)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $\Theta_{\vec{z}, \pi}(u) \perp \Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(v)$. Since $v \in \mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is arbitray and $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is dense in $H$, we get that $\Theta_{\vec{z}, \pi}(u) \perp\left[\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(H)\right]$, which implies that $\Theta_{\vec{z}, \pi}(u) \perp\left[\Theta_{\vec{\eta}, \pi}(H)\right]$. Therefore we obtain that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle z_{i}, \Theta_{u, \pi}^{*} \Theta_{v, \pi}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\Theta_{\vec{\eta}, \pi}(v), \Theta_{\vec{z}, \pi}(u)\right\rangle=0
$$

This implies that $\vec{z} \in\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\eta}\right]^{\perp}$. Hence $\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\xi}\right] \subseteq\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\eta}\right]$. Similarly, we also have the reversed inclusion. Therefore we obtain $\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\xi}\right]=\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\eta}\right]$, as claimed.
Lemma 3.7. Let $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a commuting pair of projective unitary representations of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$. If $\left\{\sigma^{(k)}(g) \vec{\xi}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a Riesz sequence, then

$$
\overline{\operatorname{span}} \cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}=H .
$$

Proof. Assume that $x \perp \pi(g) \xi_{i}$ for all $g \in G$ and $i=1, \ldots, k$. We need to show that $x=0$. Since $w^{*}(\pi(G))=\sigma(G)^{\prime}$, we get that $\left[\sigma(G)^{\prime} x\right] \perp\left[\sigma(G)^{\prime} \xi_{i}\right]$. Applying Lemma 3.5 (i) to $\sigma$, we get that $x$ and $\xi_{i}$ are $\sigma$-orthogonal. This implies that range $\left(\Theta_{x, \sigma}\right) \perp$ $\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\xi_{i}, \sigma}\right)$ for every $i$. Let $\vec{x}=(x, 0, \ldots, 0) \in H^{(k)}$. Then we have range $\left(\Theta_{\vec{x}, \sigma^{(k)}}\right) \perp$ $\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma^{(k)}}\right)$. Since $\left\{\sigma^{(k)}(g) \vec{\xi}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a Riesz sequence, we know that $\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma^{(k)}}\right)=$ $\ell^{2}(G)$. Thus $\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\vec{x}, \sigma^{(k)}}\right)=\{0\}$, which implies $\vec{x}=0$ and hence $x=0$, as claimed.

Lemma 3.8. Let $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a commutant pair of projective unitary representations of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$. If $\left\{\sigma^{(k)}(g) \vec{\xi}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a Riesz sequence, then $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame for $H$.
Proof. From Lemma 3.7 we know that $\overline{\operatorname{span}} \cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}=H$. By using Lemma 3.4, there exists a vector $\vec{\eta}$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \eta_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a Parseval frame for $H$, and $\Theta_{\vec{\eta}, \pi}(H)=$ $\left[\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \pi}(H)\right]$. By Lemma 3.6 we get that $\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\eta}\right]=\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\xi}\right]$. Let $M=\left[\sigma^{(k)}(G) \vec{\xi}\right]$. Since $\vec{\xi}$ is a frame vector and $\vec{\eta}$ is a Bessel vector for $\left.\sigma^{(k)}\right|_{M}$, we have by Lemma 2.4 that there is an operator $T$ in $w^{*}\left(\left.\sigma^{(k)}(G)\right|_{M}\right)$ such that $\vec{\eta}=T \vec{\xi}$. Write $T=\left.(A \oplus \ldots \oplus A)\right|_{M}$ with $A \in w^{*}(\sigma(G))=\pi(G)^{\prime}$. Then we have $A \xi_{i}=\eta_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. From Lemma 3.1 we get that $A$ is invertible and $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame for $H$
Lemma 3.9. Let $\pi$ be a projective unitary representations of a countable group $G$ on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\pi}$ is dense in $H$ and it admits a Riesz sequence vector. Suppose that $\xi \in H$ such that range $\left(\Theta_{\xi, \pi}\right)$ is not dense in $\ell^{2}(G)$. Then there exits a nonzero vector $x \in H$ such that range $\left(\Theta_{x, \pi}\right)$ and range $\left(\Theta_{\xi, \pi}\right)$ are orthogonal.
Proof. Let $\psi \in H$ be such that $\{\pi(g) \psi\}_{g \in G}$ is a Riesz sequence and $\Theta_{\psi, \pi}=V\left|\Theta_{\psi, \pi}\right|$ be the polar decomposition of its analysis operator. Since $\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\psi, \pi}\right)=\ell^{2}(G)$, we have that $V$ is a co-isometry. It can be verified that $V \pi(g)=\lambda(g) V$ and hence we get $\pi(g) V^{*}=V^{*} \lambda(g)$ for every $g \in G$, where $\lambda$ is the left regular projective unitary representation associated with the same multiplier as $\pi$. Now let $P$ be the orthogonal projection onto [range $\left(\Theta_{\xi, \pi}\right)$ ] and $x=V^{*} P^{\perp} \chi_{e}$, where $P^{\perp}=I-P$. Then $P$ commutes with $\lambda$ and so $x \neq 0$. Now for any $y \in H$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{x, \pi}(y) & =\sum_{g \in G}\langle y, \pi(g) x\rangle \chi_{g} \\
& =\sum_{g \in G}\left\langle y, \pi(g) V^{*} P^{\perp} \chi_{e}\right\rangle \chi_{g} \\
& =\sum_{g \in G}\left\langle y, V^{*} \lambda(g) P^{\perp} \chi_{e}\right\rangle \chi_{g} \\
& =\sum_{g \in G}\left\langle y, V^{*} P^{\perp} \lambda(g) \chi_{e}\right\rangle \chi_{g} \\
& =\sum_{g \in G}\left\langle P^{\perp} V y, \chi_{g}\right\rangle \chi_{g} \\
& =P^{\perp} V y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus range $\left(\Theta_{x, \pi}\right)$ is contained in the range space of $P^{\perp}$, and therefore we get that range $\left(\Theta_{x, \pi}\right)$ and range $\left(\Theta_{\xi, \pi}\right)$ are orthogonal.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.5, which follows from the next two theorems.
Theorem 3.10. Let $\pi$ be a frame representation and $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a dual commutant pair of projective unitary representations of $G$ on $H$ and $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right) \in H^{(k)}$. Then $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame for $H$ if and only if $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma(g) \xi_{k}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is Riesz sequence.

Proof. The sufficient part has been proved in Lemma 3.8. To prove the necessary part, let assume that $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\pi(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame for $H$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, we have that $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma(g) \xi_{k}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a frame sequence in $H^{(k)}$. So in order to show that it is a Riesz sequence, it suffices to show that the range space (which is already closed) of its analysis operator $\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma^{(k)}}$ is the entire space $\ell^{2}(G)$.

Assume to the contrary that $\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma^{(k)}}\left(H^{(k)}\right) \neq \ell^{2}(G)$. By the assumption on dual commutant pairs we know that $\sigma^{(k)}$ admits a Riesz sequence vector, and the set of its Bessel vectors is dense in $H^{(k)}$, we obtain by Lemma 3.9 that there exists a nonzero vector $\vec{x} \in H^{(k)}$ such that $\operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\vec{x}, \sigma^{(k)}}\right) \perp \operatorname{range}\left(\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma^{(k)}}\right)$.

Let $H_{i}=0 \oplus \ldots \oplus 0 \oplus H \oplus 0 \ldots \oplus 0$, where $H$ appears in the $i$-th component. Then we in particular get $\Theta_{\vec{x}, \sigma^{(k)}}\left(H_{i}\right) \perp \Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma^{(k)}}\left(H_{j}\right)$. Note that $\Theta_{\vec{x}, \sigma^{(k)}}\left(H_{i}\right)=\Theta_{x_{i}, \sigma}(H)$ and $\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma^{(k)}}\left(H_{j}\right)=\Theta_{\xi_{j}, \sigma}(H)$. So we have that $\Theta_{x_{i}, \sigma}(H) \perp \Theta_{\xi_{j}, \sigma}(H)$ for all $i, j=1, \ldots, k$. Thus $x_{i}$ and $\xi_{j}$ are $\sigma$-orthogonal for all $i, j=1, \ldots, k$. By Lemma3.5 we get that $\left[\sigma(G)^{\prime} x_{i}\right] \perp\left[\sigma(G)^{\prime} \xi_{j}\right]$ for all $i, j$. Since $\sigma(G)^{\prime}=w^{*}(\pi(G))$ we get in particular that for each $i, x_{i} \perp\left[\pi(G) \xi_{j}\right]$ for $j=1, \ldots k$. Hence $x_{i}=0$ for each $i$ and so $\vec{x}=0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore we have that $\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma^{(k)}}\left(H^{(k)}\right)=\ell^{2}(G)$, and hence $\left\{\sigma^{(k)}(g) \vec{\xi}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is a Riesz sequence, as claimed.

Theorem 3.11. Let $\pi$ be a frame representation and $(\pi, \sigma)$ be a dual commutant pair of projective unitary representations of $G$ on $H$. Let $\vec{\xi}=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right)$. Then we have
(i) $\vec{\xi}$ is a Parserval super-frame vector for $\pi$ if and only if $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{j}: g \in G, j=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ is an orthogonal sequence in $H$ and $\left\|\xi_{i}\right\|^{2}=T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)$.
(ii) $\vec{\xi}$ is a super-frame vector for $\pi$ if and only if $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{j}: g \in G, j=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ is Riesz sequence in $H$.

Proof. (i) First assume that $\vec{\xi}$ is a complete Parserval super-frame vector for $\pi$. Then each $\xi_{i}$ is a complete Parserval frame vector for $\pi$, and $\xi_{i}$ and $\xi_{j}$ are $\pi$-orthogonal for $i \neq j$. Thus, by Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 3.5, we get that $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is an orthogonal sequence, and $\left[\pi(G)^{\prime} \xi_{i}\right] \perp\left[\pi(G)^{\prime} \xi_{j}\right]$ for $i \neq j$. Therefore we have that $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{j}: g \in G, j=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ is an orthogonal sequence in $H$. The identity follows from Lemma 2.2 and the fact that each $\xi_{i}$ is a complete Parseval frame vector for $\pi$. Clearly the above argument is reversible, and so we also get the sufficiency part of the proof.
(ii) First assume that $\vec{\xi}$ is super-frame vector for $\pi$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists an invertible operator $B=A \oplus \ldots \oplus A \in w^{*}\left(\pi^{(k)}(G)\right)$ such that $B \vec{\xi}$ is a Parserval super-frame vector for $\pi$. This implies by (i) that $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\sigma(g) A \xi_{i}\right\}_{g \in G}$ is an orthogonal sequence. Since $A \in w^{*}(\pi(G))=\sigma(G)^{\prime}$ is invertible, we get that $\sigma(g) \xi_{i}=A^{-1} \sigma A \xi_{i}$, and therefore

$$
\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{i}: g \in G, i=1, \ldots, k\right\}=A^{-1}\left\{\sigma(g) A \xi_{i}: g \in G, i=1, \ldots, k\right\}
$$

is a Riesz sequence.
Conversely assume that $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{j}: g \in G, j=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ is Riesz sequence in $H$. Let $K$ be the closed subspace generated by $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{j}: g \in G, j=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ and let $S=\Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma}^{*} \Theta_{\vec{\xi}, \sigma}$.

$$
S=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Theta_{\xi_{i}, \sigma}^{*} \Theta_{\xi_{i}, \sigma}
$$

Note that since $\Theta_{\xi_{i}, \sigma}^{*} \Theta_{\xi_{i}, \sigma} \in \sigma(G)^{\prime}=w^{*}(\pi(G))$, we obtain that $S \in w^{*}(\pi(G))$. Moreover, $\left.S\right|_{K}: K \rightarrow K$ is positive invertible. Write $T=\left(\left.S\right|_{K}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. Then $T$ commutes with $\sigma(g)$ when restricted to $K$ for all $g \in G$. Thus we obtain that

$$
\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\sigma(g) T \xi_{i}: g \in G\right\}=T \cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{i}: g \in G\right\}
$$

is an Parseval frame for $K$. Since $T$ is invertible and $\left\{\sigma(g) \xi_{j}: g \in G, j=1, \ldots, k\right\}$ is Riesz basis for $K$, we get that $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\sigma(g) T \xi_{i}: g \in G\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $K$. Select $c_{i}>0$ such that $c_{i}^{2}\left\|T \xi_{i}\right\|^{2}=T r_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)$ and write $\eta_{i}=c_{i} T \xi_{i}$. Then $\cup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\sigma(g) \eta_{i}: g \in G\right\}$ is an orthogonal sequence with $\left\|\eta_{i}\right\|^{2}=\operatorname{Tr}_{\pi(G)^{\prime}}(I)$. Thus, by (i) we get that $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{k}\right)$ is a complete Parserval super-frame vector for $\pi$. This implies that $\vec{\phi}:=\left(T \xi_{1}, \ldots, T \xi_{k}\right)$ is also a complete super-frame vector for $\pi$.

Let $P$ be the orthogonal projection from $H$ onto $K$. Then $P \in w^{*}(\pi(G))$ since $K$ is invariant under $\sigma(G)$. Define $A=T \oplus P^{\perp}$ and

$$
B=A \oplus \ldots \oplus A .
$$

Then $B \in w^{*}\left(\pi^{(k)}(G)\right)$ is invertible and $B \vec{\xi}=\vec{\phi}$. This implies by Lemma 2.4 that $\vec{\xi}=B^{-1} \vec{\phi}$ is a complete frame vector for $\pi^{(k)}$, i.e., $\xi$ is super-frame vector for $\pi$.

A Final Remark: The mains results of this paper have been presented by Deguang Han at several conferences including the 2015 workshop on "Aperiodic Order and Signal Analysis" at Norwegian University of Science and Technology and the FFT talk at the University of Maryland in 2016. In a recent preprint [18] Jakobsen and Luef are also able to get Corollary 1.6 by using a complete different approach. The purposes of the two approaches are also quite different. This paper is focused on establishing the general duality principle for arbitrary groups and on building its connections with the theory of operator algebras and group representations. In this context the duality principle for Gabor representation is only a special case of a more general duality phenomenon for arbitrary projective unitary representations. Paper [18] is focused on examining the Gabor theory from the perspective of projective modular theory and it (at least in the discrete setting) only applies to Gabor representations.
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